12

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
Plaintiff,	Case: 5:07-cv-13785	
V.	Assigned To: O'Meara, John Corbett Referral Judge: Scheer, Donald A Filed: 09-10-2007 At 11:12 AM	
MARKITA D. DARDEN, individually,	cmp USA V. DARDEN (TAM)	
d/b/a/ M & M Express Tax Service,		
Defendant.))	

COMPLAINT FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION

This is a civil action brought by the United States to permanently enjoin defendant Markita D. Darden from preparing federal income tax returns, amended federal income tax returns and other related documents and forms for others; representing customers before the IRS, advising, assisting, counseling, or instructing anyone about the preparation of a federal tax return; and from promoting tax-fraud schemes.

Jurisdiction

- 1. This action has been requested by the Chief Counsel of the Internal Revenue Service, a delegate of the Secretary of the Treasury, and commenced at the direction of a delegate of the Attorney General, pursuant to 26 U.S.C. §§ 7402(a), 7407 and 7408.
- Jurisdiction is conferred on this Court by 28 U.S.C. §§ 1340 and 1345 and 26
 U.S.C. §§ 7402(a), 7407, and 7408.
- 3. The defendant Markita D. Darden temporarily resides without the jurisdiction of this court. She is amenable to the *in personam* jurisdiction of this court under the Michigan long-arm

statute because the claims against Ms. Darden arise from a business, M & M Express Tax Service, that she conducts in Wayne County, Michigan. MICH. COMP. LAWS § 600.705.

Facts Common to All Counts

- 4. Ms. Darden prepares or assists in the preparation of federal income tax returns for other people for compensation.
- 5. Ms. Darden operates a business that provides tax return-preparation services under the name M & M Express Tax Service.
- 6. For tax years 2003 through 2005, Ms. Darden prepared approximately 1000 federal tax returns for clients of M & M Express Tax Service. Ms. Darden also electronically filed many of the federal tax returns that she prepared for her customers.
- 7. The returns that Ms. Darden prepared for customers contained false deductions and credits, including falsely claimed IRA deductions, tuition and fees deductions, inflated or fictitious charitable contributions and unreimbursed employee business expenses, and falsely claimed education credits, mortgage interest credits, and work opportunity credits.
- 8. Ms. Darden, without the knowledge of her customers, prepared income tax returns and subsequently electronically filed some of those income tax returns for customers, indicating a refund due to the customer greater than the refund reported on the customer's copy of the income tax return. Ms. Darden charged the difference in the refund amounts as a tax preparation fee through the refund anticipation loan account that she maintained at Bank One and retained those funds for herself.

- Despite being advised during the 2005 tax filing season that she was under 9. investigation by the Criminal Investigation Division of the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS"), Ms. Darden continued to prepare income tax returns claiming false deductions and false credits. Ms. Darden failed to sign her name to many of the income tax returns that she prepared for compensation.
 - 10. Ms. Darden's actions cause harm to the United States and to the public.
- 11. The IRS has issued erroneous refunds based on fraudulent returns prepared by Ms. Darden and has then had to audit Ms. Darden's customers and take collection action to attempt to recoup the money.
- 12. The IRS has spent considerable time and resources auditing returns prepared by Ms. Darden and collecting the taxes owed and erroneous refunds paid.
- 13. Ms. Darden has also harmed her customers because they pay her to prepare these returns, and, after the fraud is detected, are responsible for paying all taxes, interest, and penalties.
- 14. Ms. Darden has a history of violating federal tax laws. She was indicted on twelve counts of knowingly aiding and assisting in the preparation of false and fraudulent tax returns in violation of 26 U.S.C. § 7206(2). In 2006, Ms. Darden pleaded guilty to one count of the indictment and was sentenced to fifteen months imprisonment, one year of supervised release and ordered to pay restitution in the amount of \$164,048.

Count I: Injunction under 26 U.S.C. § 7408 for Violation of 26 U.S.C. § 6701

- 15. The United States incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 14.
- 16. A court is authorized to issue an injunction if an income tax preparer engages in conduct subject to penalty under 26 U.S.C. § 6701, pursuant to 26 U.S.C. § 7408.
- 17. Section 6701 penalizes any person (1) who aids or assists in, procures, or advises with respect to, the preparation or presentation of any portion of a return, affidavit, claim or other document; (2) who knows (or has reason to believe) that such portion will be used in connection with any material matter arising under the internal revenue laws; and (3) who knows that such portion (if so used) would result in an understatement of the liability for tax of another person.
- 18. Ms. Darden prepares or assists in the preparation of federal income tax returns for her customers.
- 19. Ms. Darden knows or has reason to believe that these income tax returns will be filed with the IRS and thus will be used in connection with a material matter arising under the internal revenue laws.
- 20. Ms. Darden knows that the federal tax returns she prepares will result in understatements of other persons' tax liabilities.
- 21. If Ms. Darden is not enjoined, she is likely to continue to engage in conduct which violates § 6701.

Count II:

Injunction under 26 U.S.C. § 7407 for Violation of 26 U.S.C. §§ 6694 and 6695

- 22. The United States incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 21.
- 23. A court is authorized to issue an injunction if an income tax return preparer engages in conduct subject to penalty under 26 U.S.C. § 6694 or § 6695, pursuant to 26 U.S.C. § 7407.
- 24. Section 6694(a) penalizes a tax return preparer if (1) the preparer prepares a return or claim for refund that includes an understatement of liability due to a position for which there is not a realistic possibility of being sustained on the merits; (2) the preparer knew (or reasonably should have known) of such position; and (3) the position was not disclosed in accordance with $\S 6662(d)(2)(B)(ii)$ or was frivolous.
- 25. Section 6694(b) penalizes a tax return preparer who prepares a return or claim with an understatement of liability (1) in a willful attempt to understate the liability or (2) with a reckless and intentional disregard of rules or regulations.
- 26. Section § 6695 penalizes a tax return preparer who does not sign her name to tax returns she prepares.
- 27. Ms. Darden's conduct as described above is subject to penalty under §§ 6694(a), 6694(b) and 6695.
- 28. Ms. Darden has prepared income tax returns that include understatements of her customers' liability which had no realistic possibility of being sustained on the merits; Ms. Darden knew or reasonably should have known about these understatements; Ms. Darden did not disclose them in accordance with 26 U.S.C. § 6662(d)(2)(B)(ii); and such understatements are

frivolous. Ms. Darden has thus engaged in conduct subject to penalty under § 6694(a).

- 29. Ms. Darden prepares returns for customers with false entries in a willful attempt to understate the customers' liability or with a reckless and intentional disregard of rules and regulations. Ms. Darden has thus engaged in conduct subject to penalty under § 6694(b).
- 30. Ms. Darden did not sign all of the tax returns she prepared. Ms. Darden has thus engaged in conduct subject to penalty under § 6695.
- 31. Ms. Darden has continually and repeatedly engaged in conduct that violates §§ 6694 and 6695. An injunction merely prohibiting Ms. Darden from engaging in conduct subject to penalty under §§ 6694 and 6695 would not be sufficient to prevent her interference with the proper administration of the tax laws. Accordingly, Ms. Darden should be permanently enjoined from acting as an income tax return preparer.

Count IV:

Injunction under I.R.C. § 7402(a) for Unlawful Interference with Enforcement of the Internal Revenue Laws and Appropriateness of Injunctive Relief

- 32. The United States incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 31.
- 33. A court is authorized to issue orders of injunction as may be necessary or appropriate to enforce the internal revenue laws, pursuant to 26 U.S.C. § 7402(a).
- 34. Section § 7402(a) expressly provides that its injunction remedy is "in addition to and not exclusive of" other remedies for enforcing the internal revenue laws.
- 35. Ms. Darden, through the actions described above, has engaged in conduct that interferes substantially with the enforcement of the internal revenue laws.

- 36. Ms. Darden's conduct causes irreparable harm to the United States and to her customers.
- 37. Ms. Darden is causing and will continue to cause substantial revenue losses to the United States Treasury, much of which may be unrecoverable.
- 38. If Ms. Darden is not enjoined, she is likely to continue to engage in conduct that interferes with the enforcement of the internal revenue laws.
- 39. The United States will suffer irreparable injury if Ms. Darden is not enjoined. This outweighs the harm to Ms. Darden from being enjoined from return-preparation and violating tax laws.
- 40. The public interest would be advanced by enjoining Ms. Darden because an injunction will stop her illegal conduct and the harm that conduct is causing to the United States Treasury.

WHEREFORE, the United States of America, prays for the following:

- A. That the Court find that Ms. Darden has engaged in conduct subject to penalty under 26 U.S.C.§ 6701, and that injunctive relief under 26 U.S.C. § 7408 is appropriate to prevent a recurrence of that conduct;
- B. That the Court find that Ms. Darden has continually and repeatedly engaged in conduct subject to penalty under 26 U.S.C. §§ 6694 and 6695, and that, pursuant to 26 U.S.C. § 7407, an injunction prohibiting such conduct would not be sufficient to prevent Ms. Darden's interference with the proper administration of the tax laws and that Ms. Darden should be enjoined from acting as an income tax return preparer;

- C. That the Court find that Ms. Darden is interfering with the enforcement of the internal revenue laws and that injunctive relief is appropriate to prevent the recurrence of that conduct pursuant to 26 U.S.C. § 7402(a) and the Court's inherent equity powers;
- D. That this Court, pursuant to 26 U.S.C. § 7408, enter a permanent injunction prohibiting Ms. Darden, individually and doing business as M &M Express Tax Service or under any other name or using any other entity, and her representatives, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with her, from directly or indirectly:
 - 1. Engaging in activity subject to penalty under 26 U.S.C. § 6701, including aiding or assisting in, procuring, or advising with respect to, the preparation or presentation of any portion of a return, affidavit, claim or other document; knowing (or having reason to believe) that such portion will be used in connection with any material matter arising under the internal revenue laws; and knowing that such portion (if so used) would result in an understatement of the liability for tax of another person; and
 - 2. Engaging in any other activity subject to penalty under 26 U.S.C. § 6701 or any other provisions of the Internal Revenue Code.
- E. That this Court, pursuant to 26 U.S.C. § 7407, enter a permanent injunction prohibiting Ms. Darden, individually and doing business as M & M Express Tax Service or under any other name or using any other entity, and her representatives, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with her, from directly or indirectly acting as a federal income tax return preparer;
- F. That this Court, pursuant to 26 U.S.C. § 7402(a), enter a permanent injunction prohibiting Ms. Darden, individually and doing business as M & M Express Tax Service or under any other name or using any other entity, and her representatives, agents, servants,

employees, attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with her, from directly or indirectly:

- 1. Preparing or assisting in the preparation of any federal tax return for anyone other than herself:
- 2. Advising, counseling, or instructing anyone about the preparation of a federal tax return;
- 3. Owning, managing, controlling, working for, or volunteering for a tax-returnpreparation business;
- 4. Representing customers in connection with any matter before the IRS; and
- 5. Engaging in other similar conduct that substantially interferes with the administration and enforcement of the internal revenue laws.
- G. That this Court, pursuant to 26 U.S.C. § 7402(a), require Ms. Darden at her own expense to contact by mail all customers for whom she has prepared federal tax returns or assisted in preparing tax returns, and send them a copy of this Complaint and the permanent injunction order, and to certify to the Court within eleven days of entry of the permanent injunction that she has complied with this provision;
- H. That this Court require Ms. Darden to provide the United States with a list of everyone for whom she has prepared (or helped to prepare) a federal tax return for the years 2003-2005 and set forth on said list all of the names, addresses, e-mail addresses, telephone numbers, and social security numbers of the customers.
- I. That this Court permit the United States to conduct post-judgment discovery to ensure Ms. Darden's compliance with the permanent injunction; and

J. That this Court grant the United States such other relief, including costs, as is just and equitable.

Respectfully submitted,

STEPHEN J. MURPHY, III United States Attorney

LISA L. BELLAMY
Trial Attorney, Tax Division
U.S. Department of Justice
Post Office Box 55
Ben Franklin Station
Washington, D.C. 20044-0055
Telephone: (202) 307-6416

Facsimile: (202) 514-5238 E-Mail: lisa.l.bellamy@usdoj.gov

ovided by local rules of c	t and the information contained herein neither replaceurt. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference.	ce nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpo
l. (a) PLAINTIFFS UNITED STATES OF AM		THE FORM.) DEFENDANTS MARKITA D. DARDEN
(c) Attorney's (Firm Na	ncc of Pirst Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) ine, Address, and Telephone Number) Tax Division, P.O. Box 55, Wash, DC 20044 (202	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Wayne (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.
II. BASIS OF JURIS U.S. Government Plaintiff U.S. Government Defendant IV. NATURE OF SU	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party) ☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Select One Box for Plainfiff and One Box for Defendant) F DEF Case: 5:07-cv-13785 Assigned To: O'Meara, John Corbett Referral Judge: Scheer, Donald A Filed: 09-10-2007 At 11:12 AM cmp USA V. DARDEN (TAM)
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Soils ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Forcelosure ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 356 PERSONAL INJU 365 Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEL 1 Jability PERSONAL PROPEL 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage	G20 Other Food & Drug G25 Drug Related Seizure G1 Froperty 21 USC 881 G25 Drug Related Seizure G1 Froperty 21 USC 881 G25 Drug Related Seizure G25 Drug Related Seizure
Organal 🗆 2 Res	Die Hox Only) moved from te Court Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you ar 26 U.S.C. sections 7402, 7407 and 7408 Brief description of cause: Permanent injunction CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	4 Reinstated or S Transferred from another district (specify) S Multidistrict Litigation S Judge from Magistrate Judgment S Filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in Complaint JURY DEMAND; S Yes No DOCKET NUMBER DOCKET NUMBER

UANT TO LOCAL RULE 83.11 1. Is this a case that has been previously dismissed? Yes If yes, give the following information: Court: ____ Case No.: _____ Judge: _____ 2. Other than stated above, are there any pending or previously discontinued or dismissed companion cases in this or any other Yes court, including state court? (Companion cases are matters in which it appears substantially similar evidence will be offered or the same No or related parties are present and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence.) If yes, give the following information: Court: _____ Case No.: ______ Judge: _____

Notes:

Filed 09/10/2007

Page 12 of 12