RECORD TYPE: FEDERAL (NOTES MAIL) CREATOR: Kameran L. Onley (CN=Kameran L. Onley/OU=CEQ/O=EOP [CEQ]) CREATION DATE/TIME: 1-JUL-2003 09:07:02.00 SUBJECT:: Re: Whitman Op-ed TO:Bruce Yandle (Bruce Yandle READ: UNKNOWN TEXT: Dr. Yandle, The Whitman op-ed is good, I wish she had defended us a little more on the climate statement, but oh well.

Bruce Yandle 06/30/2003 09:02:04 AM

Record Type: Record

To: Kameran L. Onley/CEQ/EOP@EOP

CC:

Subject: Re: Whitman Op-ed

Thank you for sending this, Kam. A powerful statement from a voice that

a chance of being heard in the green camp.

I hope all is well with you.

We are on our way from Bozeman to Clemson.

Life in Casper is good!

```
---- Original Message ----
 From:
 To: 1
 Sent: Monday, June 30, 2003 8:20 AM
 Subject: Whitman Op-ed
 >
 >
 > Greens Just Keep Singing the Blues
      Op-ed By Christine Todd Whitman
      Washington Post, June 28, 2003
      If anyone doubts that the tone of the debate over environmental
 policy in
 > this city is in serious need of improvement, he or she need only look
 at the
 > reaction to the release this week of the Environmental Protection
 > first-ever "Draft Report on the Environment."
      This report, the product of more than two years' work collaborating
 with more
 > than two dozen federal departments and agencies and state and
 private-sector
 > contributors, is designed to help answer a question I posed at my
 confirmation
 > hearing 21/2 years ago: Are America's environmental policies making
 our air
 > cleaner, its water purer and its land better protected -- or not?
      But judging by the reaction of some professional environmentalists
- to our
 > report, you'd think we had tried to pass off "The Skeptical
 Environmentalist" as
 > "Silent Spring."
     To some, it doesn't seem to matter that our report uses sound,
 sophisticated
 > scientific data to measure how far we've come and to suggest where we
 still have
 > room to improve. The report looks at the actual health of our
 environment and
 > helps us measure where our 30 years of effort have made a positive
 difference
 > and where they have not met our expectations.
      Some have condemned the report because it doesn't discuss global
 climate
 > change. It doesn't, but the report does include dozens of
 science-based
 > environmental indicators for air, water and land. The report shows us
 where we
 > are, so we have a better idea of what we must do to get where we want to
 be.
      For too long the environmental debate has centered on counting the
  > new laws we've passed and new regulations we've written, on tallying up
```

how much

- $\mbox{\ensuremath{\Large{}^{\circ}}}$ in fines, fees and penalties we've levied on polluters. Focusing on those
- > aspects -- measuring process instead of progress -- may be easier, but it has
- > made it difficult to adapt environmental policymaking to changing times and
- > challenges.
- > When the environmental debate turns on questions of process, attempts at
- > innovation have a hard time getting out of the starting gate. An attempt to
- > modernize a law is cast as an effort to undermine it. A good-faith effort to try
- > new methods of achieving better results is characterized as a retreat from
- > existing commitments.
- $>\,\,$ That is why I was disappointed that so many of those people who make

their

- > living as Washington environmentalists immediately and instinctively attacked
- > our report. Because it contradicts their public stance that the state of our
- > environment, without exception, is bad and getting worse, they apparently

found

- > it important to shoot the messenger before they could even digest the message.
- > But facts are stubborn things, as John Adams said, and the simple fact is
- > that the health of America's environment has improved considerably over the past
- > several decades. The modern, bipartisan commitment to environmental protection
- > -- inaugurated by a Republican president, Richard Nixon, 33 years ago and
- > sustained by six presidents from both parties ever since -- has produced real,
- about the
- > environment.
 > I wonder, sometimes, why the environmentalists find it so hard to
 admit that

> measurable results. That news should hearten all those who care

- > they really have made a difference. As our report relates, by many measures our
- > air is cleaner, our drinking water purer and our land better protected than it
- > was -- and those in the environmental movement can take some pride in that.
- > But our report also shows that some real challenges remain, including
- > sometimes unhealthy air in large parts of the country, pollution in thousands of
- > waterways and an increasing volume of waste materials. These are challenges on
- > which we should all be working together.
- > Unfortunately for the tenor of the public debate over environmental policy,

```
> too many in the environmental lobby want to hear only the bad news --
they see
> only evil, hear only evil and speak only evil. That is why we are
 treated to
 > bizarre spectacles such as what happened this spring when the Natural
 Resources
 > Defense Council praised a Bush administration proposal to limit
 emissions from
 > diesel engines on tractors, bulldozers and other off-road vehicles.
 "Heresy,"
 > cried their allies, who were appalled at the thought that any
 environmental
 > group would actually support something the Bush administration was doing.
      One of the lessons I learned during my 29 months at the EPA is that
 until the
 > tone of the debate over environmental policy changes, the next
 generation of
 > environmental progress will be made more difficult than it should
 be. If
 > environmental groups are truly interested in progress, not politics, they
 > let the facts speak for themselves and look for ways to support efforts
 to get
 > to a cleaner environment.
      Our "Draft Report on the Environment" does just that by giving us a
 factual,
 > nonpolitical look at where we are and where we need to go.
      Thoughtful criticism is always welcome and productive. Mindless
 attacks are
 > not.
 > The writer ended her tenure as administrator of the Environmental
 Protection
 > Agency yesterday
```