```
RECORD TYPE: FEDERAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR:Khary I. Cauthen ( CN=Khary I. Cauthen/OU=CEQ/O=EOP [ CEQ ] )

CREATION DATE/TIME:19-JUN-2003 07:54:16.00

SUBJECT:: Come on....Let's just say that this is typical.....

TO:Dana M. Perino ( CN=Dana M. Perino/OU=CEQ/O=EOP@EOP [ CEQ ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO:Elizabeth A. Stolpe ( CN=Elizabeth A. Stolpe/OU=CEQ/O=EOP@EOP [ CEQ ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TO:harrison.lisa@epa.gov @ inet ( harrison.lisa@epa.gov @ inet [ UNKNOWN ] )

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:
NY Times lies!!! There's no such person as the EPA Official who gave the report!!
```

White House Edits Sections Of EPA Report, Leaving Out Climate Change Data.ÿ The New York Times (6/19, Revkin, Seelye) reports, "The Environmental Protection Agency is preparing to publish a draft report next week on the state of the environment, but after editing by the White House, a long section describing risks from rising global temperatures has been whittled to a few noncommittal paragraphs. ÿ The report, commissioned in 2001 by the agency's administrator, Christie Whitman, was intended to provide the first comprehensive review of what is known about various environmental problems, where gaps in understanding exist and how to fill them.y Agency officials said it was tentatively scheduled to be released early next week, before Mrs. Whitman steps down on June 27, ending a troubled time in office that often put her at odds with President Bush.ÿ Drafts of the climate section, with changes sought by the White House, were given to The New York Times yesterday by a former E.P.A. official, along with earlier drafts and an internal memorandum in which some officials protested the changes."ÿ The Times adds, "Among the deletions were conclusions about the likely human contribution to warming from a 2001 report on climate by the National Research Council that the White House had commissioned and that President Bush had endorsed in speeches that year.ÿ White House officials also deleted a reference to a 1999 study showing that global temperatures had risen sharply in the previous decade compared with the last 1,000 years.ÿ In its place, administration officials added a reference to a new study, partly financed by the American Petroleum Institute, questioning that conclusion."