

Samuel A. Thernstrom 06/10/2002 09:57:30 AM

Record Type:

Record

To:

Phil Cooney/CEQ/EOP@EOP

cc:

Subject: USCAR: Whitman letter in today's WSJ

## To the Editor:

Your June 5, 2002 editorial, "More Hot Air on Kyoto," is an interesting combination of fact and fiction. You're on solid ground in your discussion of some of the reasons that the Administration opposes the Kyoto Protocol; our policy has been clear and consistent. The U.S. will not ratify the Kyoto Protocol because its targets are arbitrary and not based on sound science, because it would have a devastating effect on the American economy, and because it requires no action from the vast majority of the world's nations.

But you slip into the realm of fiction when you attempts to drive a wedge between EPA and the rest of the administration on climate policy. On climate change, there is only one Administration policy, which received the unanimous support of the president's cabinet and senior advisors. Any implication that there is a lack of unity on the issue within the administration, or a lack of steadiness in the policy, is simply not true.

The administration's Climate Action Report as a whole does nothing to undercut the president's policy. It highlights the significant remaining uncertainties in the science of global climate change, particularly regarding any future effects, and the need for thoughtful actions – including more than 67 ongoing programs detailed in the report – that will reduce emissions of greenhouse gases without jeopardizing economic growth.

On February 14, 2002 President Bush announced his climate change policy, noting that global climate change presents a set of challenges different from those involved in cleaning up air pollution, and that it requires a different strategy. He pointed out that the science is far more complex, the answers less certain, and the technology less developed. He called for a flexible approach that will promote and adjust to new information and new technology. And he announced that the U.S. will cut greenhouse gas intensity – the amount we emit per unit of economic activity – by 18% over the next 10 years. This policy is appropriately calibrated to our state of knowledge of climate change science, current energy and sequestration technologies, and the need to preserve a strong American economy.

Christie Whitman Administrator Environmental Protection Agency

Washington