

## 2.0 Other Involved Agencies

The SAMP will be developed in close coordination with other agencies, including the US Fish and Wildlife Service, California Department of Fish and Game, and US Environmental Protection Agency, National Marine Fisheries Service, Regional Water Quality Control Board, and California Coastal Commission, as necessary. To the extent feasible the SAMP may address water quality issues on a programmatic basis.

The California Department of Fish and Game (Department) will participate in the SAMP process by formulating a Master Streambed Alteration Agreement under section 1600 of the Fish and Game Code for development in the study area that affects drainages subject to the Department's jurisdiction.

The document will be a joint state and federal document. The California Department of Fish and Game will prepare an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act for the actions described in the SAMP. A separate Notice of Preparation will be prepared and published by the Department. The Corps and the Department will work cooperatively to prepare a joint EIS/EIR document, and to coordinate the public noticing and hearing processes under state and federal laws.

## 3.0 EIS Alternatives

The Corps of Engineers has identified the following alternatives to be addressed in the EIS. Other alternatives or variations of alternatives may be studied based on input during public scoping and the results of the EIS studies.

1. No Project Alternative—No land development and no SAMP directly impacting "waters of the United States". Current land uses, including agriculture operations and resource extraction on Rancho Mission Viejo, would continue indefinitely.

2. No SAMP Alternative—Land development according to existing or future zoning without a SAMP and programmatic 404 permit. Under this alternative, development would proceed in accordance with existing agricultural zoning or future zoning. Projects subject to the requirements of section 404 of the Clean Water Act and Section 1600 of the Fish and Game Code would be permitted on an individual basis. No comprehensive and coordinated approach to aquatic resource protection would occur.

3. SAMP Alternative based the OCP-2000. A watershed-wide aquatic

resource reserve program would be developed to preserve, enhance, and restore aquatic resources. Land uses projected in Orange County Projections-2000 for Rancho Mission Viejo would be implemented. A programmatic section 404 permit would be issued for specific projects and permitting criteria for future projects would be established pursuant to the requirements of the section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

4. SAMP Alternative based on Other Land Use Scenarios. A watershed-wide aquatic resource reserve program would be developed to preserve, enhance, and restore aquatic resources. One or more land use development plan would be developed under this alternative consistent with the goals and objectives of the SAMP. Programmatic section 404 permits would be issued for specific projects, and permitting criteria for future projects would be established.

The EIS would also address methods and institutional arrangements for aquatic resource reserve management.

## 4.0 Key Environmental Issues

The EIS will address impacts associated with future land development in the watersheds and actions to protect aquatic resources, as identified in the SAMP. They key environmental impacts to be addressed in the EIS are listed below:

- *Aquatic resources*—potential effects of proposed land use alternatives on the functional integrity and extent of aquatic resources due to altered biological, hydrological, and water quality conditions in the study area. Indirect impacts of land development and human activities in close proximity to aquatic resources will also be addressed.

- *Water quality*—potential effects on the quality of surface and ground water due to construction activities in the watersheds, and due to urban stormwater runoff associated with future development.

- *Threatened and endangered species*—potential adverse impacts on listed aquatic dependent species, including, but not limited to, arroyo toad, San Diego fairy shrimp, and least Bell's vireo. A Section 7 endangered species consultation will be conducted with the US Fish and Wildlife Service for potential impacts to listed species and designated critical habitat for the least Bell's vireo and arroyo toad. Potential impacts on the endangered California gnatcatcher habitat will be addressed.

- *Cultural Resources*—potential impacts on archaeological, ethnographic, paleontologic, and historic resources. The Corps of

Engineers will comply with the consultation requirements under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

## 5.0 Schedule

A Draft EIS is expected to be issued for public review in Spring 2002.

Dated: April 9, 2001.

**John P. Carroll,**

*Colonel, Corps of Engineers, District Engineer.*

[FR Doc. 01-9659 Filed 4-18-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-KF-M

## UNIFORMED SERVICES UNIVERSITY OF THE HEALTH SCIENCES

### Sunshine Act Meeting

#### AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING:

Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences

**TIME AND DATE:** 8 a.m. to 4 p.m., May 18, 2001

**PLACE:** Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, Board of Regents Conference Room (D3001), 4301 Jones Bridge Road, Bethesda, MD 20814-4799

**STATUS:** Open—under "Government in the Sunshine Act" (5 U.S.C. 552b(e)(3))

#### MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

8:30 a.m. Meeting—Board of Regents

- (1) Approval of Minutes—February 6, 2001
- (2) Faculty Matters
- (3) Departmental Reports
- (4) Financial Report
- (5) Report—President, USUHS
- (6) Report—Dean, School of Medicine
- (7) Report—Dean, Graduate School of Nursing
- (8) Comments—Chairman, Board of Regents
- (9) New Business

#### CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Mr. Bobby D. Anderson, Executive Secretary, Board of Regents, (301) 295-3116.

Dated: April 16, 2001.

**Linda Bynum,**

*OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 01-9826 Filed 4-17-01; 10:33 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-10-M

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

### Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests

**AGENCY:** Department of Education.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed information collection requests.

**SUMMARY:** The Leader, Regulatory Information Management, Office of the

Chief Information Officer, invites comments on the proposed information collection requests as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** An emergency review has been requested in accordance with the Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 3507 (j)), since public harm is reasonably likely to result if normal clearance procedures are followed. Approval by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has been requested by April 25, 2001. A regular clearance process is also beginning. Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before June 18, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments regarding the emergency review should be addressed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Lauren Wittenberg, Desk Officer: Department of Education, Office of Management and Budget; 725 17th Street, NW., Room 10235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503 or should be electronically mailed to the internet address Lauren.Wittenberg@omb.eop.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 35) requires that the Director of OMB provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Leader, Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, publishes this notice containing proposed information collection requests at the beginning of the Departmental review of the information collection. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g., new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. ED invites public comment. The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner;

(3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on respondents, including through the use of information technology.

Dated: April 13, 2001.

**John D. Tressler,**

*Leader, Regulatory Information Management, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

#### **Office of Elementary and Secondary Education**

*Type of Review:* New.

*Title:* Native American School Renovation and Repair Grant Application.

*Abstract:* The Department will use the information collected through this application to award grants to approximately 150 local educational agencies that serve high proportions of children living on Indian lands. The information will also be used to describe to the Congress and the public how these grants are being used.

*Additional Information:* The recently enacted Department of Education Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2001 contains authorization for school renovation and repair grants to local educational agencies (LEAs) that are eligible for Impact Aid Basic Support Payments and whose enrollments are comprised of at least 50 percent children who live on Indian lands. The Department must award grantees in time to undertake their school renovation and repair projects in July and August. Failure to make awards on this schedule will likely cause substantial harm to many eligible LEAs since they may be forced to delay their school renovation projects until the following year.

*Frequency:* Annually.

*Affected Public:* State, Local, or Tribal Gov't, SEAs or LEAs.

*Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden:* Responses: 150. Burden Hours: 300.

Requests for copies of the proposed information collection request should be addressed to Vivian Reese, Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., Room 4050, Regional Office Building 3, Washington, DC 20202-4651, or should be electronically mailed to the internet address OCIO\_IMG\_Issues@ed.gov, or should be faxed to 202-708-9346.

Comments regarding burden and/or the collection activity requirements, contact Kathy Axt at her internet address Kathy.Axt@ed.gov. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal

Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339.

[FR Doc. 01-9671 Filed 4-18-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4000-01-U**

## **DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

### **Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests**

**AGENCY:** Department of Education.

**SUMMARY:** The Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, invites comments on the proposed information collection requests as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before June 18, 2001.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment. The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology.