

Virginia Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps								
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 81%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 72%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported on page 58 of its FFY 2006 APR that it timely corrected 42 of 46 findings of noncompliance identified during FFY 2005 (on 7/29/05) under this indicator. The State did not report on any enforcement or program-specific follow-up activities for the four outstanding FFY 2005 findings.</p>	<p>The State must report, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, on the correction of the remaining four FFY 2005 findings of noncompliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1).</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>								
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 99%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 98.425%.</p>	<p>The State's actual target data for provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments are at or greater than 95%. There is no expectation that an increase in that percentage is necessary. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and expects that the State is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams are making service setting decisions on an individualized basis and in compliance with 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and 303.344(d)(1)(ii).</p>								
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported progress data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 1284 1419 1495"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 1284 1062 1458">06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data</th> <th data-bbox="1062 1284 1182 1458">Social Emotional</th> <th data-bbox="1182 1284 1302 1458">Knowledge & Skills</th> <th data-bbox="1302 1284 1419 1458">Appropriate Behavior</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1458 1062 1495">a. % of infant & toddlers who did not</td> <td data-bbox="1062 1458 1182 1495">4.65%</td> <td data-bbox="1182 1458 1302 1495">0%</td> <td data-bbox="1302 1458 1419 1495">0%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	a. % of infant & toddlers who did not	4.65%	0%	0%	<p>The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must provide progress data with the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009; and baseline data and targets with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior							
a. % of infant & toddlers who did not	4.65%	0%	0%							

Virginia Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues				OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>early language/ communication); and C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. [Results Indicator]</p>	improve functioning.				
	b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	11.63%	18.6%	25.58%	
	c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	11.63%	11.63%	11.63%	
	d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	37.21%	27.91%	27.91%	
	e. % of infant & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	34.88%	41.86%	34.88%	
	The State provided improvement activities for this indicator covering the remaining years of the SPP.				
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family: A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn. [Results Indicator]</p>	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.				OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance for Indicator 4A and 4B, and looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance for Indicators 4A, 4B and 4C in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
	The State's reported data for this indicator are:				
		FFY 2005 Data	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2006 Target	
	A. Know their rights.	65.8%	67.2%	65.8%	
	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.	61.9%	64.7%	61.9%	
	C. Help their children develop and learn.	77.6%	77.5%	77.6%	
	These data represent progress for Indicator 4A and Indicator 4B, and slippage for Indicator 4C.				
	The State met its FFY 2006 targets for Indicator 4A and Indicator 4B, and did not meet its FFY 2005 target for Indicator 4C.				

Virginia Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are .53%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of .51%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of .65%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 1.78%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 1.72%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 2.05%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 96%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that 60 of 60 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 (on 11/4/05) related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a).</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and</p>	<p>The State revised improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 96%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 86%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>Although the State reported in its FFY 2006 APR that it did not make any findings of noncompliance related to this indicator in FFY 2005, it had</p>	<p>The State must confirm, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, whether it identified any findings in FFY 2005 with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b) (4) and 303.344(h), and if so, the status of correction of those findings. In addition, the State must report in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, on the</p>

Virginia Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>services; [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>reported in its FFY 2005 APR that 18 of 40 local systems were in noncompliance with the requirements of this indicator in FFY 2005 and that the one-year timeline for correction for these 18 systems would not elapse until FFY 2007 because the findings were not made until February 2007. The State provided updated data that 16 of 22 FFY 2006 findings of noncompliance related to this indicator had been corrected as of February 1, 2008. It is unclear to OSEP whether 18 or 22 findings were made in FFY 2006 and the number corrected for FFY 2005 and FFY 2006.</p>	<p>correction of the remaining six findings from FFY 2006 under this indicator.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b) (4) and 303.344(h).</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 93%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 89%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>Although the State reported under Indicator 9 of its FFY 2006 APR that it did not make any findings of noncompliance related to this indicator in FFY 2005, it had reported in its FFY 2005 APR that 12 of 40 local systems were in noncompliance with the requirements of this indicator in FFY 2005 and that the one-year timeline for correction for these 12 systems would not elapse until FFY 2007 because the findings were not made until February 2007. The State provided updated data that eight of its 12 FFY 2006 findings of noncompliance related to this indicator had been corrected as of February 1, 2008.</p>	<p>The State must report, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, on the correction of the remaining four findings of noncompliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) and confirm whether the correction was timely by specifying when the finding was made and when the noncompliance was corrected.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 95%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 89%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>Although the State reported under Indicator 9 of its FFY 2006 APR that it did not make any findings of noncompliance related to this indicator in FFY 2005, it had reported in its FFY 2005 APR that 21 of 40 local systems were in noncompliance with the requirements of this indicator in FFY</p>	<p>The State must report, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, on the correction of the remaining four findings of noncompliance with the timely transition conference in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)), and confirm whether the correction was timely by specifying when the finding was made when the noncompliance was corrected.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks</p>

Virginia Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
[Compliance Indicator]	2005 and that the one-year timeline for correction for these 21 systems would not elapse until FFY 2007 because the findings were not made until February 2007. The State provided updated data that 17 of 21 FFY 2006 findings of noncompliance related to this indicator had been corrected as of February 1, 2008.	forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)).
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 96%, based on the State's reporting timely correction of 102 of 106 FFY 2005 findings of noncompliance, which represent correction of 60 of 60 findings under Indicator 7 and 42 of 46 findings under Indicator 1.</p> <p>These data represent progress from FFY 2005 data of 75%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State did not report on any program-specific follow-up activities related to the four uncorrected findings of noncompliance under Indicator 1.</p> <p>The State provided updated data that 102 of its 106 FFY 2006 findings of noncompliance that were identified by the lead agency in February 2007 had been corrected as of February 1, 2008.</p>	<p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the State has corrected the remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2005.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that the State timely corrected noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 (2006-2007) under this indicator in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data are based on two complaints. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 0%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely complaint resolution requirements in 34 CFR §§303.510 through 303.512.</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests during the FFY 2006 reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>

Virginia Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>	<p>This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted the Part B due process procedures.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that no mediations were held during the FFY 2006 reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 95.5%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the Part C's data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.</p>