

Utah Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																								
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 95%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 98%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>																								
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 78.35%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 77.9%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 76%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>																								
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported progress data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 881 1419 1498"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 881 1062 1057">06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data</th> <th data-bbox="1062 881 1182 1057">Social Emotional</th> <th data-bbox="1182 881 1302 1057">Knowledge & Skills</th> <th data-bbox="1302 881 1419 1057">Appropriate Behavior</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1057 1062 1125">a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.</td> <td data-bbox="1062 1057 1182 1125">13%</td> <td data-bbox="1182 1057 1302 1125">18%</td> <td data-bbox="1302 1057 1419 1125">13%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1125 1062 1260">b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td data-bbox="1062 1125 1182 1260">33%</td> <td data-bbox="1182 1125 1302 1260">42%</td> <td data-bbox="1302 1125 1419 1260">40%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1260 1062 1360">c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.</td> <td data-bbox="1062 1260 1182 1360">19%</td> <td data-bbox="1182 1260 1302 1360">23%</td> <td data-bbox="1302 1260 1419 1360">25%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1360 1062 1461">d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td data-bbox="1062 1360 1182 1461">19%</td> <td data-bbox="1182 1360 1302 1461">13%</td> <td data-bbox="1302 1360 1419 1461">13%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1461 1062 1498">e. % of infant & toddlers who</td> <td data-bbox="1062 1461 1182 1498">17%</td> <td data-bbox="1182 1461 1302 1498">5%</td> <td data-bbox="1302 1461 1419 1498">9%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	13%	18%	13%	b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	33%	42%	40%	c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	19%	23%	25%	d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	19%	13%	13%	e. % of infant & toddlers who	17%	5%	9%	<p>The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must provide progress data with the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, and baseline data and targets with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior																							
a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	13%	18%	13%																							
b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	33%	42%	40%																							
c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	19%	23%	25%																							
d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	19%	13%	13%																							
e. % of infant & toddlers who	17%	5%	9%																							

Utah Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																
	<table border="1" data-bbox="548 233 1419 302"> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 233 1062 302">maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td data-bbox="1062 233 1180 302"></td> <td data-bbox="1180 233 1297 302"></td> <td data-bbox="1297 233 1419 302"></td> </tr> </table> <p data-bbox="548 321 1419 386">The State provided improvement activities for this indicator covering the remaining years of the SPP.</p>	maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.																
maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.																		
<p data-bbox="92 423 520 553">4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p data-bbox="92 573 520 735">A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p data-bbox="92 755 310 787">[Results Indicator]</p>	<p data-bbox="548 423 1094 456">The State's reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 467 1419 784"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 467 1062 586"></th> <th data-bbox="1062 467 1180 586">FFY 2005 Data</th> <th data-bbox="1180 467 1297 586">FFY 2006 Data</th> <th data-bbox="1297 467 1419 586">FFY 2006 Target</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 586 1062 626">A. Know their rights.</td> <td data-bbox="1062 586 1180 626">74%</td> <td data-bbox="1180 586 1297 626">76%</td> <td data-bbox="1297 586 1419 626">75%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 626 1062 703">B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.</td> <td data-bbox="1062 626 1180 703">71%</td> <td data-bbox="1180 626 1297 703">73%</td> <td data-bbox="1297 626 1419 703">72%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 703 1062 784">C. Help their children develop and learn.</td> <td data-bbox="1062 703 1180 784">79%</td> <td data-bbox="1180 703 1297 784">83%</td> <td data-bbox="1297 703 1419 784">79.5%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p data-bbox="548 805 1192 837">These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data.</p> <p data-bbox="548 854 968 886">The State met its FFY 2006 targets.</p>		FFY 2005 Data	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2006 Target	A. Know their rights.	74%	76%	75%	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.	71%	73%	72%	C. Help their children develop and learn.	79%	83%	79.5%	<p data-bbox="1499 423 1955 488">OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
	FFY 2005 Data	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2006 Target															
A. Know their rights.	74%	76%	75%															
B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.	71%	73%	72%															
C. Help their children develop and learn.	79%	83%	79.5%															
<p data-bbox="92 924 499 989">5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p data-bbox="92 1008 432 1118">A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data.</p> <p data-bbox="92 1138 310 1170">[Results Indicator]</p>	<p data-bbox="548 924 1444 989">The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p data-bbox="548 1008 1444 1073">The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 0.72%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 0.66%.</p> <p data-bbox="548 1092 1171 1125">The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 0.80%.</p>	<p data-bbox="1499 924 2028 1021">OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>																
<p data-bbox="92 1203 499 1268">6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p data-bbox="92 1287 432 1398">A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data.</p> <p data-bbox="92 1417 310 1450">[Results Indicator]</p>	<p data-bbox="548 1203 1444 1268">The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p data-bbox="548 1287 1444 1352">The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 1.84%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 1.9%.</p> <p data-bbox="548 1372 1171 1404">The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 1.88%.</p>	<p data-bbox="1499 1203 2028 1300">OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>																

Utah Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 98%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 96.6%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that two of two findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342 was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342, including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 90%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 97%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p>	<p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to demonstrate in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the State is in compliance with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 98%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 93%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p> <p>The State reported that it has adopted an opt-out policy under OSEP's 2004 <i>Letter to Elder</i> and has submitted the policy to OSEP. OSEP</p>

Utah Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		will review the State's policy and respond to it in a separate document.
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 92%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 86%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that two of three findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner and that the remaining finding was corrected by August 2007.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)) was corrected.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 89%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 94%. The State provided updated data that 100% of the findings were corrected by August 2007.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that the State timely corrected noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR (2006-2007) under this indicator in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501.</p> <p>In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, 8B and 8C the State must specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data are based on four complaints.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely complaint resolution requirements in 34 CFR §§303.510 through 303.512.</p>

Utah Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
respect to a particular complaint. [Compliance Indicator]	The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	
11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline. [Compliance Indicator]	The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator]	Not applicable.	This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted the Part B due process procedures.
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]	The State reported that the one mediation held during the reporting period resulted in a mediation agreement. The State reported fewer than ten mediations held in FFY 2006. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which ten or more mediations were held.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with IDEA sections 616, 618 and 642 and 34 CFR §§ 76.720 and 303.540.