Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues			OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this 2005 data were 99.43%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100% The State reported that the one finding of n 2005 related to this indicator was corrected	indicator . oncomplia	are 100%.	The FFY	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §\$303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was corrected in a timely manner. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §\$303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1).
2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children. [Results Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 99.04%. The State's data reflect a high level of performance for this indicator. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 86%.			The State's actual target data for provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments are at or greater than 95%. There is no expectation that an increase in that percentage is necessary. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and expects that the State is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams are making service setting decisions on an individualized basis and in compliance with 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and 303.344(d)(1)(ii).	
3. Percent of infants and toddlers	The State's FFY 2006 reported progress data for this indicator are:				The State reported the required progress data
with IFSPs who demonstrate improved: A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships); B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. [Results Indicator; New]	06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	and improvement activities. The State must provide progress data with the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, and baseline data and targets with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.
	a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	0%	0%	0%	
	b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	62.5%	62.5%	62.5%	

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues				OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	0%	0%	0%	
	d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	0%	0%	0%	
	e. % of infant & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	3%	3%	3%	
	The State provided improvement activities SPP.	for the rer	naining ye	ars of the	
4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's reported data for this indicator are:			The State's data collection method for this indicator was not approved. If the State used sampling to collect data for this indicator, it is important that the State have an approved	
A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn. [Results Indicator; New]		FFY 2005 Data	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2006 Target	sampling plan to ensure that data are valid and reliable. If the State intends to collect data for this indicator through sampling, it must submit its sampling methodology for this
	A. Know their rights.	76%	73.8%	76%	indicator as soon as possible in order to ensure that its FFY 2007 data, due to OSEP on February 1, 2009, will be valid and reliable.
	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.	73%	70.5%	73%	If the State does not intend to sample, but rather gather census data, the State must inform OSEP and revise its SPP accordingly. OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
	C. Help their children develop and learn.	86%	84%	86%	
	OSEP was unable to determine whether there was progress or slippage because the State reestablished its baseline data using a combination of FFY 2005 and FFY 2006 data.				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	The State did not meet its FFY 2006 targets	S.			

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.	OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	
A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are .71%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of .64%.		
B. National data.	The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of .75%.		
[Results Indicator]			
6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.	OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	
A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 1.74%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 1.67%.		
B. National data.	The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 1.75%.		
[Results Indicator]			
7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 61.36%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 79.8%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that seven of seven findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342 was corrected in a timely manner. The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342, including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.	
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 50%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 86%.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) was	

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
other appropriate community services by their third birthday	The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	corrected in a timely manner.	
including: A. IFSPs with transition steps and services; [Compliance Indicator]	The State reported that four of four findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.	
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).	
8. Percent of all children exiting	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These	OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR	
Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:	data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	response table required the State to include the actual numbers used in the calculation for this indicator in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008. The State provided the actual numbers it used in the calculation of FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator.	
C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B. [Compliance Indicator]		OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)).	

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in timely correcting noncompliance identified under this indicator in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501, and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it continues to be in compliance with these requirements. In addition, in responding to Indicators 7 and 8A, the State must specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.
10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint. [Compliance Indicator]	The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline. [Compliance Indicator]	The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator]	The State reported that no resolutions sessions were held during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.[Results Indicator]	The State reported that no mediations were held during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.