U.S. Department of State Overseas Buildings Operations

INDUSTRY ADVISORY PANEL















I, Charles E. Williams, certify that this is the true and correct version of the Minutes of the July 17, 2007 Meeting of the Industry Advisory Panel.

Signed:

Charles E. Williams

Director/Chief Operating Officer Overseas Buildings Operations

July 17, 2007

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF STATE

OVERSEAS BUILDINGS OPERATIONS

+ + + + +

INDUSTRY ADVISORY PANEL

+ + + + +

July 17, 2007 9:30 a.m.

Department of State 2201 C Street, N.W. Room 1107 Washington, D.C.

CHAIR:

GENERAL CHARLES E. WILLIAMS Director/Chief Operating Officer Overseas Buildings Operations

INDUSTRY ADVISORY PANEL MEMBERS:

JOEL ZINGESER, AGC
EDWARD J. DENTON, AIA
BILL FLEMMING, DBIA
NANCY ABER GOSHOW, AIA, WCOE
DARRYL K. HORNE, NDIA
MARVIN OEY, Ph.D., ASCE
JOHN D. PAWULAK, Sr., AEE
SUMAN SORG, FAIA, AIA
MATTHEW T. WALLACE, SAME

PARTICIPANTS:

ROBERT CASTRO
PHYLLIS PATTEN
JAY HICKS
STEPHEN SOMBER
PAUL ROWE
JOSEPH W. TOUSSAINT

PARTICIPANTS (cont.):

JÜRG HOCHULI JONATHAN BLYTH WILL COLSTON JOHN FENNER MICHAEL SPRAGUE ADELET KEGLEY ANDREA WALK ROB McKINNIE STEPHEN KLEIN KATHY BETHANY ROBERTO COQUIS BILL MINER SONG KELLER ELIZABETH SINES ALEXANDER KURIEN DAVE BARR MARCUS HEBERT SHIRLEY MILES ELI MADRID ROBERT BAGGAN BRIAN SCHMUECKER GEORGE GLAVIS JAMES WHITE MICHAEL CHRISTENSEN

I-N-D-E-X

AGENDA ITEM	PAGE
Introduction by Gen. Williams	4
Questions and Comments	25
Case Study on Janelia Farm Research Center Ashburn, Virginia John Pawulak	31
Questions and Comments	38
Facilities/Commissioning Jim White	43
Questions and Comments	48
Best Practices Presentations Intro - Joe Toussaint Darryl Horne Suman Sorg OBO - Will Colston	76 77 84 99
Questions and Comments	104
Cost Estimation Kathy Bethany	126
Questions and Comments	133
Cost Estimation William Flemming	137
Questions and Comments	147
Attendees' Comments	173

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2 (9:30 a.m.)

1

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Good morning. I'm going to take a couple of minutes, actually. We're supposed to start at 9:30, but we have a really compact agenda, so it looks like everyone's anxious to get on with it, so we're going to -- we're going to continue. of all, I would like to welcome everyone here today. Some of you might be here for the first time, some might be as a routine attendee. But whatever the capacity is, I want to welcome you. This is the 14th session that we've had as a panel. I think most of you who've been following the panel know that this panel has been rated as one of the best in Government. It has performed its mission per the charter, and I want to thank the panel for your assistance to me and the senior staff. We have obviously worked through every single approach that OBO has put forward during these past six and a half years. You have worked very, very hard with us to offer your advise and counsel around issues that we have dealt with, and for that I am deeply grateful, and I thank you on behalf of the Department for your support.

I think, also, that we can all agree, because you have witnessed, some of you, many of these

sessions. For my senior staff, it has been 14 of these for us. One of the requirements we have is that what we say and do, it is totally transparent to the That's one of the reasons we invite and encourage the public to come and listen. The panel are the participants; the public will listen and observe the process, and take away whatever you would like to take away from the standpoint of knowledge, understanding, or clarification. To that extent, then, we have a court reporter who records what we do here. So Tim Atkinson is back with us again -- hello, Tim -- who will be performing that duty for us. The minutes are finalized in a court reporter-type arrangement, and they are filed for whatever use we need them for.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

I must also say that one of the issues that we have constantly tried to put forth, not necessarily an issue, but to be very, very clear about transparency: Many of you who have been visitors have said this to the person at the end of your -- at the end of each session. You have concurred in the level of transparency. In fact, you have been surprised that we have been so transparent, and so we appreciate that as well.

We put in place, as you know, and I'm kind

time, and I see faces like Bill Brown and others who've been a visitor, who I know has been here at every -- 14 sessions as a visitor, so if no one else understands what I'm talking about, he and maybe Joel and some of the others understand this. But, we put in place, together, new ways to think, new ways to build. We'll talk a little bit more about that as the shifts and the environment, the work environment, changed. Now, I'm going to give a presentation this morning, as I do at each session. This presentation will get the panel up to date on where we are since our last meeting, and give you, the public, an opportunity to observe, as well, the progress that we update, what we have done since we last met.

2.

2.0

So, with that, I'll have more to say about our panel members. I will make some formal introductions after the presentation. We have some outgoing members that we're going to recognize, and we have also some new members that we are going to welcome to our panel. And I'll do that after the orientation presentation. So, with that, I'm going to give you the update, and give you an opportunity to see where we are at this moment. So, if you would roll with the first slide. This is the mandate that

has been given. It was put in place in a precursory way by Secretary Powell, and then, of course, it was finalized by Secretary Rice, around the notion of transformation diplomacy. We've found a very neat place, then, for the Overseas Building Operation, because we saw our role as the builder of the platform. And understand that the Overseas Building Operations handle only the design and construction; other aspects of the business, such as contracting and all the rest, is handled by other elements in the Department. So we have to delicately put in place new and improved diplomatic platforms to allow this transformation diplomacy to take place.

This next slide gives you some quick facts,

2.

2.0

This next slide gives you some quick facts, so that you'll have traction. 2001, we were opening one facility per year in United States Department of State, and at the end of 2006, we were opening 14, and, quite frankly, we have 16 or so forecast for this year. And we'll give you some progress, and you can be the judge as we give you the progress, as to how you think that might turn out. Our OMB has rated the capital security program -- that's the new construction program -- at 97% effective. We've had this rating for the last three or four years. It's among one of the highest scores in government. We

decided, six and a half years ago, to flatten the organization out, use a little dose of six-sigma for our organizational structure, and make it a true results-based operation. So, it did not operate like a typical Government entity, and this is sometimes confusing to someone who looks at us, because the truth of the matter is, we operate with all private sector rules, all of them. The only rules that are not are those that are standard around global kind of issues related to the Department, but our prosecution of this program is all private sector. We -performance, and that requires us to assort our workforce with different skill sets, and to that extent we employ a personal service contract arranging to acquire a lot of our people, so that we can get the right skill sets.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Accountability is big; managers manage, and individual managers are held accountable for his or her work, and I trust them. Discipline is another aspect of this, and then, of course, credibility: doing what you say, and saying what is correct.

Communication and transparency are the mantra.

Communication, as what we are attempting to do today; transparency is also, you will see as well, but the GAO and Inspector General and the OMB and others who

1	have sat through my monthly performance reviews, even
2	see a deeper level of transparency, because we hang it
3	all out so everyone can look at it. So, it should
4	never be a surprise to anyone about what OBO is about.
5	We have an acquisition process for acquiring an NEC.
6	It starts with a site selection. We buy a site from
7	the host country, or from some entity in the host
8	country, and this selection is done with anyone who
9	has an equity in a particular site, and a purchase is
10	made. We have certain rules around purchases. We
11	spend what we consider to be well within good business
12	levels. It's approved by the Congress, every single
13	purchase. Project planning and development is a
14	standard piece of work. We acquire a design-build
15	team, or, in some isolated cases, a designer and a
16	builder for a few of our projects. There's a
17	certification process. What does that mean? That
18	means that we have our design act together
19	sufficiently that we can certify to the Congress that
20	this particular building is going to perform as was
21	intended, per the funding. That goes through the
22	Department. That certification team is multi-
23	disciplined, and the Secretary releases that to the
24	Congress. So, the Congress has a certification on how
25	all of our work will be done.

On-site project supervision is next, and we select a team depending on the type of job, and put the skill sets in place. We just don't go and get every GS-14 that we can find and park them; we put out the people, out, with the appropriate skill-set.

2.

2.0

Notice to proceed is given to the design build team is next; that's a very orderly process, and sometimes this is phased in, but nevertheless, it is a notice to proceed.

Construction/substantial completion is an event for the construction process. It has no bearing on anyone else. It's a process between the execution team, and that includes our contractor and inspectors.

And then, there's an accreditation process.

Look at the dots that are being connected:

Certification first, accreditation on the completed end. This is a multi-discipline team comes out; no people who have touched the project, who will accredit that the building or buildings will function as we certify.

After that accreditation process, then there's an issuance of the certificate of occupancy, and that is the same as you would get in the private sector. Then, there is a formal turnover to the customer; in our case, it would be a post, and that is

a very careful set of documents from the project director -- That is the person on-site who has run the job -- and the facilities manager, who also works for OBO, but is assigned to post. It will say, "Here is the state of play. Here is the punch list." And, for 45 years, I've never seen anything that didn't have a punch list about it. I recall my helicopter days, when I was flying, that when any one of the Hueys -- I'm talking Vietnam now, because we have to center around the war -- had a problem, whether we were changing an engine, or changing one of the rotors, the first step in the process was to ensure that the mechanic and the maintenance officer would test-fly the helicopter. And, it would either have a punch list, or not have a punch list. In many cases, it did. They would come back, and make the necessary repairs, and then another test would be done, and then it was eventually released. I'm only saying this that the process is similar to everything else that we do. This facility manager, then, would have a list of warranties that would be dealt with, as a relationship with our contractor, and then, of course, any punch list items would be cleared, and those would be handled by our contractor.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road Annapolis, MD 21409

Then, after that formal turnover and those

(410) 974-0947

lists are in place, everybody signs up, then post would, or could, move in the next day, or the next month, or whenever they decided to move in. And then there are some punch list warranties that are managed external to that move-in, and then the contractor is finally released. That is the process for every single project OBO has touched. No deviation.

2.

2.0

Okay, we've been fortunate to have lead certification, very fortunate, because this group has worked very hard with us to get into the environmental and sustainability line, and I appreciate the good effort, and it's paid off, because we now have a green, or a lead-certified new piece of construction in Sofia, Bulgaria. And we're looking at a lot of different ways to move that forward.

Now, talk is cheap. I understand that. But we have completed 47 new embassy compounds -- that's not just one building, but multiple buildings -- over the last six and a half years. Here are they; you may go visit them and touch them. 47 are complete. We have -- it's about taking people out of harm's way. That's the whole purpose of this. And, to date, we have taken 12,566 Americans out of harm's way. Well, I would say, employees of the Embassy out of harm's way. And also, at the end of this year, we are

forecasting that number to be close to 16,000. That's what the resultant has been.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Now, this is what's on our plate. There's another 34 new embassy compounds -- that's what NEC is about -- and annexes under design and construction as we speak. That's another \$3.2 billion worth of work, versus the \$4.5 on the front end that I talked about. So, if you do the quick math of a 34 and a 47, you can see we're at 81 new Embassies and Consulates. rest of it, on the plate -- we do more than just new compounds, and I think you know that, but the plate is large. In our long-range plan, which is one of our strategic documents, we have another 76 of these 81 types in the plan, to go for the next six years. Of course, part of our other responsibility is to do property management around the world, and we have almost 18,000 properties that we must adjudicate leases and other related matters, as far as project management is concerned.

These are the new facilities that were ordered in 2006. They are currently under construction except one, and that is Djibouti, and there are some legal issues ongoing with Djibouti -- and this happens, nothing unusual about it -- it happens all the time. I would imagine we had one or

two on the Springfield interchange that most of you can relate to, so nothing is unusual about that.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

New facilities planned in FY '07 are listed here. All are teed up to roll this fiscal year, with the exception of Harare. And you read papers as well as I do; we have some issues, our country has some issues there, and we'll work through it, and that one will roll soon, but it won't make it this fiscal year. Everybody who needs to be informed on that has been informed.

New ways to think, new ways to build. mentioned that we rolled this out after five years. The panel members who have been here a year and a half, and some of the early ones who are coming back, know that we worked painlessly through this whole process. You worked with me to help get this one right, and we really think this is a model, in particular if you touch in government and civil. Ιt was necessary, because of the shifts in the world, that we change the way that we were doing business, and all of this was about leveling the playing field. I'm not going to go through all of these, but I know many of you have them, or you can go on the web and get them, or you can go to ENR's web and get them, you can go to any number of places and get these, but

there's some 20 items here that we talked about. You see a lot about risk allocation. You see things about specialty contractors. Now, I understand that that's a little bit of a red herring, because we're opening the field, but our business has to be open to all parts of the participating community, and that's the reason for that. I knew it was sensitive in charge when I put it in place, but we have to do this fair. We got value engineering now on the front end of the process, and et cetera, et cetera. And you can see there, number 14, we increased emphasis on smart, energy-efficient building. That's where the green bill came out. And, of course, you see all the rest.

2.

2.0

Now, I want to talk and show you now -- I've talked about 47 complete, and 34 that are under construction. Again, I want you to see what's physically around the world. So this next one kind of introduces what we're building. When we say an NEC, we're not talking about one building. We're not talking about an Embassy. We're talking about a compound, generally on ten acres of property, and generally property that has been used for any adverse purpose. We build on no ammo dumps, or training sites, or any of those types of things. They're offered to us, obviously, but it causes us to go out

further, and that's a little red herring as well, 2. because we can't do stuff on main street and provide the setback in security. We can't build next door at the police station, although it's downtown, because it's been used as a police station. So, we have to go find property that's suitable to do what we need to do. And sometimes, everybody doesn't quite understand that, and they think that OBO is doing something unique.

2.0

Okay. The menu on the left kind of tells you what we put on this site, and some of it is -I'll just leave it, you can read it. Now, starting with this first one, is Dohar, in Qatar. You can see the date. Our collage here of five buildings, completed in 2002. This next one is another collage of five completed in 2003. Situated on this chart is another collage, completed in 2004.

On the next slide, starting with Abidjan, up in the -- it's on the west coast of Africa, and in the upper left-hand corner, in Cape Town, on the lower right, completed in 2005.

Looking at the next one, Luanda, in the west, little bit inward, middle part of Africa, and Tbilisi, Georgia, down in the right hand corner, six of them, 2005. There happened to be six in 2005.

And then Tirana, in Albania, 2006, and you see some side photographs picking up things like some office space, some of the features in the building, I just want you to take note and kind of get a feel for what you would see inside.

2.

2.0

Kabul, in Afghanistan, you see there's a rec center, a pool, and a kitchen, as well.

Then, in Conakry, Guinea, sort of a showcase in Conakry to demonstrate the amount of light that we allow in the buildings, and of course, you see some of the interior. But more importantly, the exterior of the building blends in nicely with the local surroundings. It's a beautiful thing for us; it's a wonderful thing for the host country.

This is Dushanbe in Tajikistan. Again, you can see the building itself. The trees are beginning to mature now; they've been after this now for about a year. So, this is better.

Astana, in Kazakhstan, this is the second Stans, as we refer to them. And you can see the public diplomacy area with some 75 seats. This is where the public would come in. It's outfitted with all the latest and greatest. It has an interpreter booth, just like Tim is sitting in now, to handle the language situation, and of course, all of the

appropriate equipment for presentation.

2.

2.0

Bamako, in western Africa, again, you can take a look at that, and the structure down on the lower right hand corner is to help with the elements, whether they are wet or dry. They come on pretty hard in Bamako.

This is Freetown, Sierra Leone, in a very difficult place, but a beautiful facility.

This is in Belmopan, you would know it as Belize, but it is 50 miles inward, where the ground is a little higher, to take it out of the frequently flooded area that results from the horrific weather that happens there. But, the important thing is that you see a lot of similarity in how we put the building together.

There are no cookie cutters. The standard design that we have, sometimes, debates about, has to do with the Ambassador's office being the same size everywhere, the political office being the same size in Europe as it is in Africa. Elevator shafts, mechanical rooms, et cetera, et cetera. It has little or nothing to do with how the exterior will present the building, another misunderstood matter.

This is Bridgetown, in Barbados. This is Kingston, Jamaica. All of these opened just before

Christmas. This is Lomé, Togo, west Africa, opened about four months ago. And, again, take a look at what you would see as you enter the building to the left. The paneling, the floor covering, et cetera.

2.

2.0

Phnom Penh, in Cambodia, complete. Kampala,
Uganda, the -- part of the compound was completed a
few months after I arrived, and this is the annex
portion that we found funds and completed.

Conakry, Guinea, this is now an annex now being overlaid there as well. You can see it, except the same type of exterior.

Athens, Greece, a really beautiful site.

This Undersecretary for Political, Nick Burns, felt strong enough to tell me personally how he felt about this building. This is truly -- would make any American feel proud, if you had an opportunity to visit.

Accra, Ghana, again, is a crown jewel sitting in western Africa. Everything is right on this ten acres. It was built right, and I'll take a point here to commend all of our contractors who work hard for us, and we're proud of all of them. Our contractor here has advanced the ball a bit, and they have really, really put in place a class act, and to that extent we are hoping to recognize the contractor

in a special way for some of their teamwork.

2.

2.0

Kathmandu is next. This was just recently opened a couple of days ago. This is also the annex at Kathmandu. Again, look at the exterior. You'll see none that will be the same.

Now, that's what's been completed. If you were counting with me, that's 47. So, that connects that dot. Now, the next dot: 34 under construction. The list is here. All right? And what the cost, here. That's the transparency. What you see is, our business going in, there's no mystery about the money that Congress appropriates for every one. Everyone can get it, or knows it, so there's no issue there. And we keep it transparent so everyone can see it. So, if you want to know what Panama City's costing the U.S. Government, it's \$100.6 million dollars. Port au Prince, in Haiti, \$108.5 million dollars, and the beat goes on, okay?

So this is Panama City, 99% complete, will be open in 30 days. This is Algiers, 96%, about 45 days from the formal opening. Actually, the work will be done in about 30. Rangoon, in Burma, very difficult place. So restrictive, I don't even know whether I'll be able to go out for the formal opening. This is just how tough things are, but we have a

contractor that has performed absolutely superbly, and 1 a project director who has given it all to make this 2. 3 happen. And that one will finish in about 45 days. Managua in Nicaragua, much of the same, it's about 45 4 days from completing. Berlin, around April 1st of 5 6 next year. Very tough work there; we had to sandwich this building in a very tight location. Any of you 7 have ever been to the Brandenburg gates understand 8 this as well as I do, that this was not the 10 acres 10 and having all the flexibility to do things.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Kigali, in Rwanda, deep down in mid to eastern Africa, going to go before Christmas. Port au Prince, early-late spring next year. And I don't have to tell you how difficult it is in some of these places. Quito, in Ecuador, toward the end of next year. Ciudad Juarez, in Mexico City, early '09. Good shot, might be the end of the year. Khartoum, Sudan, where again, we have very difficult situation, but our contractor has worked tirelessly with us on this, a lot of puts and starts and so on, a little jerk around by the host country, but they're hanging in there. You can see they're all doing night work as we speak, trying to do the best they can to help us with the schedule, and we appreciate that. Skopje is about a year or so away, not moving as fast as we would like,

but that's a management problem.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Mumbai, again, out in India, that was the very large site, very wet site, and we're working hard there. Baghdad is the last one, and the only reason you don't see images here, and this has been standard since we started, is because we've tried to not have these images appear where they shouldn't go, and unfortunately, in spite of not showing them, some images ended up where they shouldn't be. But I want to tell you about it. What you see here is some bullets. There is a great management team, a hard, tired management team. The first female, lady, who has ever run a job of this magnitude for the State Department is leading that effort. She volunteered for the job, stepped up and said, "Send me." Has a couple of cousins who are ambassadors, and she has run an absolutely tight ship. Getting harassed, or bombed, or not bombed, but rained upon with any number of activities associated with war almost weekly, but she's done well. I cannot say enough about she and her team.

Back here, as we did for Moscow, as we have for China, we have a special group that tends to the management of the total project. That's run by James Golden. He came out of the private sector with the

expertise we need. That's no different that we do for any one of our other special projects, and he too has done a superb job. We have a blended workforce there. It's not all civil servants, as China is not, and as Moscow was now, we have people who come back in, some out of retirement. We're looking for expertise there to make things happen.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

There's a challenge every day in Baghdad, but we're thankful that the project is at 95% complete, and we have about a month and a half left on a very touchy schedule. The schedule is touchy because there are road closures. In fact, we're undergoing one right now, where we're not able to get the supplies and things that we need, and material that we need, in. But, we'll have to deal with that. We knew all of this before we got started, so there's never any issues about crying or throwing up our hands about it. It's been 24-7 since we got started, and it is -- one accurate thing is that it is the largest Embassy that we have encountered, but it is not a part of a local man camp. A local man camp is a local man camp. This compound is sitting on 65 acres, not 104, and it is self-contained, just like you saw with the rest of the 47. It has multiple structures that allows an Embassy to operate. There is other acreage

that is adjacent to the compound, and on some of that acreage, we currently have a camp, that was put in place as a temporary camp, before we got started. I would say that the government put in place, OBO had nothing to do with it. And then on the other end, it was suggested that maybe this camp should be moved to the other end. We made certain that these projects were not connected because, you know, people don't quite understand all that we have just talked about. So, we put a boulevard between, and planted some trees, to make certain that it would be clear that they were not connected.

2.

2.0

So, the guard camp is a guard camp that is being looked at. As you know, as a matter of course, we don't specialize in guard camps. But those who specialize in them have their arms around it, and think they've done about 5,000 units here in Iraq, and so they are dealing with that part. This particular camp has 380 trailers at it, but in addition to being a camp, our customer asked for some different things than just a camp. They asked for a wall that matches everything else that we have done. That's a little different spin. They asked for a compound access control mechanism; that's another apparatus. And, asked for a dog kennel, as well, so these were some

shifts in the requirement.

2.

2.0

And then, during that whole process, which sort of gets after a two and a half month delay, was 71 days of no traffic, and you know that it takes one truck to carry one trailer, or some portions of it. So, getting trucks over the land was an issue.

Okay. You've gotten a little update on all of that. I wanted you to know that this is a tough road ahead. We've got Karachi, we go Addis Ababa, we got Khartoum, we got Tripoli, and these are not garden spots. But, I just want everyone to know that this is where the work is, and there's nothing we can do about it. The last time I checked, there's little activity going on in and around Addis. Khartoum, you know, it is not settled. Tripoli, anybody's guess, and et cetera. So this makes this very difficult. So these jobs, as well, are going to be — these locations are going to be problematic for us. But, we're in the business to get it done, so we have to progress ahead and get it done.

Are there any questions on the update? But I wanted the panel, I've taken 40 minutes, because I wanted you to have a complete update on the state of play. Questions, anyone?

MS. SORG: General Williams, I have a --

1	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Yes.
2	MS. SORG: You mentioned that you had taken
3	out approximately 16,000 Americans out of harm's way,
4	and that's just great. Does that include the FSNs
5	that serve in these Embassies?
6	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Yes. Anyone who works
7	MS. SORG: Or is it
8	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Yeah, anyone who works in
9	the complex
10	MS. SORG: I see. Thank you.
11	GENERAL WILLIAMS: is out of harm's way.
12	MS. SORG: Thank you.
13	GENERAL WILLIAMS: All right. Are there
14	other questions? And if there's any question you
15	have, because I really want you to sort of understand
16	our program and what we are doing. Are there any
17	questions about any of that? Yes, ma'am.
18	MS. WHITE: The budget numbers that you had
19	listed on the slides. Is that total construction?
20	GENERAL WILLIAMS: No, not construction.
21	That's total for getting the project to completion.
22	That includes management, and also construction.
23	MS. WHITE: So it's OBO's cost and on-site
24	cost?
25	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Yes.

1 MS. WHITE: Okay.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Yes. Are there other questions about this? You sure you're all right? Okay. I'm begging like I do every month. Questions? Questions? Clarification? Okay. I'm going to assume that you got it. Okay. All right. Now let's switch now, and go into today. We have an agenda today that requires -- and for those who are here for the first time, the panel members and my staff are given homework. I don't know what happens in board meetings, et cetera, but for this panel there is a little work, and we'll be making presentations this morning, and we'll have the panel view, and then we'll have a Governmental view. Now, the team, they have worked together. I don't think they will be -- their presentations will be different, but I think the ultimate goal will be the same, and that is helping us have the best thought process around these issues that we're dealing with.

I think what I would like to do now is to just simply recognize the fact that we have four new members that are joining us. First is Bill Flemming, and I'd just like for you to raise your hand. Bill is a Senior Vice President for pre-construction services for the Skanska U.S. Building Company. He's

representing the Design-Build of America Association. 1 He has a tremendous amount of experience across the 2. 3 board, including an MBA, trained in construction 4 management, et cetera, et cetera. You have his bio, obviously, in your materials, so I won't go into it. 5 6 Bill, welcome to the panel. Also, we have Nancy Goshow. Nancy is an AIA member, but more importantly, she represents women 8 9 businesses. We've had women in small business as a 10 part of this throughout. Nancy comes to us very 11 qualified to sit on this panel; she holds a Master's 12 from Pratt, and a Bachelor's from Penn State. From the standpoint of academics, she's a former member of 13 14 Skidmore, Owings & Merrill, and now she is doing her

Also coming to us now for the first time, and joining the panel, representing the National Defense Industrial Association, is Darryl Horne.

Darryl is the President and CEO of Horne

International. Darryl is the founder of the Horne

Engineering Services. He's a VMI graduate, registered engineer. He's been recognized as a top entrepreneur, or close to it, by a lot of organizations. And Darryl, we're delighted to have you on board.

own thing. So Nancy, welcome.

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road Annapolis, MD 21409

The next member is Marvin Oey. Did I get it

(410) 974-0947

right?

2.0

DR. OEY: Oey.

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Oey. He's a doctor type, Ph.D., and prior to his current position as Director of the Construction Institute, he's represented the American Society of Civil Engineers. He served as an analyst with the Independent Project Analysis, working on a number of projects including work for oil companies, chemical producers, pharmaceutical companies, et cetera. The bottom line is, he is very qualified to sit on this panel. So, I would like to welcome the four new members, and before noon, we will say goodbye to four other members and wish them well.

Okay. Now, what we will do now is tackle the first topic. The first topic is new project delivery. Now, why are we talking about this? Well, we want to continually try to extract the best thinking about the handling of a project when it is complete. There's still some confusion among some, as to what is done, and how it ought to be done in respect to commissioning and all these things. So, we want to have the absolute best thinking around this. We have worked this very hard ourselves. Six years ago, we added another C in our Construction Division, and that was Commissioning, so we've been working and

thinking and trying to fine-tune this the best we could over the last six and a half years, and we just decided about six months ago, with the discussion with this panel, that it was worthy of just taking time out and doing some work about it. So what we have today is the panel team, lead by Joel, Nancy, and John, and then the OBO and Department team, led by Rob and James White. So, I'm going to let them just explain to us on how they want to go after it, and we'll all sit and listen, ask questions. I encourage the panel members to get into it. I want your absolute best thinking around this, so that we can work through this.

2.

2.0

I should say one thing before we get into this. With your strong suggestion, about a year ago, and it might have been Ed Denton or somebody that helped us get this going, but we now have a preamble. And this is confusing, because a lot of people don't know what that is, and what is the preamble? The preamble that we've put forth tells how OBO does business. It is now integrated into future RFPs, before you get into the RFP, you see about how OBO operates. There should be a copy in each one of the panel members' material. It is on our webpage, and so all of this is available for anyone who wants to look at it. It is very transparent. You saw the 14 steps

we put out this morning, and you got the Williams 20, and et cetera. So, all I want to say is that we're trying to be about process.

Okay. Rob, you're on.

2.

2.0

MR. McKINNIE: Good morning, everyone. My name is Rob McKinnie, and I'm with the Construction and Commissioning Division. And there are our team members here Nancy on this side -- Nancy Goshow, John Pawulak, Joel Zingeser, and Jim White. There are two parts. We're going to have a discussion, or a very brief case study by John to show some of the lessons learned from a very, very interesting facility out in Ashburn, Virginia, and we'll also talk in terms of process about the facility's role in the process, and the Construction and Commissioning's role in that process as well. So John, if you'd like to take the lead and get us the whole review --

MR. PAWULAK: Thank you, Rob.

MR. McKINNIE: -- of your case study.

MR. PAWULAK: Very short case study on the commissioning out at the Janelia Farm Research Center in Ashburn, Virginia. I have to give credit to the corporate headquarters and to the Director of Facilities at Howard Hughes Medical Institute, which is the parent organization of the research campus, for

allowing us to use this as a case study. And it 1 represents a facility that, in many ways, is very 2. 3 similar to an NEC, because it is multiple facilities. It houses, it provides the administrative, the laboratory facilities for the scientists that are 5 6 represented there. It's very different than an NEC because it is not presented with the many problems of 7 construction, design, construction, and execution of 8 9 Embassy facilities in foreign countries, as well as 10 hostile areas, for sure.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

It's an excellent facility, as you can see from the information on the right. It's about a million square foot of a very sophisticated research -- active research biomedical facility, which contains a hotel and residence for -- a long-term residence for staff, for scientists that are working there. It's self-sustaining; it contains state of the art alternate power systems, high efficient boilers, redundant chillers, multi-fuel capability with natural gas and backup propane to support the facility, and with multiple electrical feeds to ensure redundancy of those systems. They consider it a green facility; it has the second-largest green roof of any building in the United States. It was built on a historical site, which presented its own problems, such as building in

Berlin, for instance, and getting the authority to build this structure so that it didn't obstruct any of the view from the historical buildings around that site. So, the designers had some challenges.

2.

2.0

As you can see, it has some very high efficiency boilers, chillers, and the generators with their low emissions, and they all have catalytic converters, and all of the air handlers pumps are operating off the VFDs. A very efficient facility.

There's about \$60 million worth of structural glass in the facility, which makes it one of the highest use of that glass that was created overseas, and then assembled here in the United States. They consider this to be a very highly successful commissioning project. And this was done primarily by the corporate headquarters, where it started out in each of the phases, and I'll touch upon that in this case study. Next slide, please.

In their planning phase, which was primarily at the Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Corporate Headquarters, in Bethesda, they had their corporate facility management group, which was made up of a team of construction management, facility management, and then commissioning agents, which were independent commissioning agents. And they had the independent

commissioning agent focus on developing, early in the planning stages, the scope, the schedule, and then their preliminary evaluation approach, how they were going to conduct this evaluation. I'm not going to mention any names of agents or folks here. I'll keep this just as generic as I possibly can.

2.

2.0

That was the planning phase. A lot of work went into forming the team. As very similar to the OBO team, where they had construction and facility management teaming together with this independent commissioning agent, coming in early as they developed the project in the planning phase, and even in the conceptual phase.

Next phase is the design phase. Here, they used the commissioning agent to help develop the temporary conditioning plan. That would be the plan for operation of the facility in the different seasons, before substantial completion, which meant that they had to do a lot of operating and maintaining these facilities without the full control systems that were available in the design.

They also put together the preliminary preventative maintenance plan, so that they could see as they went through construction, and early start-up of the systems, that they would have a way to maintain

these systems to ensure proper operations, continuance of warranties, et cetera, during that construction phase.

2.

2.0

The commissioning agent also worked on developing the Computerized Maintenance Management System. And look at the annual work plan throughout the design, incorporating that, and even loading the data into their CMMS, working on identifying all the various areas of the facility, relating that to the data, and then putting together the annual work plan. And they did an excellent job in integrating the preventative maintenance work order inventory modules into other documents, such as the operator's manuals and other procedures, so that operators could go immediately through the database to those documents, if necessary, for the operations of these systems. Next slide, please.

In the construction phase, this is where
Howard Hughes brought on board their construction
manager, facility manager, on-site. About six months
out of final completion, they brought the independent
commissioning agent on-site, and that's where he did
more detailed data collection and assimilation of
information to go into the annual working plan, as
well as observing and doing the performance

evaluations of all the systems.

2.

2.0

About 12 months before substantial completion, Howard Hughes brought on their operations and maintenance staff to participate not only in the commissioning process, but also to shadow and receive orientation from the installers, as well as receive a contract to perform the actual operations of those systems during the temporary conditioning period prior to substantial completion.

The O&M's on-site staff simply consisted of operators, chief engineer-sort of folks who could come in, understood the systems, that could operate the systems, and perform detailed technical evaluation of not only specifications to performance, but also with an understanding of residents' expectations of systems performance. And they brought that with them to the operations, and to the evaluation, and they worked hand-in-hand with the construction manager, with the facility manager, as well as the independent commissioning agent on the site. It worked extremely well.

As the construction progressed, they got closer to substantial completion, they ramped up the staff to bringing on the 24-7 watch and operating engineers that were required to operate the facility.

Next slide, please.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

In the turnover phase, where they did the actual formal commissioning of the facility, the O&M team, construction, facility management, operators and maintainers, participated in the commissioning. They were participating in the document transfer of the O&M manuals' plans' specifications, a very important part They received the on-site training from the of it. installers, the manufacturers. They even visited manufacturers' plants in various parts of the United States to learn how these unique boilers and burners would operate, and overcame some of the problems. They worked during that commissioning period. course, during that period, it is very -- a finite period, in which the commissioning process is done. These folks were able to spend a longer period of time, so that they could observe the operation of these systems under other seasonal conditions, and they could observe more of the systems operating and integrating over an extended period of time. then, of course, the O&M operators took over full operations after substantial completion.

That is the commissioning out at Janelia Farms. Howard Hughes folks believe that they were very successful in that. Their facility is now in

1	full operations. They're still phasing in some of the
2	scientific operations there, but the biovarium, which
3	is the animal laboratory, is up and running. All the
4	residences are open and running. A very successful
5	operation.
6	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you, John. I have
7	two questions.
8	MR. PAWULAK: Sure.
9	GENERAL WILLIAMS: And others may have some
10	as well. What was the construction period?
11	MR. PAWULAK: The construction period was
12	approximately 24 months.
13	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Okay. Now, you
14	mentioned, and I may have heard it wrong, but you
15	indicated that the O&M team came on board for
16	familiarization on how to maintain the facility. And
17	then, there was a small temporary contract for them to
18	operate
19	MR. PAWULAK: Yes, sir.
20	GENERAL WILLIAMS: while the rest of the
21	construction was being done.
22	MR. PAWULAK: That is correct.
23	GENERAL WILLIAMS: How did the warranty lash
24	up work?
25	MR. PAWULAK: We were the contractors to

1 go over the operations and maintenance of the 2. mechanical systems from the --3 GENERAL WILLIAMS: But didn't you have an 4 existing warranty --MR. PAWULAK: -- from the prime contractor. 5 6 The warranty responsibility shifted over to Howard 7 Hughes Medical Institute when we took over the operations --8 GENERAL WILLIAMS: To the owner? 10 MR. PAWULAK: Right. Of that facility. 11 When we took over the operation of it, versus the 12 mechanical installer, who was --13 GENERAL WILLIAMS: Because I'm sure the 14 contractor that did the mechanical had a little bit of 15 an issue with the temporary operation before the 16 building was complete. 17 MR. PAWULAK: Yes, that was quite an issue 18 between the owner and the mechanical operator. The 19 owner was concerned that the installers were not 2.0 capable of operating and maintaining the system. They're good installers, and they did a fine job on 21 22 making the completion of the installation. 23 system, when we took it over, was not integrated with 24 all of the controls and the other systems that are as

Free State Reporting, Inc.
1378 Cape St. Claire Road
Annapolis, MD 21409
(410) 974-0947

part of that facility. So they allowed the installer

25

1	to contract that out to us to operate for the
2	facility, under this temporary conditioning period.
3	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Interesting. Go ahead.
4	MR. TOUSSAINT: I have a question.
5	MR. PAWULAK: Yes, sir.
6	MR. TOUSSAINT: Somewhat related. What sort
7	of contract vehicle was this? Was this design-bid-
8	build, design-build, construction management at risk,
9	what was the
10	MR. PAWULAK: I do not believe, and I don't
11	know the actual answer to that. I do not believe it
12	was a design-build.
13	MR. TOUSSAINT: So that in that sense,
14	the owner was warranting the design, so they owned
15	these coordination issues that you're talking about?
16	MR. PAWULAK: Yes, I would assume so.
17	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Questions, panel? Yes,
18	Ed?
19	MR. DENTON: I'm listening to all the
20	metrics of the project, and quite honestly, I'm
21	amazed. You built a million square feet in 24 months?
22	Did they work how many days a week? Multiple
23	shifts?
24	MR. PAWULAK: I wasn't involved in shifts
25	MR. DENTON: I mean, that's an incredible

1	amount of square footage to deliver in 24 months. In
2	particular, biomedical facilities are extremely
3	complex.
4	MR. PAWULAK: Yes, they are.
5	MR. DENTON: So I'm amazed. I wish I could
6	do it.
7	MR. PAWULAK: The main facility was slight
8	under 700,000 square feet, and the remainder of that
9	is the residences, the hotels, and the records
10	facilities.
11	MR. DENTON: Remarkable.
12	GENERAL WILLIAMS: John, after you built it,
13	sort of a related question, how long was it before it
14	was occupied?
15	MR. PAWULAK: The occupation of that
16	facility is still ramping up. They started the
17	occupation last fall, with small contingents, and as
18	the rest of the building came online with the
19	scientists especially on the scientific laboratory
20	facilities, that is still ramping up at that point in
21	time.
22	GENERAL WILLIAMS: But after the 24th month,
23	the owner could have utilized the building?
24	MR. PAWULAK: Yes.
25	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Okay. Other questions?

Yes, Bill. 1 MR. FLEMMING: I didn't hear you talk about 2. 3 a mold mitigation strategy, and I'm just curious, from 4 a commissioning side, how does that fall into the strategy today? Because mold in buildings has been 5 6 getting -- from my standpoint, is getting to be a big issue. So, how would you have dealt with that, or was 7 it dealt with, particularly with the air exchange 8 9 rates in a building like that? 10 GENERAL WILLIAMS: Um-hmm. Good point. 11 MR. PAWULAK: We didn't deal with any mold 12 mitigation. Perhaps the commissioning agent did, with 13 the owners, so I couldn't really address that. 14 GENERAL WILLIAMS: That's a good point, 15 William, and we will flag that for further research on 16 our part. I really would like to hear a little bit 17 more from you before the day is out about that, 18 because I do think you have your finger on something. 19 Yes? Although I would like to add 2.0 MR. DENTON: 21 that biomedical facilities have a tremendous amount of 22 air changes, so that's, I think, differentiates it 23 from most projects. 24 GENERAL WILLIAMS: Okay. Other questions? 25 Other comments? John -- yes, yes, Paul?

1	MR. ROWE: Just for clarity, with regard to
2	the independent commissioning agent, to what
3	organization entity was the independent commission
4	agent accountable to?
5	MR. PAWULAK: He was accountable to the
6	Director of Facilities at the Howard Hughes Medical
7	Institute during the planning and design phases, and
8	then to the Director of Facilities at Janelia Farms
9	during the construction and the commissioning.
10	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Is the Director of
11	Facilities responsible for O&M?
12	MR. PAWULAK: The Director of Facilities is
13	responsible for O&M.
14	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Okay, thank you.
15	MR. PAWULAK: Um-hmm.
16	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Okay. Rob?
17	MR. McKINNIE: I think that Jim has the next
18	part of this, to explain the facilities, right?
19	MR. WHITE: General Williams, ladies and
20	gentlemen, my name is Jim White. I'm with the
21	Operations and Maintenance office within OBO. To
22	revisit what John has illustrated in his presentation,
23	a definition of what commissioning is: Commissioning
24	will be a very, very useful tool in helping OBO meet
25	its very ambitious, high-paced schedule of bringing

1 facilities online.

2.

2.0

As John has pointed out, very, very importantly, commissioning needs to be involved from the design phase through the construction phase.

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Um-hmm.

MR. WHITE: It culminates in what the General was alluding to, as sort of a test-flight of the facility.

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Um-hmm.

MR. WHITE: And the way it does that is, it quantitatively measures the performance of the various components of the facility, and determines whether or not they meet the design specifications, both by themselves and in unison with the other components.

Next slide, please.

Currently, OBO uses three modes, or methods if you will, of building commissioning. Total building commissioning, which is used to bring new facilities online; that's the business of PE, currently. System reliability and functional testing is used when it's determined that a facility no longer meets its performance specifications, for whatever reason. The objective of this exercise is to bring the facility back within those specifications. That's management by O&M. And finally, recommissioning,

which is done in conjunction with building renovations, also managed by OBO O&M. Next slide, please.

2.

2.0

The importance of commissioning: The bottom line here is to bring a fully functional, new embassy compound or consulate online or ready for use. And an important part of that consideration is making sure that the operators and maintainers have the training and the tools from the get-go. And as John pointed out in the commissioning exercise that he illustrated, it's important that training of the operators and maintainers be considered and be executed; familiarization with all the building systems; that we've got enough maintainers and operators to do the job; that the reference materials be provided: asbuilt drawings, O&M manuals. Also, a basic load of spare parts, and any special tools that are needed to operate the facility. Next slide, please.

This slide gives a graphic comparison of the responsibilities of the constructors, and the responsibilities of the operators and maintainers.

The pie chart represents the total cost of the building throughout its entire lifespan.

Proportionately, the construction costs are going to be small than the operations and maintenance costs

over what we hope is going to be more than a 50-year lifespan. Now, this particular model, it's 10 to 90%. That's going to different depending on the model, and depending on the specific facility, but the proportions are going to remain the same.

2.0

The chart in the lower portion of the graph gives a depiction of the time focus. The constructors are very, very necessarily focused on that construction period of two to three years, depending on the facility. The operators and maintainers start their interest in the facility during the construction phase. They're anticipating what they're going to need to do, what training they're going to have to do, what skills they're going to need to acquire. And their time horizon is much, much farther out. It's going to be the entire lifetime of the facility. Next slide, please.

Another comparison: The highest priority for the constructors is going to be bringing that project in on time and under budget, with a minimum of changes and a minimum of cost increases -- none, if possible. The operators and maintainers are focused on bringing a fully functional, efficient, and effective facility online. The constructors want the facility to be successful, they want it to be

effective, but that needs to be a secondary role for them. They're focused on meeting schedules, on providing a facility as scheduled to the users.

2.

2.0

The primary goal for the operators and maintainers is more long-range. And as I think most of us in this room have experienced, any problems not solved during the construction phase have to be solved during the occupancy phase, and then the State Department may be paid for from the operating expenses at the particular post. Next slide, please.

My final slide: Commissioning needs to be a team effort. Along with these three offices within OBO, sometimes in the past, the functionality of that team has relied on -- has been a factor of the personalities of the team members. It's important that we institutionalize this relationship, so that it is optimal for each and every project that we do. The second bullet: OBO has already recognized that having the contractor manage the commissioning effort is not that way to go. It doesn't meet OBO's needs, it doesn't meet State's needs. The final bullet: For the reasons that we've given in the previous slides, O&M is perhaps the best suited to manage the commissioning process. It's the office with the most at stake, and it has that long-term horizon, that far

1	horizon, that is looking at the entire lifespan of the
2	building. Now I'd like to turn it over for your
3	questions and comments.
4	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Questions and comments
5	for around the presentation? How do you propose to
6	manage the "we" and the "they"? Or, "I think it
7	should have been left, when you went right?"
8	MR. WHITE: I'm sorry, General?
9	GENERAL WILLIAMS: I know it's a tough
10	question, but how do you propose to manage opinions?
11	MR. WHITE: Manage the opinions of are we
12	talking the commissioning team?
13	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Yes.
14	MR. WHITE: I think by institutionalizing
15	the roles that the players are going to play, gets the
16	players access along the process, and makes them part
17	of the process. If the process says that the various
18	players are going to be part of the planning process,
19	then the various offices will be pulled in. There
20	won't be issues on-site. The on-site personnel will
21	expect that the other officers will be participating
22	in the on-site reviews. So, that's what we mean by
23	institutionalizing those relationships.
24	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Yes, Ed?
25	MR. DENTON: I think your question is really

1	right on, and I think what it does is, it addresses
2	the issue of when do you bring commissioning on board?
3	If you bring on them early enough, the left and right
4	are resolved at the very beginning, because everyone's
5	in agreement, which direction it is, and if anything,
6	you underscore the need and the emphasis on
7	commissioning to begin at the earliest possible
8	moment.
9	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Um-hmm. That's exactly
10	my point, but I wanted it to be put out on the table.
11	I'm going to go to Joel, and then I'm going to come
12	back to Nancy.
13	MR. ZINGESER: Thank you, General. I guess
14	I need to start by saying, why am I here? Some of you
15	are probably saying, "We thought we got rid of this
16	guy."
17	GENERAL WILLIAMS: That's right.
18	MR. ZINGESER: And he's back. John Barotti
19	is not able to attend for the AGC, and I'm here
20	sitting in his place today, and it's a great pleasure
21	just to be here again
22	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you.
23	MR. ZINGESER: and see all the friendly
24	places, and have a chance to use this microphone and
25	talk some more.

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you.

2.

2.0

MR. ZINGESER: The thing about this that strikes me is sort of basic. I don't see commissioning as this big, difficult problem that it has become. And it's only become this problem because, the fact that we have more sophisticated systems, we have more sophisticated requirements, and in fact, in order to get the benefit of the systems that we put in the buildings, we really do need to understand how they work. There are experiences that we've had, that you've had, where at the end of the day, the people left with the facility don't even know how to turn the fan on, so to speak, and that's a real problem.

To me, the key points that I heard from the case study, and that I'm hearing here, that are paramount, goes back to the concept of performance-based procurement, and the need, the critical need, to have buy-in at the top. You heard about corporate buy-in, transitioning to a facilities role, in the first case study. The key is having those who are going to evaluate and determine compliance, and the fact that system is properly performing, involved in the beginning, setting the criteria, and making it clear what the evaluation processes will be. And if

those people wear an O&M hat, or a Facilities Management hat, it's not important. What's important is that there's a clear understanding, what is expected at the end. Ultimately, the O&M people are left with the building to run and maintain. There's a lot of issues with different organizations in that regard. For example, in some of our government institutions such as the military, we could train people very well, and on day 1 they can run this place, and then guess what happens in a few months? They get moved. So other people have to run that same facility. So, the process has to be really clear for keeping the staff up to date. But to me, the key issue here is not whether the contractor holds the contract, or whether the Facilities Management people hold the contract, or where it sits. It's this teamwork, it's the commitment at the top, it's clarity of purpose and performance, and then bringing it in throughout the

1

2.

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

23

21 thought that was a very key part of the case study,

process, having it work throughout the process.

22 that it wasn't something that started well down the

road. So basically, I think the issue of who holds

24 the contract to do it is less important than the

25 clarity of how the process is going to run, and the

1	buy-in at the top.
2	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thanks, Joel. A
3	different take on this. Nancy?
4	MS. GOSHOW: I believe that you should think
5	of commissioning as a strategy. It's just as
6	important as a site plan, and I think we need to ask
7	ourselves the question, "Who best represents the
8	building owner?" And according to the chart that was
9	shown, 90% of the time, O&M is responsible for the
10	building. Thirty years. So, that's why that I
11	believe that O&M needs to be at the table very early
12	on in the process, and it has to be a strategy, not
13	just a plan or a schedule or tasks. It has to be
14	thought out wholly, as an equal part to everything
15	else that's going on in the very beginning of the
16	project.
17	GENERAL WILLIAMS: That's very good. Thank
18	you. Yes, Bill?
19	MR. FLEMMING: I do a lot of pharmaceutical
20	work, so I'll make an analogy to commissioning and
21	pharmaceutical work. And for those who are not
22	familiar with it, there's input qualifications, output
23	qualifications, and performance qualifications with
24	validating a facility. And oftentimes, the strategy
25	is to make the contractor responsible for proving that

the output qualifications actually meet the building 1 2. design, and letting the owner take it from there and 3 so-called "tweak" the system. And the strategy you might want to think about is, although you want to 4 divorce yourself from the contractor doing the 5 6 commissioning, is having the contractor do a precommissioning report. In other words, bringing it to 7 a certain point in commissioning, and then allowing a 8 9 commissioning agent to actually verify that and take 10 it to final completion and tweak it. 11 The reason for that is, it saves time. 12 Under the scenario where a commissioning agent comes 13 in, oftentimes what we see as contractors is the 14 following: Don't touch the equipment unless you're 15 going to accept it. And that does not bode well to 16 the speed of construction. You have to get the 17 commissioning going as you're building the building, 18 so how do you do that without this pointing fingers, 19 as you said, General. 2.0 GENERAL WILLIAMS: Uh-huh. 21 MR. FLEMMING: So, a strategy of requiring a 22 contractor to do this pre-commissioning may actually 23 be a strategy you want to think about. 24 GENERAL WILLIAMS: Uh-huh. Excellent. And

Free State Reporting, Inc.
1378 Cape St. Claire Road
Annapolis, MD 21409
(410) 974-0947

you -- back again, sort of at my earlier concern.

25

1 MR. FLEMMING: Um-hmm.

2 GENERAL WILLIAMS: Are there any other

3 questions or comments?

4 MR. McKINNIE: I -- just one comment,

5 Director Williams. We didn't actually complete our

6 presentation. What I wanted to emphasize is the fact

7 | that our Construction & Commissioning Division has the

8 | lead for commissioning, and what we've more recently

9 done is to bring online an independent commissioning

10 agent as a part of the FY '07 projects. We're

11 thinking outside of the box, and taking a new approach

12 to commissioning our facilities. Some of you have

13 already submitted tenders, submitted bids for

14 participating for the FY '07, and we do have that

15 coming online more recently. The other thing that I

16 wanted to emphasize is the fact that we have a project

17 | team, Washington based, and we have the other part of

18 | the team that is locally based, the project team

19 | that's on the construction site. Those are design

20 professionals, those are design engineers,

21 | construction engineers, who have been involved in the

22 project from as early as the pre-design phase. Right

23 now, we're taking these sites ready to build. Our

24 | construction engineers are involved at that point, and

25 | assisting the planners, making decisions about what

types of systems are going to be implemented or executed for the specific projects that they have. So, our construction engineers in the project execution family, what was referred to as PE, are involved as early as pre-design, so we know what's happening. And from that point, we stay until the facility is turned over to the Facilities Management person. So, our office is quite involved in the commissioning process as well. I just wanted to emphasize that fact.

2.

2.0

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Yes, Joel.

MR. ZINGESER: One other role that I think can be very helpful in this process. We tend to focus on mechanical and electrical systems and so on. We've found that on-site, in, let's say, more traditional buildings, the quality manager and/or the coordinators, mechanical and electrical coordinators that are working on the GC side, can be very, very helpful in assisting and managing the coordination with the commissioning agents and the owner's people involved, and playing a role that's a little bit unusual, or not historically defined in their roles. Because, again, there is this point that you made, Mr. White, in your presentation, about keeping the eye on the ball, and I would -- obviously, representing the

organization that I do, I would beg to differ in terms of our concerns about leaving a quality product that will work well on into the future. But the reality is that we do have people on-site who have that responsibility, so it helps to integrate them more, also, in the process. So that's another way of looking at the roles of the GC, or in this case, the design-builder's construction team on-site. Quality management and the coordinator's roles.

2.

2.0

MR. McKINNIE: The genesis of the independent authority, for us, was that we wanted to be able to get it right. Part of the difficulty that we found, being construction people on the site, having on the contractor's side, is that the agent, or the representative on the contractor's, very often had other duties and responsibilities, so they were not fully committed or dedicated to commissioning. And very often, they were only in one discipline. They were either mechanicals or they were only focused on electricals. We are looking for bodies that are multi-disciplinary. So that's part of the genesis of modifying our new contracts to include an independent contracting -- commissioning authority, or agent.

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Okay. Let me try Suman and come back later.

MS. SORG: One comment I had is, now that we've built and designed a number of these SED NECs is, how much the commissioning agent and O&M would get involved during the design of the standard Embassy itself? Because, you know, a lot of complaints we hear from the builder, actually, and then when the building's turned over, is there's not enough ceiling height, not enough planning space, to even get to the parts that had to be replaced and are maintained. when you look at the window height, you know, it's right there, so I think it would be good to get a real review of even the SED by either O&M or a commissioning agent, from that point of view. also, the spare parts, in the specs themselves, in the SED specs, are very sort of blanket. I mean, I don't know why the warehouse has to be full of tile that we bought locally, you know? I mean, maybe it should be full of things that we actually had to import in, and need spare parts for. So, I think maybe a little tweaking of the SED specs and drawings might be helpful. MR. McKINNIE: In response to your first question, Suman, the authority coming online, for the

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Free State Reporting, Inc.
1378 Cape St. Claire Road
Annapolis, MD 21409
(410) 974-0947

FY '07s, we will have the commissioning agents, the

contracts executed at the end of July. That's prior

1 to the FY '07 awards, so we are ahead of the game on 2. that. 3 GENERAL WILLIAMS: Yes, Nancy? 4 MS. GOSHOW: I'd like us to consider thinking about commissioning, actually, in four parts. 5 6 Obviously, new buildings are commissioned. That's a 7 commissioning strategy. Then, there's continuous commissioning, 8 9 because once the building is up and running, there's 10 always ways to improve the systems in their energy 11 efficiency and operations. And in addition, you now 12 have your operations and maintenance staff able to 13 report back and give problems and obstacles and 14 challenges they're facing, so that that continuous 15 commissioning should go on. 16 Then, there's re-commissioning, which is yet 17 another aspect of commissioning, and then retro-18 commissioning, where you go back to existing buildings 19 and take a look at that. So it would be interesting 2.0 to think about it in four ways, instead of just 21 commissioning. 22 GENERAL WILLIAMS: Comments on that 23 proposal? On that suggestion, or that comment? 24 MR. TOUSSAINT: Actually, I think that leads 25 into a comment I'd like to make. I've been around a

little bit in the program, so I remember when we started with constructability, maintainability reviews, which is a very exciting and new idea. was about 20 years ago. But it was the first time that we had -- actually, it was before we had an O&M section. It took an outside consultant to lead us into that, to see that actually, that chart that you showed, the 10% - 90% chart was indeed where an owner really had to place their emphasis, to make sure that what we were building was maintainable. And that was basically the genesis of our colleague's O&M and FAQ. We've worked closely with them in PE throughout these years, to stay focused on what we were building was maintainable. They've grown to take the responsibility of maintaining the facilities we turn over to them, but what we have now is, we have a new program. We have a new wave now. We have -- our mission is to move people as quickly as we can, out of harm's way.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Um-hmm.

MR. TOUSSAINT: The vehicle we're using is design-build. With that comes some complexities.

We're doing this overseas. With that comes complexities. So, while we've talked about keeping our eye on the ball, that ball is -- we're getting

better, but incrementally we're getting better. 1 think that the effort that Rob has made to bring the 2. commissioning agent in, so it works for the 3 4 Government, is going to help us better inform what it is that we need to get into our SED, as Suman has 5 6 pointed out, to improve the operability-7 maintainability. But perhaps equally important is to show that the skill sets to maintain these facilities 8 9 has to also kick up. Now that's not my problem in PE, 10 that's my colleague's problem, over here, when he gets 11 it turned over to him. What we do now, if we're 12 lucky, is Rob has some local engineers who want to live in Abuja who will stay on and work for Paul's 13 14 people. Work for the Embassy. We import, and I call 15 our buildings being lunar landings, we import 300, 400 16 workers, skilled workers, from outside to go and build 17 a building. There are 50 Americans, sometimes, on 18 these job sites, with skills, that will soon depart. We turn it over to a workforce to maintain that, and 19 2.0 that's where the rub is, as much as this commissioning 21 agent, you know, who it works for. As Joel says, 22 that's really irrelevant, I think. What we're going 23 to get is a far more precise identification of what 24 these problems are, and what kinds of efforts and 25 changes the Government -- the owner may have to make,

in terms of receiving that building, operating that building for the life of it. So, I think -- General, I don't think it's one guick step.

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Right.

2.

2.0

MR. TOUSSAINT: You may just end the commissioning, that's our job for now, we'll carry the ball, and whenever and if ever it changes, it will change, but this commissioning agent that all parties around the table can use, I think, to better define what our next step should be. If I'm on a soapbox, excuse me, but I --

GENERAL WILLIAMS: No, I think it's important, and I know the panel members understand this, and I hope visitors who observe it as well, the first consideration before you jump in any direction, you really have to look at the particular operation that you're involved in. And we don't want to overplay this, but I don't know of any more difficult situation, having been doing this for about 45 years, that anyone could work in. And for me, whether this was building schools in New York City, or doing the Dulles Greenway, or building tank ranges in Europe, I have seen nothing that has been as complicated and as confusing and with so many variables attached to it, than to build overseas in a country that is struggling

1	with its own traction in terms of how it's going to
2	settle out. This is a very difficult business, and
3	what we're trying to do is to borrow as many bright
4	ideas as possible, and try to come up with the
5	absolute best construct of where we are. And I think
6	the first step is already in place, and that is to
7	move the commissioning involvement on the front end of
8	the process, into the early stages of the process.
9	But we've got to be careful with locking in on a
10	precise roadmap at this point, because there are just
11	so many moving parts. Yes, Joel?
12	MR. ZINGESER: I apologize for the question,
13	but it's a simple one. Is there a debate within OBO
14	about whether to go with third-party commissioning?
15	Because if that is a question no, ok, so there's a
16	commitment to go to a third-party commissioning?
17	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Oh, yeah, yeah, yeah.
18	MR. ZINGESER: Okay, because that's clearly,
19	as I would say, an imperative for where we are.
20	GENERAL WILLIAMS: You'll never find a
21	debate in OBO on a fundamental issue.
22	MR. ZINGESER: Uh, why would that be?
23	(Laughter.)
24	GENERAL WILLIAMS: I don't know.
25	(Laughter.)

GENERAL WILLIAMS: You may find out though, through one of the debaters, but --

(Laughter.)

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ZINGESER: Okay, thank you.

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Right, um-hmm. Are there any other questions, concerns? Bob?

MR. CASTRO: General, there's one other thing that Joel pointed to, that Joe sort of lifted, and I just wanted to lay it out here, because these business folks may have a perspective on it. further complication is not just overseas, but the foreign service structure, which doesn't always match up the project length. Rob tries his best to get a project director identified early; we've talked about that at previous quarterly panels. Paul tries to get the facility manager as early as possible, just onto the site, which is not always easy. And then there are empanelling for our foreign service officers, and all these kinds of things. Something you mentioned, something Mr. White mentioned, about the institutions that exist, and then the personalities, and I was wondering if any of the panel members had some golden nuggets for us on, how do we divorce it from personality in the instances where there is not a single facility manager. It's actually the foreign

service nationals and these folks who we're able to 1 2. hire who will probably be the 20-year continuity of 3 managing that facility, where as the FM will rotate, 4 along with the foreign service cycle, every couple of What have you all learned in the private 5 6 sector that can maybe help us smooth those transitions 7 and handoffs? One thing I've learned is that MS. GOSHOW: 8 9 if you set forward procedures and protocols, you 10 manage the procedures and the protocols, not the 11 people. 12 GENERAL WILLIAMS: Um-hmm. That's good. 13 Thank, you Nancy. Bill? 14 MR. FLEMMING: One simple thing, I've 15 noticed, is one of the biggest hurdles in 16 commissioning, and I'm back on complex facilities 17 again, is just the information flow. And just think 18 about somebody out in the field, trying to commission 19 something. He has to look up where the part is, he 2.0 has to look up information, he goes back to a trailer, 21 he's got to get the contractor. I mean, there's a lot 22 of time spent moving information around. So, one of 23 the things that I've seen that is fairly simple is 24 just bar-coding every piece of equipment on the job,

Free State Reporting, Inc.
1378 Cape St. Claire Road
Annapolis, MD 21409
(410) 974-0947

tying that bar-coding into the building information

25

model, and allowing the facilities people, when they go out to the field, to have the information at their fingertips. Not only does that speed the commissioning, but as you start changing out personnel, you don't have to find all this information again. So it's a way of gathering a computer model with everything you have, and that's a fairly inexpensive thing to do, to just ask for the VAB boxes to be bar-coded. It's a simple thing to do. It doesn't really cost a lot, and you can tie it back to your BIM model, if you move in that direction. So, that's just a simple thing that I've learned, Bob, that speeds those kind of things.

2.

2.0

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Um-hmm.

MR. PAWULAK: A couple of things that we've experienced in the transition, and we have the same difficulty in starting up new projects, is identifying a transition team, operators that are capable of operating a multitude of systems within a new or an older facility, that could go in and help out with that transition, and to help move from the construction over to the facility's operations and maintenance.

Another very important part is the training of incoming operators and maintainers who are coming

1	in there. Many of them cannot be there during the
2	initial start-up, or the commissioning, of the
3	systems. They can't shadow the installers
4	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Right.
5	MR. PAWULAK: during this initial start-
6	up. So, it's very essential, and if at all possible,
7	to take electronic videos of the start-up, the
8	operations, catalogue that as best you possibly can,
9	as well as providing the hard documents, the O&M
10	manuals, and the plans and specifications. That will
11	go a long way in training the new FMs that come into
12	those facilities for years to come.
13	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Very good. Are there any
14	other nuggets, comments, on how you may help us?
15	MS. GOSHOW: I have a question. Every
16	building needs to have a keeper of corporate memory
17	for that building. You had mentioned public schools,
18	New York City public schools. I work on New York City
19	public schools also, and of course, one of the most
20	important people that you meet with first, when you're
21	doing anything with the public school, is the
22	maintenance the name is escaping me, you know who I
23	mean the custodian.
24	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Sure.
25	MS. GOSHOW: He's a key person in that

building, because he's spent most of his life at that building, and knows where every valve is. He knows where every piece of equipment is, what its failures are, what its strengths are, when it needs to be refurbished or replaced seasonally. How does OBO take that into consideration with your maintenance O&M moving around from location to location?

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Paul?

2.

2.0

MR. ROWE: What I would say is that the institutional memory at a particular Embassy site lies both in the documentation that is, in fact, there, and should remain there, and that everyone would have access to. And also, with the local O&M staff, because the moving around is normally done by the FM. But the local engineers, the local technicians, et cetera, once they have been trained and so forth, then that's -- they're there for life. And, so, both in the documentation and in the local staff who -- that does not, in fact, get transferred around the way that the FMs do.

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Any additional comments?

From the panel or staff? Okay, thank you very much.

This has been very useful. I just want to mention a couple of things, I heard them being introduced again.

As many of the panel know, we spent almost a half day

on them, building information modeling, last time.

Thank you, Mr. White. Sorry to have kept you

standing, but the conversation was so juiced, I

thought we'd just let you be.

2.0

Also, I think we've talked about a lot of things this morning, but I'm just surprised that no one has spoken about funding, and what this would cost. And what's been your experience, John, and others who have made the suggestions, or even my own staff. What sort of shifts, what sort of changes? Do we expect to do this out of existing resources, or will there be something different, or are we talking now about another slice of funding that we need to speak to the Government providers about?

MR. ROWE: I'll take an initial stab at this. Part of any construction job, of course, is the commissioning; when a project is, in fact, approved for construction, then there is within this construction budget the commissioning aspect. And what we have been talking -- what has been, in fact, conveyed so far is that where once, the general contractor with regard to the construction of NECs had the responsibility for doing the commission as well, but what is happening now is that an independent contractor is being -- an independent commission agent

is being contracted by the Government to do the commissioning. All this is still using the funds that are, in fact, available in the project fund for the construction of the buildings. So, with regard to O&M, with regard to what we were talking about, and what Jim White's presentation indicated, was that the responsibility for oversight of that process rests with O&M, and the funding that currently exists in contracts for that purpose would be the same funding that we would be talking about utilizing in carrying out that responsibility, were it shifted to O&M.

2.

2.0

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Okay. Nobody wants to jump on the cost on, do you?

MR. DENTON: For those that don't know, I'm at a public university, and cost as a resource for me very often is constrained. And so, it's actually a big deal. And, commissioning, when we said, "We must do this, we can no longer survive without it," really meant that all of a sudden, I was adding a significant increase to the soft costs on my projects. And surprisingly enough, the most resistance I got were from my staff, who I hold accountable to deliver the projects for the budget they have, and they've set. And all of sudden I'm saying, "Timeout. We need to add a component to your project that's called

commissioning." And I found it took me a while to get
them convinced to the validity of it, because they
always felt, "Well, you know, I got a contractor who's
very talented. I have a series of sub-contractors
that are even more talented. Why do I need to do
this?"

Well, slowly, they began to realize that

2.0

Well, slowly, they began to realize that when it came time to close the project, when everyone else thinks they're done, my poor project manager is still there, bringing everyone back to fix these issues about coordination and all these things that commissioning actually deals with. So pretty soon, they became convinced, and they had to start, now, adding to soft costs the cost of commissioning, which in most cases is no small issue. It is actually real money.

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Right.

MR. DENTON: And to make it a little more complicated for me, I actually have a limit on my soft costs, imposed by the State of California.

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Um-hmm.

MR. DENTON: So now, all of a sudden, I find myself saying, "Gee, what am I not going to do for commissioning?" Or, "How am I going to deal with the added cost of commissioning" if, for instance, I have

a cap on my project. And it's been a significant challenge on my folks to be able to do this, but I must say, they're convinced now, and we're doing it, and they realize that we must do it.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Now, the next big issue I'm going to deal with, and it was brought up before, is BIM. You know, in the private sector, there isn't really one program that works for everybody right now. There's not an AutoCAD for building information modeling. And so, I have consultants who say, "Yes, we're doing it," but I happen to know that they're spending an enormous amount of time on it. And I need to link that up with commissioning as well, so there's a lot of challenges ahead for us. And I think if anything, and I really liked what Joe said, and that is what is constant today is not going to be that way tomorrow, and we've got to be able to move with that, and we've got to be able to change, and I think that's probably the biggest key for us, is to continue changing and adapting to all these different influences that we have to deal with. And I think BIM and commissioning is a connection that's extremely important, and in the long run, it's going to make a big difference for us.

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Terrific. Thank you, very much, for the input, and I'm really pleased that

1	you illuminated the whole notion of funding, because
2	being a Government entity, it's not a simple process.
3	We are looked at from the standpoint of where the
4	money is, and where it's going to go, from an approved
5	amount. And you really just can't push this away,
6	because it's going to have some impact, and we have to
7	recognize that up front. If were handed a
8	recommendation today, or a construct on "this is how
9	you should do commissioning, well, as a companion to
10	that, should be, "and here is some additional
11	funding."
12	MR. TOUSSAINT: Could I
13	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Yeah.
14	MR. TOUSSAINT: enhance the question and
15	turn it a little bit, General?
16	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Uh-huh.
17	MR. TOUSSAINT: We have taken the cost for
18	commissioning out of, I think, Kathy, your folks
19	decided it was cost-neutral, or it might cost a little
20	bit more, so we loaded the contracts with that.
21	MS. BETHANY: It's not cost-neutral.
22	(Laughter.)
23	MR. TOUSSAINT: Yeah.
24	MS. BETHANY: We haven't even resolved what
25	and how some of the other soft costs

(Laughter.) 1 MR. TOUSSAINT: And I think we volunteered 2. 3 out of Rob's budget. MS. BETHANY: Well, not all of it. 4 5 in negotiations --6 (Laughter.) 7 GENERAL WILLIAMS: Now, this is the internal OBO issue. 8 MR. TOUSSAINT: Yeah. 10 MS. BETHANY: Yeah, yeah, yeah. 11 MR. TOUSSAINT: But we didn't increase the 12 project budget. So, we kept that. And that, I'm 13 wondering, and I'll put this out as a question, once 14 we have, now, a commissioning agent working for us, 15 the Government, OBO, I would envision the 16 commissioning agent giving us some very good ideas about what it's going to cost us, that 90% cost --17 18 what is that going to lead us to in terms of a budget 19 definition, to maintain, operate and maintain these 2.0 facilities, for the life cycle? For the 50 years? 21 Probably more so than we have had in the past, because 22 now we're going to take it at the front end, we're 23 going to be following this project through. We're 24 going to know from the third party exactly what we 25 have there, and if we don't follow through on the

post-commissioning activities, we're going to pay a cost downstream. How do you -- how have you used, or is there any validity to that in your business? Have you used the third parties as helping buttress up your downstream costs, that chart that was just shown, you know, the 10% - 90%?

2.

2.0

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Okay. Well, as you can see, we're wrestling a tough one here, and I sort of like the way Ed and Joe have sort of put this, and this is the way we're viewing it. We know we're not at the end of the discussion, but we know that this is, in fact, an issue, and we'll put it on the front part of our plate. We're trying to work it as best we can, and as carefully as we can, as we move forward, because clearly we don't want any immediate train wrecks around this. But, I just think that we have to give attention to commissioning, as we have given attention to BIM, and connectivity, and how we can use both of these as new ways to do business.

But I think what we are sort of toying with right, and I like one of the words that Nancy used, and that is "have a strategy," the strategy would be -- and in fact, we've passed that, that we are -- we know commissioning has be looked at differently, and it has to begin on the front end, and sort of how

it shakes out at the end of the day, for us, is a 1 2. construct yet to be finalized. And that's about the 3 best way we can put it. We value all of the input that we have gotten today, and this will be very 4 helpful as we continue to deliberate, and Rob and 5 6 Kathy take a look at this thing from the standpoint of all the implications that we would have. So, are there any other questions, comments, 8 9 about this before we try to move forward? 10 Well, thank you very much. We're going to 11 introduce the next topic, and that's about all we'll get to do before lunch, because we do have to take a 12 few minutes and make some recognitions, but we do want 13 14 to launch into it because it's a very important one. 15 Best practices, in and for a design and construction business. Now I sort of mentioned up 16 front that what we are trying to do with our results-17 18 based operation is to use as many private sector 19 business best practices as we can. So once again, we're looking at best practices for 2.0 21

we're looking at best practices for design/construction business. Again, reaching out for that one thing, those things that you feel might work for us. So with that, we have Champions Suman Sorg, Darryl Horne, Will Colston, and Joe Toussaint, and I leave it up to Joe to lunch.

22

23

24

25

1	MR. TOUSSAINT: General, if I may. First
2	off, it's nice to meet you, Darryl. I feel that I
3	know you, because of your very able assistant, Renee
4	Bird, has been carrying the water for you, and I know
5	you've been in contact with you, but we had a virtual
6	team, General, with Darryl's travels. He will be our
7	star presenter. (Laughter.) So, just-in-time
8	delivery. What we did is, we're stepping back. Will
9	and I have an awful lot of opinions about this, and
10	we're going to restrain ourselves. Suman Sorg and
11	Associates has been working on many of our projects,
12	so Suman is very close to our issues. But we thought
13	that what we'd do is, we would have fresh eyes placed
14	upon this very good question, best practice for
15	design-build. So Darryl will present, do a
16	presentation. Depending upon our time, maybe we'll
17	pick it up after lunch
18	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Uh-huh.
19	MR. TOUSSAINT: if you would allow us.
20	We did send out the preamble
21	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Uh-huh.
22	MR. TOUSSAINT: so we made sure that we
23	all saw the preamble. We noticed in the Williams 20,
24	there are four, at least four, that target design
25	build, so we sent that material out. And then, of

course, just to make sure we sent out a copy of the ALDAC (ph.), which is still in draft. So the process of working, we wanted Darryl and Suman to be familiar with our internal workings.

2.

2.0

Now, I must say that while we were talking about this, and we looked at Darryl's presentation, we said, "Hey, we're doing this," or, "We could construe that," so I'm going to leave that to Will to highlight any of these things that come up, that may have some focus on the way that OBO is doing business. Suman is going to take her experience and I leave it to you and Darryl to work out how you want to do this, but if it's all right with you Darryl, would you like to carry us through your presentation?

MR. HORNE: Joe, with that kind of introduction, I guess I just have to say, "Yes, let's just get right at it." (Laughter.) General Williams, I really appreciate the opportunity to be with you guys today. It's a very austere group. Being in the industry, and seeing this type of energy and this type of learning, putting it into a process to get to the rigor that you need to get results that you're getting, it's just heartwarming to be a part of that this morning.

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you.

MR. HORNE: Our challenge this morning was to look at product delivery, and we'll get right into the next slide, here. What are some of the best practices in design-build process for overseas construction when dealing with cultural, institutional differences, and separate agendas between the parties? That is one heck of a question when you deal with OBO's mission across the globe.

GENERAL WILLIAMS: I commend you for captioning my problem. (Laughter.) And I hope that everybody else understands here, this is not a snap-

MR. HORNE: This is not one of those types of things. This is a thesis for our college professors here --

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Right.

(Laughter.)

finger operation.

2.

2.0

MR. HORNE: -- to get at. But clearly,
you're doing it here at OBO, we're doing it across the
globe. We're only trying to rebuild the world right
now, as I look at it, from an infrastructure
standpoint. But it starts with strategic
relationships and partnerships, something that, you
know, I'm on a fast learning curve here, but I've
clearly got the indication here today that the team

here is a big team, focused on the commonality of purpose, and that purpose is presented through strategic relationships and partnerships. We've got quite a bit of education and training for our foreign partners. I must say that working with the Department of Defense, I know this is one of Secretary Rumsfeld's biggest learnings, as we got into Iraq, was that the U.S.'s mission, globally, has changed quite dynamically. We have quite a bit of learning to do in this regard. We cannot make the promises we've made historically. So what we're getting at here right now is that understanding that all deals are done locally, and understanding and being able to educate and train what we know how to do as a nation to other countries, is a significant training. It is preeminent here. Creating the win-win, clearly we do that here. Really getting an understanding of the local economy, really understanding those non-governmental organizations, the civic involvement and support, sharing technology. I know lots of what I've just heard here is all about, "What does technology bring to us, that brings these million points of light together?" Sharing that technology is very, very important today, and really 24 getting an understanding of these cultural sensitivities, and that's where we'll start with this

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

25

dialogue about this particular topic. And I'll just move on, we'll get to ask questions as we move forward. Next one, please.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

What best practices are implemented to speed up and further streamline the design-build process? This is something I know that you guys get at quite significantly, so I'll just go through a number of the ones that we're finding in the industry. Paralleling quality insurance, the key of course is the adequate scope definition, the all-elusive scope definitions, we'll work through that. The early identification, I've been hearing quite a bit of that, right now, as we're talking. Commissioning, as an example. Leaving the design guidelines loose, with performance criteria designed to drive the creativity of the design-build team. We've got lots to talk about there, but we won't have the time to get a lot of that, but I know one of the things that's happening, one of the big waves that's happening right now in the industry is contract assurance.

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Uh-huh.

MR. HORNE: Contract assurance, we're beginning to see it come out in contracts. We're seeing it in DOE right now, we're seeing it into the Army contracts, whereas you've got an agency, and

you've got a contractor. And we have historically been doing contracts for years. What Congress has --I think a lot of this is the, is the pendulum swing on Sarbanes-Oxley for public companies. We're basically saying the processes and the rigor that we're putting these processes and procedures, still leads sometimes to failure. How do we identify and eliminate liability-creating behavior in contracts? And I think the Congress right now is looking for the institutions and the contractors to really get at being able to identify and eliminate these liabilities. And so, when you're looking at this money question, the Congress is going to ask you, "How can you, from a contract's perspective, give us contract assurance?" GENERAL WILLIAMS: Um-hmm. MR. HORNE: "That you're going to be able to do what you say you're going to do?" And so, that's a big part of -- one of the major things that are coming out of Congress right now. GENERAL WILLTAMS: Um-hmm. MR. HORNE: The qualified contractor pull, clearly, that problem is one that is magnified with OBO's mission. The procurement and construction simultaneously, I've heard some talk about that already. Getting the environmental approvals and

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

permits up front. And I just heard a little bit about the warranty, and the RFP, so we're going to talk about getting those warranties and reps right up front in the RFPs. And including the draft contract, the RFP information, and we'll move forward with a lot of the incentives for schedule and cost savings.

2.

2.0

So those are some of the things we're looking at for implementing and speeding up and streamlining design. Okay? Next one, please.

The next topic we thought we'd look at, very briefly, is what are some of the best practices in design-build process for commissioning the facility to the operator, and how our residual issues resolve

There's already been a lot of discussion about that today. So I have a couple of others here. Clearly defining, up front, within the contract, as best we can, and then the responsibility for turnover, in some cases, the construction managers or project manager, et cetera, et cetera. I think we've sort of gone through that one this morning already, getting those things done up front.

What best practices are implemented to speed up obtaining security certificates within the design-build process? And here we just want to highlight the prior planning -- you know, it's all about

communication, and the communication in this perspective we're talking about, multi-countries, multi-different dialects, just speeding up that planning with the local governments. Next one, please.

2.

2.0

Here it sort of capsulizes what communication and teamwork process really is, those lines of communication. You can see it for yourself. But what I've heard, on this fast learning curve that I'm on this morning, I've pretty much heard this is what you're focused on. You're focused on getting performances about this particular key factors. And the last one?

I think we all know what the benefits are.

I think OBO is basically seeing the results of what it's been putting in place thus far, the performance, but streamlining the acquisition process, therefore decreasing the cost and time to completion, allowing for flexibility and innovativeness in design, and ensure that the quality product is constructed. Those are basically the tenets that we wanted to focus on this morning to get the dialogue started around design best practices.

GENERAL WILLIAMS: So -- before we move ahead on Darryl's -- from Darryl, did anyone have any

particular, specific questions for him before we pick 1 2. up the rest of it? Because we'll open this up later 3 on, too, because I have many questions here, but I 4 think we need to hear the rest of it as we move 5 Unless someone has a burning question now --6 okay? MR. HORNE: Thank you. 8 GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you, Darryl. 9 Suman? 10 MS. SORG: Good morning, Darryl and everyone 11 else in OBO again, it's a pleasure to be here. 12 actually focused on something slightly differently, which Joe and Will and I talked about, which is the 13 14 word, "businesses." Best practices for design and 15 construction businesses. And what I did was, it was a 16 very interesting exercise in looking at how businesses 17 that you interact with really function, and how their 18 practices work in the best way. 19 You know, we've learned a lot from your 2.0 It's a -- you've ironed out many, many great program. 21 You've got a well-oiled machine, and we're 22 marching in the same direction, more or less. And the 23 message is very clear, and the progress is very easy

Free State Reporting, Inc.
1378 Cape St. Claire Road
Annapolis, MD 21409
(410) 974-0947

to measure, as you've shown in your slides, and the

number of Embassies, and that's really how we also

24

25

have modeled our own firm. It's very interesting.

And all the other partners that we have, engineering partners, construction partners, are looking at your model to see what the best practices for their

5 businesses are.

2.0

And so, starting with ourselves, we understand you have a hybrid design-build process; in other words, it's not just a program where you set out and try to find the lowest bidder, or the best qualified bidder, but that you have an SED and all the other complications that are discussed here today about overseas building in war zones, et cetera. Next slide, please.

But standardization is the foundation of the OBO program, and it's what leads to the expeditious way that it's executed, and so that's a practice that all our partners are looking at also. But as you said, not all parts of the Embassy are standardized, nor should they be. So we quickly figured out what, in our shop, should be standardized, and what should not. And whereas the room for making the building truly green, for example, when it's sitting in a very different climate with, you know, 115 degree days, so that's one thing that's a practice that we have.

And another practice that we have, it's the

next slide, is to look at what are the critical, you know, not -- like milestones that we have to reach quickly with our building partner. And so, we have figured out what is it that is required to get to the certification in a fast-track way, and how to make partners at OBO for certification. It's still a little bit of a mystery as to exactly when that piece of paper gets signed, but we've learned how to partner with DS, have them come over, bring our engineering partners, go over -- you know, before we submit anything, to make sure it's going to meet -- so certification became sort of a pivotal point in any project, for all our engineering partners and ourselves.

2.

2.0

And the next thing that we did for practice, best practices for the businesses that work for you is, next slide, is to really think about not just ourselves as designers, as people -- and this is from a business standpoint, because, you know, we may come to this dance with different partners, but the only real constant is OBO. So when and how to act as the owner's role, when you're actually working for a different boss, is a big training that we go through in our company, as to keeping the eye on the prize, which is a good building for an owner that's going to

occupy this for the next 30 years, and someone we want to continue working for. So that road, although not that clear in design-build, is something that we keep in mind all the time.

2.

2.0

In terms of -- next slide -- it's all of our people, process, and product. That's really what it's about. So, people usually means selecting the right people. It usually means training them. It usually means giving them the right message, the tools, and then to really streamline the process, much like you have, for example, in our businesses, and I've talked to our engineering partners, and other AIA members, we have uber experts, just like you have. We have an expert who knows how the antennas all work, and she is the one who educates everybody else on how the antennas are working, for example, in a drawing.

And then we have experts on tacks, there's a tack expert, and she keeps track of all the RFP changes that you put out through every year, and all the, you know, attachments and sub-attachments, and in the code, so the process is more standardized, and sort of specialized, is how we look at it, much like yours.

And then it's a question of the product.

And, you know, everybody's reputation depends on the

product. It depends on what we leave behind, what 1 will be a mark that we've made, so with that being the 2. 3 goal, as to having a product that you can be proud of, that can be replicated, is important for our 4 businesses as well. So, when we talk about it a 5 6 little more, I can roll that into what Darryl said. But I have one other comment. I went to a conference 7 on courthouses, and the keynote speaker was Stephen 8 9 Breyer, the Justice on the Supreme Court, and some 10 things he said that really struck me, that I thought I 11 would bring to this conference -- I don't know if they 12 really fit in here, but he really talked to the hard, 13 the touchy-feely aspects of what you are really doing. 14 And there's a real customer, and there's a real user, 15 and there's a real person -- people who actually 16 experience these buildings. And he talked about the 17 Boston courthouse that he helped build when he wasn't 18 the Supreme Court Justice that he is, and I was struck 19 by how much he owned that building, how much he felt a part of the -- he was involved in the interviews, he 2.0 21 was even involved in picking the paintings that were 22 going to be hung on the walls. And guiding the 23 courthouse through Boston's difficult community 24 process.

So, I thought, there is a very important

Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road Annapolis, MD 21409 (410) 974-0947

25

message here, but I think we need more champions. We need more people really talking about this, and talking about, you know, I would like to see some Ambassador on some PBS show, talking about what the experience has been, and what a feat this is, and I don't know exactly how to get that out there, but somehow, that needs to happen.

2.

2.0

much for your presentation. We will come back for some discussion, but I do want to say three or four things. First of all, your capturing of sort of where we are, you know, we spent a year and a half in this room, many of you hammering through design-build. We talked about it, we raised it up, we left it for a panel or two, we came back, worked it for another two. You have just defined where we are. It's a hybrid design-build. We tried to make that as close to the clear construct as possible, but in the environment and the situation we have to work in, with that certification process that you just spoke to, it's almost impossible to do anything but hybrid. So, that's a good lift.

The other one deals with standardization.

It is useful to know the overarching platform from which all of our projection comes from, it's about

trying to get things standardized. I talked about the size of the ambassador's office, et cetera, et cetera, or having somewhat of a standard design to help the team start from, so that you have something generic to begin your adaptation to our arrangement.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

And I was really struck your lifting of the fast-track certification type-thing. Because I don't know what the private sector experience, probably on the medical side, and Ed may have something on the academic side, but clearly the certification process for our business is very robust. You go no place unless the certification is done. And this is what is missed in a lot of what we do, because we're really not out there just sort of doing things. Everything is done by a process. It must pass through very heavily-scrutinized windows. That is before we start and after we finish. So, I think that's a very good one. And all of this has to be fast-tracked, because we're on a clock that is pushed by a notion, and the real requirement, of getting people out of harm's way.

Right now, I must tell you that the biggest problem I have today is that everybody in the green zone wants to move into the new compound tomorrow. They're beating and beating and beating and beating, "I want to get in," including some of our military.

So, these are tremendous pressures, and they cross current kind of pressures that we have. But that's what we're in the business for, to try to do that. So, this is very difficult. So, we're on a fast track, not because Williams would like to have it, it's because this is what the business is about.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

The other one, which I thought was important, is the role of all of those who work for us, as this panel has been so helpful. And that is to try to understand the dilemma we have, and Darryl Horne probably put it as well as I have ever seen, and that is dealing with a cultural, institutional differences, and people with separate agendas, and There are piles of agendas when we start whatever. dealing with putting an Embassy up. And I don't even want to get into all of them, that we really have to deal with. And there is no country the same. Every country has a little tweak on how they deal with customs, and so -- and this makes it makes it very complicated and difficult for our contractors to do business. So, I am personally pleased to see these two private sector presenters really get it, because that's the real issue. The real issue is around how different, and the fact that, how do we advance the ball in this very crowded set of parties? Okay.

think what we ought to do now, we're going to have a lot of discussion when we come back. Will will make a presentation, and we'll try to remember your notes from Darryl and Suman, but you can see that it sort of struck my passion vein, so we'll pick up from there. But what we want to do now is, we've been after this a while, we want to do something that I really enjoy doing, and that is, quite frankly, recognizing people who've been very helpful. I brag about this panel all the time, because you have been very, very helpful, Joel and some of the others who started with us, I think he served three years or so with us, he's back, feels close enough to us to come back and participate and sit in for the AGC, as a substitute member. course, John called me and told me he wouldn't be able to be here, and that he had asked Joel to do that for him. So, we're just pleased to have the opportunity to do a couple of things. First of all, in absentia for John, I'm going to ask Joel to come up and accept a couple of gifts for him. (Laughter.) Well, I know, yeah. So that we can properly recognize John. Let me just tell what you what we do.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Free State Reporting, Inc.
1378 Cape St. Claire Road
Annapolis, MD 21409
(410) 974-0947

This is a collage of -- it's not 47, because we, you

1	know, we move with this. It has about 45 of our
2	Embassies and Consulates that we've built, so John can
3	say he was part of this historical piece of work for
4	the United States of America, because it has never
5	been done before. There are several people who got
6	something like this. Congressman Wolf and other
7	people, to try to remind you that this was very
8	difficult work, and it covers the scope of the globe.
9	So, with this
10	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: I think
11	Barotti's in the mail.
12	GENERAL WILLIAMS: In the mail. Okay, you
13	put it in the mail. Okay. So, would you like to make
14	a speech for John?
15	(Laughter.)
16	MR. ZINGESER: No.
17	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Okay. Now, I have to
18	figure out how I'm going to get out of this.
19	(Laughter.) So, staff, do you want to make a speech?
20	Well, why don't we just take a photograph? Okay. Did
21	you send his book as well? You sent everything?
22	MR. ZINGESER: So, is this to go to John,
23	any of this?
24	GENERAL WILLIAMS: No, John's is already in
25	the mail. I just wanted to have a photograph with

1 you. 2. MR. ZINGESER: Okay. 3 (Laughter.) GENERAL WILLIAMS: Okay. All right. 4 know, there's something in the military called charm 5 6 school, and -- so -- when you're a brand new 7 Brigadier, you have to go to this school, and you get squared away and all, so one of the things is that 8 9 sometimes, you get put into a little awkward 10 situation, and you can always get out of it if there's 11 a camera, because you can take a photograph. 12 (Laughter.) It also, it just tells you that I'm human 13 too. 14 All right. The real John, will you come 15 forward? The one that's hear today. You've heard 16 John's presentation this morning. John has added a 17 dimension to our panel that is very, very helpful. We started our O&M thrust and thirst for information a 18 19 few years ago, and John has come in and really given 2.0 us a real boost. So John, I want to you to accept 21 this collage of photographs --22 MR. PAWULAK: Thank you, General. 23 GENERAL WILLIAMS: -- with our deepest 24 appreciation for a job well done. 25 (Applause.)

And also together with the photograph and collage, we're also going to give John a book, "Building Diplomacy," this is a sort of an architectural presentation of our Embassies and work around the world, but I think it will work well in your library. Thank you.

MR. PAWULAK: Thank you, General. Thank you very much.

(Applause.)

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Now, will Ed Denton come up? I know he's here today. Okay. You've probably gathered from Ed's comments that Ed has a very, very tough job in the academia world. He's a Vice Chancellor for Facilities, and for someone who has done a lot of academic facilities in a large town, I know what Ed's job is about. He understands, as well as anyone sitting around the table, what sort of job we have here. Because obviously, we're dealing with public funds, there's a lot of give and take about all of that, and we have to try to get it right every day. Ed has been very, very helpful, insightful. He has been here for us, and given us his all, and Ed, I really want to personally thank you for your help that you have provided to your Department. Thank you very much.

1	MR. DENTON: Thank you very much.
2	(Applause.)
3	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Okay. Matt Wallace.
4	Okay, I want to say a couple of special things about
5	Matt. When Matt came on the panel, he was supported
6	and nominated by the organization that he represents,
7	which is the Society of American Military Engineers.
8	When Matt was first introduced, a lot of the panel
9	members looked and said, "Well, are you sure?" I
10	mean, this is what they were saying with their eyes.
11	Matt is a young man, but Matt is a professional. He
12	really knows his business. He has been very
13	supportive and helpful, and I really wanted to have a
14	sort of a fresh look. Matt takes a very fresh look at
15	everything. He does his homework well. He's very
16	helpful and supportive, and Matt, I just want you, as
17	well, to take a copy of this collage, and use it as
18	appropriate, and think about us as you go about your
19	duties. Thank you very much.
20	MR. WALLACE: Thank you very much.
21	(Applause.)
22	GENERAL WILLIAMS: And with that, here's
23	your book as well.
24	MR. WALLACE: Thank you. Can I take a
25	moment? We did our awards ceremony with the SAME

1 folks at Fort Belvoir this past month, and I wanted to 2. personally deliver the Membership Chair of the Post 3 our certificate of appreciation to Overseas Building 4 Operations for being a member of the Society of American Military Engineers. 5 6 GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you. Thank you 7 very much. 8 (Applause.) 9 GENERAL WILLIAMS: I want to tell you 10 something. He said Overseas Building Operations, not 11 to Charles E. Williams. So, this will be displayed in 12 our appropriate trophy case. If you've not been on 13 our first floor in our building, we have little 14 mementos there, so this one will go among those, and 15 please tell the Chapter that we appreciate their 16 thinking of us very much. 17 MR. WALLACE: Thank you, sir. 18 (Applause.) 19 GENERAL WILLIAMS: Now, somebody's going to 2.0 tell us what we're going to do next. 21 MR. SPRAGUE: The panel members and the 22 Managing Directors will be going upstairs for lunch in 23 the Executive Dining Room, and for all the other 24 visitors, we ask that you find an OBO staff member, 25 and we're identified with the red tags, and we'll

1	gladly escort you to and from the cafeteria. If any
2	of you need to leave, make sure that your turn in your
3	visitor badge at the desk where you came in.
4	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Well, now, don't don't
5	say that. We don't want anyone to leave, because
6	later on during the day, for those who have not been
7	here before, we like to recognize our visitors, and
8	let you introduce yourself, tell us a little bit about
9	your business, and so, if you don't have to go, please
10	stay. We really want you to be a part of the rest of
11	this. We have some excitement in terms of discussion
12	that's coming, so please try and stay with us.
13	MR. SPRAGUE: General, when do you want to
14	reconvene?
15	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Let's do it at 1:00.
16	MR. SPRAGUE: 1:00. Okay.
17	(Whereupon, a lunch recess was taken.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	di

AFTERNOON SESSION

(1:00 p.m.)

2.0

GENERAL WILLIAMS: All right, my friends.

Okay, we're going to try and get started. Okay, we ended up this morning just before lunchtime with a -- on a real high edge, because we had just been the recipients of two wonderful presentations by our panel members. And so we have the OBO side of this, now, that Will Colston is going to present, and after that, hopefully we can get into some dialogue.

MR. COLSTON: Thank you, General. Good afternoon, everybody. I hope you had a great lunch. Ready to dive into our topic, which is best practices for design-build construction businesses. What I wanted to do is kind of reflect back on some of what the Director presented this morning, specifically the results. As you know, OBO is a results-based organization, but it's one thing to kind of talk the talk and walk the walk. And what you see is, you see those 47 buildings, or 47 facilities, to be more exact, that we've been able to complete over the last few years, and what's exciting about it is that every time we come here and meet at the Industry Advisory Panel, the number continues to tick up.

We have, as the Director pointed out, 34

facilities that are underway. We have 12 that are sitting on the plate, ready to go, and so we're ready to keep that thing going, keep it growing, keep it moving forward. But as you look, you hear one singular focus, of OBO as a whole, and that being that we put people into facilities that are safer, securer, and of course, functional. Now, how do we do that? You know, that's where the rubber really hits the road. And if you look back and you reflect on the best practices that the Director highlighted, we have those -- those kind of baseline best practices that we've incorporated within our business. Many of them are attributable to members of the Industry Advisory Panel, as well as other forums that we participate in. Things like employing design-build to expedite those schedules, to deliver those facilities as quickly as possible, using integrated design reviews.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

One of the things that we've done, and I know in speaking with my counterparts on the industry panel, is the fact that we've gone from your traditional design/review process, where you have multiple design/reviews by the Government, and then we pitch a bunch of comments back over to the contractors to try to resolve, we've come up with integrated design reviews that we do twice during twice during

the design process. So, we do that as design development, and then also at construction documents, which is essentially like a 30-35%, and then like a 90%, give or take. Keeping in mind, of course, and I'll touch on this a little bit later, because to me, this is one of the areas I want to drill down, is the fact that it's design-build, and so certain elements of that design package are going to advance at a faster rate than others, so that construction can begin as quickly as possible. But we have to recognize the environment within which OBO is operating, within the Department of State, for security requirements.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

But before I go there, let me highlight a few other items that I believe are extremely important to recognize. Not being happy with the progress, the results, is something that we do, and this Industry Advisory Panel really epitomizes it. Looking for those opportunities, to continue to improve, to enhance those processes. We have those baseline ones, program performance reviews, design-build, long-range overseas building plans, things you'll see in the preamble when you read it. But then, additionally, we have kind of the next level, further refinements. Things like the Williams 20, or at the door you can

> Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road Annapolis, MD 21409

(410) 974-0947

get your glossy that you can slip into your pocket and carry with you wherever you go. But, there's also the risk allocation. And the Director hit on something that, to me, is vitally important, is transparency, and the fact that we want to remain transparent. We want to focus on those relationships, because we want to further that development of the projects, and the only way we can do it is as a team. So, if you look at the Williams 20, you look at the risk allocation, those are focused very strongly, very heavily on those relationships, and how the projects proceed.

2.

2.0

Now, the Director highlighted a few of the comments after my industry counterparts spoke.

Certification, there were four areas. And that's the area where I really want to drill down, where we look at the design-build process, and the fact that we really need to address this certification requirement. And I'll speak very candidly: One of the challenges we have is quality assurance. If you look at the certification process, and I'll try to be very brief in my comment, but basically, certification occurs at 35%. Now, 35% doesn't come in until four to six months into the process. Now, that happens on a 24 months schedule, you can do the math, you back it out, you're at 18 to 20 months to actually construct this

facility. And having said that, there are a few minor, local construction things, setting up sites, and doing some grading that you can do ahead of time, but that really puts the brunt of the construction at the back end. And so, when we have problems with quality assurance, or recurring issues, even though we have qualified partners, or partners that have worked with us before, this puts them, as well as us, at a real challenge.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

So, that's why I found it particularly interesting and particularly helpful to look at things like the uber team that Suman mentioned, where we want to take a look at, as we move through the design process, a continuous experience, continuous knowledge with regard to the projects we're working on, so we can try to speed these up. The other thing is, as the Director highlighted, the hybrid design-build approach. There's always that challenge between -within the Government, particularly, where there are special requirements, of performance-based versus prescriptive specifications, and how do you find that fine balance. In those areas where we have security, prescriptive specifications oftentimes become very important.

And so, those are things where I would ask

you, is, number one, ways that maybe you, like for example in the Defense industry, have gates that you have to go through, and how do you expedite moving through those gates, as well as possibly advancing those, and starting that construction as quickly as possible to meet those schedules.

2.

2.0

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you, Will. I couldn't have said it any better, so -- now, let's dive into this a bit. I know we didn't have an opportunity to interact very much, to react very much to the presentation and Will's comments. Panel, what do you think? We're talking best practices, we're looking at the reality of the tasks that we have, and we've sort of laid out -- outside of this, which is focused around some good processes, we think, and a lot of clarity up front with transparency and the preamble that we've left you with, a copy of, and so that you can sort of digest with us, and see how we do business. So, what are your thoughts about these two presentations?

MS. SORG: I just have a comment on Will's question, which is certification. You know, in the private sector, when we're doing, say, a condominium building here in Washington, we issue drawings to the bidder, and oftentimes invited, or negotiated, a

general contractor, and what we do is we issue the drawings that aren't complete to him, and he gives you a quaranteed maximum price. It's not a fixed bid, it's a guaranteed maximum price, and he's talking about, you know, what he's going to -- and Joel, you might, you know this pretty well -- and I'm just wondering, if there is a little bit of time between when the test fit drawings going out, and you know, the development of those drawings stopped the minute you select a bidder based on the test fit drawing, and I was just wondering, when we work in the private sector, those drawings don't stop. They keep going, and then when he's given his GMP, and then you give him his final drawings, then he really gives the real price. And I'm not sure if there's any lesson, Joel, in any of this for -- can the test fit drawings be continued to be developed until you're actually ready to -- you know, closer to certification. I'm not sure if there's something in there that could be useful. What do you think? MR. ZINGESER: Well, again, I guess we're, in general, we have the good fortune in our industry of having traditional design-bid-build methods of procurement, and then in the last several years, the

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road Annapolis, MD 21409 (410) 974-0947

coming of age of design-build as a method of

procurement, and now there's a great in the public
sector, it seems to be everyone in the agencies are
very enamored with the idea of construction manager at
risk, which is really the process that the private
sector has generally tended to use, which is bringing
a contractor on board early to work with the architect
and engineers through the design phase, and help meet
the owner's needs in terms of scope and quality, and
bring the knowledge of constructability and cost into
play at the same time. This hybrid design-build
process that OBO operates under, in many ways it's
amazing that you're able to do what you're able to do,
because as I understand it, you still are required to
award to the low bidder. Is that still correct?
GENERAL WILLIAMS: Uh-huh.
MR. ZINGESER: Yes?
GENERAL WILLIAMS: Um-hmm.
MR. ZINGESER: Okay. A true design-build
process would be more of a best value type of
procurement, and these are all discussions that we've
had before about what is, is, and let's move on. But
in the design-build process that you're working under,
the contractor, the design-builder is required to give

So it's very imperative that as the process $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road Annapolis, MD 21409 (410) 974-0947

you a price, and that's it. Let's go.

their eye on the ball, which is on the one hand the product that's going to be delivered, and on the other hand, from a contractor's point of view, the price.

My preaching in the past will remain the same today, and that is that it's much more difficult for an owner than they generally recognize at first to operate under a design-build process, because the onus is on the owner to really understand and state clearly A) what they want, and B) how they're going to measure that they get it. And those two things, if they're not made clear up front, you will get apples, oranges, bananas, and pears when what you wanted was a watermelon, and so on.

2.

2.0

Now, as far as how you fast-track, one -again, one of the benefits of CM at risk or designbuild is that you can fast-track the process. In the
private sector, a great story that, you know, sticks
in my mind goes back a long time ago, and that was
when Abe Pollin was awarded the franchise for the
Washington Capitals. He had already negotiated a
situation with Prince George's County on a piece of
land. The day he was awarded the contract, or the
franchise, as I understand it, he started digging.
There wasn't a design yet of the facility, but he knew

1	how big a hockey rink was. He had some idea of where
2	he was going, so he could expedite. Those kinds of
3	opportunities don't fall upon you with this
4	certification process. So, I don't know how you
5	expedite it exactly, under this situation.
6	MS. SORG: But you know, I don't know
7	where the 6 to 8 months are coming from, that you're
8	talking about. The certification that we, the
9	builders that we're working with, they go in at 35%.
10	And sometimes but their test fit is a little bit
11	less than 35%, but sometimes, you know, there have
12	been actually other agencies that actually issue the
13	35% as a bridging document that could come with a, you
14	know, closer to certification than just a test fit. I
15	don't know if that's been discussed at OBO, or
16	MR. ZINGESER: In this case, you have the
17	standard design, which is not a set of bridging
18	documents, but certainly an element, you know, that's
19	put on the table. Maybe I'm missing your point here.
20	Bill, I don't know if you've got anything
21	MR. DENTON: Yes, may I ask you, just
22	briefly, for you to define certification? What
23	actually happens at this milestone?
24	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Will?
25	MR. COLSTON: In its very essence, what it

is is, we have a legal requirement. There's a law that requires us to certify that the facility meets a standard. What that means is, is goes through a review by our diplomatic security and other stakeholders, to review the design, and assure themselves that the appropriate security measures are in place.

2.

2.0

Now, some of the things we've done to try to help speed that process, and expedite that process, is to create a checklist that details each and every one of those critical items, so that as these designers go into their design process, they are able to then verify for themselves and assure themselves that those security requirements are incorporated into the documents themselves. However, we find that oftentimes, we get into the design reviews and there are certain critical elements that have been either left out or overlooked by accident.

But that's essentially -- the process ties in, as the Director pointed out, two-fold. One is, is during the design review, about 35%, it's somewhere, I think, around four months, sometimes as much as six, they'll go through that design review. And then we'll certify to Congress, or there will be a certification to Congress, but the Department of State that says,

1 "Yes, this design meets the standards," the security 2 standards.

2.0

As the end of the job, there's accreditation, and that verifies that it was, in fact, constructed to those standards.

what Will said, because this is a very useful discussion, and sometimes this is where clarity and interpretation of what our awesome task is, because the going-in position for the Overseas Building Operation, in its current construct, was that -- to build facilities overseas, diplomatic facilities, that are secure, number one, and safe. And obviously, function around those two matters. So, it's very clear what we must be focusing on. That's the reason we have a biochem system that costs millions of dollars on every one of our buildings. And I can go on and on. So, that's kind of what we are up against.

Let me say a couple of things, because it's been very interesting from Joel, and also Suman's, standpoint. No, we do not have a classic set of bridging documents. We have a generic design, which helps the design-build team understand exactly what we want. We only build diplomatic facilities. We don't build other facilities. Occasionally we'll do some

housing, or something of that nature, but we know that we are not Toll Brothers, or any one of the other housing companies. But, what we do try to have is considerable knowledge about diplomatic facilities around the two overarching requirements that we have. So in order to move into construction, we have to satisfy the providers of funds that what we are building does in fact capture the components that relate to security, and safe, and the building is built -- is designed to be functional. And then, that is checked, if you will, once the construction completes, is complete, against the accreditation process. So we meet ourselves again, doing accreditation, with this multi-discipline team, persons who do not normally work every day on that particular project. We come back with a set of coal eyes and look at the end result in a different way. And of course, the ultimate is, we have something you can go out and touch, is the way it works. So we are in a little different lane, and that's why we try as much as we can to offer this transparency, so everyone understands that this is what we are doing. Now, someone like myself, people 24 who may build post offices or correctional institutions, and in my case, I built a lot of schools

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

25

and a lot of roads and this type of thing. If you're 1 doing just the one particular type of construction, 2. 3 then you can do some things to try to aid your 4 partners to get there. I think the Standard Embassy Design offers that opportunity, because it sort of 5 6 takes the mystery out of "What do you want?" It kind of gets you there, and I think Suman would agree to 7 It doesn't meet the full construct of a 8 that. 9 bridging document, and quite frankly that was never 10 the exact intent, but we -- and then, what we've done 11 of late, around the RFP, with a lot of good help here, 12 because a lot of your colleagues, and some of you 13 here, helped us clean up and really lean down, if you 14 will, this whole RFP process. And also, gave rise to 15 the preamble, and all of this helps with clarity. 16 I think today, as we said, I don't think it's much to 17 be said about, "What do they want?" Because, if you 18 connect to the overarching mission, and couple that 19 with the two other dots, that is, the amount of money 2.0 that we are advanced from the Congress is clear and 21 open, and that's a one-shot operation. Now, a lot of 22 people might say, "Well, all you got to do is go 23 back," well, I haven't seen that work. And if someone 24 knows you could go back, I would say, "I will implore 25 you today, and you be the go-back person."

(Laughter.) And if you can get it, that's good. 1 So -- but I haven't seen that work. What I've seen is 2. 3 that we are expected to be vigilant about cost, because it is our taxpayers' dollars, and he have to 4 do the best we can with what we come out. And this 5 6 sort of channels us down some different roads, but this is the reason for this panel, because we're 7 trying to get every idea that's out there to try to 8 9 help us deal with it. And that's why we lay it all 10 out, and we've had some very, very frank discussions 11 in this room, as we're having today. What we're 12 bringing to you are real issues that we need help, 13 we're serious about commissioning, and we know we have 14 to deal with it. And we want to employ a best 15 practice that makes sense. We think, for us, clarity 16 around what we want is important. We think it's 17 absolutely to state to you what the big nuggets in our 18 bag's about, and that's secure, and safety, and 19 obviously, the place should work. And of course, with that, we get standardization, because we've had some 2.0 21 internal issues with something different in one part 22 of the world, and then to use William Flemming as an 23 example, he'd get assigned to west Africa, and said, 24 "Well, gee, I don't have the same type facilities." 25 So you get away from that by having standardization,

from that standpoint. And then you know that we have other equity partners, as well, that we have to contend with. Joe?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. TOUSSAINT: I was listening to this, and Will's focused on the certification, and that was one of your steps on the 14 steps. When we talked about the term that Darryl used, of parallel QA, that struck a -- that resonated with what Suman was kind of talking about, when she talked about getting a fasttrack certification. So here's -- here is a business partner that's figured out how to skin the cat. going to have to look at -- because this certification is the long hole in the tent, and I got to lick that one, because there's nothing that says that I can't do it faster than the four or the six months. I can do it in a week. If I can produce the documentation that's going to allow the stakeholders to certify it -- remember, the stakeholders are coming from a design-bid-build mentality. What they want to see is a complete design, so they can see how every door operates, how every window -- where every window is. Imagine a complete facility where every conduit goes. That's the culture, the mindset, we're working against, or working with, I should say. And we've made a lot of good traction in that area; the

checklists that Will refers to. That de-mystified.

Here's what we're looking for. Here's what you have to show us.

2.0

And we've gone the next step, and this is a step where I'm out on a limb, and I'm not too comfortable being here in this position. It's where I will get the feedback from the certification authority, saying, "Here is your punch list," again, the punch list. Now this is on the design punch list. I will get back from the design-builder, scout's honor, "I will comply with all the terms under that punch list," and then I can basically certify that we will give you what you need for certification. Based upon that, we can move forward.

Now, I'm wondering if we might -- if there's some examples you can think of where we carry this through the life of the project. Because, while at the front end we have a concentrated team effort, once the certification is reached, then we start to go off into the various different groups. So, Bill Miner the security engineers go out, and they look at embeds, and Steve Klein's technical security engineers go out and they look at other components, VS does other things like that. Maybe we need to, if there's some best practice models in the industry that we could

use, where you have some sort of a rolling, following certification team, because all accreditation is, is validating the certification.

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Uh-huh. Right.

MR. TOUSSAINT: It's the same thing.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Right. Yep. Yes, Joel?

MR. ZINGESER: This is interesting, because the one thing I didn't throw out, when we were talking about commissioning this morning, and I mentioned it to General Williams at lunch, is sort of the big elephant in the room, and that is, that commissioning process needs to recognize that there's out-ofcontract systems that need to be commissioned and integrated, so whoever the commissioning agent is, ought to be certified, if you want to use that term, to be able to walk both sides of the road and make sure that that's happening. When you introduce the concept that Joe is suggesting, it once again goes back to the beginning of the process, and the idea of buy-in and understanding making not only transparent, but in this case making clear to those who are going to have to do and be accredited with their work being accredited at the end, make clear what the metrics will be to do that accreditation. Because when you know, on a performance base, what the criteria are,

you really only know half. You have to know what the test is, what the measure is. I'm asked to provide so many BTUs, or anything -- air change, whatever it is, I want to know, okay, how are you going to measure it? Well, in the case of air change, that's easy, but some of these others, you need to know clearly how the measure's going to be made. If that package sort of can come together early on, then I think you can start to drive more quickly towards incremental resolution or definition of, you know, where we are in that process, and begin to maybe accelerate it somewhat, a little bit, and advance the -- or at least have more flexibility in driving a schedule to the end. that make sense? GENERAL WILLIAMS: Yes, we got that. Other comments? Yes, William. MR. FLEMMING: I'll make another analogy to the pharmaceutical industry, and I'm not pitching the FDA here or anything, but if you look at the way the FDA works, you as a drug company are responsible to produce a drug that they say is acceptable to the standards that you present to the FDA. They don't give a certification, they don't have to give

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Free State Reporting, Inc.
1378 Cape St. Claire Road
Annapolis, MD 21409
(410) 974-0947

anything. They, at the end of the day, come in and

validate -- they look at the validation paperwork,

they say, "You designed it, you constructed it the way you said you were going to, you should produce the drug." That's basically what they do. They don't give you a piece of paper that says yep, you did it. But along the way, they do various steps. They'll meet with you to review your master plan, which you present your process paperwork, and this is how we're going to do it, this is the end product, these are the input requirements, and so forth. And it appears to me, from what I hear, you're somewhat constraining this process, because you want to stop, review everything, and then this accreditation, where in the end, the responsibility is going to be on the design builder anyway, if you've laid out the criteria correctly. So if you've given this checklist, why are you going through the certification process, if the design-build team really knows what they're supposed to do at the end of the day? You're slowing the process up. GENERAL WILLIAMS: Wow. I just wish you could talk to a few more people about that. (Laughter.) Because, you have to understand, I didn't invent certification, I didn't invent accreditation. 24 (Laughter.) I know at the end of the day that the design-build team takes, and has, the responsibility

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

25

1	for making certain that the end product is correct.
2	But these are requirements, and that's where I would
3	leave that. But you can speak for us anytime.
4	(Laughter.)
5	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Well, that's a
6	helpful analogy.
7	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Yes, it is.
8	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The FDA and so forth.
9	MR. FLEMMING: If anybody is going to be
10	more stringent than you guys, or as stringent, it's
11	the FDA. And they're more lackadaisical in their
12	process not more lackadaisical, they're more
13	lenient in allowing the manufacturer and the EPC
14	company in that case, the Engineer, Procure, Construct
15	company, to meet the requirements of what they say
16	they're going to do.
17	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Yeah. That's I'm with
18	you, 100%. Okay. Yes?
19	DR. OEY: Please forgive my naivety in all
20	of this. It's kind of a learning curve. I come from
21	kind of a different background in academia, but one of
22	the things that I had a question about, the
23	certification, I do understand it's a requirement to
24	have the 35%. I've been faced in situations where I
25	was thrown into an organization that did things the

1	old way, and so I instead of trying to change
2	things, I kind of gave up on that. I tried to modify
3	the way things worked, or I tried to include things
4	into their process that would help me do my job
5	better.
6	But one question I had was, as homework
7	before coming to this, I surveyed several different
8	contractor companies, one of which was H.B. Zachry,
9	which I'm sure all of your familiar with, and some of
10	the things that they mentioned to me where this SED,
11	the Standard Embassy Design. Now, in the
12	certification process, is it focused on just the
13	design aspects of the Embassy, or does it also start
14	include or start talking about how it's going to be
15	built? Because I've heard some comments on, "The
16	design is there, you've got 3 6-foot thick concrete
17	walls that have to be built by American imports." Am
18	I overstepping, or
19	GENERAL WILLIAMS: No, just talk about
20	something else, okay?
21	(Laughter.)
22	DR. OEY: So that was kind of was that
23	included in that certification process, or
24	MR. COLSTON: I think the answer is yes.
25	The basically, what you have, the primary the
	1

main emphasis of the design, or the certification, is to assure ourselves that the design itself meets specific security requirements. One of the nice things is, there is — the legal requirement says you have to have a certification. It doesn't say when it has to happen, and in fact, if you go back in time, having it at the 35% stage doesn't necessarily mean that those security elements are at 35%; they have to actually be at 100%. So that gets to some of my earlier comments about speeding things up. In the past, I believe, it was actually 100%. So that's been a compromise in and of itself, I think, working with diplomatic security, like you said, trying to modify it to adapt it to the process that we're working within.

2.

2.0

The measures you're talking about, then, there's also security measures with regard to how it's constructed, and that's contained in the contract specifications. But typically, those are reviewed throughout the process as part of the accreditation processes.

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Yes?

MR. DENTON: Normally, I am loath to support bureaucracy, but if I'm on the design-build site, I would like to know, before I'm out in the field, that

what I'm going to do will be appropriate and 1 successful. And so, I can understand the 2. 3 certification need, as early as I can get it, because 4 from then on, I don't need to do anything else for those fields, and if I do what I say, it's successful. 5 6 And so, I can kind of understand the value of it, and the value of doing it as soon as is appropriate, so it 7 is another step, but I think it's a step, if I were a 8 9 design-builder, is going to give me some comfort at 10 night knowing that if I do what I said I was going to 11 do, it's going to be successful.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Well, Ed, you're right. There is a pro and a con in all of this, and that's one of the reasons we've sort of looked at it as a given, because quite frankly, it does help with discipline. For an example, if a design has been certified, that is what is expected at the end. So, if someone comes up with some other requirement, that allows us to have short conversations about that, because quite frankly, we expected to deliver what was certified. So, there is a, you know, a pro in this as well, and it's a process that we allow in our schedule. I think when we build our schedule, we allow some time in for this. We have to manage it very tightly, and our partners who work with us know

that we are on the clock, and that is very significant, but it's not all a con.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. COLSTON: Absolutely. Absolutely. Ι know many a time where you can go back to the genesis of the program, where the funding comes from is, this is a security capital program. So, security plays a very major role in this, and so it is a critical element, it's just -- I guess, taking a step back, looking at projects you've done, a million square feet, those types of things. One of the areas where I see that we continue to flounder is that quality assurance, or that quality control, where we'll have problems that come up, where an issue was not address, and even though we may have it in a checklist, we also have a checklist for the accreditation. We have lots of checklists, very structured. But we continue to encounter that, so maybe if there's something in the quality assurance -- and that doesn't necessarily have to revolve strictly around certification. be any design element, whether it be mechanical systems or electrical systems, if there's something that, with regard to quality assurance and quality control on the contractor's part that you've seen as a best practice, we would certainly love to be able to leverage that to assure ourselves that what we're

being delivered as a project meets those contract specifications.

2.

2.0

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Yes, William?

MR. FLEMMING: One thing that we've done to try to assure ourselves in the design-build process, to help quality -- and people often think of quality as, you go out in the field, and it's not right, therefore the guys putting it in did something wrong. And you find that a lot of quality issues relate to the wrong specifications, the wrong material, the wrong process, the shop drawings weren't right, so on and so forth. So, you have to focus in the beginning.

But, what we've found successful is to do independent peer reviews by an independent firm of certain design elements. Not the whole design, certain items, to make sure that the quality is reviewed, and that the technical coordination is looked at from a third party. And that's usually a fairly inexpensive way of getting a quick alternate opinion on a certain item, and you may want to think about that in various aspects. Somebody that has nothing to do with the design-build team, it's just a third-party that comes in and does that.

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Okay. Sounds good. All right. We've had some time to dive into this one. Is

there any other burning point? We do have another big topic we want to talk about. Are there any other real pressing points that any of the panel members would like to make, or staff? Yes?

2.

2.0

DR. OEY: Just real quick, I don't want to put my foot in my mouth again or anything, but -there was a lot of practice about best practices, and my background is going back to my roots at the university, what I was working on my graduate degree was benchmarking. I haven't heard too much about any benchmarking practices, although this accreditation/certification could fall underneath that. And again, as Ed was loath to bureaucracy, you know, having a benchmarking process, I've seen in the past, helps to identify certain gaps within in organization, management-wise, as well as even, you know, on the hard side as well. So I wanted to put that on the table to consider.

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Well, we went through, about a year ago, a pretty hefty dose of benchmarking. I know that our O&M people are looking, and have a pretty good arrangement around benchmarking for O&M type things, but you can never, you know, talk about these issues enough, so I value your comment. However, we've already run this one out around the

panel once before, so -- okay, are there other comments? Okay, thank you all for your input, both teams -- I mean both sides. Let's move now to the third one, on cost estimation, which I'm sure could take us the rest of the day and the rest of the week to talk about. But --

MS. BETHANY: And more some.

(Laughter.)

2.

2.0

GENERAL WILLIAMS: It's all about whether or not we are postured right, to get this done right. I know all of our partners on the private sector are interested in it, and how we do it, et cetera, and we're fortunate that we just have a new Divisional Director, Kathy Bethany, who is -- just took over that responsibility, and Kathy comes out of a rich background and experience at OBO. She had previously run our Value Engineering side of the house. So, she knows a little bit about this, so Kathy will lead will lead our side, and her partners, Ed Denton and Dr. Oey, and William Flemming, and Matt Wallace. Okay?

MS. BETHANY: Yes, sir. I'm going to start off -- I think what we're going to do is, we're going to go through how we do our cost estimating, what our

Free State Reporting, Inc.
1378 Cape St. Claire Road
Annapolis, MD 21409
(410) 974-0947

process is, and then I'm going throw it over to our

partners. Will has a presentation that he will give,

kind of like a case study of how they see it, and to get suggestions for how we can possibly improve this.

GENERAL WILLIAMS: And markers.

MS. BETHANY: And markers, yes.

2.

2.0

GENERAL WILLIAMS: I intentionally wanted to put that in at this point, interrupt you and put that in.

MS. BETHANY: And markers. Well, I should also mention that Value Engineering is also now part of the Cost Management Division, so it truly is a cost management, not just cost estimating. Also, I would change this first slide to "cost estimating is critical to any project," not just a firm fixed price project. I've heard some talk about best value awards; that also has a strong cost element. I've been listening all day, and I don't think we've gone more than five minutes without the word "cost" or "money" or "budget" coming up in some way or shape. So, it is a very crucial part of how we do business. Next slide, please.

Our process flowchart -- this is a simplistic showcase of how we do business within OBO on the cost management side. There are several steps along the way. We start, as you saw earlier, with the process the General laid out, site selection.

Obviously, cost gets involved in that, and I'll go through those steps a little bit in more detail, but this just lays out during planning, between initial and detailed planning, there are cost models developed. We also engage our partners, some AEs, to do independent cost estimates to sort of help us make sure that we're on the right track. And then, when we get into detailed planning, and going into the final request for proposal, we firm up the cost estimates a little bit more with more information, so it's a continuous process, and even though this only shows like four cost models being developed, that's not true. We're developing them; they just get better every time. There's several iterations within each of these. Next slide.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

During the site selection, this is the information that we gain to help influence our cost estimate. We're doing due diligence, making sure that it can be done, and maybe analyzing, "Well, this site will be a little less expensive than this other site for these reasons," developing site maintenance and development plans. We're coming up with some of the building massing, you know, how much -- are you going have to have a lot of foundation work, a little bit of foundation work -- just gathering a lot of

1 information, and then drafting the site utilization 2 diagram.

2.0

The next slide shows the initial planning survey, some of the information that we gather during this stage. And this is very critical information, especially parts 2 and 3. That's not to say that part 1 isn't critical, but for the cost estimators, knowing more details on the site development, what kind of customs regulations are we going to have, are there going to be a lot of Value Added Taxes that we're going to have to absorb, and then maybe get back later? What's the political climate? Is a war going on? That's going to impact what the cost and the risk are of the estimate.

We're also doing the architectural and the engineering assessments. What's the local construction environment like? Is concrete cheap or expensive there? Can you get concrete, or are we going to have to ship everything in? What kind of rates do we have for local labor? All of those things are very critical. Utility -- I know that has come up on many of our sites, where we found out that we think we have utilities at the site, but when you really start delving into it, you're going to have to run power for five miles to get to the nearest substation.

Well, that becomes an expense that we have to bear.

Next slide.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

For the budget estimate, and I should point out that there are several different budget estimates. This is the true budget estimate that's going to the Hill, but before we even start on some of these, we have a long-range Overseas Building Plan that is also being estimated. So, we're constantly building up from there, and trying to keep to the budget because I know we don't like to waste taxpayers' dollars. want to try to keep the Embassies as close to \$100 million or less as possible; sometimes, that just doesn't work. But this is the information we gather for the budget estimate, or try to have it ready, before we go to Congress. And this includes more details on the geotechnical information, a little more detail on the labor schedule. Are we going to need more cleared Americans or less cleared Americans to build in this country, or based on the project threat levels? You'll get the space requirements program more defined. How many desks are going in, and what kinds of desks are there? We'll also get the security management information, and construction and commissioning estimates. How much is it going to take to manage the project? I get these from our

colleagues in PE, Project Execution, working very
closely with everybody that's going to have a stake on
project costs. Foreign post telephones -- you know,
is there going to be a markup on that? And,
obviously, we'll be doing independent cost estimates
for the AE. What is the design cost going to be,
original planning costs? Next slide.

2.0

So, to prepare the budget estimate, we get all that supporting data, and then we use a cost estimating model called Success, it's a product out of U.S. Cost, and that helps us -- that's a model that we continually update every year with our information. And then we will develop independent cost estimates for the different contracts that would be awarded underneath the project. Next slide.

During detailed planning, we look at the IPS again. We do an independent planning review workshop where we bring all the stakeholders in, and make sure that the test fits would work, develop those test fits. We'll get detailed site utilization studies. We do the value engineering study, usually, at this point in the process. Sometimes it's a little bit earlier, depending on how much information we have. We'll do a project definition rating index, and we'll develop the project analysis package that goes forward

to the RFP stage. So, all of this information also helps to influence our numbers as we continually refine them.

2.

2.0

The final cost estimate, which is the next slide, the cost estimating model is used again. We look at the completed or updated supporting document data, making sure that nothing has changed, because there are a lot of puts and takes that go on, especially with the VE and some of the other situations where we're trying to get the projects back into the budget, because the budget has been set early on, and as we develop these costs, sometimes the costs aren't always there, but we will worth this. So, this is the final test fit drawings, project analysis package, doing the project risk assessments to make sure that we've captured all of the soft costs, or the variables, and again, some more independent estimates.

The next slide, on the request for proposals, this is where we actually get the documents that would be going to the design-build contractor, and we do a complete takeoff on those documents. So, we're estimating it at the same time as the contractors, or the proposers, are going to be estimating it, and then we do the final CWE. And that is -- should be my last slide. Thanks.

1	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Okay, thanks, Kathy. The
2	rest of the team is something burning, you would
3	want to speak to Kathy about right now?
4	MR. ZINGESER: I have a quick question
5	about
6	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Yes, by all means.
7	MR. ZINGESER: the project definition
8	rating system.
9	MS. BETHANY: That comes out of the
10	Construction Industry Institute - CII, yeah, CII,
11	Construction Industry Institute, and that is helping
12	to define if you have all of the supporting data that
13	you need to go forward with the project, and where are
14	your risks. It's another risk tool, in some respects.
15	DR. OEY: Quick question. On that PDRI, it
16	originally started off for process plants, and I
17	assume the one that you're using is the one for the
18	buildings.
19	MS. BETHANY: Yes, it is.
20	DR. OEY: Typically, the reason I know is
21	because I was part of that committee that developed
22	that process
23	MS. BETHANY: With Dr. Gibson?
24	DR. OEY: Yes. One of the things that, when
25	we developed that index, we had just defined, you

1	know, the certain the elements, I believe it was 23 or
2	32, something like that. Has the OBO modified that
3	to or is it just straight from
4	MS. BETHANY: It's based on the one that had
5	all of the elements, but we added to it for some of
6	our security requirements, and some of the other
7	things that are unique to OBO and diplomatic
8	facilities and being overseas.
9	MR. FLEMMING: I have a quick question, I'm
10	sorry, I'm sorry.
11	MS. BETHANY: That's all right.
12	MR. FLEMMING: How do you choose, or how do
13	your rate, your third-party AE estimators? Or do you
14	review them? Because oftentimes, I see it's by low
15	price, and you're not I mean, that's like buying a
16	heart surgeon, sometimes. How do you rate them
17	MS. BETHANY: No, for the AE estimators?
18	MR. FLEMMING: Yes.
19	MS. BETHANY: We actually have indefinite
20	quantity contractors. It's not necessarily low
21	price
22	MR. FLEMMING: Okay.
23	MS. BETHANY: We don't go out and that's
24	under the AE selection process, which is different
25	than the construction selection process. So, it's not

low price, but I also will be looking at actual -- you know, if their estimates are always high, or always 2. low over time, then I'm going to know whether or not I'm getting the quality product. Especially in this environment, where you're going to be evaluating against bids, you can start to see if there's some problems with estimates. And that's also in-house, too. I have in-house estimators that I do the same thing.

2.0

MS. SORG: Kathy, I just have a question.

On your contingencies and escalations that you put on these estimates, these seem to be fairly standard, sort of across the board, and I'm just curious if you had envisioned escalation being different in different economies. You know, when you think about pricing products that you buy here, or you buy them in Europe -- is this a little more complicated. I'm not sure, this is a little over my head, but I've heard there are some issues with that.

MS. BETHANY: We actually do look at escalation, depending on the countries. I know of certain cases where we've had to increase the escalation because of some of the factors that we've found during the IPS, that the escalations rates of the countries are going higher, so we do take -- we

don't have just a standard escalation other than in 1 the very early stages, but even in the early stages, 2. 3 as we get information, we are constantly modifying 4 those estimates to try to get the most concise estimate possible. Now, obviously, in the earlier 5 6 stages, during the budgeting, we don't have a lot of information. We're still gathering it. It's a lot 7 more fluid, so our contingencies might be higher, but 8 9 as we get closer to the award, the contingencies come 10 down a little bit, and our escalation gets more spot 11 on as far as what's actually been happening, and the 12 trends in that country. Yes? 13 DR. OEY: This in an analogy, really, with 14 -- many of the people that I work with are heavy 15 civil, so the estimating is different than for 16 building projects, where they basically crew-up the jobs and they base it on the labor rates and the 17 18 prevailing wage rates and stuff around the country. 19 You talked a little about the cost model, and I was curious what the cost model was based on. Does the 2.0 21 OBO have their historical database that they have for 22 various areas that they've been in, and the wage 23 rates, and some of the difficulties that have factors, 24 I guess, that are developed?

Free State Reporting, Inc.
1378 Cape St. Claire Road
Annapolis, MD 21409
(410) 974-0947

25

MS. BETHANY: Yes and no, but yes, mostly.

1	We do update the model. We've worked with U.S. Cost
2	to make it our own, based on our information, and
3	again, as we go forward with the 47 projects, we've
4	learned what the where we've had some shortcomings.
5	If we're constantly short in one area, we'll come back
6	and revisit the model every year to make sure that it
7	is the most accurate reflection of the costs. I
8	believe the model was started with means, but then has
9	been completely looked at to be sure that we get the
10	accurate, based on our experiences.
11	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Okay. Are there other
12	questions for Kathy, before we
13	MS. BETHANY: Yeah, and then we have one
14	more presentation before we
15	GENERAL WILLIAMS: I know, before we move to
16	that? Okay. Yes, the other presentation.
17	MS. BETHANY: William has yep.
18	MR. FLEMMING: Yeah. I guess I'm going to
19	make a brief presentation, at least on the way my
20	company views cost estimating, and I'd start off by
21	saying this is not necessarily a presentation on
22	estimating, and how we do estimating. What I have
23	found through 20 years of doing this is that you can
24	count and measure, and you can look in a book and get
25	the price, and you're probably going to be off by a

lot because there are so many other factors, as Kathy alluded to. So, this is a presentation about the planning aspects of pre-construction, and ensuring that we get all the stakeholders involved.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

A lot of these are from lessons learned on public and private projects that are up to \$1 billion. So, to start with, I thought it would be interesting to present what owners say about my particular business, and not necessarily my firm, but what I hear people say about cost estimators and how we do business.

I'll rifle through these fairly quickly: "Construction managers are not proactive. They sit around and wait for information. They have unpredictable fluctuations in cost. Value Engineering is simply just cutting scope out of a job, or changing finishes. People don't understand the local conditions. They don't understand the design the process and the effect on cost. They rely on subcontractors, and they don't even know how much things cost themselves, and frankly, there's little to no leadership." It's kind of scary that I do this for a living, but I focus now on my people, making sure they're aware of these, and that we try to solve those, because they're important.

So, the next item, if you go to the next slide, is, what's our environment about today? Well, clients such as yourselves are demanding information instantaneously. They don't want to wait three weeks to hear an answer they don't like. They want the answer right away. The AE teams are challenged, and there's a new technology, which is BIM, or CAD, so people are getting different degrees of information, which challenges things. And frankly, the CM or the design-builder has to have some new concepts, or they're frankly operating in the old environment, and I wish this was a manufacturing industry where I could sit down and figure it out once, and then figure out the right costs and the cycle times, but every single building you guys do is different, in a different part of the world, and offers different challenges. So, we have to be aware of that, and we've developed a plan to deal with all these issues. Next slide.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

So a little bit about what's preconstruction. Well, you've got to have the ability to make the design to budget. That's the key thing; not just, can you come up with an answer and it doesn't work, or you come up with excuses why it changed. You've got to have creative solutions, and you've got to figure out how to make communications work. And

those are the keys, and you can read the rest of these slides. Next, Mike.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

What's true pre-construction, the value of it? Well, you have to have a proactive process, and I see out there in the industry today, there's a lot of people that are very reactive, and when I say reactive, it's "Let's do a design, lob it over to somebody, tell you what it costs, and tell you the reasons why the price went up." You can't do that in large projects in today's environment; you have to have a different process where the scope and the design are managed concurrently, and one of the things I like to do -- and we talked about contingency, is make sure there's different contingencies, and they're managed. There needs to be a design contingency, there needs to be a construction contingency, and there needs to be an owner contingency, and they're completely different, and they're managed differently. And the designers need to have flexibility, but you also have to tell them where they're spending their So, that's part of the process, as opposed to waiting for a design phase to be done, lobbing the drawings over, and then saying, "This is what changed." You need a process, and I'll explain my process in a minute. So, that's the value of pre-

construction, at least in the view of my particular company. Next, Mike.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

So, we start with a game plan, and I like to make the analogy of a football team. certainly make this an analogy to an army, but a football team has a bunch of players, but they have to act as a team. And a team that's calling audibles out in the field, it doesn't work. Doesn't matter how good the players are, you ain't going to win. you've got to get all these people marching the same. So, we start with understanding the objectives of the project, and having a team-building meeting with the owner, with the AE team that we're partnering with, with all the major stakeholders, to find out what their objectives are. And what you find out fairly quickly is, there's a lot of hidden objectives. There's the guy that's going to run the building, he's got objectives as far as quality, and then you've got the architect who has an image, and you've got to kind of focus these, because they're all going to affect the cost. So, if you just wait for the drawings to show up, you'll never get it.

So we then build our team around those items. Design management, budget management, schedule, procurement strategy -- I mean, how you buy

something has a huge effect on the cost. I mean, you can buy one mechanical package, or you can buy the equipment yourself and save the markup. How that works in different parts of the world has a huge effect. And then, we build procedures, or we have a project execution plan, before we ever do one single estimate, so we know, as a football team, what are we going to do when we show up on that field to execute, so we know that those issues and how they affect cost are put into the job. And not, by the way, not all owners like to hear that certain items affect cost, but that's the reality of it. Their safety plan, their quality plan, their facility plan, has an impact. So that's how we start with defining objectives of a project. Next, please.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

And then we build a cost model around that.

And that cost model, I'm not sure if it's exactly the same phase that you have, Kathy, but the reality of it is, your initial cost models is usually the one that the flag's put in the sand, and you need to be tracking up or down from that. So, it's a comprehensive estimate that needs to be supported by written narrative. And when I say a narrative, most people look at two things in an estimate. They look at the front page, the bottom line, and perhaps the

executive summary. But what we think is important is that you communicate the quality and level of scope that the estimator sees in a written document, so people can understand that. That's the communications tool. And supporting that, similar to what Kathy said, are design phase estimates, a budget control system, which I'll show you a flowchart on in a second, design reviews and cost control at meetings, so that cost is a discussion at every single meeting. Not design issues, it's cost and design issues. And you have to integrate value engineering and so forth, and again, as we talked before, benchmarking comparisons. So, that's the planning process that we use. Next.

2.

2.0

So how do we go about doing this first cost model? Well, again, this is a flowchart similar to what Kathy had shown, but you've got to gather the information, you've got to meet with the team, you've got to prepare estimates that are detailed, and a scope narrative, and then the real important part is, you've got to go back to the stakeholders so they understand what's in it, get their buy-in so it's a team estimate, not the estimator's estimate -- because then it's the estimator against everyone else -- and get them to buy into it, and then track any changes as

you're moving forward. That's the roadmap approach that I like to subscribe to.

2.

2.0

And as you move forward, if you'll go to the next slide, you have to gauge every decision around that initial model. So, as you find something that changes, you track it electronically. Every single design decision, every single decision that affects costs or schedule, is tracked and brought up at a meeting. And I like to use the analogy "Death by 1,000 needles." Everybody thinks just adding a couple little things won't add up to much, but you start adding up 100 of them, 1,000 of them, and you're going to die from the cuts that it'll impose on a project.

So we subscribe to tracking every single item, whether it just be a light switch, a door change, whatever it is, there's a record of why we did that. And that puts real discipline in the process of cost management, which is what we're really trying to get around. Not just an estimate, it's cost management.

And then, in addition to that, one of the things that affects cost is the design strategy. How does the cost, and how does the execution, affect what the designer is going to do? So, you can see there's a series of things up there that we do, but we want to

communicate with the design team so that they know exactly what they're getting. And in this particular case, I would say that -- I would say the owner needs to be involved in all of these. This needs to be a transparent transaction, so to speak, so that the owner is involved with everything, and sees how this works, and buys into it.

2.

2.0

Same thing with scheduling. Scheduling is part of cost, you know, we're talking about estimating here, but if you don't know how long it's going to take, and why it's going to take that long, you cannot estimate the job. So all of these issues, and we've talked about the back end of the project this morning, but knowing how you get to the point to allow you to have your back end time affects the overall cost. So you've got to focus on an overall schedule before you can really develop a true estimate. Next.

And the same thing with sub-contracting plans. If you don't know how you're going to purchase the job, you don't know how much it costs. And I often see people who like to look in manuals, and say, "Well, Means says it costs this." That's a starting place, but it is not a guide, because the market is red-hot in certain places, and people just won't even sell you things at realistic prices. So you've got to

figure out what your plan is to beat the market.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

And you see there's a number of goals. This happens to be from a project in Florida that I did, but we will do outreach programs to communicate to the market when the project's coming, what we're going to package it like, what we can expect them to bid on, and we'll ask their feedback. Do you want to bid on this job? Similar to what you're doing with us, we do that with sub-contractors. Next, Mike.

And then finally, as far as technology goes, you know, what's new in the field of technology? We spoke briefly about BIM this morning, but we really didn't get into it. And BIM, I actually don't like the word BIM. I live VDC, Virtual Design and Construction, because what we're really trying to do is integrate this 4-D or 5-D model and the construction. So what I have found is, there's a lot of good tools for doing a 3-D design out there, but there's very few good tools to link the elements of the design into the cost. So, unfortunately, what I had to do was go develop my own system, which tags every single item that could potentially be in a design model to a cost item in our large database, and build that. And that was the first item that we've done, is we went out and created this large database

1	that links into that. It's called an EOS Explorer
2	System for us.
3	We also use OST, On-Screen Takeoff, to
4	integrate exactly how takeoff is done, into this
5	model, so that we can get answers quicker. Next.
6	And that's what this shows. We can produce
7	the narrative description of what the elements are,
8	how we took things off, what they are. We can print
9	the images, we can put them on the screen. It's just
10	taking technology and cost estimating to the next
11	step. So that's the end of the presentation as to how
12	we view, at least my company, how cost estimating and
13	pre-construction evolve.
14	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Um-hmm. Very good. Are
15	there questions for William Flemming?
16	MR. HICKS: I don't have a question, but
17	just a couple of comments
18	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Sure.
19	MR. HICKS: that I wanted to make. Jay
20	Hicks, I manage, minus real estate, our pre-
21	construction process. Some good things. I like the
22	fact that you said it's everyone's estimate, not just
23	the estimators, and I'm glad Kathy was that comment
24	resonated with her. I'm glad Marcus was there to hear

Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road Annapolis, MD 21409 (410) 974-0947

They both work for me. Kathy does the

it.

25

estimating, Marcus does all the planning, the preconstruction planning, and that's a management
challenge we've had. It's not an estimate imposed on
them, it's the product of the requirements and what
not that get passed to them.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

But the other comment that resonated was the "Death by 1,000 cuts," something we've done relatively recently. I don't think we've reported it out here, and it wasn't captured in the process diagram, was locking down the SED. What we've found was, you know, we set our budget two years in advance of award, and we would find that during that two-year period, there'd be two to three different iterations of the So, in 2007, where we are today, you'd have changes being made to the SED even today, for possibly the 2007 projects, with budgets that were set two years ago. So, what we've done is we've locked down the SED. The version of the SED being awarded in 2007 is identical to the 2006, save for some very modest changes. And so what we do is, we have a process in place where you have to actually fill out an application form, if you will, to modify the SED, and you have to indicate who's advancing the change, what the change is, what the cost of that change is, and the only changes we're letting in are things that are

directed to us, largely security requirements, or commissioning came to us, unbudgeted -- well, Joe had to take that out of his hide, to hold the budget firm. Otherwise, the changes get put off until 2010, which is the next time we can estimate a project with those changes to it. And it's a process that, I think, elicited a lot of groans from the building, but it's accepted, and it's working now. To do precisely what you said, get away from the death by 1,000 cuts.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

One of the other things we did when I came on board to OBO three and a half years ago, I came on as the head of Real Estate, we would have just-in-time delivery on the sites, so to use 2007 as an example, we'd be bringing in the sites right now, again, having set a budget two years ago. My parting gift to Real Estate, when I came over to planning, was to say, "In the next 18 months, you're not going to buy 10 sites, you're going to buy 30 sites, so the actual sites we have can inform the budgets we create." Now we, of course, were doing some work-arounds and what not, but is the power to the site, or is it five miles away? To what extent do we have to cut grade? You know, it's not an abstraction, we've got the actual sites in almost every instance in the '07 awards now, that we've been able to plan and estimate around.

So again, just some management things we've 1 2. done to try to get some of the things that some of you 3 have said today, but maybe didn't come out in the 4 presentation, but we appreciate it. Thanks, Jay. Are there 5 GENERAL WILLIAMS: 6 other questions around either one of the 7 presentations, or discussion? 8 MS. BETHANY: I know there's two more people 9 that have some comments that they want to make on the 10 topic, but not the --11 GENERAL WILLIAMS: Sure, sure. We'll get 12 the questions first. 13 MS. SORG: It's not always clear what the 14 CWE, which stands for Current Working Estimate, does 15 that include the hard costs, the soft costs, and OBO 16 costs, and land costs, what's exactly in that? 17 MS. BETHANY: Everything. Everything is in 18 the CWE. Now, we have some that we do "What-if" 19 analysis that may not have everything, if we're only 2.0 looking at a piece of, you know, comparing one against 21 another. I'll pick on facades, because that's easy, you know, stone versus stucco, it might just be a 22 23 small piece, but it's not a full-blown Current Working 24 Estimate. The Current Working Estimate has everything 25 that we know at that point in time in it.

MS. SORG: And so, is that shared with the person who's doing the test fit? The AE? words, how do you control what happens in that particular stage. Is that -- you know, how does the test fit AE that you have, stick to your budget? he --MS. BETHANY: We give, or we have, a designto budget, or a plan-to budget, that's a part of that. It's developed from the CWE, but we pull out the costs of just the contract that you have to design to this amount. We don't necessarily give you all of our overheads and all of that kind of thing in there, but you can almost do the math. If you know what the budget was versus what the design-to is, you'll get a little bit more of a sense. But it's -- you're given a plan-to budget, or you're supposed to be, if not, that's my fault, and I need to correct that, but there should be a plan-to budget of what our upper limit would be in terms of the design that you're working on. GENERAL WILLIAMS: Okay, that's good enough for Kathy? Okay, we have some other comments from members on this matter. MR. DENTON: I don't have a presentation,

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road Annapolis, MD 21409 (410) 974-0947

mainly because I was going to be sitting in a narrow

seat at 35,000 feet yesterday for five hours. That would give me lots of time to think about this, and actually it did. If I was to title my discussion, it would be "Time is my enemy." I recognize that between my organization, my world, and OBO's, there's a lot of similarities, and there's actually a lot of differences. Some of the similarities are, early on, I have to make a commitment to the Board of Regents, not dissimilar to yours with Congress, that says, in essence, "This is my contract, I'm going to do this for this much money, and this is when I'm going to do it."

2.

2.0

I suspect I'm not nearly as good as you.

I'm doing it far earlier than I think you do. All my buildings are one-off; they're not buildings that are based on a repetitive model, if you will. So, on the one hand, I think I have a pretty sorry job, because it's very difficult to do that. On the other hand, I do use a lot of the tools you use. I do bring my contractors in early. I have them involved in estimating with us, with the architect's estimator and the architect. We are looking for a very collaborative relationship. We are expecting that they'll reconcile. We're expecting that they'll talk about means and methods and all those kinds of things,

that early on in a project, you need to have an estimate that gives you a level of confidence. And, in fact, in my world, I have a three-legged stool. If was to talk about your world, I might add an additional leg. My legs are obviously slope, quality, schedule, and to yours I might add the "overseas delivery implications," that I think have an impact that's far different than most of my projects would have, because I'm in a very simple locality.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Probably the easiest for me is scope. I do know what I need. I do know what I need, early. Quality gets a little difficult; I'm setting an estimate before I've even done detailed exterior design, and what not. I'm not even sure of the exterior materials, and pretty soon quality starts getting to be serious. And schedule -- schedule is probably my biggest concern, because the marketplace has been so volatile over the last three to four That it's extremely hard to anticipate what's going to happen. In my area, in California, contractors are extremely busy. I'm in competition with contractors who build hospitals. The state of California has a law that requires hospitals be seismically upgraded by a period of time. Well, I'm dealing in a world where I'm building high-tech

buildings, and I need the same sub-contractors and general contractors that they do. So, the marketplace is giving me very little competition. That'll drive up the cost. Escalation, because I'm in competition with Asia for materials, whether it be concrete or steel. I'm finding escalation there very volatile.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

I can't anticipate with a high level of accuracy, that gives me a high level of confidence, what it means to start a project in June of 2009. I'm designing those right now. It makes me very nervous.

The second thing that troubles me a little in my world, that is actually very different than There are times when I've made a commitment to yours. the Regents, said "This is what I want to build, this is what it's going to cost, this is when I want to build it, " but I don't have all the money, because it's coming from donors. And, if there is a slowdown in how the money is coming in, it goes from a very robust fundraising program to one that's a trickle, all of a sudden I will start losing confidence in beginning my project in June of '09. So, I'm going to start dealing with additional escalation, and that, of course, hurts my credibility with Donor Committee, because they say, "Why can't you guarantee us what it's going to cost?" Those people that work with the

University, who are out raising money, are constantly holding me accountable for a budget that they're delaying, and I'm facing more escalation, and I'm in a lose-lose situation. And so time is indeed my enemy.

2.

2.0

Now, the other thing I want to talk about though, and I heard it a little bit before, is the issue around quality. In the continuum of a project that has scope, quality, and schedule, as something starts to need to change, what are you going to give on? If it's a zero-sum game, what are you willing to change? Now, I have trouble changing scope, because I've made a commitment to the Regents, said, "I'm going to build this building that has this scope in it." Schedule, probably, is changing for me, so what am I left with? I'm left with quality. And for you, that's why I think your certification process is so good, because that's one bit of quality you know is never going to be monkeyed with.

GENERAL WILLIAMS: That's right.

MR. DENTON: That's good. The bad part is, what are you left with? What are you willing to change? You know, the contractor, the design-build team has means and methods and everything, and they might be able to do something there, but still, they should be sitting down with you and talking about,

So,

"Well listen, we need to do something differently." How are they doing that? How are you able to agree that these are the changes that you'll accept, that need to happen, to make sure the bottom line stays the same? I'm going to give you an example that I'm dealing with right now. I have a building that we're designing. It's going to have two basements in it, full of a neurological research center, with 4 MRIs and a vivarium. A strange combination, but we got it in the basement. And above it, I have five floors of high-tech biomedical research labs. Well, the dilemma is, the architect came to us not too long ago, and said, "Gee, we've determined that the method we were going to use to waterproof the basement just isn't as effective as we think it should be. We want to recommend to you a change. By the way, it's going to cost \$400,000 more." Well, my attitude is, "Well, that's fine, I want the building dry. What am I not going to get from you to be able to pay for the \$400,000?" They didn't have an answer for me. Here's a case where there was a team member who wanted to make a change,

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road Annapolis, MD 21409 (410) 974-0947

and expected me just to dig into my wallet. I can't

do that, and I suspect you have the same problem.

how do you deal with that change? What are you

willing to modify? What are you willing to give up, as an owner, to be able to allow that to happen? Because you know it must happen. I can't have my basement leaking. By the way, I have animals down there. You know, you treat the animals better than you treat humans when you're doing research. criteria with which you're measured as far as animal research is actually much more stringent than human research, so ultimately it means a lot. So that's a real problem. How do you do that? I have to be The answer honest with you. I don't have an answer. that I have, at least what I do, is I get everyone in the room, and say, "Okay, folks. We have a problem. Contractor, architect, owner, help us decide how we're going to mitigate this additional cost. And by the way, don't look at contingency for this to happen. I need that contingency later on." So, it's a real dilemma. It's the continuum of a project, and the fact that time can be a significant enemy, especially if the project is delayed. I have had escalation in the Bay Area that has just been unbelievably high. No one anticipated it. And now we have gone from a high of, say, 12% or

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Free State Reporting, Inc.
1378 Cape St. Claire Road
Annapolis, MD 21409
(410) 974-0947

to 8%, and hoping it's going to drop to 6%. Well, I'm

more, one year it was almost 20%, and I'm backing up

banking on that, literally. If there's something that
happens that changes that, the cost of oil goes up
dramatically, the cost of processing goes up

4 dramatically for materials, all of a sudden, I may

5 have a building I cannot afford. So, cost estimating

6 is extremely crucial at the beginning, and if

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

7 | anything's going to give, generally it's quality, it's

8 | not scope, and time is really out of your control.

9 So, I don't have a silver bullet, but I can tell you 10 it's a real problem.

And then the last thing I want to leave you with, I was talking to Jay at lunch, and I should have mentioned it, and I didn't because I felt maybe I was talking too much, but one of the things you talk about when you do a building is, how much are you going to put in the capital costs to save you in the operating costs down the road? And the great answer is, look, if I spend a little bit more money here, on the left, on the capital side, I can save money on the operating side. The problem is, that money is different colored. It's not mixable. It's not fungible between the two uses. And so as an owner, it's an extremely big challenge. So, when I start looking at quality, I have a problem with my budget, I've got to start looking at quality, I could shoot myself in the foot

if I'm willing to change that part of quality that's 1 2. going to impact my operations and maintenance down the 3 road. And, the one thing that is similar for me, as 4 it is for OBO, luckily, it's under the control, 5 ultimately, of one person. And that is very powerful, 6 because it prevents the capital side from, in essence, 7 taking from the O&M side. And that is something that looks very desirable to a project manager because it's 8 9 an easy way out. But since ultimately I got to see 10 it, it becomes more difficult for them, and to me, 11 that's a significant advantage. 12 GENERAL WILLIAMS: Ed, thank you very much. 13 Very clear, no issue about connecting to any of that. 14 Yes, William? 15 MR. FLEMMING: Can I just add one comment to 16 That was very well put, Ed. that? 17 From a contractor's perspective, we have one 18 additional objective that is extremely important, and

From a contractor's perspective, we have one additional objective that is extremely important, and that is safety. And we will not compromise safety to save money, and that is thought of all through the process. And when time's against you and you got to go quicker, safety's actually more expensive. And I suspect, in some of the places that you're operating in, safety is a difficult challenge. And there is a cost associated with safety, and I don't want anybody

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

in this room to think there isn't a cost associated with it. And we will spend whatever it takes to make people go home the way they came to work, and that's an important point for you guys when you're budgeting to think of that. Don't cut people's safety for money.

2.

2.0

GENERAL WILLIAMS: That's right. You know,
I can appreciate all of this, and I know that Matt
Wallace has something to say as well, and I'll just
hold my comments until he's had an opportunity to make
his presentation, and then we will sort of do a finale
on this.

MR. WALLACE: Thank you, General Williams.

I'm going to be brief. I don't really have an extended presentation today. To comment on Mr.

Denton's input on contingency for lost time, I talk a lot with a lot of the government agencies doing military construction. I'm in contact with a lot of private sector, and what I've heard which I thought addresses that is AE firms putting in design options, communicating with the owners up front and saying, what can you live without if this happens? With the budget cycles and project end dates being undetermined, how are you supposed to predict that?

Going into this assignment, I had no idea how hard

cost estimating was, and I don't envy you for having your position, but you have to predict the future, which is a difficult thing to do. So what do you do when you can't predict the future? You have to build in that contingency, and as a service, some of the AE firms out there right now are putting in these design options. And as long as the communication with the owner is there up front, you're not left in a bind. So that might be something you might want to consider.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Escalation factors was another thing that Standardizing and publishing so the people came up. bidding on the contracts will have an idea of what you're looking at, might enable further communication so when bids are coming on contracts, with the competitive market that's out there today, one of the agencies I spoke with is getting double estimates on the contracts that they're putting out. Well, the budget doesn't handle that type of excess, so I guess what's plaguing the cost-estimating world right now is assumptions, assumptions from the contractor's perspective, assumptions from the owner's perspective, not knowing what the future has to hold on labor, materials. That seems to be what's driving costs up on the front end as opposed to working backwards, as someone suggested, working the budget backwards.

That's all I have. Thank you.

2.

2.0

really talked through this last subject, and you notice it's been quiet in the room, and we have all listened because at the end of the day, I think William Flemming said it best: We can skirt around it or whatever. I raised you this morning; I couldn't get many takers. But I got to tell you, this notion of cost is the bottom line, and you cannot have a useful discussion about any of this business, I've been doing it for a few years, unless you put the whole notion of cost out there. And so I think this was just absolutely healthy across the board.

We had one other member of our team. I don't want to sort of get into the general discussion until Marcus has an opportunity to make whatever comments you have.

MR. HERBERT: Well, thank you, General Williams. Chris and I had worked on the presentation probably six months ago when we initially got it started, and with Kathy coming on board, she felt well suited to carry it on her own, and I appreciate it. But I was very interested in Bill's comments, particular regarding process, and certainly we picked up some spots where we need to improve upon. And I

appreciate it, and you talked about schedules and team estimates. Jay brought up the fact that, you know, from our perspective, it needs to become a team estimate for us to do a better job. Regarding the use of technology, we're already moving forward to use more technology during our planning processes so that as we make decisions during planning, we understand what those decisions mean almost immediately to our cost estimates.

2.

2.0

So I appreciate the opportunity, General Williams, and this has been very enjoyable.

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Okay, thank you. I'm going to ask others to have comments as well against this whole subject. I just mentioned two more trigger points from what I said. I think Ed's idea about money has different color. Now, that may not mean a lot depending on where you are, but clearly, in the government, it comes from different pots, and a capital security flavor dollar is different from an O&M type of dollar. GAO just went through our organization and made that comment, that obviously funding and more vigilance around the O&M, and obviously that takes money to deal with. So that's a very important point.

I thought Ed's other point, how do you

manage a deduct as we call them in the business? know that the top line of the limit is bound around a budget, and I've already spoken to those that would advocate, well, you just go get another budget. you know, we all know it doesn't work that way. So you have what you have, so you have to decide what is important. We go back to those overarching requirements, safety and security, as being the ones that obviously the certification and ultimately the accreditation require that we do not deviate from. So leaves us in situations like that. He pointed out that something has to give. It does, but it has to be done very carefully. And I was interested in what some William said, and that is what can we get from our partners, our team members such as the AEs and those who should know an awful lot about how the job is put together.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

So these are just interesting things, and I can't recall who said "creative solutions." I just loved it because quite frankly, that's the only way. Ladies and gentlemen, that's the only way. Friends and neighbors, that's the only way we can do some jobs overseas. You can't pull it out of a book and write it and make it work that way. There are some situations just so unique and difficult, the only way

it can work is be creative, and with the notion of protecting the two big gods because nothing else fits except be creative. And Jay and his folks go through this every day, trying to figure out what's the whole premise somewhat behind the Williams 20, looking at a different way, a different approach, mixing specialty kind of contractors in with everything else to try to get us there. You just can't lay this out and do it the traditional way all over the world because it just doesn't fit for us. So I was very pleased to hear that.

2.

2.0

And William, I like your track number 1.

Give me a copy of that. Okay. I -- in my pocket, and probably somebody else might decide the organization they're taking in theirs, too. I just want to be constantly reminded of what "they" may be saying about us.

Okay, are there other comments? Yes.

MR. ZINGESER: Way back when, when the program was getting started and moving along, issues came up that had to do with risk management and allocating risk and who had what risk and how to help make the process fairer, was one idea, and also more predictable, and especially in the cost area. And a lot has happened along those lines, and so that's the

good news. And then we move along and come to the
climate that we're in today, and I wouldn't
characterize the climate as volatile. I would
characterize it as robust. When you and I first met,
billion dollars a year was one heck of large

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Right.

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

program.

MR. ZINGESER: In the greater Washington area, in the next five years, there will be 50 billion dollars worth of construction. That's just local. California is California. Similar stories, I gather. So I remember saying to this group back when, build it and they will come. The idea that this was a major program, you lay it out there and the world will beat a path to your door. Now, you have a little different problem because, again, just locally, we've got this competition, and there's a real question of capacity in the local markets, in the domestic markets in terms of subcontractors, trades, how much can get done in the time of some of the programs like the BRAC I mean the 2011 date is a real date. that's affecting prices clearly, but it's also a big factor in terms of when the owner goes on the street looking to procure because if everybody goes out at the end of the fiscal year and everybody's bumping

into everybody, that's a problem. A year program is a bit more predictable in terms of when you're going out. And also, in this case, being overseas, in a way, that may be a blessing. That may help you because you're looking at a different set of factors.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

But this real issue of estimating and living in the world that you and your government colleagues live in of having to set your budget two years ahead, as a minimum, is very, very difficult, and unfortunately, as much as I like to say that we in the construction world and in the design-build contractors, if you brought us in, we could tell you what it was going to cost, it's going to cost what it costs the day we get all those last little prices together. In fact, we can tell you what the price will be. What the cost will be we won't know until the job is all over. But it's a very, very difficult environment. It is volatile, but it's more because of it being so robust, and it's not easy. It's not an easy world that we live in, and that's why this notion of doing it together, keeping a tight rein, and checking constantly and planning is really critical.

GENERAL WILLIAMS: I appreciate it that comment, and it's noted, and we have no issue with it. I think you're just right on target. That's one of

the things that I think is helpful throughout this process is candor, and we all have to see it as it is, and events change. Change is the way we think, and that's one of the reasons we're trying to constantly come up with different approaches and looking the best we can to try to figure things out because there's no way to operate like we did, even when you and I met six and a half years ago when we were just beginning with this program. And I'm appreciative that you could put these on the table because it is very important for us.

2.

2.0

I need to say one other thing, too. This came up at lunchtime, and I think in the spirit of transparency, I think it should be very clear to everyone that there's a foreign dimension to every building we build overseas. There's foreign labor every single project, all 47 projects, a hefty foreign labor requirement. It makes commonsense. It's been this way not the six and a half years we've been here, but 20 or 80.

MR. HICKS: 75.

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Or 75. So I know it's not something that we were -- about, but we just need to know that, and so looking for new ways and the like, we always have to keep that dimension in mind as

we move forward.

2.

2.0

Are there any other burning issues around this subject or any of the others?

MR. TOUSSAINT: I have a question.

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Yeah.

MR. TOUSSAINT: I like his description of how you solve a problem. When you have a problem, you bring the designers and the contractors and the owner together to fix a problem. Do you have any models or examples of how you do that once you're under a firm, fixed price contract so that the equities or the risk is being really carried more by Joel's constituents, in other words, that \$400,000 savings is coming at a certain greater risk to the contractor, say, than it would be to the designer, perhaps? How do you adjudicate that? How do you reach agreements that are equitable?

MR. HORNE: Well, it depends when I make the change. If I make the change right now, during design, I look at it as the team's problem. How can we deliver this building for you and give you the quality you want and expect and have this proper waterproofing in the basement, as a specific example? If I were to make this change after the contract has been awarded, the nickel is on me. It's not on the

contractor. It's a change order to the job. I got to pay for it out of my contingency. So I don't want to make these changes later. I want to make them sooner.

2.

2.0

I will say that I can't remember a significant capital project in the last three years that I've gone out to bid without alternates in there to deal with issues around the robustness of the marketplace, if you will. I mean, I literally can't count on the bids, so I have alternates there that I can exercise if I must.

But this particular issue, I don't want to make this change later. I want to make it sooner.

other questions? I'm going to do a little something here now which we do at every one of these. We have visitors who come to watch our process or to, for whatever reason, they can speak for themselves. But we're delighted that it's enough interest in your government and the State Department business that you would find time to come out and be a part.

As you know, this is an open, transparent operation. We have talked about the issues that are concerning OBO at the moment. We've given you a presentation on the status -- in fact, it's equivalent of a stewardship report on what we have done with the

funds that your taxpayers have provided to us. You have seen those, and I invite you to go tough them if you have any further concern about them. They are out there.

2.

2.0

Also, there are 174 visits that I have made over the last six and a half years to these 91 -- I'm sorry, 81 locations. I've given a tremendous amount of insight into what's really taking place in the world, and that's why I can be so profound to say what the world looks like out there. It is a very difficult job that our department has, and I got back to Darryl's Horne's descriptor of it. It's laced with flavors of things, and as Ed said, it has a fourth leg that we have to deal with.

So we're not saying this because we know what it was before we got started, and we have good people that work every day work very hard in this to make it work, good partners from the private sector across the board and a lot of interest in this room. There are people in the room who are interested in helping us in trying to make things better. We tried to go across the board and think about every conceivable angle we can think about to make the program better and improve it, to include a lot of the initiatives that you have helped us with, such as the

preamble, the cleanup of the RFP. You've gone through our Williams 20 with us and told me that I was not crazy when I wrote them, and we've looked at every single one of them.

2.

2.0

And so, I think that this is a very useful process, and for me personally, I thank the panel and for its continuous support with advice. You don't just come here, and even most recent members, they find a way to make a contribution, and I sincerely appreciate that. We assign tough homework. It's a methodical process. Everything here is about process, and we don't wing anything. Everyone comes to this meeting knowing exactly what is going to be talked about, and we don't tell you what to say. We just ask that you try to stay focused, and you've done that very well.

One recent member commented on the way down from lunch, which was a very interesting comment that Nancy made. I didn't even know anyone remembered when I was back in the school construction authority, but I'll let her speak for herself.

But that is a part of this partnership. So what I would like to do now is to go around and ask our visitors. We're again delighted that you're here. Tell us who you are and if you have any observations

about what you've seen today. This is your government as well as mine. So I'm interested in what you think.

Starting with my friend from KBR.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you very much. I'm Janet MS. WHITE: I work at KBR in the Arlington office. White. not saying that -- information and dialogue all day today. I mean, the panel is just very impressive and the exchange with OBO and representative staff was just -- I just can't give higher thoughts and remarks that I'll bring back to KBR. What I found really interesting was how you take your mission, your design-build, and it kept folding and unfolding into design engineering, into builder needs, not as much stability as to have -- will come on in the future, but there's a common message here. It is a collaboration. It's all the upfront collaboration. It is the teamwork. So to me, you're all connected, and I see the connections going on in the room and in the -- here, so very touched.

GENERAL WILLIAMS: And thank you for being here. Yes, sir.

MR. ANDERSON: Tom Anderson from Ammann & Whitney in New York. We're architects and engineers and we participated in the program in the past and will continue in the future. I think today's topics

1	were very interesting because from my sense, there
2	wasn't an answer. It's an ongoing discussion as we
3	talked about it. It's a fluid climate that's
4	happening out there, and it's not as simple as just
5	saying a voodoo agency that you can cover all these
6	bases, so it's a problem that all of us face design
7	team be here today, and unfortunately, probably
8	continuing to talk about it is the only way to control
9	it. And I don't know that there's a succinct finish
10	to the discussion.
11	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you very much.
12	Yes, ma'am?
13	MS. ROTH: Victoria Roth (ph.)
14	Construction out of Knoxville, Tennessee, and this is
15	my first visit to We're a small business with
16	possibly a short venture, and just a kind of daily
17	process and procedures before it takes place and, you
18	know, like something we were interested.
19	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you. Nice to have
20	you. Yes, sir.
21	MR. MORIN: Chris Morin with Orion
22	Management. Thanks for inviting me here. It's been
23	in and out of this panel here for a few years. What I
24	find most exciting is the evolution of the panel, the
25	growth and how the industry and government and private

1	industry together has created such a success, 147
2	sites here, completely unheard of 2000 which
3	is but just we see that it's not only it's
4	debatable. Everything here is debatable like sort
5	of said. It's moving, it's growing, changing. It's
6	nothing static. Everything's just on the surface.
7	It's very positive, very
8	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you. Yes, sir.
9	MR. COOK: I'm John Cook. I'm with CEI
10	Group, and I'm a OBO roofing contractor. I'm here
11	mostly to try to get a consensus to big game so when
12	we bid the day-to-day stuff, we can understand what
13	the desire is and what we can bring to the table to
14	help make everything more competitive for us.
15	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Wonderful. I think it's
16	always important to see the big dot. Yes, thank you.
17	Yes?
18	MR. McDONALDS: I'm Reagan McDonalds (ph.).
19	I appreciate the opportunity to be a freebie observer
20	today. I'll be replacing Matt Wallace in the Society
21	of American Military Engineering chair on this panel.
22	I'm currently unemployed. I'm between careers and
23	actually recently retired from the military.
24	GENERAL WILLIAMS: You may have the freshest
25	mind.

(Laughter.) 1 MR. McDONALDS: I'll be going back to work 2. 3 in August, but I've recently come off the program 4 scene from the National Geospatial Intelligence Agency, which many of you know, is under procurement 5 6 right now. So a lot of the comments that you made are 7 frankly are very near and dear to my heart. Thank you for the opportunity to be here. 8 GENERAL WILLIAMS: Okay. Do you have any 10 comments being fresh from this about the process here? 11 MR. McDONALDS: I think this is a wonderful 12 exchange, certainly very candid, and something that a 13 lot of organizations that I have served in do not 14 leverage to the extent that that they could. I think, 15 as a learning point, which is new in years, may create 16 dividends -- probably knowledge. 17 GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you. Mary? 18 MS. ANDERSON: Yes, good afternoon. 19 Mary Anderson. I'm with Schnabel Engineering. 2.0 I'd like to comment as being president of the northern 21 Virginia -- Thank you for the continued opportunities 22 for our members to support you. And also, just seeing 23 as well represented here, there's a list of the 24 invitees, probably over 16 times --25 GENERAL WILLIAMS: Wonderful. Mary is a

1	former panel member, so we never let them off the
2	hook, right, Joel? Yes?
3	MR. McDONALD: Good afternoon. Tom McDonald
4	with Advanced Protection Technologies. We're a
5	manufacturer of certain protection devices working
6	with OBO and really enjoy
7	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you. Delighted to
8	have you. Yes, ma'am?
9	MS. NUGENT: Hi. Good afternoon. I'm Pam
10	Nugent with Goshow Architects. This is my second IAP
11	visit. It's just been fantastic, and I just want to
12	thank you all for being so welcoming to a young gun in
13	the industry. I learned so much, and it's nice to sit
14	and listen to you guys discuss and debate and for
15	always being interested in sharing. We're always
16	sharing tidbits that could be used in all sorts of
17	stuff
18	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you very much.
19	Thank you very much. Yes?
20	MR. GRIFFITHS: Mike Griffiths (ph.). I
21	work for you, sir.
22	GENERAL WILLIAMS: I know. Now watch this,
23	I know but you're wearing a different tie. They know
24	they can't win. Okay. Go ahead.
25	MR. STEWART: I'm Daniel Stewart (ph.). I'm

1	with Hill International, and we're an international
2	construction management firm, largest employee
3	consulting firm in the world now. I come here to
4	learn about what you're doing, and I appreciate the
5	opportunity. I'm vice president here in the
6	Washington claims group, and I'm looking forward to
7	the opportunity to present a new contract to provide
8	claims for, and claims mitigation, and
9	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you. Nice to have
10	you. Yes, sir?
11	MR. TURNER: I'm George Turner, and I'm
12	actually a student at University of Delaware, and this
13	summer I'm interning with the Associated General
14	Contractors of America, and this has really been a
15	good experience for me today. I've learned a lot.
16	This is all really new to me, which is and it's all
17	been a really great experience, and I thank you.
18	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Delighted to have you.
19	Yes, sir.
20	MR. BELLUM: Barry Bellum (ph.), the
21	Associated General Contractors. Just wanted to thank
22	you, sir, for allowing me to be here today, and I want
23	to thank you all, especially for the material. It's
24	all very valuable. We appreciate this hard work and
25	dedication, and there's something I'd like to give to

1	all of you, to mark the whole challenges ahead
2	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Okay, good. Yes, sir?
3	MR. EMMIS: Mike Emmis (ph.) with Bentley
4	Systems. We provide software for design and
5	engineering the world's infrastructure. I just wanted
6	to thank you again for the opportunity to attend a
7	second IAP meeting. It was very interesting, and just
8	with respect to today's discussion, I think one of the
9	most encouraging items to emerge in presentation was
10	how commissioning is involved increasingly further
11	upstream in the design process, particularly
12	considering the future benefits to the O&M product
13	portion of a lifecycle. One question that I would
14	look forward to hearing perhaps discussed in the
15	future, going back to our last meeting, would be the
16	possibility of the building information model evolving
17	to become a commissionable asset, linked to systems in
18	the infrastructure itself.
19	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Um-hum, we're still
20	thinking, yes, um-hum. Yes, sir?
21	MR. HOFFMAN: Yes, Tim Hoffman. I'm a
22	reporter with <u>Federal Times</u> newspaper. We're a Harper
23	& Collins publishing company. I've been there for
24	eight years, covering pay and personnel issues, and
25	just recently, we decided to go in a different

1	direction and start looking at asset management and
2	facility issues, and obviously, here today, I've heard
3	a little bit about overseas issues and found the
4	discussion very educational in the way it was laid
5	out, and thanks for all the material.
6	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you, delighted to
7	have you. Yes, sir?
8	MR. BANKER: Yes, Will Banker, Surge
9	Suppression, Incorporated. Thank you for having me
10	again, General. We're a electrical surge protection
11	manufacturer, and I've had some wonderful
12	opportunities with OBO to the AE firms and
13	subcontractors and just love the openness of the
14	discussion again. Everyone keeps mentioning that, but
15	it's really wonderful to see. I've had a chance to
16	come to a few of the IAP meetings and to see a year
17	tenure for IAP panel to bring in some faces. It's
18	really refreshing and open. I love it. Thank you.
19	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you. Yes, ma'am.
20	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Hi, General.
21	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Hi.
22	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: I hear you,
23	too. Glad to be back again. Something very close to
24	my heart obviously is quality. And the discussions
25	that I heard today were just terrific. I think that

1	you're going to find that bringing the commissioning
2	forward in the process is going to just be of such
3	great benefit. You're already starting to do it, as
4	noted, and I love the continual improvement and
5	continuous growth and progress and the continuous
6	creative solutioning as a verb, so to speak, and it's
7	really awesome. Thank you for letting me be a part of
8	it.
9	GENERAL WILLIAMS: And thank you for coming.
10	Yes, sir?
11	MR. LEE: General, Richard Lee, PAE. It's a
12	delight to be here again. I just said to Tim, I found
13	out now why he took everything that you've said here
14	for the last four hours verbatim. These reporters
15	won't go away. (Laughter.) But my assessment on the
16	last two years coming is that there's more and more
17	focus being paid toward the O&M piece of it, which is
18	what we PA do, and I'm delighted to see that and wish
19	you the best luck as you go forward. Thanks. I
20	appreciate it.
21	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you. Thank you
22	very much. Yes, sir, could I have you?
23	MR. SIATOLI: Yes. Hi. I'm Peter Siatoli
24	(ph.). I'm with Wesleyan Solutions, and we're a pre-
25	qualified design-build contractor as part of the

Embassy program. This is my fourth Industry panel. 1 2. like the candor. It's very good. There's refreshing 3 dialogue, so I'm looking forward to coming here for 4 the next one, and the main issue that I look for is how you address change, how you and OBO are 5 6 represented here, how you deal with change. GENERAL WILLIAMS: Um-hum, thank you. Yes, 7 sir? 8 9 MR. WALSTON: Mike Walston (ph.) with Merry 10 International (ph.) Capital Special Projects. 11 the design-build contractor -- program. I just really 12 appreciate being able to be here get to see similar 13 conversations as the one we have in our office every 14 day, how the program works. It's good to see it's the 15 same questions we have are addressed here. 16 GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you. Yes, sir? 17 MR. GRAVES: Mark Graves with DMJM H&N 18 Printers, Architects & Engineers. I got a lot of the 19 commission component. One thing that we're finding quite often is not only is it just a matter of pulling 2.0 21 the commissioning toward the front of the project, but 22 actually using it as a cyclical basis all the way 23 through, as are you're doing, a standardized type

Free State Reporting, Inc.
1378 Cape St. Claire Road
Annapolis, MD 21409
(410) 974-0947

project. It will allow you to improve each time, so I

thought that that was interesting.

24

25

1	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Sure. Thank you. My
2	friend?
3	MR. SHIRVINSKI: Adam Shirvinski with EMSI,
4	now actually DOD Solutions. As one of the two
5	bookends in the room
6	GENERAL WILLIAMS: He hasn't missed one.
7	MR. SHIRVINSKI: again, I like to see
8	good government at work and another excellent day.
9	Love the commissioning discussion, also the way it
10	ties into building information modeling and holding
11	those two together and marrying them is going to do
12	very, very well for the program. Thank you
13	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you for coming.
14	Yes, yes.
15	MR. TESNOW: General.
16	GENERAL WILLIAMS: All right.
17	MR. TESNOW: Aaron Tesnow, with AES-
18	Technical Services. Our company, AES has been with
19	the State Department for 24 years, and I think I'm the
20	third or fourth guy that's been coming to these
21	meetings. I specifically like the cost estimating
22	discussions today and having all new board members and
23	their opinions and also the people from different
24	fields studying. It sounds like a discussion that
25	happens in our office on a daily basis, you know. I

appreciate it --

2.

2.0

GENERAL WILLIAMS: I appreciate your comment because when we first launched this, the question was whether this would be all contractors, whether it would be all AGC, whether it would be all AIA, and I probably took a hit or two about that. But we said, no, we wanted the industry across the board. We've had even security representatives here because that's a component. So we've tried to do it fair. So that means a lot to me, that comment.

Okay, go ahead. Yes?

MS. FRENE: Hi, General.

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Hi.

MS. FRENE: My name is Karen Frene. I'm with Eaton Electrical, and we're a manufacturer of power protection systems such as uninterruptible power systems as well as distribution equipment, and we also do turnkey installation, conditioning, and preventative maintenance. I found the discussion on commissioning particularly interesting. Our company offered us power-train audits whereby we can assess the vulnerability and reliability of buildings and facilities. And we're also one of the awardees on the Department of State Overall Power Systems Engineering IDIQ. We've been visiting a number of the embassies,

1	particularly looking at the aging infrastructures,
2	with the new embassy compounds, and doing some upgrade
3	and repair. Thank you very much. I appreciate the
4	opportunity to be here.
5	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you for coming and
6	for participating in our program. Yes. Yes?
7	MR. MCCORMICK: My name is David McCormick.
8	I'm with Whitman, Requardt & Associates, an AE firm out
9	of Baltimore with 400 professionals. I've been coming
10	for about a year now, and the collaborative
11	environment is wonderful. You can see how you've
12	grown from just a basic idea of the Standard Embassy
13	Design in order to enhance the program using this
14	dialogue. I'm sure you're going to come up with other
15	enhances. And just on a personal note, I think
16	there's only two or three projects that I've
17	experienced where they had such open collaboration.
18	Those were the best experiences for everyone on those
19	teams and we look forward to doing some work for the
20	Department of State in the future.
21	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you. Delighted to
22	have you. Yes, ma'am?
23	MS. JHEE: Hi, my name is Heather Jhee
24	(ph.). I am representing Isis Corporations,
25	facilities, engineering, and management company out of

1	Atlanta, Georgia. Currently we're seeking an
2	opportunity with Mr. Rowe and the Operations
3	Maintenance Systems, and I am a first-time attendee.
4	Usually one of my coworkers is here, but I'm very
5	grateful that you allowed me to stay here in his place
6	and I'm just very I'm speechless, observing the
7	openness. I've very grateful and it's a very good
8	opportunity to me.
9	GENERAL WILLIAMS: I'm delighted to have
10	you, yes. Yes, sir?
11	MR. FERREIRA: My name is Victor Ferreira.
12	I'm with Control Risks, a security consultancy firm.
13	I'm from our Washington office. This is my first
14	event. I really came today to get a better
15	understanding of the issues and challenges that this
16	industry faces. Once again, thank you for the
17	opportunity.
18	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Um-hum, thank you. Yes,
19	sir?
20	MR. JOST: Blair Jost, principal with E.K.
21	Fox and Associates. We're chemical, electrical design
22	engineers. General, thank you very much for the
23	invitation. I think back to one of your slides
24	talking about from 2000 when we had one project under
25	launch to 2006 and 2007. We've worked with OBO, and

1	its predecessor FBO, since 1980, and quite a bit of
2	change has occurred since then. Scheduling has kept
3	me from this discussion prior, first time attendee. I
4	must say that everybody's participation, the focus on
5	team-based solutions is very, very impressive. Thank
6	you very much.
7	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you very much.
8	Thank you. The other bookend?
9	(Laughter.)
10	MR. BRADLEY: I'm the other bookend.
11	GENERAL WILLIAMS: He hasn't missed a
12	meeting.
13	MR. BRADLEY: Bill Bradley, executive vice
14	president of Hague, Sutherland & Hague (ph.). We're
15	architects, engineers and have done a few of these
16	projects. Just two quick comments, one on cost
17	estimating. I'd like to recommend that you also take
18	a look at local labor laws. That's something we look
19	at very, very closely, and I can tell you that a few
20	countries I've bid have very, very funny laws,
21	interesting laws when it comes to labor. If you use
22	them a certain length of time, you got to pay a
23	premium and pay a lot of benefits, and that eventually
24	comes back into the prices that we put in on the
25	project. So that's a definite factor. The other

thing is with -- I know it's not on the agenda, but I got to congratulate you on this.

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you.

MR. BRADLEY: I got to tell you, there is a lot of good information in here, and it's very, very comprehensive, so I just wanted to say, this is an excellent document here.

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you. That's our bugle. There are copies there. This is a quarterly presentation we put out. Again transparency. You know it all. If anyone within an earshot or living and can read in the United States who say they don't know about OBO, all they have to do is read. We have it all out there. Okay?

Yes?

2.

2.0

MR. KAINTH: Good afternoon. I'm Reman
Kainth with M.C. Dean, Incorporated. I'm the preconstruction/telecommunications manager for the
company. For those who don't know, Dean is a local
electrical security and communications design-build
contractor. We've been very heavily engaged with OBO
work as long as I've been there, six years ago. And I
must say, not to put any other agencies down or
anything, but the OBO process for design-build, as
I've seen it through the SED, is extremely developed,

one of the best processes that I've seen for feedback back from the customer from the stakeholders, from the owners side back to the customer, and it's --

1

2.

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you very much for attending. Thank you. Yes, sir.

MR. LYNN: Good afternoon, General.

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Good afternoon.

MR. LYNN: My name is Jeff Lynn. I'm with Ingersoll-Rand and their security technologies division. We provide solutions, mechanical and electronic, around the door opening. And as a manufacturer -- I think my predecessors have been coming for some time. This is my first meeting. as a manufacturer, I find it very interesting and unique, I think, within the government sector that I can sit here and understand, be a little bit of a fly on the wall, but understand what's not on the slides and hear people and see people and see where their passion is and how I can add value, you know, in the overall process as a manufacturer. And I think that's a message I think for the group and that, you know, include us in those upfront discussions because I think, you know, we're also a resource in that whole process. Thank you very much.

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you so much for

1	coming, okay. My friend?
2	MR. READY: General.
3	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Mr. Ready.
4	MR. READY: I'm David Ready. I'm here with
5	Industry for Building Technology and Safety, and we're
6	a not-for-profit group formed by government to provide
7	services to government, primarily in the area of
8	building code, third party services. We work with
9	Federal Government and a number of different agencies,
10	and we're hoping to supply some services to OBO. I
11	would like to say that as a former panel member, I'm
12	very impressed with my successors and that I think
13	that the work that was started when Joel and I first
14	were on the panel seems to built on, and I'm very
15	impressed.
16	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you for coming
17	back. Yes?
18	MR. WINKLER: General.
19	GENERAL WILLIAMS: How are you?
20	MR. WINKLER: My name is Gerald Winkler.
21	I'm with Integrus Architecture. We're the architects
22	on about five Embassy projects, and it's been a
23	wonderful session. Always learn something new in
24	these kind of sessions. I'd like to just reinforce a
25	couple comments that have already been made that

someone needs to start with was getting the commissioning up and running fast and early. going to have the commissioning agencies on board here within a few weeks, and I think there's a bit of learning curve for them to get up to speed, and it's better to do that now rather than November 1st when you sign a bunch of contracts and put them to work because everybody's going to be off to the races. And I think the other comment is on the best practices side of things, talked about a lot of tools and processes and procedures. I think it's incumbent on all of us to try to make sure that we're getting the best teammates to the table, too, the best designers, the best builders, and your best people, too. a big asset as far as assuring successful projects. Thank you.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you. Yes?

MR. MARRARO: General, thank you again for having us. Tony Marraro with ECC, the director of planning and development. We're one of 2007 Embassy contractors, also the developer of record for privatization projects in New Delhi and Mumbai. I'm here for my second time. Very particularly encouraged to hear the discussion on cost estimating, something that we deal with constantly and obviously. Two

1	things: I like the way that they tied commissioning
2	costs and also BIM into that discussion. General, I
3	think it would interesting to know, maybe if we
4	formally do it in the bid form as a line item to kind
5	of track and determine what those actual costs will be
6	of the projects. I know that we will do that
7	internally, and I wonder if we might do the same here.
8	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Excellent point.
9	MR. MARRARO: One other item, General?
10	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Yes.
11	MR. MARRARO: I think Mr. Denton was
12	talking a little bit about the triple constraint. I
13	find that interesting because, again, it's something
14	that we juggle constantly in the office, and if we
15	know that we're constrained on schedule, we know that
16	we're constrained on scope, well, the only thing that
17	can move is quality. How do we do that appropriately?
18	I think it's an ongoing dialogue point, and I look
19	forward to coming back and listening to more about
20	that, yeah.
21	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Well, be assured, we're
22	not through with this one.
23	MR. MARRARO: I'm certain. Thank you, sir.
24	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Everybody knows that.
25	Yes, sir?

MR. COYLE: Appreciate you having me today,
General. I'm Colin Coyle. I'm with EYP Mission
Critical Facilities. We are an infrastructure
consulting engineers. I've been active with the
program on the design and value engineering side for
quite a few years, and now I'm going to get involved
in the commissioning side, so I'm very interested in
hearing that. Sorry I was late this afternoon and I
only caught the tail end, but this is the second time
I've been here, and it's been a great many years since
I was here and glad to see that the candor and
conversation has continued.
GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you very much.

MR. COYLE: Appreciate it.

2.0

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Yes. Yes, sir?

MR. VAUGSCHMIDT: Thank you, General, for the invitation. I'm David Vaugschmidt (ph.) with Skanska Building Security. We are a manufacturer, a German manufacturer as you tell by my accent, of blast-proof windows and FEBR doors and have delivered about 400 U.S. embassies, and I keep on coming back to your panel and I just love it, and each time I pick up something. For example, now, with the O&M, maybe I should convince your department on this pre-glazed windows because we do the window frame first and the

1	glass afterwards, but you require to bring everything
2	as one piece on the site. But if you wanted to change
3	those things, then it's more difficult and more
4	costly, so you made us to do what you require to.
5	(Laughter.)
6	MR. VAUGSCHMIDT: worked hard to convince
7	you that the method we use to do it is a better
8	method, General. So maybe I come back to one of
9	your
10	(Laughter.)
11	MR. VAUGSCHMIDT: Thank you, General.
12	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Vielen dank and Danke
13	schön.
14	MR. VAUGSCHMIDT: I appreciate it.
15	MR. McHEWN: Good afternoon, General. My
16	name is Kevin McHewn (ph.), senior vice president with
17	America Appraisal Associates. Thank you for your
18	time. This is my first visit to the panel and with
19	your permission will come back for future ones.
20	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Yes, love to have you.
21	MR. MCHEWN: Our firm is similar to those
22	around the room but a little bit different. We're an
23	international valuation firm, so we come in after the
24	fact when it comes to compliance with Executive Order
25	13327 or any kind of remediation to either
	1

1	exceptions for financial reporting, both real and
2	personal and private. So I thank you, sir.
3	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you. Yes, ma'am?
4	MS. BIRD: Good afternoon. Thank you,
5	General
6	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Yes.
7	MS. BIRD: for allowing me to be here.
8	My name is Renee Bird. I believe I'm the newest
9	member of Horne International. I'm here with Darryl
10	Horne. I just want to say thank you to Joe Toussaint
11	and Will Colston for sufficiently initiating me into
12	my new position at Horne. This is actually my second
13	week with Horne, so this is very new to me, first time
14	attending the panel, and I really enjoyed it. I've
15	learned a lot and hopefully was able to contribute a
16	small, make a small contribution to the discussion
17	here today. My focus is really strategic alliances
18	and forming those alliances, and I think that I've
19	gotten an opportunity to lay a good foundation for
20	that today.
21	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Okay.
22	MS. BIRD: I look forward to future
23	engagements.
24	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thanks for coming. Yes,
25	sir?

1	MR. HART: My name, Ido Hart (ph.). My
2	company, PMSI, does cost consulting and value
3	engineering for government agencies and designers.
4	One thing I particularly liked was I think it was Jim
5	White put up the chart where there was a pie and a
6	slice of a pie and there was a circle around the pie,
7	and it showed that initial costs, it may be the whole
8	circle, including O&M costs, 10 times that large, and
9	just the talk about a lot of the private sector, but I
10	congratulate you for putting it out there because it's
11	beginning to get that link together. Perhaps Congress
12	will figure out some way of shifting more funds
13	around.
14	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you, appreciate
15	that. Yes?
16	MS. AWAR: Thank you for the opportunity
17	here, General. I'm Diane Awar (ph.). I'm with PBI
18	Architects. As some of you may know, we're a local
19	D.Cbased firm, architects and interior designers as
20	well as facility planners. It's my second meeting and
21	I really appreciate the candor and insights that the
22	panel offered, and particularly the cost estimating I
23	found very insightful.
24	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you. Yes, sir?
25	MR. MAYS: Thank you, General.

1	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you.
2	MR. MAYS: Billy Mays with Design +
3	Construction Strategies. I agree with all of you
4	about DDC. We're a company championing the BIM
5	implementation efforts of the federal sector, and it
6	was great to hear its place several times today here
7	in this discussion.
8	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you for coming.
9	Yes, sir?
10	MR. FONSECA: Jonathan Fonseca with DHL
11	Global Forwarding, and my goal today was really to
12	gain an understanding of the challenges faced by OBO
13	and the contractors and to see how DHL, using its
14	global infrastructure and resources, could assist
15	with components of moving freight either from
16	foreign locations to foreign locations or U.S.
17	locations to foreign locations under the new security
18	laws.
19	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you. Yes, sir?
20	MR. FLAHARTY: Kevin Flaharty with Johnson
21	Controls. First panel. I've been on this account for
22	less than two months and been challenged with
23	improving our delivery and performance to you all.
24	(Laughter.)
25	MR. FLAHERTY: And I'm here to learn as much

1	as I can about and that helps me to understand how
2	to do my job for you well. I was very impressed with
3	the estimating piece. My background for the last half
4	a dozen years has been performance contracting, and
5	the balance between costs and systems performance has
6	to stay in line for the project to go forward
7	funding. So that's
8	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Okay. Now, we don't want
9	just OBO to laugh and you think that we really not
10	uptight on transparency. We have a very little chill
11	air, little cool air problem, so we are happy to see
12	Johnson Controls.
13	MR. FONSECA: And next to DHL.
14	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Next to DHL. So
15	that's
16	(Laughter.)
17	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Okay. Now, if that's not
18	transparency, I don't know what is. Okay. Okay.
19	Now, last, thank you all for your input. All of you,
20	each of you, important to us and we really wanted to
21	take the time, I know. We went around and we gave
22	everyone an opportunity to have a part in this.
23	The final piece goes to our panel. I'll
24	start with Matt. You have any comments to add?
25	MR. WALLACE: Just as this is my final

1	session as a panel member, I wanted to publicly thank
2	you for the opportunity. I wanted to thank my mentor,
3	Mary Anderson, for giving me the opportunity. I've
4	learned a great deal of information of the industry
5	that I've started, down my career path, so this has
6	shotgunned me into a higher speed of learning. So
7	thank you very much.
8	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you, Matt, and good
9	luck in the future, and stay close.
10	MR. WALLACE: Thank you, sir.
11	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Okay? Suman?
12	MS. SORG: Thank you, General Williams, for
13	another great session. I really enjoyed it, and it's
14	really important to know that you're thinking like a
15	private sector where complacency is the worst thing
16	that can happen to you. Your product can get stale.
17	You fall out of the competition, so it's really good
18	to see actually the amount of work your own
19	organization does in staying on top of issues that
20	sometimes even we don't know about.
21	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you so much. Joel?
22	MR. ZINGESER: Well, I'll say goodbye again.
23	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Yes.
24	(Laughter.)
25	GENERAL WILLIAMS: I got the hook, though.

MR. ZINGESER: I am always pleased to be invited to come here and participate. The thing that strikes me is the progress that's been made, not just in the program in total and all the accomplishments and the facilities that are up and running, but also the panel. As we said before, there's definitely a continuity. I mean, I'm listening to different people speak today from the very first group that met, but the same sort of comments, the same sort of candor, the same sort of contributions, so whatever you've done to pick the people or set the table properly, it's really working, well, at least for us in the I hope it's working well for you. room. GENERAL WILLIAMS: It is. MR. ZINGESER: And then just the last thing I would say is, again, that I'm always pleased to go home and tell my kids that I've spent a day with a great American. Thank you very much. GENERAL WILLIAMS: really appreciate that. William? MR. FLEMMING: Well, thank you for having me

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

and letting me represent DBIA and my company and letting me share some perspectives about how we do things in the private sector. I hope there was some insight there for your panel, and I look forward to

coming back and sharing some more thoughts, and I 1 actually have some topics that came to my mind that I 2. 3 may share with you that I think would be good for this 4 panel. 5 GENERAL WILLIAMS: Bring them on. Delighted 6 to have you. Oey? Thank you very much, General, for 7 DR. OEY: having me here. I'm a new member of the panel, and 8 9 this is a very insightful and interesting panel with 10 the different disciplines. Civil engineers tend to 11 cuddle up in their cubicles and do their designs and 12 throw it over the wall. Another thing that was 13 interesting is my background, of course, is in heavy 14 civil, which I've grown up in a silo that heavy civil 15 is a complicated project and building projects are 16 cookie cutter, and that's obviously not the case with 17 OBO, and I look forward to hearing the different 18 issues because a lot of them are what's happening also 19 in the heavy civil, just domestically. They're facing 2.0 the same types of issues. So, thank you very much. 21 GENERAL WILLIAMS: Okay, thank you. 22 you. Ed? 23 I have enjoyed my tenure. MR. DENTON: 24 want to thank you for that, and the best part about it

> Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road Annapolis, MD 21409 (410) 974-0947

is when I return to California, I always knew I'd

25

bring back with me more than I brought here, and so 1 actually I thank you and the panelists and your staff 2. 3 for that because it's very impressive. You've done an 4 incredible job, and I think what you're doing with the panel here makes a lot of sense. 5 6 GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you very much. 7 Darryl? MR. HORNE: Yes, sir. It's a privilege to 8 9 be here today, as I stated earlier. I feel inspired 10 by the dialogue that's gone on here today, and I hope 11 I can just make some contribution over this year as I 12 join this group. Thank you. 13 GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you. 14 MR. PAWULAK: General Williams, it's been a 15 real pleasure to be here. I thank you and also the 16 OBO staff for their coordination, cooperation, and 17 working some of these homework assignments through. 18 certainly hope that we have made a small contribution 19 to the very large effort that you all have to 2.0 undertake. Thank you very much. 21 GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you, John. Nancy? 22 MS. GOSHOW: Thank you so much for allowing 23 me to be here and part of this panel. I'm enjoying it 24 very much. I'm learning a lot and I owe you metrics

> Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road Annapolis, MD 21409 (410) 974-0947

on the cost for commissioning and I know -- so I will

25

get back to you.

1

2.

3

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you. Thank you very much. Staff? I know there's one member who might want to have --

5 MR. CASTRO: Thank you, thank you, General, 6 if I may.

GENERAL WILLIAMS: Bob is my chief of staff
8 so --

MR. CASTRO: And this is also my last Industry Advisory Panel, as the OBO staff already knows, and I told some of the panelists at lunch. actually going to be leaving to join my wife at post, so I'll soon be a protectee of OBO buildings in Mexico. But I wanted to take this moment to thank you publicly on the record and with a transcript, first of all, for the opportunity to serve my country for the last three and a half years. It's been a great return to government from the private sector for me to help perform this mission, which I think is critical at this moment in our country's history, so thank you for that and allowing me to take a leadership role and most importantly to see results which gave me great satisfaction for my contribution to this effort; but secondly for an opportunity to learn from you and from the OBO staff on a daily basis. You're too

1	professionals and best in class at what you do, so
2	that's a unique opportunity that not very many people,
3	I think, get. But finally for providing opportunities
4	like this. You and I have joked that this is better
5	than grad school, and every time I attend one of these
6	panel sessions, I feel like I've gotten a full
7	semester's worth of a graduate level course just from
8	listening to the experts, as well as the panel, the
9	partners and invitees and the audience, so I thank you
10	all for that. Thanks to the panel, and as a former
11	congressional oversight person of similar programs
12	like this, General, I can tell you, you've got it
13	right both in the product and the process. Good luck
14	to you all, and I hope that maybe in the future,
15	you'll treat me like Joel and invite me back
16	occasionally.
17	(Laughter.)
18	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Thank you.
19	MR. CASTRO: Thank you very much.
20	GENERAL WILLIAMS: You can count on that.
21	Okay. Thank you.
22	(Applause.)
23	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Bob has done a tremendous
24	job. He's made a lot of those trips with me, leaving
25	at 2:30 in the morning, trying to make flights. It's

1	been a memorable occasion, so I will personally miss
2	you, Bob.
3	MR. CASTRO: Thank you.
4	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Okay. And take good care
5	of Stephanie.
6	MR. CASTRO: I will.
7	GENERAL WILLIAMS: Take care.
8	Okay, are there any other comments from
9	anyone?
10	Okay, we see how our process works. We got
11	a couple of minutes, and I took one from you this
12	morning, and we'll give it back to you. But before I
13	do that, I do want you to join me in thanking our
14	External Affairs team, led by, well, all the good
15	people here. There's Michael, there's Adelet, there's
16	Andrea over in the corner. These people are the ones
17	responsible for connecting with you and assist me
18	greatly with this function. In fact, over one-half of
19	their work is bridging the gap and keeping you
20	informed so we can have a very fruitful arrangement.
21	Thank you all very much.
22	(Applause.)
23	GENERAL WILLIAMS: And there's one other
24	organization, we'd like to thank those individuals
25	from the outside helping with the badges, watching

1	your cell phones, going to make certain that you get
2	out of the department building in good shape, and
3	that's our management support division headed by
4	Roberto Coquis. He's over in the corner.
5	(Applause.)
6	GENERAL WILLIAMS: And let's see, and last
7	but not least because that person sometimes gets
8	overlooked, but the most important one because what we
9	said today, he will be responsible for getting it
LO	right, that's our court reporter.
L1	(Applause.)
L2	GENERAL WILLIAMS: And again, be safe and we
L3	hope to see you again. Okay.
L4	(Whereupon, at 3:30 p.m., the proceeding in
L5	the above-entitled matter was closed.)
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	This is to certify that the attached
3	proceedings in the matter of:
4	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF STATE
5	OVERSEAS BUILDING OPERATION
6	INDUSTRY ADVISORY PANEL
7	Washington, D.C.
8	July 17, 2007
9	were held as herein appears, and that this is the
10	original transcription thereof for the files of the
11	United States Department of State.
12	
13	
14	Timothy J. Atkinson, Jr., Reporter
15	FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	