

Questions or clarifications concerning the proposal or any other information presented will be answered as they relate to the scope of the effort anticipated.

The Scoping meetings will include opportunities for clarification of the proposal and statements from representatives of government agencies and the public. To ensure the maximum opportunity for public participation, initial presentations and questions by individuals will be limited to a maximum of five minutes until all those desiring an opportunity to speak have been accommodated. Additional presentations and questions will be accepted at the end of the meeting. Submission of written comments and questions will also be accepted. Submission of written comments is encouraged but is not required. Written comments and questions of any length submitted at the meeting or during the scoping period will be considered in their entirety and will carry the same weight as oral comments.

To ensure the Air Force and the Air National Guard have sufficient time to consider public input on issues and alternatives in the preparation of the Draft SEIS, comments should be submitted to the address below by November 22, 1996. Comments received after this date will be accepted but such comments are not required to be addressed in the next phase of the environmental document.

For further information concerning the preparation of the Robins B-1B SEIS, or to provide written comment, contact: Program Manager, Robins B-1 SEIS, Air National Guard Readiness Center, ANGRC/CEVP, 3500 Fetchet Avenue, Andrews Air Force Base, MD 20762-5157, (800) 252-8959.

Patsy J. Conner,

*Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 96-24006 Filed 9-19-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3910-01-W

### **Notice of Intent To Adopt Final Environmental Impact Statement Prepared for Sky Harbor International Airport Master Plan Update Improvements by the U.S. Air Force, Phoenix, Maricopa County, AZ**

The United States Air Force and the Air National Guard announce their intent to adopt the Sky Harbor Final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) under the provisions of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations (40 CFR para 1506.3). The U.S. Air Force has reviewed the Sky Harbor EIS and determined that the EIS adequately addresses the environmental

impacts related to the proposed action for the relocation of the 161st Air Refueling Wing (ARW) at Sky Harbor.

As the federal entity responsible for funding airport improvements, the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, Western-Pacific Region had City of Phoenix prepare the Sky Harbor EIS for airport master plan improvements. The relocation of the 161 ARW is proposed so that a third runway be constructed at Sky Harbor as part of master plan improvements. The relocation or shifting of the 161 ARW will require demolition of most of the existing facilities of the 161st ARW and reconstruction on a portion of the present land along with a new area provided to the south. The 161 ARW is on leased real estate which is owned by the City of Phoenix and controlled by the airport. The U.S. Air Force executive action to be made is whether to proceed with a land exchange agreement for the replacement of facilities and amend the lease to reflect the exchange of real estate.

The Air Force and Air National Guard will accept comments at the address below for a 30 day period from the date of this notice. For further information concerning adopting the Sky Harbor EIS, actions being taken by the Air Force and Air National Guard, or to provide written comment, contact: Mr. Kevin Marek, Program Manager, Sky Harbor EIS, Air National Guard Readiness Center, ANGRC/CEVP, 3500 Fetchet Avenue, Andrews Air Force Base, MD 20762-5157.

Patsy J. Conner,

*Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 96-24007 Filed 9-19-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

### **Notice Establishing Deadlines for the Submission of Waiver Requests**

**SUMMARY:** In this notice, the Acting Deputy Secretary establishes deadlines for the submission of waiver requests under sections 14401 and 1113(a)(7) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (ESEA), section 311(a) of the Goals 2000: Educate America Act, and section 502 of the School-to-Work Opportunities Act of 1994.

**DEADLINES:** Requests for waivers that would be implemented in the semester immediately following January 1, 1997 must be submitted no later than November 1, 1996.

Requests for waivers that would be implemented in the beginning of the

1997-98 school year must be submitted no later than May 1, 1997.

Waiver applicants are encouraged to submit their waiver requests as early as possible and not wait until these deadlines to seek waivers. The requests will be reviewed upon receipt.

For purposes of this notice, the submission date is the date that the waiver request is received by the U.S. Department of Education (Department) in substantially approvable form. A waiver request is considered to be in substantially approvable form when it has adequately addressed the statutory criteria as described in the Department's waiver guidance.

Exceptions to the deadlines will be considered only if the applicant demonstrates that the requested waiver would not disrupt ongoing school-level activities.

**BACKGROUND:** The reauthorized ESEA, the Goals 2000: Educate America Act, and the School-to-Work Opportunities Act provide State educational agencies, school districts, and other eligible applicants with opportunities to seek waivers of certain requirements of Federal education programs in order to improve school effectiveness and academic achievement. Waivers granted under these authorities should be part of overall school improvement efforts and promote improved teaching and learning. As of September 9, 1996, 129 waiver requests had been approved by the Department. The provisions waived have included requirements governing the statutory poverty threshold for implementing schoolwide programs under Title I of the ESEA; within-district allocations of Title I, Part A funds; the proportion of funds devoted to professional development in mathematics and science and other core subject areas under Title II of the ESEA; the consolidation of administrative funds under Title XIV of the ESEA; and the formation of consortia under the Perkins Vocational and Applied Technology Education Act.

During the period a waiver is under review by the Department, a waiver applicant must continue to comply with the requirement that is the subject of the waiver request. If a request is submitted close to the date an applicant desires to implement the waiver, the Department may be unable to review the request before the desired implementation date and/or the applicant may have insufficient time to make the adjustments necessary to effectively implement the waiver if one is granted. Thus, the Department has found it necessary to establish specific deadlines for the submission of waiver requests.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Collette Roney at the Department's Waiver Assistance Line, (202) 401-7801. Copies of the Department's updated waiver guidance are available at this number. The guidance and other information on flexibility is also available at the Department's World Wide Web site at <http://www.ed.gov/flexibility>.

Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

Dated: September 16, 1996.

Marshall S. Smith,

*Acting Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 96-24109 Filed 9-19-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP96-782-000]

#### CNG Transmission Corporation; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

September 16, 1996.

Take notice that on September 11, 1996, CNG Transmission Corporation (CNG), 445 West Main Street, Clarksburg, West Virginia 26301, filed a request with the Commission in Docket No. CP96-782-000, pursuant to Sections 157.205, and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for authorization to Construct a new transportation tap and appurtenant facilities to serve as a new delivery point to Peoples Natural Gas Company (Peoples) authorized in blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-537-000, all as more fully set forth in the request on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

CNG proposes to construct minimal facilities and would transport quantities of natural gas to be delivered to Peoples for redelivery to Elliott Turbomachinery Co., Inc. located in Westmoreland County, Pennsylvania. CNG states that CNG would then construct a six-inch hot tap and valve on the TL-342 pipeline so that Peoples could redeliver natural gas to Elliott. CNG further states that total cost of construction would be fully reimbursed by Peoples.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after the Commission has issued this notice, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the

Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the allowed time, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the NGA.

Lois D. Cashell,

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 96-24085 Filed 9-19-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. TM97-1-33-001]

#### El Paso Natural Gas Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

September 16, 1996.

Take notice that on September 11, 1996, El Paso Natural Gas Company (El Paso), pursuant to Subpart E of Part 154 of the Commission's Regulations Under the Natural Gas Act and in accordance with Section 21 of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1-A, tendered for filing and acceptance the following tariff sheets:

Second Revised Volume No. 1-A

Eighth Revised Sheet No. 20

Eighth Revised Sheet No. 23

Ninth Revised Sheet No. 24

Eighth Revised Sheet No. 26

Seventh Revised Sheet No. 27

Seventh Revised Sheet No. 28

Third Revised Volume No. 2

Thirty-Ninth Revised Sheet No. 1-D.2

Thirty-Second Revised Sheet No. 1-D.3

El Paso states that it is tendering these tariff sheets to reflect that the ACA to be collected for the fiscal year beginning October 1, 1996 is to be \$.0020 per dth. El Paso states that the instant filing should replace the filing made by El Paso by letter dated August 30, 1996 which stated that the ACA would be \$.0023.

El Paso requested waiver of Section 154.207 of the Commission's Regulations to permit the tendered tariff sheets to become effective on October 1, 1996.

El Paso states that copies of the filing were served upon all of El Paso's interstate pipeline system transportation customers and interested state regulatory commissions.

Any person desiring to protest this filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission,

888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with 18 CFR 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 96-24092 Filed 9-19-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. EL96-74-000]

#### Enron Power Marketing, Inc. v. El Paso Electric Company; Notice of Filing and Shortening Answer Period

September 16, 1996.

Take notice that on September 13, 1996, as corrected September 16, 1996, Enron Power Marketing, Inc (EPMI) filed a complaint and request for emergency relief under 206 of the Federal Power Act (FPA) alleging that El Paso Electric Company (EPE) denied EPMI's application for firm point-to-point transmission service and that the denial was unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, anticompetitive, and in violation of EPE's open-access transmission tariff that is on file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. EPMI states that it requires the requested transmission service in order to complete its response, due October 14, 1996, to a request for proposals issued by the Commission Federal de Electricidad. EPMI requests that the Commission order EPE to enter into a firm point-to-point transmission service agreement with EPMI pursuant to the rates, terms and conditions of EPE's currently effective open-access transmission tariff no later than Friday, October 4, 1996.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest such complaint should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such interventions and protests should be filed on or before September 23, 1996. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to