Version 1.0 December 2004

Part I: Background Information

Please limit responses to 100 words or less in this section. If necessary, include reference or additional materials in the form of an attachment. However, responses as provided in the Comments field should be no more than 100 words and should directly address the topic area.

Project/Service Name			
Unique Project Identifier (Government only)	r (UPI)		
Agency/Vendor			
Requi		red Information / Instructions	Comments
Software Package	Provide Ve	endor, Product, Version	
Production Initiation Date		e date the system becomes (or operational	
Modules/Services Offered	modules of processing interface to modules to Federal Fir	odules and services you offer (e.g., other than core FM, transaction g services, Federal payroll providers you o). Where possible, relate these o components in the Framework for nancial Management Systems.	
External Customers	demonstra size, such	formation on existing customers to ate capabilities. Include indicators of as budget/revenue, approximate employees, number of named and/or t users.	
Unique Customer Needs		our ability and approach for handling tion and change requests	
Transaction Volume		storical data on transaction processing s including volume and dollar amount	
Audit Opinion	system red What is th	ncial statements generated from this beived an unqualified audit opinion? e timeframe in which financial s/reports are generated?	
Quality Assurance	Describe your Quality Assurance processes (e.gapability Maturity Model certification/date)		
Service Quality Metrics	Provide currently available service quality me (OMB is leading an effort to develop standar metrics)		
Change Management	processes	etails regarding change management (i.e., how will new requirements be ed into the solution)	

Version 1.0 December 2004

<u>Additional Background Information for Government-Run COEs</u>

Project/Service Name			
Unique Project Identifie (Government only)	r (UPI)		
Agency/Vendor			
	Requi	red Information / Instructions	Comments
Internal Customers	customers	he services you provide to internal with appropriate metrics (e.g., budgets, users)	
FY06 Development, Modernization & Enhancement (DME) Cost	Provide th	e FY06 DME costs for this initiative	
FY06 Steady State (SS) Cost		e FY06 SS costs for this initiative, d if appropriate	
FY07 & Beyond DME Cost		recast FY07 & Beyond DME costs for ive, by year	
FY07 & Beyond SS Cost	Provide fo initiative, I	recast FY07 & Beyond SS costs for this by year	
Business Operating Model (Customer perspective)	customers	cribe your business model from the perspective, (franchise vs. WCF, seller/buyer governance, etc.).	
Transaction Costs		rrently available cost metrics (OMB is effort to develop standard metrics)	
Service Provision Model (Supplier perspective) the provision provided I contracted vs. time/n governme scope of I		our means of providing and managing ion of services, including services by government staff vs. those dout, contracting method (fixed-price naterials), contract incentives, int vs. commercial hosting, use and independent Verification and Validation organ management structure, etc.	

Additional Background Information for Private-Sector COEs

Project/Service Name			
Unique Project Identi (Government only)	fier (UPI)	N/A	
Agency/Vendor			
	Requi	red Information / Instructions	Comments
Corporate Stability	and stabil	formation regarding the financial health ity of the COE (e.g., assets, outstanding balance, financial backing)	
Pricing Model	Describe puser, per	oricing models offered (e.g., pricing per transaction, on a subscription basis). e minimum term-of-service required for	
Corporate Structure	all partner providers,	etails on corporate structure to include is involved in the solution (e.g., hosting managed service providers, software in vendors, system integrators)	

Version 1.0 December 2004

Part II: Screening Questions

A response of "no" to any of the following screening questions will automatically disqualify the candidate from being approved as a COE candidate. Please limit comments to 100 words or less in this section. If necessary, include reference or additional materials in the form of an attachment. However, responses as provided in the Comments field should be no more than 100 words and should directly address the topic area.

Project	/Service Name		
Unique	Project Identifier (UPI) (Government only)		
Agency	/Vendor		
#	Evaluation Area	Rating	Comments
1	Does the COE provide the following Financial Management Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Sub-functions: Accounting Budget and Finance Payment Collections and Receivables Asset and Liability Management Reporting and Information	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2	Have previous migration activities (i.e., new customers) included the migration of data?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3	Does the COE align with the FEA? Provide demonstration of this alignment via appropriate artifacts (e.g., reference models, EA assessments)	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4	Does the COE support integration to the FM-related E-Gov Initiatives including E-Travel, Integrated Acquisition Environment (IAE), and E-Payroll?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5	Has the COE undergone a Federal Information Security Management Act (FISMA) review within the last 12 months without identification of significant deficiencies, and are recurring annual reviews planned?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
6	Has the COE been Certified and Accredited (C&A) within the last 3 years?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
7	Does the COE have a performance measurement methodology in place with performance metrics?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
8	Has the COE implemented a Federally-certified commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) solution in a production environment?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
9	Does the system have a Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP) and has successful Disaster Recovery Testing been performed?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
10	Has the system undergone a SAS-70 audit with favorable results?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
11	Does the COE have a cost accounting methodology that fairly allocates all costs (fixed and marginal) to internal and external customers?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
12	Does the COE utilize onshore facilities and resources only?	☐ Yes ☐ No	

December 2004

Project/Service Name			
Unique Project Identifier (UPI) (Government only)			
Agency	/Vendor		
#	Evaluation Area	Rating	Comments
13	Does the COE provide a formal incident response capability?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
14	Does the COE perform periodic testing and evaluation of information security controls?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
15	Does the COE have an appointed information systems security officer?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
16	Is the COE's contingency planning coordinated with the agency or agencies using its services?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
17	Does the COE have in place an interconnection security agreement and a Memoranda of Understanding in accordance with NIST SP800-47?	☐ Yes ☐ No	

Version 1.0 December 2004

Part III: Due Diligence Checklist

Please limit comments to 100 words or less in this section. If necessary, include reference or additional materials in the form of an attachment. However, responses as provided in the Comments field should be no more than 100 words and should directly address the topic area.

Project	/Service Name					
Unique Project Identifier (UPI)						
(Government only) Agency/Vendor						
No. Criteria		Rating	Raw Score	Tier	Weighted Score	Comments
1	Value-Added Modules	(where multiple products used – address for each product) ☐ High (5): Currently offers two or more existing value-added modules (functions aligned with the Lines of Business (LoB) beyond core functions identified in the screening section (e.g., asset management, procurement system integration, budget formulation, data warehousing/analytics)) ☐ Med (3): Currently offers a single existing value-added module ☐ Low (1): Planning to offer additional value-added modules ☐ None (0): No plans for value-added modules		В		
2	Business Process Support	☐ Yes (5): COE offers business process (transaction processing) support in addition to information technology (IT) support ☐ No (0): No business process support strategy		В		
3	Implementation Services	 ☐ High (5): COE provides implementation services and allows customers to select system integrators to provide implementation services (list integrators) ☐ Med (3): COE does not provide implementation services but is partnered with systems integrators to provide implementation services (list integrators) ☐ Low (1): None of the above 		В		

Version 1.0 December 2004

Project/Service Name						
Unique Project Identifier (UPI) (Government only) Agency/Vendor						
No.	Criteria	Rating	Raw Score	Tier	Weighted Score	Comments
4	Data Migration Experience	☐ High (5): Performed multiple data migrations and has repeatable processes ☐ Med (3): Performed multiple data migrations with no repeatable processes ☐ Low (1): Performed a single data migration		В		
5	Data Cleansing Experience	☐ Yes (5): Demonstrates experience conducting data cleansing ☐ No (0): No demonstrate experience conducting data cleansing		В		
6	Services Provision Experience	 ☐ High (5): Multiple years of experience providing service to 10 or more customers (For government agencies, cross-servicing 10 or more external customers) ☐ Med (3): Limited experience providing service (For government agencies, cross-servicing external customers) ☐ Low (1): Experience providing service to internal customers ☐ None (0): None of the above 		А		
7	Transition Management	 ☐ High (5): Demonstrates past success in providing transition management services (e.g., training, migration planning, change management, sequencing) ☐ Med (2): Has detailed plan to provide transition management services ☐ No (0): No transition management services planned 		А		
8	Service Level Agreements (SLA) Past Performance	 ☐ Yes (5): Demonstrates past success in establishing and maintaining SLA with specific performance metrics ☐ No (0): No prior experience establishing SLAs with specific performance metrics 		А		

Version 1.0 December 2004

Project/Service Name						
-	Project Identifier (UPI) nment only)					
Agency	//Vendor					
No.	Criteria	Rating	Raw Score	Tier	Weighted Score	Comments
9	Security and Privacy Standards	 ☐ Yes (5): Demonstrates a history of compliance, up-to-date security plan in place that meets requirements of FISMA, OMB policy, NIST Guidance, and privacy impact assessments completed ☐ No (0): Outlines plan to develop security plan and conduct PIAs as well as provides dates for completion 		А		
10	Configuration	☐ High (5): COE has ability to provide separate physical instances of the solution for customers ☐ Low (0): COE does not have ability to provide separate physical instances of the solution for customers		А		
11	Performance Measures	 ☐ High (5): Performance metrics in place with actual measures against the baseline ☐ Med (3): Performance metrics in place but no actual measures against the baseline ☐ Low (0): None of the above 		А		
12	Scalability	☐ High (5): Demonstrates ability to support increasing transaction volumes consistent with business model ☐ Low (2): Provides a high level strategy for supporting increased transaction volumes consistent with business model ☐ None (0): No provision for increased transaction volumes		А		
13	Customer Service Satisfaction	☐ High (5): Demonstrates high level of customer service satisfaction with performance history ☐ Med (3): Demonstrates measurement of customer satisfaction ☐ Low (0): No measurements of customer satisfaction		А		

Version 1.0 December 2004

Project	/Service Name						
•	Project Identifier (UPI)						
_	nment only) //Vendor						
No.	Criteria	Rating		Raw Score	Tier	Weighted Score	Comments
14	Core Solution Strategy	 ☐ High (5): Proposes the reuse of a single existing FM system ☐ Med (3): Proposes the reuse of multiple existing systems to create an integrated FM COE solution ☐ Low (1): Proposes the use of a new implementation 			В		
15	Cross-LoB Support	☐ High (5): Demonstrates existing integration with other LoB service centers ☐ Med (2): Detailed strategy for integrating with other LoB service centers ☐ None (0): No cross LoB support indicated			В		
16	System Availability/Uptime	☐ High (5): Demonstrates system uptime greater than or equal to 99.9% ☐ Med (3): Demonstrates system uptime greater than or equal to 99.5% ☐ No (0): Demonstrates system uptime less than 99.5%			В		
17	Monthly Close Time	☐ High (5): Monthly close time is less than o ☐ Med (3): Monthly close time is 3 to 5 day ☐ Low (1): Monthly close time is 5 to 7 day ☐ No (0): Monthly close time is greater than	s /s		В		
Totals:		Raw Score (75 Potential Points)			Weighte	d Score	
Additio	nal comments:						

Version 1.0 December 2004

Notes

- 1. Questions are separated into two tiers ("A" and "B") based on their importance in assessing a COE candidate's viability. Responses are weighted so that Tier A questions, in the aggregate, comprise two-thirds of the total weighted score. Tier B questions comprise one-third of the total weighted score.
- 2. Although this checklist is worded for evaluating existing centers, it may be applied to new center investment proposals by assuming modification to the tense of the requirements. For example, "Has the COE been Certified and Accredited within the last 3 years?" can be read as, "Does the proposal provide a credible plan for Certification and Accreditation?"