#### **PROCUREMENT PROCEDURES** FOR RECIPIENTS OF DOJ GRANTS AND COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS



June 2003

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

#### Page

| TABLE OF CONTENTS                              | i   |
|--|-----|
|  | iii |
| Chapter 1                                      | 1   |
| Evolution of a Requirement                     | 1   |
| Chapter 2                                      | 2   |
| The Procurement Package                        | 2   |
| Checklist: The Procurement Package             | 3   |
| Chapter 3                                      | 4   |
| Competition                                    | 4   |
| Checklist: Competition                         | 5   |
| Chapter 4                                      | 6   |
| Methods of Procurement                         | 6   |
| Chapter 5                                      | 7   |
| Interdepartmental Transfer of Funds            | 7   |
| Checklist: Interdepartmental Transfer of Funds | 8   |
| Chapter 6                                      | 9   |
| Small Purchases                                | 9   |
| Checklist: Small Purchases                     | 10  |
| Chapter 7                                      | 11  |
| Competitive Sealed Bidding                     | 11  |
| Checklist: Competitive Sealed Bidding          |     |
| Chapter 8                                      | 13  |
| Negotiation                                    | 13  |
| Checklist: Negotiation                         | 15  |

| Chapter 9   | 16 |
|---|----|
| Sole Source Contracting   | 16 |
| Checklist: Justification For Non-competitive Procurement                                    | 18 |
| Chapter 10  | 19 |
| Contract Provisions   | 19 |
| Chapter 11  | 22 |
| Contract Type Selection   | 22 |
| Checklist: Contract Type Selection  | 24 |
| Guide to Selection of Contract Types  | 25 |
| Chapter 12  | 28 |
| Code of Conduct   | 28 |
| Checklist: Code of Conduct  | 30 |
| Chapter 13  | 31 |
| Price and Cost Analysis   | 31 |
| Checklist: Price and Cost Analysis  | 32 |
| Chapter 14  | 33 |
| Protests  | 33 |
| Checklist: Protests   | 34 |
| Chapter 15  |    |
| Contracting with Small and Minority Firms, Women's Business Enterprises and Labor Surplus A |    |
| Firms   |    |
| Checklist: Contracts with Small and Minority Firms, Women's Business                        | 36 |
| Chapter 16  |    |
| Contract Administration   |    |
| Checklist: Contract Administration  | 39 |
| 1   | 42 |
| Other Considerations  | 42 |
| Chapter 18  |    |
| Contracting concepts summary  | 44 |

#### Introduction

This document is intended for use by recipients of Federal financial assistance program funds administered by the Office of Justice Programs (OJP). This guide is based on the procurement standards contained in the <u>Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreements with State and Local Units of Government</u>, codified at 28 CFR Part 66 36 (b), known as the "Common Rule" and <u>Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Agreements with Institutions of Higher Education, Hospitals, and Other Non-profit Organizations</u>, codified at 28 CFR Part 70 44 (a) (1), know as the "NP Common Rule." This guideline should be used in conjunction with the OJP Financial Guide and provides guidance to implement procurement requirements in the referenced regulations.

#### **Evolution of a Requirement**

# Grantee and subgrantee procedures will provide for a review of proposed procurements to avoid purchase of unnecessary or duplicative items. <sup>12</sup>

Grant applications may include grantee subcontracting to accomplish parts of a project when equipment or materials are required or when the prospective grantee does not have the necessary in-house expertise to accomplish a programmatic goal or objective. Dollar estimates for contracting effort are determined and included in the grant application. This preliminary decision to contract is based upon the grantee's best knowledge of the project requirements. Front-end logistics planning is necessary in order to avoid any duplication of effort, specifically prohibited by Government regulations.

States will follow the same procurement procedures used for procurements from its non-Federal funds. Other grantees and subgrantees will follow procurement standards contained in the "Common Rule" and will have written policy and procedures that follow minimum standards in the "Common Rule."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreements with State and Local Units of Government, codified at 28 CFR Part 66 36 (b), 7/1/2001 edition, known hereafter as the "Common Rule."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Agreements with Institutions of Higher Education, Hospitals, and Other Non-profit Organizations, codified at 28 CFR Part 70 44 (a) (1), 7/1/2001 edition, know hereafter as the "NP Common Rule."

#### **The Procurement Package**

Detailed in-house planning is necessary in order to effectively award a contract, therefore, there should be an agreement among grantee personnel concerning details of any proposed contract.

If competitive sealed bidding is to be used and the contract is to be awarded on a fixed-price basis to the lowest responsible and responsive bidder, then complete, clear and accurate design specifications should be developed. When the proposed contract is to be negotiated, Statements of Work (a general description of what is needed) are used.

Commitments should be made to complete the following documentation before the procurement action begins:

- 1. Requisition.
- 2. Statement of Work (negotiation); or 3. (below),
- 3. Competitive Sealed Bidding: (design specifications, if required).
- 4. Negotiation: Evaluation criteria.
- 5. Sole Source Contracting\*: Sole Source Justification Statement.
- 6. All concurrences that may be required.

\* Includes emergency purchases/direct buy

#### Checklist: The Procurement Package

#### **Contract Planning Procedures**

|    | Question  | Yes | No |
|----|---|-----|----|
| 1. | Are grant funds available to fund the proposed contract?  |     |    |
| 2. | Has a market survey been conducted and documented to determine if there are contractors available to satisfy the requirement?     |     |    |
| 3. | Have in-house delegations been made for grantee contractual responsibility?   |     |    |
|    | Administrative  |     |    |
|    | Technical/Project   |     |    |
| 4  | Has the procurement method been determined?   |     |    |
|    | Small Purchases   |     |    |
|    | Competitive Sealed Bidding  |     |    |
|    | Negotiation   |     |    |
|    | Sole Source Contracting   |     |    |
| 5. | Have Statements of Work been developed?   |     |    |
|    | Competitive Sealed Bidding (Design Specifications)  |     |    |
|    | or, Negotiation (Statements of Work)  |     |    |
| 6. | If the contract is to be competitively negotiated, have Evalua-<br>tion criteria been developed?                                  |     |    |
| 7. | If the contract is to be negotiated on a sole source basis, has<br>a Sole Source Justification been prepared?<br>(See: Chapter 9) |     |    |

#### Competition

## All procurement transactions must be conducted in a manner to provide, to the maximum extent practical, open and free competition. $^{3.4}$

In order to ensure maximum open and free competition, bidders' lists should be maintained and updated, as required. Some organizations maintain a "Prequalified Bidders List", i.e., prospective bidders are prequalified as to material, equipment, and services before they are placed on the bidders' list. If not administered properly, the prequalification may in some instances be counter to open and free competition. Accordingly, prequalified bidders' lists may be used if they are current and include enough qualified sources to ensure adequate competition. In addition the grantee must allow prospective bidders the opportunity to become "qualified" during the solicitation period.  $\underline{s}$ 

# TIP: Respondents/bidders should be advised that they must have the organizational capacity to conduct the work and that advertiser will not pay for travel, lodging, or per-diem unless specifically mandated in the requirements.

Advertising of competitive requirements in local newspapers and trade publications is important in order to achieve more effective competition.

Local preference laws/ordinances have always required special attention by grantor agency when there are Federal dollars involved. Therefore,

Grantees and subgrantees will conduct procurements in a manner that prohibits the use of statutorily or administratively imposed in-State or local geographical preferences in the evaluation of bids or proposals...<sup>6</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Common Rule, 28 CFR 66.36 (c).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> NP Common Rule, 28 CFR 70.43.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Common Rule, 28 CFR 66.36 (c) (4).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Common Rule, 28 CFR 66.36 (c) (2).

#### Checklist: Competition

|    | Question   | Yes | No |
|----|--|-----|----|
| 1. | Has the bidders list or a market survey been reviewed to determine availability of competitive contractors?  |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "No," the above resources shall be reviewed<br>to determine availability of available competitive contractors in<br>the market place.   |     |    |
| 2. | Does the Statement of Work contain attributes conducive to<br>an "open and free competition?"  |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "No," the restrictive parts should be rewritten.  |     |    |
| 3. | Has "advertising" strategy been developed to allow prospec-<br>tive bidders ample time to request a copy of the solicitation<br>and respond accordingly? |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "No," grantee planning should ensure that<br>ample time for advertising is included in determination of the<br>forecasted award date.   |     |    |

#### **Methods of Procurement**

The established methods of procurement are as follows:

Interdepartmental Transfer of Funds (Chapter 5) Small Purchases (Chapter 6) Competitive Sealed Bidding (Chapter 7) Negotiation (Chapter 8) Sole Source Contracting (Chapter 9)

Details are included in the above indicated chapters.

When determining whether a requirement should be competitively bid (sealed bidding) or negotiated, the requirements play a major role in arriving at this decision. The two basic ways to define procurement requirements are:

- 1. Competitive Sealed Bidding (Design Specifications) (See Chapter 7), and
- 2. Negotiation (Statement of Work) (See Chapter 8).

#### **Interdepartmental Transfer of Funds**

(Normally applies to State and Local Governments)

In certain instances a viable method to satisfy a requirement is the transfer of funds to another unit of the organization where the capability exists to supply the needed goods or services. This can be accomplished under the following conditions:

- 1. Another part of the grantee organization, i.e., in the case of a State or local government, has the in-house capability to satisfy the requirement,
- 2. The organizations referred to in item 1. above have an existing contractor currently performing that could effectively satisfy the requirement, or
- 3. Occasionally, programmatic or funding statutes may mandate the transfer of funds through a grantee to another organization.

Transfer of funds to another unit within the organization offers the following advantages:

- 1. Valuable procurement lead-time can be saved due to the simplicity of the funds transfer action.
- 2. Preparation of a Statement of Work and all the requirements of an Invitation for Bid or Request for Proposal are not necessary.

#### **<u>Checklist</u>**: Interdepartmental Transfer of Funds

|    | Question  | Yes | No |
|----|---|-----|----|
| 1. | Is there an existing contract within your organization that can satisfy the requirement?  |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "Yes," use of an existing contract to the in-<br>cumbent may be considered and funds transferred.                              |     |    |
| 2. | Can another department within your agency logistically satisfy<br>your requirement? (This generally applies to State and local<br>governments.) |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "Yes," funds for accomplishment of the re-<br>quirement may be transferred to that department.                                 |     |    |

#### **Small Purchases**

#### Small purchase procedures are those relatively simple and informal procurement methods for securing services, supplies, or other property that do not cost more than the simplified acquisition threshold (currently set at \$100,000).<sup>2</sup>

TIP: Recipients must follow their own threshold policy limitations if they do not exceed the Federal threshold.

This method is an important part of the logistics support function. Simplified informal procedures to cut down procurement lead time for day-to-day support items should be utilized. Simplified procedures may include the following:

- 1. <u>Telephone Solicitations (normally for local vendors)</u>. Price quotes are received by telephone or electronically. At least three vendors should be solicited and price, availability, delivery, etc. should be requested. The purchase order is then awarded to the vendor quoting the lowest price, including consideration of all other factors. Supporting documentation must be maintained in the purchase order file and include the date contacted, organization contacted and quoted amounts and quantities.
- 2. <u>Informal Written Quotations (not advertised)</u>. This procedure applies to more complex low dollar items when a quote in writing is desirable. Purchase Order Award is made to the vendor quoting the lowest price and meeting the technical requirements. Supporting documentation must be maintained that is similar to that for telephone solicitations.
- 3. <u>Blanket Purchase Agreements and Credit Cards</u>. Blanket Purchase Agreements (BPAs) may be described as a "Charge Account" where a vendor accommodates frequent recurring requirements. Recipients should have documented procedures that include control limits, authorized users and approving officials. Orders are placed against the BPA and the agreed-to discount is included on the resulting invoice. Normally, billings are made by the vendor on a monthly basis. Caution should be taken to ensure discipline in assigning authority to purchase. Orders should be placed to satisfy only legitimate grant requirements.
- 4. <u>Imprest Fund (Petty Cash Fund)</u>. Paying cash for small dollar purchases is a viable way to accommodate small dollar requirements. There is one overriding prerequisite for successful operation of the fund: <u>Strict Dollar Accountability</u>. Only designated personnel shall be given safe access and combination numbers. Any change in designated personnel requires a change in the safe combination. Cash advances may be made and reconciled with a paid invoice at the completion of the purchase. Documented policy and procedures are needed including approving officials and periodic audits of the fund.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Common Rule, 66.36 (d) (1).

#### **<u>Checklist</u>: Small Purchases**

|    | Question  | Yes | No |
|----|---|-----|----|
| 1. | Is the estimated cost of the requirement below the established simplified acquisition threshold?  |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "Yes," a purchase order may be used.   |     |    |
| 2. | Are there available local vendors that may logistically satisfy the requirement?  |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "No," consider additional lead-time required to solicit vendors outside the local area.  |     |    |
| 3. | May the requirement be satisfied by an existing "Blanket" purchase agreement?   |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "Yes," use the existing Blanket Purchase Agreement.  |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "No," conduct a solicitation in accordance<br>with grantee procedures.   |     |    |
| 4. | Has the requirement been "split" to be below the mandatory<br>purchase agreement monetary level? (Order splitting, an<br>unallowable practice, is reducing an order below the small<br>purchase threshold to avoid a more complex procurement<br>method.) |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "Yes," another method must be used.  |     |    |

#### **Competitive Sealed Bidding**

Procurement by sealed bids. Bids are publicly solicited and a firm fixed-price contract (lump sum or unit price) is awarded to the responsible bidder whose bid, conforming with all the material terms and conditions of the invitation for bids, is the lowest in price.<sup>8</sup>

Competitive Sealed Bidding is recognized as the preferred method of procurement and is properly used when the following conditions exist:

- 1. The requirement can be described and is finite and specific in detail, i.e., no unknowns or no contingencies. The contract will be awarded to the lowest (fixed price) responsive (the bid meets all the requirements of the solicitation including design specifications) and responsible bidder (the contractor has the capability in all respects) that can accomplish the contract requirements.
- 2. There are two or more contractors that could satisfy the requirement and are willing and able to compete for the contract.
- 3. There is enough time available to issue the solicitation, conduct a public bid opening, and award a firm fixed-price contract to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder.
- 4. The requirement to hold "negotiations/discussions" is not necessary and does not exist.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Common Rule, 28 CFR 66.36 (d) (2).

#### Checklist: Competitive Sealed Bidding

|    | Question   | Yes | No |
|----|--|-----|----|
| 1. | Is it possible to describe the requirement in exact terms (de-<br>sign specifications) so the contractor can "fix price" the bid?  |     |    |
|    | (Note: If a contractor must provide a deliverable for a fixed<br>price where "unknowns" are involved, contingency dollars<br>usually are included in the price. Then, if these contingencies<br>do not generate/occur, the material/service may be over-<br>priced.) |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "Yes," an Invitation for Bid (IFB) utilizing<br>Competitive Sealed Bidding procedures may be effectively<br>used and the award made to the lowest responsive and<br>responsible bidder.   |     |    |
| 2. | Can it be expected that "advertising" will result in two or more responses to the solicitation?  |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "Yes," consider use of an Invitation for Bid (IFB).   |     |    |

#### Negotiation

Procurement by competitive proposals. The technique of competitive proposals is normally conducted with more than one source submitting an offer, and either a fixed-price or cost-reimbursement type contract is awarded. It is generally used when conditions are not appropriate for the use of sealed bids. <sup>2</sup>

Procedures involve the following:

- 1. Develop a Statement of Work listing essential requirements to accomplish the contract, i.e., in the case of a desired service, spell out the particular problem to be solved, but do not mandate the approach the contractor must take unless fulfilling statutory mandates. The Statement of Work should be written in a straightforward manner, and, as a minimum, should contain the following:
  - a. Background providing necessary introductory information or evolution of the requirement.
  - b. Objective/scope of work detailing broad parameters that are requisite for contract performance to effectively satisfy the requirement. (Note: Do not mandate in detail how the contractor should satisfy the objectives of the contract. Performance measures must be used to ensure the quality of the outputs/outcomes.)
  - c. List tasks with accompanying deliverables should be organized in a logical sequence as the grantee perceives the requirement.
  - d. A delivery schedule in increments as required to satisfy the requirement. The schedule may include performance bonuses for early completion and penalties for late delivery.
  - e. Acceptance and approval procedures should be indicated.
  - f. Other coverage as may be required:
    - (1) References, licensing, and professional certifications.
    - (2) Grantee furnished items.
    - (3) Packing and shipping.
    - (4) Any other points that require coverage.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Common Rule, 28 CFR 66.36 (d) (3).

- 2. Develop the Request for Proposal containing at least the basic elements specified below, and before release, advertise the solicitation in accordance with local procedures.
- 3. The Request for Proposal normally will contain the following:
  - a. Letter of Transmittal (or local standard form) providing certain relevant details concerning the requirement.
  - b. A delivery schedule to be included in the definitive contract, including all necessary administrative details.
  - c. The Statement of Work (see 1, above).
  - d. Required special and mandatory clauses. (Reference: Chapter 10.)
  - e. Any special instructions to offerors to assist in developing the offer.
  - f. A listing of evaluation criterions to be used by the grantee in the evaluation of the offers received. Percentage weights may be assessed each criterion or the order of importance of each indicated criterion may be shown.
  - g. Any other information that may be required for the offerors to completely understand the contents and intent of the Request for Proposal.
  - h. A due date and time frame for receipt of all proposals.

TIP: Clauses for bonuses for early completion and penalties for late delivery may developed if they are in the public interest. Clauses may be added to the contract to cover these points. Also a prior approval key-personnel clause may be needed for personal services to ensure that qualified individuals are employed.

- 4. Proposal evaluation of the offers received resulting from the Request for Proposal (RFP) shall be accomplished in accordance with grantee procedures. Each proposal shall be scored based upon the evaluation criteria contained in the RFP. Evaluation criteria shall not be changed after receipt of offers.
- 5. Negotiations shall be conducted with those offerors submitting the most promising proposals in accordance with the determination of a selection official designated by the grantee for that purpose. Issues (unforeseen requirements) raised during the negotiation phase by one respondent may be communicated to all remaining offerors, so that all may respond to the issue during the best and final phase of the negotiations.

#### **Checklist:** Negotiation

|    | Question  | Yes | No |
|----|---|-----|----|
| 1. | Is it necessary to resolve technical questions/unknowns by negotiations with the successful contractor?                 |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "Yes," this requirement is a candidate for negotiation.  |     |    |
| 2. | Is it necessary to develop a Statement of Work, instead of a Design Specification spelling out finitely what is needed? |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "Yes," negotiation should be used.   |     |    |

#### **Sole Source Contracting**

As indicated in Chapter 3, grantees are mandated to compete contractual requirements. However, there are exceptions to the prime rule of competition. These exceptions result in "sole source" contracting.

#### Procurement by *noncompetitive proposals* is procurement through the solicitation from only one source, or after solicitation of a number of sources, competition is determined inadequate.<sup>10</sup>

Both the Common Rule and NP Common Rule are quite clear regarding the necessity to have open and free competition to satisfy grantee contractual requirements.

Grantees may make the initial determination that competition is not feasible if one of the following circumstances exists:

- 1. The item of service is available only from a single source (see page 18 for the format to be submitted to grantor agency for approval).
- 2. The public exigency or emergency for the requirement will not permit a delay resulting from a competitive solicitation.
- 3. After solicitation of a number of sources, competition is considered inadequate.

In any event, documentation reflecting actions taken and the position of the grantee is extremely important in order to establish an audit trail.

The following issues should be considered when formulating the decision to request consent to sole source:

" <u>Bidders List</u>: Grantee should continually develop and maintain a bidders list by functional category. Procedures should be developed for updating the bidders list in order that it may be kept current.

Removal from the bidders list is justified when a pattern of continual "no response" is indicated. If the bidders' list is not considered adequate, a market survey of the market place should be conducted.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Common Rule, 28 CFR 66.36 (d) (4).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> NP Common Rule, 28 CFR 70.44 (e) (2).

" <u>Time Frames</u>: Can it unequivocally be shown that desired time frames for delivery must be met or the entire project will suffer? Short deliveries schedules sometimes stifle competition, therefore provide sufficient documentation to support the short schedule.

**Example:** A grantee agency has developed an Integrated Information Sharing System which has the potential of being the national model throughout the United States and other countries. In order for the system to gain national recognition the grantee agency needs a vendor who possesses national known expertise in creating a well designed information system. If deadline dates are not met, the impact on the program would be that other agencies will run the risk of repeating/duplicating previous mistakes encountered by agencies with failed Integrated Information Sharing Systems.

The following page contains a format to be followed when requesting consent from grantor agency to contract sole source. Grantor agency consent is required for all proposed sole source contracts over \$100,000.

#### **<u>Checklist</u>**: Justification For Non-competitive Procurement

#### Paragraph Content A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE PROGRAM AND WHAT IS BE-1 ING CONTRACTED FOR 2 \_ EXPLANATION OF WHY IT IS NECESSARY TO CONTRACT NONCOMPETITIVELY, TO INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING: Ν Expertise of the Contractor Ν Management Responsiveness Ν Knowledge of the Program Ν Ν Experience of Contractor Personnel **N** Results of a Market Survey to Determine Competition Availability Or, If One Was Not Conducted, Why Not? 3 TIME CONSTRAINTS: When Contractual Coverage Is Required and Why Ν Ν Impact on Program If Dates Are Not Met Ν How Long Would it Take Another Contractor to Reach the Same Level of Competence? (Equate to Dollars, If Desired) 4 **UNIQUENESS** \_ 5 OTHER POINTS THAT SHOULD BE COVERED TO "SELL THE \_ CASE" 6 A DECLARATION THAT THIS ACTION IS IN "THE BEST INTER-\_ EST" OF THE AGENCY

#### FORMAT (Sole Source Contracting)

#### **Contract Provisions**

Federal regulations require that contracts awarded by grantees contain the clauses as enumerated below. Grantees may develop language suited to accommodate a specific contractual situation, providing such clauses meet the intent of the Federal guidelines.

1. <u>Disputes</u>.

For contracts over the small purchase threshold (currently \$100,000), this clause should provide contractor recourse procedures in the event there is a contractual dispute. The dispute submitted by the contractor shall be in writing to the contracting officer (person signing the contract for the grantee). Procedures shall provide at least one additional upper management level for review within the grantee organization. The reply from the grantee shall be in writing and include general counsel concurrence (optional).

Reference: Common Rule, 28 CFR 66.36 (I) (1) and NP Common Rule, 28 CFR 70.48, (a).

2. <u>Reporting</u>.

Any applicable reporting requirements affecting contractual activity in order to comply with requirements of the grant should be included as a special contract clause developed by the grantee. Of particular importance are Progress/Status/Performance reports (usually on a semi-annual basis) required for contracts for research and development, studies, surveys, analyses, etc. Progress reports also play an important role in equating progress to payments or cost reimbursement contracts.

Reference: Common Rule, 28 CFR 66.36 (I) (7).

3. <u>Patents</u>.

Any discovery or invention that arises during the course of the contract shall be reported to the grantee. This clause should require the contractor to disclose promptly inventions to the contracting officer (within 2 months) after the inventor discloses it in writing to contractor personnel responsible for patent matters. The awarding agency shall determine how rights in the invention/discovery shall be allocated consistent with "Government Patent Policy" and 37 CFR Part 401.

Reference: Common Rule, 28 CFR 66.36 (I)(8) and NP Common Rule, Appendix A to Part 70, 5.

#### 4. <u>Rights in Data and Copyrights</u>.

As a general rule, grantee contracts that require data to be produced, furnished, acquired or specifically used in meeting contract requirements must contain terms that delineate the respective rights of the grantee and the contractor regarding use, duplication, and disclosure of such data. Generally OJP reserves a right to use copyrighted material for Federal government purposes. See the OJP Financial Guide for details.

Reference: Common Rule, 28 CFR 66.36 (I) (9).

5. <u>Examination of Records</u>.

This clause shall state that the grantee, the subgrantee, the Federal grantor agency, the Comptroller General, or any of their representatives shall have access to any books, documents, papers and records of the contractor which are directly pertinent to the grantee contract for the purpose of making audit, examination, excerpts, and transcriptions. The clause shall further state that the contractor shall retain all required records for three years after grantees or subgrantees make final payments and all other pending matters are closed.

Reference: Common Rule, 28 CFR 66.36 (I) (10) (11) and NP Common Rule, 28 CFR 70.48 (d).

#### 6. <u>Clean Air and Water</u>.

If the contract exceeds \$100,000.00, the grantee=s contractor must agree to comply with all requirements of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.), and the Clean Water Act [Federal Water Pollution Control Act] (33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.), Executive Order 11738, and Environmental Protection Agency regulations (40 CFR part 15) relating to inspection, monitoring, entry, reports, and information as well as other requirements specified.

Reference: Common Rule, 28 CFR 66.36 (I) (12) and NP Common Rule, Appendix A to Part 70, (6).

#### 7. <u>Equal Employment Opportunity</u>.

This clause applies to contracts over \$10,000.00. Contracts shall comply with Executive Order 11246 and shall contain provisions that the contractor shall not discriminate against any employee or applicant for employment because of race, color, religion, sex, or national origin, and disability. Also they shall not discriminate in the delivery of services or benefits on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, disability, and age. In addition, all recipients must comply with Limited English Proficiency (LEP) guidelines.

Reference: Common Rule, 28 CFR 66.36 (I)(3) and NP Common Rule, Appendix A to Part 70, (1).

#### 8. <u>Termination</u>.

Contracts over \$10,000.00 must contain provisions for termination by the grantee. Only the grantee has the right to terminate the contract. There are two types of termination:

- N Termination for Convenience and
- N Termination for Cause.

The grantee has the option of tailoring the termination clause to fit the type of contract, i.e., fixed price or cost reimbursement.

The grantee, by written notice, may terminate the contract, in whole or in part, when it is in the grantee's interest.

a. <u>Termination for Convenience</u>.

Contract is terminated due to reasons known to the grantee, i.e., program changes, changes in state-of-the-art equipment or technology, insufficient funding, etc. This type of termination is utilized when the contractor is not in violation of the contract terms and conditions.

b. <u>Termination for Cause</u>.

Contract is terminated due to actions by the contractor, i.e., failure to perform, financial difficulty, slipped schedules, etc. In certain instances, termination settlement may include reprocurement costs to be paid by the contractor.

Termination settlements shall be accommodated by negotiations carefully planned in order to achieve an equitable resolution.

Reference: Common Rule, 28 CFR 66.36 (I) (2) and NP Common Rule, 28 CFR 70.48 (b).

9. <u>Construction</u>.

Contracts awarded for construction (generally DOJ grants do not allow construction rather renovation) have special requirements and clauses. Refer to the OJP Financial Guide in the procurement chapter for specifications.

10. Key Personnel Clause.

Contracts awarded for personal services may contain a clause requiring personnel changes be approved by the Awarding agency. This clause would reduce chances of hiring someone unqualified working on the project.

#### **Contract Type Selection**

The type of procuring instruments used, e.g., fixed price contracts, cost reimbursable contracts, purchase orders, or incentive contracts shall be determined by the grantee and must be appropriate for the particular procurement and for promoting the best interest of the program or project involved.<sup>12</sup>

1. <u>Fixed Price</u>.

By the very nature of the method, all contracts awarded under a competitive sealed bidding result in some type of "fixed price" arrangement, normally a firm fixed price. In certain instances, fixed price contracts are the preferred type inasmuch as the risk rests with the contractor. In certain instances, fixed price contracts may also be negotiated when it is determined that "across the table" discussions with the contractor are required. Firm fixed price contracts are appropriate when:

- a. It is possible to describe exactly what is needed to satisfy the requirement;
- b. Across the table discussions are determined not necessary; and
- c. It is determined that there is adequate competition available.

Other types of fixed price contracts are:

- **N** Fixed price with escalation (normally called "fixed price with economic price adjustment"), and
- N Fixed price incentive.
- 2. <u>Cost Reimbursement</u>.

Contractors should be reimbursed for their actual expenditures (no accruals may be reimbursed) no more often than bi-weekly. Normally, contractors are reimbursed in monthly increments. Some governmental organizations permit withholding of a small percentage of each reimbursement request to ensure final delivery and contract close-out. Inasmuch as contractors are incrementally reimbursed as the contract progresses, the risk to the contractor is minimized. The most common type of cost reimbursement contract is the cost plus fixed fee (CPFF). In this type of contract the fee (on fixed price contracts it is defined as profit) is negotiated front-end and does not change. On cost reimbursement contracts, a ceiling is placed on the estimated cost. Even though additional

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> NP Common Rule, 28 CFR 70.44 (c).

dollars may be added under certain conditions, the fee does not change as long as the contract remains in-scope. Any contractual situation that automatically increases the fee when additional dollars are added is known as "cost plus percentage of cost" and is prohibited.<sup>13</sup> Other types of cost reimbursement contracts are:

- N Cost contracts.
- N Cost sharing contracts.
- N Cost plus incentive fee contracts.

### **TIP: Recipients must closely monitor cost reimbursement contracts to avoid unneeded cost over-runs.**

- 3. <u>Other Contract Types</u>.
  - a. Time and materials contracts contain specified fixed hourly rates. Contract stipulates that any materials purchased will be at the contractor's cost. Hours involved in contract execution must be negotiated.

#### This type of contract will be used when the grantee makes determination that no other type of contract is suitable and the contract includes a ceiling price that the contractor exceeds at its own risk.<sup>4</sup>

- b. Labor hour contracts are identical to time and materials contracts except that no materials are involved.
- c. Indefinite delivery contracts are used when exact time of delivery is unknown.
- d. Letter contracts are used when exigency requires an immediate binding agreement so work can begin, but time does not permit negotiation of a definitive contract. When the definitive contract is awarded, the letter contract is superseded and letter contract dollars expended will be incorporated in the definitive contract.
- e. State and local contractor lists. Pre-approved competition has already occurred.

The above-listed contracts are summarized on the chart on pages 25 to 27.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Common Rule, 28 CFR 66.36 (f) (4)and NP Common Rule, 28 CFR 70.44 (c).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Common Rule, 28 CFR 66.36 (b) (10).

#### **<u>Checklist</u>** Contract Type Selection

|    | Question  | Yes | No |
|----|---|-----|----|
| 1. | Can the deliverables be specifically identified and the quality measur-<br>able?  |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "Yes," then a fixed price contract may be properly<br>utilized, either by using competitive sealed bidding procedures, or, if<br>discussions are necessary, negotiation procedures.  |     |    |
| 2. | Should the contractor logically be able to assume the "risk" for contract performance?  |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "Yes," a fixed price contract is appropriate.  |     |    |
| 3. | Is the contractor's accounting system capable of segregating costs so<br>proper charges may be made to the grantee contract for invoicing<br>purposes?  |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "No," another type of contract other than cost reim-<br>bursement must be used.  |     |    |
| 4. | Realizing that cost reimbursement contracts require more contract<br>administration responsibilities, is the grantee prepared to accept this<br>responsibility?   |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "No," steps must be taken to correct the deficiency and meet this responsibility before the contract is awarded.   |     |    |
| 5. | Time and Materials, indefinite quantity, and requirements contracts<br>require that orders against the contract be negotiated and placed before<br>the contractor begins work. Will the grantee be in a position to describe<br>individual tasks to be accomplished as they generate? |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "No," consideration should be given to other contractual types.  |     |    |
| 6. | Concerning "Letter Contracts," are monetary limits along with a target date for definitization shown on the document?   |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "No," the letter contract should be changed to accom-<br>modate these two points.  |     |    |

#### **Guide to Selection of Contract Types**

| Туре                           | Applicability  | Essential Elements   | Limitations   |
|--------------------------------|--|--|---|
| FIRM-FIXED PRICE               | <ul> <li>Fair and reasonable price can be established at inception, e.g.</li> <li>N Reasonably definite design or performance specifications;</li> <li>N Realistic estimates;</li> <li>N Adequate competition; and</li> <li>N Valid cost or operating data that provide reasonable price comparisons.</li> </ul>   | Initial fixed-price places 100% responsibility<br>and risk on the contractor.  | Grantee and contractor must agree on fixed-price at inception.  |
| FIXED-PRICE WITH<br>ESCALATION | Market or labor conditions unstable over extended production period.   | Ceiling on upward adjustment; downward<br>adjustment appropriate where elements esca-<br>lated may fall below base levels provided in<br>contract.   | Contingencies are industry-wide and<br>beyond contractor control; contingen-<br>cies must be specifically defined in the<br>contract.   |
| FIXED-PRICE<br>INCENTIVE       | Where cost uncertainties exist and there is the<br>possibility of cost reduction and/or<br>performance improvements by giving<br>contractor (i) a degree of cost responsibility<br>and (ii) a positive profit incentive.<br><u>Firm Target Type</u> : Firm target and final profit<br>adjustment formula can be negotiated initially.  | <u>Firm Target</u> : Target cost; target profit; price ceiling; and profit adjustment formula.   | Adequate Contractor Accounting Sys-<br>tem Required. Must determine that any<br>other contract type is impractical. Used<br>for development and production<br>procurements.   |
| COST AND<br>COST-SHARING       | Uncertainties in Performance Impossible to<br>Estimate Costs Firmly.<br><u>Cost</u> : R&D with non-profit organizations or<br>educational institutions; facilities contracts.<br><u>Cost-Sharing</u> : Development or research proj-<br>ects jointly sponsored by government and<br>contractor where contractor anticipates com-<br>mercial benefit in lieu of fee under the contract. | Uncertainties in Performance Impossible to<br>Estimate Costs Firmly.<br><u>Cost</u> : Government pays cost; no fee.<br><u>Cost-Sharing</u> : Government pays agreed<br>predetermined portion of costs; no fee. | Adequate Contractor Accounting<br>System Required.<br>Grantees must closely monitor cost<br>reimbursement contracts to avoid<br>unneeded cost over-runs.<br><u>Cost-Sharing</u> : Must present evidence<br>that there is high probability that<br>contractor will receive substantial<br>present or future commercial benefits. |

#### **Guide to Selection of Contract Types**

| Туре                                | Applicability   | Essential Elements  | Limitations   |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| COST-PLUS<br>INCENTIVE FEE (CPIF)   | Uncertainties in Performance Impossible to<br>Estimate Costs Firmly.<br>Development and test when incentive formula<br>can provide positive incentive for effective<br>management. Where feasible, use performance<br>incentives together with cost and schedule<br>incentives.   | Uncertainties in Performance Impossible to<br>Estimate Costs firmly.<br>Target cost; target fee; minimum and maxi-<br>mum fee; fee adjustment formula (formula<br>applied at end of performance). | Adequate Contractor Accounting Sys-<br>tem Required.<br>Grantees must closely monitor cost<br>reimbursement contracts to avoid un-<br>needed cost over-runs.<br>Fee Limitations:<br>Production and Services 10% est.<br>cost; R&D 15% est. cost.<br>Formula should provide incentive ef-<br>fectiveness over variation in costs<br>throughout the full range of reasonable<br>foreseeable variation from target cost. |
| COST-PLUS-FIXED-FEE                 | Uncertainties in Performance Impossible to<br>Estimate Costs Firmly.<br><u>Term Form</u> : Research preliminary exploration,<br>or study when level of effort is initially un-<br>known (or development and test when a CPIF<br>is impractical).<br><u>Completion Form</u> : Research or other develop-<br>ment effort when the task or job can be clearly<br>defined, a definite goal or target expressed, and<br>a specific end product required. | Uncertainties in Performance Impossible to<br>Estimate Costs Firmly.<br>Negotiated estimate of costs; fee fixed ini-<br>tially except for changes in the work or ser-<br>vices required.          | Adequate Contractor Accounting Sys-<br>tem Required.<br>Grantees must closely monitor cost<br>reimbursement contracts to avoid un-<br>needed cost over-runs.<br><u>Fee Limitations</u> :<br>Production and Services 10% est.<br>cost; R&D 15% est. cost.<br>Not for development of major weapons<br>once exploration indicates engineering<br>development is feasible.  |
| TIME AND MATERIALS<br>(LABOR-HOURS) | Not possible initially to estimate extent or dura-<br>tion of work (L-H used where materials not<br>involved), e.g., engineering or design services,<br>repair, maintenance, or overhaul.   | Direct labor hours specified at fixed hourly<br>rates; direct materials at "cost." Ceiling price<br>shall be established.   | Determination that no other type of<br>contract is suitable.<br>Grantees must closely monitor cost<br>reimbursement contracts to avoid un-<br>needed cost over-runs.  |

#### **Guide to Selection of Contract Types**

| Туре                                | Applicability   | Essential Elements  | Limitations   |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| LETTER CONTRACT                     | Exigency requires immediate binding agreement<br>so work can begin but time does not permit<br>negotiation of a definitive contract.  | Maximum government liability, type of definitive contract, as many definitive contract provisions as possible.  | No other contract type suitable.  |
| STATE AND LOCAL<br>CONTRACTOR LISTS | Where adequate competition has occurred and<br>the product or service offered meets the need<br>of the project.   | Follow local/state regs.  | Ensure that state or local geographic presences have not been used in the procurement evaluation. |
| INDEFINITE<br>DELIVERY              | Exact time of delivery unknown.<br><u>Definite Quantity</u> : Quantity known, delivery<br>period can be specified; supplies available or<br>have a short lead time.<br><u>Requirements</u> : Preciseness of designated<br>activities during a definite period not known<br>initially.<br><u>Indefinite Quantity</u> : Impossible to know<br>precise quantities needed by designated activ-<br>ities during a definite period and government<br>cannot commit itself beyond a minimum. | <u>Definite Quantity</u> : Provision for delivery to<br>designated points or upon order.<br><u>Requirements</u> : Estimated total quantity; max-<br>imum and minimum total quantity where<br>feasible; maximum and minimum order where<br>appropriate.<br><u>Indefinite Quantity</u> : Stated maximum and<br>minimum total quantity; maximum and min-<br>imum order where applicable. | Firm fixed-price, fixed-price with<br>escalation, or fixed-price with redetermi-<br>nation only.  |

#### **Code of Conduct**

# Grantees and subgrantees will maintain a written code of standards of conduct governing the performance of their employees engaged in the award and administration of contracts.<sup>15</sup>

Conflicts of interest (or the appearance thereof) continually plague contractual activity supported by governmental funds. No employee, officer, or agent of the grantee shall participate in selection, or in the award or administration of a contract supported by Federal funds if a conflict of interest, real or apparent, would be involved (*DOJ strictly applies this standard*). Such a conflict arises when:

- 1. The employee, officer or agent, or
- 2. Any member of his/her immediate family, or
- 3. His or her partner, or
- 4. Any organization which employs, or is about to employ, has a financial or other interest in the firm being evaluated or selected for award.

For the above reason, grantee personnel involved in the procurement process must be continually and forever alert to situations that may create a real, or even the appearance of, a conflict of interest. Common sense and adherence to standard and customary codes of conduct will go a long way toward eliminating potential problems.

However, this alone is not enough. Awareness and avoidance of sensitive situations should be a primary individual objective. Grantee personnel should:

- 1. Be familiar with any code of ethics guidance published by or available to their organization.
- 2. Not take gifts and gratuities from persons or organizations associated with the procurement process. In this connection, the grantee may set minimum rules where the financial interest is not substantial or the gift is an unsolicited item of nominal intrinsic value.
- 3. Avoid, at all times, even the appearance of a conflict of interest.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Common Rule, 28 CFR 66.36 (b) (3) and NP Common Rule 28 CFR 70.42.

- 4. Ensure that proposal evaluators (price and technical) or members of their immediate families do not own stock or have other financial interest in the companies being evaluated.
- 5. Refer any problem that arises concerning conflict of interest to upper management and legal counsel, if appropriate.

#### **<u>Checklist</u>:** Code of Conduct

|    | Question   | Yes | No |
|----|--|-----|----|
| 1. | Is there any indication that there is any inappropriate action on the part<br>of either the grantee or the contractor from either an individual or<br>organizational conflict of interest standpoint?  |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "Yes," the grantee's top management, in concert with legal counsel, should determine the severity of the problem and enforce sanctions and notify proper authorities.   |     |    |
| 2. | Is there any indication that the Statement of Work might be restrictive?   |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "Yes," the Statement of Work must be corrected and<br>the RFP amended or canceled, as appropriate.  |     |    |
| 3. | Have there been any protests or hints of improprieties from any outside sources?   |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "Yes," the validity must be determined and action taken accordingly.  |     |    |
| 4. | Has there been any appearance of conflicts of interest relating to the proposed contractual action?  |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "Yes," a thorough investigation should be conducted<br>and any required corrective action taken.  |     |    |
| 5. | Have cost and technical evaluation committee members evaluating<br>proposals under competitive negotiation procedures signed a statement<br>confirming the fact that they or members of their immediate families do<br>not own stock in the companies being evaluated? |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "No," a signed statement should be obtained from each evaluator.  |     |    |
| 6. | Has "brand name or equal" been used excessively in similar solicita-<br>tions that might be interpreted as leading to a conflict of interest situa-<br>tion?   |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "Yes," the work specification, if possible, should be<br>expanded in order to achieve more competition.   |     |    |

#### **Price and Cost Analysis**

# Grantees and subgrantees must perform a cost or price analysis in connection with every procurement action including contract modifications. <sup>16</sup>

<u>Price Analysis</u> involves a comparison of the bottom line price quoted by the offeror with prices paid on other contracts for the same or similar materials or services; a review of trade publications for comparability; a comparison of prices quoted by other respondents to the solicitation (does not apply to sole source contracts); and any other comparison available to the grantee. The purpose of price analysis is to determine that the price quoted is within range of acceptability to the grantee.

<u>Cost Analysis</u> involves an analysis of the individual elements of cost (as requested by the solicitation) as stated in the contractor's cost proposal. Examples of individual elements of cost include direct labor, fringe benefits, overhead (indirect costs), materials, travel, subcontracts, etc. Questionable individual elements of cost become negotiation targets for the grantee during the subsequent negotiation with the contractor.

Price and Cost Analysis is required for all proposals submitted by offerors for evaluation and negotiation by the grantee. Grantees should make independent estimates before receiving bids or proposals. Contents of the cost proposal should be in consonance with the contractor's accounting system which must be operationally capable of segregating costs by contract. Offerors should certify that individual elements of cost are true, correct and verifiable from the contractor's accounting system.

Grantees should compare graphically each contractor's cost proposal using spread sheets. However, keep in mind that accounting systems differ between contractors and exact dollar comparison between individual cost elements may not constitute a valid comparison. For example, one contractor may charge a certain expense item to overhead (indirect costs), whereas another contractor may charge an identical expense item as a "direct" charge to the contract.

Price Analysis and Cost Analysis are normally used in concert with each other. Each should support the other.

From an operational standpoint, this important facet of an effective negotiation plan cannot be overlooked or minimized.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Common Rule, 28 CFR 66.36 (f) and NP Common Rule 28 CFR 70.45.

#### **Checklist:** Price and Cost Analysis

|    | Question   | Yes | No |
|----|--|-----|----|
| 1. | Is the total price determined to be fair and reasonable? Does it com-<br>pare favorably with the sum total of the individual elements of cost that<br>have been analyzed?  |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "No" (with a limited tolerance allowed), then further<br>effort is required to make these two figures more compatible.  |     |    |
| 2. | Was the overhead (indirect cost) rate used in the contractor's proposal determined by audit?   |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "Yes," determine when audit was completed and<br>whether the overhead (indirect cost) rate can be categorized as cur-<br>rent.  |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "No," determine on what basis the overhead (indirect cost) rate was calculated and then validate acceptability.   |     |    |
| 3. | Was a spread sheet used to show graphically a comparison of the elements of cost?  |     |    |
|    | Even though a spread sheet is not mandatory, its use is highly recom-<br>mended.   |     |    |
| 4. | Were individual results from cost analysis used to determine negotia-<br>tion cost targets?  |     |    |
|    | Remember the proposal is the contractor's. Justification is required for<br>any element of cost questioned by the grantee.   |     |    |
| 5. | If possible, grantees should adhere to maximum Federal fee limitations<br>under cost reimbursement arrangements, i.e., 10% on estimated cost,<br>15% of the estimated cost on Research and Development, and 6% of<br>the estimated cost of Construction on Architectural Engineering. Does<br>the negotiated fee fall within these stated limitations? |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "No," consider alternatives with upper echelon grantee management.  |     |    |

## **Protests**

# Grantees and subgrantees alone will be responsible, in accordance with good administrative practice and sound business judgment, for the settlement of all contractual and administrative issues arising out of procurements. <sup>12</sup>

Any contractor or aggrieved party has the right to protest actions before or after the award of the contract. In accordance with good administrative practice and sound business judgement, grantees shall be responsible for the settlement of all contractual responsibilities arising out of contract solicitations and awards. Issues that might initiate a protest are:

- 1. Proposal evaluation activity (competitive negotiations).
- 2. Disputes (differences of opinion).
- 3. Conflicts of interest.
- 4. Any other pertinent issues.

Protests should be in writing to the grantee, inasmuch as the grantee is responsible for actions under its contracts. Grantees shall follow local procedures for resolution in order that effective due process may be achieved.

In summary, the grantee is responsible for handling and resolving all contractual activity protests. Only in rare instances would grantor agency intervene. However, this should not be construed that Federal advice should not be sought when considered appropriate by the grantee.

 $<sup>^{17}</sup>$  Common Rule 28 CFR 66.36 (b) (11)(12) and NP Common Rule 28 CFR 70.41.

# **<u>Checklist</u>**: **Protests**

|    | Question   | Yes | No |
|----|--|-----|----|
| 1. | Has the aggrieved party submitted his protest in writing?  |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "No," consider recommending that the protest be<br>submitted in writing if the magnitude is great enough to have serious<br>operational impact. |     |    |
| 2. | Has grantee's top management been alerted to the seriousness of the protest and has legal counsel been sought?   |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "No," top management and legal counsel should be advised.   |     |    |
| 3. | Has the grantee exerted ample effort toward resolution of the protest before seeking help from grantor agency?   |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "No," grantee should exert ample effort toward resolu-<br>tion before seeking help from grantor agency.   |     |    |

# **Contracting with Small and Minority Firms, Women's Business Enterprises and Labor Surplus Area Firms**

# The grantee and subgrantee will take all necessary affirmative steps to ensure that minority firms, women's business enterprises, and labor surplus area firms are used when possible.<sup>18</sup>

- **N** Small Business Firms -- Designated by the Small Business Administration.
- N Minority Business Firms -- 51% Minority Owned/Operated.
- N Women's Business Enterprises -- Small business that is at least 51% owned by a woman or women.
- **N** Labor Surplus Area Firms -- Firms geographically located in distressed labor surplus areas designated by the Secretary of Labor.

Grantees shall ensure the following actions are taken in dealing with the above listed firms:

- 1. Placement on Bidders List;
- 2. Ensuring that solicitations are mailed;
- 3. Allocating requirements into smaller amounts, when feasible, to permit maximum participation;
- 4. Establishing delivery requirements, when feasible, for compatibility with capability of the above-listed firms.
- 5. Using the services of the Small Business Administration, and
- 6. When subcontracts are considered, requiring the prime contractor to take these steps (1-5) for the subcontracts.

Notwithstanding the fact that no contracting goals are established, the grantee is expected to provide statistics on contract awards to grantor agency upon request.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Common Rule, 28 CFR 66.36 (e) and NP Common Rule, 28 CFR 70.44 (b).

# <u>Checklist</u>: Contracts with Small and Minority Firms, Women's Business Enterprises and Labor Surplus Area Firms

|    | Question  | Yes | No |
|----|---|-----|----|
| 1. | Are statistics readily available concerning contract awards to these firms? |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "No," develop simplified reporting system.                 |     |    |

# **Contract Administration**

# Grantees and subgrantees will maintain a contract administration system which ensures that contractors perform in accordance with the terms, conditions, and specifications of their contracts or purchase orders. <sup>19</sup>

Contract administration refers to post award actions by the grantee to ensure that the terms and conditions of the contract are met. It takes continual vigilance on the part of the grantee to ensure that contract deliverables are met to accommodate mandated project requirements. A State grantee will follow the same procedures for procuring property and services under a grant as it uses for its non-Federal funds. Other grantees should follow procedures outlined in this guideline.

- 1. <u>Delegations</u>. Grantee management shall delegate administration responsibility to designated personnel selected for their technical and administrative capability to administer the contract effectively. Any disagreement between technical and administrative personnel shall be referred to top level grantee management for resolution.
- 2. <u>Inspection and Acceptance</u>. Contractor deliverables shall be inspected before official acceptance by the grantee to ensure that contract requirements have been met. Acceptance shall be made officially only after the grantee determines that contract terms and conditions have been met.
- 3. <u>Progress Reports</u>. Under cost reimbursement contracts, progress/status reports are required normally by the Statement of Work. These reports shall be reviewed by the grantee to determine if contract delivery milestones are being met, and, if they are not, the seriousness of the delinquency should be analyzed and, if appropriate, corrective action taken.
- 4. <u>Invoice Processing</u>. Under cost reimbursement contracts, reimbursement invoices are submitted normally by the contractor on a monthly basis. However, in the case of a small or disadvantaged contractor, invoices may be submitted every two weeks. There must be a correlation between dollars paid incrementally to the contractor and contract progress in consonance with an acceptable tolerance level that is established. Invoices should be processed as expeditiously as possible with dollar hold-backs (to be paid after completion/final acceptance) considered in determining the net amount of the incremental dollar reimbursement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Common Rule, 28 CFR 66.36 (b) (2)and NP Common Rule, 28 CFR 70.44 (a).

- 5. <u>Property Administration</u>. Title to any property purchased by the contractor with Federal funds remains in grantor agency. The grantee is responsible for all property management functions and strict accountability for all property purchased or furnished by the Federal government. Contractor use of excess and surplus property from Federal sources is encouraged by grantor agency. The current Federal capitalization threshold is \$5,000, but recipients must follow their own threshold policy limitations if they are more restrictive than the Federal threshold.
- 6. <u>Consent to Subcontract</u>. Grantees shall establish procedures to review and give prior consent for subcontracts awarded by the prime contractors. Monetary consent levels may be established at the discretion of the grantee.
- 7. <u>Grantee Contract Close-out</u>. Grantee contract close-out is an important function of contract administration and may be characterized logically as the last of the many functions related to contract administration.

# **Checklist:** Contract Administration

|    | Question   | Yes | No |
|----|--|-----|----|
| 1. | <u>Delegations</u> . Have delegations been made in writing to include all operational and administrative aspects of contract post award activity?  |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "No," action should be taken to have proper delega-<br>tions made in writing.   |     |    |
| 2. | <ul><li><u>Inspection and Acceptance</u>.</li><li>a. For materials and hardware, do invoices submitted by the contractor include evidence of acceptance by the grantee?</li></ul>  |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "No," the invoice shall not be paid until evidence of acceptance is indicated.  |     |    |
|    | b. On cost reimbursement contracts, before acceptance by the grantee, has contract dollar reimbursement been reconciled with available contract specified funding?   |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "No," procedures shall be developed to verify funding availability before acceptance is made.   |     |    |
| 3. | <u>Progress Reports (Cost Reimbursement Contracts)</u> . Are progress reports reviewed to validate correctness and to determine if contract delivery schedules/milestones are being met?   |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "No," progress related to milestones should be ana-<br>lyzed to determine contract status. If the contractor is in violation of<br>the contract requiring progress reports, action should be taken to<br>enforce the contract terms and conditions. |     |    |
| 4. | <ul><li><u>Invoice Processing</u>.</li><li>a. Are invoices submitted by the contractor for materials and equipment analyzed by the grantee before authorizing payment?</li></ul>   |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "No," procedures shall be developed to analyze all invoices submitted to ensure acceptability.  |     |    |
|    | b. Under cost reimbursable contracts, are the dollars requested by the contractor compatible with progress indicated on status reports?  |     |    |

# **Checklist:** Contract Administration

|    | Question   | Yes | No |
|----|--|-----|----|
| 4. | If the answer is "No," percent of contract completion indicated on<br>progress reports should be compatible with total funds requested for<br>reimbursement.   |     |    |
|    | c. Is availability of funds determined before approval of the invoice authorizing payment?   |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "No," funds availability must be determined before<br>authorizing the invoice for payment.  |     |    |
| 5. | <ul><li><u>Property Administration</u>.</li><li>a. Does the grantee maintain a system for strict accountability for both expendable supplies and property purchased under the contract or furnished by grantor agency?</li></ul> |     |    |
|    | If answer is "No," a system of accountability, including tagging of property, shall be developed.  |     |    |
|    | b. Is government furnished property (GFP) inventoried at established intervals?  |     |    |
|    | If the answer is "No," a schedule for recurring inventory of GFP should be established.  |     |    |
| 6. | <u>Consent to Subcontract</u> .<br>Before consent is given by the grantee to prime contractors to subcon-<br>tract, are the following points considered:   |     |    |
|    | a. Did the prime contractor get adequate competition to satisfy the requirement?   |     |    |
|    | b. Does the subcontract include a "flow-down" of mandatory clauses included in the prime contract?   |     |    |
|    | c. Is any apparent conflict of interest indicated?   |     |    |
|    | d. On cost reimbursable contracts, did the evaluation of proposals include:  |     |    |
|    | <ol> <li>A technical evaluation based upon evaluation criteria contained<br/>in the solicitation?</li> </ol>   |     |    |

|    | Question  | Yes | No |
|----|---|-----|----|
| 6. | 2) A price and cost analysis of top-ranked proposals?   |     |    |
|    | e. Was the proper type of contract used?  |     |    |
|    | f. If the subcontract is on sole source basis, is the sole source justifi-<br>cation adequate?  |     |    |
|    | g. Was the documentation supporting the request for consent to subcontract submitted before contract award, and is it considered adequate?                    |     |    |
|    | If any of the answers are "No," consent should be withheld, the rea-<br>sons investigated, and problems resolved before consent is given.                     |     |    |
| 7. | <u>Grantee Contract Close-out</u> .<br>a. Have all contract funds been reconciled? Have any remaining<br>funds been deobligated? Have all invoices been paid? |     |    |
|    | b. Has any property furnished or purchased by the grantee been returned or accounted for in accordance with existing procedures?                              |     |    |
|    | c. Has the grantee received a certification from the contractor that all bills relating to the contract have been paid?                                       |     |    |
|    | d. Have all contract deliverables been inspected and accepted by the grantee?   |     |    |
|    | e. Have any law suits/legal actions relating to contract activity been settled?   |     |    |
|    | f. On cost reimbursement contracts, is the grantee satisfied that all claimed costs are allowable costs relating to contract activity?                        |     |    |
|    | g. Has a bi-lateral amendment been executed reflecting contract close-out?  |     |    |
|    | Note: Contract close-out is not complete if any of the above ques-<br>tions reflect a "No" response.  |     |    |

### **Other Considerations**

#### 1. <u>Lease vs. Purchase</u>.

# Where appropriate, an analysis will be made of lease versus purchase alternatives, and any other appropriate analysis to determine the most economical approach.<sup>20</sup>

Before entering into a leasing arrangement for equipment, a lease-purchase analysis should be performed by the grantee to determine economic feasibility. This analysis should reflect a comparison of forecasted costs for both an outright purchase and a leasing arrangement. If determination is made to enter into a lease/purchase, grantees shall ensure that a certain part of the lease cost (dollars) will apply toward the purchase price of the equipment (indicated in the lease). In addition, grantees shall ensure that at a predetermined time, the equipment under lease is either:

- a. Purchased under the terms of the lease, or
- b. Returned to the lessor and action taken to cancel the lease.
- 2. <u>Documentation</u>.

Grantees and subgrantees will maintain records sufficient to detail the significant history of a procurement. These records will include, but are necessarily limited to the following: rationale for the method of procurement, selection of contract type, contractor selection or rejection, and the basis for the contract price.<sup>21</sup>

It is extremely important that grantees document contractual actions in order to formulate and maintain an audit trail. The official contract file should reflect in detail all of the steps in the procurement process and serves as the official accountability document. (See Chapter 10, clause 5 "Examination of Records.")

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Common Rule, 28 CFR 66.36, (b)(4) and NP Common Rule, 28 CFR 70.44 (a) (2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Common Rule, 28 CFR 66.36 (b) (9).

#### 3. <u>Other Resources.</u>

Grantees and subgrantees are encouraged to use other resources such as donated property and Federal excess and surplus property in lieu of purchasing new equipment and property whenever such use is feasible and reduces project costs.

#### 4. <u>Use of value engineering</u>.

Grantees and subgrantees are encouraged to use value engineering clauses in contracts for construction projects of sufficient size to offer reasonable opportunities for cost reductions. Value engineering is a systematic and creative analysis of each contract item or task to ensure that its essential function is provided at the overall lower cost.

# **Contracting concepts summary**

The following lists show (1) practices to employ to have a successful procurement program and (2) those practices to avoid that may result in unsatisfactory contracts being awarded by the recipient agency.

### 1. <u>Contracting Practices to Employ:</u>

- a. Ensure adequate competition
- b. Prepare IFB/RFP
- c. Maintain bidders list
- d. Conduct interviews (for RFP)
- e. Obtain prior approval (where required)

## 2. <u>Contracting Practices to Avoid:</u>

- a. Place unreasonable requirements
- b. Require unnecessary experience
- c. Engage in noncompetitive pricing
- d. Engage in organizational conflicts of interest
- e. Require unreasonable time frames