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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## SECTION I

Context/ Environment: This section contains background information on the setting within which special education services are provided to children and youth with disabilities. The first module in this section presents some of the changes to the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act resulting from the IDEA Amendments of 1997. The second module describes the implementation of State accountability systems.

Overview of the IDEA Amendments of 1997

- The IDEA Amendments of 1997 augment and strengthen the previous version of the Act. This module uses six principles as the framework around which education services are designed and provided to children with disabilities to describe the recent changes. These six principles are the availability of a free appropriate public education (FAPE), appropriate evaluation, development of an individualized education program (IEP), education provided in the least restrictive environment (LRE), parent and student participation in decision making, and procedural safeguards to protect the rights of parents and their child with a disability.
- The IDEA Amendments of 1997 add specific new requirements regarding the disciplining of students with disabilities. The law now specifically requires that FAPE must be made available to children who are suspended or expelled. State and local educational agencies (SEAs and LEAs) are responsible for ensuring that a student's IEP, with its goals and objectives, continues to be implemented in the LRE even though the child has been removed from school.
- The law includes a new competitive grant provision--the State Improvement Grants (SIGs). The majority of these grant funds must be spent for personnel development to fulfill the requirement for an adequate supply of qualified special education, regular education, and related services personnel.


## State Accountability Systems and Students with Disabilities

- The law also addresses the issue of professional standards. Under the IDEA Amendments of 1997, States may allow the use of appropriately trained and supervised paraprofessionals and assistants to assist in the provision of special education and related services under certain conditions.
- The traditional model for general education accountability is based largely on inputs to the system. These input-oriented accountability systems are variously called accreditation, school improvement reviews, accountability reports, profiles, or district composite reports. Compliance reviews for specific categorical programs funded by either the Federal or State government also rely on inputs to the system.
- Traditional accountability in special education has been focused on compliance--on ensuring that districts were undertaking the appropriate procedures prescribed by Federal and State law in a timely fashion.
- The IDEA Amendments of 1997 shift accountability to focus on whether students are meeting the new standards, which involves shifting the orientation of accountability from inputs or processes to results and "raising the bar" on expectations for students with disabilities.
- States continue to struggle with establishing the correct mix of emphasis on accountability for process versus accountability for student results.
- Including students with disabilities in the general State accountability system extends their franchise in the general system but at no point exonerates a State from ensuring individual protections promulgated by IDEA.


## SECTION II

Student Characteristics: This section contains five modules related to the characteristics of students served under IDEA and the Federal funding that States receive to serve these students.

Children Ages<br>Birth Through Five Served Under IDEA

Students Ages 6
Through 21
Served Under
IDEA

- Over the past 5 years, the number of infants and toddlers served under Part C has steadily increased from 145,179 on December 1, 1992, to 187,348 on December 1, 1996. The percentage of the population ages birth through 2 served under Part C rose slightly from 1.54 percent in 1995 to 1.65 percent in 1996.
- The most frequent setting in which infants and toddlers with disabilities received services was home ( 90,275 or 53 percent), followed by early intervention classroom ( 47,896 or 28 percent).
- Over the past 5 years, the number of children served under the IDEA Preschool Grants Program increased from 455,449 during the 1992-93 school year to 559,902 during the 1996-97 school year.
- During the $1995-96$ school year, 51.6 percent of children ages 3-5 with disabilities were served in regular classes, approximately a 1 percent increase over the percentage served in regular classes during the previous year.
- Over the past few years, the number of school-age students with disabilities served has increased at a higher rate than the general school enrollment.
- Over the past 10 years, the number of students ages 611 with disabilities served increased 25.3 percent, the number of students ages 12-17 with disabilities increased 30.7 percent, and the number of students ages 18-21 with disabilities increased 14.7 percent.
- More than 90 percent of the school-age students served under IDEA in 1996-97 were classified in one of four disability categories: learning disabilities ( 51.1 percent or $2,676,299$ children), speech or language impairments ( 20.1 percent or $1,050,975$ children), mental retardation (11.4 percent or 594,025 children), and emotional disturbance ( 8.6 percent or 447,426 children).

The Racial/Ethnic Composition of Students with Disabilities

- The distribution of students by disability varies across age groups. Specific learning disabilities is the largest single category for each of the three age groups, accounting for 41.2 percent of students ages 6-11, 62.3 percent of students ages 12-17, and 51.7 percent of students ages 18-21.
- The disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic minorities in special education is a highly complex issue because it is difficult to isolate the effects of poverty, limited English proficiency, residence in inner cities, and race/ethnicity on special education eligibility.
- Discrepancies in disability prevalence and service provision across racial/ethnic categories are most apparent in the mental retardation category.
- The race/ethnicity data now required under the IDEA Amendments of 1997 will better enable Congress and OSEP to monitor the disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic minorities in special education and dropout rates for minority youth.
- Although males and females comprise equal proportions of the school-aged population, males account for approximately two-thirds of all students served in special education.
- The disproportionate representation of males in special education seems greatest in the learning disability and emotional disturbance categories, which are often considered the disability categories with the most broadly defined eligibility criteria.
- Once students are identified as eligible for special education, the services they receive do not differ greatly by gender, and teachers appear to consider an individual student when selecting instructional techniques.
- Overall, girls with and without disabilities had better in-school results than boys with and without disabilities. However, despite their better academic performance, females with disabilities have less positive
postschool results than their male peers. They are less likely to be employed, have lower wages, and are less likely to enroll in postsecondary education or training.


## Students with <br> Emotional Disturbance

- In comparison with other students, both with and without disabilities, children with emotional disturbance are more likely to be male, African American, and economically disadvantaged.
- The majority of students with emotional disturbance continue to receive most of their services in environments that separate them from students who do not have emotional disturbance. Although some students can succeed in regular classes, research suggests that many of these students and their teachers do not currently receive the supports that they need to succeed in regular class placements.
- Students with emotional disturbance fail more courses, earn lower grade point averages, miss more days of school, and are retained at grade more than students with other disabilities. Fifty-five percent leave school before graduating.
- OSEP-supported research projects have helped pinpoint problem areas in these students' development and have made significant contributions to the development of promising approaches to early intervention and school discipline. OSEP currently funds projects that focus on prevention, positive approaches to learning, cultural competence, and assessment of children with emotional disturbance.
- In fiscal year (FY) 1998, The National Agenda for Improving Results of Children and Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbance became a Focus Area under OSEP's Model/Demonstration priority, and three new awards were granted to support comprehensive services in conformance with the seven target areas of the Agenda.


## SECTION III

School Programs and Services: This section contains five modules that examine some of the programs and services available within schools for children and youth with disabilities and their families.

Special Education<br>Teachers:<br>National Trends in Demand and Shortage

- Statistics from OSEP's Data Analysis System (DANS) provide convincing evidence of a national substantial chronic shortage of special education teachers who are fully certified in their positions.
- There has been dramatic growth in the number of total teaching positions nationally for students ages 3-5 with disabilities. From 1987-88 to 1995-96, demand increased by more than 100 percent from about 13,000 to about 27,000 teachers.
- In contrast with the rapid growth in teacher demand for students ages $3-5$, the growth in the number of total teaching positions nationally for students ages 6-21 with disabilities has been gradual. From 1987-88 to 1995-96, demand increased by 15 percent from about 284,000 to about 328,000 teachers.
- Teaching positions in special and general education expanded by comparable percentages from 1987-88 to 1995-96; therefore, the serious chronic shortage of teachers in special education cannot be attributed to extraordinarily rapid expansion of teaching positions in contrast with general education. Evidence suggests that the number of graduates in special education teacher preparation programs is much too low to satisfy the need for fully certified special education teachers.
- Twenty-five States either have a statewide policy for using individualized family service plans (IFSPs) with preschoolers (3 States) or allow IFSPs as a local option with children ages 3-5 who are eligible for special education services ( 22 States). Sixteen of these States have adopted guidelines, standards, or regulations for IFSP development or transition from an IFSP to an IEP.
- A National Association of State Directors of Special Education (NASDSE) study found two main factors that promote the successful use of IFSPs with preschoolers: family preference for using an IFSP and State and local support for the use of IFSPs.
- The NASDSE study also described barriers to the implementation of IFSPs with preschoolers. These include agency differences in eligibility rules and requirements, resistance to change, and the cost associated with using IFSPs with preschoolers.

Educational Environments for Students with Disabilities

## Fundingfor IDEA

- There has been gradual progress in serving larger percentages of students with disabilities in regular class environments and regular schools.
- In 1995-96, more than 95 percent of students with disabilities ages 6-21 attended schools with their nondisabled peers. Approximately 46 percent were removed from their regular classes for less than 21 percent of the day; about 29 percent received special education and related services outside regular classes for 21-60 percent of the day; and 22 percent were served outside of the regular classroom for more than 60 percent of the day.
- The environments in which students with disabilities received services varied by disability and age. Progress in serving students in more inclusive settings has also varied from State to State.
- Factors affecting the extent to which students are served with nondisabled peers include statewide student achievement, population density, per capita income, human services expenditures per capita, and expenditures per pupil.
- Under the IDEA Amendments of 1997, in the next FY after the Federal appropriation for Part B, Section 611 reaches approximately $\$ 4.9$ billion, the previous year's allocation will become the base allocation for States; 85 percent of additional funds above the base will be allocated based on population in the age ranges for which States mandate services, and 15 percent will be


## State Progress in Use of Interagency Agreements

based on the number of children in the State living in poverty in those age ranges.

- A NASDSE survey found that although in FY 1994 more than $\$ 7.6$ million was distributed nationally to States through OSEP-sponsored competitive grants for personnel preparation, 43 States allocated $\$ 29.7$ million of their set-aside for Comprehensive System of Personnel Development activities.
- The IDEA Amendments of 1997 freeze the State set-aside at FY 1997 levels, plus either an adjustment for inflation or the percentage increase in the State IDEA allocation, whichever is lower.
- Part B funding to States increased by 34 percent $(\$ 785,558)$ from 1996 to 1997 , the largest 1 -year increase in the history of the program.
- Over the past 20 years, States have been working toward interagency collaboration to provide more comprehensive, cost-effective, and streamlined services to children with disabilities. Recent reauthorizations of IDEA have increasingly required that interagency collaboration be used to strengthen special education services.
- Interagency agreements cover a spectrum of services to school-aged students with disabilities, including school-to-work transition activities and data sharing, improving services to children in juvenile treatment centers, creating coordinated early intervention and preschool services, expanding health services access for Medicaid eligible children, and collaborating on multi-agency personnel development.
- Building on a history of interagency cooperation, SEAs, vocational education agencies, and vocational rehabilitation programs are in the process of renewing their service systems to provide youth with disabilities a smoother transition into postschool activities.


## SECTION IV

Results: The six modules in this section describe some of the reforms, alternate assessments, and results for students with disabilities; OSEP's State monitoring program; OSEP's response to the Government Performance and Results Act; and the efforts of the Federal and Regional Resource Centers to improve results.

Standards-Based Reform and Students with Disabilities

- Standards-based reform encompasses four concepts: high standards, accountability, implementation of consequences as part of the accountability system, and renewed reliance on the use of assessments to measure the performance of students and their progress toward meeting standards.
- Although the use of statewide assessments as part of educational accountability systems is widespread, the specifics of the assessments are extremely variable from one State to the next. Most States administer assessments in grades 4,8 , and 11 , and the subjects most frequently covered are mathematics, language arts, and writing, with science and social studies close behind.
- Currently, there is a tremendous amount of State activity related to assessments, which means that the characteristics of State assessment systems change frequently.
- In December 1997, the Department of Education was sponsoring 19 assessment-related projects. Eight of these projects were funded through the Office of Special Education Programs; eight were funded by the Office of Educational Research and Improvement. The remaining studies included a project exploring ways to increase the number of students with disabilities and limited English proficiency who participate in the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP); National Center for Educational Statistics research that addresses students with disabilities and students with limited English proficiency; and a study by the National Center on Educational Outcomes that focuses on educational results for all students.

Developing<br>Alternate<br>Assessments for<br>Students with Disabilities

Secondary School<br>Completion for Students with Disabilities

- Although many students with disabilities currently participate in large-scale assessments, the challenge is to develop rigorous, alternate assessments for students with significant disabilities that are based on standards relevant to their postschool needs.
- There are three predominant types of large-scale assessment for students with disabilities: general assessments, general assessments with accommodations, and alternate assessments.
- Participation in alternate assessments should be used cautiously because the majority of students with disabilities can participate in large-scale assessments.
- Kentucky's Alternate Portfolio and Maryland's Independence Mastery Assessment Program are examples of alternate assessment systems for the small percentage of students who cannot participate in regular assessments.
- Students with disabilities who complete high school are more likely to be employed, earn higher wages, and enroll in postsecondary education and training.
- Graduation rates vary by disability. Students with speech and language impairments, specific learning disabilities, hearing impairments, and visual impairments were most likely to graduate with a diploma or certificate.
- The percentage of students with disabilities who complete high school with a diploma or certificate also varies considerably by State. In 1995-96, 151,222 students ages 17-21 with disabilities graduated with a diploma or certificate. This figure represented 29 percent of all students with disabilities and 74 percent of those exiting the system.
- State economic, demographic, and educational variables apparently affect graduation rates, but in complex and inconsistent ways.


## State <br> Improvement and Monitoring

Performance Indicators for Parts B, C, and D

- In working with States to ensure compliance and improved results for students with disabilities, OSEP emphasizes partnerships and technical assistance, together with a strong accountability system.
- To ensure a strong accountability system, OSEP has emphasized strong and diverse customer input in the monitoring process; effective methods for ensuring compliance with Part B, with strongest emphasis on requirements that relate most directly to continuous improvement in learner results; prompt identification and correction of deficiencies; and corrective action requirements and strategies that yield improved access and results for students.
- OSEP focused its monitoring efforts during the first half of the 1997-98 school year on working with a broad spectrum of stakeholders to ensure timely implementation of the new requirements in a manner which would support improved results for students and educational reform.
- To meet the mandate of the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, OSEP developed a strategic plan based on the IDEA Amendments of 1997, OSEP's primary vehicle for improving results for children and youth with disabilities. OSEP developed a series of program logic models with goals, objectives, and performance indicators for the IDEA Amendments of 1997 as a whole, as well as for Parts $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$, and D independently.
- A primary objective of Part B is to improve educational results for children and youth with disabilities. An indicator of progress in this area is to increase the percentage of children with disabilities who are proficient in reading, math, and other academic subjects, based on measures such as State assessments and the National Assessment of Educational Progress.
- One of OSEP's strategies for reaching the Part C objective of identifying all eligible children is to work with the Federal Interagency Coordinating Council to develop ways to coordinate Child Find efforts for Federal programs serving similar populations.


# Results From RRC Technical Assistance to States 

- The primary goal of the Part D discretionary programs is to build a comprehensive and systematic infrastructure that is linked to States, school systems, and families and that identifies, develops, and communicates best practices to improve results for children with disabilities.
- RRCs help State educational agencies improve their systems of early intervention, special education, and transition services through the development and implementation of policies, programs, and practices to enhance educational results for children and youth with disabilities.
- As a result of an ongoing work group, information exchanges, and conferences, States are better able to implement systems for ensuring compliance that have a direct effect on the services available to children with disabilities and the results they achieve.
- The RRFC Network, its member Centers, and its major collaborator in the domain of assessment and accountability, the National Center for Educational Outcomes, have worked together to develop research, disseminate best practices, provide technical assistance, and facilitate collaborative efforts linking general and special education personnel, parents, and other stakeholders.


## INTRODUCTION

The 20th Annual Report to Congress was written immediately after the reauthorization of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). This report reflects the greater emphasis the IDEA Amendments of 1997 place on measurable results, through improved accountability and data collection efforts. It also discusses school reform efforts that have been under way for several years. These changes are taking place at the national, State, and local levels and should result in positive changes for infants, toddlers, children, and youth with disabilities.

This annual report highlights many of the recent changes in the legislation and also builds upon the information contained in the 19th Annual Report. It retains the overall structure (described below) that was first used in the 19th Annual Report. To assist the reader, the two reports have been cross-referenced where appropriate.

The report is divided into four sections, each representing one part of a conceptual framework (see figure 1). In this model, educational results for students with disabilities are envisioned as the product of three sets of factors: the context and environment in which education is provided, the characteristics of students, such as disability, race, gender, or poverty, and the school programs and services which they receive. As shown in the model, contextual/environmental factors are directly linked to student characteristics and to school programs and services. However, there is also a direct link between student characteristics and school programs and services. All three of these inputs influence the output, educational results for students.

Within each section of this report are a number of discrete modules that address current issues, highlight trends in data, and/or describe OSEP-sponsored projects (see figure 2). Writers of the modules included OSEP personnel and staff from OSEP-funded research and technical assistance projects.

Figure 1
Conceptual Framework of Results for Children and Youth with Disabilities


The intent of the first section, Context/Environment, is to identify selected major societal and educational forces that affect delivery of services to children with disabilities. In this section, two overviews are provided. The first module highlights the changes in IDEA based on its reauthorization in June 1997. The second module contains an overview of State accountability systems with regard to students with disabilities. More information on accountability systems is included in the Results section.

The second section, Student Characteristics, contains five modules that focus on the students served under IDEA. The modules in this section highlight State-reported data and changes in IDEA for children ages birth through 5 and students ages 6 through 21 served under the program.

Figure 2
Issues Addressed in This Report


Also included in this section is the racial/ethnic composition of students with disabilities and gender as factors in special education eligibility, services, and results. Finally, in this section, the needs of children and youth with emotional and behavioral problems are addressed.

There are five modules in the third section, School Programs and Services. The first module discusses national trends over the past 9 years related to special education teacher demands and shortages. The second module highlights the factors that support or impede the use of IFSPs with preschoolers. The third module reports data on educational environments for school-age children. In the fourth module, the status of the Part B funds, the new funding formulas set forth in the IDEA Amendments of 1997 for students ages 6
through 21, and the Preschool Grants Program are described, and highlights of a National Association of State Directors of Special Education survey on State usage of setaside funds are reported. The fifth module describes the increase in use of interagency agreements to promote collaboration among agencies that serve students with disabilities.

The fourth section brings together all the components of the model by emphasizing national results in the field of special education. The standards-based reform movement is being implemented within the educational system, and special education is playing an increased role in these efforts. The first module describes the concept of standards-based reform and its implementation by States. The second module continues this discussion by describing State efforts in developing alternate assessments for students with disabilities. The third module presents data on secondary school completion for students with disabilities. The remaining three modules describe Federal efforts to ensure that IDEA is fully implemented. They are: OSEP-conducted State improvement and monitoring efforts, development of performance indicators for Parts B, C, and D of IDEA, and efforts of Federal and Regional Resource Centers to assist States in the implementation of the IDEA Amendments of 1997.

The modules in each of the four sections cover a wide range of topics that describe challenges and achievements in serving students with disabilities. Taken as a whole, the 20th Annual Report to Congress provides an overview of important issues affecting education for students with disabilities today.

## CONTENTS

## Page

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY ..... i
INTRODUCTION ..... xiii
LIST OF TABLES ..... xxvi
LIST OF FIGURES ..... xxviii
SECTION I. CONTEXT/ ENVIRONMENT
Overview of the IDEA Amendments of 1997 ..... I- 1
The Six Principles of IDEA ..... I-1
Conclusions ..... I-27
State Accountability Systems and Students with Disabilities ..... I-29
Importance ..... I-30
Traditional General Education Accountability ..... I-31
Traditional Special Education Accountability ..... I-31
Accountability Reform ..... I-32
Issues Associated with Including Students with Disabilities in General Education Accountability ..... I-34
Implications ..... I-36
Summary ..... I-37
SECTION II. STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS
Children Ages Birth Through Five Served Under IDEA ..... II-1
The Number of Children Served Under IDEA, Part C ..... II-1
Early Intervention Environments for Infants and Toddlers with Disabilities ..... II-3
The Number of Children Served Under the Preschool Grants Program ..... II-4
Educational Environments for Preschoolers with Disabilities ..... II-7
Summary ..... II-9
Students Ages 6 Through 21 Served Under IDEA ..... II-11
Changes in Numbers of Students Served ..... II-11
Age Distribution of Students Served ..... II-12
Disabilities Distribution of Students Served ..... II-13
Summary ..... II-18

## Contents (cont'd)

Page
The Racial/Ethnic Composition of Students with Disabilities ..... II-19
Race/Ethnicity in Special Education ..... II-20
Summary ..... II-23
Gender as a Factor in Special Education Eligibility, Services, and Results ..... II-25
Special Education Eligibility ..... II-25
Services for Males and Females with Disabilities ..... II-30
Educational Results for Males and Females with and without Disabilities ..... II-31
Summary ..... II-37
Issues Remaining ..... II-38
Students with Emotional Disturbance ..... II-45
Eligibility and Characteristics ..... II-45
Educational Environments and Services ..... II-54
Results ..... II-57
Improving Results ..... II-58
Summary ..... II-61
SECTION III. SCHOOL PROGRAMS AND SERVICES
Special Education Teachers: National Trends in Demand and Shortage ..... III-1
How Large Is the Shortage of Teachers in Special Education? ..... III-4
What Factors Are Associated with the Shortages of Teachers in Special Education? ..... III-12
Conclusions ..... III-15
Using IFSPs with Preschoolers ..... III-25
Regulations and Policies ..... III-26
States Using IFSPs with Preschoolers ..... III-26
A Closer Look at Six States ..... III-27
Summary ..... III-30
Educational Environments for Students with Disabilities ..... III-33
Trends in Data on Educational Environments ..... III-34
Factors Associated with Educational Environments ..... III-35
Summary ..... III-37

## Contents (cont’d)

Page
Funding for IDEA ..... III-41
Appropriation of Funds for Part B of IDEA ..... III-41
The State Set-Aside Funds ..... III-42
The IDEA Amendments of 1997 ..... III-46
Summary ..... III-48
State Progress in Use of Interagency Agreements ..... III-51
Overview of Interagency Cooperation ..... III-51
Interagency Coordination for Infants and Toddlers ..... III-52
State Implementation Efforts in Coordinating Services for Infants and Toddlers ..... III-54
Interagency Coordination Among Agencies Serving School-Age Children ..... III-59
State Implementation Efforts in Coordinating Services for School-Age Children ..... III-60
Collaboration on Transition Services for Students with Disabilities ..... III-61
Summary ..... III-65
SECTION IV. RESULTS
Standards-Based Reform and Students with Disabilities ..... IV-1
Involvement of Special Education in State-Based Reform Activities ..... IV-3
Current Practices and Policies in Statewide Assessments ..... IV-5
Reporting the Performance of Students with Disabilities ..... IV-7
Research Findings Related to Standards-Based Reform ..... IV-9
Summary ..... IV-11
Developing Alternate Assessments for Students with Disabilities ..... IV-15
What Are Alternate Assessments? ..... IV-17
Putting Alternate Assessments in Practice ..... IV-17
Issues To Consider in Developing Alternate Assessments ..... IV-23
Summary ..... IV-29
Secondary School Completion for Students with Disabilities ..... IV-33
Trends in High School Completion for Students with Disabilities ..... IV-34
Summary ..... IV-38
State Improvement and Monitoring ..... IV-41
Summary ..... IV-49

## Contents (cont'd)

Page
Performance Indicators for Parts B, C, and D ..... IV-51
The Purposes of GPRA ..... IV-51
The Department of Education's Response to GPRA ..... IV-53
OSEP's Response to GPRA ..... IV-54
Summary ..... IV-69
Results From RRC Technical Assistance to States ..... IV-71
Purpose of the RRFC Network ..... IV-71
Structure of the RRFC Network ..... IV-72
SEA Responsibility for General Supervision ..... IV-73
Assessment and Accountability ..... IV-76
Behavioral Issues and Interventions ..... IV-78
Summary ..... IV-79
Appendices
Appendix A. Data Tables
Section A. Child Count Tables
Table AA1 Number of Children Served Under IDEA, Part B by Age Group, During the 1996-97 School Year ..... A-1
Table AA2 Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served Under IDEA, Part B by Disability, During the 1996-97 School Year ..... A-2
Table AA3 Number of Children Ages 6-11 Served Under IDEA, Part B by Disability, During the 1996-97 School Year ..... A-5
Table AA4 Number of Children Ages 12-17 Served Under IDEA, Part B by Disability, During the 1996-97 School Year ..... A-8
Table AA5 Number of Children Ages 18-21 Served Under IDEA, Part B by Disability, During the 1996-97 School Year ..... A-11

## Contents (cont'd)

Page
Table AA6 Number of Children Served Under IDEA, Part B by Disability and Age, During the 1996-97 School Year ..... A-14
Table AA7 Number of Children Served Under IDEA, Part B by Age, During the 1996-97 School Year ..... A-15
Table AA8 Number and Change in Number of Children Served Under IDEA, Part B ..... A-19
Table AA9 Number and Change in Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served Under IDEA, Part B ..... A-20
Table AA10 Percentage (Based on Estimated Resident Population) of Children Served Under IDEA, Part B by Age Group, During the 1996-97 School Year ..... A-33
Table AAll Percentage (Based on Estimated ResidentPopulation) of Children Ages 6-21 ServedUnder IDEA, Part B by Disability, Duringthe 1996-97 School YearA-34
Table AA12 Percentage (Based on Estimated Resident Population) of Children Ages 6-17 Served Under IDEA, Part B by Disability, During the 1996-97 School Year ..... A-37
Table AA13 Percentage (Based on Estimated Enrollment)of Children Ages 6-17 Served Under IDEA,Part B by Disability, During the 1996-97School YearA-40
Table AA14 Number of Children Served Under IDEA byDisability and Age Group, During the1987-88 Through 1996-97 School Years . . . A-43

## Contents (cont’d)

PageSection B. Educational Environments Tables
Table AB1 Number of Children Ages 3-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year ..... A-46
Table AB2 Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year ..... A-49
Table AB3 Number of Children Ages 3-5 Served inDifferent Educational Environments UnderIDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 SchoolYearA-75
Table AB4 Number of Children Ages 6-11 Served inDifferent Educational Environments UnderIDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 SchoolYearA-77
Table AB5 Number of Children Ages 12-17 Served inDifferent Educational Environments UnderIDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 SchoolYearA-103
Table AB6 Number of Children Ages 18-21 Served in Different Educational Environments UnderIDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 SchoolYearA-129
Table AB7 Number of Children Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B by Age Group, During the 1986-87 Through 1995-96 School YearsA-155

Contents (cont'd)
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Table AB8 } & \text { Page } \\ & \begin{array}{l}\text { Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served in } \\ \text { Different Educational Environments Under } \\ \text { IDEA, Part B by Disability, During the }\end{array} \\ & \text { 1986-87 Through 1995-96 School Years . . A-157 }\end{array}$
Section C. Personnel Tables
Table AC1 Total Number of Teachers Employed, Vacant Funded Positions (In Full-Time Equivalency), and Number of Teachers Retained to Provide Special Education and Related Services for Children and Youth with Disabilities, Ages 3-5, During the 1995-96 School Year . . . . . A-162

Table AC2 Total Number of Teachers Employed, Vacant Funded Positions (In Full-Time Equivalency), and Number of Teachers Retained to Provide Special Education and Related Services for Children and Youth with Disabilities, Ages 6-21, During the 1995-96 School Year . . . . A-163

Table AC3 Total Number of Teachers Employed and Vacant Funded Positions (In Full-Time Equivalency) to Provide Special Education and Related Services for Children and Youth with Disabilities, by Disability, Ages 6-21, During the 1995-96 School Year A-164

Table AC4 Number and Type of Other Personnel Employed and Vacant Funded Positions (In Full- Time Equivalency) to Provide Special Education and Related Services for Children and Youth with Disabilities Ages 3-21, by Personnel Category, During the 1995-96 School Year A-171

## Contents (cont’d)

PageSection D. Exiting TablesTable AD1 Number of Students Age 14 and Older Exiting Special Education, During the 1995-96 School Year ..... A-181
Table AD2 Number of Students with Disabilities Exiting Special Education by Age Year, During the 1995-96 School Year ..... A-207
Table AD3 Number of Students with Disabilities Exiting School by Graduation with a Diploma, Graduation with a Certificate, and Reached Maximum Age by Age During the 1986-87 Through 1995-96 School Years ..... A-220
Section F. Population and Enrollment Tables
Table AF1 Estimated Resident Population for Children Ages 3-21 ..... A-221
Table AF2 Estimated Resident Population for Children Birth Through Age 2 ..... A-222
Table AF3 Estimated Resident Population for Children Ages 3-5 ..... A-223
Table AF4 Estimated Resident Population for Children Ages 6-17 ..... A-224
Table AF5 Estimated Resident Population for Children Ages 18-21 ..... A-225
Table AF6 Enrollment for Students in Grades Pre-Kindergarten Through Twelve ..... A-226

## Contents (cont’d)

Page
Section G. Financial Tables
Table AG1 State Grant Awards Under IDEA, Part B, Preschool Grant Program and Part HA-227
Section H. Early Intervention Tables
Table AH1 Number of Infants and Toddlers Receiving Early Intervention Services, December 1, 1996
Table AH2 Early Intervention Services on IFSPs Provided to Infants, Toddlers, and Their Families in Accord With Part H December 1, 1995A-229
Table AH3 Number and Type of Personnel Employed and Needed to Provide Early Intervention Services to Infants and Toddlers with Disabilities and Their Families December 1, 1995 A-232
Table AH4 Number of Infants and Toddlers Birth Through Age 2 Served in Different Early Intervention Settings Under Part H December 1, 1995 A-238

Appendix B. Activities of the Regional Resource Centers

## LIST OF TABLES

Page
Table II-1 Educational Environments for Preschoolers with Disabilities ..... II-8
Table II-2 Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served Under IDEA by Disability: 1987-88 and 1996-97 ..... II-16
Table II-3 Number and Percentage of Students in Special Education by Race/Ethnicity and Disability: 1994 ..... II-22
Table II-4 Gender of Secondary-Aged Students with Disabilities, by Disability Category ..... II-26
Table II-5 Gender of Elementary and Secondary-Aged Students with Disabilities, by Disability Category ..... II-27
Table II-6 Percentage of Secondary-Aged Students with Disabilities Who Received Different Types of Services, by Gender ..... II-32
Table II-7 Percentage of Students with Disabilities Identified as Having Emotional Disturbance (1995-96) ..... II-54
Table III-1 Production of Degree Graduates by Teacher Preparation Programs in 1993-94 as a Percentage of Three Indicators of Teacher Demand in Public Schools ..... III-18
Table III-2 IDEA, Part B Section 611 Grants to States Program: Funds Appropriated, 1977-97 ..... III-43
Table III-3 Rank Order of Most Frequently Cited Functions of the Resource Centers ..... III-45
Table III-4 Most Frequently Cited CSPD Activities ..... III-45
Table III-5 Number of SEA Interagency Agreements ..... III-57
Table IV-1 State Level Emphasis and Special Education Involvement in Establishing Educational Results, Standards, or Curricular Frameworks ..... IV-4

## List of Tables (cont’d)

Page
Table IV-2 States That Report Assessment Results for Students with Disabilities ..... IV-9
Table IV-3 Number and Percentage of Students Ages 17 and Older Graduating with a Diploma or Certificate of Completion: 1995-96 ..... IV-36
Table IV-4 Factors Predicting State Graduation Rates for Students with Disabilities in 1992-93: Standard Diploma ..... IV-37
Table IV-5 Factors Predicting State Graduation Rates for Students with Disabilities in 1992-93: Certificate of Completion ..... IV-38
Table IV-6 Schedule of On-Site Monitoring Reviews, 1996-97 ..... IV-45
Table IV-7 Monitoring Reports Issued During Fiscal Year 1997 ..... IV-45
Table IV-8 Summary of Findings in Fiscal Year 1997 Monitoring Reports ..... IV-46
Table IV-9 Schedule of the IDEA Amendments of 1997 Implementation Planning Visits ..... IV-49
Table IV-10 Part B Performance Indicators ..... IV-58
Table IV-11 Part C Performance Indicators ..... IV-64
Table IV-12 Part D Performance Indicators ..... IV-67
Table A-1 State Reporting Patterns for IDEA, Part B Child Count Data 1996-97, Other Data 1995-96 ..... A-241
Table B-1 Regional Resource Centers (RRC) and Federal Resource Center (FRC) Programs ..... B-8

## LIST OF FIGURES

Page
Figure 1 Conceptual Framework of Results for Children and Youth with Disabilities ..... xiv
Figure 2 Issues Addressed in This Report ..... XV
Figure II-1 Number of Infants and Toddlers Served Under IDEA, Part C, 1992 Through 1996 ..... II-2
Figure II-2 Number of Infants and Toddlers Served in Different Settings, 1992-93 and 1995-96 ..... II-5
Figure II-3 Number of Children Ages 3-5 Served Under the Preschool Grants Program, 1992-93-1996-97 ..... II-6
Figure II-4 Number of Children Ages 3-5 Served in Different Educational Environments, 1992-93 and 1995-96 ..... II-9
Figure II-5 Percentage of Students with Disabilities Served Under IDEA, Part B by Age Group in 1996-97 ..... II-12
Figure II-6 Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served Under IDEA, Part B From 1987-88 to 1996-97: High-Incidence Disabilities ..... II-14
Figure II-7 Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served Under IDEA, Part B From 1987-88 to 1996-97: Low-Incidence Disabilities ..... II-15
Figure II-8 Percentage of Secondary-Aged Students with Disabilities with Different Grade Point Averages, by Gender ..... II-34
Figure II-9 School Exit Status of Youth with Disabilities, by Gender ..... II-35
Figure II-10 Students Ages 6-21 Identified as Having Emotional Disturbance in the 50 States and the District of Columbia ..... II-52
Figure II-11 Percentage of Children with Emotional Disturbance Ages 6-21 Served From 1987-88 Through 1995-96 in Regular Classes and Resource Rooms ..... II-56

## List of Figures (cont'd)

Page
Figure III-1 Number of Teaching Positions, Fully Certified Teachers, andPartially Certified Teachers Plus Vacant Positions in SpecialEducation for Students Ages 3-5 with Disabilities by SchoolYearIII-4
Figure III-2 Cumulative Percentage of Annual Growth in the Number of Students Ages 3-5 with Disabilities Compared with the Cumulative Percentage of Annual Expansion of Teaching Positions in Special Education for These Students by School Year ..... III-6
Figure III-3 Number of Teaching Positions, Fully Certified Teachers, and Partially Certified Teachers Plus Vacant Positions in Special Education for Students Ages 6-21 with Disabilities by School Year ..... III-7
Figure III-4 Cumulative Percentage of Annual Growth in the Number of Students Ages 6-21 with Disabilities Compared with the Cumulative Percentage of Annual Expansion of Teaching Positions in Special Education for These Students by School Year ..... III-8
Figure III-5 Teacher Shortage Percentages for Students Ages 3-5 and 6-21 with Disabilities by School Year ..... III-10
Figure III-6 Cumulative Percentage of Annual Expansion of Teaching Positions in Special Education for Students Ages 3-5 and 6-21 with Disabilities by School Year ..... III-13
Figure III-7 Students Per Teaching Position by Student Age Group and School Year ..... III-14
Figure III-8 Cumulative Percentage of Annual Expansion of TeachingPositions in Special Education (for Students Ages 6-21 withDisabilities) and General Education (for Grades K Through 12in Public Schools) by School YearIII-15
Figure III-9 Percentage of Students Served in Different Environments ..... III-36

## List of Figures (cont'd)

## Page


Figure IV-1 Percentage of Students with Disabilities Graduating with a Diploma or Certificate of CompletionIV-35

Figure IV-2 IDEA Program Logic Model . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . IV-55
Figure IV-3 IDEA Program for Children and Youth with Disabilities . . . . . . . IV-57
Figure IV-4 IDEA Program for Infants and Toddlers with Disabilities . . . . . . . IV-62
Figure IV-5 IDEA Discretionary Programs . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . IV-66

## SECTION I

## Modules

1. Overview of the IDEA Amendments of 1997
2. State Accountability Systems and Students with Disabilities

CONTEXT/ ENVIRONMENT

## Overview of the IDEA Amendments of $1997^{1}$

In June 1997, the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) was amended by Public Law 105-17, the IDEA Amendments of 1997. This is the fifth set of amendments to the Act. Over the years, IDEA has fostered significant changes in the lives of children with disabilities and their families and in the roles of schools and teachers in the education of children with disabilities.

The basic tenets of IDEA have remained intact since the original passage of the law in 1975. However, each set of amendments has strengthened the original law. The IDEA Amendments of 1997 retain much of the previous version of the law but had some important revisions. This module does not attempt to provide a detailed explanation of all the changes to the Act; rather, it provides an overview of some areas in which the legislation has changed.

Many of the other modules in this annual report also provide specific information on the changes in the law. The complete text of the revised law can be obtained on-line at http://www.ed.gov/offices/OSERS/IDEA (case sensitive) or http://www.lrp.com/ed.

## The Six Principles of IDEA

One way to conceptualize IDEA is to define six principles that provide the framework around which education services are designed and provided to students with disabilities. They are:

- free appropriate public education (FAPE);

[^0]- appropriate evaluation;
- individualized education program (IEP);
- least restrictive environment (LRE);
- parent and student participation in decision making; and
- procedural safeguards.

The changes in the law will be examined within the framework of these six guiding principles.

## FAPE

The IDEA Amendments of 1997 retain the original provisions of FAPE but added two new provisions. Thus, the law still requires that students with disabilities have available to them a "free appropriate public education," meaning special education and related services that:
"(A) have been provided at public expense, under public supervision and direction, and without charge;
(B) meet the standards of the State educational agency;
(C) include an appropriate preschool, elementary, or secondary school education in the State involved; and
(D) are provided in conformity with the individualized education program required under section 614(d)." (§602(8))

The law now also specifically requires that FAPE must be made available to children who are suspended or expelled. State educational agencies (SEAs) and local educational agencies (LEAs) are responsible for ensuring that a student's IEP with its goals and objectives continues to be implemented in the least restrictive environment even though the child has been removed from the school. (A
further review of the new discipline requirements is given in the procedural safeguards section of this overview.)

The IDEA Amendments of 1997 also place limitations on the States' obligation to serve students with disabilities in prison. Federal law does not require States to provide FAPE to individuals ages 18 through 21 who, before their incarceration in an adult correctional facility, were not considered as having a disability--that is, they had not been identified as having a disability under IDEA or did not have an IEP in place prior to incarceration.

Definitions Included in FAPE. Key terms in the FAPE provision are "special education and related services." The IDEA Amendments of 1997 maintain the definition of special education. The definition of related services was also virtually unchanged; however, "orientation and mobility services" was added to the nonexhaustive statutory list of related services. Orientation and mobility services are designed to aid students who are blind or have other visual impairments.

FAPE and the General Curriculum. What determines an appropriate education was emphasized in the IDEA Amendments of 1997. The language requiring an evaluation was strengthened (see "Appropriate Evaluation" in this module), and evaluations must include information relevant to a student's participation in the general curriculum (§614(b)(2)).

## Comprehensive System of Personnel Development (CSPD) and State Improvement Plans (SIPs). The

 providers of services under IDEA must be effectively prepared in their knowledge, skills, and attitudes. The IDEA Amendments of 1997 include a new competitive grant provision--the State Improvement Grants (SIGs). The majority of these grant funds must be spent for personnel development. To compete for an SIG, a State must submit a State Improvement Plan. A State's CSPD must be designed to ensure an adequate supply of qualified special education, general education, and related services personnel that meets the requirements for a SIP relating to personnel development in subsections (b)(2)(B) and (c)(3)(D)of Section 653 of the Act. In addition, capacity-building is now promoted at the local level. Adoption of promising practices is actively conducted through the SIPs and through subgrants to LEAs for capacity building and improvement ( $8611(f)(4)$ ).

The new law added provisions to the CSPD, including:

- a State must have in effect a CSPD that meets the requirements of the SIP; and
- personnel must meet the requirements specified in the State's SIP.

The SIP is a powerful tool for States to use to improve their systems and to equip staff with the necessary knowledge to improve results for students with disabilities. Under the IDEA Amendments of 1997, to the maximum extent possible, the SIP must be integrated with State plans under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (ESEA) and the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as appropriate. SIGs are awarded on a competitive basis after peer review, and the IDEA Amendments of 1997 set guidelines on how the funds may be used.

Professional Standards. Prior to the IDEA Amendments of 1997, each State was required to (a) ensure that personnel were appropriately and adequately trained; (b) establish and maintain professional standards that its personnel had to meet; and (c) specify the steps that it intended to take to retrain or hire personnel who did meet State standards, when current personnel did not meet the highest State standard for a specific profession or discipline. The IDEA Amendments of 1997 add two new provisions:

- States may allow the use of paraprofessionals and assistants to assist in the provision of special education and related services under certain conditions. Paraprofessionals and assistants must be appropriately trained and supervised.
- States may adopt a policy that requires LEAs to make an ongoing good faith effort to recruit and hire appropriately and adequately trained personnel to provide special education and related services. Such a policy may include that where there are shortages of qualified personnel, the recruitment and hiring of the most qualified persons available is allowed, provided that those persons who are hired are making satisfactory progress toward completing applicable course work and will in 3 years complete the courses to meet State standards.


## Appropriate Evaluation

As in previous versions of the law, the IDEA Amendments of 1997 require that before a student can receive special education and related services for the first time, he or she must receive a "full and individual initial evaluation." The law also requires:

- parental consent for the initial evaluation;
- a nondiscriminatory evaluation;
- evaluation by a team in all areas of suspected disability;
- not using any single procedure to determine that a child is a child with a disability or to determine the child's educational program;
- testing in the native language or mode of communication of the child, unless it is clearly not feasible to do so; and
- that LEAs conduct reevaluations for each child with a disability if "conditions warrant a reevaluation or if the child's parents or teacher requests a reevaluation, but at least once every 3 years . . . ." (8614(a)(2)(A)).

The IDEA Amendments of 1997 amend certain aspects of the evaluation process and moved all of the provisions related to evaluation and reevaluation to one place in the
law. (See Section 614) The changes in the evaluation provisions are described below.

The Part B definition of a child with a disability was expanded to include, at the discretion of the SEA and LEA, children between the ages of 3 and 9 who are--
"(i) experiencing developmental delays, as defined by the State and as measured by appropriate diagnostic instruments and procedures, in one or more of the following areas: physical development, cognitive development, communication development, social or emotional development, or adaptive development; and
(ii) who, by reasons thereof, needs special education and related services." (§602(3))

Previously, use of the term developmental disabilities was limited to children ages birth through 5. According to the Committee on Labor and Human Resources Report, "use of 'developmental delay' as part of a unified approach will allow the special education and related services to be directly related to the child's needs and prevent locking the child into an eligibility category which may be inappropriate or incorrect . . . ." (pp. 6-7)

Other changes to the evaluation provisions include codification of the policy that assessment tools and strategies provide information that is instructionally useful, emphasis on participation in the general curriculum, and reduction of the paperwork burden.

The evaluation process has also been strengthened. The law now requires that a parent be included as part of the team that determines eligibility. Specifically, the evaluation process includes collecting "information provided by the parent" (§614(b)(2)(A)), reviewing existing evaluation data, including "evaluations and information provided by parents" (§614(c)(1)(A)), and requires that the "determination of whether the child is a 'child with a disability’ . . . shall be made by a team of qualified professionals and the parent of the child . . . ." (8614(b)(4)(A))

Inclusion in State and Districtwide Assessment. ${ }^{2}$ One of the far-reaching changes to IDEA is its alignment with recent educational reform legislation, including The Goals 2000: Educate America Act, the Improving America's Schools Act (IASA), and the School to Work Opportunities Act. The IDEA Amendments of 1997 require that:
"(A) IN GENERAL.--Children with disabilities are included in general and district-wide assessment programs, with appropriate accommodations, where necessary. As appropriate, the State or local educational agency--
(i) develops guidelines for the participation of children with disabilities in alternate assessments for those children who cannot participate in State and district-wide assessment programs; and
(ii) develops and, beginning no later than July 1, 2000, conducts those alternate assessments.
(B) REPORTS.--The State educational agency makes available to the public, and reports to the public with the same frequency and in the same detail as it reports on the assessment of nondisabled children, the following:
(i) the number of children with disabilities participating in regular assessments.
(ii) the number of those children participating in alternate assessments.
(iii)(I) The performance of those children on regular assessments (beginning no later than July 1, 1998) and on alternate assessments (no later than July 1, 2000), if doing so would be statistically sound and

[^1]would not result in the disclosure of performance results identifiable to individual children.
(II) Data relating to the performance of children described under subclause (I) shall be disaggregated--(aa) for assessments conducted after July 1, 1998; and (bb) for assessments conducted before July 1, 1998, if the State is required to disaggregate such data prior to July 1 1998." (§612(a)(17))

Performance Goals and Indicators. ${ }^{3}$ In addition to requiring that States include students with disabilities in assessment procedures, the IDEA Amendments of 1997 require States to establish performance goals for children with disabilities and to establish performance indicators to judge their progress toward these goals. States had until July 1, 1998, to establish:

- appropriate performance goals for students with disabilities that "are consistent, to the maximum extent appropriate, with other goals and standards for children established by the State;" and
- "performance indicators the State will use to assess progress toward achieving those goals that, at a minimum, address the performance of children with disabilities on assessments, drop-out rates, and graduation rates." (§612(a)(16))


## Individualized Education Programs (IEPs)

IDEA requires that an IEP be written for each student with a disability receiving special education and related services. The IDEA Amendments of 1997 incorporate some new requirements pertaining to IEPs and move all provisions related to the IEP to Section 614(d). These went into effect on July 1, 1998.

[^2]The section begins by defining the term "Individualized Education Program":
"The term 'individualized education program' or 'IEP' means a written statement for each child with a disability that is developed, reviewed, and revised in accordance with this section. . . ." (§614(d)(1)(A))

Below is a summary of the provisions that modified the IEP in the IDEA Amendments of 1997.

Statement of the Child's Present Levels of Educational Performance. The IEP must state how the child with a disability is currently doing at school, emphasizing the child's strengths and weaknesses and areas that need to be addressed. The information is drawn from recent evaluations, observations, and inputs from parents and school personnel. A new area of emphasis in the IDEA Amendments of 1997 is "how the child's disability affects the child's involvement and progress in the general curriculum." (S614(d)(1)(A)(i)(I))

Statement of Measurable Annual Goals, Including Benchmarks or Short-Term Objectives. This section focuses on the IEP team's recommended educational goals that are appropriate for the student. The goals must be annual and measurable and include benchmarks or shortterm objectives, and relate to "meeting the child's needs that result from the child's disability to enable the child to be involved in and progress in the general curriculum; and meeting each of the child's other educational needs that result from the child's disability . . . ." (§614(d)(1)(A)(ii)(I) and (II))

Statement of Special Education and Related Services. Given the child's strengths, needs, and annual goals, the IEP considers the special education and related services necessary to accomplish those goals. Again, the IDEA Amendments of 1997 emphasize services necessary to enable the child to be part of the general curriculum. In fact, the IEP must include "an explanation of the extent, if any, to which the child will not participate with nondisabled children in the regular class . . . ."
(8614(d)(1)(A)(iv)) Also, the IDEA Amendments of 1997 include a definition of "Supplementary Aids and Services." "Supplementary aids and services" means "aids, services, and other supports that are provided in regular education classes or other education-related settings to enable children with disabilities to be educated with nondisabled children to the maximum extent appropriate in accordance with section 612(a)(5) [The 1997 Amendments, provision on LRE]." (§602(29))

Statement of Any Individual Modifications in the Administration of State or Districtwide Assessment of Student Achievement. ${ }^{4}$ The IDEA Amendments of 1997 require that students with disabilities be included in the assessment process. Modifications or adaptations must be given where appropriate. If the IEP team determines that a child will not participate in a particular State or local assessment, or any part of that assessment, then a statement of "why that assessment is not appropriate for the child and how that child will be assessed" must be included. (§614(d)(1)(A)(v)(II)(aa) and (bb))

Dates, Frequency, Location, and Duration of Services. Each student's IEP must include when the student's special education and related services will begin, how long they will go on (duration), how often they will be provided (frequency), and where they will take place (location). The location provision is new in the IDEA Amendments of 1997. (8614(d)(1)(A)(vi))

Transition Services. The requirement to provide youth with disabilities transition services was retained from the prior law. However, two new requirements were added. First, IEPs must include,
"beginning at age 14, and updated annually, a statement of the transition service needs of the child under the applicable components of the child's IEP that focuses on the child's course of study (such as partici-

[^3]pation in advanced-placement courses or a vocational education program)." (\$614(d)(1)(A)(vii)(I))

This requirement was designed to augment the existing requirement which states:
"beginning at age 16 (or younger, if determined appropriate by the IEP team), a statement of needed transition services for the child, including, when appropriate, a statement of the interagency responsibilities or any needed linkages . . . ." (§614(d)(1)(A)(vii)(II))

The second addition is that IEPs must include,
"beginning at least one year before the child reaches the age of majority under State law, a statement that the child has been informed of his or her rights under this title, if any, that will transfer to the child on the age of reaching majority . . . ." (§614(d)(1)(A)(vii)(III))

Developing the IEP. The IDEA Amendments of 1997 maintain essentially the same process for developing an IEP. However, the new legislation increases the role general educators play on the IEP team, and related service personnel are specifically mentioned as being part of the IEP team, where appropriate, and at the discretion of the parent or school. New language was also added with regard to the responsibilities of the IEP team. Specifically, the law charged the IEP team to consider: (a) the strengths of the child and the concerns of the parents for enhancing the education of their child and (b) the results of the initial evaluation or most recent evaluation of the child. (8614(d)(3)(A))

In the process of developing the IEP, the IEP team must also consider "special factors," including:
"(i) in the case of a child whose behavior impedes his or her learning or that of others, consider where appropriate, strategies, including positive behavioral interventions, strategies, and supports to address that behavior;
(ii) in the case of a child with limited English proficiency, consider the language needs of the child as such needs relate to the child's IEP;
(iii) in the case of a child who is blind or visually impaired, provide for instruction in Braille and the use of Braille unless the IEP Team determines, after an evaluation of the child's reading and writing skills, needs, and appropriate reading and writing media (including an evaluation of the child's future needs for instruction in Braille or the use of Braille) that instruction in Braille is not appropriate for the child;
(iv) consider the communication needs of the child, and in the case of a child who is deaf or hard of hearing, consider the child's language and communication needs, opportunities for direct communication with peers and professional personnel in the child's language and communication mode, academic level, and full range of needs, including opportunities for direct instruction in the child's language and communication mode; and
(v) consider whether the child requires assistive technology devices and services." (8614(d)(3)(B))

Reviewing and Revising the IEP. The IDEA Amendments of 1997 emphasize that the IEP is to be reviewed annually or more frequently if needed to determine if goals are being met. The IEP must be revised, as appropriate, to address "any lack of expected progress toward the annual goals and in the general curriculum, where appropriate; the results of any reevaluation conducted under [§614]; information about the child provided to, or by, the parents . . . ; the child's anticipated needs; or other matters." (8614(d)(4)(A)) Also, as appropriate the regular education teacher must participate in the review and revision of the IEP. (§614(d)(4)(B))

## Least Restrictive Environment

Since 1975, all eligible students must receive FAPE in the least restrictive environment possible. This means that the child must receive an appropriate education designed to meet his or her needs while being educated with nondisabled peers to the maximum extent appropriate. Specifically, the law requires each State to ensure that:
"It]o the maximum extent appropriate, children with disabilities, including children in public or private institutions or other care facilities, are educated with children who are not disabled, and special classes, separate schooling, or other removal of children with disabilities from the regular educational environment occurs only when the nature or severity of the disability is such that education in regular classes with the use of supplementary aids and services cannot be achieved satisfactorily." (8612(a)(5)(A)).

The IDEA Amendments of 1997 add two new provisions to strengthen this commitment:
"(i) IN GENERAL.--If the State uses a funding mechanism by which the State distributes State funds on the basis of the type of setting in which a child is served, the funding mechanism does not result in placements that violate the requirements of subparagraph (A).
(ii) ASSURANCE.--If the State does not have policies and procedures to ensure compliance with clause (i), the State shall provide an assurance that it will revise the funding mechanism as soon as feasible to ensure that such mechanism does not result in such placements." (§612(a)(5)(B))

These new provisions require that States do not set up funding mechanisms that violate the LRE requirement and that if a State has in place funding mechanisms that are in violation, they be revised as soon as possible. Furthermore, as described in the IEP section, supplementary aids and services were defined, as well as other components,
such as student involvement in the general curriculum, the participation of students in State and districtwide assessment programs, and performance goals and indicators.

When students with disabilities are educated in the general education classroom, the possibility exists that a nondisabled child might benefit from the special education being provided to a child with a disability. In the past, schools were required to keep track of these incidental benefits. The new provision states:
"(4) PERMISSIVE USE OF FUNDS.--Notwithstanding paragraph (2)(A) or section 612(a)(18)(B) (related to commingled funds), funds provided to the local educational agency under this part may be used for the following activities:
(A) SERVICES AND AIDS THAT ALSO BENEFIT NONDISABLED CHILDREN.--For the costs of special education and related services and supplementary aids and services provided in a regular class or other education-related setting to a child with a disability in accordance with the individualized education program of the child, even if one or more nondisabled children benefit from such services." (§613(a)(4))

## Parent and Student Participation

IDEA strongly encouraged the participation of and communication among all parties who have a vested interest in the education of students with disabilities. On the one hand, parents have always been important players in the special education process, and their involvement is crucial to successful results for students. On the other hand, the language inviting student participation has become stronger with the past two reauthorizations of IDEA, particularly in the area of transition.

Previous versions of IDEA stipulated that:

- Public agencies must notify parents when they propose or refuse to initiate or change the identification, evaluation, or educational placement of the child, or the provision of FAPE to the child.
- Parents have the right to inspect and review any education records relating to their child that the public agency collects, maintains, or uses. In addition, they have the right to inspect and review all educational records with respect to the identification, evaluation, and educational placement of the child, and the provision of FAPE to the child.
- Parental consent is required before a child may be evaluated for the first time.
- Parents have the right to obtain an independent educational evaluation (IEE) of their child; under certain circumstances, this IEE may be at public expense. If the parents obtain an IEE at private expense, results of the evaluation must be considered by the public agency in any decision made with respect to the provision of FAPE to the child.
- Parents are members of the team that develops their child's IEP.
- Parental consent is required for a child's initial special educational placement.
- Parents have the right to challenge or appeal any decision related to the identification, evaluation, or placement of their child, or the provision of FAPE to their child.

The IDEA Amendments of 1997 define "parent" and provide procedural safeguards for infants, toddlers, and children so that they continue to receive services under the Act if the parent is unable to be located.

The definition of parent as it appears in the IDEA Amendments of 1997 is:
"The term 'parent'--
(A) includes a legal guardian; and
(B) except as used in sections 615(b)(2) and 639(a)(5), includes an individual assigned under either of those sections to be a surrogate parent." (§602(19)).

Section 615(b) states the procedural safeguards established for Part B; Section 615(b)(2) requires "procedures to protect the rights of the child whenever the parents of the child are not known, the agency cannot, after reasonable efforts, locate the parents, or the child is a ward of the State, including the assignment of an individual (who shall not be an employee of the State educational agency, the local educational agency, or any other agency that is involved in the education or care of the child) to act as a surrogate for the parents $\qquad$
Section 639(a) states the procedural safeguards established for Part C; Section 639(a)(5) requires
"[p]rocedures to protect the rights of the infant or toddler whenever the parents of the infant or toddler are not known or cannot be found or the infant or toddler is a ward of the State, including the assignment of an individual (who shall not be an employee of the State lead agency or other State agency, and who shall not be any person, or any employee of a person, providing early intervention services to the infant or toddler or any family member of the infant or toddler) to act as a surrogate for the parents."

The IDEA Amendments of 1997 also add several new requirements in terms of parental involvement in their child's education. The following section contains verbatim text from the IDEA Amendments of 1997 related to parental rights and responsibilities.

Notification to the Public Agency by Parents Regarding Private School Placement. "LIMITATION ON REIM-BURSEMENT.--The cost of reimbursement described in clause (ii) [regarding reimbursement for private school placement] may be reduced or denied if--(aa) at the most
recent IEP meeting that the parents attended prior to the removal of the child from the public school, the parents did not inform the IEP Team that they were rejecting the placement proposed by the public agency to provide a free appropriate public education to their child, including stating their concerns and their intent to enroll their child in a private school at public expense; or (bb) 10 business days (including any holidays that occur on a business day) prior to the removal of the child from the public school, the parents did not give written notice to the public agency of the information described in division (aa); (II) if, prior to the parents' removal of the child from the public school, the public agency informed the parents, through the notice requirements described in section $615(b)(7)$, of its intent to evaluate the child (including a statement of the purpose of the evaluation that was appropriate and reasonable), but the parents did not make the child available for such evaluation; or (III) upon a judicial finding of unreasonableness with respect to actions taken by the parents." (§612(a)(10)(C)(iii))
"EXCEPTION.--Notwithstanding the notice requirement in clause (iii)(I), the cost of reimbursement may not be reduced or denied for failure to provide such notice if--(I) the parent is illiterate and cannot write in English; (II) compliance with clause (iii)(I) would likely result in physical or emotional harm to the child; (III) the school prevented the parent from providing such notice; or (IV) the parents had not received notice, pursuant to section 615, of the notice requirement in clause (iii)(I)." (§612 (a)(10)(C)(iv))

Input During Evaluation. "CONDUCT OF EVALUATION.-In conducting the evaluation, the local educational agency shall--(A) use a variety of assessment tools and strategies to gather relevant functional and developmental information, including information provided by the parent, that may assist in determining whether the child is a child with a disability and the content of the child's individualized education program, including information related to enabling the child to be involved in and progress in the general curriculum or, for preschool children, to participate in appropriate activities . . . ." (§614(b)(2))

Eligibility. "DETERMINATION OF ELIGIBILITY.--Upon completion of administration of tests and other evaluation materials--(A) the determination of whether the child is a child with a disability as defined in section 602(3) shall be made by a team of qualified professionals and the parent of the child in accordance with paragraph (5); and (B) a copy of the evaluation report and the documentation of determination of eligibility will be given to the parent." (§614(b)(4))
"SPECIAL RULE FOR ELIGIBILITY DETERMINATION.-- In making a determination of eligibility under paragraph (4)(A), a child shall not be determined to be a child with a disability if the determinant factor for such determination is lack of instruction in reading or math or limited English proficiency." (§614(b)(5))

Reevaluation. "PARENTAL CONSENT.--Each local educational agency shall obtain informed parental consent, in accordance with subsection (a)(1)(C), prior to conducting any reevaluation of a child with a disability, except that such informed parent consent need not be obtained if the local educational agency can demonstrate that it had taken reasonable measures to obtain such consent and the child's parent has failed to respond." (§614(c)(3))

Receiving Progress Reports and Revising the IEP. The IEP must contain "a statement of-- . . . (II) how the child's parents will be regularly informed (by such means as periodic report cards), at least as often as parents are informed of their nondisabled children's progress, of--(aa) their child's progress toward the annual goals . . . ; and (bb) the extent to which that progress is sufficient to enable the child to achieve the goals by the end of the year." (§614(d)(1)(A)(viii))

Regarding the revision of IEPs, the LEA must "ensure that, subject to subparagraph (B), the IEP Team--(i) reviews the child's IEP periodically, but not less than annually to determine whether the annual goals for the child are being achieved; and (ii) revises the IEP as appropriate to address-(I) any lack of expected progress toward the annual goals and in the general curriculum, where appropriate; (II) the
results of any reevaluation conducted under this section; (III) information about the child provided to, or by, the parents, as described in subsection (c)(1)(B); (IV) the child's anticipated needs; or (V) other matters." (§614(d)(4))

Placement. "EDUCATIONAL PLACEMENTS.--Each local educational agency or State educational agency shall ensure that the parents of each child with a disability are members of any group that makes decisions on the educational placement of their child." ( $8614(\mathrm{f})$ )

Participation in All Meetings. The procedural safeguards under Part B of the IDEA Amendments of 1997 require:
"an opportunity for the parents of a child with a disability . . . to participate in meetings with respect to identification, evaluation, and educational placement of a child, and the provision of a free appropriate public education to such child . . . ." (§615(b)(1))

Notification by Parents of Their Intent To File a Complaint. Any SEA, State agency, or LEA that receives Part B funds must institute "procedures that require the parent of a child with a disability, or the attorney representing the child, to provide notice (which shall remain confidential)--(A) to the State educational agency or local educational agency, as the case may be, in the complaint filed under paragraph (6); and (B) that shall include--(i) the name of the child, the address of the residence of the child, and the name of the school the child is attending; (ii) a description of the nature of the problem of the child relating to such proposed initiation or change, including facts relating to such problem; and (iii) a proposed resolution of the problem to the extent known and available to the parents at the time; . . ." (§615(b)(7))

Parent Involvement in Policy Making. Parents were also encouraged in many other ways in the legislation to be involved as partners with educators and policy makers. This included involvement at the national, State, and local levels.

At the national level, the IDEA Amendments of 1997 require the Department of Education to involve parents in activities related to the funding of grants in the areas of coordinated research, technical assistance, support and dissemination of information. Parents of children with disabilities must be included in the development of the comprehensive plan of activities for research grants, membership in the standing panel of experts to evaluate applications for grants and cooperative agreements, and membership in the peer review panels for particular competitions.

At the State level, parents are to be involved at two levels. First, they must be invited to participate on the State advisory panel that is set up "for the purpose of providing policy guidance with respect to special education and related services for children with disabilities in the State." (§612(a)(21)) In fact, "the majority of members of the panel shall be individuals with disabilities or parents of children with disabilities." (§612(a)(21)(C)) Second, they must be invited partners with the SEA in developing and implementing the State program improvement grants. (§652(b))

Parents are also to be involved in decision making at the local level. Specifically, they are to be involved in schoolbased improvement plans that the LEAs may submit. These improvement plans are designed "to permit a public school within the jurisdiction of the local education agency to design, implement, and evaluate a school-based improvement plan . . . that is designed to improve educational and transitional results for all children with disabilities . . . in that public school." ( $\$ 613(\mathrm{~g})(1))$ Membership of this panel must reflect the diversity of the community in which the public school is located and must include parents of children with disabilities who attend the school.

Students as Partners in Their Education. The law acknowledges that if students are to develop into independent, productive adults and become increasingly responsible for their behaviors and accomplishments, they need to acquire the skills that promote decision making. Therefore, new provisions (discussed in the IEP section of this module) regarding transition were added to the law.

## Procedural Safeguards

The procedural safeguards were designed to protect the rights of parents and their children with disabilities, as well as give families and schools a mechanism for resolving disputes. Some of the safeguards remain essentially unchanged, while others have been revised or newly added. The following safeguards have remained intact:

- access to educational records: parents have the right to inspect and review all of their child's educational records;
- parents' right to obtain an IEE of their child;
- parents' right to request a due process hearing on any matter with respect to the identification, evaluation, or placement of their child, or the provision of FAPE;
- parents' right to have a due process hearing conducted by an impartial hearing officer;
- parents' right to appeal the initial hearing decision to the SEA, if the SEA did not conduct the hearing; and
- parents' right to bring civil action in an appropriate State or Federal court to appeal a final hearing decision.

Several procedures were modified and others were added. These will be discussed in the remainder of this section.

Prior Written Notice and the Procedural Safeguard Notice. Before the IDEA Amendments of 1997, prior written notice of procedural safeguards had to be given to parents before a public agency (a) proposed to initiate or change the identification, evaluation, or educational placement of the child, or the provision of FAPE to the child or (b) refused to initiate or change the identification, evaluation, or educational placement of the child or the provision of FAPE ( 34 CFR §300.505(a)(1)). The IDEA Amendments of 1997 changed this approach to informing parents of the procedural safeguards by trying to simplify
the process. Now the full explanation of the law's procedural safeguards is provided via the "procedural safeguards notice" when:

- the child is initially referred for evaluation;
- parents are notified of an IEP meeting;
- the agency proposes to reevaluate the child; and
- upon registration of a due process complaint. (§615(d)(1))

At other times, parents are reminded of the availability of procedural safeguards through a document called "prior written notice." Prior written notice is to be given whenever the public agency proposes or refuses to initiate or change the identification, evaluation, or educational placement of the child, or the provision of FAPE to the child, and includes: "(1) a description of the action proposed or refused by the agency; (2) an explanation of why the agency proposes or refuses to take the action; (3) a description of any other options that the agency considered and the reasons why those options were rejected; (4) a description of each evaluation procedure, test, record, or report the agency used as a basis for the proposed or refused action; (5) a description of any other factors that are relevant to the agency's proposal or refusal; (6) a statement that the parents of a child with a disability have protection under the procedural safeguards of this part and, if this notice is not an initial referral for evaluation, the means by which a copy of the description of the procedural safeguards can be obtained; and (7) sources for parents to obtain assistance in understanding the provisions of this part." (§615(c))

Mediation. Prior legislation permitted mediation to be used to resolve conflicts between schools and parents of a child with a disability. The IDEA Amendments of 1997 outline States' obligations for creating a mediation process in which parents and LEAs may voluntarily participate. States must ensure that the mediation process is voluntary on the part of parties, and that it is not used to deny or delay a parent's right to a due process hearing or to deny
any other rights afforded under Part B of IDEA. Mediation must be conducted by a qualified and impartial mediator who is trained in effective mediation techniques. A list of qualified mediators knowledgeable in laws and regulations relating to the provision of special education and related services must be maintained by the State, and the State must bear the cost of the mediation process. (§615(e))

Discipline. Specific requirements were added to the law regarding the discipline of children with disabilities. These requirements were based on a number of factors, including court cases, OSEP memoranda, and findings from OCR.

One of the basic tenets of the original law has become known as the "stay put" policy. This provision has served to prevent public agencies from unilaterally removing a child with a disability from his or her current educational placement and placing the child in another setting during administrative proceedings. The IDEA Amendments of 1997 carry forward this provision by stating:
"Except as provided in subsection (k)(7) [placement during appeals], during the pendency of any proceedings conducted pursuant to this section, unless the State or local educational agency and the parents otherwise agree, the child shall remain in the thencurrent educational placement of such child . . . ." (8615(j))

The IDEA Amendments of 1997 add explicit new requirements regarding the discipline of students with disabilities who:

- violate a school rule or code of conduct subject to disciplinary action;
- carry a weapon to school or a school function under the jurisdiction of an SEA or LEA;
- knowingly possess or use illegal drugs or sell or solicit the sale of a controlled substance while at school or school function under the jurisdiction of an SEA or LEA; and
- if left in their current educational placement, are substantially likely to injure themselves or others.

Section 615(k) of the IDEA Amendments of 1997 divides the disciplinary process into 10 subsections. The following paragraphs briefly outline these disciplinary requirements.

The IDEA Amendments of 1997 clarify the authority of school personnel to take disciplinary action, including ordering a change in placement for a child with a disability--
"(i) to an appropriate interim alternative educational setting, another setting, or suspension, for not more than 10 school days (to the extent such alternatives would be applied to children without disabilities); and
(ii) to an appropriate interim alternative educational setting for the same amount of time that a child without a disability would be subject to discipline, but for not more than 45 days if--
(I) the child carries a weapon to school or a school function . . . ; or
(II) the child knowingly possesses or uses illegal drugs or sells or solicits the sale of a controlled substance while at school or a school function . . . ." (8615(k)(1)(A))

Either before or not later than 10 days after taking the disciplinary action mentioned above, if the LEA did not conduct a functional behavioral assessment and implement a behavioral intervention plan for the child before the behavior that resulted in the suspension, the agency must convene an IEP meeting to develop an assessment plan to address the behavior. If the child already has a behavioral assessment plan, the IEP team must review the plan and modify it as necessary. ( $\$ 615(\mathrm{k})(1)(\mathrm{B})$ )

The law expanded the authority of the hearing officer to place the child in an appropriate interim alternative educational setting for not more than 45 days. The hearing officer must determine that the public agency has
demonstrated that maintaining the child in the current placement is substantially likely to result in injury to the child or others. In so determining, the hearing officer must consider the appropriateness of the current placement and whether the public agency has made a reasonable effort to minimize the risk of harm in the current placement, including the use of supplementary aids and services. (§615(k)(2))

Both of these new provisions refer to placing the child with a disability in a setting which will enable the child to continue to participate in the general curriculum and to continue to receive services and modifications described in the child's IEP and enable the child to meet the goals of the IEP. The placement must be determined by the IEP team. (§615(k)(3))

The relationship between the child's disability and the misconduct must be determined through a "manifestation determination review." The IEP team may determine that the behavior was not a manifestation of the child's disability. To consider the behavior subject to the disciplinary action, all relevant information, including evaluation and diagnostic results, including other relevant information supplied by the parents of the child, observations of the child, and the child's IEP placement must be reviewed in relation to the behavior subject to the disciplinary action. The IEP team must determine that the child's IEP and placement were appropriate and the supplementary aids and services and the behavior intervention strategies were provided consistent with the child's IEP and placement, the child's disability did not impair the ability of the child to understand the impact and consequences of the behavior subject to disciplinary action, and the child's disability did not impair the ability of the child to control the behavior. (§615(k)(4)(C))

Under the IDEA Amendments of 1997, if it is determined that the misconduct was not a manifestation of the child's disability, the relevant disciplinary procedures applicable to children without disabilities may be applied to the child in the same manner in which they would be applied to children without disabilities. However, schools must
continue to provide FAPE to children with disabilities who have been suspended or expelled from school. ( $8615(\mathrm{k})(5)(\mathrm{A})$ )

Parents have the right to appeal manifestation determinations. During the appeal, the "stay put" provision determines the child's placement during the appeal process. The LEA may request an expedited hearing if the school personnel maintain that it is dangerous for the child to be in the current placement. ( $\$ 615(\mathrm{k})(6)$ and (7))

Also under the IDEA Amendments of 1997, a child who has not yet been found eligible for special education and who has violated any rule or code of conduct could assert the protections of the Act if the LEA had knowledge that the child had a disability before the behavior occurred. The IDEA Amendments of 1997 include a set of criteria to determine whether the LEA knew if the child had a disability. If the LEA did not have knowledge that a child has a disability, then the child may be subject to the same disciplinary actions as children without disabilities. However, if a request is made for an evaluation of a child during the time that the child is subjected to disciplinary measures, the evaluation must be conducted in an expedited manner. (§615(k)(8)(C))

The IDEA Amendments of 1997 make it clear that agencies are not prohibited from reporting a crime committed by a child with a disability to the appropriate authorities. Similarly, the law does not prevent State and judicial authorities from exercising their responsibilities. (§615(k)(9))

Finally, the IDEA Amendments of 1997 provide definitions for controlled substances, illegal drugs, substantial evidence, and weapons. These definitions are critical to the interpretation and implementation of these new provisions. (§615(k)(10))

## Attorneys' Fees

The IDEA Amendments of 1997 clarify circumstances under which attorneys' fees can be collected and ensures that a fair cost standard is imposed. The legislation prohibits attorneys' fees and related costs for (a) an IEP meeting, except if ordered by an administrative proceeding or judicial action, or (b) at the discretion of the State for a mediation that is conducted prior to filing a complaint. The legislation also outlines certain circumstances when attorneys' fees must be reduced. ( $\$ 615(\mathrm{i})(3)$ )

## Conclusions

Historically, IDEA has been a strong civil rights statute. As shown throughout this module, the IDEA Amendments of 1997 build upon previous versions of IDEA to provide children with disabilities and their families with a comprehensive set of rights and responsibilities. The new law also strengthens the responsibilities of SEAs and LEAs. IDEA tries to balance parental rights and educational agencies' responsibilities. It is hoped that this balance will be achieved through technical assistance to States, increased involvement of families, and OSEP's oversight of implementation of the law.

## References

Committee on Labor and Human Resources. (1997). Report (to accompany S. 717). Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.

Individuals with Disabilities Education Act Amendments of 1997 (IDEA), P.L. 105-17. 20 U.S.C. § 1400 et seq.

# State Accountability Systems and Students with Disabilities ${ }^{1}$ 

Over the past several years, Federal, State, and district policy makers have promoted a system of standards-based reform ${ }^{2}$ in which special education has played a limited role (Goertz \& Friedman, 1996). On the State level, standards-based reform emerged in the 1990s as a system to address policy fragmentation generated by a series of conflicting, State-initiated reforms (Smith \& O’Day, 1991). Standards-based reform posits that "State government is to set system and student goals for the State, coordinate these long-term instructional goals across various State policies, and hold schools and

Purpose: To present an overview of accountability issues, particularly as they relate to State systems for addressing the needs of students with disabilities.
school districts accountable for meeting these goals" (Smith \& O’Day, as cited in Center for Policy Research, 1996, p. 4).

Most broadly, accountability is defined as "a systematic method to assure those inside and outside the educational system that schools and students are moving toward desired goals" (Brauen, O’Reilly, \& Moore, 1994, p. 2). Accountability may be defined at two levels--systems-level accountability and student-level accountability. Traditionally, systems-level accountability has focused on input and process indicators of schooling and program improvement. In many States, this type of accountability is called school accreditation, or the program review process. With standards-based reform, accountability has been expanded to include evaluation of student results as well. Student results typically are measured in terms of assessment results. Hence, three elements for systems-level accountability are: inputs, processes, and aggregate student results. Student-level accountability may include individual graduation and promotion requirements.

[^4]This module focuses only on systems-level accountability. The remainder of the module reviews changes in the State education accountability systems and issues associated with including students with disabilities in general education accountability. ${ }^{3}$ The module ends with summary findings relevant to families and children, educators, and policy makers at the Federal, State, and local levels.

## Importance

Including students with disabilities in accountability systems is important for several reasons. First, many educators and advocates contend that general educators do not feel accountable for the performance of students with disabilities (Elliott \& Thurlow, 1997; Roach \& Raber, 1997; Schnorr, 1990). Second, including students with disabilities in the general accountability program is a key vehicle for including students with disabilities in standards-based reforms. In addition, advocates support the inclusion of students with disabilities in all facets of the general school system, including the accountability system (NASBE, 1992; NASDSE, 1994). Finally, Federal legislation requires that students with disabilities be included in all aspects of standards-based reform (The Goals 2000: Educate America Act, 1993; the Improving America's Schools Act, 1994; and the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act Amendments of 1997). Despite this rationale, however, special education has played a limited role in creating standards-based reform policy (Goertz \& Friedman, 1996), and students with disabilities are often excluded from the general curriculum, State and district assessments, and accountability systems (Elliott \& Thurlow, 1997; Roach \& Raber, 1997).

[^5]
## Traditional General Education Accountability

The traditional model for general education accountability is based largely on inputs to the system, such as the number of books in the library, the square footage allocation per student in a school, and the number and age of the textbooks that a district uses. These input-oriented accountability systems are variously called accreditation, school improvement reviews, accountability reports, profiles, and district composite reports. Some of these reviews are completed by State department of education staff in conjunction with district and school officials. In other instances, independent accrediting bodies work in conjunction with the State to conduct accreditation reviews. In addition to accounting for specific inputs, many systems review components of the education enterprise to determine if programs are being implemented with integrity and within the spirit of the policy that created them. Examples include the curriculum review cycle and long-range facilities planning in a district. The focus of these reviews is on the processes of and inputs to education; the unit of analysis is typically the school building or district.

Coupled with this type of accountability review are compliance reviews for specific categorical programs funded by either the Federal or State government. Compliance review, or monitoring, takes the specific program as the unit of analysis. Like accreditation, it is based largely on the process of delivering a particular program (such as compensatory education or bilingual education) to a particular student population, school, or district. As such, program compliance also relies on the inputs to the system.

## Traditional Special Education Accountability

Traditionally, accountability in special education has been focused on compliance. Until the mid-1990s, the focus
was on ensuring that districts were undertaking the appropriate procedures prescribed by Federal and State law in a timely fashion. Child count has also been used as an accountability measure in special education because much of special education's Federal and State funding is based on the number of students eligible to receive services under the program (Elliott \& Thurlow, 1997). In addition, one of the mandates of IDEA is "child find," the requirement for districts to locate students who may be in need of special education services. Reviewing the child count for special education is a way to evaluate the districts' and States' fulfillment of that requirement. Also, the courts and/or hearing process have become a mechanism for special education accountability at the district and State levels.

## Accountability Reform

General education accountability systems have been changing in three ways: (1) in substance, (2) in form, and (3) in implementation.

## Substance

This is a shift from emphasis on the inputs to and processes of instruction to the results of the educational system. However, it is important to note that although States have added an emphasis on student achievement, or in some instances weighted student achievement more heavily in their accountability systems, with few exceptions States have generally maintained the input and program improvement elements of their systems (Roach \& Raber, 1997).

## Form

States are adding sections to their accountability systems that describe student results, such as district or school report cards, or requiring districts to report State assessment results as part of a larger comprehensive report of the
district. States are implementing processes that require districts to describe how they will help students meet State-established standards. As a result, some States have been adding elements of strategic planning to their accountability systems. Some States are expanding their accountability systems to hold the school accountable where they formerly may have placed accountability at the district or student level.

## Implementation

For many States, the emphasis has shifted in accountability programs from procedural compliance to program improvement and technical assistance (MacDonald, as cited in Schrag, 1996). To accomplish this, accountability in some States is changing from an episodic to an ongoing process. States are also coordinating monitoring across several programs. Thus, monitoring for special education programs is conducted on the same cycle as monitoring for bilingual education or Title I programs. Additionally, some States are integrating their accountability systems to include students with diverse needs.

The primary way that students with disabilities are included in the new general education accountability systems is through the inclusion of their test scores in school and district reports. Advocates have been working aggressively over the past several years to ensure that as many students as appropriate are included in State or district standardized testing. Yet, research shows that the extent to which students with disabilities are included in assessments varies based on factors such as State policies and guidelines, the type of assessments given and accommodations available, how test scores are reported, and the consequences attached to the testing reports (Roach \& Raber, 1997). Revised State assessment and accountability policies in some States, as well as the recently amended IDEA, require that students with disabilities be included in the testing process and that the scores be reported in the State's accountability system (Elliott \& Thurlow, 1997).

## Issues Associated with Including Students with Disabilities in General Education Accountability

In the tracking of 12 State accountability systems, and a more in-depth study of 4 of those State accountability systems, the following issues emerged (Roach, Goertz, \& Dailey, 1997):

- Limited time. Under a coordinated model, special education compliance monitors must conduct a full special education compliance review while also participating in team compliance activities. State monitoring staff have expressed concerns that they simply do not have enough time to attend to both activities.
- Non-coordinated and duplicative monitoring. Although all of the four States that were studied in depth reported coordinating or consolidating their special education compliance monitoring with general education monitoring, districts did not necessarily perceive it that way. Respondents in some study districts reported that although State monitors arrived in the district at the same time, they monitored their own programs and asked district and school staff duplicative questions. In some study districts, respondents reported that programs were monitored at different times, although the State reported a coordinated accountability system.
- Individual entitlement versus group accountability on common standards. In our sample, Maryland, Missouri, Kentucky, Texas, Florida, and Colorado were placing greater emphasis on student outcomes in their accountability systems (Roach, Goertz, \& Dailey, 1997). If the new accountability systems are based primarily on student achievement of common standards, special educators and advocates worry that attention to the individualization of special education will be lost. This can have two consequences. First, educators may drop some of the individualization associated with special education as they focus more on group accountability.

Second, because the focus is on group accountability in general education, accountability for student results in special education may never develop.

- General accountability systems must include students with disabilities in their assessments. Because student assessment results are the linchpin of new accountability systems, States that have inadequately included students with disabilities in their testing programs are ill prepared to include these students in their accountability programs. States must develop methods for including all students in their assessment system under the new requirements of the IDEA Amendments of 1997.
- Poor achievement is masked if data are not collected and reported in sufficient detail. State accountability systems that rely on student assessments typically collect data only on district- or building-level performance. What is reported is often an average test score of the student population as a whole. In these instances, the outstanding performance of some students can counterbalance the poor performance of other students so that the average score of the total school population seems adequate. This is a concern for tracking any student population in State accountability systems, including students with disabilities.
- State compliance staff feel pulled by Federal compliance requirements. Special education compliance items reflect Federal compliance requirements that are primarily process-oriented (Elliott \& Thurlow, 1997). As States develop accountability systems that focus on program improvement, special education staff perceive that they are torn between satisfying Federal procedural compliance items and fully participating in the comprehensive, performance-oriented State accountability programs and coordinated strategic planning. State monitors feel that they are put in the position of asking local officials to focus on program inputs and
special education as a separate system and, simultaneously, to focus on program improvement of a unified system (Roach \& Raber, 1997).


## Implications

Based on recent work done at the National Association of State Boards of Education (NASBE), and changes taking place in State accountability systems in recent years, several points should be noted.

- Respondents at the district level valued the utility of process-oriented special education monitoring based on the extent to which they believed process monitoring leads to better student results. Guaranteeing the right to access programs, some believe, naturally leads to student achievement. For others, as with general education accountability reform, guaranteeing access to the system does not necessarily translate to improved student results. They believe it is necessary to focus on student results in order to improve student achievement.
- States continue to struggle with establishing the correct mix of emphasis on accountability for process versus accountability for student results. Even with the shift in emphasis toward student results, States continue to monitor program elements and input variables with an eye toward program improvement.
- Shifting accountability to focus on whether students are meeting the new standards involves shifting the orientation of accountability from inputs or processes to results and "raising the bar" on expectations for students with disabilities.
- Including students with disabilities in the general State accountability system extends their franchise in the general system but at no point exonerates a State from ensuring individual protections promulgated by IDEA. General and special education accountability systems are not mutually exclusive.


## Summary

Including students with disabilities in State accountability systems is part of a general education reform movement that emphasizes end results rather than educational processes. IDEA and other legislative acts mandate that students with disabilities be reported in State assessment results and thereby become part of the State's accountability system. Issues surrounding the inclusion of students with disabilities in accountability systems include time constraints on State monitoring activities, performance masking related to the reporting of averages of scores at the district or school level, and lack of existing systems or alternative assessments at the State level.
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## SECTION II

## Modules

1. Children Ages Birth Through Five Served Under IDEA
2. Students Ages 6 Through 21 Served Under IDEA
3. The Racial/Ethnic Composition of Students with Disabilities
4. Gender as a Factor in Special Education Eligibility, Services, and Results
5. Students with Emotional Disturbance

## STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS

# Children Ages Birth Through Five Served Under IDEA 

Purpose: To report the number of children served in both the Part C Program and the Preschool Grants Program and the settings in which these children receive services.

The infants and toddlers program, Part H of IDEA, was adopted by Congress in 1986. ${ }^{1}$ The 1997 reauthorization of IDEA moved the legislation to Part C of the Act. The program is designed to address the needs of infants and toddlers with disabilities ages birth through 2 through "a statewide, comprehensive, coordinated, multidisciplinary, interagency system that provides early intervention services for infants and toddlers with disabilities and their families." (20 U.S.C. 1431(b)(1)) All States ensured full implementation of the Part C program for infants and toddlers with disabilities by September 30, 1994.

Since FY 1992, all States have been required to make a free appropriate public education (FAPE) available to all children with disabilities ages 3 through 5, in order to be eligible for an award under the Preschool Grants Program under Section 619 of IDEA and other IDEA funds targeted to children ages 3-5 with disabilities. Five States (Iowa, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, and Nebraska) and six jurisdictions (American Samoa, Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, Federated States of Micronesia, Guam, Palau, and Puerto Rico) provide FAPE from birth, and Virginia does so at age 2 (deFosset \& Carlin, 1997). All other States provide FAPE beginning at age 3.

## The Number of Children Served Under IDEA, Part C

Over the past 5 years, the number of infants and toddlers served under Part C has steadily increased from 145,179 on December 1, 1992, to 187,348 on December 1, 1996 (see figure II-1 and table AA14, on page A-44). This small but consistent annual increase resulted in an overall

[^6]Figure II-1
Number of Infants and Toddlers Served Under IDEA, Part C, 1992 Through 1996


Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).
increase of 29 percent over the 5 -year period, as States improved their ability to count children served while eliminating duplicate counts. During this same time period, the population estimates decreased from $11,911,554$ to $11,382,432$, reflecting a 4 percent decrease.

From December 1, 1995, to December 1, 1996, the total number of infants and toddlers served in the 50 States and the District of Columbia rose from 177,286 to 187,348 , an overall increase of 6 percent. However, 18 States reported a decline in the numbers of infants and toddlers served, while 33 States reported an increase in their counts.

Among the States that reported a decline in the number of children served, several attributed the decrease to changes
in data collection methods. Several States have begun using improved data collection systems that will result in the reporting of unduplicated counts.

Among the States that reported an increase in the number of children served, several cited program expansion as a primary reason for the increase. In part, agencies are now providing individualized family service plans (IFSPs) to children previously served under other State programs. Other States noted that increases were related to improved public awareness efforts. These public awareness efforts probably helped the agencies find more eligible children.

The percentage of the population ages birth through 2 served under Part C rose slightly from 1.54 percent in 1995 to 1.65 percent in 1996 (see tables AA14, p. A-44, and AF2, p. A-222). During this same period, the total population of children in that age group decreased 1.6 percent, from $11,570,316$ to $11,382,432$. In 1996, the majority of States (33) served 1 to 2 percent of their birth to 2 population under IDEA; 6 States served less than 1 percent; 9 States served 2 to 3 percent; and 3 States served more than 3 percent (see table AH1, p. A-228). Looking at the 5-year trend, the percentage of the population served under Part C increased from 1.21 percent in 1992 to 1.65 percent in 1996 (see tables AA14, p. A-44, and AF2, p. A-222).

## Early Intervention Environments for Infants and Toddlers with Disabilities

OSEP currently uses eight different settings to collect data on where infants and toddlers with disabilities receive services. These settings are early intervention classroom, family child care, home, hospital (inpatient), outpatient service facility, regular nursery school/child care, residential facility, and other. However, not every State reports or uses each category. States' use of the reporting categories for where infants and toddlers were served varies, as shown in table AH4 in Appendix A. OSEP collects data only on the primary setting (that is, the setting where the majority of services are provided to a child); many infants and toddlers receive services in multiple settings. Some

States report zero (0) for a number of services, indicating that the category is valid in the State, but that no infants and toddlers were served there. States also use a period (.) to report missing data, indicating that the State does not use that placement category. During 1995-96, home was the category with the most valid responses. Only three Outlying Areas did not use this setting to provide services. Residential facilities were not a recognized setting for infants and toddlers in 12 States; an additional 16 States reported no services provided in this setting.

States' use of these categories also reflects the unique service delivery pattern for Part C. For example, Massachusetts served all children in the home setting, while Puerto Rico reported serving all children in outpatient service facilities. California reported an equal number of children in two settings, early intervention classroom and home.

Consistent with the findings above, it is not surprising that the largest number of infants and toddlers were served in the home ( 90,275 or 53 percent), followed by early intervention classroom ( 47,896 or 28 percent), and outpatient service facility ( 17,655 or 10 percent). The remaining settings totaled 13,940 or approximately 8 percent of the total population served. Comparing the placement data from 1992 to 1995, home has been the most frequently used setting. In 1992, home was followed by the outpatient service facility setting and then early intervention classroom setting. However, the percentage of children served at home has increased by 120 percent from 1992 to 1995, and the percentage served in early intervention classrooms rose 31 percent during the same period. The percentage of children served in outpatient service facilities has decreased by 52 percent (see figure II-2).

## The Number of Children Served Under the Preschool Grants Program

The Preschool Grants Program, authorized under Section 619 of IDEA, Part B, was established to provide grants to States to serve preschool children with disabilities.

Figure II-2
Number of Infants and Toddlers Served in Different Settings, 1992-93 and 1995-96


Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

Over the past 5 years, the number of children served under the IDEA Preschool Grants Program increased from 455,449 during the 1992-93 school year to 559,902 during the 1996-97 school year. The steady increase that occurred during this 5 -year period resulted in a total increase of 23 percent. During the 1996-97 school year, there was a modest increase of 2 percent over the 548,441 children served the previous year (see figure II-3).

Figure II-3
Number of Children Ages 3-5 Served Under the Preschool Grants Program, 1992-93-1996-97


Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

Based on the estimated resident population of children ages 3 through 5 in the 50 States and the District of Columbia, 4.6 percent of the children in this age group were served under the IDEA Preschool Grants Program. The District of Columbia served the lowest percentage of its resident population ( 1.5 percent), and Hawaii the second lowest ( 2.5 percent). Kentucky served the highest percentage ( 9.5 percent). The remaining States served between 3.2 percent and 7.9 percent (see table AA10, p. A-33).

## Educational Environments for Preschoolers with Disabilities

Six different categories and two subcategories (private and public) are used to collect data on preschoolers with disabilities who are served under IDEA. They are regular class, resource room, separate class, separate school (public and private), residential facility (public and private), and homebound/hospital. These categories were developed with school-aged children in mind and, consequently, may not reflect educational environments for preschoolers. Therefore, OSEP provides optional instructions to States for reporting counts of preschoolers in each of the categories. Table II- 1 includes a definition of each category as it applies to preschoolers with disabilities.

During the 1995-96 school year, 51.6 percent of children with disabilities ages 3-5 were served in regular classes, approximately a 1 percent increase over the percentage served in regular classes during the previous year. Comparing the data from the 1992-93 school year to the 199596 school year, the percentage of children served in regular class, separate class, and home/hospital environments increased, while the percentage of children served in the remaining settings decreased (see figure II-4).

Table II-1
Educational Environments for Preschoolers with Disabilities

Reqular class includes children who receive services in programs designed primarily for nondisabled children, provided the children with disabilities are in a separate room for less than 21 percent of the time receiving services. This may include, but is not limited to, Head Start centers, public or private preschool and child care facilities, preschool classes offered to an ageeligible population by the public school system, kindergarten classes, and classes using co-teaching models (special education and general education staff coordinating activities in a general education setting).

Resource room includes children who receive services in programs designed primarily for nondisabled children, provided the children with disabilities are in a separate program for 21 to 60 percent of the time receiving services. This includes, but is not limited to, Head Start centers, public or private preschools or child care facilities, preschool classes offered to an age-eligible population by the public school system, and kindergarten classes.
Separate class includes children who receive services in a separate program for 61 to 100 percent of the time receiving services. It does not include children who received education programs in public or private separate day or residential facilities.

Separate school (public and private) includes children who are served in publicly or privately operated programs, set up primarily to serve children with disabilities, that are NOT housed in a facility with programs for children without disabilities. Children must receive special education and related services in the public separate day school for greater than 50 percent of the time.

Residential facility (public and private) includes children who are served in publicly or privately operated programs in which children receive care for 24 hours a day. This could include placement in public nursing care facilities or public or private residential schools.

Homebound/hospital includes children who are served in either a home or hospital setting, including those receiving special education or related services in the home and provided by a professional or paraprofessional who visits the home on a regular basis (e.g., a child development worker or speech services provided in the child's home). It also includes children 3-5 years old receiving special education and related services in a hospital setting on an inpatient or outpatient basis. However, children receiving services in a group program that is housed at a hospital should be reported in the separate school category. For children served in both a home/hospital setting and in a school/community setting, report the child in the placement that comprises the larger percentage of time receiving services.

Source: OSEP Data Dictionary, 1997, Office of Special Education Programs, U.S. Department of Education.

Figure II-4
Number of Children Ages 3-5 Served in Different Educational Environments, 1992-93 and 1995-96


Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Summary

In both Part C and the Preschool Grants Program, the number of children served increased steadily over the past 5 years. Also, over this same period, there was an increase in the use of the home setting and in the use of early intervention classrooms for infants and toddlers. In the Preschool Grants Program, more preschoolers are being served in regular class settings than in any other setting. The number of children being served in the resource room category has declined.
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# Students Ages 6 Through 21 Served Under IDEA 

Children with disabilities ages 6 through 21 have been receiving services through Part B of IDEA for more than 20 years. This module discusses the changes in the total number of children served, the age distribution of students served, the disability distribution of students served, and the disabilities distribution across age groups. The information is based on State-reported data required under Section 618(b) of IDEA. Through this requirement, States report data annually to OSEP on the number of children served under Part B of the law.

Purpose: To describe students served under IDEA during the 1996-97 school year and compare data on the number of students served over the past 10 years.

## Changes in Numbers of Students Served

Over the past few years, the number of school-age students (i.e., ages 6 through 21 years old) with disabilities served has increased at a higher rate than the general school enrollment. During the 1996-97 school year, 5,235,952 students ages 6 through 21 with disabilities were served under IDEA, a 3.1 percent increase over the previous year. The prekindergarten through 12th grade total school-age enrollment figures ${ }^{1}$ showed an increase of 1.2 percent between 1995-96 and 1996-97 (see table AF6, p. A-226). The resident population showed an increase of 1.7 percent. The increase in the number of school-age children served under IDEA over the previous year was slightly more than the increase in the number of preschool students ages 3 through 5 served ( 2.1 percent) and slightly less than the increase in the number of infants and toddlers served (5.7 percent) (see table AA14, pp. A-43 to A-45).

[^7]Figure II-5
Percentage of Students with Disabilities Served Under IDEA, Part B by Age Group in 1996-97


Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Age Distribution of Students Served

The number of school-age students served under IDEA has consistently increased since the inception of P.L. 94-142 in 1975. Dividing students served into three age groups, the number of students with disabilities ages 6-11 served increased 25.3 percent, the number of students with disabilities ages $12-17$ increased 30.7 percent, and the number of students with disabilities ages 18-21 increased 14.7 percent over the past 10 years.

The relative percentages in each of these age groups has remained stable over the past 10 years. Figure II- 5 shows the age composition of students with disabilities in 199697. These percentages differ slightly from the average over
the 10 years, which was 51.8 percent for children ages 611,43 percent for those ages $12-17$, and 5.2 percent of students ages $18-21$ served under IDEA (see table AA14, pp. A-43 to A-45).

## Disabilities Distribution of Students Served

Under IDEA, there are 12 disability categories--specific learning disabilities, speech or language impairments, mental retardation, emotional disturbance, multiple disabilities, hearing impairments, orthopedic impairments, other health impairments, visual impairments, autism, deaf-blindness, and traumatic brain injury--by which to report students served. However, more than 90 percent of the school-age students served under IDEA in 1996-97 were classified in one of four disability categories:

- learning disabilities (51.1 percent or 2,676,299 children);
- speech or language impairments (20.1 percent or 1,050,975 children);
- mental retardation (11.4 percent or 594,025 children); and
- emotional disturbance (8.6 percent or 447,426 children).

Figure II-6 shows the change in the number of students served under IDEA for each of these four disabilities from 1987-88 to 1996-97. The rate of increase for students with learning disabilities was greater than for students with other high-incidence disabilities. The number of students with learning disabilities has increased by 37.8 percent over the past 10 years, as compared with an increase of 10.2 percent for students with speech or language impairments and 20.1 percent for students with emotional disturbance. The number of students with mental retardation decreased by 0.8 percent between 1987-88 and 199697. (See also table AA14, p. AA-43 to AA-45.)

Figure II-6
Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served Under IDEA, Part B From 1987-88 to 1996-97: High-Incidence Disabilities


Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

Figure II-7 shows the number of children served for six of the low-incidence disability categories. Between 1987-88 and 1992-93, the average annual increase for students with other health impairments was 7.5 percent. Between 1992-93 and 1996-97, the average rate more than tripled to 25.0 percent (see table AA14, p. A-45). This is in contrast to the more gradual increases in numbers of students served under IDEA in other disability categories. Table II-2 shows the number of students ages $6-21$ served under IDEA in all 12 disability categories in 1987-88 and 1996-97 (see table AA14, p. A-45).

Figure II-7
Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served Under IDEA, Part B From 1987-88 to 1996-97: Low-Incidence Disabilities


Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

States' most common explanation for the increase in the number of children served under the other health impairments category was increased identification of and service to children with attention deficit disorder (ADD) and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Other large increases occurred in the newest disability categories of autism and traumatic brain injury. ${ }^{2}$ However, the disability categories of autism and traumatic brain injury accounted for less than 1 percent of the students served in 1996-97. Explanations for increases in these categories

[^8]Table II-2
Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served Under IDEA by Disability: 1987-88 and 1996-97

| Disability | 1987-88 |  | 1996-97 |  | Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Specific Learning Disabilities | 1,942,304 | 47.1 | 2,676,299 | 51.1 | 733,995 | 37.8 |
| Speech or Language Impairments | 953,568 | 23.1 | 1,050,975 | 20.1 | 97,407 | 10.2 |
| Mental Retardation | 598,770 | 14.5 | 594,025 | 11.4 | -4,745 | -0.8 |
| Emotional Disturbance | 372,380 | 9.0 | 447,426 | 8.6 | 75,046 | 20.2 |
| Multiple Disabilities | 79,023 | 1.9 | 99,638 | 1.9 | 20,615 | 26.1 |
| Hearing Impairments | 56,872 | 1.4 | 68,766 | 1.3 | 11,894 | 20.9 |
| Orthopedic Impairments | 46,966 | 1.1 | 66,400 | 1.3 | 19,434 | 41.4 |
| Other Health Impairments | 46,056 | 1.1 | 160,824 | 3.1 | 114,768 | 249.2 |
| Visual Impairments | 22,821 | 0.6 | 25,834 | 0.5 | 3,013 | 13.2 |
| Autism | . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | . | 34,101 | 0.7 | 34,101 | . |
| Deaf-Blindness | 1,454 | <0.1 | 1,286 | <0.1 | (168) | -11.6 |
| Traumatic Brain Injury | . | . | 10,378 | 0.2 | 10,378 | . |
| All Disabilities | 4,120,214 | 100.0 | 5,235,952 | 100.0 | 1,115,738 | 27.1 |

a/ Reporting on autism and traumatic brain injury was required under IDEA beginning in 1992-93.
Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).
generally include improvements in reporting and reassignment to the new disability categories during the reevaluation process. An increase in the category of other health impairments, however, has occurred simultaneously with the separate reporting of students with autism and traumatic brain injury, many of whom may have previously been counted under the other health impairments category.

The increase in the number of students with other health impairments since 1992-93 may in part be a response to a 1991 Department of Education, Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services (OSERS) memorandum that explained that students with ADD (and inclusively, ADHD) should be included in the other health impairments category when ADD is a chronic or acute health problem resulting in limited alertness that adversely affects educational performance. ${ }^{3}$ Consequently, the growth in the other health impairments category may be a combined result of increased identification of students with ADD and the reporting of children with ADD in the other health impairments category. Prior to this time, students with ADD may have been reported in other disability categories.

The distribution of students by disability varies across age groups. Specific learning disabilities is the largest single category for each of the three age groups, accounting for 41.2 percent of students ages $6-11,62.3$ percent of students ages 12-17, and 51.7 percent of students ages 18-21. The percentage of students with speech or language impairments decreases dramatically among older children; 35.1 percent of the students ages $6-11$ were identified as having speech or language impairments, while only 5.0 percent of students in the 12-17 age group and 1.8 percent of the students in the 18-21 age group with this disability were served. Conversely, the incidence of mental retardation is more prevalent among older children. This may be in part because students with mental retardation tend to stay in school longer than students with other disabilities. Nearly one-fourth (24.4 percent) of the students ages 18-21

[^9]were classified as having mental retardation. This percentage drops to 12.6 percent for students ages 12-17, and drops again to 9.0 percent for students 6-11. Emotional disturbance is most common among teenagers; 5.7 percent of students ages 6-11 were identified with emotional disturbance compared with 11.7 percent of the 12-17 age group and 9.7 percent of the 18-21 age group.

## Summary

Services to students with disabilities have continued to grow. Among the reasons for this growth are increases in the population and improvements in the identification of students with special needs. The year-to-year increase in the number of school-age children receiving services has been gradual, and increases have occurred at various rates across the disability categories. The largest percentage increases occurred in other health impairments, orthopedic impairments, and specific learning disabilities. There was a reported decline in two disability categories, mental retardation and deaf-blindness.

## The Racial/Ethnic Composition of Students with Disabilities

Purpose: To present data on the number of minority students with disabilities receiving services and the disabilities of these students.

In the recent reauthorization of IDEA (P.L. 105-17), Congress expressed concern about the disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic minorities in special education and poor educational results for minority students. Congress encouraged the Federal Government to be responsive to the growing needs of an increasingly diverse society, to ensure a more equitable allocation of resources, and to provide an equal educational opportunity for all individuals. In reauthorizing IDEA, Congress found that between 1980 and 1990, the rate of increase in the number of White Americans was 6 percent, while the rates of increase for racial and ethnic minorities were much higher: 53 percent for Hispanics, 13.2 percent for African Americans, and 107.8 percent for Asians. By the year 2000, nearly one of every three Americans will be African American, Hispanic, Asian American, or American Indian. As a group, minority children are comprising an increasing percentage of public school students. (§601(c)(7)(B), (C), and (D))

Congress wrote in the IDEA Amendments of 1997 that "greater efforts are needed to prevent the intensification of problems connected with mislabeling . . . among minority children with disabilities." (§601(c)(8)(A)) More African American children are served in special education than would be expected given the percentage of African American students in the general school population. IDEA also notes, "although African Americans represent 16 percent of elementary and secondary enrollments, they constitute 21 percent of total enrollments in special education." ( $8601(\mathrm{c})(8)(\mathrm{D})$ ) "Poor African American children are 2.3 times more likely to be identified by their teacher as having mental retardation than their White counterpart." (§601(c)(8)(C)) In addition to being identified with specific disabilities at different rates than White, non-Hispanic students, minority students are also more likely than White students to be served in less inclusive settings (Singh, Ellis, Oswald, Wechsler, \& Curtis, 1997).

Congress also noted in IDEA that minority youth with disabilities are more likely to drop out of high school: "The drop out rate is 68 percent higher for minorities than for Whites. More than 50 percent of minority special education students in large cities drop out of school" ( 20 U.S.C. $1401(\mathrm{c})(8)(\mathrm{f})$. Dropout rates for Hispanic youth with disabilities are particularly high: 36.9 percent compared to 31.2 percent for Whites and 30.4 percent for African Americans (Valdes, Williamson, \& Wagner, 1990).

In response to these concerns, Congress required States to submit special education child count, educational environment, exiting, and discipline data by race and ethnicity starting in the 1998-99 school year. The race/ethnicity data required under the IDEA Amendments of 1997 will better enable Congress and OSEP to monitor the disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic minorities in special education and dropout rates for minority youth.

Under IDEA, local educational agencies are required to use racially and culturally nondiscriminatory tests and other evaluation materials for identifying students as eligible for special education. Tests must be administered in the child's native language or other mode of communication, unless it is not feasible to do so. Each State is also required to collect and examine data to determine if race is the basis of significant disproportionality in the identification of students with disabilities or the placement of children with disabilities in particular educational settings. If the State determines that significant disproportionality exists, it must provide for the review and, if appropriate, revision of policies, procedures, and practices used to identify or place students to ensure that they meet the requirements of IDEA.

## Race/ Ethnicity in Special Education

The disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic minorities in special education is a highly complex issue because it is difficult to isolate the effects of poverty,
limited English proficiency, residence in inner cities, and race/ethnicity on special education eligibility. ${ }^{1,2}$

For many years, OCR has collected data from a sample of school districts and schools within these districts on the race/ethnicity of students with selected disabilities--mild, moderate, and severe mental retardation; specific learning disabilities; and emotional disturbance. This module presents data for students with those disabilities from the 1994 OCR Elementary and Secondary School Compliance Reports on race/ethnicity.

Discrepancies in disability prevalence and service provision across racial/ethnic categories are most apparent in the mental retardation category. A total of 2.6 percent of Black, non-Hispanic students were identified as having mental retardation. In contrast, 1.2 percent of White, nonHispanic students were identified as having mental retardation. Hispanic students were less likely than White, non-Hispanic students to receive special education to address mental retardation.

White, non-Hispanic students; Black, non-Hispanic students; and Hispanic students were equally likely to receive services to address specific learning disabilities. American Indian students were considerably more likely to receive such services, and Asian/Pacific Islanders were less likely to do so (see table II-3).

Overall, 0.8 percent of the student population received services for emotional disturbance. The rate was slightly higher for Black, non-Hispanic students than for White, non-Hispanic students and was considerably lower for Hispanic students and Asian/Pacific Islander students.

It is often difficult to distinguish between the effects of poverty and the effects of race on special education eligibility because, in the United States, poverty and race are

[^10]Table II-3
Number and Percentage of Students in Special Education by Race/ Ethnicity and Disability: 1994

|  | White, non- <br> Hispanic | Black, <br> non-His- <br> panic | Hispanic | American <br> Indian | Asian/ <br> Pacific <br> Islander | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Learning Dis- <br> abilities | $5.7 \%$ <br> $1,587,918$ | $5.7 \%$ <br> 407,848 | $5.7 \%$ <br> 308,136 | $7.3 \%$ <br> 32,413 | $2.0 \%$ <br> 31,968 | $5,568,283$ <br> Mental Retar- <br> dation <br> $1.2 \%$ <br> 350,699 |
| 190,885 | 50,091 | $1.6 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ |  |  |
| Emotional Dis- | $0.8 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| turbance | 214,442 | 80,253 | 25,514 | 4,227 | 2,786 | 327,222 |
| Total Student <br> Population by <br> Race/Ethnicity | $28,039,068$ | $7,193,038$ | $5,425,976$ | 445,105 | $1,588,124$ | $42,691,311$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office for Civil Rights, 1994 Elementary and Secondary School Compliance Reports.
correlated. Poor children are more likely than wealthier children to receive special education (Wagner, 1995). African American children are more likely than White or Asian children to receive special education under some disability categories. While both poverty and racial/ethnic background may contribute to minority representation in special education, data from the National Longitudinal Transition Study suggest that race/ethnicity was not the primary contributor to the overrepresentation of African Americans in special education. Rather, the overrepresentation of African Americans was driven by the overrepresentation of very poor students in special education, at least for most disability categories (Wagner, 1995). This suggests that while some of the disproportion may be addressed through improvements in unbiased and more discriminate assessment, attention must also focus on the broader issue of child poverty.

## Summary

The disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic minorities in special education has been an issue for educators for more than 25 years, yet African American students continue to be overrepresented in programs for students with mental retardation. Furthermore, relative to White, non-Hispanic students, Asian students are underrepresented in all four of the disability categories for which the OCR collects data. It has been postulated that poverty, rather than race/ethnicity, may account for some of the overrepresentation of minorities in special education programs. Therefore, without attention to poverty and its effects on children, the use of unbiased assessment alone will not eradicate the disproportionate representation described.
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# Gender as a Factor in Special Education Eligibility, Services, and Results 

Purpose: To discuss differences in the characteristics of male and female students with disabilities, special education services provided to males and females with disabilities, and postschool results by gender.

Although males and females comprise equal proportions of the school-aged population, males account for approximately two-thirds of all students served in special education (Doren, Bullis, \& Benz, 1996; Wagner et al., 1991). In many cases, it is not clear if females are underidentified for special education, if males are overidentified, or if real differences exist in the prevalence of disability between males and females.

Much of the research on disability has stressed commonalities among individuals with disabilities rather than addressed differences based on gender (Fine \& Asch, 1988). Consequently, little is known about the different characteristics and experiences of males and females with disabilities.

## Special Education Eligibility

More than two-thirds of all students receiving special education services are male (Doren et al., 1996; Wagner et al., 1991). Among secondary-aged students with disabilities, males constitute the largest proportion of each disability category except deaf-blindness, which is almost evenly divided between males and females (see table II-4). The disproportionate representation of males in special education seems greatest in the learning disability and emotional disturbance categories, which are often considered the disability categories with the most broadly defined eligibility criteria (Kratovil \& Bailey, 1986).

Tables II-4 and II-5 show the percentage of males and females in different disability categories. Table II-5 includes elementary and secondary school students in three disability categories; table II-4 reports data in 11 disability categories for secondary-aged students only.

Table II-4
Gender of Secondary-Aged Students with Disabilities, by Disability Category

| Disability | Percentage <br> Male | Percentage <br> Female |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Learning Disability | 73.4 | 26.6 |
| Emotional Disturbance | 76.4 | 23.6 |
| Speech Impairment | 59.5 | 40.5 |
| Mental Retardation | 58.0 | 42.0 |
| Visual Impairment | 55.6 | 44.4 |
| Hearing Impairment | 52.0 | 48.0 |
| Deafness | 54.5 | 45.5 |
| Orthopedic Impairment | 56.0 | 45.8 |
| Other Health Impairment | 65.4 | 44.0 |
| Multiple Disabilities | 49.5 | 34.6 |
| Deaf/Blindness | 50.5 |  |

Source: Valdes et al. (1990). The National Longitudinal Transition Study of Special Education Students: Statistical almanac (Vol. 1). Menlo Park, CA: SRI International.

Not only are females less likely than males to be identified for special education, but the characteristics of identified females differ from those of identified males (Richardson et al., as cited in Gottleib, 1987). For example, girls in special education score lower on IQ tests than boys. The average IO for secondary-aged females with disabilities was 74.4; the average for males was 81.6 (Gottleib, 1987; Wagner et al., 1991). According to parent reports, a greater percentage of secondary-aged females in special education began having difficulties indicative of a disability at very young ages, which may also suggest more severe disabilities (Valdes, Williamson, \& Wagner, 1990). Because learning

Table II-5
Gender of Elementary and Secondary-Aged Students with Disabilities, by Disability Category ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | Male | Female |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Specific Learning Disability | 69.3 | 30.8 |
| Mental Retardation | 59.0 | 41.6 |
| Emotional Disturbance | 79.4 | 21.0 |
| a/ Percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding or reporting errors. |  |  |
| Source: $\quad$ U.S. Department of Education, Office for Civil Rights, 1994 Elementary and |  |  |
| Secondary School Compliance Reports. |  |  |

disabilities and emotional impairments are not typically associated with below-average intelligence, the overrepresentation of males in these categories may skew the mean IQ of males in special education.

## Possible Causes of Disproportionate Representation

Researchers and advocates offer several hypotheses for the fact that more males than females participate in special education. It is likely that no single explanation accounts for all of the disproportion but that combinations of factors result in the distribution previously described. First, physiological or maturational differences between males and females may cause higher rates of disability among school-age males. Second, differences in the behavior of male and female students may predispose males to the identification of a disability. For example, female students may adapt better to the predominant school culture and norms. Teachers may also react differently to male and female students, which can result in higher rates of referral and classification for male students. Third, methods used to identify students with learning disabilities, emotional
disturbances, and speech and language impairments may be biased and, as such, may contribute to the disproportionate representation of males and females in special education (Harmon, Stockton, \& Contrucci, 1992).

Physiological/ Maturational Differences. Some researchers cite physiological or maturational differences between males and females as a cause for some disproportionate representation. For example, girls are believed to have fewer birth defects and more rapid maturation than boys. Females may be less prone to disability because they have two X chromosomes, and one of the X chromosomes may compensate for a defect in the other. Because males have one X and one Y chromosome, they may be more susceptible to disabilities associated with chromosomal abnormalities, such as hemophilia and fragile-x syndrome, which can cause mental retardation (Harmon et al., 1992). Some researchers theorize that differences in the structure of male and female brains may also contribute to differences in disability prevalence. They speculate that male brains are more lateralized than female brains, meaning that one hemisphere is more dominant than the other (HaydenMcPeak, Gaskin, \& Gaughan, 1993). For example, functional magnetic resonance imaging (FMRI) shows that phonological processing in men engages the left inferior frontal gyrus in the brain. In women, phonological processing activates both the left and right inferior frontal gyrus (Shaywitz, 1996). Parts of the corpus callosum, which connects the two hemispheres, are also more extensive in females. The exact relationships between these biological differences and disability are unclear (Hayden-McPeak et al., 1993).

Research on differences between young boys and young girls suggests that girls mature more rapidly than boys (Harmon et al., 1992). Many preschool programs stress impulse-control, small-muscle development, and language skills, but many young girls are competent in these areas before arriving in preschool. The preschool experience may raise boys' language achievement scores, thus narrowing the gap between girls and boys (Larson \& Robinson, 1989). However, maturational gaps could lead to inflated referrals of males for special education evaluation.

To determine if there are differences in vulnerability to learning failure among young children, Karlen, Hagin, and Beecher (1985) administered a series of tests to all kindergartners and first graders in a sample of elementary schools. The study showed very small or insignificant differences between the percentage of males and females at risk of school failure in urban, rural, and independent schools. However, for unknown reasons, the differences were significant in suburban schools; 31 percent of the boys and 20 percent of the girls were at risk.

Shaywitz, Shaywitz, Fletcher, and Escobar (1990) found significant differences in the percentages of boys and girls identified by their schools as having reading disabilities but found no differences based on achievement and IO test scores. They also found that children who were identified by their schools as having a reading disability but who did not meet objective criteria for reading disabilities were more likely to exhibit difficulties in behavior, attention, fine-motor skills, and language skills. Conversely, children who were not identified as having a reading disability despite meeting eligibility criteria were likely to have no perceived problems with behavior. When students with learning disabilities also have attention deficit disorder (ADD), their learning disabilities may be more severe and resistant to intervention. Because ADD is more prevalent in males than in females, males may be more likely than females to be identified by their schools as having learning disabilities (Felton \& Wood, 1989; Lubs et al., 1991; Lyon, 1996).

School Bias. Males may be referred and found eligible for special education at higher rates than females because of gender differences between female teachers and male students or differences between the dominant school culture and male behavior (Kedar-Volvodas, 1983). Women outnumber men in the general education teaching force ( 87 percent to 13 percent), particularly at the elementary level, when most students are referred for special education (Cook \& Boe, 1995). As long ago as 1976, evidence suggested a bias in teachers' evaluation of students' need for special education based on the student's gender. In a historic study, when given identical descriptions of individ-
ual children, teachers were more likely to refer boys for evaluation than girls (Gregory, 1977). Female teachers may be more likely to identify boys' behavior and learning styles as indicative of a disability, inflating the referral of boys for special education evaluation (Gottleib, 1987).

Other researchers speculate that some educators may have higher expectations for boys than for girls. If boys do not perform to expected levels, teachers may refer them to special education in greater numbers than girls, for whom they have lesser expectations (Gottleib, 1987). However, data suggest that boys are more likely than girls to be referred for special education based on their behavior and that girls are typically referred for concerns about academic performance (Clarizio \& Phillips, 1986). This finding may contradict the hypothesis that disproportion is due to differing academic expectations.

Assessment Bias. The disproportionate representation of males in programs for students with emotional disturbances may reflect a bias in the ways emotional disturbance is defined and/or the instruments used to identify students as eligible under those definitions. Some assessment tools that schools use to evaluate students do not capture depression, suicidal ideation, or suicide attempts (Caseau, Luckasson, \& Kroth, 1994). Adolescent girls experience a higher rate of depression than boys (Boggiano \& Barrett, 1992; Kazdin, 1990; Peterson, Sarigiani, \& Kennedy, 1991), but the eligibility criteria for services under the emotional impairments category, or teachers' tolerance of the withdrawal or depression exhibited by young women, may reduce females' referral for evaluation and eligibility (McIntyre, 1990). Those girls who receive services for emotional impairments usually exhibit the externalizing behaviors typically associated with boys (Casau et al., 1994).

## Services for Males and Females with Disabilities

Once students are identified as eligible for special education, the services they receive do not differ greatly by
gender, and teachers appear to consider an individual student when selecting instructional techniques (Leinhardt, Seewald, \& Zigmond, 1982; Wagner et al., 1991). No significant differences exist in the amount of funds expended on special education and related services for males and females (Singer \& Raphael, 1988).

Few significant gender differences were identified in secondary course-taking for students with disabilities, although higher rates of home economics and life skills instruction for females and a higher rate of vocational education for males were noted (Wagner et al., 1991). Secondary-aged females with disabilities were more likely than males to receive some support services (see table II-6). The disproportion fell particularly in occupational therapy/life skills training, transportation, and speech therapy (Cameto, 1993).

## Educational Results for Males and Females with and without Disabilities

One way to evaluate whether education services are effective in meeting students' needs is to examine student results. These may include in-school results, such as grades and dropout rates, or postschool results, such as employment, wages, and postsecondary education.

## In-School Results

Overall, girls with and without disabilities had better inschool results than boys with and without disabilities. They received better grades, were more likely to graduate from high school, and were less likely to be suspended or expelled. Boys did as well as girls on many standardized achievement tests and scored slightly better than girls on 12th grade math achievement.

Test Scores and Grades. Much has been made of perceived differences between males and females in verbal and quantitative skills. Studies of achievement test scores indicate no consistent, sizable differences in verbal ability

Table II-6
Percentage of Secondary-Aged Students with Disabilities Who Received Different Types of Services, by Gender

| Services | Male | Female |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Job Training | 63.2 | 56.8 |
| Speech/Language Therapy | 36.6 | 43.1 |
| Personal Counseling/Therapy | 34.6 | 33.7 |
| Occupational Therapy/Life Skills | 28.9 | 46.8 |
| Training | 32.9 | 32.2 |
| Tutor, Reader, Interpreter | 8.5 | 12.5 |
| Physical Therapy, Mobility Training | 13.0 | 18.5 |
| Help with Transportation |  |  |

Source: Valdes et al. (1990). The National Longitudinal Transition Study of Special Education Students: Statistical almanac (Vol. 1). Menlo Park, CA: SRI International.
between boys and girls (Hyde \& Linn, 1988). Results on reading achievement, one aspect of verbal skills, are unclear. The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) and the National Education Longitudinal Study show girls performing better than boys on reading tests. The High School and Beyond Survey shows boys performing better than girls on reading and vocabulary. Differences in results may reflect the different ages sampled in each survey or differences in the tests given. All three surveys show very small differences in achievement between boys and girls (American Association of University Women [AAUW], 1992), except in writing; data from NAEP show girls performing consistently better than boys on writing tasks (Mullis, Owen, \& Phillips, 1990).

Gender differences in math achievement appear to be small and shrinking (Friedman, 1989; Mullis, Dossey, Owen, \&

Phillips, 1991). A recent NAEP administration showed few differences between boys and girls in math ability at grades 4 and 8 apart from a slight advantage for boys in measurement and estimation. By 12th grade, some differences arose, and boys showed a small advantage in each area except algebra (Mullis et al., 1991).

In general education, girls typically receive better end-ofyear and end-of-course grades than boys (AAUW, 1992). Again, it is not clear if girls work harder at mastering classroom material, if they have longer attention spans that permit them to acquire knowledge and skills more effectively, or if they are rewarded by teachers for good behavior. Whatever the reason, this pattern of grade accomplishment holds for students in special education as well as in general education. Despite their lower mean IG scores and the relatively early onset of their developmental difficulties, on average girls in special education receive higher end-of-year and end-of-course grades than boys. Grade point averages for secondary-aged females with disabilities are significantly better than grade point averages for their male counterparts (see figure II-8).

High School Completion. Females with disabilities are slightly more likely than males to graduate from high school and are less likely to be suspended or expelled (see figure II-9). This is also true for females without disabilities (AAUW, 1992). Although females with disabilities drop out of school at about the same rate as males, the reasons differ. Parents report that 23 percent of female dropouts leave school because of marriage or parenthood, compared with only 1 percent of male dropouts (Valdes et al., 1990; Wagner, as cited in Wagner et al., 1991). Both male and female dropouts report disliking school and doing poorly in school (Valdes et al., 1990).

## Postschool Results

Despite their better academic performance, females with disabilities have less positive postschool results than their

Figure II-8
Percentage of Secondary-Aged Students with Disabilities with Different Grade Point Averages, by Gender


Note:
Percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding.
Source: Valdes et al., (1990). The National Longitudinal Transition Study of Special Education Students: Statistical almanac (Vol. 1). Menlo Park, CA: SRI International.
male peers. ${ }^{1}$ They are less likely to be employed, have lower wages, and are less likely to enroll in postsecondary education or training.

Employment. Young men with disabilities are more likely than young women to be employed and to earn more money (Frank, Sitlington, \& Carson, 1991; Hasazi, Johnson, Hasazi, Gordon, \& Hull, 1989; Kranstover, Thurlow, \& Bruininks, 1989; Sitlington \& Frank, 1990; Wagner, 1992). After being out of high school for 3 to 5 years, 65.9 percent of males and 48.6 percent of females

[^11]Figure II-9
School Exit Status of Youth with Disabilities, by Gender


Source: Valdes et al., (1990). The National Longitudinal Transition Study of Special Education Students: Statistical almanac (Vol. 1). Menlo Park, CA: SRI International.
report having been employed in the past year. When controlling for other factors, young men with disabilities earn $\$ 1,814$ more per year than young women with disabilities (Wagner, Blackorby, Cameto, \& Newman, 1993). Young men earn higher hourly wages than young women and, on average, men work more hours (Sitlington, Frank, \& Carson, 1992; Wagner, 1992). The wage gap between men and women is not restricted to those with disabilities, however. In general, women make up 45 percent of the work force, but they work primarily in clerical, service, or professional positions (Fullerton, 1989; Taeuber, 1991). Even when women have the same level of education as men, they earn less.

Several other factors may contribute to the lower incomes earned by women with disabilities. First, many young
women with disabilities have children and, consequently, do not work full time. Three to five years after leaving high school, 41 percent of women with disabilities have children of their own, compared with 28 percent of same-aged women in the general population (Wagner, 1992). As described in the next section, young women with disabilities are less likely than young men to enroll in vocationally oriented courses in high school, which may also limit their level of job competitiveness. In addition, young women with disabilities are less likely than men to pursue additional education, training, and rehabilitation after high school.

## Postsecondary Education, Training, and Rehabilitation.

Fewer women than men with disabilities participate in postsecondary education and training in the years shortly after high school. A larger percentage of women take postsecondary courses at 4-year colleges while a larger percentage of men enroll in job training programs and 2year colleges (Valdes et al., 1990). This is also true for youth without disabilities; 54 percent of all beginning postsecondary students are female (U.S. Department of Education, 1996). Women with disabilities are also less involved with vocational rehabilitation services than men; this may contribute further to women's economic disadvantage (Gragg, 1997; Menz et al., 1989). Studies have found that the rehabilitation system is more helpful for men who are under 45 years of age, White, better educated, middle class, articulate, aggressive, and motivated (Kirchner, 1987; Stone, as cited in Fine \& Asch, 1988). Women who receive vocational rehabilitation services are more likely than men to have their cases closed while not earning wages (Vash, as cited in Fine \& Asch, 1988), and women are more likely than men with similar skills and aptitudes to be directed toward traditionally female occupations (Packer, as cited in Fine \& Asch, 1988), which often pay low wages.

Independent Community Living. Living independently, marrying, and having children are other aspects of the transition from adolescence to adulthood. Three to five years after leaving high school, almost one-third of young women with disabilities are married, compared with 15
percent of young men. Due to their marital status, young women with disabilities are more likely than young men to live apart from their parents. However, their lower rates of employment and greater social isolation limit their overall independence (Wagner, 1992).

Compliance with community norms and laws is another measure of adjustment. Three to five years after leaving secondary school, 15.8 percent of males and 4.2 percent of females with disabilities have been arrested (Valdes et al., 1990). While in school, males with disabilities are 2.4 times more likely than females to be arrested, controlling for other variables (Doren et al., 1996).

## Summary

It is not clear why males are disproportionately represented in special education, although it appears that the disproportion is greatest among those with learning disabilities and emotional disturbance. Maturational gaps between boys and girls may inflate referrals of boys for special education evaluation. It is also possible that although learning disabilities are equally prevalent among males and females, ADD, which can exacerbate the effects of a learning disability, is more prevalent in males than in females. As a result, males with learning disabilities may be more likely than females to be identified by their schools (Felton \& Wood, 1989; Lubs et al., 1991; Lyon, 1996). Criteria for eligibility under the emotional disturbance category may also contribute to the overrepresentation of males in special education (Caseau et al., 1994). Consequently, in addition to enrolling fewer females in special education, those females identified with disabilities have a different disability distribution from males in special education.

Girls in special education receive more support services than boys, with the exception of job training. Girls with and without disabilities have better grades in secondary school than boys and are more likely to enroll in 4-year colleges. Boys with disabilities are more likely than girls to enroll in occupationally oriented vocational education in
high school and in postsecondary vocational training or 2year college courses. In the years after high school, young men with and without disabilities are more likely to be employed than young women, work more hours, and earn higher wages. A larger percentage of young women than young men with disabilities live independently, primarily because many women marry shortly after leaving school. Three to five years after leaving high school, almost onethird of young women with disabilities are married, and 41 percent have children. This likely contributes to their reduced employment and wages.

## Issues Remaining

Many questions remain about the relationship between gender and disability. Why do female special education students receive better grades than male students, despite having more severe disabilities? To what extent, if at all, are young women with disabilities discouraged from enrolling in training and rehabilitation programs that would prepare them for higher paying jobs? Are males and females treated differently in rehabilitation programs, and, if so, what is the basis for that differential treatment? To what extent do physiological differences between males and females relate to the disproportionate representation of males in special education?

## Disaggregated Data on Males and Females with Disabilities

Some steps are being taken to address these issues. Researchers in special education are beginning to recognize the need for analyses that are disaggregated by gender. General and special education research shows that males and females may experience school differently and, as a result, may react differently to interventions or instructional strategies (AAUW, 1992). Consequently, data regarding the issues of gender and disability are gradually becoming available.

## Sensitivity to Gender Issues in Education

Many educators are now aware of research showing differences in teacher-student interaction based on gender. Males are more often called on in class and are asked more probing questions by their teachers (Sadker \& Sadker, 1994). Increased sensitivity to gender issues in schools will likely affect special education as well as general education. For example, teacher bias in overreferring male students for special education evaluation may be targeted as one aspect of a school's gender-related self-study. Likewise, schools may examine gender biases in counseling; enrolling more female students in vocational education classes may improve their employment and wages.

Issues related to gender in special education are closely tied to understanding gender issues in general education and contemporary culture. Understanding the differences between the behaviors of males and females and culturally defined gender roles is challenging. Awareness of the issues surrounding gender and special education is the first step in making necessary changes in educational practice.
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# Students with Emotional Disturbance ${ }^{1}$ 

Children and youth with emotional disturbance are a heterogeneous group of young people with a about the school and community factors that place young people at risk for developing emotional disturbance and about what must be done to improve school and community results for them. This knowledge has been incorporated into OSEP's National Agenda for Achieving Better Results for Children and Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbance (U.S. Department of Education, 1994), which has framed OSEP's recent research and development efforts.

Unfortunately, a gap exists between what is known and what is done to identify and address the strengths and needs of these young people and their families. OSEP is addressing the gap through its Research to Practice efforts, which support the exchange and effective use of researchbased knowledge on how to improve results for children and youth with emotional disturbance.

The first section of this module addresses eligibility for services and the characteristics of children with emotional disturbance. The second discusses the educational environments of and services provided to these students and the results that follow for them. The final section presents an overview of what OSEP is doing to improve results for children and youth with emotional disturbance.

## Eligibility and Characteristics

Children and youth with emotional disturbance frequently require and receive services from a variety of agencies that apply different eligibility criteria. These young people are also quite diverse in terms of their needs and strengths.

[^12]The students present with a complex range of disabilities, from conduct disorder to schizophrenia. Within this statistically and diagnostically diverse population, females appear to be underrepresented, and African Americans appear to be overrepresented. The following paragraphs elaborate on service eligibility for and the characteristics of these students.

## Eligibility for Services

Emotional disturbance is 1 of 12 disability categories specified under IDEA. It is defined as follows:
"(i) The term means a condition exhibiting one or more of the following characteristics over a long period of time and to a marked degree that adversely affects a child's educational performance:
(A) An inability to learn that cannot be explained by intellectual, sensory, or health factors.
(B) An inability to build or maintain satisfactory interpersonal relationships with peers and teachers.
(C) Inappropriate types of behavior or feelings under normal circumstances.
(D) A general pervasive mood of unhappiness or depression.
(E) A tendency to develop physical symptoms or fears associated with personal or school problems.
(ii) The term includes schizophrenia. The term does not apply to children who are socially maladjusted, unless it is determined that they have an emotional disturbance" (CFR §300.7 (a) 9).

Children who meet these criteria, as determined by a multidisciplinary team, may receive services under IDEA.

Children under the age of 9 who exhibit delays in social or emotional development may receive services under the developmental delay category.

Other Federal agencies use different eligibility criteria for youth with emotional disturbance. Their definitions cover a broad array of mental health conditions, some of which may also lead to eligibility under IDEA:

- The Center for Mental Health Services' (CMHS) definition covers children under 18. This definition requires the presence of a diagnosable mental, behavioral, or emotional disorder of sufficient duration to meet diagnostic criteria specified within the DSM-IV (Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, $4^{\text {th }}$ ed.), and which results in a functional impairment that substantially interferes with or limits the child's role or functioning in family, school, or community activities (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 1993).
- The Social Security Administration's (SSA) definition of eligibility for the children's Supplemental Security Income program is the presence of a mental condition that can be medically proven and that results in marked and severe functional limitations of substantial duration.

Children identified under these two definitions may be eligible for services under IDEA or under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. However, eligibility is not automatic. A child must meet the requirements of the Department of Education's regulatory definition of emotional disturbance to receive services under IDEA (or must meet the requirements of other IDEA eligibility categories). Therefore, identification of a child as emotionally disturbed under the CMHS or SSA definitions does not necessarily lead to identification under IDEA.

States also define emotional disturbance and specify the criteria to be used by local school districts in the identification of children with this disability. Although they must specify criteria that are not inconsistent with the Federal
definition, States interpret that definition based on their own standards, programs, and requirements (McInerney, Kane, \& Pelavin, 1992). In fact, many States have adopted their own specific terminology and criteria (Tallmadge, Gund, Munson, \& Hanley, 1985; Swartz, Mosley, \& KoenigJerz, 1987; Gonzalez, 1991). Local variation may affect the ability of Federal authorities to monitor the impact of the IDEA Amendments of 1997. According to a 1992 report, "The resulting differences in definition and eligibility criteria make it difficult to evaluate the identification rates of children with serious emotional disturbance" (McInerney et al., 1992, p. 46).

For example, students identified as having conduct disorder are eligible for services in some States, but not in others. Conduct disorder is a persistent pattern of antisocial, rulebreaking, or aggressive behavior, including defiance, fighting, bullying, disruptiveness, exploitiveness, and disturbed relations with both peers and adults (Cohen, 1994; Forness, 1992; Forness, Kavale, \& Lopez, 1993). Research suggests that conduct disorder frequently cooccurs with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), reading disabilities, anxiety disorders, and depression (Clarizio, 1992; Hinshaw, Lahey, \& Hart, 1993; McConaughy \& Skiba, 1993; Zoccolillo, 1992). The literature also suggests that there are no valid theoretical or empirical grounds for differentiating between conduct disorders and other behavioral and emotional disorders and that there are no reliable or socially validated instruments for making such a distinction (Cohen, 1994; Nelson, 1992; Nelson \& Rutherford, 1988; Skiba \& Grizzle, 1992; Stein \& Merrell, 1992).

Children with emotional disturbance may also be socially maladjusted, but to receive services under IDEA, they must satisfy additional requirements. Since IDEA excludes social maladjustment without emotional disturbance from the definition of emotional disturbance, some State definitions and eligibility requirements serve to exclude students with conduct disorder (Gonzalez, 1991). Alternatively, some research has found that students with conduct disorder constituted the largest percentage of students with emotional disturbance who were served in day schools
and residential schools (Forness, 1992; Forness, Kavale, King, \& Kasari, 1994; Sinclair \& Alexson, 1992). Children with conduct disorder were the largest diagnostic group in the National Adolescent and Child Treatment Study (Silver et al., 1992). That study was co-sponsored by the National Institute for Disability and Rehabilitative Research (OSERS/NIDRR) and the National Institute of Mental Health. Its purpose was to "describe. . . children with [emotional disturbance] and their families" (Greenbaum et al., 1998, p. 21). Students with conduct disorder were also the largest group served at the 31 sites of the CMHS' Comprehensive Mental Health Services for Children and Their Families program (Doucette, 1997).

In general, the literature documents varying orientations to children with different patterns of behavior. While some of these students are "provided access to therapeutic services, and considered victims of their disorders . . . students who are considered antisocial or socially maladjusted are usually blamed for their aversive and maladaptive behavior patterns and exposed to control, containment, or punishment strategies" (Walker, Stieber, \& O'Neill, 1990, p. 62).

## Student Characteristics

Students with emotional disturbance who are eligible for services under IDEA typically exhibit mood disorders, anxiety disorders, ADHD, conduct disorders, or other psychiatric disorders (Forness et al., 1994; Mattison \& Felix, 1997). Comorbidity of emotional and behavioral disorders is common (Caron \& Rutter, 1991; Friedman, Kutash, \& Duchnowski, 1996). In addition, the co-occurrence of emotional disturbance and other disabilities may intensify students' behavioral problems and further compromise academic performance. Many students with emotional disturbance are at great risk for substance abuse disorders (Capaldi \& Dishion, 1993; Leone, 1991; Leone, Greenberg, Trickett, \& Spero, 1989) and negative encounters with the juvenile justice system (Gilliam \& Scott, 1987; Leone, 1991). These problems may exacerbate the impact of emotional disturbance and of any cooccurring disabilities.

In comparison with other students, both with and without disabilities, children with emotional disturbance are more likely to be male, African American, and economically disadvantaged. They are also more likely to live with one parent, in foster care, or in another alternative living arrangement (Cullinan, Epstein, \& Sabornie, 1992; Marder, 1992; Wagner, 1995). Students with emotional disturbance are particularly vulnerable to environmental changes such as transitions and to a lack of positive behavioral support during transitions. These students' presenting behavior, as well as its intensity, is episodic, subject to change over time (Strayhorn, Strain, \& Walker, 1993), and may serve to direct attention away from underlying issues such as depression (McCracken, Cantwell, \& Hanna, 1993; Wehby \& Symons, 1996; Wehby, Symons, \& Shores, 1995). These variations in behavior often result in students with emotional disturbance being blamed for disability-related behavior or subject to negative reactions from their peers and teachers (Forness, Kavale, MacMillan, Asarnow, \& Duncan, 1996; Lewis, Chard, \& Scott, 1994).

## Identification

IDEA requires each State to have in effect a policy ensuring all children with disabilities the right to a free appropriate public education (FAPE) (20 U.S.C. 1412 (1)). Thus, it is the obligation of State educational agencies (SEAs) and local educational agencies (LEAs) to evaluate a child who is suspected of having a disability in order to determine his or her need for special education and related services (Davila, Williams, \& MacDonald, 1991). But research suggests that the identification process, as implemented, is often reactive, subjective, limited by a local lack of culturally and linguistically appropriate assessment tools, driven by institutional needs, and constrained by parental concerns about pejorative labels (U.S. House of Representatives, 1997) and inappropriate placement, as well as by the inability of some professionals to collaborate with families or with each other (McInerney et al., 1992; Osher \& Hanley, 1996; Smith, 1997).

Nationally, the identification rate for emotional disturbance has remained stable at approximately 0.9 percent since OSEP began collecting these data in 1976 (Oswald \& Coutinho, 1995). This rate is significantly less than the predicted prevalence of emotional disturbance within schools. For example, the U.S. Department of Education's Second Annual Report to Congress on the Implementation of P.L. 94-142 estimated a prevalence rate of 2 percent for students with emotional disturbance (U.S. Department of Education, 1980). Similarly, many experts believe that an identification rate of 3-6 percent would be more accurate (Eber \& Nelson, 1994; Friedman et al., 1996; Grosenick \& Huntze, 1980; Institute of Medicine, 1989; Kauffman, 1994; Smith, Wood, \& Grimes, 1988). In fact, mental health epidemiological studies suggest even higher rates of diagnosable psychological and psychiatric impairments in youth (Costello et al., 1988; Friedman et al., 1996; McInerney et al., 1992). There is also great variation in State and local identification rates. One example is the 33fold difference between the lowest and highest State identification rates of school-aged youth for the 1996-97 school year (see figure II-10 and table AA13, p. A-40, which presents the actual rates).

Identification rates are lower for girls and young women among students identified with emotional disturbance (U.S. Department of Education, 1994). In the National Longitudinal Transition Study (NLTS), more than threefourths ( 76.4 percent) of secondary students with emotional disturbance were male, the highest proportion of males to females in any of the disability categories (Marder \& Cox, 1991). Lower identification rates among females have been attributed to an assessment and identification process that is subjective (Walker \& Fabre, 1988; Wehby, Symons, \& Hollo, 1997), and largely driven by how schools operationalize behavioral norms and standards (Gerber \& Semmel, 1984; Talbott, 1997; Walker \& Severson, 1990). Some researchers and theorists have proposed that the apparent underidentification of girls and young women may also be due to the different ways in which emotional disturbance is manifested in females (Zahn-Waxler, 1993). Girls and young women are more likely to exhibit internalizing problems such as anxiety and depression that do not

Figure II-10
Students Ages 6-21 Identified as Having Emotional Disturbance in the 50 States and the District of Columbia


Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).
usually interfere with classroom management, while males are more likely to demonstrate the externalizing behaviors that do disrupt the classroom. Other possible explanations include the gender-specific expectations of teachers and evaluators (Caseau, Luckasson, \& Kroth, 1994; Talbott \& Lloyd, 1997) and a lack of gender-appropriate diagnostic criteria (Zoccolillo, 1993). Although some screening and assessment tools are available to aid in the identification of withdrawn, isolated students and others who internalize their problems, those tools are used infrequently. Teachers, the primary gatekeepers in the identification process, are more likely to identify students who exhibit externalizing behaviors (Boggiano \& Barrett, 1992; Caseau et al., 1994; Gresham, MacMillan, \& Bocian, 1996; Kazdin, 1990; Walker \& Severson, 1990). Interestingly, a new assessment tool (Epstein \& Cullinan, 1998), incorporating national norms derived from students with emotional disturbance and from their nondisabled peers, explicitly addresses the specific, multiple characteristics of emotional disturbance in the IDEA definition. The instrument also incorporates a subscale on social maladjustment, providing for distinctions between emotional disturbance with or without social maladjustment, and vice versa.

While females appear to be underrepresented among students identified with emotional disturbance, African Americans appear to be overrepresented. Research suggests that the high identification rates for African Americans may be due both to teacher expectations regarding normative behavior (Horowitz, Bility, Plichta, Leaf, \& Haynes, 1998; McLaughlin \& Talbert, 1992; Metz, 1994) and to a paucity of culturally sensitive and linguistically appropriate assessment instruments (Harry, 1994). Culturally competent approaches are needed to work effectively with racially and ethnically diverse students and families (Cross, Bazron, Dennis, \& Isaacs, 1989; Comer, 1996; Isaacs-Shockley, Cross, Bazron, Dennis, \& Benjamin, 1996). Culturally relevant and responsive techniques can increase the efficacy of both primary prevention efforts (Comer, 1996) and targeted prereferral strategies (Zins, Coyne, \& Ponti, 1988).

Table II-7
Percentage of Students with Disabilities Identified as Having Emotional Disturbance (1995-96)

| Age | $6-7$ <br> years | $8-9$ <br> years | $10-11$ <br> years | $12-13$ <br> years | $14-15$ <br> years | $16-17$ <br> years |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage | $3.5 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ | $13.1 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

Although many children with emotional disturbance exhibit problems at an early age (Knitzer, 1996; Marder, 1992), students with this disability are usually identified later than those with other disabilities, despite the availability of valid and reliable screening tools. Research suggests that behavioral and emotional problems identified during adolescence can often be linked to early childhood behavioral patterns (Hinshaw et al., 1993; Walker, Colvin, \& Ramsey, 1995; Walker, Shinn, O'Neil, \& Ramsey, 1987; Walker et al., 1990). Early intervention appears to be both possible and cost effective (Forness et al., 1996; Hinshaw, Han, Erhardt, \& Huber, 1992; Knitzer, 1996; Walker, 1995; Zigler, Taussig, \& Black 1992).

Table II-7 shows the percentage of students with disabilities who were identified as having emotional disturbance in 1995-96 by age.

## Educational Environments and Services

Once identified, students with emotional disturbance are served in a variety of settings, with placement rates varying by States and localities. For example, in 1994-95, 80 percent of Iowa's students with emotional disturbance and 78 percent of Vermont's were served in regular schools. In contrast, some other States served less than 20 percent of their students with emotional disturbance in such environments. In general, educational environment and service decisions are often driven by the availability of resources
(Hallenbeck, Kauffman, \& Lloyd, 1995; Kauffman \& Smucker, 1995).

The majority of students with emotional disturbance continue to receive most of their services in environments that separate them from students who do not have emotional disturbance. Between 1984-85 and 1994-95 the percentage of students receiving services in special classes, day schools, and residential facilities ranged from 54 percent to 57 percent. The restrictiveness of these environments contrasts with the environments of most students with disabilities. This is particularly true for students who, in the absence of appropriate school or community-based services, had to receive services in residential settings or at home. During 1995-96, 4.78 percent of students with emotional disturbance were served in residential settings, in hospitals, or at home, in contrast to 1.22 percent of all students with disabilities. The percentage of students with emotional disturbance reported to be receiving the majority of their education, special education, and related services in regular classrooms increased from 12 percent in 198485 to 23 percent in 1995-96. Figure II-11 displays the percentages of students with emotional disturbance served in resource rooms or regular classes from 1987-88 to 1995-96.

The diminished use of resource rooms may be significant because, although some students can succeed in regular classes, research suggests that many of these students and their teachers do not currently receive the supports that they need to succeed in regular class environments, particularly at a time of rising academic and behavioral standards (Eber \& Nelson, 1994; Lewis et al., 1994). According to the NLTS, of the students with emotional disturbance who were served in regular education environments, only 11 percent had behavior management plans. In the same study, just 6 percent of the regular education teachers serving students with emotional disturbance received the support that teachers identify as being most important--a reduced teacher-student ratio (Marder, 1992; Wagner, 1995). Three key provisions in the IDEA Amendments of 1997 address these issues. The first provision is that regular educators and general education must be

Figure II-11
Percentage of Children with Emotional Disturbance Ages 6-21 Served From 1987-88 Through 1995-96 in Regular Classes and Resource Rooms


Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).
included in the development of individualized education programs (IEPs). The second is that IEP teams must explore the need for strategies and support systems to address any behavior that may impede the learning of a child with a disability or that of his or her peers. The third provision requires States to address the needs of in-service and preservice personnel, as they relate to the development and implementation of positive intervention strategies.

Some schools achieve high outcomes for students with emotional disturbance. During the winter of 1997-98, OSEP and the Safe and Drug Free Schools (SDFS) program in the Office of Elementary and Secondary Education collaboratively supported a research project to identify such schools and synthesize information that could help other schools replicate effective programs. The results of the study were included in a special report titled Safe, Drug-Free Schools, and Effective Schools for ALL Students: What Works! (Guinn, Osher, Hoffman, \& Hanley, 1998). These schools have high behavioral and academic expectations and provide students and staff with the support
needed to achieve those standards. They combine schoolwide prevention efforts with early intervention for students who are at risk of developing emotional disturbance, and individualized services for students already identified with emotional disturbance. These schools also provide students with positive behavioral supports, offer ongoing training and support to staff, collaborate with families, and coordinate services (Mayer, 1995; Nelson, Crabtree, Marchand-Martella, \& Martella, 1998; Quinn et al., 1998; Sugai \& Horner, in press).

Unfortunately, in some other schools, the support services that students and teachers receive are often fragmented, inadequate, or inappropriate (Grosenick, George, \& George, 1987; McLaughlin, Leone, Warren \& Schofield, 1994; Smith \& Farrell, 1993). Some schools unintentionally set the stage for or reinforce inappropriate behavior (Gunter, Denny, Jack, Shores, \& Nelson, 1993; Shores, Gunter, \& Jack, 1993). Staff may emphasize behavioral management and a "curriculum of control" instead of engaging students' interests and supporting their emotional needs (Knitzer et al., 1990; Zabel, 1988). Some programs frequently fail to address students’ individual needs (Cessna \& Skiba, 1996; Dunlap \& Childs, 1996; Reiher, 1992; Neel, Alexander, \& Meadows, 1997), or use strategies that are not empirically supported (Scheuermann, Webber, Partin, \& Knies, 1994; Smith \& Farrell, 1993). In sum, services for students with emotional disturbance often do not provide them with the supports that would enable them to succeed: tutoring, counseling, schoolwide behavior support plans, and collaboration with families and other service providers (Cheney \& Osher, 1997; Eber, 1996; Marder, 1992; McLaughlin, Leone, Meisel, \& Henderson, 1997; Myles \& Simpson, 1992; Nelson \& Colvin, 1996; Quinn, Gable, Rutherford, Nelson, \& Howell, 1998; Valdes, Williamson, \& Wagner, 1990; Wagner, Blackorby, \& Hebbeler, 1993).

## Results

Not surprisingly, many students with emotional disturbance experience poor academic results. They fail more courses, earn lower grade point averages, miss more days
of school, and are retained at grade more than students with other disabilities (Wagner, Blackorby, \& Hebbeler, 1993). Fifty-five percent leave school before graduating; only 42 percent graduate (Wagner, 1995). School factors such as a lack of academic and social supports, reactive teaching styles, and frequent placement changes contribute to poor results (Kortering \& Blackorby, 1992; Mayer, 1995; Munk \& Repp, 1994; Osher \& Hanley, 1996; Rumberger \& Larson, 1994).

Gender, race, and poverty mediate service provision and results for students with emotional disturbance. (Kortering \& Blackorby, 1992; Osher \& Hanley, 1995; Valdes et al., 1990). Males, African Americans, and students with family income under $\$ 12,000$ are more likely to be placed in restrictive settings, less likely to receive counseling in school, less likely to graduate, and more likely to drop out of school than their female, White, and more affluent counterparts. For example, students with family incomes under $\$ 12,000$ are almost 2.5 times more likely to drop out of school than those whose families earn over $\$ 25,000$ (Osher \& Osher, 1996).

Failure to address the needs of students with emotional disturbance is a portent for poor community results as well as poor academic results. Researchers conducting the NLTS found that within 3 to 5 years of leaving school, 48 percent of young women with emotional disturbance were mothers, as compared to 28 percent of young women with other disabilities. Fifty-eight percent of the students with emotional disturbance had been arrested, versus 19 percent of those with other disabilities. And 10 percent of youth with emotional disturbance were living in a correctional facility, halfway house, drug treatment center, or "on the street"--twice as many as among the students with other disabilities (Wagner, 1995; Wagner, Blackorby, Cameto, Hebbeler, \& Newman, 1993).

## Improving Results

In the past two decades, researchers and practitioners have developed an extensive knowledge base about chil-
dren with emotional disturbance. These intensive research efforts suggest that results for students with emotional disturbance can be improved through interventions that are sustained, flexible, positive, collaborative, culturally appropriate, and regularly evaluated. These interventions should have multiple components tailored to individual needs; they should build on the strengths of youth and their families, address academic as well as social concerns, be implemented by trained and supported practitioners, and be continually evaluated (Carpenter \& Apter, 1988; Clarke et al., 1995; Eber, Nelson, \& Miles, 1997; Epstein, Nelson, Polsgrove, Coutinho, Cumblad, \& Quinn, 1993; Huntze, 1988; Knitzer, Steinberg, \& Fleisch, 1990; McLaughlin et al., 1994; Nelson \& Rutherford, 1988; Peacock Hill Working Group, 1991; Stroul \& Friedman, 1996; Sugai, Bullis, \& Cumblad, 1997).

OSEP continues to play an active role in developing and applying knowledge to improve results for young people with emotional disturbance. OSEP-supported research projects like the National Needs Assessment in Behavior Disorders and the NLTS have helped pinpoint problem areas in these students' development and have made significant contributions to the development of promising approaches to early intervention and school discipline (e.g., Walker et al., 1995). OSEP research investments have developed tools such as functional behavioral assessments to identify and address the needs of individual students (Horner, 1994; Umbreit \& Blair, 1997; Wehby et al., 1997). OSEP has also supported demonstration projects that build on research in children's mental health (e.g., Stroul, Lourie, Goldman, \& Katz-Leavy, 1992) to create flexible, results-driven, family responsive services and comprehensive education and support systems to reduce the need for restrictive out-of-home placements (Petr, 1994; Stroul \& Friedman, 1996).

This knowledge base was influential in the development of The National Agenda for Achieving Better Results for Children and Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbance (U.S. Department of Education, 1994). To create this agenda, OSEP garnered extensive input from researchers, practitioners, and families (Smith \& Coutinho, 1997) to
"focus the attention of educators, parents, advocates, and professionals from a variety of disciplines on what is needed to be done to encourage, assist, and support our nation's schools in their efforts to improve the educational process to achieve better outcomes for children and youth with serious emotional disturbance" (Osher, Osher, \& Smith, 1994). The agenda featured seven interdependent targets: expanding positive learning opportunities and results, strengthening school and community capacity, valuing and addressing diversity, collaborating with families, promoting appropriate assessment, providing ongoing skill development and support, and creative comprehensive and collaborative systems (U.S. Department of Education, 1994).

The National Agenda has served as the basis for State planning and evaluation efforts such as the Serious Emotional Disturbance Network (SEDNET, 1996). It is also the foundation of Federal interagency collaboration on issues of concern to children with emotional disturbance and their families. In a cooperative effort, the Department of Health and Human Services and the Department of Justice, OSERS, the Head Start Bureau, the Children's Bureau, CMHS, and the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP) co-sponsored an invitational conference entitled "Making Collaboration Work for Children, Youth, Families, Schools and Communities." This project brought together youth and their families with researchers, practitioners, administrators, and public officials. The meeting highlighted exemplary programs and documented the extent to which all service areas work simultaneously to serve children and families. The conference also delineated what is necessary to ensure effective interagency collaboration (Bullock \& Gable, 1997; U.S. Department of Education, 1996; U.S. Department of Education, 1997). In the same vein, OSEP has joined with OJJDP and CMHS to fund collaborative research and technical assistance efforts on education's role in systems of care and in the prevention of juvenile delinquency.

OSERS has made the National Agenda the basis for targeting OSEP's research to practice investments in the field of childhood and youth emotional disturbance. OSEP
currently funds projects that focus on prevention, positive approaches to learning, cultural competence, and assessment of children with emotional disturbance. In fiscal year 1998, the National Agenda became a Focus Area under OSEP's Model/Demonstration priority, and three new awards were granted to support comprehensive programs that implement services in conformance with the seven target areas of the Agenda.

OSEP continues to address the gap between research and practice--between what is known and what is done. The Center for Effective Collaboration and Practice, housed at the American Institutes for Research, was created to promote a national reorientation toward fostering the development and adjustment of children with or at risk of emotional disturbance. The Center engages in strategic activities intended to help family members, practitioners, administrators, researchers and policy makers collaborate effectively in the efficient production and use of knowledge to improve results for children with or at risk of emotional disturbance. In the summer of 1998, the Center teamed with the National Association of School Psychologists, in a special collaborative project jointly led by the Departments of Education and Justice and in response to President Clinton's directive, to produce Early Warning--Timely Response: A Guide to Safe Schools, which was disseminated to all American schools in the fall. The guide emphasized the importance of child-centered and schooland community-supported prevention and intervention approaches.

## Summary

Children and youth with emotional disturbance have a variety of needs and receive services that vary by State. Nationally, these students often realize poor school and community results. Such results tend to reflect fragmented, inappropriate, inadequate, and tardy interventions that frequently fail to address the complex factors that contribute to emotional disturbance.

Fortunately, a great deal is known about how to improve results for students with emotional disturbance. OSEP is working to promote culturally appropriate, child-and family-centered, sustained, flexible, collaborative, positive, data-based interventions with multicomponent treatments. These interventions should be built on the strengths of youth and their families, be subject to ongoing evaluation, and be tailored to students' individual needs. The accumulated knowledge base created and refined through various OSEP-sponsored projects is reflected in The National Agenda for Achieving Better Results for Children and Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbance. OSEP has used this agenda to target research to practice investments and as the foundation for collaboration with other Federal partners.
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## Modules

1. Special Education Teachers: National Trends in Demand and Shortage
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4. Funding for IDEA
5. State Progress in Use of Interagency Agreements

## SECTION III

## SCHOOL PROGRAMS AND SERVICES

# Special Education Teachers: National Trends in Demand and Shortage ${ }^{1}$ 

Purpose: To describe the trends in demand for teachers, the extent of teacher shortages in both quantity and qualifications, and the teacher shortage as it pertains to specific age groups.

TWhere is a serious shortage of special education teachers (Boe, Cook, Bobbitt, \& Terhanian, 1998; Smith-Davis \& Billingsley, 1993). For example, in 1994, more than 50 percent of schools with vacancies in special education and selected other areas had difficulty filling the positions (Darling-Hammond, 1997). Congress noted in the IDEA Amendments of 1997 that "supporting high-quality, intensive professional development for all personnel who work with" children with disabilities is a critical element for ensuring the effective education of these children (8601(c)(5)(E)).

The demand for teachers in public education is commonly defined as the number of teaching positions that have been established and funded (Barro, 1992). ${ }^{2}$ Because all States require that teaching positions be filled with fully certified teachers (Andrews, Andrews, \& Pape, 1996), ${ }^{3}$ the demand for teachers should ideally match the demand for teachers who are fully certified.

However, teaching positions are not always filled by fully certified teachers. Therefore, it is possible to distinguish between two types of teacher shortages, as follows:

[^13]- a quantity shortage of teachers, which is a shortage in the number of individuals who are available to fill all established and funded teaching positions, thereby leaving some positions vacant, and/or
- a quality shortage of teachers, which is a shortage in the number of teachers who are fully certified for their positions and available to fill vacant teaching positions.

Until recently, national data have not been available on the quantity shortage of special education teachers because the number of vacant teaching positions has been combined with the number of employed teachers who were not fully certified (i.e., quality shortage). However, since OSEP's publication of the Eighteenth Annual Report to Congress, information about the number and percentage of unfilled teaching positions in special education has been reported (OSEP, 1996).

With respect to the quality shortage of special education teachers, national data have been reported annually to Congress on the number of teaching positions in special education that have not been filled with teachers who were fully certified in their positions (e.g., OSEP, 1990). These data, as well as data from other sources, have demonstrated a substantial national shortage of fully certified special education teachers (Boe, Cook, et al., 1998).

One of the fundamental responsibilities of education policy makers and administrators is to ensure that all the teaching positions in our nation's public schools are filled by teachers who are fully certified for their positions. In continuing efforts to fulfill this responsibility, policy makers and administrators could benefit from basic information about the extent to which past initiatives have failed, as quantified by sound statistics about continuing teacher shortages. Information about special education
teachers that should be useful to policy makers and administrators includes: ${ }^{4}$

- trends over time in the growth of demand for teachers;
- trends over time in shortages of teachers;
- the extent of teacher shortages in both quantity and quantity;
- the extent of teacher shortages in relation to the age level of students served (i.e., ages 3-5 or ages 6-21); and
- the patterns and trends in retention of special education teachers.

This module discusses aspects of the national teaching force in special education for 9 school years, from 1987-88 through 1995-96, to provide a basis for better understanding the problem of teacher shortages in this field. ${ }^{5}$ All data reported are for the U.S. and Outlying Areas. Statistics from OSEP's Data Analysis System (DANS) for school years 1987-88 through 1995-96 were abstracted and analyzed and the results presented in a series of figures showing trends over time in several aspects of teacher shortage. DANS contains population data on special education students and teachers (counted in full-time equivalent units (FTEs)) that have been reported by all States. More detailed information about the data in DANS is available from Westat (1997).

[^14]Figure III-1
Number of Teaching Positions, Fully Certified Teachers, and Partially Certified Teachers Plus Vacant Positions ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ in Special Education for Students Ages 3-5 with Disabilities by School Year

a/ Numbers of positions and teachers are reported as FTEs.
Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## How Large Is the Shortage of Teachers in Special Education?

## Teachers for Students Ages 3-5 with Disabilities

Dramatic growth in the number of total teaching positions nationally for students ages 3-5 with disabilities is shown in figure III-1. From 1987-88 to 1995-96, demand increased by more than 100 percent from about 13,000 to about 27,000 teachers. Figure III-1 also shows that the
shortage of fully certified teachers during the same period fluctuated between 2,000 and 4,000. Thus, despite rapid growth in demand for teachers for students ages 3-5, the shortage did not increase correspondingly but actually decreased. This trend demonstrates that special education was reasonably successful in meeting the increasing demand for teachers for students ages 3-5.

After 8 years of rapid growth in teacher demand for students with disabilities ages $3-5$, figure III- 1 shows a sudden and sharp decline in demand ( 1,700 teaching positions) in 1995-96. This decline was not paralleled by a decline in the number of students ages $3-5$; the number of these students continued to increase steadily throughout the 9 -year period as shown in figure III-2. One possible explanation for the observed decline in teacher demand from 1994-95 to 1995-96 is the increasing inclusion of students with disabilities in general education classrooms. Although few data are available to support this hypothesis, future studies should address this possibility because of its significance to policies regarding teacher preparation and supply. Despite the 1-year decline in teacher demand for the 1995-96 year, it should be noted that the demand for teachers in this year was still substantially higher than it was 2 years earlier, with the peak demand observed in 1994-95. Therefore, data for years beyond 1995-96 are needed to see if the observed downturn in demand is a temporary event or a sustained trend.

## Teachers for Students Ages 6-21 with Disabilities

In contrast with the rapid growth in teacher demand for students ages $3-5$, the growth in the number of total teaching positions nationally for students ages 6-21 with disabilities has been gradual (figure III-3). From 1987-88 to $1995-96$, demand increased by 15 percent from about 284,000 to about 328,000 teachers. Figure III-3 also shows a reasonably stable level of shortage, averaging about 27,000 fully certified teachers during this 9-year period.

Figure III-2
Cumulative Percentage of Annual Growth in the Number of Students Ages 3-5 with Disabilities Compared with the Cumulative Percentage of Annual Expansion of Teaching Positions ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ in Special Education for These Students by School Year

a/ Teaching positions reported as FTEs.
Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

Despite the gradual growth in demand for teachers for students ages 6-21, the shortage did not increase correspondingly; rather it remained relatively constant at approximately 9 percent. This finding demonstrates a long-term shortage of teachers for students ages 6-21 with disabilities and demonstrates that special education has not been successful in reducing this shortage during the 9year period studied.

Figure III-3
Number of Teaching Positions, Fully Certified Teachers, and Partially Certified Teachers Plus Vacant Positions ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ in Special Education for Students Ages 6-21 with Disabilities by School Year

a/ Numbers of positions and teachers are reported as FTEs.
Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

After 7 years of steady growth in the need for teachers for students ages 6-21 from 1987-88 through 1993-94, a gradual decline in demand began in 1994-95 and continued in 1995-96, as seen in figure III-3. Specifically, the decline in demand was from about 335,000 teachers in 1993-94 to about 328,000 teachers in 1995-96 (i.e., a decline in demand for 7,000 teachers, or 2.1 percent, during the 2 most recent years studied). This decline in teacher demand was not paralleled by a decline in the number of students ages 6-21. Figure III-4 shows that the number of such students continued to increase steadily throughout the 9 -year period.

Figure III-4
Cumulative Percentage of Annual Growth in the Number of Students Ages 6-21 with Disabilities Compared with the Cumulative Percentage of Annual Expansion of Teaching Positions ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ in Special Education for These Students by School Year

a/ Teaching positions reported as FTEs.

Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

As is the case for teacher demand for students ages 3-5, the recent decline in teacher demand for students ages 621 could be explained by increasing inclusion of students with disabilities into general education classrooms. Although few data are available to support this hypothesis, States have reported to OSEP anecdotally that some or all of the decline is attributable to increasing inclusion. A chronic shortage remains of about 27,000 fully certified special education teachers as well as an annual national
demand for about 28,000 entering teacher hires in special education for students ages 6-21 (Boe, 1997). ${ }^{6}$

The significance of the chronic shortage of fully certified teachers for students with disabilities ages 6-21 can be viewed from at least two perspectives. The first perspective is to contrast the shortage of special education teachers with the shortage of general education teachers. Evidence suggests that, for students in grades K-12, the shortage of general education teachers averaged about 3.5 percent less than that of special education teachers (Boe, 1997). ${ }^{7}$

The second perspective is to relate the chronic shortage of fully certified special education teachers to the production of teacher preparation programs in special education. Such programs produced about 18,000 degree graduates (bachelor's plus master's levels) in 1993 (Snyder \& Hoffman, 1995), about 6,000 of whom were already employed as teachers at the time of graduation (Boe, Bobbitt, Cook, \& Paulsen, 1998). Thus, only about 12,000 graduates were available to serve as newly hired teachers. In addition, there is a demand for about 28,000 entering teacher hires each year in special education--a demand that will be filled in part by about 7,000 partly certified entering teachers. These partly certified entering teachers, along with about 20,000 partly certified continuing teachers, comprise the chronic shortage of about 27,000 fully certified teachers (1993-94 data from Boe, Bobbitt, Cook, Barkanic, \& Maislin, 1998, and from Boe, 1997). It is apparent that the shortage of about 20,000 fully certified continuing teachers, as well as the need to hire another 23,000 entering teachers each year, represents a difficult

[^15]Figure III-5
Teacher Shortage Percentages for Students Ages 3-5 and 6-21 with Disabilities by School Year


Note:
Shortage is defined as the percentages of FTE teaching positions in special education that were (1) filled by teachers who were not fully certified for the position to which they were assigned and (2) were vacant.

Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).
hurdle for the field to overcome--a hurdle that has proven to be insurmountable thus far since the chronic shortage of teachers has persisted for so many years.

## Comparison of Teacher Shortage Trends

In contrast with figures III-1 and III-3, which presented trends in the number of teachers who were not fully certified combined with vacant positions (i.e., the quality teacher shortage) for students ages $3-5$ and $6-21$ with disabilities, respectively, figure III-5 presents these shortages as percentages of total teacher demand. The shortage
of fully certified teachers for students ages 6-21 with disabilities held fairly constant at about 9 percent, while the percentage shortage of teachers for students ages 3-5 has been much higher. The shortage of teachers for the 35 age group has varied considerably over the 9 -year period studied. It has been as high as 25 percent in 1987-88 and has never been below 10 percent (or 2,000 teachers). When the shortage of fully certified teachers for students ages 3-5 in 1995-96 is added to that for students ages 621 , the total shortage was about 33,000 special education teachers.

Until the 1993-94 school year, data had not been available in special education to disaggregate the quantity shortage of teachers (i.e., the number of vacancies) from the quality shortage of fully certified teachers. Since 1993-94, OSEP's data collection format has been refined to quantify separately the number and percentage of vacant teaching positions for students ages 3-21. Thus, in 1993-94, 1.1 percent (or about 3,600) of teaching positions for the 6-21 age group were vacant, and this percentage remained constant in 1994-95 and 1995-96. Therefore, for the most recent school year for which statistics are available (199596), total teacher shortage ( 9 percent) comprised 1.1 percent vacant positions and 7.9 percent teaching positions that were filled by teachers who were not fully certified. While 1.1 percent vacant positions in special education may seem small, it is at least four times as large as the percentage of vacant positions in all of elementary and secondary education nationally (about 0.25 percent during the 1993-94 school year, according to Henke, Choy, Geis, \& Broughman, 1996). ${ }^{8}$

[^16]
# What Factors Are Associated with the Shortages of Teachers in Special Education? 

## Teacher Shortages and Student:Teacher Ratios

Teacher shortages might be explained, at least in part, by policies designed to reduce the student-teacher ratio. For example, as shown in figure III-2, the increase in the number of teaching positions for students with disabilities ages 3-5 was much greater over the 4 -year period following 1991-92 than was the increase in the number of students. Such was not the case for teachers for students with disabilities ages 6-21, as seen in figure III-4. These findings suggest two phenomena. The first is that the rate of increase in teaching positions for students ages 3-5 was much greater than the comparable rate for students ages 6-21, as demonstrated by the trends shown in figure III-6. The second is that the ratio of students per teaching position declined for students ages 3-5, but not for students ages 6-21, as demonstrated by the trends shown in figure III-7. Specifically, the number of students per teaching position for the 3-5 age group declined from a ratio of 27:1 in 1989-90 to a ratio of 19:1 in 1994-95. In contrast, the comparable ratio for the 6-21 age group held steady at close to $15: 1$ throughout the 9 -year period studied.

The trends in figures III-6 and III-7 clearly suggest a longterm policy to accelerate the growth of teaching positions for students ages $3-5$ in order to bring the student-teacher ratio for this age group in line with that for students ages 6-21. The rapid growth of teaching positions for students ages 3-5 has contributed to the extraordinarily high shortage in percentages of fully certified teachers to fill these positions.

Figure III-6
Cumulative Percentage of Annual Expansion of Teaching Positions ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ in Special Education for Students Ages 3-5 and 6-21 with Disabilities by School Year

a/ Teaching positions reported as FTEs.
Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS)

## Teacher Shortages and Expansion of Demand in Special and General Education

Evidence of the differential expansion of teaching positions in special education (for students ages 6-21) versus general education (for students in grades $\mathrm{K}-12$ ) is presented in figure III-8 for the 9 -year period of this study. ${ }^{9}$ It appears

[^17]Figure III-7
Students Per Teaching Position by Student Age Group and School Year ${ }^{\text {² }}$

a/ Number of students with disabilities served under IDEA, Part B, and Chapter 1 Handicapped Program, divided by the number of full-time equivalent teaching positions in special education.

Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).
that teaching positions in both special and general education expanded by similar percentages during this period (13.8 percent for general education, 15.3 percent for special education). However, the expansion in special education showed a period of rapid growth from 1991-92 to 1993-94 followed by more limited growth during the following 2 years.

Because the teaching positions in special and general education expanded by comparable percentages, the serious chronic shortage of teachers in special education cannot be attributed to extraordinarily rapid expansion of

Figure III-8
Cumulative Percentage of Annual Expansion of Teaching Positions ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ in Special Education (for Students Ages 6-21 with Disabilities) and General Education (for Grades K through 12 in Public Schools) by School Year

a/ Teaching positions reported as FTEs.
Sources: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS) and the Common Core of Data of the National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education.
teaching positions in contrast with general education. Instead, other evidence suggests that the number of graduates in special education teacher preparation programs is much too low to satisfy the need for fully certified special education teachers (Boe, Cook, et al., 1998).

## Conclusions

Statistics from OSEP's DANS provide convincing evidence of a substantial chronic shortage of fully certified special
education teachers nationally. This conclusion pertains to both the modest number of teachers for students ages 3-5 with disabilities and to the much larger number of teachers for students ages 6-21 during the school years from 198788 through 1995-96.

The shortage of teachers for students with disabilities ages 3-5 has remained fairly stable (ranging between 2,000 to 4,000 teachers) despite the rapid growth in teacher demand for students at this age level. This growth in demand has been due to two major trends over time: (1) growth in the numbers of students to be served and (2) substantial reductions in the ratio of students to teaching positions (a trend that may have reversed as of school year 1995-96). Given the dual factors producing the rapid growth in teacher demand, the significant reduction in teacher shortage percentages for this age group of students indicates that progress has been made in producing a relatively steady supply of fully certified teachers to serve students ages 3-5.

The same conclusion cannot be drawn with respect to the substantial chronic shortage of teachers for the much larger group of students ages 6-21 with disabilities. Although the total demand for teachers for this age group has not experienced extraordinary rapid expansion (i.e., the rate of expansion has been comparable to that in general education) and the ratio of students per teaching position has remained stable, no progress has been observed in reducing the chronic shortage of fully certified teachers, which has averaged 27,000 teachers a year.

There are two reasons for the chronic shortage of teachers for students ages 6-21 with disabilities. The first reason is that the annual demand for entering teacher hires in special education (about 10 percent of total demand) is greater than in general education (about 8 percent of total demand) (Boe, 1997). ${ }^{10}$ This demand for new hires places extraordinary pressure on the supply of teachers available

[^18]to fill open positions. Therefore, the supply of teachers to fill open positions annually is not available to replace many employed teachers who lack full certification for their positions.

The second reason for the chronic shortage of special education teachers is that the annual supply of degree graduates of teacher preparation programs in special education has been exceptionally low in comparison with general education with respect to three important factors: the much greater shortage of fully certified teachers, the annual demand for entering teacher hires, and the total demand for teachers. As shown in table III-1, the number of degree graduates produced by teacher preparation programs was (1) 50 percent of the demand to replace teachers in special education who were not fully certified in their positions, as compared to 88 percent in general education; (2) 66 percent of the demand for entering teacher hires each year in special education, as compared to 81 percent in general education; and (3) 5 percent of total teacher demand in special education, as compared to 6 percent in general education. To further compound this imbalance, a much higher percentage of such graduates were already employed as teachers in special education upon graduation than in general education ( 37 percent versus 18 percent, respectively), thereby further reducing the potential number of entering teacher hires from among degree graduates produced annually by teacher preparation programs in special education (Boe, Bobbitt, Cook, \& Weber, 1996).

There are two other main sources of supply of special education teachers, namely (1) the reserve pool composed in major part by former experienced teachers and (2) presently employed general education teachers. Although former experienced teachers accounted for 66 percent of all new hires into special education in 1987-88, this percentage declined to 50 percent in 1990-91 (Boe, Cook, Kaufman, \& Danielson, 1996) and further declined to 33 percent by 1993-94 (Boe, unpublished data). Apparently, this source of supply is rapidly becoming depleted. In addition, available evidence shows that considerably more

Table III-1
Production of Degree Graduates by Teacher Preparation Programs in 1993-94 as a Percentage of Three Indicators of Teacher Demand in Public Schools

| Indicators of Teacher Demand | Statistic | Main Teaching Field |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Special Education | General Education |
| 1. Demand to Replace Not Fully Certified Teachers ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ | FTE Teachers | 36,180 | 154,000 |
| Degree <br> Graduates:ㄴ/ <br> (Teacher <br> Prep.) | Number \% of Demand | $\begin{aligned} & 18,250 \\ & 50.4 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 135,667 \\ 88.1 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| 2. Annual Demand for New Hires ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | FTE Teachers | 27,700 | 168,300 |
| Degree <br> Graduates:- ${ }^{\text {-/ }}$ <br> (Teacher <br> Prep.) | Number \% of Demand | $\begin{aligned} & 18,250 \\ & 65.9 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 135,667 \\ 80.6 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| 3. Total Teacher Demand ${ }^{\text {d } /}$ | FTE Positions | 335,000 | 2,169,000 |
| Degree Graduates:- ${ }^{\text {-/ }}$ (Teacher Prep.) | Number \% of Demand | $\begin{gathered} 18,250 \\ 5.4 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 135,667 \\ 6.3 \% \end{gathered}$ |

a/ Sources: Percentages of not fully certified teachers in special education and general education from NCES' SASS for 1993-94 (from figure 5 of Boe, 1997) times the number of FTE teaching positions in the respective field from Row 1 of this table.
b/ Source: NCES' Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS) for 1993-94 graduates (Snyder \& Hoffman, 1995).
c/ Source: Table 2 (revised) of Boe, 1997.
d/ Sources: OSEP's Data Analysis System for Special Education for 1993-94; NCES' Common Core of Data (CCD) for General Education for 1993-94; from figure III-8 of this report.
special education teachers switch to general education annually than general education teachers switch to special education (a net loss to special education of 5,000 teachers in 1990-91; Boe, Cook, Bobbitt, \& Weber, 1996). Research findings suggest that it would be difficult to reverse this trend (Billingsley \& Cross, 1991a, 1991b).

Given all these facts about the supply of teachers to fill open positions annually in special education and to replace employed special education teachers who are not fully certified in their positions, it appears that graduates from teacher preparation programs must serve as the major source of supply in the future. Yet the current level of production of such teachers nationally is far from adequate (Boe, Cook, et al., 1998).

The evidence presented in figure III-3 suggests that steps have been taken during recent years to reduce the demand for teachers for students ages 6-21 with disabilities, although the number of such students has continued to rise, and the ratio of students to teaching positions has remained stable. One possible explanation for the recent decrease in demand is that more students with disabilities have been placed in general education classrooms than heretofore, thereby resulting in a reduction in demand for special education teachers. Nonetheless, the shortage of fully certified special education teachers did not decrease accordingly, nor has the annual demand for entering teacher hires in special education yet decreased. Thus, while reduction in demand might become an effective means for reducing the chronic shortage of special education teachers, there is little reason to expect that the need for a much larger supply of fully certified special education teachers will disappear in the near future.

To the extent that inclusion of students with disabilities into general education classrooms is achieved, responsibility for instructing them will fall largely upon general education teachers. While inclusion can be expected to decrease the demand for special education teachers to some extent, it will simultaneously increase the demand for general education teachers who are qualified to instruct students with disabilities. This could well result in a major
shift in the shortage of fully qualified teachers from special to general education. Whether this occurs, the National Commission on Teaching and America's Future (1996) observed that 2 million teachers will be hired in the decade from 1997 through 2006 and, as a group, they should be more highly qualified for their assignments than heretofore.
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## Using IFSPs with Preschoolers

TWere are many ways to achieve family-centered policies for families with young children with disabilities. In many State and local jurisdictions, preschool programs for children with disabilities have developed flexible, family-friendly services through the use of individualized education programs (IEPs), while in other States, individualized family service plans (IFSPs) are being used with children ages 3-5 with disabilities and their families. In fact, 30 States have developed and 9 States are in the process of developing specific preschool policies and strategies to ensure the involvement of parents in their child's IEP or IFSP (deFosset \& Carlin, 1997).

## Purpose: To describe how

 IFSPs are being used with preschoolers and factors that may impede development of IFSPs for children ages 3-5 with disabilities.IFSPs were developed for use in the Part C Infants and Toddlers with Disabilities program to encourage a familycentered approach for the provision of services for infants and toddlers with disabilities and their families. Twentyfive States either have a statewide policy for using IFSPs with preschoolers or allow IFSPs as a local option with children ages 3-5 who are eligible for special education services. The IFSP policies and procedures that have been developed at the State and local levels can be viewed as "a promise to children and families--a promise that their strengths will be recognized and built on, that their needs will be met in a way that is respectful of their beliefs and values, and that their hopes and aspirations will be encouraged and enabled" (Johnson, McGonigel, \& Kaufmann, 1989, p. 1).

However, there are also potential challenges to the use of IFSPs with preschoolers. Analyzing data from six States, the National Association of State Directors of Special Education (NASDSE) found that using IFSPs with preschoolers may be more expensive and require a greater time commitment for agency personnel because of the need for additional meetings and paperwork. Also, a focus group of individuals implementing IFSPs with preschoolers in Minnesota concluded that conflicts may arise based on the differences in the rules and requirements of the various agencies that may serve these children (Jensen, 1996).

## Regulations and Policies

With the enactment of P.L. 102-119, the IDEA Amendments of 1990, local educational agencies (LEAs) and intermediate educational units (IEUs), with the concurrence of the parents and consistent with State policy, were permitted to use an IFSP instead of an IEP to provide a free appropriate public education (FAPE) to children with disabilities ages 3-5. The amendments specifically referenced the contents of the IFSP as the vehicle for FAPE, and all other Part B requirements regarding development of the IEP applied. (See OSEP memorandum \#14, April 1993, and Senate Report 102-84, June 18, 1991, p. 15.)

OSEP also clarified which services may be included in IFSPs for eligible children. "Depending on State standards, many of the early intervention services under Part $C$ could be appropriately defined as 'special education' under Part $B$ for eligible children 3-5. For example, a physical therapy activity, such as designing a 'positioning' program for a child who is enrolled in a day care facility, could be considered 'specially designed instruction' if the State defines it as such; and, therefore could be considered to be special education. In summary, a State could include early intervention services in its definition of 'special education'" (Schrag, 1990, p. 141). Parent counseling and training is defined as a related service ( $34 \mathrm{CFR} \S 300.16(\mathrm{~b})(6)$ ) and may be included in an IEP if it is determined necessary to assist a child to benefit from special education.

## States Using IFSPs with Preschoolers

According to the 1997 Section 619 Profile, 25 States used or allowed local discretion for the use of IFSPs for preschool services. Three of those States (Maine, Oregon, and Guam) have a statewide policy that requires IFSPs for all eligible preschoolers, and in 22 States, the use of IFSPs with preschoolers is a local option (deFosset \& Carlin, 1997). Seven of the latter States (Arkansas, Florida, Guam, Maine, Minnesota, Oregon, and Washington) have
developed or are in the process of developing a standard IFSP form for preschool services.

Of the 25 States that require or allow IFSPs to be used for preschool services, 16 States have adopted guidelines, standards, or regulations for IFSP development or transition from an IFSP to an IEP. Four States have clarified and five States are developing procedures for transitioning from an IFSP to an IEP for eligible children and their families. Ten States have guidelines, standards, or regulations in place that address IFSP development and implementation, and two States are in the process of developing these guidelines. For example, some States have developed an explanation of pertinent regulations, how to guarantee FAPE while providing service coordination, and how to provide family-centered services.

## A Closer Look at Six States

NASDSE surveyed five States that use IFSPs with eligible preschoolers (Pierce, 1997). The information was gathered from interviews and documents submitted by Preschool Grants Program coordinators in Delaware, Florida, Maine, Oregon, and Washington. In addition, a report from Minnesota's State Early Intervention Project provided information for this section.

## Lead Agency and Location of the Policy

Among the States in the study, there was no relationship between the State agency that administers the Part C program and the likelihood of allowing or using IFSPs with preschoolers. In Maine, Oregon, and Minnesota, the lead agency for Part C was the Department of Education. In Florida, Washington, and Delaware, the lead agency was either the Department of Health or the Department of Social and Health Services.

Policies for using IFSPs have their basis in a variety of documents. In Maine and Oregon, the policies were based in State education statutes, regulations, and instructional
documents for teams. In Maine, the IFSP is also described in Medicaid documents. The regulations for the Florida Healthy Start program contain the policy for both infants and toddlers and children ages 3-5 and their families. Washington has prepared a resource booklet showing local teams how to create IFSPs that include IEP components for preschool-aged children, and Delaware's policies appear in the first part of a request for proposals for services to 3and 4-year-olds.

## Perceived Benefits and Ease of Implementation

Two main factors that promote the successful use of IFSPs with preschoolers emerged from the NASDSE study. These factors are family preference for using an IFSP and State and local support for this method.

The model is well-liked by families. The process is familyfocused and family-driven and supports an interagency emphasis for children. In addition, service coordination for children and their families continues beyond age 3. As required, the services provided in the States that use IFSPs with preschool-aged children are based on the family's and child's needs and strengths. Examples of such services include respite care, parent training, family counseling, health exams, and referrals to other agencies. The IFSP is also perceived as a way to ease transition to preschool because it provides continuity for children and families. Transition from Part C to Part B appeared to go fairly smoothly in Maine and Oregon where there is one lead agency and statewide use of IFSPs with preschoolers. None of the six States reported problems with transition from preschool to elementary school, and none reported using the IFSP beyond age 5 .

Successful use of IFSPs is also promoted through local support. For example, a focus group of Minnesota's Interagency Early Intervention Committee (IEIC) members described the following advantages of their system. ${ }^{1}$ One advantage was State policymakers' commitment to and

[^19]provision of leadership on the use of IFSPs. The focus group members also perceived a high degree of administrative support for a single plan and interest in and support for a variety of collaborative efforts; one such support is funding for specific initiatives. In addition, they believed the Minnesota IEIC provided the necessary administrative structure for supporting the IFSP process (Jensen, 1996).

## Perceived Barriers

The individuals interviewed by NASDSE and the members of the focus group in Minnesota also described barriers to the implementation of IFSPs with preschoolers. One reported barrier was differences in eligibility rules and requirements of the involved agencies and their services. For example, agencies may have different eligibility requirements. There were also reports of "turf" issues that arose in dealing with multiple agencies. In part, some of these issues may be a result of a lack of interagency agreements that would formalize the nature of agency involvement.

A second barrier, one that is commonly described when systematic reform takes place, is resistance to change. Some of the participants noted that they or their colleagues were unhappy about "learning yet a new way of doing things" (Jensen, 1996). However, the participants expressed satisfaction with the training that they received.

A third possible barrier is the cost associated with using IFSPs with preschoolers. State representatives interviewed agreed that the use of the IFSP increased special education costs through additional meetings and the required paperwork. Maine accessed other State and Federal funds to support family services provided through the preschool IFSP. A few States expressed concern about the cost of family services and offered referrals to other agencies instead of trying to provide the service within their agency. Some State representatives said that Medicaid was mentioned as sharing preschool IFSP costs.

## Summary

States and local jurisdictions are trying to provide familyfocused services for preschool children with special needs. Some are providing services through IEPs, and others are using IFSPs. A variety of mechanisms have been established to offer services through use of the IFSP. The IFSP is well-liked by families and works best at the preschool level when there are administrative supports in place at multiple levels. However, there are also barriers to the implementation of IFSPs with preschoolers. Lack of interagency cooperation and agreement, resistance to change, and the increased costs associated with IFSP use were cited as primary barriers.
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# Educational Environments for Students with Disabilities 

Over the past 10 years, the inclusion of students with disabilities in general education classes and schools has been of preeminent concern to special educators, administrators, parents, advocates, and policy makers. ${ }^{1}$ The impetus to serve students with disabilities in more inclusive programs comes from a number of sources, the primary source being the least restrictive environment (LRE) clause of IDEA. However, the emphasis on inclusion also reflects (1) growing recognition that many students with disabilities do not complete high school with

Purpose: To report the number of students served in different educational environments and the factors affecting those assignments. the knowledge and skills necessary for adult independence, (2) concern about the rapid, steady rise in the number and percentage of students identified as eligible for special education, and (3) concern about the increasing costs of special education services in a time of budget austerity (Affleck, Edgar, Levine, \& Kottering, 1990; deBettencourt, Zigmond, \& Thornton, 1989; Edgar, 1987; Hasazi, Johnson, Hasazi, Gordon, \& Hull, 1989; Mithaug, Horiuchi, \& Fanning, 1985; U.S. Department of Education, 1997).

First, many youth with disabilities do not leave school with the knowledge and skills necessary to fulfill adult roles. This is supported by data from the National Longitudinal Transition Study (NLTS). Three to five years after leaving high school, fewer than 25 percent of youth with disabilities had been enrolled in postsecondary education, many were engaged in low-wage jobs with few opportunities for advancement, and more than half continued to live in their family homes (Wagner, D'Amico, Marder, Newman, \& Blackorby, 1992).

Second, the increase in the percentage of students served in special education programs encourages more inclusive environments by taxing the capacity of special education

[^20]environments by taxing the capacity of special education settings. The percentage of students ages 6 through 17 receiving special education services increased from 9.6 percent in 1987-88 to 10.6 percent in 1995-96 (U.S. Department of Education, 1997). As this percentage rises, the feasibility of maintaining a parallel educational structure to meet students' unique needs diminishes.

A third reason for more inclusive programs for students with disabilities is the perception that special education costs are increasing rapidly. Data suggest that per pupil special education expenditures have grown at about twice the rate of general education expenditures, an average of 4.1 percent versus 2.1 percent annually (Rossmiller, Hale, \& Frohreich, 1970; Kakalik, Furry, Thomas, \& Carney, 1981; Moore, Strang, Schwartz, \& Braddock, 1988). The popular media tend to attribute blame for growing costs on expensive residential programs for students with severe disabilities. However, research suggests that more inclusive programs may not necessarily lead to cost savings (Vermont Department of Education, 1995).

## Trends in Data on Educational Environments

The IDEA Amendments of 1997 state "[T]o the maximum extent appropriate, children with disabilities . . . are educated with children who are not disabled; and . . . removal of children with disabilities from the regular educational environment occurs only when the nature or severity of the disability of a child is such that education in regular classes with the use of supplemental aides and services cannot be achieved satisfactorily" (§612(a)(5)(A)). In 1995-96, more than 95 percent of students with disabilities ages 6 through 21 attended schools with their nondisabled peers. A total of 45.4 percent were classified as being educated in regular classes, meaning they were removed from their regular classes to receive special education and related services for less than 21 percent of the school day. An additional 28.7 percent were in the resource room category, meaning they received special education and related services outside the regular class for

21 to 60 percent of the school day. About 22 percent of students with disabilities were in the separate class category, meaning they were served outside the regular class for more than 60 percent of the school day.

A total of 4.4 percent of students with disabilities ages 6-21 did not attend schools with their nondisabled peers. Of these students, 3.1 percent attended separate day schools for students with disabilities, 0.7 percent received services in residential facilities, and 0.6 percent received services in homebound/hospital settings (see table AB2).

Over the past 5 years, the percentage of students with disabilities served outside the regular class less than 21 percent of the school day has gradually increased. Over that same time period, there has been a decline in the percentage of students served outside the regular class 21 to 60 percent of the day. The percentage of students receiving special education outside the regular class for more than 60 percent of the day and the percentage in separate schools remained relatively stable (see figure III-9 and table AB7).

In recent years, the number of students in special education has increased as has the number of students served outside the regular class less than 21 percent of the day, and concomitantly, the demand for teachers' aides has grown. In fact, in the past 5 years, the number of aides required to work with students with disabilities has closely paralleled the number of students with disabilities served outside the regular class for less than 21 percent of the day at a ratio of approximately 1 aide to every 10 students. This likely reflects changes in the way special education services are provided, with aides providing much of the assistance needed for students with disabilities to function in regular classes.

## Factors Associated with Educational Environments

The environments in which students received services varied by disability and age. Although 89 percent of

Figure III-9
Percentage of Students Served in Different Environments


Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).
students with speech and language impairments were served outside the regular class for less than 21 percent of the day, only 10 percent of those with mental retardation were served in these environments. Students ages 6-11 were more likely to receive services outside the regular class for less than 21 percent of the day than students ages 12-17 or 18-21 (see table AB7).

Progress in serving students with disabilities in more inclusive environments has varied from State to State. A few rural States serve more than 90 percent of their special education students in regular classes for over 40 percent of the day (Idaho, North Dakota, Oregon, Vermont). Other States serve fewer than 60 percent of students in these environments (District of Columbia, Louisiana, New York). Oswald and Coutinho (1997) used education-related variables, State demographic variables, and State economic
variables to predict the percentage of each State's students with disabilities receiving special education and related services outside the regular class for less than 21 percent of the day and the percentage served in separate facilities. ${ }^{2}$ They identified several factors affecting the extent to which students are served with nondisabled peers, including statewide student achievement, population density, per capita income, human services expenditures per capita, and expenditures per pupil. States with higher fourth and eighth grade achievement scores tended to serve more students with disabilities in classes with nondisabled peers. The authors concluded that contextual and programmatic features, as well as individual student characteristics, influenced the extent to which students with disabilities received services with their nondisabled peers. States with relatively high population densities, per capita incomes, human service expenditures, and educational expenditures placed more students with disabilities in separate facilities (Oswald \& Coutinho, 1997).

## Summary

There has been gradual progress in serving larger percentages of students with disabilities in regular class environments and regular schools. Closely paralleling the increase in the percentage of students receiving special education and related services outside the regular class for less than 21 percent of the day is the increase in aides, at a ratio of 1 aide for every 10 students with disabilities in these environments. The percentage of students in inclusive settings is inconsistent across disability groups, age groups, and States. Elementary-aged students with disabilities, particularly those with speech and language impairments, are served primarily in classes with nondisabled peers. The percentage of students receiving special education outside the regular class for less than 21 percent of the day has increased, and the percentage receiving services outside the regular class for 21 to 60 percent of the day has decreased. Contextual and pro-

[^21]grammatic features, as well as individual student characteristics, appear to influence the extent to which students with disabilities are served with their nondisabled peers. Statewide student achievement, population density, per capita income, human services expenditures per capita, and expenditures per pupil account for some of the pattern variation from State to State.
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## Funding for IDEA

During the most recent reauthorization of IDEA in June 1997, Congress revised the formulas for the distribution of funds for the IDEA, Part B programs. A new formula for allocating Part B funds under Section 611 of IDEA will go into effect when the Section 611 appropriation reaches approximately $\$ 4.9$ billion. A new formula for allocating preschool education funds under Section 619 of IDEA is effective for funds appropriated under that section beginning with Federal fiscal year (FY) 1998.

## Appropriation of Funds for Part B of IDEA

Under the Section 611 Grants to States Program, grants are determined by a December 1 child count, or at a State's discretion, a count taken as of the last Friday in October, that is submitted by States to OSEP. The grants are based on the total number of students ages 3-21 with disabilities reported by the States as receiving special education and related services. This count is used to determine the State's IDEA, Part B, Section 611 grant for funds that become available the following July 1. Under the IDEA Amendments of 1997, grants will continue to be based on counts of children served until the year for which Federal appropriation for Part B, Section 611 reaches approximately $\$ 4.9$ billion. At that time, State allocations for the year prior to that year become the base allocations for distributing funds in that year and all subsequent years. Eighty-five percent of additional funds above the base will be allocated based on population in the age ranges for which States mandate services, and 15 percent will be based on the number of children in the State living in poverty in those age ranges.

The legislation amended the Preschool Grants Program funding formula in similar ways. Under the new formula, each State's base allocation would be the amount it received in FY 1997. Eighty-five percent of additional funds beyond the base are allocated based on the popula-
tion of children ages 3 through 5, and 15 percent are based on the number of 3 - through 5 -year-old children in the State living in poverty. However, unlike the Grants to States Program, the new funding formula for the Preschool Grants Program takes effect for funds appropriated for Federal FY 1998.

Table III-2 summarizes the amount of IDEA, Part B Section 611 Grants to States Program funding appropriated to States for FY 1977 through FY 1997. The funds appropriated have increased from $\$ 251,770,000$ in 1977 to $\$ 3,109,395,000$ in 1997. During the same period, the perchild allocation rose from $\$ 71$ to $\$ 535$. The increase from 1996 to 1997 was $\$ 785,558,000$ or 34 percent. This is the largest 1-year increase in the history of the program.

## The State Set-Aside Funds

In this section, information from a recent NASDSE survey of States on their use of set-aside funds is discussed. Because this survey was conducted before the 1997 reauthorization of IDEA, the grants provided to States were based on the following formula for Part B, Section 611 Grants to States.

Within the amount allocated to each State:

- A maximum of 25 percent, less amounts used for administration below, could be retained by the State educational agencies (SEAs) for discretionary/set-aside for providing direct and support services for children and youth with disabilities or for paying the administrative costs for monitoring and complaint investigations, to the extent that such administrative costs exceeded the costs of administration incurred during FY 1985.
- A maximum of 5 percent of the State's allocation (or $\$ 450,000$, whichever is greater) could be retained by the SEA for administrative costs in carrying out Part B, Section 611 of the Act.

Table III-2
IDEA, Part B Section 611 Grants to States Program: Funds Appropriated, 1977-97

| Appropriation <br> Year | IDEA, Part B <br> Section 611 <br> Grants to States <br>  <br> an | Per Child <br> Allocation ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} /$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1977 | $\$ 251,770,000$ | $\$ 71$ |
| 1978 | $566,030,000$ | 156 |
| 1979 | $804,000,000$ | 215 |
| 1980 | $874,500,000$ | 227 |
| 1981 | $874,500,000$ | 219 |
| 1982 | $931,008,000$ | 230 |
| 1983 | $1,017,900,000$ | 248 |
| 1984 | $1,068,875,000$ | 258 |
| 1985 | $1,135,145,000$ | 272 |
| 1986 | $1,163,282,000$ | 279 |
| 1987 | $1,338,000,000$ | 316 |
| 1988 | $1,431,737,000$ | 332 |
| 1989 | $1,475,449,000$ | 336 |
| 1990 | $1,542,610,000$ | 343 |
| 1991 | $1,854,186,000$ | 400 |
| 1992 | $1,976,095,000$ | 410 |
| 1993 | $2,052,728,000$ | 411 |
| 1994 | $2,149,686,000$ | 413 |
| 1995 | $2,322,915,000 \mathrm{c} /$ | 418 |
| 1996 | $2,323,837,000$ | $413^{\mathrm{d} /}$ |
| 1997 | $3,109,395,000$ | 535 |
|  |  |  |

a/ The figures from 1977 through 1994 include amounts appropriated to the Federated States of Micronesia and the Republic of the Marshall Islands. Since 1995, those entities have not received appropriations.
b/ The per-child allocation excludes children and funds for the Outlying Areas and Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA).
c/ This amount includes $\$ 82,878,000$ added to the Grants to States appropriation because of the elimination of the Chapter 1 Handicapped Program.
d/ Starting in 1996, this allocation was derived by dividing the total appropriations for the 50 States, District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, Outlying Areas, and BIA by the total number of children served in all of those areas.

Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS) and the Office of the Under Secretary, U.S. Department of Education.

- A minimum of 75 percent was required to be flowed through to local educational agencies (LEAs) based on local child counts.

Allocations for Part B, Section 619 Preschool Grants were distributed in a similar fashion.

In January 1997, NASDSE mailed a survey to all States and jurisdictions to gather information about the use of their set-aside funds from the Part B grant awards issued on July 1, 1994. States were allowed to use these funds from July 1, 1994, through September 30, 1996. With 48 of 50 States responding, the following results were found.

Nine States used less than the allowable amount for administration. Approximately $\$ 257.2$ million was used for direct and support services across all reporting States. Of this amount, approximately 56 percent was used to support statewide resource centers and support staff development, offset local education expenditures for student placements, and provide services to students with low-incidence disabilities. Because of flexibility allowed under the law, States also were able to use the remaining amount for other important activities. The following six activities were cited in the survey: school reform and restructuring, training mediators and hearing officers, extended school-year programs, model program development, infant and preschool services, and student transportation to offset LEA expenditures.

The greatest proportion of the direct and support monies was used to support resource centers ( 25.7 percent) followed by Comprehensive System of Personnel Development (CSPD) activities (11.6 percent). In all, 32 States used their set-aside monies to support resource centers. Table III-3 shows, in descending order, the functions carried out at these centers. States reported that without State set-aside money it would be extremely difficult to replicate these activities.

Personnel development is a critical component of State support to LEAs. As required by IDEA, each State must develop a CSPD plan. Although in FY 1994 more than $\$ 7.6$

## Table III-3 <br> Rank Order of Most Frequently Cited Functions of the Resource Centers

1. Personnel development for special and general educators and related service personnel.
2. Support services to low-incidence populations.
3. Material development and distribution (e.g., braille and large print text, library resources).
4. Parent training.
5. Assistive technology devices and services.
6. Student evaluation and assessment.

Source: NASDSE, 1997.

## Table III-4

Most Frequently Cited CSPD Activities

1. Inservice for special and general educators and related service personnel.
2. Material development and distribution (i.e., professional development, recruitment, retention, and dissemination).
3. Training for paraprofessionals.
4. Collection, evaluation, and dissemination of promising practices.
5. Needs assessments pertaining to professional development.
million was distributed nationally to SEAs through OSEPsponsored competitive grants for personnel preparation, 43 States used $\$ 29.7$ million of their set-aside for CSPD activities. In fact, 11 States used between 26 and 45 percent of their set-aside funds for this purpose. Combining the amount from the competitive grants with the setaside grants, eight States devoted more than $\$ 1$ million to personnel development. The most frequently cited CSPD activities funded through set-aside monies in FY 1994 are shown in table III-4, in descending order.

Finally, States reported flowing through more than \$1.6 billion to local school districts. Although IDEA requires that a minimum of 75 percent of the grant award be flowed through to the local level, 32 of the States that responded to the survey reported a flowthrough of 76 to 95 percent. Eight States have developed policies through legislative, State-board, or State-plan-based mandates to flow more than the minimum amount to local districts (NASDSE, 1997).

## The IDEA Amendments of 1997

Starting in Federal FY 1998, the IDEA Amendments of 1997 authorize States to set aside funds under Part B Section 611 at fiscal year 1997 authorized levels, plus either adjustments for inflation or the percentage increases in the State IDEA allocation, whichever is lower.

Up to 20 percent of the amount available for States to set aside or $\$ 500,000$ (adjusted by the cumulative rate for inflation), whichever is greater, may be used for State administration activities ( 20 U.S.C. $1411(f)(2)(A)(i))$. These funds may also be used for the administration of Part C if the SEA is also the lead agency for that part of the Act. Currently 18 States have SEAs as their Part C lead agency. In two of the 18 States, the SEA is a co-lead agency.

Each State may use any of the retained funds that it does not use for administrative purposes for other State-level activities, including:

- To provide support and direct services, including technical assistance and personnel development and training;
- To offset administrative costs of monitoring and complaint investigation, but only to the extent that those costs exceed the costs incurred for those activities during FY 1985;
- To establish and implement the mediation process, including providing the costs of mediators and support personnel;
- To assist LEAs in meeting personnel shortages;
- To develop a State Improvement Plan;
- To support activities at the State and local levels to meet the performance goals established by the State and to support implementation of the State Improvement Plan;
- To supplement other amounts used to develop and implement a statewide coordinated services system designed to improve results for children and families, including children with disabilities and their families, but not to exceed 1 percent of the amount received by the State under this section. This system shall be coordinated with and, to the extent appropriate, build upon the system of coordinated services developed by the State under Part C of this Act; and
- To supplement subgrants to LEAs for capacity building and improvement.

The IDEA Amendments of 1997 also require that SEAs award subgrants to LEAs for capacity building and improvement. In any fiscal year in which the percentage increase in a State's allocation exceeds the rate of inflation, the State must make subgrants to LEAs unless that amount is less than $\$ 100,000$, to assist them in providing direct services and in making systematic change to improve the results for children with disabilities (20 U.S.C.
$1411(f)(4)(\mathrm{A}))$. The amount of these subgrants must be at least an amount equal to the difference between the State's maximum set aside from the prior year inflated and the State's maximum set aside from the prior year multiplied by the percentage increase in the State's total allocation.

## Summary

Since the inception of IDEA in 1977, Congress has increased the annual appropriations for Part B. Funds for the Part B Section 611 Grants to States Program are distributed based on a count of all children ages 3 through 21 receiving special education services. However, the new legislation will change the funding formula from a child count-based formula to one that is based on a combination of prior funding, census data, and poverty data. A similar funding formula takes effect for funds appropriated for the Preschool Grants Program, beginning in FY 1998.

To learn how States were using their Part B Grants to States set-aside funds, NASDSE conducted a national survey. The study found that nine States used less than the total amount allowed for administration. The monies allocated for direct and support services were used for a variety of purposes. However, the greatest proportion of funds was used to support resource centers and CSPD activities. States flowed through more than the minimum amounts to LEAs.

Under the IDEA Amendments of 1997 for the Part B State Grants Program and the Preschool Grants Program, the percentages allowed for administration and other Statelevel activities are based on the maximum amounts that a State could set aside for Federal FY 1997 increased annually by the lesser of the rate of inflation or the rate by which a State's total allocation increases. The list of allowed State-level activities has been expanded, providing more flexibility for States to meet their individual needs.
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# State Progress in Use of Interagency Agreements 

Over the past 20 years, States have been working toward interagency collaboration to provide more comprehensive, cost-effective, and streamlined services to children with disabilities. Recent reauthorizations of IDEA have increasingly required that interagency collaboration be used to strengthen special education services. Although States have encountered some barriers in this process, emerging evidence suggests that many States are making significant progress in establishing interagency cooperation.

Purpose: To review the components of IDEA that guide coordination of services for children with disabilities and explore States' progress in the use of service coordination to align service provision.

## Overview of Interagency Cooperation

In addition to meeting students' educational needs, schools have been assuming more responsibility for addressing the mental, physical, and emotional health of children. More recent reforms have followed the philosophy that one agency alone cannot provide all necessary services (Zetlin \& Boyd, 1995). The early 1980s marked an increase in the use of interagency collaboration in providing children with disabilities with appropriate educational services, as financial and other resources began to decrease. To pool limited resources, fill service gaps, and avoid duplication of services, State agencies and service providers made efforts to work together. Changes in IDEA reflected this shift toward interagency collaboration. For example, early collaborative projects between State educational agencies (SEAs) and vocational rehabilitation and vocational education agencies influenced IDEA's coordination of transition services for youth with disabilities entering postschool activities.
"Increasingly, legislation links governmental agencies together with their logical interagency partners through required cooperation, coordination, and collaboration (Cashman, 1995, p. 105)." IDEA sets forth interagency agreements and coordinating councils as the primary tools
for designing cohesive service systems. States are creating interagency agreements between SEAs and other State and local organizations that pay for services for children with disabilities--from infants and toddlers to adult life. These agreements coordinate services, delegate financial responsibilities, and arbitrate disputes between the various public, nonprofit, and private entities. Part C of IDEA provides guidance on creating and implementing interagency agreements for services for infants and toddlers. Part B also addresses methods of ensuring needed services for school-aged children, particularly transition services.

## Interagency Coordination for Infants and Toddlers

Interagency collaboration and cooperation efforts have been intensified by early childhood educators and advocates. Much of the available literature regarding interagency efforts focuses on the birth through 2 age groups; however, many of the principles are generalizable to other age groups.

In 1986, Congress endorsed a multiagency commitment to administering programs for young children with disabilities and their families through the introduction of Part C of IDEA. This program requires States to implement a statewide system of comprehensive, multidisciplinary, interagency coordinated programs to make available early intervention services to all infants and toddlers with disabilities and their families. The belief underlying Part C is that services provided at an earlier age will promote greater educational and intellectual benefits for the child and possibly mean greater economic savings in the long run (Florian, 1995). A unique feature of this legislation is that a primary purpose of funding for lead agencies is to develop policies that support integrated, coordinated services at the State and local levels. States may also use funds for direct services, but only for services that are not otherwise provided by other public or private sources or to expand and improve services that are otherwise available. The interagency coordination design for infants and
toddlers revolves around State Interagency Coordinating Councils (SICC) and interagency agreements.

Interagency Coordinating Councils. The SICC is a cornerpiece of the Part C legislation. This is a representative group comprising representatives from State agencies, the State legislature, parents, program directors, and personnel training programs. They have the responsibility for advising and assisting the lead agency in:

- identification of sources of fiscal and other support for services for early intervention programs, assignment of financial responsibility to the appropriate agency, and promotion of interagency agreements;
- preparation of applications regarding early intervention;
- transition of toddlers with disabilities to preschool and other appropriate services; and
- preparing and submitting an annual report to the Governor and Secretary on the status of early intervention programs. (20 U.S.C. $1441(\mathrm{e})(1)$ )

The IDEA Amendments of 1997 make minor changes to SICCs, including the composition of councils and the authorized activity. Specifically, the composition of the SICC (1) no longer requires that parent representatives include minority parents and (2) adds a representative from a Head Start agency or program in the State and a representative from a State agency responsible for child care (20 U.S.C. $1441(\mathrm{~b})(1)$ ). The IDEA Amendments of 1997 also allow the council to advise appropriate agencies in the State with respect to the integration of services for infants and toddlers with disabilities and at-risk infants and toddlers and their families, regardless of whether at-risk infants and toddlers are eligible for early intervention services in the State (20 U.S.C. 1441 (e)(2)).

The intent of the original legislation was to form an advisory group that had the freedom and power to make recommendations and promote coordination. The multiconstituency and multidisciplinary composition of the
group also enables it to approach the issues from different perspectives with a breadth of knowledge and experience (Harbin \& Van Horn, 1990). Building upon this model, many States require or encourage communities to create local interagency councils to facilitate smoother and more tailored services.

Interagency Agreements. The need for interagency agreements is reemphasized and further defined within Part C. The lead agency is responsible for entering into formal interagency agreements with other State-level agencies involved in the State's early intervention program. These agreements must outline financial responsibility, procedures for resolving disputes, and additional components necessary to ensure effective cooperation and coordination.

The strength and clarity of interagency agreements within Part C are augmented by further specifications regarding policies related to payment for services, resolution of disputes, delivery of service in a timely manner, policy for contracting or otherwise arranging for service, and payor of last resort. Historically, assignment of financial responsibilities has been the impetus behind interagency efforts, and language regarding financial responsibilities is woven throughout the interagency sections of IDEA. The proposed regulations further clarify the appropriate method for payment of services.

## State Implementation Efforts in Coordinating Services for Infants and Toddlers

Policy makers have communicated a vision of a comprehensive, user-friendly service delivery system for young children with disabilities. However, over the past decade, States have encountered numerous roadblocks in implementing this vision.

## Barriers

Agencies serving children with and without disabilities often have different requirements for providing services. Interagency coordination requires these entities to join to create new ways of providing services to infants and toddlers with disabilities. This shift to developing comprehensive services has revealed numerous barriers for State agencies, including agency rigidity and "turfism," competition for financial resources, lack of specificity in assigning fiscal responsibilities, individual participants' lack of understanding of the process, and conflicting State and Federal policies and eligibility requirements.

Harbin (1996) examined the issues of turfism and lack of coordinated communication and found that State agencies are qualitatively and fundamentally different from each other. Agency differences include diverse missions, roles, target populations, administrative structures, approaches to decision making, levels of authority over providers, degree of formality (e.g., verbal agreements versus documented agreements), specificity of policies, geographic jurisdictions, professional backgrounds, terminology, philosophy of agencies, resources, priorities, and experience with innovation. These differences made integration of State policies around interagency coordination difficult.

Many States have struggled to achieve a balance between planning a cohesive system while continuing to provide services. For example, New York noted that certain local communities were providing extensive services to families with infants and toddlers with disabilities, while other communities had not yet formed these natural coalitions, and collaborative services were virtually nonexistent. Responding to pressure to create a comprehensive, equitable State system, the regional planning teams were dismantled, and county coordinators were hired to bridge services across the State. This action had the unfortunate effect of squelching local leadership and silencing parent involvement (Apter, 1994). If political pressure had been lifted, more time allotted for planning, or other State models of implementation available at the time, a stronger
system that capitalized on local efforts might have been created.

Interagency coordination was considered highly desirable by educators and administrators nationwide, but they did not think it likely to occur (Hales \& Carlson, 1992). They perceived a lack of resources to help guide interagency groups through conflict resolution (Wischnowski \& McCollum, 1995), lack of follow-through, limited understanding that interagency responsibilities are a new way of working rather than add-on responsibilities, and misunderstanding of laws and regulations that each agency is required to follow (Fields \& Pierce, 1997).

## Breakthroughs

Recently, some States have shown that interagency agreement and coordination are attainable. Interagency efforts promote resource sharing, which is needed under growing budget constraints. Since the early years of the Part C program, a wide variety of funding sources have been used to provide services, with health-related sources (e.g., Medicaid, private health insurance, State health funds) the most common payors. By 1991, two-thirds of States indicated some level of financial coordination; this usually meant coordination of an average of five sources (Clifford, 1991). By 1993, States reported improvements in efficiency and effectiveness in accessing Medicaid and Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis and Treatment (EPSDT) funds (Clifford, Bernier, \& Harbin, 1993). Responsibility for coordination of financing services has mostly been assumed at the State level rather than at the local level, and this coordination has been made possible primarily through formally written interagency agreements (Clifford et al., 1993).

Today, a majority of SEAs report having an average of one or two agreements with other State agencies and one agreement with private entities (Fields \& Pierce, 1997). SEAs are writing interagency agreements with a wide range of public and private entities. SEAs' most common partners include departments of health or health and the

Table III-5
Number of SEA Interagency Agreements

| Agencies That Had One or <br> More Agreements with SEAs | Number of <br> SEAs (Out <br> of 30 <br> States) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Developmental Disabilities Services | 5 |
| Departments of Health or Health and the <br> Environment | 19 |
| Departments of Human Services or Social <br> Services | 11 |
| Head Start | 12 |
| Departments of Corrections | 10 |
| State Vocational Rehabilitation Services Mental Health and Mental Retardation <br> Services | 11 |
| Other partners mentioned: Juvenile Justice, Departments of <br> Labor, Departments of Transportation, the Family <br> Independence Agency, Offices of Children and Families, and <br> Consumer and Industry Services. |  |

Source: Fields \& Pierce, 1997.
environment, departments of human services or social services, and Head Start (Fields \& Pierce, 1997; deFosset, Hardison, \& Ward-Newton, 1996). (See table III-5 for a listing of partners and number of agreements; see figure III-10 for a listing of partners and collaboration topics.) DeFosset and colleagues (1996) report that most SEAs are collaborating with other agencies on child find, public awareness, and training activities (see figure III-10). These agreements have cemented relationships between agencies and provided structure where little has existed before.

Figure III-10
Number of Interagency Collaborative Efforts Between SEAs and Other Agencies


Source: deFosset, Hardison, \& Ward-Newton, 1996.

Interagency agreements have also clarified agency roles and actions. Establishing agreements helps to create mechanisms for dispute resolution, identify the payor of last resort, align systems to offer shared eligibility requirements for clients, share resources, and share case-level information (Fields \& Pierce, 1997). It also creates stronger, more effective child find systems (Bernstein, 1993).

Despite qualitative and fundamental differences among agencies, some States have been able to achieve cohesion with a common interagency mission and a shared vision of a coordinated service system. States approach this in different ways, through development of a separate interagency entity with State-sanctioned powers or use of a variety of structures that facilitate coordination of preexisting agencies. There are, however, common threads: (1) the inclusion of all key individuals and constituencies in the SICC and various task forces, (2) skillful leadership in creating or taking advantage of a positive climate, (3) skillful use of political process, and (4) effective management of the inevitable critical events and systems changes (Harbin, 1996). These common threads are general building blocks for providing services for other age groups under IDEA.

## Interagency Coordination Among Agencies Serving School-Age Children

Once a child with an identified need enters school, special education services are made available through the school or are contracted to other public, community, or private entities. In calling for a coordinated service delivery system, Part B language focuses on methods of ensuring services, interagency agreements, and transition periods in the student's life. Each State must develop and implement interagency agreements or other mechanisms between the SEA and each noneducational public agency to ensure that a free appropriate public education (FAPE) is provided. These agreements must include:

- Agency financial responsibility. An identification of, or a method for defining, the financial responsibility of each agency for providing services to ensure FAPE to children with disabilities;
- Conditions and terms of reimbursement. The conditions, terms, and procedures under which a local educational agency (LEA) must be reimbursed by other agencies;
- Interagency disputes. Procedures for resolving interagency disputes under the agreement or other mechanism to secure reimbursement from other agencies or otherwise implement the provisions of the agreement or mechanism; and
- Coordination of services procedures. Policies and procedures for agencies to determine and identify the interagency coordination responsibilities of each agency to promote the coordination and timely and appropriate delivery of services. (20 U.S.C. 1412(a)(12))

The language of interagency agreements is strengthened in the IDEA Amendments of 1997, particularly on issues regarding payment of services. The State's Chief Executive Officer must now ensure that an interagency agreement or other mechanisms for interagency coordination is in effect between each noneducational public agency and the SEA. In specifying the financial responsibility for each agency, the State Medicaid agency and other public insurers of children with disabilities must be included. The LEA is the payor of last resort.

## State Implementation Efforts in Coordinating Services for School-Age Children

While Part B providers have experienced the same barriers as those encountered in the planning and implementation of Part C, progress is being made in offering school-aged children more coordinated services. Today, interagency agreements cover a spectrum of services to school-aged students with disabilities, including school-to-work transition activities and data sharing, improving services to children in juvenile treatment centers, creating coordination between early intervention and preschool services, expanding health services access for Medicaid eligible children, and collaborating on multi-agency personnel development (Fields \& Pierce, 1997). A State representative in Kentucky lauds interagency agreements as providing ". . . better use of dollars, broader range of services available to children, better employment outcomes, improved transition planning, better implementation of LRE (least
restrictive environment) and FAPE . . ." (Fields \& Pierce, 1997, p. 5).

Of special note is the increased focus on interagency collaboration in serving students with emotional disturbance. Historically, services from schools and community mental health and child welfare agencies have been fragmented and uncoordinated for these children (Nelson \& Pearson, 1991; Cumblad, Epstein, Keeney, Marty, \& Soderlund, 1996). Often, adequate services were only provided through out-of-State residential treatment facilities (Peterson, 1995). In response to academic, social, vocational, and behavioral trends among youth with emotional disturbance (Cumblad et al., 1996), and in an attempt to provide appropriate services in-State (Peterson, 1995), a number of recent initiatives, including grants, cooperative agreements, and legislation, have been aimed at coordinating services among education, health, and social service agencies to address the needs of this population.

Another area that has received significant attention in coordinating services among State agencies and other service providers is the major transition periods of a student's life. Under IDEA, States are directed to ensure that a smooth transition takes place while the student is served through Part B or ready to exit any or all Part B services (20 U.S.C. 1412(a)(9) and 20 U.S.C. 1401(30)). The next section highlights issues related to transition.

## Collaboration on Transition Services for Students with Disabilities

## Transition to Preschool

When a child with a disability reaches age 3, the State must ensure a smooth transition of services from Part C to Part B (20 U.S.C. 1412(a)(9)). These requirements promote increased collaboration between early intervention providers and public schools as decisions are made on when to (1) transition a child from the IFSP (individualized family
services plan) to an IEP (individualized education program) and (2) transfer payment of services from Part C to Part B.

In applying for funds under Part C, States must describe their policies and procedures to be used to:

- ensure a smooth transition for toddlers receiving early intervention services to preschool or other appropriate services; ${ }^{1}$
- review the child's program options for the child's third birthday through the remainder of the school year; and
- establish a transition plan. (20 U.S.C. 1437(a)(8))

States have varied in their implementation of transition services. By 1994, evidence suggested that Part C coordinators, Part B Section 619 coordinators, and SICC chairpersons still viewed transition as an internal plan for their agency or program, rather than a collaborative endeavor (Shotts, Rosenkoetter, Streufert, \& Rosenkoetter, 1994). However, interagency agreements were found to be instrumental in creating smoother transitions (Shotts et al., 1994; DeStefano \& Wermuth, 1992). Parent representatives, service providers, and State coordinators were less concerned and confused about transition issues when more State or local planning had occurred. By 1994, 30 States indicated that written State transition plans were in place or in draft form (Shotts et al., 1994). Eleven States have extended eligibility to FAPE to below age 3. By 1997, 23 States had developed or were developing policies allowing preschool funds to be used for children before their third birthday; 26 States had policies that allowed the use of Part C funds for children past their third birthday. Thirty-eight States had transition agreements that provided for collaborative activities at the local level (deFosset \& Carlin, 1997).

[^22]
## Transition From Preschool to Primary School

Less information is available regarding children with disabilities transitioning from preschool to primary school. This may be because the education agency is responsible for both preschool and primary services, and therefore the transition relies more heavily on intra-agency efforts. By 1997, however, 17 States had developed or were developing agreements for transitions from preschool to kindergarten/ first grade (deFosset \& Carlin, 1997).

## Transition Into Adult Life

One of the primary purposes of IDEA is to ensure that all children with disabilities have an education that prepares them for employment and independent living ( 20 U.S.C. $1400(\mathrm{~d})(1)(\mathrm{A})$ ). This is particularly important because only 57 percent of all youth with disabilities are employed, compared with 69 percent of the general population (SRI International, 1993). Without interagency cooperation, students with disabilities have often encountered an abrupt end to support services when they leave school, and these young adults are not always equipped to independently coordinate the transition (Groves \& Thomas, 1995).

The IDEA Amendments of 1997 expand transition services so that they are designed within an outcome-oriented process that promotes movement from school to postschool activities, including postsecondary education, vocational training, integrated employment, continuing and adult education, adult services, independent living, or community participation (20 U.S.C. 1401(30)). Beginning at age 14 , each student's IEP must include a statement of his or her transition service needs. The plan is to be updated annually (20 U.S.C. 1414 (d)(1)(A)(vii)(I)). By the age of 16 , younger if determined appropriate by the IEP team, each student's IEP must include a statement of needed transition services, including, if appropriate, a statement of the interagency responsibilities or any needed linkages (20 U.S.C. $1414(\mathrm{~d})(1)(\mathrm{A})(\mathrm{vii})(\mathrm{II})$ ).

Notably, other Federal legislation underpins IDEA's focus on this transition, including the Carl D. Perkins Vocational Education and Applied Technology Education Act of 1990 (P.L. 101-392), the Rehabilitation Act Amendments of 1992 (P.L. 102-569), and the School-to-Work Opportunities Act of 1994 (P.L. 103-239). These ". . . pieces of Federal legislation stress the need for coordinated interagency transition policy development, implementation and service provision" (Wermuth \& Grayson, 1995, p. 2). It should be noted that each piece of legislation and its corresponding rules and regulations are administered through different Federal agencies or different offices within the U.S. Department of Education (Szymanksi, Hanley-Maxwell, \& Asselin, 1992). Integrating the legislation has been difficult for some States.

Building on a history of collaboration in education, vocational education, and vocational rehabilitation, some States are taking advantage of the national focus on career preparation to renew and extend their services to youth with disabilities. In reviewing recent career development programs for youths with disabilities, 60 percent of the exemplary transition programs used interagency and interdisciplinary collaboration (Kohler, DeStefano, Wermuth, Grayson, \& McGinty, 1994). Concerned about the fragmented service delivery to students with disabilities exiting the school system, California launched a comprehensive project to redesign the State postschool preparation system. Nine different State-level agencies have come together; after 4 years of planning, they initiated State legislative and policy changes. As a result, the State has recently expanded its definition for transition to include follow-up services that provide ". . . specific outcomes for meaningful employment and quality of adult life" (Hegenauer, 1995, p.120). Essentially, the State has taken responsibility for following and supporting students with disabilities beyond the exit from public education. This is a prime example of a collaborative interagency effort that is beginning to map out a new way of providing needed services to students with disabilities.

## Summary

In the past 20 years, there has been general agreement that interagency efforts promote coordinated services for children with disabilities. IDEA has helped to guide and support these efforts. Early efforts met with numerous barriers because State agencies were designed for distinct purposes. States encountered resistance to change because of agency rigidity, individuals' misperceptions, and cloudy specifications for payment of services. State agencies serving infants and toddlers have taken significant steps in breaking down many of those barriers and provided numerous models of interagency collaboration. Presently, most SEAs have created interagency agreements with a variety of other entities that cover a range of services. In particular, serving youth with emotional disturbance through coordinating school, mental health, and social services has become a recent focus. The transition of young children into schools has been improved through interagency efforts. Finally, building on a history of interagency cooperation, SEAs, vocational education agencies, and vocational rehabilitation programs are in the process of renewing their service system to provide youth with disabilities a smoother transition into postschool activities.
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## SECTION IV

 RESULTS
# Standards-Based Reform and Students with Disabilities ${ }^{1,2}$ 

S
tandards-based reform has become the foundation for educational change in the 1990s. According to a report by the National Research Council:

Purpose: To describe the concept of standardsbased reform and its implementation by States, with particular attention to inclusion of students with disabilities in assessments.
> "Standards-based reform includes content standards that specify what students should know and do to demonstrate proficiency, and assessments that provide the accountability mechanism for monitoring whether these expectations have been met and by whom. In addition, standardsbased reforms assume that schools should be held publicly accountable for student performance." (McDonnell \& McLaughlin, 1997, p. 3)

It is recognized, however, that standards-based reform is being implemented in different ways by States and local educational agencies (LEAs).

Standards-based reform encompasses four concepts. First is a focus on establishing high standards, both in the rigor of content standards (what students know and are able to do) and the level of performance that must be demonstrated toward achieving the standards. The American Federation of Teachers (1996) reported that 48 States are now establishing common academic standards for their students. However, the development of standards is an ongoing process as States continue to revise and expand them.

[^23]A second concept embedded in standards-based reform is a belief in accountability. Accountability refers to "a systematic method to assure those inside and outside the educational system that schools and students are moving toward desired goals" (Brauen, O'Reilly, \& Moore, 1994, p. 2). Accountability can be directed toward the individual (e.g., students must meet certain requirements to earn a diploma) or toward the system (e.g., schools must reach a certain level of performance to receive accreditation). Related to the belief in accountability is the third concept associated with standards-based reform--the implementation of consequences as part of the accountability system. Such consequences may include sanctions such as probational status and rewards such as teacher incentives at the school and district levels. Accountability systems have consequences, even if only in terms of public reporting of the results. Increasing the consequences in the accountability system is a particular focus of recent educational reform. In the most recent studies of educational accountability systems (Bond, Braskamp, \& Roeber, 1996; National Education Goals Panel, 1996), 27 States tied consequences for student performance to schools (e.g., loss of funding, awards to staff, etc.), and 30 States tied consequences to students (e.g., student promotion, awards or recognition, graduation).

Finally, as a part of standards-based reform, there is renewed reliance on the use of assessments to measure the performance of students and their progress toward meeting standards. Bond and colleagues (1996) reported that during the 1995-96 school year, 46 States administered statewide student assessments. This number has increased over time, along with the attention given to the assessments.

Although the language and intent of standards-based reform have emphasized its importance for all students, the extent to which students with disabilities actually have been included in the various aspects of reform is still a question. Four avenues for examining the inclusion of students with disabilities in standards-based reform are: (1) involvement of special education in State-based reform activities, (2) current practices and policies in statewide
assessments, (3) reporting of the performance of students with disabilities, and (4) research findings relevant to standards-based reform. Each is discussed below.

## Involvement of Special Education in State-Based Reform Activities

In 1997, State directors of special education responded to questions about the involvement of their offices in State Department of Education activities related to reform (Erickson \& Thurlow, 1997). These directors also indicated the emphasis placed on reform in their States. In general, the more emphasis placed on a reform activity, the greater the involvement of special education in that activity. However, there is room for greater involvement. For example, 35 State directors of special education indicated that efforts at establishing or revising educational results, standards, or curricular frameworks were highly emphasized in their States (see table IV-1), yet the number of directors who indicated that their offices were highly involved in these efforts was small. Only 12 directors indicated that their offices were closely involved in establishing standards. Similar results were found for other reform areas as well.

A three-part analysis of State standards documents was conducted to determine the extent to which students with disabilities were considered in the development of the standards (Thurlow, Ysseldyke, Gutman, \& Geenan, 1997). First, States' standards documents were examined for evidence of involvement in the development process of individuals with disabilities or individuals knowledgeable about disabilities (e.g., special education teacher or administrator, parent of student with disability, advocate). This analysis revealed that few States have involved these individuals as they developed their standards--only 17 percent of States included special educators in the standards development process. Thus, existing standards documents were developed, for the most part, without the involvement of people familiar with disabilities.

Table IV-1
State Level Emphasis and Special Education Involvement in Establishing Educational Results, Standards, or Curricular Frameworks

| Level of Involvement by Your Special Education Division or Unit | Emphasis Placed on This Activity by State Educational Agency This Year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1: No <br> Emphasis | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5: High Emphasis | Total <br> Number of States |
| 1: Not involved | 2 States |  |  |  | 2 States | 4 States |
| 2 |  |  |  | 2 States | 3 States | 5 States |
| 3 |  |  | 3 States | 5 States | 11 States | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ \text { States } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 4 |  |  | 1 State | 4 States | 8 States | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ \text { States } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 5: Closely involved |  |  | 1 State |  | 11 States | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ \text { States } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Number of States | 2 States |  | 5 States | 11 States | 35 States | 53 States* |

* Total includes both regular States and unique U.S. territories. Not all States or territories responded.

Source: Erickson \& Thurlow (1997).

The second part of the analysis of State standards (Thurlow, Ysseldyke, Gutman, et al., 1997) focused on how States specified whether students with disabilities would be held to State standards. Most States (77 percent) refer to "all" students in their standards. However, 49 percent mention "all" students without stating whether this includes students with disabilities; 8 percent specifically mention students with disabilities, and 20 percent give information on accommodations that might be needed to provide these students the opportunity to reach these standards. Only 23 percent of the States made no mention of "all" students or students with disabilities.

The third analysis of standards documents focused on the extent to which nonacademic standards were addressed in the States' documents (Thurlow, Ysseldyke, Gutman, et al., 1997). These areas, such as social or emotional development, citizenship, and physical health, are frequently of importance to students with disabilities. This analysis revealed that States do indeed identify standards in a variety of areas other than academics. The extent to which information is available in nonacademic areas is not known. State assessment and accountability systems typically focus only on academics. And, State directors indicated that their own offices do not routinely collect or publish data reflecting nonacademic domains (Erickson \& Thurlow, 1997).

## Current Practices and Policies in Statewide Assessments

The use of statewide assessments as part of educational accountability systems is widespread, but the specifics of the assessments vary greatly from one State to the next. Most assessments are administered in grades 4, 8, and 11, but at least two States administer assessments in every grade from kindergarten through grade 12. The subjects most frequently covered in statewide assessments are mathematics, language arts, and writing, with science and social studies close behind. Writing assessments and criterion-referenced tests are used most often, followed closely by norm-referenced tests. Slightly fewer States administer performance assessments, and only four States currently use portfolios as part of their statewide assessments. Currently, there is a tremendous amount of State activity related to assessments, which means that the characteristics of State assessment systems change frequently. A significant amount of the activity surrounding State assessments involves including students with disabilities.

In a 1997 survey, approximately 60 percent of States placed high emphasis on developing or revising the participation and exemption policies for students with disabilities in assessments (Erickson \& Thurlow, 1997). At the same
time, about 40 percent placed high emphasis on their accommodations policies. This is just one indication of the tremendous amount of activity that has surrounded these policies in the past 5 years. Since 1995 when the National Center on Educational Outcomes (NCEO) published States' participation (Thurlow, Scott, \& Ysseldyke, 1995b) and accommodations policies (Thurlow, Scott, \& Ysseldyke, 1995a), 34 States have updated their policies on participation, and 32 have updated their policies on accommodations (Thurlow, Seyfarth, Scott, \& Ysseldyke, 1997).

Participation in State assessments. Although the participation of students with disabilities in assessments emerged as an issue in the early 1990s, its importance grew with the IDEA Amendments of 1997, which require States to report on the participation of students with disabilities in assessments (see Elliott, Thurlow, Ysseldyke, \& Erickson, 1997; Thurlow, Ysseldyke, Erickson, \& Elliott, 1997). In 1997, there continued to be a lack of good data on the participation of students with disabilities in assessments, despite the high emphasis placed on this issue. Twenty-four of fifty-three State directors reported that their offices do not currently collect or receive information on the rate at which students with disabilities participate in any of their statewide assessments. State special education directors report that a leading inhibiting factor for increased participation of students with disabilities in assessment is the "high stakes" attached to school or district performance. This is followed by the tendencies of some teachers and parents who wish to protect students from stressful testing situations, the variation in implementation of participation guidelines, and inadequate monitoring of implementation. Of the 27 States indicating that their offices collect or receive information on the number of students with disabilities tested in statewide assessments, only 9 actually provided numbers when asked to do so.

Individualized education program (IEP) documentation.
The IDEA Amendments of 1997 call for increased access for students with disabilities to the general education curriculum; the amendments also included several requirements for documentation on the IEP. For example, there
must be documentation of whether students will participate in the regular State assessment or in an alternate assessment that is to be developed and conducted by no later than July 1, 2000. Furthermore, IEPs must document both instructional and assessment accommodations that a student requires.

In 1997, however, only six State directors of special education indicated that their States had a requirement for IEP documentation related to State content or curriculum standards (Erickson \& Thurlow, 1997). In addition, 75 percent of State directors indicated that documentation of instructional accommodations is a current IEP requirement; approximately 55 percent indicated that their States require documentation of which assessment a student will take and which accommodations are provided during the assessment.

Alternate assessments. Alternate assessments are designed for those students with disabilities who are unable to participate in general large-scale assessments used for accountability purposes by districts or States (Thurlow, Olsen, Elliott, Ysseldyke, Erickson, \& Ahearn, 1996). The IDEA Amendments of 1997 require that such assessments be developed and conducted no later than July 1, 2000, and the performance of students reported. In 1997, the development of alternate assessments was still in conceptual form in many States. Only Kentucky had a fully implemented alternate assessment for those students unable to participate in the regular assessment. Maryland was field-testing its alternate assessment (see next module). Numerous other States indicate that they are "planning" or "considering" development of alternate assessments.

## Reporting the Performance of Students with Disabilities

Even when students with disabilities are included in State assessments, their scores may not be reported (Erickson, Ysseldyke, Thurlow, \& Elliott, 1997). In an earlier analysis, it was discovered that 14 of 24 States with written policies
specifically excluded the scores of students with disabilities when assessment results were reported (Thurlow, Scott, \& Ysseldyke, 1995b). In 1997, State directors of special education in 31 States reported that the performance scores of students with disabilities were disaggregated for one or more of their State assessments (Erickson \& Thurlow, 1997).

In States where scores of students with disabilities were not disaggregated, State directors indicated that the primary reasons included (1) lack of time, (2) lack of resources, and (3) inability to identify students with disabilities in the databases. Few States indicated that the reason was a concern about possible misinterpretation or that there was no need for the information.

In those States that did disaggregate data on students with disabilities, the scores were primarily reported in internal review documents for both State and local education administrators (Erickson \& Thurlow, 1997). States that report assessment results for students with disabilities are shown in table IV-2. Only 10 States include the disaggregated performance of students in their regularly released reports on educational results (Thurlow, Langenfeld, Nelson, Shin, \& Coleman, 1997).

Analysis of State accountability reports from 47 States and Washington, D.C. (Thurlow, Langenfeld, et al., 1997) revealed a number of other findings. The analysis looked at 113 accountability reports that were given to NCEO between 1995 and 1997. The reports covered data from the 1993-94 school year through 1995-96 depending on the time the report was received from the State and the most recent reports the States had available. The analysis looked at the types of inputs, processes, and results that States use as educational indicators for students with and without disabilities. Existing reports that include data on students with disabilities are extremely variable in the types of information presented. Most report on enrollment, and few report on performance. When performance data are presented, they may be provided for only a subset of the data available for general education students. Often, it is unclear when students are included or excluded from

Table IV-2
States That Report Assessment Results for Students with Disabilities ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Separate results for students <br> with disabilities not included <br> in reports | AK, AL, AR, AZ, CO, DC, DE, <br> FL, HI, IA, ID, IN, KY, MA, <br> MD, ME, MI, MO, MS, MT, <br> ND, NE, NH, NJ, NM, NV, |
| :--- | :--- |
| OH, OK, OR, PA, SD,TN, <br> UT,VT,WA, WV |  |
| Separate results for students <br> with disabilities included in <br> general education reports | GA, KS, NC, RI, SC, TX, VA |
| Results for students with <br> disabilities included in <br> separate report | CT, LA |
| Separate results for students <br> with disabilities included in <br> both general education and <br> separate reports | NY |
| No accountability report ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | CA, MN, WY |

a/ Data current for June 1997.
b/ California's testing system is currently under revision; Minnesota is currently developing an accountability system; an assessment system is under consideration in Wyoming.

Source: Data taken from Erickson, Ysseldyke, et al., 1997.
specific pieces of information presented in State accountability reports.

## Research Findings Related to StandardsBased Reform

Considerable energy is now being invested in research to address several of the critical issues that face States and LEAs as they move toward the participation of students with disabilities in their reforms and accountability
systems. In December 1997, the U.S. Department of Education funded 19 assessment-related projects. Three of the national projects are discussed below.

In the first project, the Department of Education is looking at efforts that will increase the number of students with disabilities and limited English proficiency who participate in the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP). In another, the National Center for Educational Statistics has established a line of research that addresses both students with disabilities and students with limited English proficiency (Olson \& Goldstein, 1997). In the third project, the NCEO focuses on educational outcomes for all students. Among some of the initial findings of all of these efforts are:

- More students can be included in large-scale assessments than have typically been included.
- Specific guidelines for IEP members and other decision makers can increase the reliability of decisions that are made about participation in assessments and about the types of accommodations that are needed.
- Some accommodations ${ }^{3}$ that may have been controversial in the past (e.g., marking on the test booklet rather than on an answer sheet, reading a math test to the student) do not seem to alter the validity of the test.
- Alternate means of assessment for students with disabilities are being discussed and developed, allowing increased participation in assessment and accountability systems.
- Parents and teachers overwhelmingly view the current emphasis on higher standards and participation in aligned assessment programs as positive and beneficial

3 The most frequently used accommodations can be classified into one of four areas: (a) setting (taking the test in a separate room, a carrel, or a small group), (b) scheduling (extended time, breaks during testing, or testing on certain days), (c) presentation (using braille or large print, sign language presentation of directions, recording directions), and (d) response (computer-generated and scribe-recorded answers, point to answers, mark in booklet).
to helping students with disabilities achieve better educational results.

- Current performance reporting practices for students with disabilities need to be dramatically improved to better inform public and policy-making audiences.

Eight additional projects were funded through OSEP, and another eight were funded by the Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI). Findings from these projects are not yet available.

## Summary

Standards-based reform is being implemented within the educational system, and special education is beginning to play an increased role in reform efforts. States are placing high priority on the issue of inclusion of students with disabilities in State assessments and accommodations for these students. However, the data on student participation in statewide assessments continue to be inconsistent. The increased emphasis on reporting in the IDEA Amendments of 1997 is designed to improve participation and accommodation data. States are also addressing alternate assessments for students with disabilities. Reporting of performance assessments for students with disabilities varies widely across States, from reporting of disaggregated data in regular State accountability reports to separate reporting to no reporting.
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## Developing Alternate Assessments for Students with Disabilities

Standards-based reform is gaining momentum across the United States as virtually every State implements an accountability system. Although standards and assessments may vary from State to State, at least two components are similar: (1) public reporting of results and (2) the use of rewards (e.g., teacher incentives) for schools and districts that make satisfactory progress toward identified standards or sanctions (e.g., probational status) for schools and districts that do not make such progress.

Although most States encourage the use of testing accommodations to facilitate the participation of students with disabilities, there is great variation in the numbers of students who actually participate. In fact, participation rates range from 0 percent to 100 percent, depending on the State (Erickson, Thurlow, \& Ysseldyke, 1996). However, when students with disabilities are exempted from the testing process, they typically are not included in the accountability system, which means that school and district staff are not held accountable for the progress of these particular students (Thurlow, Scott, \& Ysseldyke, 1995).

State reports suggest that large numbers of students with disabilities, and most with significant disabilities (approximately 1 to 2 percent of the total student population), are exempted from participation in large-scale assessments that form the basis of accountability systems. The reasons for their exclusion include the following:

- Current general assessments are not relevant to their needs.
- They are typically participating in an alternate curriculum.
- An IEP team reviews their progress annually and determines that participation in large-scale assessments are not appropriate for a particular child.
- Student progress on IEPs has served as the accountability measure for special education.
- Developing new assessments for this group of students is resource intensive (e.g., fiscal and manpower) and technically difficult.
- Test administration rules and guidelines have traditionally required students to be tested at their age-appropriate test level instead of their actual instructional level. (For example, a 10-year-old child working on the first grade level would be tested at the fourth or fifth grade level.) This may lead to diagnostic information that is not very helpful.
- School administrators may not want to include some students with disabilities because administrators believe these students' test scores may negatively affect the overall school score.
- Some school administrators and teachers do not want specific students included because they believe that it would subject these students to high stress.

The current special education evaluation process (i.e., IEP development and review) focuses on individual students. Although evaluating individual progress is important, it is also necessary to evaluate the school's effectiveness in preparing students with disabilities for life as adults in communities and holding school personnel accountable for the progress of these students (Brauen, O'Reilly, \& Moore, 1994).

To address these issues, the IDEA Amendments of 1997 require all States to:

1. Report to the public on the performance of students with disabilities participating in regular assessments (20 U.S.C. 1412(a)(17(B)(iii));
2. Conduct alternate assessments for students who cannot participate in State and district-wide assessment programs (20 U.S.C. 1412(a)(17)(A)(iii)); and
3. Report to the public on the performance of students with disabilities participating in alternate assessments (with the same frequency and in the same detail as they report on the assessment of nondisabled children) if doing so would be statistically sound and would not result in the disclosure of performance results identifiable to individual children (20 U.S.C. 1412(a)(17)(B)(iii)).

## What Are Alternate Assessments?

There are three predominant types of large-scale assessments for students with disabilities: general assessments, general assessments with accommodations, and alternate assessments. The majority of students take the general, large-scale assessments without any accommodations; others may benefit from the use of changes in setting, presentation style, response style, extended time, or alternative supplies or equipment (accommodations); and a small percentage need to participate in alternate assessments.

As explained by Ysseldyke and colleagues (1997) "alternate assessments are used when students do not 'fit' within the regular assessment program, or when the tests typically used do not 'fit' a segment of the school population (p. 2)." These alternative methods of gathering information on student achievement may look similar to the general assessments (i.e., similar performance measures) but will probably differ in format or content.

## Putting Alternate Assessments in Practice

With the passage of the IDEA Amendments of 1997, all States are in the process of developing strategies for including students with significant disabilities in their
accountability systems. Some States are already implementing this process.

Two examples, Kentucky's Alternate Portfolio system and Maryland's Independent Mastery Assessment Program, are described below.

## Kentucky's Alternate Portfolio

Assessment and accountability form just one part of the educational reform in Kentucky known as the Kentucky Education Reform Act (KERA). With the advent of KERA, most curricular and instructional decisions were to be made at the school building level. With this new autonomy, however, schools became accountable for student learning through the performance-based assessment system. In Kentucky, schools receive cash rewards or sanctions based on their assessment scores. Baseline scores for 2 years are calculated to reflect a threshold score. Schools must exceed their threshold score to be eligible for cash rewards. Schools that fail to reach their threshold score or fall significantly below their threshold may receive technical assistance from the State Department of Education and are subject to extreme sanctions such as removal of staff.

The assessment system uses performance assessment methodologies that are based on a common curricular framework of 57 Academic Expectations. These expectations are determined for all students, who produce writing and mathematics portfolios that represent a collection of best work, on-demand performance events, and standardized assessment scores (i.e., California Test of Basic Skills). The standardized assessment is not used in the accountability index, an aggregation of student assessment data that results in school classification for rewards or sanctions (Petrosko, 1998). Students with disabilities are fully included in the assessment system. Students with disabilities can participate in the general assessment system with or without specifically determined accommodations. Students for whom writing and mathematics portfolios would be inappropriate participate in the assessment
system through an Alternate Portfolio. An Alternate Portfolio score weighs equally with the combination of assessments in the general system. The scores are reported through the accountability index. In addition, scores for students in the Alternate Portfolio are tracked to the child's neighborhood school, so that schools are encouraged to have ownership of the results of all students who reside within the school's attendance area. The Alternate Portfolio does not relieve the school of its responsibility for the education of that student.

Less than 1 percent of Kentucky's students, or approximately 850 per year, develop Alternate Portfolios. The severity of disability category alone is not a basis for exemption from the assessment process. In fact, only one student has been exempted in the past 6 years. All other students have participated in one of the assessment formats. Specific eligibility requirements for the Alternate Portfolio limit participation to those students with significant cognitive disabilities that are not the result of specific learning disabilities; hearing, vision, physical, or emotional/behavioral impairments; and who, even with appropriate modifications and support, are unable to complete the regular program of studies (Kentucky Systems Change Project, 1997). Following State guidelines, each student's IEP team determines which assessment the student will participate in and identifies accommodations as needed.

The Alternate Portfolio is based on a unified set of six learning goals and 28 of the 57 Academic Expectations identified for all students. An example of an Academic Expectation is: "Students use research tools to locate sources of information and ideas relevant to a specific need or problem" (Kentucky Department of Education, 1993). For one student, a critical function of "using research tools" may be to use an augmentative communication system to ask a question or request assistance. Another student may conduct a survey of employers about appropriate dress for work.

An Alternate Portfolio contains several types of information, including a letter to the reviewer written or dictated by the
student or interpretations of the student's communication by peers; examples of a student's mode of communication; and an individualized daily schedule with examples of how the student is learning to use the schedule. Eighth and 12th grade students must include a resume and/or evidence of vocational skills. A letter of validation from the parent must also be included. Finally, the portfolio must include 8 to 10 entries that show student performance in multiple settings with appropriate supports and peer interactions. Evidence of student performance can be instructional program data, photographs or videotape, and permanent products. A single entry may incorporate any or all of these approaches.

Portfolios are scored by teachers using a holistic scoring approach. This approach incorporates key standards in six scoring dimensions that must be shown within and across entries in order to score at high levels. The holistic scoring guide shows performance indicators at four levels: novice, apprentice, proficient, and distinguished. These indicators reflect those used in the general writing and mathematics portfolio. The scoring dimensions for the Alternate Portfolio are grounded in best programming practices for educating students with moderate and severe disabilities.

A recent survey of teachers involved in the alternate assessment suggested that teachers saw benefits of including these students in school accountability indices (Kleinert, Kearns, \& Kennedy, 1997). Some of the benefits reported by teachers included instructional programming related to students following their own schedules, students evaluating their own performance, and an increase in the number of students using augmentative communication systems (Wheatley, 1993). Teachers also expressed frustration with the amount of time required to develop an Alternate Portfolio and scoring reliability, and some teachers perceived that the process is an assessment of teachers or programs rather than student progress.

## Maryland's Independent Mastery Assessment Program

In 1989, the Maryland Board of Education adopted the report of the Sondheim Commission on School Performance, which called for educational reform through rigorous standards and a new assessment. The Commission stipulated that "all children can learn equally rigorous content." Therefore, all children should be included in the accountability process (Haigh, 1996). Currently, two assessments form the "student performance" component of the Maryland School Performance Program; Maryland Functional Tests (MFTs), which consist of four minimum competency tests in reading, writing, math, and citizenship which must be passed for graduation, and the Maryland School Performance Assessment Program (MSPAP). The MSPAP is a collection of performance assessments administered to a matrix sampling of students in grades 3,5 , and 8. The tasks are designed to measure what students have learned based on identified State outcomes. The assessments are integrated across subject matter content and emphasize the application of knowledge and skills in content areas. Each assessment requires multiple student responses that can include open-ended responses, writing mathematical findings, and group activities. Results are not reported for individual students. Rather, they are used to provide descriptive data about a school's performance at targeted assessed areas. Additional plans to take effect with the 2004 graduating class include requiring passing of all four of the MFTs to exit eighth grade and the implementation of a high school assessment that will be similar to the MSPAP, with passing required for graduation.

In an effort to include students with diverse learning styles, a wide array of accommodations were identified for students with disabilities, those eligible for Section 504 support, and those identified with limited English proficiency. All students with disabilities take the MFTs and MSPAP unless their IEP committee determines that the student is receiving a functional life skills curriculum rather than the curriculum based on the Maryland learning outcomes of reading, writing, language usage, math, science, and social studies. The Independence Mastery

Assessment Program (IMAP) was developed for those students with significant cognitive disabilities who cannot participate in the MFTs and MSPAP.

IMAP development was guided by a Stakeholder Advisory Committee composed of parents, special educators and administrators, principals, local district accountability coordinators, assessment and measurement specialists, employers, and representatives of advocacy and adult service organizations. Exit standards (age 21 years) were identified in the personal management, community, career/vocational, and recreation/leisure content areas with enabling standards in the learner areas of communication, decision making, behavior, and functional academics. A back-mapping process was used to identify outcomes and indicators for benchmark ages of $5,8,10,13$, and 17 .

A series of chronologically age-appropriate performance tasks has been developed for each content area. Opportunities to engage in activities leading to the learner areas are embedded in each task. For example, a vocational task for a student age 17-21 could focus on preparing to engage in a community-based job and obtaining the necessary clothing, supplies, and equipment. The student's performance is scored on actual work preparation skills as well as on communication with nondisabled co-workers, problem solving, appropriate behaviors, and use of functional academic skills. After task completion, each student participates in a discussion that analyzes his/her performance.

Six scoring rubrics are used for each task. They are student performance, program supports, communication, decision making, behavior, and functional academics. The student performance rubric is a 4 -point scale, with the highest score awarded to those students who complete the task with minimal assistance from peers and co-workers. A lower score is assigned for completing the task with support from teachers or other special education personnel. The program support rubric assesses chronological age-appropriate supports that are provided only as needed to promote maximum independence.

The IMAP product for each student is a portfolio consisting of at least two videotaped on-demand performance tasks, descriptions/examples of program supports (e.g., communication systems, behavior management plans), descriptions of previous experiences (e.g., vocational, community participation), and an optional parent survey that addresses student skills outside of school. Portfolios, including the videotapes, are evaluated during the summer by a group of three special educators (who do not know the student). This summer session is a professional development opportunity for the teachers as well as a formal scoring session. Scoring results are reported at the school level for the school that the student attended at the time of the assessment.

Currently, 12 of the State's 24 districts participate in IMAP. Because it has not yet been formally adopted as part of the State's accountability system, IMAP focuses on program improvement. With the implementation of the IDEA Amendments of 1997, the current IMAP framework is being expanded to include all school districts in Maryland.

IMAP was designed to change instruction. Regardless of the special education placement, all students should have ongoing, regular opportunities to engage in communitybased vocational experiences that facilitate effective transition to employment opportunities following school. Likewise, it is expected that students will begin to regularly engage in self-evaluation of their individual performance. Experiences in the first 2 years of the IMAP process revealed that minimal instructional time was devoted to the critical thinking skills of decision making/self-evaluation by students with significant disabilities. However, employers on the Stakeholder Advisory Committee felt strongly that these skills needed to be addressed early in school to allow sufficient time for skill development.

## Issues To Consider in Developing Alternate Assessments

Including students with significant disabilities in accountability systems and developing the alternate assessments
that will serve as the assessment mechanism are complicated tasks.

One goal of IDEA is to foster increased and expanded ownership for the education and services provided to students with disabilities. To accomplish this goal, a number of issues need to be considered within the context of each State's accountability system. Most of these can be addressed in two ways: within a unified system (e.g., Kentucky) or an alternative system (e.g., Maryland). Both approaches have merit; however, each time a decision is made to adopt an alternative component (i.e., standards, assessment framework, scoring rubric, reporting framework), an additional barrier is drawn that separates students with significant disabilities from the larger student population (McLaughlin \& Warren, 1994).

## Eligible Population

Perhaps the most significant and controversial issue to be addressed is the identification of the population of students eligible to participate in alternate assessments. Currently in many States, large numbers of students with disabilities are exempted from general assessments because they read below grade level, lack sufficient comprehensive skills, disrupt the testing environment, or become frustrated during testing. Others are exempted because they have significant cognitive disabilities that prevent them from participating in most large-scale assessments, particularly those based on academic content versus those addressing vocational or personal management. The intent of IDEA is for a small number of students to participate in alternate assessments with the large majority of the remaining, currently exempted students being included in the general assessments. Therefore, as State departments of education develop eligibility policies, care should be taken to avoid identification of eligible or noneligible groups of students. The ultimate decision should be made by the IEP team on an individual basis within the framework of IEP development and review.

## Identification of Standards

Standards for students with disabilities need to be challenging, comprehensive, and realistic. Most States have already identified the standards that serve as the foundation for the general assessments. Depending on the nature of the standards, additional standards may need to be developed. Standards that focus on academic areas may not be broad enough to be relevant to the needs of students with significant disabilities and will need to be expanded or replaced by alternate standards that address relevant areas. However, many States have developed broad standards that can facilitate a system wherein all students work toward similar standards while exhibiting their progress in different ways.

The process of identifying alternative or additional standards should include geographic and cultural diversity, educators from the continuum of educational settings, parents, students or former students receiving special education services, employers, and adult service agency representatives.

## Purpose of the Assessments

It is essential that the purpose of the alternate assessment be clear to everyone. If a State requires that a student pass a particular assessment to advance to a higher grade or to graduate, then the schools must ensure that parents are fully informed of their options regarding an alternate assessment and that students with disabilities have access to instruction that will prepare them also to advance or graduate. Furthermore, students with disabilities should not be recommended for alternate assessments if their exemption from the general assessments is viewed as a way of increasing the school's score.

## Assessment Format

Assessments should reflect the broadest possible range of knowledge and skills needed for a positive quality of life.

For students with significant disabilities, this range should encompass vocational, personal management, leisure, and community orientation skills. However, it is impractical to propose developing a series of assessments that would cover all the necessary areas and that would be taken by every student.

There are options that enable assessors to evaluate the progress of groups of students toward meeting standards. Kentucky's portfolio approach presents a common framework for all portfolios while allowing for individual flexibility (e.g., focus areas) in selecting actual entries. Maryland has adopted two approaches. For the MSPAP, each student is randomly assigned to one of three groups. Each group takes a different assortment of performance assessments. Individually, the assortments do not provide a complete picture of individual student progress toward meeting State standards; but, analyzing performance across the three assessment groups offers a "bird's eye" picture of overall progress of the group. IMAP offers an alternative approach, with local school district accountability staff randomly assigning each student to a task while allowing school-based staff to select a second task for each student. An additional consideration is whether the alternate assessment will be an on-demand task (i.e., IMAP) or an ongoing process (i.e., Kentucky's portfolios). On-demand tasks allow a snapshot of performances by a large group at one particular time. A portfolio process can offer the opportunity to see change over time.

## Scoring Rubrics

Traditionally, the goal of assessments has been to determine whether the student knows subject matter content. More recently, the focus has changed to whether a student can apply knowledge. When evaluating students with significant disabilities, additional issues need to be considered. Given the nature of the disability, a student may require support to complete certain tasks. This support has typically been provided by paid staff (e.g., special educators, job coaches, counselors). The advent of natural supports has resulted in a new focus on roles that others
can play. Both Kentucky and Maryland have chosen to award higher performance points to those students receiving natural supports than to those receiving supports from staff.

This results in multi-point scoring rubrics. Both Kentucky and Maryland use 4-point scales. Kentucky uses descriptive terms, and Maryland uses numeric terms. In an effort to create a unified accountability system, consideration should be given to the adoption of comparable scoring rubrics for both general assessments and alternate assessments.

## Administration of Alternate Assessments

Resources required for administration of alternate assessments vary according to the nature of the specific assessment. Developing alternate portfolios may require no more resources or logistical support than are required to develop the general portfolios. Likewise, when performance assessments are aligned with performance-based instruction, administration will not require significantly more resources. Difficulties in resource allocation (e.g., manpower, equipment) tend to arise when the assessment looks very different from day-to-day practices. If students are engaged in community-based instruction and selfevaluation activities occur regularly, the actual assessment session should not pose dramatic logistical problems.

## Scoring of Alternate Assessments

The viability of the alternate assessment system may rest with the reliability of the scoring process. Lessons learned from Kentucky and Maryland point to the impact training has in achieving reliability.

These States have adopted different scoring approaches. Each Kentucky Alternate Portfolio is scored at least twice. The first scoring is conducted by the student's own teacher with (ideally) the input of another trained scorer. The second scoring is done at a regional level by scorers blind
to the initial score as well as to the district submitting the portfolio. Alternate portfolios that lack consensus between the two scores are evaluated a third time by a State employee.

Maryland IMAP portfolios are scored simultaneously by three trained scorers who represent districts other than the district submitting the portfolio. Their scores are averaged to obtain a final score.

## Reporting of Scores

The IDEA Amendments of 1997 require that the public in each State receive a report on the performance of students with disabilities with the same frequency and in the same detail as reported for nondisabled students. However, the reporting must be statistically sound and cannot violate the confidentiality of individual students.

Several benefits can accrue when scores are reported in the disaggregate (McDonnell, McLaughlin, \& Morison, 1997). Validity can be strengthened when the scores of a particular group that have "uncertain meaning" are separated, thus increasing the validity of the larger group. Second, disaggregation removes the "unfair burden" placed on schools with larger numbers of students with significant disabilities. Finally, disaggregating scores of a particular group may focus additional attention to that group, thus focusing more public interest on the educational services provided the target group of students. Care must be taken in describing the disaggregated group to ensure confidentiality of individual students.

However, disaggregation of scores, particularly for very small groups as would be found in the alternate assessment population, raises serious threats to reliability. In addition, unless the disaggregated group was described (which would threaten individual confidentiality), there would be little benefit in separately identifying the group.

## Summary

Including all students in systems of public accountability is critical to expanding the concept that principals and, when appropriate, general educators, also assume responsibility for students with disabilities. Traditionally, responsibility for these students' progress and the services they receive has rested solely with special educators. In the future, this locus of responsibility should shift to the whole school.

Although many students with disabilities currently participate in large-scale assessments, the challenge is to develop rigorous, alternate assessments for students with significant disabilities that are based on standards relevant to their postschool needs. However, participation in alternate assessments needs to be used cautiously because the majority of students with disabilities can participate in the large-scale assessments.
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# Secondary School Completion for Students with Disabilities ${ }^{1}$ 

In recent years, as high paying manufacturing jobs have dwindled and the service and technology industries have expanded, the labor market has demanded higher levels of education and skills. This makes secondary school completion more critical. Students with disabilities who complete high school are more likely to be employed, earn higher wages, and enroll in postsecondary education and training (Wagner, Blackorby, Cameto, \& Newman, 1993).

The national education goals state that by the year 2000,

Purpose: To present data on completion rates for students with disabilities. the high school graduation rate will increase to at least 90 percent. The high school completion rate for all students in the United States rose considerably in the early 1980s but has been relatively stable since then. In 1992, the rate was 87 percent for youth ages 19 and 20. Notable are increases in high school completion among African Americans; their graduation rates are now equal to those of Whites. However, much lower graduation rates are reported for Hispanic students and students with disabilities (National Education Goals Panel, 1994).

Although much national attention is devoted to measuring and reporting the high school graduation rate, less attention is given to what it means to earn a high school diploma, how graduation requirements vary across States and school districts, and how rates differ for students with and without disabilities. Most States (44) have specific Carnegie-unit requirements for earning a diploma, but these requirements vary considerably from State to State (Thurlow, Ysseldyke, \& Anderson, 1995). Seventeen States use a high school exit examination or minimum competency test as a requirement for graduation Council of Chief State School Officers (CCSSO, 1996). Local educational agencies in several States have the option of establishing

[^24]more stringent standards than those set by the State, either in the form of academic credits or high school exit examinations (Thurlow et al., 1995).

Many States require that students with disabilities meet the same requirements as other students to receive a standard diploma. However, nine States award a standard diploma to students with disabilities who complete their individualized education program (IEP). Others award modified diplomas or certificates of completion to students who complete their IEP but who do not meet the requirements for a standard diploma. In many States, the IEP team may waive some academic credits and/or sections of the exit exam. In five States, graduation requirements for students with disabilities are set at the local level (Thurlow et al., 1995).

Overall, credits required for graduation have risen in the past 10 years. Nineteen States now award only one exit document for students meeting standard or alternative requirements, an increase from 14 States in 1987. All 31 States that have differentiated diplomas for students with disabilities also allow students with disabilities to earn a standard diploma (Bodner, Clark, \& Mellard, 1987; Thurlow et al., 1995).

## Trends in High School Completion for Students with Disabilities

In 1995-96, 151,224 students ages 17 to 21 with disabilities graduated with a diploma or certificate of completion. This figure represented 29 percent of all students ages 1721 with disabilities and 74 percent of those exiting the educational system. The percentage of students with disabilities graduating with a diploma or certificate of completion remained steady from the past year (see figure IV-1 and table AD2).

Graduation rates varied by disability. Students with speech and language impairments, specific learning disabilities, hearing impairments, and visual impairments were most likely to graduate with a diploma or certificate,

Figure IV-1
Percentage of Students with Disabilities Graduating with a Diploma or Certificate of Completion


Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).
while those with multiple disabilities, autism, and deafblindness were less likely to do so (see table IV-3).

The percentage of students with disabilities who completed high school with a diploma or certificate also varied considerably by State. The States with the largest percentage of 17-through 21-year-olds graduating with a diploma or certificate include Hawaii, New Jersey, West Virginia, Nevada, and Minnesota.

A multivariate analysis of factors affecting State graduation rates showed that statewide reading and math achievement and per pupil expenditures in education accounted for almost 60 percent of the variance in State graduation rates

Table IV-3
Number and Percentage of Students Ages 17 and Older Graduating with a Diploma or Certificate of Completion: 1995-96

|  | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Specific learning disabilities | 97,139 | 32.9 |
| Speech or language impairments | 4,043 | 38.5 |
| Mental retardation | 23,728 | 22.6 |
| Emotional disturbance | 13,753 | 24.0 |
| Multiple disabilities | 2,378 | 13.5 |
| Hearing impairments | 2,816 | 31.7 |
| Orthopedic impairments | 1,994 | 27.4 |
| Other health impairments | 3,275 | 28.2 |
| Visual impairments | 1,136 | 32.1 |
| Autism | 397 | 10.4 |
| Deaf-blindness | 51 | 16.5 |
| Traumatic brain injury | 514 | 27.8 |
| All disabilities | 151,224 | 28.9 |

Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).
(Oswald \& Coutinho, 1996). ${ }^{2}$ Variables such as median household income, percent White, percent of households below the poverty level, percent of education revenues from State sources, average teacher salary, and population density were significant in predicting graduation for

[^25]Table IV-4
Factors Predicting State Graduation Rates for Students with Disabilities in 1992-93: Standard Diploma

| Disability Condition | Predictors Entering Stepwise Model | Bivariate Correlation ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Model $\mathrm{R}^{2 \mathrm{~b}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Disabilities | 4th grade reading proficiency | . 63 | . 59 |
|  | 8th grade math proficiency | . 46 |  |
|  | Current expenditure per pupil | . 25 |  |
| Specific Learning | 4th grade reading proficiency | . 54 | . 54 |
| Disabilities | 8th grade math proficiency | . 36 |  |
|  | Current expenditure per pupil | . 27 |  |
| Emotional | Per pupil revenue | . 47 | . 62 |
| Disturbance | 4th grade reading proficiency | . 58 |  |
|  | Median household income (1990) | . 49 |  |
| Mental Retardation | Percent White | . 52 | . 70 |
|  | All education expenditures per capita | -. 22 |  |
|  | Percent of households below poverty level (1992) | . 03 |  |
|  | Percent revenue from State sources | . 05 |  |
|  | Population density | -. 14 |  |
|  | 8th grade math proficiency | . 28 |  |

a/ The correlation coefficient is a numeric description of the extent and direction of the relatedness between two variables. Values range from -1.00 to +1.00 .
b/ $\quad R^{2}$ indicates the percentage of the variance in receipt of standard diplomas accounted for by the independent variables in the model.

Source: Oswald \& Coutinho, 1996.
students with various disabilities and for predicting graduation with a certificate of completion rather than a standard diploma (see tables IV-4 and IV-5). The analyses suggest that State economic, demographic, and educational variables may affect graduation rates but in highly complex and inconsistent ways (Oswald \& Coutinho, 1996).

Table IV-5
Factors Predicting State Graduation Rates for Students with Disabilities in 1992-93: Certificate of Completion

|  |  | Bivariate <br> Disability Condition | Model <br> Correlation $^{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| All Disabilities | Community adult dropout rate <br> Percent White | .50 | .59 |
|  | Population density <br> Percent revenue from State sources <br> Average teacher salary <br> Gross State product per capita | -.38 | -.04 |
| Specific Learning | Percent of households below poverty level (1992) | .00 |  |
| Disabilities | Percent revenue from local sources | -.26 | .39 |
| Emotional | Population density | .07 | .15 |
| Disturbance | Percent White | -.11 | .29 |
| Mental Retardation | Community adult dropout rate | -.09 |  |

a/ The correlation coefficient is a numeric description of the extent and direction of the relatedness between two variables. Values range from -1.00 to +1.00 .
b/ $\quad \mathrm{R}^{2}$ indicates the percentage of the variance in receipt of standard diplomas accounted for by the independent variables in the model.

Source: Oswald \& Coutinho, 1996.

## Summary

In the recent amendments to IDEA, Congress placed additional emphasis on high school completion as an indicator of individual and programmatic success. Each State is required to establish goals for the performance of children with disabilities in the State, including dropout rates and graduation rates. States must also report to Congress every 2 years on their progress, and of children with disabilities in the State, toward meeting State performance goals.

The percentage of all students and the percentage of students with disabilities completing high school has remained steady in the past few years. State economic, demographic, and educational variables apparently affect graduation rates, but in complex and inconsistent ways.
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## State Improvement and Monitoring

IDEA directs the Department of Education to assess the impact and effectiveness of State and local efforts to provide a free appropriate public education to children and youth with disabilities. Primarily through OSEP, the Department assists State educational agencies (SEAs) and local school districts in implementing Federal special education mandates by making grants pursuant to congressional appropriations and providing technical assistance, policy support, and monitoring.

OSEP works in partnership with States, school districts, school administrators and teachers, institutions of higher education, students with disabilities and their families, and advocacy groups to ensure positive educational results for students with disabilities. OSEP uses research, dissemination, demonstration, systems change, and other technical assistance strategies to provide State and local educational agencies with tools to assist them in improving teaching and learning.

OSEP also recognizes, however, the critical importance of its compliance monitoring responsibility and activities to ensure compliance with Congress' mandates. OSEP places the highest priority on compliance with those IDEA requirements that have the strongest relationship with improved services and results for students with disabilities and their families. The Office tailors its monitoring and technical assistance activities in each State to maximize positive impact on educational services and results for students in that State.

Based in large part on Congress’ findings, as set forth in the IDEA Amendments of 1997, and the results of the National Longitudinal Transition Study ${ }^{1}$, OSEP has found

[^26]that the requirements with the strongest links to improved educational results for students with disabilities include those addressing:

- Involvement and progress of students with disabilities in the full range of curricula and programs available to nondisabled children (and the supports, services, and modifications that they need to learn effectively in those curricula and programs, as determined through the development of an individualized education program (IEP)), including general curricula and vocational education and work-experience programs;
- Participation of children with disabilities in statewide and districtwide assessments of student achievement;
- Provision of transition services to enable students with disabilities to move effectively from school to postschool independence and achievement;
- Educating children with disabilities with nondisabled children to the maximum extent appropriate; and
- Participation of parents, students, and regular education personnel in the development and implementation of educational programs for children with disabilities.

Drawing on more than 20 years of research and experience since the 1975 enactment of P.L. 94-142 (IDEA's predecessor), Congress, in the IDEA Amendments of 1997, greatly strengthened IDEA's emphasis on all of these critical components of effective education for students with disabilities. In its monitoring of States under IDEA, OSEP will emphasize all of these requirements in light of the recent IDEA amendments and continuing research findings that support the linkage between these requirements and improved educational results.

Because each State has general supervision responsibility for all educational programs for its children with disabilities, OSEP focuses its monitoring activities on each State's systems for ensuring that all public agencies comply with the requirements of Part B, including those noted above, in
providing services to students with disabilities. These systems include the State's procedures for monitoring public agencies to determine compliance with Part B requirements as they apply to students with disabilities-including students placed by public agencies in private schools or facilities--and ensuring that public agencies correct any deficiencies; the State's complaint management and due process hearing systems; and its procedures for ensuring that special education programs administered by State agencies other than the SEA meet State standards and Part B requirements.

In working with States to ensure compliance and improved results for students with disabilities, OSEP emphasizes partnerships and technical assistance, together with a strong accountability system. OSEP works with States, Regional Resource Centers, and others to identify systemic strengths and weaknesses and to develop strategies for systemic reform and improvement. OSEP also provides and brokers technical assistance to States on an ongoing basis regarding legal requirements and best practice strategies for ensuring compliance in a manner that ensures continuous progress in educational results for students with disabilities. OSEP uses these strategies for State improvement in conjunction with a multifaceted compliance review process that includes review and approval of State plans, on-site compliance reviews, procedures to ensure the effective and timely implementation of corrective action plans, and discretionary review of final State decisions on Part B complaints.

Over the past 4 years, OSEP has worked intensively to reorient and strengthen its monitoring system so that it will--in conjunction with research, innovation, and technical assistance efforts--support systemic reform that produces better results for students with disabilities and ensures compliance. To ensure a strong accountability
system, OSEP has emphasized strong and diverse customer input in the monitoring process ${ }^{2}$; effective methods for ensuring compliance with Part B , with strongest emphasis on requirements that relate most directly to continuous improvement in learner results; prompt identification and correction of deficiencies; and corrective action requirements and strategies that yield improved access and results for students.

OSEP tailors its monitoring and technical assistance activities to the needs in specific States. Thus, some States (e.g., States with relatively few findings in their last review or with findings of a technical nature and with demonstrable success in completing corrective actions) may require only a more narrow, focused review, while others will continue to require frequent OSEP comprehensive and follow-up monitoring visits.

During the 1996-97 school year, OSEP conducted comprehensive monitoring reviews of 13 SEAs and follow-up monitoring visits to six States. (See table IV-6 for a list of the SEAs that OSEP monitored during the 1996-97 school year.) Table IV-7 shows the monitoring reports that OSEP issued during fiscal year 1997. As shown in table IV-8, which summarizes the findings in the 11 final monitoring reports that OSEP issued during fiscal year 1997, those findings concentrated in areas directly related to:

- student access to instruction and vocational preparation (e.g., placement in the least restrictive environment, and the provision of a free appropriate public education ${ }^{3}$ );

[^27]
## Table IV-6

Schedule of On-Site Monitoring Reviews, 1996-97

| 1996-97 Cyclical Reviews | 1996-97 Follow-Up Reviews |
| :---: | :---: |
| Texas (9/96) | Michigan (11/96) |
| Alaska (9/96) | Connecticut (2/97) |
| Maine (9/96) | Massachusetts (3/97) |
| West Virginia (12/96) | New York (3/97) |
| Florida (1/97) | New Jersey (5/97) |
| Guam (3/97) | Pennsylvania (5/97) |
| American Samoa (3/97) |  |
| Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (3/97) |  |
| District of Columbia (3/97) |  |
| Mississippi (4/97) |  |
| Oregon (4/97) |  |
| Missouri (4/97) |  |
| Virgin Islands (5/97) |  |

Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Division of Monitoring and State Improvement Planning.

## Table IV-7 <br> Monitoring Reports Issued During Fiscal Year 1997

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Colorado (10/96) | Oklahoma (6/97) | Guam (9/97) |
| West Virginia (4/97) | Maine (7/97) | Texas (9/97) |
| Alaska (5/97) | American Samoa (7/97) | Florida (9/97) |
| Commonwealth of | Mississippi (8/97) |  |
| the Northern Mariana <br> Islands $(5 / 97)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |

[^28]Table IV-8
Summary of Findings in Fiscal Year 1997 Monitoring Reports

| Requirements on Which Findings Based/Number of Reports with Findings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TRANSITION | 6 | Agency invites student to meeting |
|  | 2 | Agency invites representatives of other agencies likely to be responsible for transition to IEP meeting |
|  | 7 | Content of meeting notice |
|  | 7 | Statement of needed transition services |
| LEAST RESTRICTIVE ENVIRONMENT | 5 | Removed from regular education only if education cannot be achieved satisfactorily in regular class with supplementary aids and services |
|  | 2 | Placement decision based on IEP |
|  | 2 | Continuum of alternative placements |
|  | 3 | Student participates with nondisabled students in extracurricular/ nonacademic activities |
| FREE APPROPRIATE PUBLIC EDUCATION | 4 | Extended school year services |
|  | 8 | Services provided as determined by the IEP team |
|  | 3 | Length of school day consistent with State standard |
|  | 5 | Timely completion of initial evaluation (consistent with State standards) |
| PROCEDURAL SAFEGUARDS | 5 | Prior notice or proposed/refused actions provided to parents |
|  | 6 | Prior notice includes other required content |
|  | 2 | Hearing and review timelines |
| MONITORING | 7 | Procedures to identify deficiencies |
|  | 7 | Procedures to correct deficiencies |
| COMPLAINT MANAGEMENT | 5 | SEA resolves all Part B complaints within 60 days |
| GENERAL SUPERVISION | 2 | Programs administered by State agency other than SEA meet SEA standards \& Part B requirements |
| IEP | 5 | IEPs include required content |
| EVALUATION | 3 | Students reevaluated at least once every 3 years |

Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Division of Monitoring and State Improvement Planning.

- transition from school to employment and other postschool activities;
- procedural safeguards for children with disabilities and their parents; and
- the SEA's exercise of its general supervision responsibility (e.g., monitoring, complaint management, and responsibility for special education programs administered by other State agencies).

In the past, OSEP reports consisted largely of detailed and technical findings regarding the content of local educational agency (LEA) applications, local educational policies and procedures, and explanations of procedural safeguards. OSEP now collects data and writes reports to stress findings and corrective actions that more strongly affect student results. For example, data collection and reports include a strong focus on State and local policies, procedures, and practices relating to transition and placement in the least restrictive environment.

Prior to the 1994-95 school year, each OSEP monitoring report included a corrective action plan developed by OSEP with limited dialogue with the State. Often States implemented the required procedures with little verifiable impact on services and results for students with disabilities. OSEP found that, to better ensure that corrective actions positively affect student results in a State, it is important to work with the State to develop and define corrective action requirements and to integrate technical assistance with the development, implementation, and evaluation of the corrective actions. While some States completed all required corrective actions, OSEP noted continuing deficiencies when it next monitored those States. Accordingly, OSEP has revised its corrective action procedures to emphasize joint development of corrective action plans and to provide for technical assistance to support implementation of corrective action and follow-up visits to assess the effectiveness of correction and identify needs for further technical assistance.

With the majority of the requirements of the IDEA Amendments of 1997 becoming effective with the President's signature on June 4, 1997, OSEP focused its monitoring efforts during the first half of the 1997-98 school year on working with a broad spectrum of stakeholders to ensure timely implementation of the new requirements in a manner which would support improved results for students and educational reform. From August 1997 through January 1998, OSEP staff participated in implementation planning meetings in 49 States, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and the Bureau of Indian Affairs. These meetings included a broad array of stakeholders, including parents and representatives of advocacy groups, special and general education teachers and administrators, personnel from institutions of higher education, representatives of the SEA and other State agencies, etc. (See table IV-9 for the schedule of these implementation visits.) OSEP staff also met in Hawaii with representatives from Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, who returned to their respective entities and in turn conducted implementation meetings with a broad spectrum of stakeholders to develop an implementation plan.

## Table IV-9

Schedule of the IDEA Amendments of 1997 Implementation Planning Visits

| Montana (8/97) | Minnesota (10/97) | California (12/97) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kansas (9/97) | Pennsylvania (10/97) | Louisiana (12/97) |
| Kentucky (9/97) | Maine (10/97) | Massachusetts (12/97) |
| Michigan (9/97) | New Hampshire (10/97) | Missouri (12/97) |
| North Dakota (9/97) | Alabama (11/97) | Maryland (12/97) |
| Oregon (9/97) | New Mexico (11/97) | New York (12/97) |
| Wisconsin (9/97) | Ohio (11/97) | New Jersey (12/97) |
| Hawaii (9/97) | North Carolina (11/97) | Virginia (1/98) |
| West Virginia (10/97) | Delaware (11/97) | Mississippi (1/98) |
| Illinois (10/97) | Wyoming (11/97) | Connecticut (1/98) |
| Indiana (10/97) | Washington (11/97) | Puerto Rico (1/98) |
| Alaska (10/97) | Tennessee (11/97) | Rhode Island (1/98) |
| Vermont (10/97) | Nevada (11/97) | Georgia (1/98) |
| Iowana (10/97) | Virgin Islands (12/97) | Arizona (1/98) |
| South Carolina (10/97) | South Dakota (12/97) | Florida (1/98) |
| Nebraska (10/97) | Idaho (12/97) | Bureau of Indian Affairs (1/98) |
| Utah (10/97) |  |  |

Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Division of Monitoring and State Improvement Planning.

## Summary

OSEP recognizes that it is important to focus on both student results and compliance and uses a broad range of technical assistance, partnership, and accountability strategies to ensure compliance, especially with those requirements that relate most strongly to learning opportu-
nities and results for students with disabilities. OSEP tailors its technical assistance and monitoring activities in each State to the needs and strengths of that State, and OSEP's revised monitoring procedures have resulted in monitoring reports and corrective actions that ensure compliance while supporting State reform efforts and improved teaching and learning.

# Performance Indicators for Parts B, C, and D 

PURPOSE: To summarize GPRA's goals and requirements, the Department of Education's response to the act, and OSEP's plans to fulfill those requirements for Parts B, C, and D of IDEA.

In response to increasing concerns about governmental accountability, Congress passed the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) in 1993. This law is the mechanism by which Congress intends to ensure the accountability of Federal programs; it will also influence future appropriations for those programs. GPRA requires most Federal programs ${ }^{1}$ to "improve Federal program effectiveness and public accountability by promoting a new focus on results, service quality, and customer satisfaction." (31 U.S.C. §1101(2)(b)(3))

To meet this mandate, OSEP developed a strategic plan based on the IDEA Amendments of 1997, OSEP's primary vehicle for improving results for children and youth with disabilities. The plan contains a mission statement, goals, objectives, and performance indicators. Part B and Part C of IDEA are designed to improve results for children and youth, and infants and toddlers, respectively; Part D's discretionary programs provide tools to assess and further improve results.

This module will first address the goals established by GPRA, and then discuss the Department of Education's and OSEP's response to GPRA. Subsequent sections of the module will present models and performance indicators for Parts B, C, and D of IDEA.

## The Purposes of GPRA

GPRA was enacted to bolster eroding public confidence and to provide a mechanism for Federal managers to improve their programs. The act has six purposes. They are to:

[^29]- improve the confidence of the American people in the capability of the Federal Government, by systematically holding Federal agencies accountable for achieving program results;
- initiate program performance reform with a series of pilot projects in setting program goals, measuring program performance against those goals, and reporting publicly on their progress;
- improve Federal program effectiveness and public accountability by promoting a new focus on results, service quality, and customer satisfaction;
- help Federal managers improve service delivery, by requiring that they plan for meeting program objectives and by providing them with information about program results and service quality;
- improve congressional decision making by providing more objective information on achieving statutory objectives, and on the relative effectiveness and efficiency of Federal programs and spending; and
- improve internal management of the Federal Government. (31 U.S.C. §1101(2)(a))

This module will focus on the first four of these purposes. GPRA requires three major actions from all Federal agencies. The first is to prepare a 5 -year strategic plan, including agency mission statements, goals, and performance targets. The second is to submit an annual performance plan that states tasks to be undertaken to achieve goals, and the third is to submit an annual performance report that delineates how well the previous year's performance plan goals have been met.

Each agency's initial strategic plan was to be submitted to Congress and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) by September 30, 1997. In addition to a mission statement and goals and objectives, plans were required to include performance evaluation criteria and possible external barriers to plan implementation. The first performance
plan, for FY 1999, included each program activity listed in the agency's budget, listed performance indicators to be used to assess outcomes, and discussed how program results will be compared with the agency's goals. The performance indicators were to focus on the results achieved by each department rather than on the number of initiatives undertaken.

The first performance report is due March 31, 2000. Performance reports must show, in measurable ways, how well the preceding year's performance plan has been implemented.

## The Department of Education's Response to GPRA

The Department submitted its Strategic Plan, 1998-2002 to Congress in September 1997. The plan draws from a number of sources: The Department of Education's 1994 Strategic Plan, the National Education Goals of 1990, President Clinton's Call to Action for American Education, Secretary Riley's Seven Priorities, and individual program indicator plans. The strategic plan outlined four broad goals:

- help all students reach challenging academic standards so that they are prepared for responsible citizenship, further learning, and productive employment;
- build a solid foundation for learning;
- ensure access to postsecondary education and lifelong learning; and
- make the Department of Education a high-performance organization by focusing on results, service quality, and customer satisfaction (Department of Education, 1998).


## OSEP's Response to GPRA

Within the framework of the broader goals of the Department of Education, OSEP decided to use the IDEA Amendments of 1997 to structure its response to GPRA. OSEP developed a series of program logic models with goals, objectives, and performance indicators for the Act as a whole, as well as for Parts B, C, and D independently. The goals, objectives, and performance indicators presented here will be subject to further development. This section discusses the goals, objectives, and performance indicators as they are outlined in the models as they were submitted to Congress.

The goals set forth in the models were presaged by OSEP's proposal for the reauthorization of the Act, which emphasized the alignment of the IDEA Amendments of 1997 with State and local education improvement efforts to improve results for students with disabilities. The proposal also emphasized the importance of placing students in the least restrictive environment possible, with access to the general curriculum; it noted the relationship between high expectations and high performance; and it highlighted the importance of early intervention efforts to ensure that children enter school equipped to learn (U.S. Department of Education, 1995). The goals outlined in the IDEA program logic models reflect these priorities.

## IDEA Program Logic Model

The first model, the IDEA Program Logic Model (see figure IV-2), provides an overview of how OSEP plans to use program inputs and outputs to improve results for young people with disabilities. This conceptual framework illustrates how each part of IDEA works, both independently and in conjunction with the other parts, to affect results for children and youth with disabilities. There are three inputs: legislation, appropriations, and employees. IDEA is the structural foundation for providing services and assessing and improving results. Congress appropriates monies for the program and OSEP staff implement the Act. The result is the second model component, OSEP
Figure IV-2
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Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, 1997.
program outputs. These include developing regulations, monitoring, suggesting corrective actions, making grant awards, developing annual plans and reports, and providing customer service. The program outputs have a number of outcomes, which are OSEP's GPRA goals. By achieving these goals, OSEP will advance the desired community outcome of helping young people with disabilities become independent and productive citizens.

## Part B

The Part B logic model illustrates how State monitoring activities and State grant awards under Part B of IDEA are combined with discretionary Part D activities to improve results for children and youth with disabilities (see figure IV-3). OSEP developed the Part B model to meet three goals: (1) To engage State educational agencies and LEAs in program improvements; (2) to provide students with disabilities with access to a high-quality education; and (3) to ensure that students with disabilities meet challenging standards that help prepare them for employment and independent living.

In this model, OSEP awards grants to the States, which in turn fund LEAs to serve children with disabilities. These efforts result in two quantifiable end outcomes: Improvement of educational results for children with disabilities and greater participation in postsecondary education and employment for youth with disabilities.

GPRA required Federal agencies to develop quantifiable performance indicators to measure their progress. Accordingly, OSEP established a number of indicators to determine its progress in implementing the IDEA Amendments of 1997. For example, one objective of Part B is to improve educational results for children and youth with disabilities. An indicator of progress in this area is to increase the percentage of children with disabilities who are proficient in reading, math, and other academic subjects, based on measures such as State assessments and the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP). OSEP has developed strategies to help reach this objective. One such strategy is to provide technical assistance and disseminate

Figure IV-3
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Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, 1997.
information on model practices for instructing children with disabilities, including practices in the areas of reading and math. Another strategy is to ensure that students with disabilities are oversampled and appropriately included in NAEP. This is one example of the objectives and performance indicators for Part B of IDEA; a complete listing of the Part B performance indicators is shown in table IV-10.

Table IV-10
Part B Performance Indicators

## Special Education Grants to States and Preschool Grants - \$4,184,685,000 (FY 99)

Goal: To improve results for children with disabilities by assisting State and local education agencies provide children with disabilities access to high-quality education that will help them meet challenging standards and prepare them for employment and independent living.

| Objectives | Indicators |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program Improvement |  |  |

1. States ensure children with disabilities are a part of all accountability systems and actively work to monitor and improve their performance.
1.1 Performance goals and strategies. By 1998 all States will have established performance goals and strategies for children with disabilities aged 3-21 and will report progress in meeting those goals.
1.2 Participation in assessments. Children with disabilities, as appropriate, will be included in regular State assessment and results reported starting July 1998.
1.3 Participation in alternate assessments. Children with disabilities in regular assessments will participate in alternate assessments and results reported starting July 2000.
2.1 Emergency/temporary certifications. The percentage of teachers who have emergency or temporary certification will be reduced.
2.2 Appropriately trained teachers. The percentage of regular and special education teachers with the skills and knowledge to appropriately serve children with disabilities will increase.
2.3 Reciprocity. The number of States with reciprocity agreements regarding certification will increase.
2. States effectively monitor local school districts and provide technical assistance and take other actions as appropriate to ensure compliance with the Act.
3.1 State monitoring. The percentage of States deemed to effectively monitor local educational agencies on implementing the requirements of IDEA will increase.
3.2 State technical assistance. The percentage of States deemed to provide effective technical assistance to poorly performing local educational agencies on implementing the requirements of IDEA will increase.

## Table IV-10 (cont’d)

## Special Education Grants to States and Preschool Grants - \$4,184,685,000 (FY 99)

Goal: To improve results for children with disabilities by assisting State and local education agencies provide children with disabilities access to high-quality education that will help them meet challenging standards and prepare them for employment and independent living.
Objectives Indicators

Access to high-quality education
4. All children with disabilities will participate in the general curriculum to the maximum extent appropriate.
5. Students 14 and older will take courses and receive services that will facilitate the transition from school to work or postsecondary education.
4.1 Participation in the regular classroom. The percentage of children with disabilities who participate in the general curriculum most of their day in the regular classroom, with appropriate supports and accommodations such as behavioral interventions and adaptive instructional materials, will increase. Preschool children with disabilities will receive services in settings with typically developing peers. $45 \%$ of children with disabilities ages 3 through 21 and $51 \%$ of children ages 3 through 5 were reported by States as being served in regular education classrooms for the 1994-95 school year.
5.1 Participation in appropriate secondary education. The access of children with disabilities to appropriate quality academic, vocational education, or other programs that address their needs will increase. The National Longitudinal Transition Study (NLTS) reported that 65\% of students with disabilities took one or more vocational education courses during their most recent year in secondary school.
5.2 Transition services. All children with disabilities ages 14 and older will have individualized education programs (IEPs) that include a statement of transition service needs that will help focus on the child's courses of study in advanced-placement courses or a vocational education program. The High School Transcript Study found that students with disabilities earned more credits in vocational courses in high school than other students did (5 credits vs. 4 credits).

## Table IV-10 (cont'd)

## Special Education Grants to States and Preschool Grants - \$4,184,685,000 (FY 99)

Goal: To improve results for children with disabilities by assisting State and local education agencies provide children with disabilities access to high-quality education that will help them meet challenging standards and prepare them for employment and independent living.

| Objectives | Indicators |
| :---: | :---: |

6. All children with disabilities will receive appropriate services that address their individual needs, including related services such as assistive technology.
7. Schools will provide appropriate behavioral interventions for children with disabilities whose behavior impedes the learning of themselves or others.
6.1 Parent satisfaction. The percentage of parents who are satisfied with their child's education will increase over time.
6.2 Teachers' view. The percentage of teachers reporting that children receive the services they need will increase over time.
7.1 Disciplinary actions. The percentage of children with disabilities who have been suspended or expelled will decrease.
7.2 Identification of children with emotional disturbance. Children with emotional disturbance will be identified earlier.

## Challenging standards and preparation for employment and independent living

8. Improve the educational results of children with disabilities.
8.1 Performance on assessments. The percentage of children with disabilities who are proficient in reading, math, and other academic areas, based on NAEP and State assessments will increase.
8.2 School completion. The percentage of children with disabilities exiting school who graduate with a diplom or a certificate will increase; and the percentage of children with disabilities leaving school who drop out will decrease. Of students with disabilities ages 14 through 21 who are known to have left school, $52 \%$ graduated with a regular diploma in the 1994-95 school year, 63\% graduated with a regular diploma or certificate of completion, and $34 \%$ dropped out.

## Table IV-10 (cont'd)

Special Education Grants to States and Preschool Grants - \$4,184,685,000 (FY 99)
Goal: To improve results for children with disabilities by assisting State and local education agencies provide children with disabilities access to high-quality education that will help them meet challenging standards and prepare them for employment and independent living.

| Objectives | Indicators |
| :---: | :---: |
| 9. Improve participation in postsecondary education and employment. | 9.1 Postsecondary education. The percentage of students with disabilities going on to 4 -year colleges and 2-year community colleges and technical schools will increase. The NLTS reported that $13.9 \%$ of youth with disabilities who left high school in the 1985-86 or 1986-87 school years had enrolled in some type of postsecondary school in the year before they were interviewed for the study (summer and fall of 1987), and that $27.7 \%$ of youth with disabilities who had been out of school for 3 to 5 years had ever attended postsecondary school. <br> 9.2 Employment. The percentage of students with disabilities who are employed within 2 years of leaving school will increase. The NLTS reported that $45.9 \%$ of youth with disabilities who left high school in the 1985-86 or 1986-87 school years were employed at the time of the follow-up survey in the summer and fall of 1987. |

Source: U.S. Department of Education, FY 1999 annual plan, 1998.

## Part C

The Part C logic model depicts OSEP's use of Part D discretionary awards and Part C State grant awards to lead agencies to improve results for infants and toddlers with disabilities (figure IV-4). OSEP's goals in designing this model were to enhance family and child results through early intervention and to ensure that States provide a comprehensive system of early intervention services for infants and toddlers with disabilities and their families. Desired outcomes include identifying all infants and toddlers who are eligible for services, enhancing these children's functional development, helping them make successful transitions, and strengthening their families.

Figure IV-4
IDEA Program for Infants and Toddlers with Disabilities


Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, 1997.

There are a number of performance indicators for Part C. For example, the indicators for the objective of identifying all eligible children include counts of the number of children served, the number of children referred to the State Child Find System by pediatricians, hospitals, and public health agencies, and the number of States serving children at risk for developing disabilities. One of OSEP's strategies for reaching this objective is to work with the Federal Interagency Coordinating Council to develop ways to coordinate Child Find efforts for Federal programs
serving similar populations. Another strategy is to reach out to professional associations such as the American Academy of Pediatrics and the American Nurses Association to emphasize the importance of early identification, referral, and intervention for infants and toddlers with or at risk of developing disabilities. A complete list of the performance indicators for Part C is provided in table IV11.

## Part D

Figure IV-5 illustrates how discretionary awards made under IDEA, Part D result in program outputs including research and innovation, personnel preparation, technical assistance, technology, State improvement, and parent training. The primary goal of the discretionary programs is to build a comprehensive and systematic infrastructure that is linked to States, school systems, and families and that identifies, develops, and communicates best practices to improve results for children with disabilities. This infrastructure will improve the learning of children with disabilities and advance the desired outcomes included in the other logic models.

Performance indicators for Part D include an increase in the number of States meeting their needs for qualified personnel, and an increase in the number of special education teachers and related services personnel who have appropriate certification. These measures will indicate how well OSEP is meeting the objective of ensuring an adequate supply of highly qualified personnel. One of OSEP's strategies to help meet this objective is the development of a computer system to track personnel and personnel demand. This system will be made available to all the States. Another strategy is to require State Improvement Grant applications to include current data on regular and special education personnel, including their certification status and the training they have received. The National Center for Education Statistics Schools and Staffing Survey for FY 2000 will be an important source of data in this area. Part D performance indicators are shown in table IV12 on pages IV-67 to IV-69.

## Table IV-11 <br> Part C Performance Indicators

## Special Education - Infants and Toddlers with Disabilities - \$370,000,000 (FY 99)

Goal: Family and child outcomes are enhanced by early intervention services, and States provide a comprehensive system of early intervention services for infants and toddlers with disabilities and their families.

| Objectives |
| :--- |
| 1. All eligible children are |
| identified. |

1.1 Total number of children served. The number of eligible infants and toddlers with disabilities being served will increase. Baseline in 1995 was 174,288.
1.2 Birth to 1-year-olds served. The percentage of infants served under 1-year-old will increase as a proportion of infants and toddlers served. Baseline in 1994 was 0.8\%.
1.3 States serving at-risk children. The number of States serving infants and toddlers at risk of developing disabilities will increase. Baseline was 9 States and 1 territory in FY 1996.
2. Needs of the child and family are addressed in a timely, comprehensive manner
2.1 Receipt of all services indicated. The percentage of families receiving all the services identified on the individualized family service plan and the percent of families reporting that their services were coordinated will increase. Baseline to be determined through new research.
2.2 Natural settings. The percentage of children primarily receiving services in natural settings appropriate for the age of the child will increase. Baseline was 53\% in 1994.
2.3 Family capacity. The percentage of families reporting that early intervention has increased the family's capacity to enhance their child's development will increase. Baseline to be determined through new research.
2.4 Transition experiences. The percentage of families reporting a successful transition (e.g., a transition meeting was held in a timely manner and a plan developed and followed) will increase. Baseline to be determined through new research.
2.5 Setting of subsequent services. The number of children transitioning to inclusive settings will increase. Timing of new data collection to be determined.

Table IV-11 (cont'd)

## Special Education - Infants and Toddlers with Disabilities - \$370,000,000 (FY 99)

Goal: Family and child outcomes are enhanced by early intervention services, and States provide a comprehensive system of early intervention services for infants and toddlers with disabilities and their families.

| Objectives |
| :---: |

3. Child's functional development is enhanced by early intervention services.
4. State policy, monitoring and technical assistance promote comprehensive, effective family focused early intervention services.

Indicators
3.1 Functional abilities. Child's functional abilities are increased and sustained. Baseline to be determined through new research.
4.1 Funding sources. The number of States accessing all appropriate sources of funding (Medicaid, Maternal and Child Health Block Grant, State general revenues) will increase (from the number reported in FY 1997).
4.2 State monitoring activities. The number of States that rigorously monitor local implementation of Early Intervention and provide effective technical assistance to service providers on implementation of the requirements of Part C of IDEA will increase. Baseline data available in FY 1998.

Figure IV-5
IDEA Discretionary Programs


Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, 1997.

Table IV-12
Part D Performance Indicators

## Special Education Discretionary Program - \$290,961,000 (FY 99)

Goal: To link best practices to States, school systems, and families to improve results for infants, toddlers, and children with disabilities.

| Objectives |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. Ensure an adequate |
| supply of highly qualified |
| personnel. |

1.2 Research-validated effective practices. An increasing percentage of training programs will incorporate researchvalidated practices in program curricula.
1.3 Personnel employed with certification. An increasing percentage of special education teachers and related services personnel will be certified appropriately.
1.4 Special education training for regular education teachers. An increasing percentage of regular education teachers and community service providers will receive preservice and inservice training in special education and developmentally appropriate practices.
1.5 Effective personnel. An increasing percentage of special and regular education teachers and early intervention personnel will have the knowledge and skills to improve educational results for children with disabilities.
2. Rigorous research, development, demonstration, and innovation responds to critical needs and advances knowledge to improve results for children with disabilities.
2.1 Respond to knowledge gaps. An increasing percentage of IDEA-supported research and demonstration products, including technology products, will respond directly to identified needs of State educational agencies, LEAs, and direct service providers.
2.2 Ensure quality. An increasing percentage of projects, including technology projects, use rigorous research and evaluation methods.
2.3 Advance knowledge use. An increasing percentage of final research reports documenting activities to advance the use of the knowledge produced are reported. (OSERS)

Table IV-12 (cont'd)

## Special Education Discretionary Program - \$290,961,000 (FY 99)

Goal: To link best practices to States, school systems, and families to improve results for infants, toddlers, and children with disabilities.

| Objectives | Indicators |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 2.4Research impact. An increasing percentage of consumers <br> of IDEA-supported research regard the research as useful <br> because it advances knowledge and contributes to <br> improving educational policies and practices in special <br> education. |

3. Technical assistance (TA) and information will be coordinated and accessible to parents, teachers, administrators, early intervention personnel, related personnel, and transition personnel and will result in improved practices.
3.1 Customer satisfaction. An increasing percentage of customers will receive TA and information and will report satisfaction with the services received.
3.2 Improving practices. An increasing percentage of customers will use TA and information to improve practices.
3.3 Respond to information needs. An increasing number of TA and information materials will respond to critical needs.
3.4 Use effective practices. An increasing number of TA and information products and events will promote effective practices in curricula, policies, and services and are based on validated research.
4. LEAs and early intervention programs implement program innovations and improvements.
4.1 LEAs and community-based programs implement innovation and improvement efforts. An increasing percentage of LEAs and community-based programs will indicate that they have implemented innovations, validated practices, and improved their programs in order to improve the results of children with disabilities.
5. State systems of education and early intervention for infants, toddlers, and children with disabilities are reformed and improved.
5.1 Development of accountability systems. The number of States with accountability systems in place to track the progress of infants, toddlers, and children with disabilities will increase.
5.2 Inclusion in statewide assessments. All students with disabilities will be included in statewide assessment systems.

Table IV-12 (cont'd)


Source: U.S. Department of Education, FY 1999 annual plan, 1998.

## Summary

Congress enacted the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993 in response to public demands for accountability in government. Under GPRA, most Federal agencies, including the Department of Education, are now required to measure program results and to report these results to Congress and OMB annually. OSEP's mission is to improve results for children and youth with disabilities to help them develop into independent, productive citizens. In keeping with this mission, OSEP responded to GPRA by developing goals, objectives, and performance indicators based on the IDEA Amendments of 1997. OSEP continues to refine its performance indicators and strategies for gathering quantifiable data to improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

## References

Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, P.L. 103-62. 31 U.S.C. § 1101 et seq.
U.S. Department of Education. (1995). Individuals with Disabilities Education Act Amendments of 1995 (prospectus). Washington, DC: Author.
U.S. Department of Education. (1997). U.S. Department of Education strategic plan, 1998-2002. Available online: www.ed.gov/pubs/StratPln97.
U.S. Department of Education. (1998). U.S. Department of Education annual plan. Available online: www.ed.gov/pubs/AnnualPlan/SpecED.html.

## Results From RRC Technical Assistance to States

TWhe IDEA Amendments of 1997 represent a significant shift in the special education model, from "improved access" to special education and related services to "improved results" for children and youth with disabilities. Evidence of this change pervades the new law, in such areas as:

- congressional findings for the Act (§601(c));
- links between child assessment and instructional guidance in the individualized education program (IEP);

Purpose: To describe the RRFC Network and its services to States.

- systematic review of progress in the general curriculum; and
- new data requirements for measuring graduation and dropout rates for children with disabilities.


## Purpose of the RRFC Network

The Regional Resource and Federal Center (RRFC) Network, one of OSEP's technical assistance efforts, is assisting with these changes. The Network comprises six Regional Resource Centers (RRCs) and the Federal Resource Center (FRC). RRCs help State educational agencies (SEAs) improve their systems of early intervention, special education, and transition services through the development and implementation of policies, programs, and practices to enhance educational results for children and youth with disabilities. The FRC supports RRC work in States by coordinating information and activities across regions. In anticipation of the changes to IDEA, RRC activities with SEAs have expanded over the past 5 years to:

- increase interagency and interdisciplinary collaboration, planning, and service delivery for children ages birth through 21 ;
- raise expectations for students with disabilities through high standards;
- promote greater participation of children with disabilities in general education curriculum and assessment systems;
- heighten parent education and involvement;
- improve professional development by addressing specific personnel deficit areas and by helping general educators make needed accommodations and modifications; and
- focus attention on students with limited English proficiency or who are from minority groups.

RRC technical assistance in these areas also reflects IDEA's emphasis on incorporating proven research to improve education practices for children with disabilities. (For a more detailed description of national technical assistance, support, and dissemination activities, see Appendix B. This appendix also contains contact information for the Federal and Regional Resource Centers.)

## Structure of the RRFC Network

Although each RRC focuses primarily on the needs within its region, the capacity of each RRC is strengthened by the entire RRFC Network structure. With coordination and support from the FRC, the six regional Centers have developed effective ways to make connections with other research, technical assistance, and dissemination projects; exchange information and otherwise benefit from each other's experience in States; share staff expertise across regional boundaries; develop collaborative responses to common needs; and in many other ways become more than a collection of independent projects. A strength of the Network is the breadth of its technical assistance services, which combine content and process expertise, thereby facilitating the transfer of research into practice and positive changes for children.

These features make the RRFC Network a unique program which, from its clients' perspective, "has been instrumental in helping States meet their responsibilities under IDEA" (letter from the National Association of State Directors of Special Education (NASDSE) to Tom Hehir, OSEP, 10/14/97). The existence of this network means that SEAs have rapid and timely access to validated research, to other technical assistance programs, and to other States' special education programs. This network approach reduces duplicative efforts in times of declining State resources. In addition to state-of-the-art information and services, the RRFC system provides critical connections to the U.S. Department of Education and its Federal priorities. The interactive function of the Network means that States benefit from each other, from their own RRC, from other RRCs, and from the FRC. The structure of the Network enables each RRC to develop the requisite knowledge and relationships to appropriately meet each State's particular needs, while maintaining a larger perspective in which common issues may be identified and addressed in an efficient and coordinated manner. RRFC work in the past 5 years highlights its collaborative nature and benefits to SEAs; this module illustrates three such benefits in the areas of SEA general supervision, standards and assessments, and managing behavior in schools.

## SEA Responsibility for General Supervision

Section 612(a)(11) of the IDEA Amendments of 1997 requires that each SEA be responsible for ensuring that the requirements of the law are met and that all educational programs for children with disabilities in the State are under the general supervision of the State officials who are responsible for education programs for children with disabilities and meet the SEA's educational standards. Beyond working with OSEP staff, SEAs view the RRCs as a primary source of assistance as they address this requirement. States use complaint management systems, interagency agreements, compliance monitoring and reviews, technical assistance policy guidelines, and approval of local applications as methods to exercise their supervisory responsibilities. However, information on quality practices in these areas is limited, so States use the

Network to provide them with the best available information and technical assistance in these areas, which is often based on other States' practices.

To coordinate their efforts, the RRCs have established a Monitoring Work Group of representatives from each of the RRCs, the FRC, NASDSE, and OSEP. The purpose of this work group is to collaborate on "critical issues in monitoring trends . . . in order to build capacity across the regions in serving SEAs" (RRFC Directors' Handbook). As issues arise in one region, the RRC brings the issues to the work group to gather ideas and information on strategies that may have been tried by other States and in other regions. RRCs keep each other informed of activities in their regions that might be useful to States outside of the region. In addition, the work group provides a timely vehicle whereby OSEP and NASDSE representatives can alert RRCs and, through them, their States to national activities and emerging issues.

In many cases, work group discussions and exchanges lead to collaborative activity. For example, a description of training initiated within one RRC region led to follow-up training sessions attended by representatives of States in other RRC regions. The RRCs collaborate to document State monitoring practices and have developed a national profile that helps them respond to State questions (e.g., about techniques for using technology during the monitoring process, alternatives to fiscal sanctions, and involving parents and other stakeholders in monitoring activities). When a State needs timely and relevant information on how to handle a particular monitoring problem, the Network responds by placing the question on its listserve, conducting searches region-by-region, and returning consolidated information to the requesting Center.

To meet the need for direct exchange of information across States, the RRCs conduct regional and national monitoring conferences every 2 years. In addition to SEA monitors' showcasing effective practices (such as local educational agencies' self-evaluation, development of corrective action plans, monitoring for results), OSEP staff capitalized on the latest conference by presenting initial information regarding the amendments to IDEA and OSEP's plans for moni-
toring in 1997-98. As a result of the ongoing work group, information exchanges, and the conferences, States are better able to implement systems for ensuring compliance that have a direct effect on the services available to children with disabilities and the results they achieve.

As States began to address the changes to IDEA, it became clear that optimal technical assistance would combine policy information from OSEP with direct assistance from the RRCs. OSEP determined that an appropriate strategy to ensure effective implementation of the requirements of the IDEA Amendments of 1997 would be to collaborate with States in developing implementation agreements to ensure compliance with the provisions of the new law. OSEP requested that States involve a variety of stakeholders in this effort. The RRCs served as a resource to States in facilitating the stakeholder meetings and for providing technical assistance after the agreements were developed. To enhance the potential of these implementation agreements, the Network collaborated to ensure that each RRC benefited from the experience of other States and regions. An initial conference call among OSEP and the RRCs clarified the Federal expectations for the implementation agreement process and established RRCs’ roles. RRCs helped States conduct self-analyses regarding the new requirements of the law. Training materials on the IDEA Amendments of 1997 developed by OSEP and the FRC were disseminated by the FRC for use by SEAs, RRCs, parent organizations, and local school agencies. As implementation agreement meetings occurred, RRCs discussed their experience with each other, making recommendations about effective techniques to improve stakeholder involvement and meetings results. Monthly calls between RRC directors and staff highlighted additional experiences. The result has been a positive relationship among SEA staff, OSEP, RRCs, and the stakeholders in these implementation agreement activities. The plans that emerged are calculated to lead not only to compliance but, consistent with the intent of the law, improved results for children with disabilities.

## Assessment and Accountability

General education's systemic reform has claimed assessment and accountability as its foundation. Most States are developing new sets of curriculum standards and accompanying assessment systems to set goals, measure achievement, and report to their public. With IDEA's explicit attention to participation in assessments, there is a need for timely information and technical assistance as States further develop assessment systems to ensure that "Children with disabilities are included in general State and district-wide assessment programs, with appropriate accommodations, where necessary. . . ." (20 U.S.C. 1412(a)(17)(A)) States also are required to develop alternate assessments and accompanying guidelines for those children with disabilities who cannot participate in stateor districtwide assessment programs. It is equally important that States deliver assessment "reports to the public with the same frequency and in the same detail as it reports on the assessment for nondisabled students . . . ."

The RRFC Network, its member Centers, and its major collaborator in this domain, the National Center for Educational Outcomes (NCEO), have worked together to develop research, disseminate best practices, provide technical assistance, and facilitate collaborative efforts linking general and special education personnel, parents, and other stakeholders. Network personnel have worked with State personnel, research consultants, and parents to analyze assessment systems, design more inclusive approaches, train personnel, and develop reporting formats. Within the larger education arena, RRCs have been active partners in Improving America's Schools initiatives with the Comprehensive Centers, the Regional Labs, and CRESST (Center for Research on Evaluation, Student Standards and Testing).

Specifically, the Network developed a Standards and Assessment Work Group with membership from the RRCs, FRC, OSEP, and NCEO and invited participation by related agency representatives. RRFC personnel hold regular teleconferences about regionally focused issues, informing each other and, in turn, SEA staff about efforts in other regions. Network members participate across regions in
conferences, workshops, and training meetings as presenters, participants, and observers.

RRFC members serve as regional links to NCEO, brokering the latest research, providing implementation feedback to developing research, and connecting SEA personnel directly with researchers. The newly established Improving America's Schools Conferences present yet another arena for Network services in assessment and accountability. These conferences strengthen and promote systemic reform across all aspects of public education, and RRC participation in the design teams as well as the Assessment and Standards Institutes promotes the inclusion of students with disabilities and provides leadership in this regard.

A recent example of the RRFC's initiatives in standards, assessment, and accountability is the concept design of a World Wide Web site dedicated to alternate assessment issues. This design is emerging from the Standards and Assessment Work Group and will incorporate development and perspectives from throughout the Network, its consumers, and collaborators as States work toward the July 2000 statutory deadline for alternate assessment systems. NCEO took the lead on this project. The RRFC workgroup coordinated efforts with NCEO to implement the survey and helped design survey questions, formatted the database, tracked down State contracts, tested the system, and has made ongoing recommendations for improvements. This survey on alternate assessments can be accessed through www.coled.uwn.educ/NCEO.

Across these domains, the Network has been both leader and provider as the systemic reform of education demands more rigorous and sophisticated accountability, assessment, and reporting systems for all students. State curriculum standards, IEPs, and district- and statewide assessment systems must all be integrated for fundamental accountability. RRCs continue to play a vital role in promoting and assisting that integration in individual States. Network participation enhances both the collective and individual member capacities that support States advancing this essential reform.

## Behavioral Issues and Interventions

Addressing the behavioral needs of students with disabilities has been a focus of States and of the reauthorization of IDEA. RRCs pursued regionally based work in this area until 2 years ago, when those activities were joined in a Network-led national focus on effective interventions and the prevention of behavior problems. The Network effort was to help States and local school systems on both the legal issues and appropriate prevention and intervention approaches that can reduce the need to address these problems through discipline measures. Providing information, coordination, research, and awareness and training, the Network also engaged other federally funded projects with interest and expertise in this area: the National Early Childhood Technical Assistance System (NEC*TAS), the National Center for Children in Poverty, Zero to Three, and mental health grantees and State representatives. This national collaborative activity has provided resources for all States, including work groups, topical conferences, peer resources networks, and extensive materials. The effort also involved many Department of Education, OSEPfunded research and development projects.

A specific focus has been the RRC-led information dissemination and networks for SEAs on effective educational programs for children incarcerated in juvenile or adult corrections facilities. Providing for this population adequately has been of concern for several years; States have continued to look to the RRFC for information and technical assistance in this area. Many of the materials developed have been made available on the World Wide Web.

The Network formed topical work groups on early prevention of violence and on mental health to exchange current State information, solicit recent research, and invite experts to support State agency staff efforts in policy development and implementation at the local level. RRCs have sponsored conferences and workshops on school discipline and violence prevention in conjunction with NEC*TAS.

In response to federally funded research that clearly demonstrated the need for early prevention efforts, the

Network created a national database that consolidates information on early prevention of violence for children ages birth through 6. This database highlights effective programs and strategies, organizations, and resources targeted to young populations at risk for developing behavior problems. RRFC Network members have published volumes (made available via the World Wide Web, individual centers, and clearinghouses) on early identification and prevention of violent behavior in children, model programs, and services for students with emotional/behavioral disabilities and their families; effective classroom and school interventions for students with challenging behaviors; and teacher stress and burnout. The larger regional perspective allows early identification of emerging issues in areas common to several States and a commensurate development of appropriately matched responses, combining the latest in research, effective field practices, and expertise.

## Summary

In its pivotal role as the primary technical assistance provider to SEAs and the link among OSEP, other Federal and regional projects, and the States, the RRFC Network represents a critical component in the congressional intent in reauthorizing IDEA--improving State education systems to benefit all children. With a regional configuration, individual RRCs are able to build the requisite knowledge and relationships with each State to enhance the introduction and application of research and improved practices, appropriately matching needs in a particular State with available resources and technical assistance. For the States in any given region, the RRC functions as the hub for and among States working to improve the benefits of their particular system. The Network optimizes these cumulative efforts and intelligence: hierarchically, from the individual States to the regional and then the Federal level, and laterally, across States, across regions, across projects.

Nationally, RRCs work with each other and with Federal agencies and have ongoing knowledge of and involvement in research developments that inform State efforts and are grounded in State systems approaches. RRCs have
immediate access to a vast array of information and resources beyond the capacity of any single entity. The RRFC Network's synergy and national perspective makes assessing needs and responding with quality assistance more collaborative, more efficient, and more targeted in the common endeavor to support States in meeting requirements of the IDEA Amendments of 1997 and ensuring better results for children with disabilities.

## Appendix/A

## DATA TABLES

This Appendix includes a compilation and analysis of data gathered on children with disabilities served under IDEA and reference data on all school-aged children. As required by IDEA, the Part B data tables include child count (1996-97), placement (1995-96), personnel (1995-96), and exiting (1995-96). Data on infants and toddlers served in accord with IDEA, Part C are also included. Finally, data on estimated resident population for children ages 3 through 21, total enrollment for students in prekindergarten through 12th grade, and State grant awards under IDEA are provided.

Table AA1
Number of Children Served Under IDEA, Part B by Age Group

> During the 1996-97 School Year

| STATE | 3-5 | 6-11 | $\begin{aligned} & --A G E \\ & 12-17 \end{aligned}$ | DUP------ | 18-21 | 3-21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 8,199 | 42,755 | 41,651 | 84,406 | 5,098 | 97,703 |
| ALASKA | 1,847 | 8,454 | 6,602 | 15,056 | 688 | 17,591 |
| ARIZONA | 7,753 | 38,281 | 30,122 | 68,403 | 3,339 | 79,495 |
| ARKANSAS | 7,882 | 21,765 | 23,285 | 45,050 | 2,522 | 55,454 |
| CALIFORNIA | 55,722 | 277,998 | 227,938 | 505,936 | 22,337 | 583,995 |
| COLORADO | 7,255 | 31,295 | 29,851 | 61,146 | 3,129 | 71,530 |
| CONNECTICUT | 7,919 | 35,759 | 34,124 | 69,883 | 3,695 | 81,497 |
| DELAWARE | 1,837 | 7,678 | 5,512 | 13,190 | 653 | 15,680 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 347 | 2,785 | 3,064 | 5,849 | 483 | 6,679 |
| FLORIDA | 27,048 | 159,119 | 123,914 | 283,033 | 12,729 | 322,810 |
| GEORGIA | 14,293 | 72,169 | 50,138 | 122,307 | 4,549 | 141,149 |
| HAWAII | 1,433 | 7,788 | 7,177 | 14,965 | 534 | 16,932 |
| IDAHO | 3,213 | 12,045 | 9,168 | 21,213 | 771 | 25,197 |
| ILLINOIS | 27,976 | 122,875 | 105,959 | 228,834 | 10,581 | 267,391 |
| INDIANA | 13,075 | 67,296 | 52,012 | 119,308 | 5,905 | 138,288 |
| IOWA | 5,865 | 29,422 | 29,521 | 58,943 | 3,218 | 68,026 |
| KANSAS | 6,368 | 25,169 | 21,575 | 46,744 | 2,241 | 55,353 |
| KENTUCKY | 15,020 | 38,384 | 28,518 | 66,902 | 3,130 | 85,052 |
| LOUISIANA | 9,495 | 38,731 | 39,823 | 78,554 | 4,723 | 92,772 |
| MAINE | 3,693 | 14,442 | 13,396 | 27,838 | 1,524 | 33,055 |
| MARYLAND | 9,790 | 49,564 | 41,453 | 91,017 | 3,823 | 104,630 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 14,535 | 69,392 | 67,185 | 136,577 | 7,911 | 159,023 |
| MICHIGAN | 18,411 | 88,845 | 76,939 | 165,784 | 9,435 | 193,630 |
| MINNESOTA | 10,918 | 45,050 | 41,141 | 86,191 | 4,162 | 101,271 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 6,227 | 29,681 | 26,904 | 56,585 | 2,695 | 65,507 |
| MISSOURI | 8,744 | 58,046 | 53,285 | 111,331 | 5,561 | 125,636 |
| MONTANA | 1,732 | 8,483 | 7,603 | 16,086 | 793 | 18,611 |
| NEBRASKA | 3,311 | 19,283 | 15,837 | 35,120 | 1,455 | 39,886 |
| NEVADA | 3,261 | 14,095 | 11,666 | 25,761 | 950 | 29,972 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 2,289 | 11,164 | 11,681 | 22,845 | 1,286 | 26,420 |
| NEW JERSEY | 16,765 | 97,590 | 78,986 | 176,576 | 9,059 | 202,400 |
| NEW MEXICO | 4,684 | 21,268 | 21,256 | 42,524 | 1,916 | 49,124 |
| NEW YORK | 49,673 | 168,989 | 170,903 | 339,892 | 23,193 | 412,758 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 16,622 | 77,797 | 54,498 | 132,295 | 4,718 | 153,635 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 1,156 | 5,761 | 5,206 | 10,967 | 587 | 12,710 |
| OHIO | 18,279 | 103,524 | 92,032 | 195,556 | 12,122 | 225,957 |
| OKLAHOMA | 5,292 | 33,785 | 31,421 | 65,206 | 3,360 | 73,858 |
| OREGON | 5,634 | 31,332 | 24,427 | 55,759 | 2,353 | 63,746 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 20,495 | 93,090 | 90,381 | 183,471 | 11,482 | 215,448 |
| PUERTO RICO | 4,474 | 18,817 | 19,621 | 38,438 | 3,148 | 46,060 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 2,456 | 12,130 | 10,680 | 22,810 | 1,334 | 26,600 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 10,492 | 46,030 | 31,068 | 77,098 | 3,171 | 90,761 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 2,153 | 7,264 | 5,004 | 12,268 | 630 | 15,051 |
| TENNESSEE | 10,092 | 56,344 | 52,697 | 109,041 | 6,231 | 125,364 |
| TEXAS | 32,984 | 204,341 | 201,150 | 405,491 | 23,368 | 461,843 |
| UTAH | 5,217 | 25,864 | 20,809 | 46,673 | 1,986 | 53,876 |
| VERMONT | 1,234 | 4,821 | 5,213 | 10,034 | 500 | 11,768 |
| VIRGINIA | 13,414 | 66,563 | 58,502 | 125,065 | 6,170 | 144,649 |
| WASHINGTON | 12,003 | 50,479 | 40,151 | 90,630 | 4,399 | 107,032 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 5,119 | 21,730 | 18,213 | 39,943 | 2,255 | 47,317 |
| WISCONSIN | 13,924 | 47,063 | 44,322 | 91,385 | 5,104 | 110,413 |
| WYOMING | 1,532 | 5,896 | 4,901 | 10,797 | 546 | 12,875 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 43 | 124 | 191 | 315 | 12 | 370 |
| GUAM | 171 | 793 | 827 | 1,620 | 143 | 1,934 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 46 | 127 | 123 | 250 | 22 | 318 |
| PALAU | 7 | 54 | 52 | 106 | 3 | 116 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 173 | 586 | 597 | 1,183 | 88 | 1,444 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 310 | 4,310 | 3,321 | 7,631 | 251 | 8,192 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 559,902 | 2,654,285 | 2,323,596 | 4,977,881 | 258,071 | 5,795,854 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 559,152 | 2,648,291 | 2,318,485 | 4,966,776 | 257,552 | 5,783,480 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

Table AA2
Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served Under IDEA, Part B by Disability
During the 1996-97 School Year

| STATE | ALL DISABILITIES | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPECIFIC } \\ \text { LEARNING } \\ \text { DISABILITIES } \end{array}$ | SPEECH OR LANGUAGE IMPAIRMENTS | MENTAL <br> RETARDATION | EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 89,504 | 38,444 | 16,593 | 23,294 | 5,510 |
| ALASKA | 15,744 | 9,701 | 3,148 | 743 | 834 |
| ARIZONA | 71,742 | 42,009 | 13,079 | 6,393 | 4,786 |
| ARKANSAS | 47,572 | 21,800 | 7,935 | 12,174 | 425 |
| CALIFORNIA | 528,273 | 319,969 | 114,250 | 29,669 | 18,614 |
| COLORADO | 64,275 | 33,620 | 10,939 | 3,139 | 8,624 |
| CONNECTICUT | 73,578 | 37,629 | 12,362 | 4,191 | 10,750 |
| DELAWARE | 13,843 | 8,901 | 1,464 | 1,810 | 726 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 6,332 | 3,602 | 462 | 1,022 | 861 |
| FLORIDA | 295,762 | 139,780 | 72,355 | 35,825 | 34,788 |
| GEORGIA | 126,856 | 40,425 | 27,469 | 27,805 | 21,998 |
| HAWAII | 15,499 | 7,886 | 2,361 | 2,271 | 1,629 |
| IDAHO | 21,984 | 13,061 | 3,512 | 2,866 | 597 |
| ILLINOIS | 239,415 | 120,328 | 52,877 | 25,554 | 28,820 |
| INDIANA | 125,213 | 53,238 | 35,044 | 20,657 | 9,155 |
| IOWA | 62,161 | 29,651 | 7,762 | 13,264 | 8,348 |
| KANSAS | 48,985 | 21,510 | 10,747 | 5,618 | 4,909 |
| KENTUCKY | 70,032 | 22,210 | 18,545 | 18,043 | 5,195 |
| LOUISIANA | 83,277 | 37,490 | 16,512 | 12,884 | 5,924 |
| MAINE | 29,362 | 12,943 | 6,815 | 1,256 | 4,414 |
| MARYLAND | 94,840 | 43,862 | 25,730 | 6,148 | 7,111 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 144,488 | 88,379 | 22,136 | 14,050 | 12,389 |
| MICHIGAN | 175,219 | 83,667 | 36,491 | 20,738 | 17,240 |
| MINNESOTA | 90,353 | 38,761 | 15,213 | 10,238 | 17,332 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 59,280 | 30,689 | 17,769 | 7,706 | 315 |
| MISSOURI | 116,892 | 63,374 | 23,999 | 12,559 | 9,615 |
| MONTANA | 16,879 | 9,573 | 3,441 | 1,368 | 1,135 |
| NEBRASKA | 36,575 | 15,531 | 9,025 | 5,703 | 2,857 |
| NEVADA | 26,711 | 17,227 | 4,576 | 1,630 | 1,401 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 24,131 | 12,500 | 5,090 | 934 | 2,171 |
| NEW JERSEY | 185,635 | 103,238 | 47,316 | 4,709 | 13,059 |
| NEW MEXICO | 44,440 | 26,637 | 8,761 | 2,076 | 3,427 |
| NEW YORK | 363,085 | 207,457 | 48,644 | 16,890 | 45,317 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 137,013 | 58,761 | 27,001 | 26,843 | 9,630 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 11,554 | 5,625 | 3,184 | 1,260 | 774 |
| OHIO | 207,678 | 79,222 | 46,398 | 49,141 | 11,819 |
| OKLAHOMA | 68,566 | 37,210 | 14,071 | 9,950 | 2,894 |
| OREGON | 58,112 | 31,241 | 13,251 | 3,735 | 3,586 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 194,953 | 100,678 | 38,574 | 27,450 | 18,076 |
| PUERTO RICO | 41,586 | 18,534 | 4,263 | 13,458 | 890 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 24,144 | 14,532 | 4,584 | 1,091 | 2,055 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 80,269 | 34,965 | 18,732 | 16,752 | 5,378 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 12,898 | 6,413 | 3,304 | 1,488 | 517 |
| TENNESSEE | 115,272 | 57,004 | 25,180 | 15,575 | 3,336 |
| TEXAS | 428,859 | 257,852 | 66,138 | 24,433 | 34,887 |
| UTAH | 48,659 | 28,017 | 8,517 | 3,417 | 4,726 |
| VERMONT | 10,534 | 4,602 | 1,796 | 1,352 | 1,633 |
| VIRGINIA | 131,235 | 65,818 | 25,095 | 14,469 | 11,876 |
| WASHINGTON | 95,029 | 44,562 | 15,721 | 7,747 | 5,302 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 42,198 | 19,124 | 10,912 | 8,040 | 2,045 |
| WISCONSIN | 96,489 | 44,473 | 17,052 | 12,747 | 15,992 |
| WYOMING | 11,343 | 5,766 | 2,880 | 672 | 943 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 327 | 244 | 16 | 34 | 1 |
| GUAM | 1,763 | 1,326 | 164 | 119 | 9 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 272 | 165 | 12 | 28 | 3 |
| PALAU | 109 | 79 | 6 | 5 | 2 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 1,271 | 469 | 199 | 449 | 40 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 7,882 | 4,525 | 1,503 | 543 | 736 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 5,235,952 | 2,676,299 | 1,050,975 | 594,025 | 447,426 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 5,224,328 | 2,669,491 | 1,049,075 | 592,847 | 446,635 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

Table AA2
Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served Under IDEA, Part B by Disability

## During the 1996-97 School Year

| STATE | MULTIPLE DISABILITIES | HEARING <br> IMPAIRMENTS | ORTHOPEDIC IMPAIRMENTS | OTHER HEALTH IMPAIRMENTS | VISUAL <br> IMPAIRMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 1,336 | 945 | 546 | 1,880 | 403 |
| ALASKA | 459 | 222 | 69 | 382 | 50 |
| ARIZONA | 1,306 | 1,338 | 937 | 773 | 553 |
| ARKANSAS | 911 | 565 | 161 | 2,982 | 197 |
| CALIFORNIA | 5,006 | 8,866 | 10,673 | 12,771 | 3,623 |
| COLORADO | 2,789 | 1,025 | 3,433 | 0 | 312 |
| CONNECTICUT | 2,038 | 836 | 255 | 4,435 | 438 |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 118 | 569 | 0 | 60 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 20 | 28 | 122 | 113 | 27 |
| FLORIDA | 0 | 2,669 | 4,863 | 2,560 | 1,019 |
| GEORGIA | 0 | 1,332 | 800 | 5,556 | 541 |
| HAWAII | 240 | 321 | 137 | 448 | 65 |
| IDAHO | 436 | 298 | 135 | 684 | 92 |
| ILLINOIS | 0 | 3,081 | 2,620 | 3,399 | 1,176 |
| INDIANA | 830 | 1,502 | 1,057 | 1,524 | 735 |
| IOWA | 503 | 752 | 1,123 | 27 | 170 |
| KANSAS | 1,685 | 599 | 432 | 2,850 | 236 |
| KENTUCKY | 1,596 | 763 | 445 | 2,336 | 433 |
| LOUISIANA | 971 | 1,433 | 1,314 | 5,331 | 465 |
| MAINE | 1,974 | 284 | 91 | 1,232 | 88 |
| MARYLAND | 4,948 | 1,193 | 546 | 3,831 | 493 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 2,624 | 1,362 | 874 | 1,162 | 608 |
| MICHIGAN | 2,454 | 2,756 | 8,970 | 0 | 852 |
| MINNESOTA | 0 | 1,669 | 1,397 | 4,275 | 378 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 409 | 580 | 1,281 | 0 | 222 |
| MISSOURI | 731 | 1,166 | 754 | 3,236 | 443 |
| MONTANA | 151 | 225 | 69 | 662 | 82 |
| NEBRASKA | 406 | 580 | 498 | 1,456 | 226 |
| NEVADA | 443 | 301 | 239 | 621 | 93 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 350 | 272 | 166 | 2,387 | 127 |
| NEW JERSEY | 13,165 | 1,328 | 581 | 707 | 325 |
| NEW MEXICO | 964 | 498 | 437 | 1,155 | 182 |
| NEW YORK | 17,509 | 5,408 | 2,892 | 13,565 | 1,546 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 1,527 | 2,026 | 976 | 7,887 | 593 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 0 | 94 | 130 | 312 | 56 |
| OHIO | 11,847 | 2,351 | 2,296 | 3,091 | 1,017 |
| OKLAHOMA | 1,460 | 728 | 369 | 1,105 | 311 |
| OREGON | 0 | 985 | 795 | 2,525 | 389 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 1,318 | 2,790 | 1,314 | 591 | 1,288 |
| PUERTO RICO | 1,240 | 839 | 555 | 885 | 509 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 221 | 196 | 144 | 1,107 | 64 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 390 | 993 | 735 | 1,582 | 367 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 525 | 137 | 100 | 219 | 59 |
| TENNESSEE | 1,827 | 1,314 | 1,110 | 8,339 | 850 |
| TEXAS | 3,621 | 5,599 | 5,526 | 25,109 | 2,201 |
| UTAH | 1,390 | 807 | 169 | 720 | 380 |
| VERMONT | 82 | 161 | 77 | 693 | 39 |
| VIRGINIA | 4,330 | 1,289 | 801 | 5,904 | 457 |
| WASHINGTON | 3,082 | 2,148 | 979 | 14,498 | 323 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 0 | 384 | 216 | 1,011 | 190 |
| WISCONSIN | 0 | 1,314 | 1,440 | 2,146 | 396 |
| WYOMING | 0 | 171 | 137 | 599 | 51 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 18 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| GUAM | 50 | 31 | 13 | 32 | 12 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 33 | 8 | 12 | 7 | 1 |
| PALAU | 4 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 27 | 23 | 4 | 37 | 7 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 392 | 51 | 13 | 82 | 8 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 99,638 | 68,766 | 66,400 | 160,824 | 25,834 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 99,114 | 68,641 | 66,355 | 160,663 | 25,800 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

Table AA2
Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served Under IDEA, Part B by Disability

## During the 1996-97 School Year

| STATE | AUTISM | $\begin{array}{r} \text { DEAF- } \\ \text { BLINDNESS } \end{array}$ | TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 352 | 9 | 192 |
| ALASKA | 70 | 5 | 61 |
| ARIZONA | 437 | 83 | 48 |
| ARKANSAS | 287 | 18 | 117 |
| CALIFORNIA | 3,913 | 143 | 776 |
| COLORADO | 134 | 74 | 186 |
| CONNECTICUT | 537 | 52 | 55 |
| DELAWARE | 160 | 33 | 2 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 62 | 4 | 9 |
| FLORIDA | 1,682 | 32 | 189 |
| GEORGIA | 674 | 15 | 241 |
| HAWAII | 101 | 2 | 38 |
| IDAHO | 141 | 9 | 153 |
| ILLINOIS | 1,101 | 63 | 396 |
| INDIANA | 1,098 | 58 | 315 |
| IOWA | 386 | 0 | 175 |
| KANSAS | 232 | 10 | 157 |
| KENTUCKY | 309 | 10 | 147 |
| LOUISIANA | 711 | 13 | 229 |
| MAINE | 168 | 11 | 86 |
| MARYLAND | 711 | 20 | 247 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 568 | 48 | 288 |
| MICHIGAN | 2,051 | 0 | 0 |
| MINNESOTA | 870 | 21 | 199 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 218 | 18 | 73 |
| MISSOURI | 693 | 60 | 262 |
| MONTANA | 90 | 19 | 64 |
| NEBRASKA | 140 | 2 | 151 |
| NEVADA | 115 | 4 | 61 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 99 | 3 | 32 |
| NEW JERSEY | 1,100 | 37 | 70 |
| NEW MEXICO | 124 | 7 | 172 |
| NEW YORK | 2,969 | 37 | 851 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 1,464 | 24 | 281 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 52 | 45 | 22 |
| OHIO | 292 | 19 | 185 |
| OKLAHOMA | 266 | 39 | 163 |
| OREGON | 1,351 | 8 | 246 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 1,455 | 5 | 1,414 |
| PUERTO RICO | 357 | 27 | 29 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 105 | 2 | 43 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 314 | 15 | 46 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 81 | 4 | 51 |
| TENNESSEE | 507 | 6 | 224 |
| TEXAS | 2,933 | 57 | 503 |
| UTAH | 222 | 37 | 257 |
| VERMONT | 66 | 0 | 33 |
| VIRGINIA | 1,005 | 1 | 190 |
| WASHINGTON | 444 | 29 | 194 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 151 | 24 | 101 |
| WISCONSIN | 669 | 7 | 253 |
| WYOMING | 45 | 0 | 79 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| GUAM | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 6 | 7 | 3 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 9 | 4 | 16 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 34,101 | 1,286 | 10,378 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 34,082 | 1,269 | 10,356 |

[^30]Table AA3
Number of Children Ages 6-11 Served Under IDEA, Part B by Disability
During the 1996-97 School Year

| STATE | ALL | SPECIFIC LEARNING DISABILITIES | SPEECH OR LANGUAGE IMPAIRMENTS | MENTAL RETARDATION | EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 42,755 | 14,182 | 15,685 | 7,935 | 2,103 |
| ALASKA | 8,454 | 4,383 | 2,797 | 330 | 240 |
| ARIZONA | 38,281 | 18,848 | 11,959 | 2,872 | 1,837 |
| ARKANSAS | 21,765 | 6,966 | 7,258 | 4,536 | 147 |
| CALIFORNIA | 277,998 | 138,178 | 98,411 | 12,703 | 4,900 |
| COLORADO | 31,295 | 14,233 | 8,803 | 1,191 | 2,911 |
| CONNECTICUT | 35,759 | 16,522 | 10,158 | 1,674 | 2,830 |
| DELAWARE | 7,678 | 4,763 | 1,376 | 777 | 238 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 2,785 | 1,475 | 388 | 421 | 293 |
| FLORIDA | 159,119 | 59,603 | 63,361 | 15,991 | 13,571 |
| GEORGIA | 72,169 | 18,975 | 25,545 | 11,795 | 10,595 |
| HAWAII | 7,788 | 3,444 | 2,134 | 962 | 514 |
| IDAHO | 12,045 | 6,517 | 3,143 | 1,176 | 178 |
| ILLINOIS | 122,875 | 50,694 | 47,391 | 10,635 | 8,288 |
| INDIANA | 67,296 | 19,077 | 32,688 | 9,012 | 2,892 |
| IOWA | 29,422 | 12,057 | 6,983 | 6,029 | 2,908 |
| KANSAS | 25,169 | 8,302 | 9,937 | 2,257 | 1,595 |
| KENTUCKY | 38,384 | 8,472 | 17,248 | 7,401 | 1,909 |
| LOUISIANA | 38,731 | 11,886 | 14,682 | 4,973 | 1,859 |
| MAINE | 14,442 | 5,052 | 5,364 | 431 | 1,583 |
| MARYLAND | 49,564 | 17,627 | 20,628 | 2,654 | 2,177 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 69,392 | 39,648 | 16,584 | 5,431 | 4,392 |
| MICHIGAN | 88,845 | 33,214 | 32,541 | 8,579 | 5,918 |
| MINNESOTA | 45,050 | 17,450 | 13,214 | 4,120 | 5,703 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 29,681 | 9,781 | 16,466 | 2,141 | 100 |
| MISSOURI | 58,046 | 24,693 | 20,869 | 5,155 | 3,526 |
| MONTANA | 8,483 | 3,876 | 3,141 | 571 | 311 |
| NEBRASKA | 19,283 | 6,636 | 7,381 | 2,384 | 1,139 |
| NEVADA | 14,095 | 7,704 | 4,200 | 685 | 474 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 11,164 | 4,996 | 3,479 | 330 | 604 |
| NEW JERSEY | 97,590 | 41,955 | 43,018 | 1,553 | 2,431 |
| NEW MEXICO | 21,268 | 10,816 | 6,711 | 756 | 1,104 |
| NEW YORK | 168,989 | 82,419 | 41,247 | 6,015 | 15,178 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 77,797 | 28,279 | 25,522 | 12,226 | 3,951 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 5,761 | 2,070 | 2,580 | 486 | 251 |
| OHIO | 103,524 | 28,826 | 42,442 | 19,455 | 3,680 |
| OKLAHOMA | 33,785 | 13,596 | 12,943 | 3,930 | 1,046 |
| OREGON | 31,332 | 14,294 | 11,005 | 1,390 | 1,407 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 93,090 | 36,653 | 35,254 | 10,696 | 5,264 |
| PUERTO RICO | 18,817 | 7,948 | 3,769 | 4,290 | 463 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 12,130 | 6,313 | 3,826 | 432 | 573 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 46,030 | 16,543 | 17,944 | 7,077 | 2,159 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 7,264 | 2,825 | 3,093 | 584 | 201 |
| TENNESSEE | 56,344 | 21,329 | 21,569 | 5,738 | 902 |
| TEXAS | 204,341 | 99,981 | 60,777 | 9,284 | 11,358 |
| UTAH | 25,864 | 13,106 | 7,528 | 1,371 | 2,166 |
| VERMONT | 4,821 | 1,917 | 1,227 | 568 | 513 |
| VIRGINIA | 66,563 | 26,059 | 22,633 | 5,504 | 3,671 |
| WASHINGTON | 50,479 | 19,619 | 14,715 | 3,532 | 2,026 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 21,730 | 6,679 | 10,258 | 3,133 | 597 |
| WISCONSIN | 47,063 | 18,225 | 14,923 | 5,419 | 5,147 |
| WYOMING | 5,896 | 2,383 | 2,419 | 266 | 295 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 124 | 86 | 15 | 12 | 0 |
| GUAM | 793 | 534 | 155 | 31 | 3 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 127 | 70 | 10 | 12 | 2 |
| PALAU | 54 | 40 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 586 | 177 | 190 | 137 | 16 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 4,310 | 2,267 | 1,223 | 261 | 306 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 2,654,285 | 1,094,263 | 930,814 | 239,310 | 150,446 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 2,648,291 | 1,091,089 | 929,217 | 238,856 | 150,118 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

# Table AA3 <br> Number of Children Ages 6-11 Served Under IDEA, Part B by Disability <br> <br> During the 1996-97 School Year 

 <br> <br> During the 1996-97 School Year}

| STATE | MULTIPLE <br> DISABILITIES | HEARING <br> IMPAIRMENTS | ORTHOPEDIC <br> IMPAIRMENTS | OTHER HEALTH IMPAIRMENTS | VISUAL <br> IMPAIRMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 630 | 432 | 322 | 969 | 175 |
| ALASKA | 228 | 124 | 48 | 196 | 23 |
| ARIZONA | 600 | 668 | 487 | 423 | 259 |
| ARKANSAS | 467 | 271 | 78 | 1,680 | 91 |
| CALIFORNIA | 2,222 | 4,343 | 5,531 | 7,062 | 1,690 |
| COLORADO | 1,356 | 528 | 1,953 | 0 | 131 |
| CONNECTICUT | 994 | 435 | 165 | 2,362 | 208 |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 58 | 332 | 0 | 28 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 4 | 13 | 66 | 69 | 8 |
| FLORIDA | 0 | 1,273 | 2,656 | 1,033 | 461 |
| GEORGIA | 0 | 659 | 455 | 3,266 | 267 |
| HAWAII | 127 | 157 | 70 | 260 | 28 |
| IDAHO | 240 | 173 | 74 | 349 | 54 |
| ILLINOIS | 0 | 1,514 | 1,407 | 1,493 | 559 |
| INDIANA | 440 | 693 | 615 | 787 | 320 |
| IOWA | 185 | 353 | 552 | 13 | 68 |
| KANSAS | 728 | 264 | 267 | 1,523 | 108 |
| KENTUCKY | 766 | 343 | 230 | 1,520 | 194 |
| LOUISIANA | 401 | 617 | 687 | 2,899 | 212 |
| MAINE | 1,007 | 134 | 51 | 633 | 37 |
| MARYLAND | 2,488 | 601 | 323 | 2,294 | 200 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 976 | 611 | 499 | 541 | 306 |
| MICHIGAN | 1,213 | 1,374 | 4,441 | 0 | 422 |
| MINNESOTA | 0 | 857 | 753 | 2,155 | 158 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 122 | 241 | 573 | 0 | 92 |
| MISSOURI | 403 | 524 | 402 | 1,748 | 189 |
| MONTANA | 70 | 97 | 40 | 263 | 27 |
| NEBRASKA | 169 | 284 | 294 | 758 | 107 |
| NEVADA | 223 | 155 | 143 | 347 | 44 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 177 | 151 | 96 | 1,190 | 62 |
| NEW JERSEY | 6,483 | 656 | 302 | 240 | 159 |
| NEW MEXICO | 497 | 231 | 228 | 684 | 91 |
| NEW YORK | 9,197 | 2,478 | 1,815 | 7,794 | 705 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 682 | 965 | 540 | 4,330 | 280 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 0 | 46 | 79 | 169 | 29 |
| OHIO | 5,225 | 1,023 | 1,162 | 979 | 456 |
| OKLAHOMA | 714 | 343 | 215 | 582 | 149 |
| OREGON | 0 | 455 | 417 | 1,227 | 182 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 648 | 1,414 | 649 | 317 | 623 |
| PUERTO RICO | 618 | 419 | 321 | 526 | 241 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 129 | 94 | 90 | 541 | 35 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 140 | 482 | 366 | 940 | 155 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 248 | 71 | 44 | 105 | 28 |
| TENNESSEE | 759 | 577 | 564 | 4,148 | 393 |
| TEXAS | 1,471 | 2,645 | 2,973 | 12,829 | 1,003 |
| UTAH | 493 | 382 | 87 | 337 | 174 |
| VERMONT | 33 | 80 | 39 | 383 | 14 |
| VIRGINIA | 3,586 | 618 | 449 | 3,180 | 183 |
| WASHINGTON | 1,191 | 1,064 | 595 | 7,194 | 131 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 0 | 161 | 123 | 569 | 85 |
| WISCONSIN | 0 | 610 | 861 | 1,141 | 189 |
| WYOMING | 0 | 90 | 70 | 291 | 22 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| GUAM | 27 | 14 | 6 | 15 | 5 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 15 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 1 |
| PALAU | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 13 | 10 | 2 | 24 | 5 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 144 | 29 | 7 | 51 | 5 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 48,559 | 32,911 | 35,624 | 84,433 | 11,873 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 48,350 | 32,851 | 35,599 | 84,339 | 11,855 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

Table AA3
Number of Children Ages 6-11 Served Under IDEA, Part B by Disability
During the 1996-97 School Year

| STATE | AUTISM | $\begin{array}{r} \text { DEAF- } \\ \text { BLINDNESS } \end{array}$ | TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 241 | 5 | 76 |
| ALASKA | 52 | 4 | 29 |
| ARIZONA | 277 | 40 | 11 |
| ARKANSAS | 218 | 9 | 44 |
| CALIFORNIA | 2,610 | 57 | 291 |
| COLORADO | 85 | 37 | 67 |
| CONNECTICUT | 367 | 25 | 19 |
| DELAWARE | 90 | 16 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 45 | 1 | 2 |
| FLORIDA | 1,069 | 17 | 84 |
| GEORGIA | 488 | 6 | 118 |
| HAWAII | 79 | 0 | 13 |
| IDAHO | 82 | 3 | 56 |
| ILLINOIS | 684 | 27 | 183 |
| INDIANA | 640 | 20 | 112 |
| IOWA | 211 | 0 | 63 |
| KANSAS | 138 | 4 | 46 |
| KENTUCKY | 234 | 5 | 62 |
| LOUISIANA | 412 | 4 | 99 |
| MAINE | 119 | 2 | 29 |
| MARYLAND | 458 | 10 | 104 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 306 | 15 | 83 |
| MICHIGAN | 1,143 | 0 | 0 |
| MINNESOTA | 560 | 10 | 70 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 130 | 8 | 27 |
| MISSOURI | 408 | 19 | 110 |
| MONTANA | 61 | 3 | 23 |
| NEBRASKA | 82 | 0 | 49 |
| NEVADA | 86 | 1 | 33 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 69 | 1 | 9 |
| NEW JERSEY | 738 | 21 | 34 |
| NEW MEXICO | 80 | 2 | 68 |
| NEW YORK | 1,780 | 8 | 353 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 893 | 7 | 122 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 29 | 20 | 2 |
| OHIO | 207 | 10 | 59 |
| OKLAHOMA | 179 | 22 | 66 |
| OREGON | 848 | 3 | 104 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 1,004 | 2 | 566 |
| PUERTO RICO | 192 | 6 | 24 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 77 | 0 | 20 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 205 | 4 | 15 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 43 | 3 | 19 |
| TENNESSEE | 282 | 1 | 82 |
| TEXAS | 1,801 | 16 | 203 |
| UTAH | 127 | 22 | 71 |
| VERMONT | 35 | 0 | 12 |
| VIRGINIA | 609 | 1 | 70 |
| WASHINGTON | 322 | 12 | 78 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 86 | 8 | 31 |
| WISCONSIN | 443 | 4 | 101 |
| WYOMING | 27 | 0 | 33 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 5 | 5 | 2 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 6 | 0 | 11 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 21,465 | 528 | 4,059 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 21,451 | 521 | 4,045 |

[^31]Table AA4
Number of Children Ages 12-17 Served Under IDEA, Part B by Disability

## During the 1996-97 School Year

| STATE | ALL | SPECIFIC LEARNING DISABILITIES | SPEECH OR LANGUAGE IMPAIRMENTS | MENTAL <br> RETARDATION | EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 41,651 | 21,943 | 880 | 13,229 | 3,198 |
| ALASKA | 6,602 | 4,867 | 342 | 316 | 558 |
| ARIZONA | 30,122 | 21,331 | 1,080 | 2,780 | 2,726 |
| ARKANSAS | 23,285 | 13,407 | 660 | 6,783 | 270 |
| CALIFORNIA | 227,938 | 169,839 | 15,145 | 12,353 | 12,235 |
| COLORADO | 29,851 | 17,741 | 2,052 | 1,555 | 5,253 |
| CONNECTICUT | 34,124 | 19,368 | 2,141 | 1,952 | 7,013 |
| DELAWARE | 5,512 | 3,835 | 87 | 871 | 358 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 3,064 | 1,933 | 74 | 444 | 479 |
| FLORIDA | 123,914 | 73,832 | 8,699 | 16,465 | 19,294 |
| GEORGIA | 50,138 | 20,106 | 1,888 | 13,746 | 10,835 |
| HAWAII | 7,177 | 4,200 | 224 | 1,170 | 1,034 |
| IDAHO | 9,168 | 6,138 | 359 | 1,452 | 407 |
| ILLINOIS | 105,959 | 64,691 | 5,346 | 11,950 | 18,758 |
| INDIANA | 52,012 | 30,810 | 2,313 | 9,993 | 5,841 |
| IOWA | 29,521 | 16,019 | 742 | 6,281 | 5,020 |
| KANSAS | 21,575 | 12,095 | 795 | 2,802 | 3,071 |
| KENTUCKY | 28,518 | 12,494 | 1,276 | 9,231 | 3,154 |
| LOUISIANA | 39,823 | 23,180 | 1,769 | 6,537 | 3,827 |
| MAINE | 13,396 | 7,122 | 1,377 | 649 | 2,561 |
| MARYLAND | 41,453 | 24,451 | 4,897 | 2,796 | 4,505 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 67,185 | 44,929 | 5,182 | 6,909 | 6,974 |
| MICHIGAN | 76,939 | 46,000 | 3,842 | 9,489 | 10,481 |
| MINNESOTA | 41,141 | 19,863 | 1,933 | 4,611 | 10,868 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 26,904 | 19,194 | 1,264 | 4,882 | 202 |
| MISSOURI | 53,285 | 35,241 | 3,022 | 6,138 | 5,726 |
| MONTANA | 7,603 | 5,185 | 285 | 669 | 765 |
| NEBRASKA | 15,837 | 8,302 | 1,586 | 2,821 | 1,631 |
| NEVADA | 11,666 | 8,932 | 369 | 751 | 877 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 11,681 | 6,775 | 1,485 | 476 | 1,440 |
| NEW JERSEY | 78,986 | 56,059 | 4,088 | 2,224 | 9,346 |
| NEW MEXICO | 21,256 | 14,702 | 1,921 | 1,029 | 2,157 |
| NEW YORK | 170,903 | 111,322 | 7,092 | 8,032 | 27,082 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 54,498 | 28,569 | 1,448 | 12,813 | 5,434 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 5,206 | 3,230 | 569 | 616 | 491 |
| OHIO | 92,032 | 45,463 | 3,893 | 25,986 | 7,510 |
| OKLAHOMA | 31,421 | 21,414 | 1,104 | 5,303 | 1,740 |
| OREGON | 24,427 | 15,815 | 2,118 | 1,791 | 2,004 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 90,381 | 57,881 | 3,264 | 13,657 | 11,507 |
| PUERTO RICO | 19,621 | 9,787 | 460 | 7,361 | 384 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 10,680 | 7,427 | 727 | 499 | 1,237 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 31,068 | 17,087 | 766 | 8,281 | 3,067 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 5,004 | 3,297 | 205 | 709 | 293 |
| TENNESSEE | 52,697 | 32,380 | 3,393 | 8,193 | 2,269 |
| TEXAS | 201,150 | 142,852 | 5,214 | 11,508 | 21,839 |
| UTAH | 20,809 | 14,109 | 960 | 1,517 | 2,405 |
| VERMONT | 5,213 | 2,470 | 536 | 656 | 1,057 |
| VIRGINIA | 58,502 | 36,571 | 2,399 | 7,292 | 7,511 |
| WASHINGTON | 40,151 | 22,869 | 994 | 3,339 | 3,030 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 18,213 | 11,161 | 635 | 4,165 | 1,340 |
| WISCONSIN | 44,322 | 23,912 | 2,071 | 5,884 | 9,910 |
| WYOMING | 4,901 | 3,070 | 428 | 304 | 609 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 191 | 156 | 1 | 15 | 1 |
| GUAM | 827 | 683 | 8 | 66 | 4 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 123 | 77 | 2 | 15 | 1 |
| PALAU | 52 | 39 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 597 | 258 | 9 | 272 | 20 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 3,321 | 2,142 | 276 | 233 | 392 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 2,323,596 | 1,448,625 | 115,696 | 291,864 | 272,002 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 2,318,485 | 1,445,270 | 115,399 | 291,260 | 271,583 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AA4

Number of Children Ages 12-17 Served Under IDEA, Part B by Disability

## During the 1996-97 School Year

| STATE | MULTIPLE DISABILITIES | HEARING <br> IMPAIRMENTS | ORTHOPEDIC <br> IMPAIRMENTS | OTHER HEALTH IMPAIRMENTS | VISUAL <br> IMPAIRMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 551 | 430 | 197 | 838 | 200 |
| ALASKA | 176 | 91 | 19 | 166 | 24 |
| ARIZONA | 512 | 588 | 349 | 327 | 246 |
| ARKANSAS | 376 | 256 | 75 | 1,235 | 93 |
| CALIFORNIA | 2,018 | 3,972 | 4,076 | 5,247 | 1,621 |
| COLORADO | 1,119 | 428 | 1,381 | 0 | 158 |
| CONNECTICUT | 857 | 355 | 83 | 1,970 | 198 |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 54 | 209 | 0 | 31 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 12 | 12 | 42 | 34 | 13 |
| FLORIDA | 0 | 1,246 | 1,932 | 1,374 | 479 |
| GEORGIA | 0 | 588 | 295 | 2,189 | 236 |
| HAWAII | 92 | 148 | 58 | 177 | 34 |
| IDAHO | 161 | 111 | 53 | 312 | 34 |
| ILLINOIS | 0 | 1,368 | 1,033 | 1,718 | 545 |
| INDIANA | 302 | 728 | 398 | 691 | 367 |
| IOWA | 238 | 353 | 527 | 14 | 80 |
| KANSAS | 820 | 303 | 141 | 1,249 | 114 |
| KENTUCKY | 680 | 360 | 185 | 783 | 213 |
| LOUISIANA | 403 | 694 | 547 | 2,299 | 221 |
| MAINE | 832 | 127 | 36 | 548 | 46 |
| MARYLAND | 1,990 | 543 | 206 | 1,463 | 254 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 1,143 | 637 | 316 | 489 | 256 |
| MICHIGAN | 834 | 1,203 | 4,056 | 0 | 371 |
| MINNESOTA | 0 | 737 | 558 | 1,996 | 202 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 217 | 287 | 626 | 0 | 112 |
| MISSOURI | 265 | 561 | 309 | 1,408 | 220 |
| MONTANA | 63 | 110 | 25 | 373 | 52 |
| NEBRASKA | 167 | 265 | 182 | 644 | 106 |
| NEVADA | 157 | 135 | 88 | 259 | 48 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 124 | 107 | 63 | 1,107 | 58 |
| NEW JERSEY | 5,549 | 584 | 248 | 417 | 157 |
| NEW MEXICO | 393 | 236 | 189 | 430 | 78 |
| NEW YORK | 6,468 | 2,438 | 946 | 5,461 | 730 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 618 | 973 | 383 | 3,366 | 283 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 0 | 43 | 45 | 131 | 25 |
| OHIO | 4,559 | 1,143 | 959 | 1,863 | 481 |
| OKLAHOMA | 579 | 332 | 142 | 484 | 148 |
| OREGON | 0 | 457 | 316 | 1,217 | 169 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 476 | 1,217 | 503 | 254 | 573 |
| PUERTO RICO | 410 | 351 | 193 | 316 | 237 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 76 | 88 | 44 | 518 | 25 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 200 | 446 | 313 | 608 | 184 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 208 | 56 | 50 | 107 | 25 |
| TENNESSEE | 767 | 631 | 463 | 3,919 | 394 |
| TEXAS | 1,543 | 2,498 | 2,241 | 11,274 | 1,038 |
| UTAH | 583 | 390 | 72 | 356 | 176 |
| VERMONT | 36 | 74 | 31 | 287 | 21 |
| VIRGINIA | 580 | 570 | 315 | 2,589 | 248 |
| WASHINGTON | 1,442 | 964 | 341 | 6,774 | 177 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 0 | 196 | 86 | 418 | 86 |
| WISCONSIN | 0 | 631 | 508 | 933 | 169 |
| WYOMING | 0 | 76 | 58 | 278 | 27 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 8 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| GUAM | 19 | 15 | 5 | 16 | 7 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 15 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 0 |
| PALAU | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 8 | 10 | 1 | 13 | 2 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 210 | 19 | 6 | 31 | 2 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 38,858 | 31,246 | 26,528 | 70,976 | 12,098 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 38,596 | 31,191 | 26,511 | 70,910 | 12,083 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

Table AA4
Number of Children Ages 12-17 Served Under IDEA, Part B by Disability
During the 1996-97 School Year

| STATE | AUTISM | DEAFBLINDNESS | $\begin{array}{r} \text { TRAUMATIC } \\ \text { BRAIN } \\ \text { INJURY } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 86 | 2 | 97 |
| ALASKA | 17 | 1 | 25 |
| ARIZONA | 121 | 34 | 28 |
| ARKANSAS | 58 | 8 | 64 |
| CALIFORNIA | 967 | 54 | 411 |
| COLORADO | 36 | 28 | 100 |
| CONNECTICUT | 139 | 22 | 26 |
| DELAWARE | 52 | 14 | 1 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 14 | 3 | 4 |
| FLORIDA | 499 | 13 | 81 |
| GEORGIA | 154 | 3 | 98 |
| HAWAII | 18 | 2 | 20 |
| IDAHO | 50 | 5 | 86 |
| ILLINOIS | 348 | 28 | 174 |
| INDIANA | 381 | 23 | 165 |
| IOWA | 154 | 0 | 93 |
| KANSAS | 84 | 4 | 97 |
| KENTUCKY | 65 | 5 | 72 |
| LOUISIANA | 231 | 6 | 109 |
| MAINE | 41 | 8 | 49 |
| MARYLAND | 217 | 7 | 124 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 175 | 28 | 147 |
| MICHIGAN | 663 | 0 | 0 |
| MINNESOTA | 259 | 7 | 107 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 71 | 10 | 39 |
| MISSOURI | 235 | 33 | 127 |
| MONTANA | 25 | 15 | 36 |
| NEBRASKA | 51 | 2 | 80 |
| NEVADA | 24 | 2 | 24 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 22 | 2 | 22 |
| NEW JERSEY | 278 | 11 | 25 |
| NEW MEXICO | 35 | 5 | 81 |
| NEW YORK | 911 | 24 | 397 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 459 | 13 | 139 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 18 | 24 | 14 |
| OHIO | 71 | 6 | 98 |
| OKLAHOMA | 81 | 16 | 78 |
| OREGON | 424 | 4 | 112 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 376 | 2 | 671 |
| PUERTO RICO | 111 | 8 | 3 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 18 | 1 | 20 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 83 | 7 | 26 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 29 | 1 | 24 |
| TENNESSEE | 170 | 4 | 114 |
| TEXAS | 893 | 20 | 230 |
| UTAH | 71 | 11 | 159 |
| VERMONT | 28 | 0 | 17 |
| VIRGINIA | 325 | 0 | 102 |
| WASHINGTON | 109 | 15 | 97 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 55 | 12 | 59 |
| WISCONSIN | 187 | 3 | 114 |
| WYOMING | 16 | 0 | 35 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| GUAM | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 10,010 | 566 | 5,127 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 10,005 | 556 | 5,121 |

[^32]Number of Children Ages 18-21 Served Under IDEA, Part B by Disability

## During the 1996-97 School Year

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | SPECIFIC | SPEECH | OR |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

# Table AA5 <br> Number of Children Ages 18-21 Served Under IDEA, Part B by Disability During the 1996-97 School Year 

| STATE | MULTIPLE DISABILITIES | HEARING <br> IMPAIRMENTS | ORTHOPEDIC IMPAIRMENTS | OTHER HEALTH IMPAIRMENTS | VISUAL <br> IMPAIRMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 155 | 83 | 27 | 73 | 28 |
| ALASKA | 55 | 7 | 2 | 20 | 3 |
| ARIZONA | 194 | 82 | 101 | 23 | 48 |
| ARKANSAS | 68 | 38 | 8 | 67 | 13 |
| CALIFORNIA | 766 | 551 | 1,066 | 462 | 312 |
| COLORADO | 314 | 69 | 99 | 0 | 23 |
| CONNECTICUT | 187 | 46 | 7 | 103 | 32 |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 6 | 28 | 0 | 1 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 4 | 3 | 14 | 10 | 6 |
| FLORIDA | 0 | 150 | 275 | 153 | 79 |
| GEORGIA | 0 | 85 | 50 | 101 | 38 |
| HAWAII | 21 | 16 | 9 | 11 | 3 |
| IDAHO | 35 | 14 | 8 | 23 | 4 |
| ILLINOIS | 0 | 199 | 180 | 188 | 72 |
| INDIANA | 88 | 81 | 44 | 46 | 48 |
| IOWA | 80 | 46 | 44 | 0 | 22 |
| KANSAS | 137 | 32 | 24 | 78 | 14 |
| KENTUCKY | 150 | 60 | 30 | 33 | 26 |
| LOUISIANA | 167 | 122 | 80 | 133 | 32 |
| MAINE | 135 | 23 | 4 | 51 | 5 |
| MARYLAND | 470 | 49 | 17 | 74 | 39 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 505 | 114 | 59 | 132 | 46 |
| MICHIGAN | 407 | 179 | 473 | 0 | 59 |
| MINNESOTA | 0 | 75 | 86 | 124 | 18 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 70 | 52 | 82 | 0 | 18 |
| MISSOURI | 63 | 81 | 43 | 80 | 34 |
| MONTANA | 18 | 18 | 4 | 26 | 3 |
| NEBRASKA | 70 | 31 | 22 | 54 | 13 |
| NEVADA | 63 | 11 | 8 | 15 | 1 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 49 | 14 | 7 | 90 | 7 |
| NEW JERSEY | 1,133 | 88 | 31 | 50 | 9 |
| NEW MEXICO | 74 | 31 | 20 | 41 | 13 |
| NEW YORK | 1,844 | 492 | 131 | 310 | 111 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 227 | 88 | 53 | 191 | 30 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 0 | 5 | 6 | 12 | 2 |
| OHIO | 2,063 | 185 | 175 | 249 | 80 |
| OKLAHOMA | 167 | 53 | 12 | 39 | 14 |
| OREGON | 0 | 73 | 62 | 81 | 38 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 194 | 159 | 162 | 20 | 92 |
| PUERTO RICO | 212 | 69 | 41 | 43 | 31 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 16 | 14 | 10 | 48 | 4 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 50 | 65 | 56 | 34 | 28 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 69 | 10 | 6 | 7 | 6 |
| TENNESSEE | 301 | 106 | 83 | 272 | 63 |
| TEXAS | 607 | 456 | 312 | 1,006 | 160 |
| UTAH | 314 | 35 | 10 | 27 | 30 |
| VERMONT | 13 | 7 | 7 | 23 | 4 |
| VIRGINIA | 164 | 101 | 37 | 135 | 26 |
| WASHINGTON | 449 | 120 | 43 | 530 | 15 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 0 | 27 | 7 | 24 | 19 |
| WISCONSIN | 0 | 73 | 71 | 72 | 38 |
| WYOMING | 0 | 5 | 9 | 30 | 2 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 38 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 12,221 | 4,609 | 4,248 | 5,415 | 1,863 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 12,168 | 4,599 | 4,245 | 5,414 | 1,862 |

[^33]Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

Table AA5
Number of Children Ages 18-21 Served Under IDEA, Part B by Disability

> During the 1996-97 School Year

| STATE | AUTISM | DEAFBLINDNESS | $\begin{array}{r} \text { TRAUMATIC } \\ \text { BRAIN } \\ \text { INJURY } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 25 | 2 | 19 |
| ALASKA | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| ARIZONA | 39 | 9 | 9 |
| ARKANSAS | 11 | 1 | 9 |
| CALIFORNIA | 336 | 32 | 74 |
| COLORADO | 13 | 9 | 19 |
| CONNECTICUT | 31 | 5 | 10 |
| DELAWARE | 18 | 3 | 1 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| FLORIDA | 114 | 2 | 24 |
| GEORGIA | 32 | 6 | 25 |
| HAWAII | 4 | 0 | 5 |
| IDAHO | 9 | 1 | 11 |
| ILLINOIS | 69 | 8 | 39 |
| INDIANA | 77 | 15 | 38 |
| IOWA | 21 | 0 | 19 |
| KANSAS | 10 | 2 | 14 |
| KENTUCKY | 10 | 0 | 13 |
| LOUISIANA | 68 | 3 | 21 |
| MAINE | 8 | 1 | 8 |
| MARYLAND | 36 | 3 | 19 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 87 | 5 | 58 |
| MICHIGAN | 245 | 0 | 0 |
| MINNESOTA | 51 | 4 | 22 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 17 | 0 | 7 |
| MISSOURI | 50 | 8 | 25 |
| MONTANA | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| NEBRASKA | 7 | 0 | 22 |
| NEVADA | 5 | 1 | 4 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 8 | 0 | 1 |
| NEW JERSEY | 84 | 5 | 11 |
| NEW MEXICO | 9 | 0 | 23 |
| NEW YORK | 278 | 5 | 101 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 112 | 4 | 20 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 5 | 1 | 6 |
| OHIO | 14 | 3 | 28 |
| OKLAHOMA | 6 | 1 | 19 |
| OREGON | 79 | 1 | 30 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 75 | 1 | 177 |
| PUERTO RICO | 54 | 13 | 2 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 10 | 1 | 3 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 26 | 4 | 5 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 9 | 0 | 8 |
| TENNESSEE | 55 | 1 | 28 |
| TEXAS | 239 | 21 | 70 |
| UTAH | 24 | 4 | 27 |
| VERMONT | 3 | 0 | 4 |
| VIRGINIA | 71 | 0 | 18 |
| WASHINGTON | 13 | 2 | 19 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 10 | 4 | 11 |
| WISCONSIN | 39 | 0 | 38 |
| WYOMING | 2 | 0 | 11 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 2,626 | 192 | 1,192 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 2,626 | 192 | 1,190 |

[^34]
## Table AA6

Number of Children Served Under IDEA, Part B by Disability and Age


Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AA7

Number of Children Served Under IDEA, Part B by Age

## During the 1996-97 School Year



Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AA7

Number of Children Served Under IDEA, Part B by Age

## During the 1996-97 School Year

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AA7

Number of Children Served Under IDEA, Part B by Age

## During the 1996-97 School Year

| STATE | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \text { YEARS } \\ & \text { OLD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \text { YEARS } \\ & \text { OLD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \text { YEARS } \\ & \text { OLD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \text { YEARS } \\ & \text { OLD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \text { YEARS } \\ & \text { OLD } \end{aligned}$ | $20 \begin{aligned} & \text { YEARS } \\ & \text { OLD } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 7,184 | 6,564 | 5,553 | 3,435 | 1,226 | 368 |
| ALASKA | 1,049 | 966 | 729 | 440 | 154 | 59 |
| ARIZONA | 4,639 | 4,117 | 3,111 | 2,052 | 780 | 296 |
| ARKANSAS | 3,958 | 3,744 | 3,128 | 1,899 | 506 | 117 |
| CALIFORNIA | 35,692 | 31,815 | 26,804 | 14,101 | 4,326 | 2,288 |
| COLORADO | 5,007 | 4,210 | 3,308 | 2,065 | 711 | 304 |
| CONNECTICUT | 5,791 | 5,241 | 4,577 | 2,527 | 740 | 339 |
| DELAWARE | 881 | 727 | 651 | 372 | 151 | 113 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 518 | 397 | 355 | 240 | 129 | 79 |
| FLORIDA | 20,724 | 17,077 | 13,278 | 7,971 | 2,924 | 1,239 |
| GEORGIA | 8,129 | 6,521 | 4,794 | 2,898 | 1,048 | 408 |
| HAWAII | 1,150 | 1,057 | 864 | 388 | 131 | 15 |
| IDAHO | 1,479 | 1,326 | 1,008 | 571 | 140 | 53 |
| ILLINOIS | 18,616 | 16,103 | 12,514 | 7,231 | 2,098 | 1,026 |
| INDIANA | 8,732 | 7,962 | 6,659 | 4,186 | 1,240 | 312 |
| IOWA | 5,075 | 4,625 | 3,794 | 2,218 | 693 | 262 |
| KANSAS | 3,516 | 3,239 | 2,701 | 1,601 | 441 | 155 |
| KENTUCKY | 4,791 | 4,329 | 3,580 | 2,128 | 727 | 220 |
| LOUISIANA | 6,977 | 6,238 | 4,694 | 2,862 | 1,190 | 431 |
| MAINE | 2,252 | 1,990 | 1,626 | 1,128 | 338 | 57 |
| MARYLAND | 6,668 | 5,880 | 4,834 | 2,473 | 804 | 460 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 11,503 | 10,786 | 9,150 | 5,174 | 1,520 | 664 |
| MICHIGAN | 12,702 | 11,403 | 9,444 | 5,846 | 1,935 | 934 |
| MINNESOTA | 7,019 | 6,287 | 5,166 | 2,598 | 780 | 491 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 4,719 | 4,524 | 3,408 | 1,965 | 577 | 128 |
| MISSOURI | 8,940 | 7,924 | 6,194 | 3,797 | 1,161 | 415 |
| MONTANA | 1,243 | 1,073 | 968 | 606 | 146 | 33 |
| NEBRASKA | 2,619 | 2,193 | 1,709 | 1,005 | 280 | 139 |
| NEVADA | 1,898 | 1,596 | 1,222 | 672 | 164 | 73 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 2,025 | 1,833 | 1,525 | 967 | 245 | 74 |
| NEW JERSEY | 13,265 | 12,753 | 11,330 | 6,072 | 1,872 | 810 |
| NEW MEXICO | 3,474 | 3,068 | 2,285 | 1,298 | 380 | 158 |
| NEW YORK | 29,846 | 28,668 | 21,785 | 13,952 | 5,990 | 2,664 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 8,860 | 6,969 | 5,354 | 3,188 | 1,044 | 375 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 914 | 789 | 716 | 419 | 118 | 39 |
| OHIO | 15,075 | 14,631 | 12,992 | 8,012 | 2,502 | 965 |
| OKLAHOMA | 5,353 | 4,806 | 3,865 | 2,527 | 673 | 119 |
| OREGON | 3,875 | 3,416 | 2,667 | 1,509 | 494 | 275 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 15,338 | 14,642 | 12,901 | 7,926 | 2,240 | 987 |
| PUERTO RICO | 3,377 | 2,940 | 2,159 | 1,428 | 807 | 562 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 1,809 | 1,694 | 1,457 | 877 | 325 | 112 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 5,216 | 4,507 | 3,390 | 2,018 | 792 | 297 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 804 | 696 | 609 | 380 | 150 | 78 |
| TENNESSEE | 8,697 | 8,448 | 7,479 | 4,235 | 1,280 | 391 |
| TEXAS | 33,262 | 31,380 | 24,610 | 15,408 | 5,207 | 1,764 |
| UTAH | 3,364 | 3,132 | 2,480 | 1,175 | 380 | 244 |
| VERMONT | 880 | 850 | 618 | 350 | 105 | 28 |
| VIRGINIA | 9,539 | 8,691 | 7,522 | 4,178 | 1,253 | 463 |
| WASHINGTON | 6,528 | 5,744 | 4,563 | 2,833 | 1,025 | 462 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 3,228 | 2,919 | 2,483 | 1,565 | 504 | 153 |
| WISCONSIN | 7,669 | 7,121 | 6,440 | 3,595 | 988 | 397 |
| WYOMING | 844 | 694 | 606 | 389 | 120 | 37 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 41 | 33 | 22 | 7 | 2 | 2 |
| GUAM | 125 | 121 | 104 | 72 | 43 | 19 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 19 | 18 | 22 | 9 | 10 | 3 |
| PALAU | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 105 | 90 | 67 | 52 | 28 | 6 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 494 | 446 | 324 | 154 | 48 | 35 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 387,499 | 351,016 | 286,200 | 169,046 | 55,685 | 22,998 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 386,713 | 350,305 | 285,659 | 168,750 | 55,554 | 22,932 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AA7

Number of Children Served Under IDEA, Part B by Age

## During the 1996-97 School Year



[^35]
## Table AA8

Number and Change in Number of Children Served Under IDEA, Part B

ALL DISABILITIES


Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
Prior to October 1994, children and youth with disabilities were served under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, Part B, and Chapter 1 of ESEA (SOP). In October 1994, Congress passed the Improving America's Schools Act in which funding for children and youth with disabilities was consolidated under IDEA, Part B. Data reported in this table for years prior to 1994 include children served under Chapter 1 .

Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

Table AA9
Number and Change in Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served Under IDEA, Part B

| STATE | ALL DISABILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---------NUMBER SERVED----------CHANGE IN NUMBER SERVED-----IN NUMBER SERVED---- |  |  |  |  |  | IANGE |
|  |  |  |  | 1987-88 | 1995-96 | 1987-88 | 1995-96 |
|  | 1987-88 | 1995-96 | 1996-97 | 1996-97 | 1996-97 | 1996-97 | 1996-97 |
| ALABAMA | 88,136 | 89,672 | 89,504 | 1,368 | -168 | 1.55 | -0.19 |
| ALASKA | 10,927 | 15,589 | 15,744 | 4,817 | 155 | 44.08 | 0.99 |
| ARIZONA | 50,499 | 68,209 | 71,742 | 21,243 | 3,533 | 42.07 | 5.18 |
| ARKANSAS | 43,293 | 46,360 | 47,572 | 4,279 | 1,212 | 9.88 | 2.61 |
| CALIFORNIA | 380,796 | 510,875 | 528,273 | 147,477 | 17,398 | 38.73 | 3.41 |
| COLORADO | 48,153 | 62,573 | 64,275 | 16,122 | 1,702 | 33.48 | 2.72 |
| CONNECTICUT | 58,957 | 68,785 | 73,578 | 14,621 | 4,793 | 24.80 | 6.97 |
| DELAWARE | 13,042 | 13,719 | 13,843 | 801 | 124 | 6.14 | 0.90 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 6,571 | 6,671 | 6,332 | -239 | -339 | -3.64 | -5.08 |
| FLORIDA | 180,731 | 283,104 | 295,762 | 115,031 | 12,658 | 63.65 | 4.47 |
| GEORGIA | 86,956 | 121,728 | 126,856 | 39,900 | 5,128 | 45.89 | 4.21 |
| HAWAII | 11,195 | 14,723 | 15,499 | 4,304 | 776 | 38.45 | 5.27 |
| IDAHO | 18,079 | 20,735 | 21,984 | 3,905 | 1,249 | 21.60 | 6.02 |
| ILLINOIS | 218,194 | 231,995 | 239,415 | 21,221 | 7,420 | 9.73 | 3.20 |
| INDIANA | 98,993 | 121,701 | 125,213 | 26,220 | 3,512 | 26.49 | 2.89 |
| IOWA | 51,323 | 60,114 | 62,161 | 10,838 | 2,047 | 21.12 | 3.41 |
| KANSAS | 39,157 | 47,467 | 48,985 | 9,828 | 1,518 | 25.10 | 3.20 |
| KENTUCKY | 68,152 | 68,204 | 70,032 | 1,880 | 1,828 | 2.76 | 2.68 |
| LOUISIANA | 62,355 | 81,471 | 83,277 | 20,922 | 1,806 | 33.55 | 2.22 |
| MAINE | 25,298 | 28,317 | 29,362 | 4,064 | 1,045 | 16.06 | 3.69 |
| MARYLAND | 83,693 | 91,377 | 94,840 | 11,147 | 3,463 | 13.32 | 3.79 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 131,729 | 142,955 | 144,488 | 12,759 | 1,533 | 9.69 | 1.07 |
| MICHIGAN | 147,108 | 170,527 | 175,219 | 28,111 | 4,692 | 19.11 | 2.75 |
| MINNESOTA | 73,891 | 87,489 | 90,353 | 16,462 | 2,864 | 22.28 | 3.27 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 53,491 | 60,197 | 59,280 | 5,789 | -917 | 10.82 | -1.52 |
| MISSOURI | 94,792 | 113,007 | 116,892 | 22,100 | 3,885 | 23.31 | 3.44 |
| MONTANA | 13,547 | 16,598 | 16,879 | 3,332 | 281 | 24.60 | 1.69 |
| NEBRASKA | 27,775 | 35,897 | 36,575 | 8,800 | 678 | 31.68 | 1.89 |
| NEVADA | 13,702 | 25,036 | 26,711 | 13,009 | 1,675 | 94.94 | 6.69 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 15,571 | 22,985 | 24,131 | 8,560 | 1,146 | 54.97 | 4.99 |
| NEW JERSEY | 157,332 | 180,423 | 185,635 | 28,303 | 5,212 | 17.99 | 2.89 |
| NEW MEXICO | 29,950 | 43,015 | 44,440 | 14,490 | 1,425 | 48.38 | 3.31 |
| NEW YORK | 266,216 | 346,132 | 363,085 | 96,869 | 16,953 | 36.39 | 4.90 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 102,619 | 130,407 | 137,013 | 34,394 | 6,606 | 33.52 | 5.07 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 11,066 | 11,147 | 11,554 | 488 | 407 | 4.41 | 3.65 |
| OHIO | 190,312 | 209,325 | 207,678 | 17,366 | -1,647 | 9.13 | -0.79 |
| OKLAHOMA | 58,378 | 66,416 | 68,566 | 10,188 | 2,150 | 17.45 | 3.24 |
| OREGON | 45,342 | 58,925 | 58,112 | 12,770 | -813 | 28.16 | -1.38 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 190,023 | 191,031 | 194,953 | 4,930 | 3,922 | 2.59 | 2.05 |
| PUERTO RICO | 34,760 | 38,892 | 41,586 | 6,826 | 2,694 | 19.64 | 6.93 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 17,986 | 22,739 | 24,144 | 6,158 | 1,405 | 34.24 | 6.18 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 67,993 | 76,203 | 80,269 | 12,276 | 4,066 | 18.05 | 5.34 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 12,524 | 13,336 | 12,898 | 374 | -438 | 2.99 | -3.28 |
| TENNESSEE | 91,643 | 116,310 | 115,272 | 23,629 | -1,038 | 25.78 | -0.89 |
| TEXAS | 285,775 | 409,286 | 428,859 | 143,084 | 19,573 | 50.07 | 4.78 |
| UTAH | 41,591 | 47,602 | 48,659 | 7,068 | 1,057 | 16.99 | 2.22 |
| VERMONT | 10,940 | 10,031 | 10,534 | -406 | 503 | -3.71 | 5.01 |
| VIRGINIA | 96,444 | 128,475 | 131,235 | 34,791 | 2,760 | 36.07 | 2.15 |
| WASHINGTON | 64,469 | 94,325 | 95,029 | 30,560 | 704 | 47.40 | 0.75 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 42,783 | 41,645 | 42,198 | -585 | 553 | -1.37 | 1.33 |
| WISCONSIN | 67,054 | 92,868 | 96,489 | 29,435 | 3,621 | 43.90 | 3.90 |
| WYOMING | 9,384 | 10,993 | 11,343 | 1,959 | 350 | 20.88 | 3.18 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 220 | 307 | 327 | 107 | 20 | 48.64 | 6.51 |
| GUAM | 1,680 | 1,679 | 1,763 | 83 | 84 | 4.94 | 5.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 631 | 251 | 272 | -359 | 21 | -56.89 | 8.37 |
| PALAU | 0 | 110 | 109 | 109 | -1 | 100.00 | -0.91 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 1,326 | 1,573 | 1,271 | -55 | -302 | -4.15 | -19.20 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 5,667 | 7,425 | 7,882 | 2,215 | 457 | 39.09 | 6.15 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 4,120,214 | 5,078,951 | 5,235,952 | 1,115,738 | 157,001 | 27.08 | 3.09 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 4,110,690 | 5,067,606 | 5,224,328 | 1,113,638 | 156,722 | 27.09 | 3.09 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

Table AA9
Number and Change in Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served Under IDEA, Part B
SPECIFIC LEARNING DISABILITIES


Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

Table AA9
Number and Change in Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served Under IDEA, Part B


Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

Table AA9
Number and Change in Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served Under IDEA, Part B
MENTAL RETARDATION


Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

Table AA9
Number and Change in Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served Under IDEA, Part B

| STATE | EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---------NUMBER SERVED----------CHANGE IN NUMBER SERVED-----IN NUMBER SERVED---- |  |  |  |  |  | ANGE |
|  |  |  |  | 1987-88 | 1995-96 | 1987-88 | 1995-96 |
|  | 1987-88 | 1995-96 | 1996-97 | 1996-97 | 1996-97 | 1996-97 | 1996-97 |
| ALABAMA | 6,180 | 5,468 | 5,510 | -670 | 42 | -10.84 | 0.77 |
| ALASKA | 482 | 748 | 834 | 352 | 86 | 73.03 | 11.50 |
| ARIZONA | 3,521 | 4,578 | 4,786 | 1,265 | 208 | 35.93 | 4.54 |
| ARKANSAS | 415 | 427 | 425 | 10 | -2 | 2.41 | -0.47 |
| CALIFORNIA | 10,891 | 18,020 | 18,614 | 7,723 | 594 | 70.91 | 3.30 |
| COLORADO | 8,920 | 8,477 | 8,624 | -296 | 147 | -3.32 | 1.73 |
| CONNECTICUT | 12,219 | 11,167 | 10,750 | -1,469 | -417 | -12.02 | -3.73 |
| DELAWARE | 2,254 | 717 | 726 | -1,528 | 9 | -67.79 | 1.26 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 781 | 800 | 861 | 80 | 61 | 10.24 | 7.63 |
| FLORIDA | 20,883 | 33,882 | 34,788 | 13,905 | 906 | 66.59 | 2.67 |
| GEORGIA | 16,652 | 22,245 | 21,998 | 5,346 | -247 | 32.10 | -1.11 |
| HAWAII | 655 | 1,443 | 1,629 | 974 | 186 | 148.70 | 12.89 |
| IDAHO | 517 | 561 | 597 | 80 | 36 | 15.47 | 6.42 |
| ILLINOIS | 26,178 | 27,924 | 28,820 | 2,642 | 896 | 10.09 | 3.21 |
| INDIANA | 4,224 | 8,557 | 9,155 | 4,931 | 598 | 116.74 | 6.99 |
| IOWA | 6,205 | 8,033 | 8,348 | 2,143 | 315 | 34.54 | 3.92 |
| KANSAS | 4,257 | 4,838 | 4,909 | 652 | 71 | 15.32 | 1.47 |
| KENTUCKY | 2,871 | 4,737 | 5,195 | 2,324 | 458 | 80.95 | 9.67 |
| LOUISIANA | 3,794 | 5,965 | 5,924 | 2,130 | -41 | 56.14 | -0.69 |
| MAINE | 4,164 | 4,351 | 4,414 | 250 | 63 | 6.00 | 1.45 |
| MARYLAND | 3,979 | 6,675 | 7,111 | 3,132 | 436 | 78.71 | 6.53 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 18,625 | 12,244 | 12,389 | -6,236 | 145 | -33.48 | 1.18 |
| MICHIGAN | 20,710 | 17,022 | 17,240 | -3,470 | 218 | -16.76 | 1.28 |
| MINNESOTA | 10,306 | 16,761 | 17,332 | 7,026 | 571 | 68.17 | 3.41 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 247 | 297 | 315 | 68 | 18 | 27.53 | 6.06 |
| MISSOURI | 7,892 | 9,530 | 9,615 | 1,723 | 85 | 21.83 | 0.89 |
| MONTANA | 610 | 1,126 | 1,135 | 525 | 9 | 86.07 | 0.80 |
| NEBRASKA | 2,365 | 2,825 | 2,857 | 492 | 32 | 20.80 | 1.13 |
| NEVADA | 896 | 1,368 | 1,401 | 505 | 33 | 56.36 | 2.41 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 1,531 | 2,050 | 2,171 | 640 | 121 | 41.80 | 5.90 |
| NEW JERSEY | 14,200 | 13,576 | 13,059 | -1,141 | -517 | -8.04 | -3.81 |
| NEW MEXICO | 3,014 | 3,343 | 3,427 | 413 | 84 | 13.70 | 2.51 |
| NEW YORK | 44,637 | 44,563 | 45,317 | 680 | 754 | 1.52 | 1.69 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 8,354 | 9,554 | 9,630 | 1,276 | 76 | 15.27 | 0.80 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 457 | 687 | 774 | 317 | 87 | 69.37 | 12.66 |
| OHIO | 7,454 | 11,681 | 11,819 | 4,365 | 138 | 58.56 | 1.18 |
| OKLAHOMA | 1,334 | 2,568 | 2,894 | 1,560 | 326 | 116.94 | 12.69 |
| OREGON | 2,543 | 3,592 | 3,586 | 1,043 | -6 | 41.01 | -0.17 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 17,534 | 17,729 | 18,076 | 542 | 347 | 3.09 | 1.96 |
| PUERTO RICO | 1,092 | 883 | 890 | -202 | 7 | -18.50 | 0.79 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 1,367 | 1,933 | 2,055 | 688 | 122 | 50.33 | 6.31 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 6,220 | 5,121 | 5,378 | -842 | 257 | -13.54 | 5.02 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 585 | 606 | 517 | -68 | -89 | -11.62 | -14.69 |
| TENNESSEE | 2,297 | 3,526 | 3,336 | 1,039 | -190 | 45.23 | -5.39 |
| TEXAS | 22,655 | 33,887 | 34,887 | 12,232 | 1,000 | 53.99 | 2.95 |
| UTAH | 10,134 | 4,849 | 4,726 | -5,408 | -123 | -53.36 | -2.54 |
| VERMONT | 655 | 1,486 | 1,633 | 978 | 147 | 149.31 | 9.89 |
| VIRGINIA | 7,536 | 11,793 | 11,876 | 4,340 | 83 | 57.59 | 0.70 |
| WASHINGTON | 4,084 | 5,508 | 5,302 | 1,218 | -206 | 29.82 | -3.74 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 2,466 | 1,987 | 2,045 | -421 | 58 | -17.07 | 2.92 |
| WISCONSIN | 9,706 | 15,921 | 15,992 | 6,286 | 71 | 64.76 | 0.45 |
| WYOMING | 500 | 896 | 943 | 443 | 47 | 88.60 | 5.25 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100.00 | 0.00 |
| GUAM | 42 | 10 | 9 | -33 | -1 | -78.57 | -10.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 50.00 | 50.00 |
| PALAU | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 76 | 53 | 40 | -36 | -13 | -47.37 | -24.53 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 212 | 573 | 736 | 524 | 163 | 247.17 | 28.45 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 372,380 | 439,165 | 447,426 | 75,046 | 8,261 | 20.15 | 1.88 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 372,048 | 438,525 | 446,635 | 74,587 | 8,110 | 20.05 | 1.85 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

Table AA9
Number and Change in Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served Under IDEA, Part B


Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

Table AA9
Number and Change in Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served Under IDEA, Part B

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

Table AA9
Number and Change in Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served Under IDEA, Part B

| STATE | ORTHOPEDIC IMPAIRMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1987-88 | 1995-96 | 1987-88 | 1995-96 |
|  | 1987-88 | 1995-96 | 1996-97 | 1996-97 | 1996-97 | 1996-97 | 1996-97 |
| ALABAMA | 481 | 537 | 546 | 65 | 9 | 13.51 | 1.68 |
| ALASKA | 111 | 80 | 69 | -42 | -11 | -37.84 | -13.75 |
| ARIZONA | 509 | 748 | 937 | 428 | 189 | 84.09 | 25.27 |
| ARKANSAS | 141 | 152 | 161 | 20 | 9 | 14.18 | 5.92 |
| CALIFORNIA | 6,273 | 10,253 | 10,673 | 4,400 | 420 | 70.14 | 4.10 |
| COLORADO | 740 | 2,936 | 3,433 | 2,693 | 497 | 363.92 | 16.93 |
| CONNECTICUT | 234 | 225 | 255 | 21 | 30 | 8.97 | 13.33 |
| DELAWARE | 228 | 496 | 569 | 341 | 73 | 149.56 | 14.72 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 71 | 86 | 122 | 51 | 36 | 71.83 | 41.86 |
| FLORIDA | 1,932 | 4,614 | 4,863 | 2,931 | 249 | 151.71 | 5.40 |
| GEORGIA | 695 | 805 | 800 | 105 | -5 | 15.11 | -0.62 |
| HAWAII | 299 | 148 | 137 | -162 | -11 | -54.18 | -7.43 |
| IDAHO | 329 | 133 | 135 | -194 | 2 | -58.97 | 1.50 |
| ILLINOIS | 3,247 | 2,541 | 2,620 | -627 | 79 | -19.31 | 3.11 |
| INDIANA | 604 | 979 | 1,057 | 453 | 78 | 75.00 | 7.97 |
| IOWA | 927 | 1,076 | 1,123 | 196 | 47 | 21.14 | 4.37 |
| KANSAS | 387 | 504 | 432 | 45 | -72 | 11.63 | -14.29 |
| KENTUCKY | 421 | 426 | 445 | 24 | 19 | 5.70 | 4.46 |
| LOUISIANA | 833 | 1,289 | 1,314 | 481 | 25 | 57.74 | 1.94 |
| MAINE | 324 | 97 | 91 | -233 | -6 | -71.91 | -6.19 |
| MARYLAND | 558 | 518 | 546 | -12 | 28 | -2.15 | 5.41 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 1,125 | 867 | 874 | -251 | 7 | -22.31 | 0.81 |
| MICHIGAN | 3,491 | 8,000 | 8,970 | 5,479 | 970 | 156.95 | 12.13 |
| MINNESOTA | 1,094 | 1,379 | 1,397 | 303 | 18 | 27.70 | 1.31 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 632 | 1,216 | 1,281 | 649 | 65 | 102.69 | 5.35 |
| MISSOURI | 776 | 700 | 754 | -22 | 54 | -2.84 | 7.71 |
| MONTANA | 124 | 64 | 69 | -55 | 5 | -44.35 | 7.81 |
| NEBRASKA | 642 | 502 | 498 | -144 | -4 | -22.43 | -0.80 |
| NEVADA | 119 | 215 | 239 | 120 | 24 | 100.84 | 11.16 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 135 | 161 | 166 | 31 | 5 | 22.96 | 3.11 |
| NEW JERSEY | 674 | 639 | 581 | -93 | -58 | -13.80 | -9.08 |
| NEW MEXICO | 460 | 441 | 437 | -23 | -4 | -5.00 | -0.91 |
| NEW YORK | 1,968 | 2,617 | 2,892 | 924 | 275 | 46.95 | 10.51 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 864 | 982 | 976 | 112 | -6 | 12.96 | -0.61 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 96 | 124 | 130 | 34 | 6 | 35.42 | 4.84 |
| OHIO | 3,607 | 2,274 | 2,296 | -1,311 | 22 | -36.35 | 0.97 |
| OKLAHOMA | 271 | 373 | 369 | 98 | -4 | 36.16 | -1.07 |
| OREGON | 1,079 | 1,071 | 795 | -284 | -276 | -26.32 | -25.77 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 1,568 | 1,236 | 1,314 | -254 | 78 | -16.20 | 6.31 |
| PUERTO RICO | 552 | 549 | 555 | 3 | 6 | 0.54 | 1.09 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 158 | 149 | 144 | -14 | -5 | -8.86 | -3.36 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 704 | 763 | 735 | 31 | -28 | 4.40 | -3.67 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 169 | 112 | 100 | -69 | -12 | -40.83 | -10.71 |
| TENNESSEE | 885 | 1,163 | 1,110 | 225 | -53 | 25.42 | -4.56 |
| TEXAS | 3,494 | 5,004 | 5,526 | 2,032 | 522 | 58.16 | 10.43 |
| UTAH | 239 | 185 | 169 | -70 | -16 | -29.29 | -8.65 |
| VERMONT | 103 | 77 | 77 | -26 | 0 | -25.24 | 0.00 |
| VIRGINIA | 620 | 772 | 801 | 181 | 29 | 29.19 | 3.76 |
| WASHINGTON | 888 | 1,050 | 979 | 91 | -71 | 10.25 | -6.76 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 396 | 219 | 216 | -180 | -3 | -45.45 | -1.37 |
| WISCONSIN | 416 | 1,397 | 1,440 | 1,024 | 43 | 246.15 | 3.08 |
| WYOMING | 144 | 152 | 137 | -7 | -15 | -4.86 | -9.87 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 1 | 1 | 0 | -1 | -1 | -100.00 | -100.00 |
| GUAM | 24 | 20 | 13 | -11 | -7 | -45.83 | -35.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 79 | 6 | 12 | -67 | 6 | -84.81 | 100.00 |
| PALAU | 0 | 4 | 3 | 3 | -1 | 100.00 | -25.00 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 4 | 11 | 4 | 0 | -7 | 0.00 | -63.64 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 21 | 18 | 13 | -8 | -5 | -38.10 | -27.78 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 46,966 | 63,156 | 66,400 | 19,434 | 3,244 | 41.38 | 5.14 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 46,837 | 63,096 | 66,355 | 19,518 | 3,259 | 41.67 | 5.17 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

Table AA9
Number and Change in Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served Under IDEA, Part B
OTHER HEALTH IMPAIRMENTS


Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

Table AA9
Number and Change in Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served Under IDEA, Part B

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | VISUAL | IMPAIRMENTS |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

Table AA9
Number and Change in Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served Under IDEA, Part B


Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

Table AA9
Number and Change in Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served Under IDEA, Part B

| STATE | DEAF-BLINDNESS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ------NUMBER SERVED---------CHANGE IN NUMBER SERVED-----IN NUMBER SERVED---- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1987-88 | 1995-96 | 1987-88 | 1995-96 |
|  | 1987-88 | 1995-96 | 1996-97 | 1996-97 | 1996-97 | 1996-97 | 1996-97 |
| ALABAMA | 29 | 8 | 9 | -20 | 1 | -68.97 | 12.50 |
| ALASKA | 0 | 9 | 5 | 5 | -4 | 100.00 | -44.44 |
| ARIZONA | 0 | 68 | 83 | 83 | 15 | 100.00 | 22.06 |
| ARKANSAS | 5 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 1 | 260.00 | 5.88 |
| CALIFORNIA | 155 | 166 | 143 | -12 | -23 | -7.74 | -13.86 |
| COLORADO | 79 | 66 | 74 | -5 | 8 | -6.33 | 12.12 |
| CONNECTICUT | 27 | 24 | 52 | 25 | 28 | 92.59 | 116.67 |
| DELAWARE | 28 | 32 | 33 | 5 | 1 | 17.86 | 3.13 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 13 | 12 | 4 | -9 | -8 | -69.23 | -66.67 |
| FLORIDA | 32 | 30 | 32 | 0 | 2 | 0.00 | 6.67 |
| GEORGIA | 35 | 9 | 15 | -20 | 6 | -57.14 | 66.67 |
| HAWAII | 8 | 3 | 2 | -6 | -1 | -75.00 | -33.33 |
| IDAHO | 0 | 10 | 9 | 9 | -1 | 100.00 | -10.00 |
| ILLINOIS | 54 | 63 | 63 | 9 | 0 | 16.67 | 0.00 |
| INDIANA | 37 | 63 | 58 | 21 | -5 | 56.76 | -7.94 |
| IOWA | 40 | 40 | 0 | -40 | -40 | -100.00 | -100.00 |
| KANSAS | 47 | 19 | 10 | -37 | -9 | -78.72 | -47.37 |
| KENTUCKY | 24 | 9 | 10 | -14 | 1 | -58.33 | 11.11 |
| LOUISIANA | 12 | 14 | 13 | 1 | -1 | 8.33 | -7.14 |
| MAINE | 7 | 8 | 11 | 4 | 3 | 57.14 | 37.50 |
| MARYLAND | 63 | 26 | 20 | -43 | -6 | -68.25 | -23.08 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 63 | 49 | 48 | -15 | -1 | -23.81 | -2.04 |
| MICHIGAN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MINNESOTA | 25 | 21 | 21 | -4 | 0 | -16.00 | 0.00 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 12 | 15 | 18 | 6 | 3 | 50.00 | 20.00 |
| MISSOURI | 63 | 72 | 60 | -3 | -12 | -4.76 | -16.67 |
| MONTANA | 11 | 31 | 19 | 8 | -12 | 72.73 | -38.71 |
| NEBRASKA | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | -1 | 100.00 | -33.33 |
| NEVADA | 5 | 2 | 4 | -1 | 2 | -20.00 | 100.00 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 8 | 5 | 3 | -5 | -2 | -62.50 | -40.00 |
| NEW JERSEY | 39 | 41 | 37 | -2 | -4 | -5.13 | -9.76 |
| NEW MEXICO | 26 | 5 | 7 | -19 | 2 | -73.08 | 40.00 |
| NEW YORK | 57 | 38 | 37 | -20 | -1 | -35.09 | -2.63 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 16 | 17 | 24 | 8 | 7 | 50.00 | 41.18 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 16 | 45 | 45 | 29 | 0 | 181.25 | 0.00 |
| OHIO | 5 | 16 | 19 | 14 | 3 | 280.00 | 18.75 |
| OKLAHOMA | 31 | 28 | 39 | 8 | 11 | 25.81 | 39.29 |
| OREGON | 18 | 24 | 8 | -10 | -16 | -55.56 | -66.67 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 5 | 8 | 5 | 0 | -3 | 0.00 | -37.50 |
| PUERTO RICO | 100 | 33 | 27 | -73 | -6 | -73.00 | -18.18 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 4 | 4 | 2 | -2 | -2 | -50.00 | -50.00 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 8 | 18 | 15 | 7 | -3 | 87.50 | -16.67 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 25 | 4 | 4 | -21 | 0 | -84.00 | 0.00 |
| TENNESSEE | 21 | 17 | 6 | -15 | -11 | -71.43 | -64.71 |
| TEXAS | 67 | 56 | 57 | -10 | 1 | -14.93 | 1.79 |
| UTAH | 27 | 69 | 37 | 10 | -32 | 37.04 | -46.38 |
| VERMONT | 11 | 1 | 0 | -11 | -1 | -100.00 | -100.00 |
| VIRGINIA | 7 | 0 | 1 | -6 | 1 | -85.71 | 100.00 |
| WASHINGTON | 30 | 24 | 29 | -1 | 5 | -3.33 | 20.83 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 17 | 24 | 24 | 7 | 0 | 41.18 | 0.00 |
| WISCONSIN | 11 | 10 | 7 | -4 | -3 | -36.36 | -30.00 |
| WYOMING | 3 | 0 | 0 | -3 | 0 | -100.00 | 0.00 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 2 | 3 | 1 | -1 | -2 | -50.00 | -66.67 |
| GUAM | 6 | 1 | 1 | -5 | 0 | -83.33 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 14 | 1 | 2 | -12 | 1 | -85.71 | 100.00 |
| PALAU | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100.00 | 0.00 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 6 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 16.67 | 133.33 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 100.00 | 300.00 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 1,454 | 1,387 | 1,286 | -168 | -101 | -11.55 | -7.28 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 1,426 | 1,376 | 1,269 | -157 | -107 | -11.01 | -7.78 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AA9

Number and Change in Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served Under IDEA, Part B

| STATE | TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ------NUMBER SERVED---------CHANGE IN NUMBER SERVED-----IN NUMBER SERVED---- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1987-88 | 1995-96 | 1987-88 | 1995-96 |
|  | 1987-88 | 1995-96 | 1996-97 | 1996-97 | 1996-97 | 1996-97 | 1996-97 |
| ALABAMA | - | 182 | 192 | - | 10 | - | 5.49 |
| ALASKA |  | 52 | 61 | . | 9 |  | 17.31 |
| ARIZONA |  | 37 | 48 | . | 11 |  | 29.73 |
| ARKANSAS |  | 101 | 117 | - | 16 |  | 15.84 |
| CALIFORNIA |  | 659 | 776 | - | 117 |  | 17.75 |
| COLORADO |  | 146 | 186 | - | 40 | - | 27.40 |
| CONNECTICUT | - | 59 | 55 | - | -4 | - | -6.78 |
| DELAWARE |  | 0 | 2 | . | 2 | . | 100.00 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | - | 6 | 9 | - | 3 | - | 50.00 |
| FLORIDA | - | 153 | 189 | . | 36 | . | 23.53 |
| GEORGIA |  | 192 | 241 | . | 49 | . | 25.52 |
| HAWAII | - | 31 | 38 | . | 7 | . | 22.58 |
| IDAHO |  | 111 | 153 | - | 42 | - | 37.84 |
| ILLINOIS | - | 383 | 396 | - | 13 | - | 3.39 |
| INDIANA |  | 299 | 315 | - | 16 | - | 5.35 |
| IOWA | - | 152 | 175 | - | 23 | - | 15.13 |
| KANSAS | . | 273 | 157 | . | -116 | . | -42.49 |
| KENTUCKY |  | 131 | 147 | . | 16 | - | 12.21 |
| LOUISIANA | - | 185 | 229 | . | 44 | - | 23.78 |
| MAINE |  | 72 | 86 | . | 14 | . | 19.44 |
| MARYLAND | - | 192 | 247 | - | 55 | - | 28.65 |
| MASSACHUSETTS |  | 286 | 288 | . | 2 | - | 0.70 |
| MICHIGAN | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0.00 |
| MINNESOTA | . | 155 | 199 | . | 44 | . | 28.39 |
| MISSISSIPPI |  | 55 | 73 | . | 18 | . | 32.73 |
| MISSOURI | - | 240 | 262 | . | 22 | - | 9.17 |
| MONTANA | - | 57 | 64 | - | 7 | - | 12.28 |
| NEBRASKA | . | 123 | 151 | . | 28 | - | 22.76 |
| NEVADA | . | 41 | 61 | . | 20 | . | 48.78 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | - | 21 | 32 | . | 11 | - | 52.38 |
| NEW JERSEY | . | 40 | 70 | . | 30 | . | 75.00 |
| NEW MEXICO |  | 154 | 172 | . | 18 | . | 11.69 |
| NEW YORK | . | 652 | 851 | . | 199 | - | 30.52 |
| NORTH CAROLINA |  | 235 | 281 | . | 46 | - | 19.57 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | - | 21 | 22 | . | 1 | . | 4.76 |
| OHIO |  | 129 | 185 | . | 56 | . | 43.41 |
| OKLAHOMA | - | 125 | 163 | - | 38 | . | 30.40 |
| OREGON | - | 234 | 246 | - | 12 | . | 5.13 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | - | 1,383 | 1,414 | - | 31 | . | 2.24 |
| PUERTO RICO | . | 28 | 29 | . | 1 | . | 3.57 |
| RHODE ISLAND |  | 42 | 43 | . | 1 | . | 2.38 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | . | 41 | 46 | . | 5 | . | 12.20 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | - | 40 | 51 | - | 11 | . | 27.50 |
| TENNESSEE | . | 193 | 224 | - | 31 | . | 16.06 |
| TEXAS | - | 363 | 503 | - | 140 | . | 38.57 |
| UTAH | - | 791 | 257 | - | -534 | . | -67.51 |
| VERMONT | . | 29 | 33 | . | 4 | . | 13.79 |
| VIRGINIA | - | 181 | 190 | . | 9 | . | 4.97 |
| WASHINGTON | . | 131 | 194 | . | 63 | - | 48.09 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | - | 80 | 101 | - | 21 | . | 26.25 |
| WISCONSIN | . | 199 | 253 | . | 54 | - | 27.14 |
| WYOMING | - | 70 | 79 | - | 9 | . | 12.86 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | . | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0.00 |
| GUAM | . | 3 | 3 | . | 0 | . | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | . | 0.00 |
| PALAU | . | 0 | 0 | . | 0 | . | 0.00 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | . | 1 | 3 | - | 2 | . | 200.00 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | . | 20 | 16 | . | -4 | . | -20.00 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | - | 9,579 | 10,378 | - | 799 | - | 8.34 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | - | 9,555 | 10,356 | - | 801 | - | 8.38 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AA10

## Percentage (Based on Estimated Resident Population) of Children Served Under IDEA, Part B by Age Group, During the 1996-97 School Year

| STATE | ALL DISABILITIES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3-5 | 6-17 | $\begin{gathered} \text { GROUP-- } \\ 18-21 \end{gathered}$ | 3-17 | 3-21 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALABAMA | 4.51 | 11.73 | 2.02 | 10.28 | 8.47 |
| ALASKA | 5.88 | 12.14 | 1.73 | 10.88 | 9.01 |
| ARIZONA | 3.81 | 9.26 | 1.34 | 8.08 | 6.67 |
| ARKANSAS | 7.34 | 10.06 | 1.72 | 9.53 | 7.90 |
| CALIFORNIA | 3.26 | 9.12 | 1.31 | 7.74 | 6.52 |
| COLORADO | 4.37 | 9.10 | 1.49 | 8.17 | 6.83 |
| CONNECTICUT | 5.72 | 13.24 | 2.47 | 11.68 | 9.99 |
| DELAWARE | 5.97 | 11.39 | 1.76 | 10.25 | 8.53 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 1.53 | 8.66 | 2.11 | 6.87 | 5.91 |
| FLORIDA | 4.52 | 12.51 | 1.91 | 10.84 | 9.15 |
| GEORGIA | 4.25 | 9.50 | 1.09 | 8.41 | 6.92 |
| HAWAII | 2.53 | 7.63 | 0.78 | 6.48 | 5.27 |
| IDAHO | 5.82 | 8.84 | 0.95 | 8.28 | 6.70 |
| ILLINOIS | 5.02 | 11.14 | 1.66 | 9.83 | 8.23 |
| INDIANA | 5.23 | 11.87 | 1.76 | 10.55 | 8.70 |
| IOWA | 5.22 | 11.80 | 1.96 | 10.59 | 8.77 |
| KANSAS | 5.82 | 9.94 | 1.51 | 9.16 | 7.61 |
| KENTUCKY | 9.46 | 10.19 | 1.34 | 10.05 | 8.10 |
| LOUISIANA | 4.68 | 9.38 | 1.71 | 8.46 | 7.05 |
| MAINE | 7.94 | 13.12 | 2.34 | 12.19 | 10.21 |
| MARYLAND | 4.33 | 10.72 | 1.57 | 9.38 | 7.93 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 5.78 | 14.44 | 2.78 | 12.62 | 10.73 |
| MICHIGAN | 4.35 | 9.64 | 1.79 | 8.59 | 7.25 |
| MINNESOTA | 5.54 | 9.98 | 1.66 | 9.16 | 7.72 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 4.97 | 11.09 | 1.52 | 9.89 | 8.06 |
| MISSOURI | 3.81 | 11.73 | 1.90 | 10.19 | 8.54 |
| MONTANA | 4.93 | 9.74 | 1.49 | 8.90 | 7.35 |
| NEBRASKA | 4.78 | 11.51 | 1.49 | 10.26 | 8.45 |
| NEVADA | 4.43 | 9.61 | 1.24 | 8.49 | 7.17 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 4.78 | 11.20 | 2.37 | 9.98 | 8.63 |
| NEW JERSEY | 4.70 | 13.65 | 2.35 | 11.71 | 9.94 |
| NEW MEXICO | 5.54 | 12.62 | 1.86 | 11.20 | 9.36 |
| NEW YORK | 6.01 | 11.56 | 2.51 | 10.34 | 8.80 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 5.20 | 10.91 | 1.18 | 9.72 | 7.96 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 4.60 | 9.23 | 1.47 | 8.42 | 6.91 |
| OHIO | 3.88 | 10.14 | 1.98 | 8.91 | 7.50 |
| OKLAHOMA | 3.72 | 10.78 | 1.72 | 9.44 | 7.84 |
| OREGON | 4.34 | 10.10 | 1.36 | 9.00 | 7.45 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 4.26 | 9.32 | 1.90 | 8.32 | 7.06 |
| PUERTO RICO | . | . | . | . | . |
| RHODE ISLAND | 6.13 | 14.42 | 2.80 | 12.74 | 10.82 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 6.53 | 12.26 | 1.46 | 11.09 | 9.02 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 6.77 | 8.63 | 1.39 | 8.29 | 6.87 |
| TENNESSEE | 4.50 | 12.36 | 2.12 | 10.77 | 8.95 |
| TEXAS | 3.47 | 11.41 | 2.03 | 9.73 | 8.17 |
| UTAH | 4.72 | 10.28 | 1.23 | 9.19 | 7.42 |
| VERMONT | 5.37 | 9.72 | 1.65 | 8.93 | 7.52 |
| VIRGINIA | 4.76 | 11.56 | 1.68 | 10.16 | 8.36 |
| WASHINGTON | 5.00 | 9.35 | 1.46 | 8.49 | 7.09 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 7.70 | 13.65 | 2.04 | 12.55 | 10.07 |
| WISCONSIN | 6.61 | 9.78 | 1.76 | 9.20 | 7.70 |
| WYOMING | 7.82 | 11.33 | 1.72 | 10.73 | 8.78 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | . | . | . | . | . |
| GUAM | - | - | - | - |  |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | . | . | - | - | - |
| PALAU | - | - | - | - | - |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS |  | - | - | - | - |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | - | - | . | . | . |
| 50 STATES AND D.C. | 4.64 | 10.78 | 1.76 | 9.51 | 7.96 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
Percentage of children served is based on U.S. Census Bureau Estimated Resident Population, by State, for July, 1996.

Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AA11

Percentage (Based on Estimated Resident Population) of Children Ages 6-21 Served Under IDEA, Part B by Disability, During the 1996-97 School Year

| STATE | $\begin{array}{r} \text { ALL } \\ \text { DISABILITIES } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPECIFIC } \\ \text { LEARNING } \\ \text { DISABILITIES } \end{array}$ | SPEECH OR LANGUAGE IMPAIRMENTS | MENTAL <br> RETARDATION | EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 9.21 | 3.95 | 1.71 | 2.40 | 0.57 |
| ALASKA | 9.61 | 5.92 | 1.92 | 0.45 | 0.51 |
| ARIZONA | 7.26 | 4.25 | 1.32 | 0.65 | 0.48 |
| ARKANSAS | 8.00 | 3.66 | 1.33 | 2.05 | 0.07 |
| CALIFORNIA | 7.28 | 4.41 | 1.58 | 0.41 | 0.26 |
| COLORADO | 7.30 | 3.82 | 1.24 | 0.36 | 0.98 |
| CONNECTICUT | 10.86 | 5.56 | 1.83 | 0.62 | 1.59 |
| DELAWARE | 9.05 | 5.82 | 0.96 | 1.18 | 0.47 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 7.01 | 3.99 | 0.51 | 1.13 | 0.95 |
| FLORIDA | 10.10 | 4.77 | 2.47 | 1.22 | 1.19 |
| GEORGIA | 7.44 | 2.37 | 1.61 | 1.63 | 1.29 |
| HAWAII | 5.85 | 2.98 | 0.89 | 0.86 | 0.62 |
| IDAHO | 6.85 | 4.07 | 1.09 | 0.89 | 0.19 |
| ILLINOIS | 8.90 | 4.47 | 1.97 | 0.95 | 1.07 |
| INDIANA | 9.34 | 3.97 | 2.61 | 1.54 | 0.68 |
| IOWA | 9.37 | 4.47 | 1.17 | 2.00 | 1.26 |
| KANSAS | 7.92 | 3.48 | 1.74 | 0.91 | 0.79 |
| KENTUCKY | 7.86 | 2.49 | 2.08 | 2.03 | 0.58 |
| LOUISIANA | 7.48 | 3.37 | 1.48 | 1.16 | 0.53 |
| MAINE | 10.59 | 4.67 | 2.46 | 0.45 | 1.59 |
| MARYLAND | 8.68 | 4.01 | 2.35 | 0.56 | 0.65 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 11.75 | 7.18 | 1.80 | 1.14 | 1.01 |
| MICHIGAN | 7.80 | 3.72 | 1.62 | 0.92 | 0.77 |
| MINNESOTA | 8.11 | 3.48 | 1.36 | 0.92 | 1.56 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 8.63 | 4.47 | 2.59 | 1.12 | 0.05 |
| MISSOURI | 9.42 | 5.11 | 1.93 | 1.01 | 0.77 |
| MONTANA | 7.74 | 4.39 | 1.58 | 0.63 | 0.52 |
| NEBRASKA | 9.08 | 3.86 | 2.24 | 1.42 | 0.71 |
| NEVADA | 7.75 | 5.00 | 1.33 | 0.47 | 0.41 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 9.35 | 4.84 | 1.97 | 0.36 | 0.84 |
| NEW JERSEY | 11.06 | 6.15 | 2.82 | 0.28 | 0.78 |
| NEW MEXICO | 10.10 | 6.05 | 1.99 | 0.47 | 0.78 |
| NEW YORK | 9.40 | 5.37 | 1.26 | 0.44 | 1.17 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 8.51 | 3.65 | 1.68 | 1.67 | 0.60 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 7.28 | 3.54 | 2.01 | 0.79 | 0.49 |
| OHIO | 8.17 | 3.12 | 1.82 | 1.93 | 0.46 |
| OKLAHOMA | 8.57 | 4.65 | 1.76 | 1.24 | 0.36 |
| OREGON | 8.01 | 4.31 | 1.83 | 0.51 | 0.49 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 7.58 | 3.91 | 1.50 | 1.07 | 0.70 |
| PUERTO RICO |  |  |  |  |  |
| RHODE ISLAND | 11.73 | 7.06 | 2.23 | 0.53 | 1.00 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 9.49 | 4.13 | 2.21 | 1.98 | 0.64 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 6.88 | 3.42 | 1.76 | 0.79 | 0.28 |
| TENNESSEE | 9.80 | 4.85 | 2.14 | 1.32 | 0.28 |
| TEXAS | 9.12 | 5.48 | 1.41 | 0.52 | 0.74 |
| UTAH | 7.91 | 4.55 | 1.38 | 0.56 | 0.77 |
| VERMONT | 7.89 | 3.45 | 1.34 | 1.01 | 1.22 |
| VIRGINIA | 9.06 | 4.54 | 1.73 | 1.00 | 0.82 |
| WASHINGTON | 7.48 | 3.51 | 1.24 | 0.61 | 0.42 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 10.46 | 4.74 | 2.70 | 1.99 | 0.51 |
| WISCONSIN | 7.88 | 3.63 | 1.39 | 1.04 | 1.31 |
| WYOMING | 8.93 | 4.54 | 2.27 | 0.53 | 0.74 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | . | . | . | . | . |
| GUAM |  | - | . |  |  |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | . | . | . |  | - |
| PALAU | - | - | - |  | . |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS |  |  |  |  |  |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | - | - | - | - | - |
| 50 STATES AND D.C. | 8.62 | 4.41 | 1.74 | 0.96 | 0.74 |

[^36]
## Table AA11

Percentage (Based on Estimated Resident Population) of Children Ages 6-21 Served Under IDEA, Part B by Disability, During the 1996-97 School Year

| STATE | MULTIPLE DISABILITIES | HEARING <br> IMPAIRMENTS | ORTHOPEDIC IMPAIRMENTS | OTHER HEALTH IMPAIRMENTS | VISUAL <br> IMPAIRMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.19 | 0.04 |
| ALASKA | 0.28 | 0.14 | 0.04 | 0.23 | 0.03 |
| ARIZONA | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.06 |
| ARKANSAS | 0.15 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.50 | 0.03 |
| CALIFORNIA | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.05 |
| COLORADO | 0.32 | 0.12 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 0.04 |
| CONNECTICUT | 0.30 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.65 | 0.06 |
| DELAWARE | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 0.04 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.03 |
| FLORIDA | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.17 | 0.09 | 0.03 |
| GEORGIA | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.33 | 0.03 |
| HAWAII | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.05 | 0.17 | 0.02 |
| IDAHO | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.21 | 0.03 |
| ILLINOIS | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.04 |
| INDIANA | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.05 |
| IOWA | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| KANSAS | 0.27 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.46 | 0.04 |
| KENTUCKY | 0.18 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.26 | 0.05 |
| LOUISIANA | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.48 | 0.04 |
| MAINE | 0.71 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.44 | 0.03 |
| MARYLAND | 0.45 | 0.11 | 0.05 | 0.35 | 0.05 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 0.21 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.05 |
| MICHIGAN | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.04 |
| MINNESOTA | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.38 | 0.03 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| MISSOURI | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.26 | 0.04 |
| MONTANA | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.30 | 0.04 |
| NEBRASKA | 0.10 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.36 | 0.06 |
| NEVADA | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.18 | 0.03 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.92 | 0.05 |
| NEW JERSEY | 0.78 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.02 |
| NEW MEXICO | 0.22 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.26 | 0.04 |
| NEW YORK | 0.45 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.35 | 0.04 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.49 | 0.04 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.20 | 0.04 |
| OHIO | 0.47 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.04 |
| OKLAHOMA | 0.18 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.04 |
| OREGON | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.35 | 0.05 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.05 |
| PUERTO RICO | . |  |  |  |  |
| RHODE ISLAND | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.54 | 0.03 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.19 | 0.04 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 0.28 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.03 |
| TENNESSEE | 0.16 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.71 | 0.07 |
| TEXAS | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.53 | 0.05 |
| UTAH | 0.23 | 0.13 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.06 |
| VERMONT | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.06 | 0.52 | 0.03 |
| VIRGINIA | 0.30 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.41 | 0.03 |
| WASHINGTON | 0.24 | 0.17 | 0.08 | 1.14 | 0.03 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.25 | 0.05 |
| WISCONSIN | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.18 | 0.03 |
| WYOMING | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.47 | 0.04 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | . | . | . | . | . |
| GUAM | . | . | . | . | . |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | . | . | . | . | . |
| PALAU | . | - | . | - | - |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | . | . | . | . | . |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | - | - | - | - | - |
| 50 STATES AND D.C. | 0.16 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.27 | 0.04 |
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## Table AA11

Percentage (Based on Estimated Resident Population) of Children Ages 6-21 Served Under IDEA, Part B by Disability, During the 1996-97 School Year

| STATE | AUTISM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DEAF- } \\ & \text { BLINDNESS } \end{aligned}$ | TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| ALASKA | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 |
| ARIZONA | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| ARKANSAS | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| CALIFORNIA | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| COLORADO | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| CONNECTICUT | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| DELAWARE | 0.10 | 0.02 | 0.00 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| FLORIDA | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| GEORGIA | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| HAWAII | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| IDAHO | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.05 |
| ILLINOIS | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| INDIANA | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| IOWA | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| KANSAS | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| KENTUCKY | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| LOUISIANA | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| MAINE | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| MARYLAND | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| MICHIGAN | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MINNESOTA | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| MISSOURI | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| MONTANA | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.03 |
| NEBRASKA | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.04 |
| NEVADA | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| NEW JERSEY | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW MEXICO | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.04 |
| NEW YORK | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| OHIO | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| OKLAHOMA | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| OREGON | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.05 |
| PUERTO RICO |  |  |  |
| RHODE ISLAND | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| TENNESSEE | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| TEXAS | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| UTAH | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.04 |
| VERMONT | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| VIRGINIA | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| WASHINGTON | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.03 |
| WISCONSIN | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| WYOMING | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.06 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | . | . |  |
| GUAM |  |  |  |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | . |  |  |
| PALAU | . | . |  |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS |  |  |  |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | - | - | - |
| 50 States And d.C. | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
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## Table AA12

Percentage (Based on Estimated Resident Population) of Children Ages 6-17 Served Under IDEA, Part B by Disability, During the 1996-97 School Year

| STATE | $\begin{array}{r} \text { ALL } \\ \text { DISABILITIES } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPECIFIC } \\ \text { LEARNING } \\ \text { DISABILITIES } \end{array}$ | SPEECH OR LANGUAGE IMPAIRMENTS | MENTAL <br> RETARDATION | EMOTIONAL <br> DISTURBANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 11.73 | 5.02 | 2.30 | 2.94 | 0.74 |
| ALASKA | 12.14 | 7.46 | 2.53 | 0.52 | 0.64 |
| ARIZONA | 9.26 | 5.44 | 1.77 | 0.77 | 0.62 |
| ARKANSAS | 10.06 | 4.55 | 1.77 | 2.53 | 0.09 |
| CALIFORNIA | 9.12 | 5.55 | 2.05 | 0.45 | 0.31 |
| COLORADO | 9.10 | 4.76 | 1.62 | 0.41 | 1.22 |
| CONNECTICUT | 13.24 | 6.80 | 2.33 | 0.69 | 1.87 |
| DELAWARE | 11.39 | 7.42 | 1.26 | 1.42 | 0.51 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 8.66 | 5.05 | 0.68 | 1.28 | 1.14 |
| FLORIDA | 12.51 | 5.90 | 3.18 | 1.43 | 1.45 |
| GEORGIA | 9.50 | 3.04 | 2.13 | 1.98 | 1.66 |
| HAWAII | 7.63 | 3.90 | 1.20 | 1.09 | 0.79 |
| IDAHO | 8.84 | 5.27 | 1.46 | 1.10 | 0.24 |
| ILLINOIS | 11.14 | 5.62 | 2.57 | 1.10 | 1.32 |
| INDIANA | 11.87 | 4.96 | 3.48 | 1.89 | 0.87 |
| IOWA | 11.80 | 5.62 | 1.55 | 2.46 | 1.59 |
| KANSAS | 9.94 | 4.34 | 2.28 | 1.08 | 0.99 |
| KENTUCKY | 10.19 | 3.19 | 2.82 | 2.53 | 0.77 |
| LOUISIANA | 9.38 | 4.19 | 1.96 | 1.37 | 0.68 |
| MAINE | 13.12 | 5.74 | 3.18 | 0.51 | 1.95 |
| MARYLAND | 10.72 | 4.96 | 3.01 | 0.64 | 0.79 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 14.44 | 8.94 | 2.30 | 1.30 | 1.20 |
| MICHIGAN | 9.64 | 4.60 | 2.11 | 1.05 | 0.95 |
| MINNESOTA | 9.98 | 4.32 | 1.75 | 1.01 | 1.92 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 11.09 | 5.68 | 3.48 | 1.38 | 0.06 |
| MISSOURI | 11.73 | 6.31 | 2.52 | 1.19 | 0.97 |
| MONTANA | 9.74 | 5.49 | 2.08 | 0.75 | 0.65 |
| NEBRASKA | 11.51 | 4.89 | 2.94 | 1.71 | 0.91 |
| NEVADA | 9.61 | 6.20 | 1.70 | 0.54 | 0.50 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 11.20 | 5.77 | 2.43 | 0.40 | 1.00 |
| NEW JERSEY | 13.65 | 7.57 | 3.64 | 0.29 | 0.91 |
| NEW MEXICO | 12.62 | 7.57 | 2.56 | 0.53 | 0.97 |
| NEW YORK | 11.56 | 6.59 | 1.64 | 0.48 | 1.44 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 10.91 | 4.69 | 2.22 | 2.07 | 0.77 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 9.23 | 4.46 | 2.65 | 0.93 | 0.62 |
| OHIO | 10.14 | 3.85 | 2.40 | 2.36 | 0.58 |
| OKLAHOMA | 10.78 | 5.79 | 2.32 | 1.53 | 0.46 |
| OREGON | 10.10 | 5.45 | 2.38 | 0.58 | 0.62 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 9.32 | 4.80 | 1.96 | 1.24 | 0.85 |
| PUERTO RICO |  |  |  |  |  |
| RHODE ISLAND | 14.42 | 8.68 | 2.88 | 0.59 | 1.14 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 12.26 | 5.35 | 2.98 | 2.44 | 0.83 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 8.63 | 4.31 | 2.32 | 0.91 | 0.35 |
| TENNESSEE | 12.36 | 6.09 | 2.83 | 1.58 | 0.36 |
| TEXAS | 11.41 | 6.84 | 1.86 | 0.59 | 0.93 |
| UTAH | 10.28 | 6.00 | 1.87 | 0.64 | 1.01 |
| VERMONT | 9.72 | 4.25 | 1.71 | 1.19 | 1.52 |
| VIRGINIA | 11.56 | 5.79 | 2.31 | 1.18 | 1.03 |
| WASHINGTON | 9.35 | 4.38 | 1.62 | 0.71 | 0.52 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 13.65 | 6.09 | 3.72 | 2.49 | 0.66 |
| WISCONSIN | 9.78 | 4.51 | 1.82 | 1.21 | 1.61 |
| WYOMING | 11.33 | 5.72 | 2.99 | 0.60 | 0.95 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA |  | . | . |  | . |
| GUAM |  |  |  |  |  |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS |  |  |  |  |  |
| PALAU |  | . |  |  |  |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS |  |  | . |  |  |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | . | - | - | - | . |
| 50 States And D.C. | 10.78 | 5.51 | 2.28 | 1.13 | 0.92 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
The sum of the percentages of individual disabilities may not equal the percentage of all disabilities because of rounding.

Percentage of children served is based on U.S. Census Bureau Estimated Resident Population, by State, for July, 1996.

Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AA12

Percentage (Based on Estimated Resident Population) of Children Ages 6-17 Served Under IDEA, Part B by Disability, During the 1996-97 School Year

| STATE | MULTIPLE <br> DISABILITIES | HEARING <br> IMPAIRMENTS | ORTHOPEDIC IMPAIRMENTS | OTHER HEALTH IMPAIRMENTS | VISUAL <br> IMPAIRMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.25 | 0.05 |
| ALASKA | 0.33 | 0.17 | 0.05 | 0.29 | 0.04 |
| ARIZONA | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.07 |
| ARKANSAS | 0.19 | 0.12 | 0.03 | 0.65 | 0.04 |
| CALIFORNIA | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.22 | 0.06 |
| COLORADO | 0.37 | 0.14 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.04 |
| CONNECTICUT | 0.35 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0.82 | 0.08 |
| DELAWARE | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 0.05 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.03 |
| FLORIDA | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.20 | 0.11 | 0.04 |
| GEORGIA | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.42 | 0.04 |
| HAWAII | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.07 | 0.22 | 0.03 |
| IDAHO | 0.17 | 0.12 | 0.05 | 0.28 | 0.04 |
| ILLINOIS | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.05 |
| INDIANA | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.07 |
| IOWA | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.22 | 0.01 | 0.03 |
| KANSAS | 0.33 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.59 | 0.05 |
| KENTUCKY | 0.22 | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.35 | 0.06 |
| LOUISIANA | 0.10 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.62 | 0.05 |
| MAINE | 0.87 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.56 | 0.04 |
| MARYLAND | 0.53 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.44 | 0.05 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 0.22 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.06 |
| MICHIGAN | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 0.05 |
| MINNESOTA | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.15 | 0.48 | 0.04 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.04 |
| MISSOURI | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.33 | 0.04 |
| MONTANA | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.04 | 0.39 | 0.05 |
| NEBRASKA | 0.11 | 0.18 | 0.16 | 0.46 | 0.07 |
| NEVADA | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.23 | 0.03 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.08 | 1.13 | 0.06 |
| NEW JERSEY | 0.93 | 0.10 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.02 |
| NEW MEXICO | 0.26 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.33 | 0.05 |
| NEW YORK | 0.53 | 0.17 | 0.09 | 0.45 | 0.05 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 0.63 | 0.05 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.25 | 0.05 |
| OHIO | 0.51 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.05 |
| OKLAHOMA | 0.21 | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.18 | 0.05 |
| OREGON | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.13 | 0.44 | 0.06 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.06 |
| PUERTO RICO | . | - | . | . | . |
| RHODE ISLAND | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.67 | 0.04 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.25 | 0.05 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 0.32 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.15 | 0.04 |
| TENNESSEE | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.91 | 0.09 |
| TEXAS | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.68 | 0.06 |
| UTAH | 0.24 | 0.17 | 0.04 | 0.15 | 0.08 |
| VERMONT | 0.07 | 0.15 | 0.07 | 0.65 | 0.03 |
| VIRGINIA | 0.39 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.53 | 0.04 |
| WASHINGTON | 0.27 | 0.21 | 0.10 | 1.44 | 0.03 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.34 | 0.06 |
| WISCONSIN | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.22 | 0.04 |
| WYOMING | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.13 | 0.60 | 0.05 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | . | . | . | . | . |
| GUAM | . | . | . | - | . |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | . | . | - | . | - |
| PALAU | . | - | - | - | - |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | . | . | . | . | . |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | - | - | - | - | - |
| 50 STATES AND D.C. | 0.19 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.34 | 0.05 |
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## Table AA12

Percentage (Based on Estimated Resident Population) of Children Ages 6-17 Served Under IDEA, Part B by Disability, During the 1996-97 School Year

| STATE | AUTISM | DEAFBLINDNESS | $\begin{array}{r} \text { TRAUMATIC } \\ \text { BRAIN } \\ \text { INJURY } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| ALASKA | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.04 |
| ARIZONA | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| ARKANSAS | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| CALIFORNIA | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| COLORADO | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| CONNECTICUT | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| DELAWARE | 0.12 | 0.03 | 0.00 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| FLORIDA | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| GEORGIA | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| HAWAII | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| IDAHO | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.06 |
| ILLINOIS | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| INDIANA | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| IOWA | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| KANSAS | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| KENTUCKY | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| LOUISIANA | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| MAINE | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.04 |
| MARYLAND | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| MICHIGAN | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MINNESOTA | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| MISSOURI | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| MONTANA | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.04 |
| NEBRASKA | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 |
| NEVADA | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| NEW JERSEY | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW MEXICO | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.04 |
| NEW YORK | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.01 |
| OHIO | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| OKLAHOMA | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| OREGON | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.04 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.06 |
| PUERTO RICO | . | . | . |
| RHODE ISLAND | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| TENNESSEE | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| TEXAS | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| UTAH | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.05 |
| VERMONT | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| VIRGINIA | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| WASHINGTON | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.03 |
| WISCONSIN | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| WYOMING | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.07 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | . | . | . |
| GUAM |  |  |  |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | . | . | . |
| PALAU |  | - |  |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS |  |  |  |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | - | - | - |
| 50 STATES AND D.C. | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
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## Table AA13

Percentage (Based on Estimated Enrollment) of Children Ages 6-17 Served Under IDEA, Part B by Disability, During the 1996-97 School Year

| STATE | $\begin{array}{r} \text { ALL } \\ \text { DISABILITIES } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPECIFIC } \\ \text { LEARNING } \\ \text { DISABILITIES } \end{array}$ | SPEECH OR LANGUAGE IMPAIRMENTS | MENTAL <br> RETARDATION | EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 11.38 | 4.87 | 2.23 | 2.85 | 0.71 |
| ALASKA | 11.95 | 7.34 | 2.49 | 0.51 | 0.63 |
| ARIZONA | 9.12 | 5.36 | 1.74 | 0.75 | 0.61 |
| ARKANSAS | 9.86 | 4.46 | 1.73 | 2.48 | 0.09 |
| CALIFORNIA | 9.14 | 5.56 | 2.05 | 0.45 | 0.31 |
| COLORADO | 9.08 | 4.75 | 1.61 | 0.41 | 1.21 |
| CONNECTICUT | 13.36 | 6.86 | 2.35 | 0.69 | 1.88 |
| DELAWARE | 11.93 | 7.78 | 1.32 | 1.49 | 0.54 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 7.39 | 4.31 | 0.58 | 1.09 | 0.98 |
| FLORIDA | 12.63 | 5.96 | 3.22 | 1.45 | 1.47 |
| GEORGIA | 9.26 | 2.96 | 2.08 | 1.93 | 1.62 |
| HAWAII | 7.94 | 4.06 | 1.25 | 1.13 | 0.82 |
| IDAHO | 8.65 | 5.16 | 1.43 | 1.07 | 0.24 |
| ILLINOIS | 11.67 | 5.88 | 2.69 | 1.15 | 1.38 |
| INDIANA | 12.12 | 5.07 | 3.55 | 1.93 | 0.89 |
| IOWA | 11.68 | 5.56 | 1.53 | 2.44 | 1.57 |
| KANSAS | 10.05 | 4.39 | 2.31 | 1.09 | 1.00 |
| KENTUCKY | 10.09 | 3.16 | 2.79 | 2.51 | 0.76 |
| LOUISIANA | 10.10 | 4.51 | 2.12 | 1.48 | 0.73 |
| MAINE | 12.74 | 5.57 | 3.08 | 0.49 | 1.90 |
| MARYLAND | 11.11 | 5.14 | 3.12 | 0.67 | 0.82 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 14.58 | 9.03 | 2.32 | 1.32 | 1.21 |
| MICHIGAN | 9.97 | 4.77 | 2.19 | 1.09 | 0.99 |
| MINNESOTA | 10.30 | 4.46 | 1.81 | 1.04 | 1.98 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 11.22 | 5.75 | 3.52 | 1.39 | 0.06 |
| MISSOURI | 12.60 | 6.79 | 2.70 | 1.28 | 1.05 |
| MONTANA | 9.64 | 5.43 | 2.05 | 0.74 | 0.64 |
| NEBRASKA | 12.02 | 5.11 | 3.07 | 1.78 | 0.95 |
| NEVADA | 9.13 | 5.90 | 1.62 | 0.51 | 0.48 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 11.74 | 6.05 | 2.55 | 0.41 | 1.05 |
| NEW JERSEY | 14.46 | 8.03 | 3.86 | 0.31 | 0.96 |
| NEW MEXICO | 12.87 | 7.72 | 2.61 | 0.54 | 0.99 |
| NEW YORK | 12.03 | 6.86 | 1.71 | 0.50 | 1.50 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 11.02 | 4.74 | 2.25 | 2.09 | 0.78 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 9.26 | 4.48 | 2.66 | 0.93 | 0.63 |
| OHIO | 10.62 | 4.04 | 2.52 | 2.47 | 0.61 |
| OKLAHOMA | 10.51 | 5.64 | 2.26 | 1.49 | 0.45 |
| OREGON | 10.37 | 5.60 | 2.44 | 0.59 | 0.63 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 10.15 | 5.23 | 2.13 | 1.35 | 0.93 |
| PUERTO RICO | 6.27 | 2.89 | 0.69 | 1.90 | 0.14 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 15.09 | 9.09 | 3.01 | 0.62 | 1.20 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 11.88 | 5.18 | 2.88 | 2.37 | 0.81 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 8.58 | 4.28 | 2.31 | 0.90 | 0.35 |
| TENNESSEE | 12.24 | 6.03 | 2.80 | 1.56 | 0.36 |
| TEXAS | 10.65 | 6.37 | 1.73 | 0.55 | 0.87 |
| UTAH | 9.76 | 5.69 | 1.78 | 0.60 | 0.96 |
| VERMONT | 9.41 | 4.12 | 1.65 | 1.15 | 1.47 |
| VIRGINIA | 11.41 | 5.71 | 2.28 | 1.17 | 1.02 |
| WASHINGTON | 9.33 | 4.37 | 1.62 | 0.71 | 0.52 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 13.16 | 5.88 | 3.59 | 2.41 | 0.64 |
| WISCONSIN | 10.33 | 4.76 | 1.92 | 1.28 | 1.70 |
| WYOMING | 10.93 | 5.52 | 2.88 | 0.58 | 0.92 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 2.14 | 1.65 | 0.11 | 0.18 | 0.01 |
| GUAM | 4.80 | 3.61 | 0.48 | 0.29 | 0.02 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 3.03 | 1.78 | 0.15 | 0.33 | 0.04 |
| PALAU VIRGIN ISLANDS | 5.34 | 1.96 | 0.90 | 1.85 | 0.16 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 5 | 1.9 | - | ${ }^{1.85}$ |  |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 10.84 | 5.54 | 2.28 | 1.16 | 0.92 |
| 50 StATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 10.83 | 5.53 | 2.28 | 1.16 | 0.92 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
The sum of the percentages of individual disabilites may not equal the percentage of all disabilites because of rounding.

Percentage of children served is based on 1996-1997 enrollment counts from NCES. These counts include individuals with and without disabilities, in pre-kindergarten through grade 12.

Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AA13

Percentage (Based on Estimated Enrollment) of Children Ages 6-17 Served Under IDEA, Part B by Disability, During the 1996-97 School Year

| STATE | MULTIPLE DISABILITIES | HEARING IMPAIRMENTS | ORTHOPEDIC IMPAIRMENTS | OTHER HEALTH IMPAIRMENTS | VISUAL <br> IMPAIRMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.24 | 0.05 |
| ALASKA | 0.32 | 0.17 | 0.05 | 0.29 | 0.04 |
| ARIZONA | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.07 |
| ARKANSAS | 0.18 | 0.12 | 0.03 | 0.64 | 0.04 |
| CALIFORNIA | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.22 | 0.06 |
| COLORADO | 0.37 | 0.14 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.04 |
| CONNECTICUT | 0.35 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0.83 | 0.08 |
| DELAWARE | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 0.05 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.03 |
| FLORIDA | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.20 | 0.11 | 0.04 |
| GEORGIA | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.41 | 0.04 |
| HAWAII | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.07 | 0.23 | 0.03 |
| IDAHO | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.05 | 0.27 | 0.04 |
| ILLINOIS | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.06 |
| INDIANA | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.07 |
| IOWA | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.21 | 0.01 | 0.03 |
| KANSAS | 0.33 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.60 | 0.05 |
| KENTUCKY | 0.22 | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.35 | 0.06 |
| LOUISIANA | 0.10 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.67 | 0.06 |
| MAINE | 0.84 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.54 | 0.04 |
| MARYLAND | 0.55 | 0.14 | 0.06 | 0.46 | 0.06 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 0.23 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.06 |
| MICHIGAN | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.51 | 0.00 | 0.05 |
| MINNESOTA | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.16 | 0.50 | 0.04 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.04 |
| MISSOURI | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.36 | 0.05 |
| MONTANA | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.38 | 0.05 |
| NEBRASKA | 0.12 | 0.19 | 0.16 | 0.48 | 0.07 |
| NEVADA | 0.13 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.21 | 0.03 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.08 | 1.18 | 0.06 |
| NEW JERSEY | 0.99 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.03 |
| NEW MEXICO | 0.27 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.34 | 0.05 |
| NEW YORK | 0.55 | 0.17 | 0.10 | 0.47 | 0.05 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 0.64 | 0.05 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.25 | 0.05 |
| OHIO | 0.53 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.05 |
| OKLAHOMA | 0.21 | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.17 | 0.05 |
| OREGON | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.45 | 0.07 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.07 |
| PUERTO RICO | 0.17 | 0.13 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.08 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.70 | 0.04 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.24 | 0.05 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 0.32 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.15 | 0.04 |
| TENNESSEE | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.91 | 0.09 |
| TEXAS | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.63 | 0.05 |
| UTAH | 0.23 | 0.16 | 0.03 | 0.14 | 0.07 |
| VERMONT | 0.06 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.63 | 0.03 |
| VIRGINIA | 0.38 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.53 | 0.04 |
| WASHINGTON | 0.27 | 0.21 | 0.10 | 1.44 | 0.03 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.33 | 0.06 |
| WISCONSIN | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.23 | 0.04 |
| WYOMING | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.13 | 0.58 | 0.05 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0.11 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| GUAM | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.04 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0.36 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.08 | 0.01 |
| PALAU | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.17 | 0.03 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 0.0 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.1 | 0.03 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 0.19 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.34 | 0.05 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 0.19 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.34 | 0.05 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual state differences.
The sum of the percentages of individual disabilites may not equal the percentage of all disabilites because of rounding.

Percentage of children served is based on 1996-1997 enrollment counts from NCES. These counts include individuals with and without disabilities, in pre-kindergarten through grade 12.

Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AA13

Percentage (Based on Estimated Enrollment) of Children Ages 6-17 Served Under IDEA, Part B by Disability, During the 1996-97 School Year

| STATE | AUTISM | $\begin{array}{r} \text { DEAF- } \\ \text { BLINDNESS } \end{array}$ | TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| ALASKA | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.04 |
| ARIZONA | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| ARKANSAS | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| CALIFORNIA | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| COLORADO | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| CONNECTICUT | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| DELAWARE | 0.13 | 0.03 | 0.00 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| FLORIDA | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| GEORGIA | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| HAWAII | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| IDAHO | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.06 |
| ILLINOIS | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| INDIANA | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| IOWA | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| KANSAS | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| KENTUCKY | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| LOUISIANA | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| MAINE | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.04 |
| MARYLAND | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| MICHIGAN | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MINNESOTA | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| MISSOURI | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.03 |
| MONTANA | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.04 |
| NEBRASKA | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.04 |
| NEVADA | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| NEW JERSEY | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW MEXICO | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.05 |
| NEW YORK | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.01 |
| OHIO | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| OKLAHOMA | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| OREGON | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.04 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.07 |
| PUERTO RICO | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| TENNESSEE | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| TEXAS | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| UTAH | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.05 |
| VERMONT | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| VIRGINIA | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| WASHINGTON | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.03 |
| WISCONSIN | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| WYOMING | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.07 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| GUAM | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.00 |
| PALAU |  |  |  |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | . | . | . |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
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## Table AA14

## Number of Children Served Under IDEA by Disability and Age Group During the 1987-88 Through 1996-97 School Years

|  | 1987-88 | 1988-89 | 1989-90 | 1990-91 | 1991-92 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE GROUP 0-2 | 29,717 | 34,270 | 37,014 | 50,924 | 145,313 |
| AGE GROUP 3-5 | 335,771 | 360,281 | 385,587 | 394,766 | 420,403 |
|  | AGE GROUP | 6-11 |  |  |  |
| DISABILITY | 1987-88 | 1988-89 | 1989-90 | 1990-91 | 1991-92 |
| SPECIFIC LEARNING DISABILITIES | 811,250 | 850,907 | 881,858 | 922,444 | 960,876 |
| SPEECH OR LANGUAGE IMPAIRMENTS | 838,315 | 853,599 | 863,302 | 875,618 | 882,392 |
| MENTAL RETARDATION | 215,267 | 216,428 | 216,136 | 214,884 | 218,247 |
| EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE | 131,020 | 134,661 | 137,405 | 140,172 | 141,708 |
| MULTIPLE DISABILITIES | 38,742 | 42,151 | 43,966 | 50,595 | 50,124 |
| HEARING IMPAIRMENTS | 26,848 | 28,022 | 28,397 | 29,013 | 29,780 |
| ORTHOPEDIC IMPAIRMENTS | 23,806 | 24,520 | 25,491 | 26,457 | 27,773 |
| OTHER HEALTH IMPAIRMENTS | 21,271 | 23,949 | 25,955 | 28,297 | 29,292 |
| VISUAL IMPAIRMENTS | 10,414 | 10,623 | 10,956 | 11,347 | 11,635 |
| AUTISM |  |  |  |  | 3,046 |
| DEAF-BLINDNESS | 593 | 647 | 684 | 651 | 608 |
| TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY |  |  |  |  | 79 |
| ALL DISABILITIES | 2,117,526 | 2,185,507 | 2,234,150 | 2,299,478 | 2,355,560 |


| DISABILITY | AGE GROUP 12-17 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987-88 | 1988-89 | 1989-90 | 1990-91 | 1991-92 |
| SPECIFIC LEARNING DISABILITIES | 1,036,628 | 1,042,348 | 1,073,453 | 1,115,445 | 1,176,035 |
| SPEECH OR LANGUAGE IMPAIRMENTS | 111,014 | 105,969 | 106,604 | 108,144 | 112,136 |
| MENTAL RETARDATION | 302,549 | 281,861 | 271,228 | 264,624 | 266,240 |
| EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE | 220,761 | 217,703 | 222,543 | 229,093 | 236,431 |
| MULTIPLE DISABILITIES | 30,202 | 30,925 | 32,042 | 35,014 | 36,210 |
| HEARING IMPAIRMENTS | 25,029 | 24,378 | 24,829 | 25,622 | 26,335 |
| ORTHOPEDIC IMPAIRMENTS | 18,942 | 18,430 | 18,392 | 18,812 | 19,593 |
| OTHER HEALTH IMPAIRMENTS | 21,390 | 22,466 | 22,962 | 24,177 | 25,701 |
| VISUAL IMPAIRMENTS | 10,546 | 10,124 | 9,980 | 10,350 | 10,530 |
| AUTISM |  |  |  |  | 1,749 |
| DEAF-BLINDNESS | 552 | 525 | 624 | 587 | 594 |
| TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY |  |  |  |  | 127 |
| ALL DISABILITIES | 1,777,613 | 1,754,729 | 1,782,657 | 1,831,868 | 1,911,681 |


|  | AGE GROUP 18-21 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DISABILITY | 1987-88 | 1988-89 | 1989-90 | 1990-91 | 1991-92 |
| SPECIFIC LEARNING DISABILITIES | 94,426 | 101,931 | 106,765 | 106,128 | 110,093 |
| SPEECH OR LANGUAGE IMPAIRMENTS | 4,239 | 5,817 | 4,350 | 4,016 | 4,376 |
| MENTAL RETARDATION | 80,954 | 78,382 | 76,538 | 71,949 | 68,775 |
| EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE | 20,599 | 20,838 | 21,691 | 21,499 | 22,072 |
| MULTIPLE DISABILITIES | 10,079 | 11,404 | 11,949 | 12,020 | 12,074 |
| HEARING IMPAIRMENTS | 4,995 | 4,717 | 4,680 | 4,576 | 4,612 |
| ORTHOPEDIC IMPAIRMENTS | 4,218 | 4,245 | 4,167 | 4,071 | 4,023 |
| OTHER HEALTH IMPAIRMENTS | 3,395 | 3,906 | 3,816 | 3,875 | 3,756 |
| VISUAL IMPAIRMENTS | 1,861 | 1,714 | 1,930 | 1,985 | 1,918 |
| AUTISM |  |  |  |  | 620 |
| DEAF-BLINDNESS | 309 | 322 | 325 | 286 | 225 |
| TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY |  |  |  |  | 39 |
| ALL DISABILITIES | 225,075 | 233,276 | 236,211 | 230,405 | 232,583 |
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## Table AA14

## Number of Children Served Under IDEA by Disability and Age Group During the 1987-88 Through 1996-97 School Years

|  | 1992-93 | 1993-94 | 1994-95 | 1995-96 | 1996-97 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE GROUP 0-2 | 145,179 | 152,287 | 165,351 | 177,286 | 187,348 |
| AGE GROUP 3-5 | 455,449 | 491,685 | 522,709 | 548,593 | 559,902 |
|  | AGE GROUP | 6-11 |  |  |  |
| DISABILITY | 1992-93 | 1993-94 | 1994-95 | 1995-96 | 1996-97 |
| SPECIFIC LEARNING DISABILITIES | 997,580 | 1,009,541 | 1,041,816 | 1,073,215 | 1,094,263 |
| SPEECH OR LANGUAGE IMPAIRMENTS | 888,935 | 900,962 | 905,223 | 910,788 | 930,814 |
| MENTAL RETARDATION | 209,487 | 220,301 | 229,453 | 235,490 | 239,310 |
| EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE | 137,269 | 140,603 | 144,595 | 147,368 | 150,446 |
| MULTIPLE DISABILITIES | 52,472 | 55,073 | 43,889 | 46,150 | 48,559 |
| HEARING IMPAIRMENTS | 29,363 | 31,178 | 31,464 | 32,501 | 32,911 |
| ORTHOPEDIC IMPAIRMENTS | 29,138 | 31,644 | 33,521 | 34,530 | 35,624 |
| OTHER HEALTH IMPAIRMENTS | 33,487 | 43,493 | 56,856 | 71,649 | 84,433 |
| VISUAL IMPAIRMENTS | 11,210 | 11,723 | 11,557 | 11,870 | 11,873 |
| AUTISM | 8,914 | 11,158 | 13,716 | 17,666 | 21,465 |
| DEAF-BLINDNESS | 554 | 564 | 524 | 547 | 528 |
| TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY | 1,507 | 2,111 | 2,871 | 3,929 | 4,059 |
| ALL DISABILITIES | 2,399,916 | 2,458,351 | 2,515,485 | 2,585,703 | 2,654,285 |
|  | AGE GROUP | 12-17 |  |  |  |
| DISABILITY | 1992-93 | 1993-94 | 1994-95 | 1995-96 | 1996-97 |
| SPECIFIC LEARNING DISABILITIES | 1,252,188 | 1,296,829 | 1,347,294 | 1,398,602 | 1,448,625 |
| SPEECH OR LANGUAGE IMPAIRMENTS | 104,904 | 112,581 | 110,859 | 111,833 | 115,696 |
| MENTAL RETARDATION | 258,619 | 269,321 | 279,214 | 286,953 | 291,864 |
| EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE | 242,319 | 251,524 | 260,891 | 267,786 | 272,002 |
| MULTIPLE DISABILITIES | 38,368 | 42,083 | 34,231 | 36,365 | 38,858 |
| HEARING IMPAIRMENTS | 26,966 | 29,037 | 29,545 | 30,983 | 31,246 |
| ORTHOPEDIC IMPAIRMENTS | 19,594 | 21,321 | 23,069 | 24,591 | 26,528 |
| OTHER HEALTH IMPAIRMENTS | 29,150 | 35,886 | 46,054 | 57,714 | 70,976 |
| VISUAL IMPAIRMENTS | 10,641 | 11,357 | 11,445 | 11,864 | 12,098 |
| AUTISM | 4,893 | 5,832 | 6,760 | 8,796 | 10,010 |
| DEAF-BLINDNESS | 599 | 585 | 600 | 619 | 566 |
| TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY | 1,844 | 2,559 | 3,486 | 4,558 | 5,127 |
| ALL DISABILITIES | 1,990,085 | 2,078,915 | 2,153,448 | 2,240,664 | 2,323,596 |
|  | AGE GROUP | 18-21 |  |  |  |
| DISABILITY | 1992-93 | 1993-94 | 1994-95 | 1995-96 | 1996-97 |
| SPECIFIC LEARNING DISABILITIES | 116,719 | 121,295 | 121,114 | 130,087 | 133,411 |
| SPEECH OR LANGUAGE IMPAIRMENTS | 4,210 | 4,442 | 4,248 | 4,263 | 4,465 |
| MENTAL RETARDATION | 64,256 | 64,197 | 61,850 | 63,132 | 62,851 |
| EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE | 22,064 | 22,824 | 22,563 | 24,011 | 24,978 |
| MULTIPLE DISABILITIES | 12,439 | 12,561 | 11,500 | 12,020 | 12,221 |
| HEARING IMPAIRMENTS | 4,287 | 4,450 | 4,195 | 4,555 | 4,609 |
| ORTHOPEDIC IMPAIRMENTS | 3,856 | 3,887 | 3,877 | 4,035 | 4,248 |
| OTHER HEALTH IMPAIRMENTS | 3,426 | 3,700 | 4,223 | 4,798 | 5,415 |
| VISUAL IMPAIRMENTS | 1,693 | 1,724 | 1,711 | 1,756 | 1,863 |
| AUTISM | 1,773 | 2,068 | 2,188 | 2,614 | 2,626 |
| DEAF-BLINDNESS | 241 | 220 | 207 | 221 | 192 |
| TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY | 609 | 725 | 902 | 1,092 | 1,192 |
| ALL DISABILITIES | 235,573 | 242,093 | 238,578 | 252,584 | 258,071 |
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## Table AA14

## Number of Children Served Under IDEA by Disability and Age Group During the 1987-88 Through 1996-97 School Years

| DISABILITY | AGE GROUP 6-21 |  |  | 1990-91 | 1991-92 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987-88 | 1988-89 | 1989-90 |  |  |
| SPECIFIC LEARNING DISABILITIES | 1,942,304 | 1,995,186 | 2,062,076 | 2,144,017 | 2,247,004 |
| SPEECH OR LANGUAGE IMPAIRMENTS | 953,568 | 965,385 | 974,256 | 987,778 | 998,904 |
| MENTAL RETARDATION | 598,770 | 576,671 | 563,902 | 551,457 | 553,262 |
| EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE | 372,380 | 373,202 | 381,639 | 390,764 | 400,211 |
| MULTIPLE DISABILITIES | 79,023 | 84,480 | 87,957 | 97,629 | 98,408 |
| HEARING IMPAIRMENTS | 56,872 | 57,117 | 57,906 | 59,211 | 60,727 |
| ORTHOPEDIC IMPAIRMENTS | 46,966 | 47,195 | 48,050 | 49,340 | 51,389 |
| OTHER HEALTH IMPAIRMENTS | 46,056 | 50,321 | 52,733 | 56,349 | 58,749 |
| VISUAL IMPAIRMENTS | 22,821 | 22,461 | 22,866 | 23,682 | 24,083 |
| AUTISM |  |  |  |  | 5,415 |
| DEAF-BLINDNESS | 1,454 | 1,494 | 1,633 | 1,524 | 1,427 |
| TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY |  |  |  |  | 245 |
| ALL DISABILITIES | 4,120,214 | 4,173,512 | 4,253,018 | 4,361,751 | 4,499,824 |
|  | AGE GROUP | 6-21 |  |  |  |
| DISABILITY | 1992-93 | 1993-94 | 1994-95 | 1995-96 | 1996-97 |
| SPECIFIC LEARNING DISABILITIES | 2,366,487 | 2,427,665 | 2,510,224 | 2,601,904 | 2,676,299 |
| SPEECH OR LANGUAGE IMPAIRMENTS | 998,049 | 1,017,985 | 1,020,330 | 1,026,884 | 1,050,975 |
| MENTAL RETARDATION | 532,362 | 553,819 | 570,517 | 585,575 | 594,025 |
| EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE | 401,652 | 414,951 | 428,049 | 439,165 | 447,426 |
| MULTIPLE DISABILITIES | 103,279 | 109,717 | 89,620 | 94,535 | 99,638 |
| HEARING IMPAIRMENTS | 60,616 | 64,665 | 65,204 | 68,039 | 68,766 |
| ORTHOPEDIC IMPAIRMENTS | 52,588 | 56,852 | 60,467 | 63,156 | 66,400 |
| OTHER HEALTH IMPAIRMENTS | 66,063 | 83,079 | 107,133 | 134,161 | 160,824 |
| VISUAL IMPAIRMENTS | 23,544 | 24,804 | 24,713 | 25,490 | 25,834 |
| AUTISM | 15,580 | 19,058 | 22,664 | 29,076 | 34,101 |
| DEAF-BLINDNESS | 1,394 | 1,369 | 1,331 | 1,387 | 1,286 |
| TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY | 3,960 | 5,395 | 7,259 | 9,579 | 10,378 |
| ALL DISABILITIES | 4,625,574 | 4,779,359 | 4,907,511 | 5,078,951 | 5,235,952 |

Data from the 1987-88 through 1993-94 for all age groups include children with disabilities served under Chapter 1 of ESEA (SOP). Beginning in 1994-95, all services to children and youth with disabilities were provided only through IDEA, Parts B and H. Infants and toddlers were first served under Part H in 1987-88; however, the data collection was unreliable in the early years of the program. Consequently, counts of children served under Part $H$ are included in the totals presented only for 1991-92 forward.

Reporting on autism and traumatic brain injury was required under IDEA beginning in 1992-93 and was optional in 1991-92.

Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB1

Number of Children Ages 3-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | SEPAR CLASS | $\begin{gathered} --- \text { NUN } \\ \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOME } \\ & \text { HOSP } \\ & \text { ENVIR } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 43,302 | 37,634 | 14,844 | 1,182 | 102 | 477 | 171 | 433 |
| ALASKA | 9,006 | 5,459 | 2,008 | 13 | 30 | 4 | 40 | 9 |
| ARIZONA | 34,149 | 25,119 | 14,418 | 1,082 | 668 | 403 | 140 | 142 |
| ARKANSAS | 21,754 | 21,152 | 8,097 | 191 | 1,519 | 0 | 545 | 622 |
| CALIFORNIA | 296,261 | 107,439 | 140,202 | 7,681 | 9,228 | 1,075 | 1,257 | 2,527 |
| COLORADO | 48,904 | 10,825 | 7,120 | 1,112 | 194 | 387 | 607 | 570 |
| CONNECTICUT | 43,050 | 14,529 | 14,577 | 1,190 | 1,668 | 26 | 727 | 222 |
| DELAWARE | 4,301 | 9,069 | 1,422 | 713 | 8 | 11 | 22 | 78 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 1,357 | 1,450 | 2,582 | 831 | 833 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 117,668 | 69,204 | 96,708 | 6,345 | 864 | 1,223 | 1 | 1,944 |
| GEORGIA | 53,668 | 44,177 | 34,687 | 1,042 | 66 | 985 | 97 | 310 |
| HAWAII | 7,518 | 5,557 | 4,136 | 1,69 | 45 | 22 | 24 | 180 |
| IDAHO | 15,581 | 5,437 | 1,829 | 840 | 23 | 139 | 42 | 74 |
| ILLINOIS | 79,398 | 87,208 | 72,339 | 10,652 | 4,824 | 953 | 772 | 1,281 |
| INDIANA | 77,323 | 17,805 | 35,710 | 1,663 | 43 | 598 | 306 | 514 |
| IOWA | 39,829 | 17,081 | 6,613 | 1,468 |  | 563 | 238 | 151 |
| KANSAS | 32,228 | 11,430 | 8,829 | - 867 | 124 | 284 | 97 | 182 |
| KENTUCKY | 45,629 | 25,212 | 10,692 | 360 | 219 | 401 | 46 | 330 |
| LOUISIANA | 32,292 | 16,969 | 38,827 | 1,018 | 99 | 1,123 | 77 | 654 |
| MAINE | 16,641 | 9,809 | 3,382 | 332 | 987 | 16 | 205 | 498 |
| MARYLAND | 44,048 | 25,596 | 23,010 | 3,886 | 2,443 | 642 | 572 | 507 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 105,838 | 20,131 | 21,819 | 2,595 | 4,595 |  | 1,096 | 1,122 |
| MICHIGAN | 87,135 | 45,431 | 41,877 | 10,286 |  | 323 | 170 | 3,508 |
| MINNESOTA | 61,171 | 21,537 | 8,971 | 4,845 | 345 | 646 | 326 | 478 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 25,366 | 22,630 | 16,978 | 658 | 134 | 401 | 20 | 515 |
| MISSOURI | 59,587 | 35,688 | 22,978 | 1,479 | 748 | 452 | 192 | 539 |
| MONTANA | 9,983 | 5,923 | 1,855 | 191 | 54 | 110 | 114 | 78 |
| NEBRASKA | 22,814 | 8,803 | 5,239 | 822 | 65 | 111 | 58 | 1,289 |
| NEVADA | 12,110 | 10,380 | 4,807 | 767 | 2 | 31 | 10 | 95 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 13,269 | 5,721 | 4,614 | 648 | 324 | 75 | 361 | 200 |
| NEW JERSEY | 89,996 | 47,635 | 39,090 | 7,629 | 10,228 | 737 | 126 | 1,271 |
| NEW MEXICO | 16,487 | 13,441 | 16,762 | 155 | 5 | 394 | 24 | 294 |
| NEW YORK | 149,865 | 46,098 | 123,529 | 26,729 | 7,581 | 989 | 1,819 | 2,027 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 86,211 | 30,377 | 25,474 | 2,223 | 722 | 947 | 178 | 711 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 9,318 | 1,675 | 957 | 189 | 35 | 51 | 67 | 57 |
| OHIO | 130,849 | 51,566 | 34,988 | 4,541 | 0 | 932 | 0 | 4,753 |
| OKLAHOMA | 35,309 | 24,353 | 10,642 | 596 | 117 | 338 | 57 | 314 |
| OREGON | 41,320 | 10,362 | 4,225 | 729 | 750 | 234 | 126 | 419 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 80,865 | 59,627 | 60,758 | 3,535 | 3,339 | 1,282 | 552 | 1,753 |
| PUERTO RICO | 3,870 | 22,873 | 11,687 | 1,617 | 930 | 147 | 37 | 1,209 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 12,545 | 4,692 | 6,414 | 181 | 597 | 160 | 326 | 157 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 33,665 | 30,243 | 20,289 | 1,009 | 69 |  | 29 | 514 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 9,105 | 3,808 | 2,064 | 72 | 120 | 100 | 222 | 21 |
| TENNESSEE | 62,256 | 38,258 | 21,864 | 1,011 | 771 | 409 | 479 | 1,408 |
| TEXAS | 123,962 | 205,929 | 100,182 | 3,764 | 65 | 847 | 13 | 4,918 |
| UTAH | 21,182 | 18,133 | 10,148 | 1,479 | 0 | 779 | 0 | 161 |
| VERMONT | 9,295 | 689 | 571 | 110 | 131 | 10 | 183 | 257 |
| VIRGINIA | 53,479 | 44,445 | 38,960 | 1,223 | 961 | 1,027 | 297 | 1,367 |
| WASHINGTON | 53,269 | 30,905 | 19,857 | 1,445 | 339 | 310 | 34 | 387 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 21,092 | 16,542 | 8,113 | 136 | 3 | 219 | 11 | 371 |
| WISCONSIN | 40,044 | 40,285 | 24,275 | 1,053 | 56 | 456 | 27 | 218 |
| WYOMING | 6,426 | 3,727 | 900 | 36 | 30 | 121 | 58 | 28 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 246 | 58 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 662 | 676 | 516 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 7 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 202 | 74 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| PALAU | 27 | 58 | 23 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 278 | 57 | 1,513 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 15 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 3,071 | 3,282 | 951 | 37 | 0 | 12 | 24 | 14 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 2,556,076 | 1,494,273 | 1,256,012 | 124,346 | 57,104 | 21,982 | 13,055 | 41,717 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 2,551,590 | 1,490,068 | 1,252,919 | 124,290 | 57,103 | 21,970 | 12,998 | 41,667 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
The number of students served in correctional facilities and in private schools not placed or referred by public agencies are duplicate counts. These students are also reported as being served in one of the other eight educational environments.

SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
A crosswalk was used to report placement data for $3-5$ year olds in the OSEP placement categories. See the data notes for how preschool placements were recorded and for more detail on States that used these categories.

Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB1

## Number of Children Ages 3-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | CORRECTIONAL FACILITY | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { SCHOOLS } \\ \text { NOT PLACED } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 45 | 382 |
| ALASKA | 67 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 108 | 437 |
| ARKANSAS | 11 | 60 |
| CALIFORNIA | 2,852 | 701 |
| COLORADO | 261 | 0 |
| CONNECTICUT | 364 | 1,322 |
| DELAWARE | 112 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 7 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 1,437 |  |
| GEORGIA | 10 | 531 |
| HAWAII | 294 | 83 |
| IDAHO | 37 | 61 |
| ILLINOIS | 496 | 2,754 |
| INDIANA | 230 | 3,494 |
| IOWA | 78 | 1,046 |
| KANSAS | 158 | 464 |
| KENTUCKY | 187 | 1,290 |
| LOUISIANA | 235 | 1,652 |
| MAINE | 114 |  |
| MARYLAND | 330 | 339 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 197 |  |
| MICHIGAN | 305 | 2,513 |
| MINNESOTA | 55 |  |
| MISSISSIPPI | 0 | 0 |
| MISSOURI | 532 | 2,305 |
| MONTANA | 28 | 26 |
| NEBRASKA | 74 | 1,314 |
| NEVADA | 135 | 35 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 51 | 49 |
| NEW JERSEY | 531 | 13,425 |
| NEW MEXICO | 15 | -268 |
| NEW YORK | 949 | 8,880 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 234 | 1,109 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 6 | 0 |
| OHIO | 642 | 9,959 |
| OKLAHOMA | 104 | 89 |
| OREGON | 211 |  |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 558 | 565 |
| PUERTO RICO | 67 | 300 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 160 | 418 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 332 | 199 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 2 | 113 |
| TENNESSEE | 243 | 155 |
| TEXAS | 677 | 1,063 |
| UTAH | 87 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 3 | 43 |
| VIRGINIA | 575 | 363 |
| WASHINGTON | 209 | 510 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 49 | 218 |
| WISCONSIN | 430 | 1,114 |
| WYOMING | . |  |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 5 | 29 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 1 | 12 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS |  | 3 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 4 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 14,904 | 59,693 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 14,894 | 59,649 |

[^44]Table AB1
Percentage of Children Ages 3-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| ALL DISABILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE | PERCENTAGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE | PUBLIC | PRIVATE | HOME |
|  | REGULAR | RESOURCE | SEPAR | SEPAR | SEPAR | RESID | RESID | HOSP |
|  | CLASS | ROOM | CLASS | FACIL | FACIL | FACIL | FACIL | ENVIR |
| ALABAMA | 44.12 | 38.35 | 15.12 | 1.20 | 0.10 | 0.49 | 0.17 | 0.44 |
| ALASKA | 54.35 | 32.95 | 12.12 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.02 | 0.24 | 0.05 |
| ARIZONA | 44.86 | 33.00 | 18.94 | 1.42 | 0.88 | 0.53 | 0.18 | 0.19 |
| ARKANSAS | 40.37 | 39.26 | 15.03 | 0.35 | 2.82 | 0.00 | 1.01 | 1.15 |
| CALIFORNIA | 52.37 | 18.99 | 24.79 | 1.36 | 1.63 | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.45 |
| COLORADO | 70.14 | 15.53 | 10.21 | 1.59 | 0.28 | 0.56 | 0.87 | 0.82 |
| CONNECTICUT | 56.65 | 19.12 | 19.18 | 1.57 | 2.20 | 0.03 | 0.96 | 0.29 |
| DELAWARE | 27.53 | 58.05 | 9.10 | 4.56 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.50 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 19.24 | 20.56 | 36.61 | 11.78 | 11.81 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 40.03 | 23.54 | 32.90 | 2.16 | 0.29 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 0.66 |
| GEORGIA | 39.74 | 32.72 | 25.69 | 0.77 | 0.05 | 0.73 | 0.07 | 0.23 |
| HAWAII | 42.84 | 31.66 | 23.57 | 0.39 | 0.26 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 1.03 |
| IDAHO | 65.02 | 22.69 | 7.63 | 3.51 | 0.10 | 0.58 | 0.18 | 0.31 |
| ILLINOIS | 30.84 | 33.88 | 28.10 | 4.14 | 1.87 | 0.37 | 0.30 | 0.50 |
| INDIANA | 57.72 | 13.29 | 26.66 | 1.24 | 0.03 | 0.45 | 0.23 | 0.38 |
| IOWA | 60.40 | 25.90 | 10.03 | 2.23 |  | 0.85 | 0.36 | 0.23 |
| KANSAS | 59.64 | 21.15 | 16.34 | 1.60 | 0.23 | 0.53 | 0.18 | 0.34 |
| KENTUCKY | 55.05 | 30.42 | 12.90 | 0.43 | 0.26 | 0.48 | 0.06 | 0.40 |
| LOUISIANA | 35.46 | 18.64 | 42.64 | 1.12 | 0.11 | 1.23 | 0.08 | 0.72 |
| MAINE | 52.22 | 30.78 | 10.61 | 1.04 | 3.10 | 0.05 | 0.64 | 1.56 |
| MARYLAND | 43.74 | 25.42 | 22.85 | 3.86 | 2.43 | 0.64 | 0.57 | 0.50 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 67.33 | 12.81 | 13.88 | 1.65 | 2.92 |  | 0.70 | 0.71 |
| MICHIGAN | 46.17 | 24.07 | 22.19 | 5.45 |  | 0.17 | 0.09 | 1.86 |
| MINNESOTA | 62.22 | 21.91 | 9.12 | 4.93 | 0.35 | 0.66 | 0.33 | 0.49 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 38.03 | 33.93 | 25.45 | 0.99 | 0.20 | 0.60 | 0.03 | 0.77 |
| MISSOURI | 48.98 | 29.33 | 18.89 | 1.22 | 0.61 | 0.37 | 0.16 | 0.44 |
| MONTANA | 54.53 | 32.35 | 10.13 | 1.04 | 0.29 | 0.60 | 0.62 | 0.43 |
| NEBRASKA | 58.20 | 22.46 | 13.36 | 2.10 | 0.17 | 0.28 | 0.15 | 3.29 |
| NEVADA | 42.94 | 36.81 | 17.04 | 2.72 | 0.01 | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.34 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 52.63 | 22.69 | 18.30 | 2.57 | 1.29 | 0.30 | 1.43 | 0.79 |
| NEW JERSEY | 45.75 | 24.22 | 19.87 | 3.88 | 5.20 | 0.37 | 0.06 | 0.65 |
| NEW MEXICO | 34.66 | 28.26 | 35.24 | 0.33 | 0.01 | 0.83 | 0.05 | 0.62 |
| NEW YORK | 41.79 | 12.85 | 34.44 | 7.45 | 2.11 | 0.28 | 0.51 | 0.57 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 58.71 | 20.69 | 17.35 | 1.51 | 0.49 | 0.64 | 0.12 | 0.48 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 75.46 | 13.56 | 7.75 | 1.53 | 0.28 | 0.41 | 0.54 | 0.46 |
| OHIO | 57.48 | 22.65 | 15.37 | 1.99 | 0.00 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 2.09 |
| OKLAHOMA | 49.23 | 33.95 | 14.84 | 0.83 | 0.16 | 0.47 | 0.08 | 0.44 |
| OREGON | 71.04 | 17.81 | 7.26 | 1.25 | 1.29 | 0.40 | 0.22 | 0.72 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 38.20 | 28.16 | 28.70 | 1.67 | 1.58 | 0.61 | 0.26 | 0.83 |
| PUERTO RICO | 9.13 | 53.98 | 27.58 | 3.82 | 2.19 | 0.35 | 0.09 | 2.85 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 50.04 | 18.71 | 25.58 | 0.72 | 2.38 | 0.64 | 1.30 | 0.63 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 39.23 | 35.24 | 23.64 | 1.18 | 0.08 |  | 0.03 | 0.60 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 58.70 | 24.55 | 13.31 | 0.46 | 0.77 | 0.64 | 1.43 | 0.14 |
| TENNESSEE | 49.23 | 30.25 | 17.29 | 0.80 | 0.61 | 0.32 | 0.38 | 1.11 |
| TEXAS | 28.19 | 46.84 | 22.79 | 0.86 | 0.01 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 1.12 |
| UTAH | 40.83 | 34.95 | 19.56 | 2.85 | 0.00 | 1.50 | 0.00 | 0.31 |
| VERMONT | 82.65 | 6.13 | 5.08 | 0.98 | 1.16 | 0.09 | 1.63 | 2.29 |
| VIRGINIA | 37.73 | 31.35 | 27.48 | 0.86 | 0.68 | 0.72 | 0.21 | 0.96 |
| WASHINGTON | 50.00 | 29.01 | 18.64 | 1.36 | 0.32 | 0.29 | 0.03 | 0.36 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 45.37 | 35.58 | 17.45 | 0.29 | 0.01 | 0.47 | 0.02 | 0.80 |
| WISCONSIN | 37.63 | 37.86 | 22.81 | 0.99 | 0.05 | 0.43 | 0.03 | 0.20 |
| WYOMING | 56.74 | 32.91 | 7.95 | 0.32 | 0.26 | 1.07 | 0.51 | 0.25 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 68.33 | 16.11 | 15.56 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| GUAM | 35.12 | 35.86 | 27.37 | 0.80 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.42 | 0.37 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 63.72 | 23.34 | 10.73 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 1.89 |
| PALAU | 22.50 | 48.33 | 19.17 | 3.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.67 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 14.73 | 3.02 | 80.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.27 | 0.79 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 41.55 | 44.41 | 12.87 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.32 | 0.19 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 45.93 | 26.85 | 22.57 | 2.23 | 1.03 | 0.40 | 0.23 | 0.75 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 45.95 | 26.84 | 22.56 | 2.24 | 1.03 | 0.40 | 0.23 | 0.75 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
A crosswalk was used to report placement data for $3-5$ year olds in the OSEP placement categories. See the data notes for how preschool placements were recorded and for more detail on States that used these categories.

Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

Table AB2

## Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RESOURCE } \\ \text { ROOM } \end{array}$ | SEPAR <br> CLASS | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PRIVATE } \\ & \text { RESID } \\ & \text { FACIL } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 36,262 | 36,859 | 14,419 | 1,072 | 69 | 455 | 159 | 282 |
| ALASKA | 8,645 | 4,393 | 1,733 | 13 | 12 | 4 | 40 | 9 |
| ARIZONA | 30,858 | 22,984 | 12,254 | 961 | 591 | 298 | 140 | 142 |
| ARKANSAS | 18,457 | 20,016 | 6,585 | 164 | 379 | 0 | 527 | 232 |
| CALIFORNIA | 267,974 | 104,684 | 118,952 | 5,738 | 8,935 | 1,017 | 1,240 | 2,335 |
| COLORADO | 44,909 | 9,729 | 5,440 | 949 | 194 | 384 | 604 | 364 |
| CONNECTICUT | 39,325 | 14,038 | 11,674 | 1,095 | 1,574 | 26 | 725 | 194 |
| DELAWARE | 3,419 | 8,434 | 1,165 | 591 | 5 | 11 | 22 | 72 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 1,146 | 1,423 | 2,568 | 774 | 755 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 93,606 | 66,687 | 85,635 | 5,735 | 559 | 1,202 | 1 | 1,753 |
| GEORGIA | 46,968 | 40,602 | 32,213 | 785 | 10 | - 968 | 89 | - 83 |
| HAWAII | 7,244 | 5,496 | 3,210 | 63 | 45 | 22 | 24 | 180 |
| IDAHO | 14,195 | 4,626 | 1,529 | 107 | 21 | 130 | 39 | 57 |
| ILLINOIS | 67,322 | 86,261 | 62,182 | 8,754 | 4,646 | 937 | 772 | 1,121 |
| INDIANA | 72,402 | 17,189 | 29,418 | 1,339 | 43 | 596 | 306 | 408 |
| IOWA | 36,412 | 16,607 | 5,054 | 1,188 |  | 550 | 234 | 60 |
| KANSAS | 29,287 | 11,195 | 5,885 | 842 | 105 | 284 | 96 | 179 |
| KENTUCKY | 32,488 | 24,371 | 10,323 | 206 | 123 | 391 | 45 | 259 |
| LOUISIANA | 27,900 | 16,446 | 34,458 | 771 | 99 | 1,099 | 77 | 621 |
| MAINE | 14,895 | 9,568 | 3,169 | 188 | 191 | 16 | 204 | 86 |
| MARYLAND | 39,523 | 23,702 | 20,943 | 3,342 | 2,220 | 586 | 572 | 330 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 93,294 | 19,775 | 20,663 | 2,570 | 4,527 |  | 1,095 | 1,031 |
| MICHIGAN | 81,666 | 44,858 | 35,889 | 7,133 |  | 314 | 170 | 460 |
| MINNESOTA | 56,761 | 19,188 | 6,845 | 3,255 | 332 | 634 | 326 | 197 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 21,058 | 22,189 | 15,574 | 352 | 75 | 384 | 20 | 403 |
| MISSOURI | 57,272 | 33,241 | 20,351 | 1,471 | 661 | 450 | 192 | 530 |
| MONTANA | 8,949 | 5,620 | 1,507 | 161 | 24 | 101 | 112 | 73 |
| NEBRASKA | 22,071 | 8,698 | 4,263 | 381 | 57 | 107 | 57 | 263 |
| NEVADA | 11,007 | 10,274 | 2,993 | 635 | 2 | 31 | 10 | 84 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 12,146 | 5,575 | 3,945 | 549 | 309 | 75 | 355 | 88 |
| NEW JERSEY | 83,109 | 45,445 | 33,752 | 6,399 | 9,401 | 719 | 126 | 1,202 |
| NEW MEXICO | 14,650 | 13,204 | 14,520 | , 20 | - 3 | 372 | 24 | 206 |
| NEW YORK | 142,317 | 45,327 | 117,800 | 25,536 | 7,051 | 971 | 1,790 | 1,976 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 74,631 | 29,583 | 22,603 | 1,596 | 329 | 809 | 147 | 474 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 8,751 | 1,598 | 636 | 31 | 21 | 49 | 64 | 30 |
| OHIO | 123,849 | 49,990 | 28,126 | 4,089 | 0 | 910 | 0 | 2,361 |
| OKLAHOMA | 32,446 | 23,954 | 8,858 | 409 | 105 | 319 | 44 | 279 |
| OREGON | 38,290 | 10,086 | 3,081 | 483 | 570 | 233 | 124 | 253 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 72,392 | 57,942 | 51,961 | 3,475 | 2,979 | 1,269 | 535 | 478 |
| PUERTO RICO | 2,260 | 22,283 | 10,867 | 1,493 | 796 | 129 | 37 | 960 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 11,500 | 4,357 | 5,607 | 168 | 468 | 160 | 325 | 154 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 25,830 | 29,715 | 18,717 | 858 | 30 | 0 | 29 | 326 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 8,590 | 3,312 | , 916 | 69 | 116 | 98 | 214 | 21 |
| TENNESSEE | 54,976 | 37,178 | 20,278 | 913 | 704 | 409 | 479 | 1,368 |
| TEXAS | 107,569 | 204,591 | 88,614 | 3,447 | 55 | 847 | 13 | 4,670 |
| UTAH | 19,329 | 16,655 | 9,247 | 1,243 | 0 | 779 | 0 | 157 |
| VERMONT | 8,516 | 663 | , 382 | 83 | 104 | 10 | 183 | 90 |
| VIRGINIA | 47,755 | 43,578 | 33,650 | 935 | 886 | 1,008 | 296 | 367 |
| WASHINGTON | 48,792 | 29,024 | 14,869 | 683 | 205 | 295 | 29 | 279 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 18,356 | 15,899 | 6,913 | 122 | 3 | 212 | 10 | 130 |
| WISCONSIN | 34,747 | 38,997 | 17,605 | 799 | 53 | 451 | 27 | 190 |
| WYOMING | 6,145 | 3,700 | 878 | 35 | 29 | 120 | 58 | 28 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 193 | 58 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 553 | 630 | 478 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 159 | 74 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| PALAU | 27 | 58 | 23 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 241 | 55 | 937 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 15 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 3,071 | 3,282 | 951 | 37 | 0 | 12 | 24 | 14 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 2,286,505 | 1,445,966 | 1,093,198 | 104,122 | 50,471 | 21,253 | 12,856 | 27,928 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 2,282,261 | 1,441,809 | 1,090,719 | 104,070 | 50,471 | 21,241 | 12,807 | 27,895 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB2

## Percentage of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR <br> CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | SEPAR CLASS | PUBLIC SEPAR FACIL | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PUBLIC } \\ & \text { RESID } \\ & \text { FACIL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 40.48 | 41.15 | 16.10 | 1.20 | 0.08 | 0.51 | 0.18 | 0.31 |
| ALASKA | 58.22 | 29.58 | 11.67 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.27 | 0.06 |
| ARIZONA | 45.23 | 33.69 | 17.96 | 1.41 | 0.87 | 0.44 | 0.21 | 0.21 |
| ARKANSAS | 39.81 | 43.18 | 14.20 | 0.35 | 0.82 | 0.00 | 1.14 | 0.50 |
| CALIFORNIA | 52.45 | 20.49 | 23.28 | 1.12 | 1.75 | 0.20 | 0.24 | 0.46 |
| COLORADO | 71.77 | 15.55 | 8.69 | 1.52 | 0.31 | 0.61 | 0.97 | 0.58 |
| CONNECTICUT | 57.28 | 20.45 | 17.00 | 1.60 | 2.29 | 0.04 | 1.06 | 0.28 |
| DELAWARE | 24.92 | 61.48 | 8.49 | 4.31 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.16 | 0.52 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 17.19 | 21.35 | 38.52 | 11.61 | 11.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 36.68 | 26.13 | 33.56 | 2.25 | 0.22 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 0.69 |
| GEORGIA | 38.59 | 33.36 | 26.47 | 0.64 | 0.01 | 0.80 | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| HAWAII | 44.49 | 33.75 | 19.71 | 0.39 | 0.28 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 1.11 |
| IDAHO | 68.56 | 22.34 | 7.39 | 0.52 | 0.10 | 0.63 | 0.19 | 0.28 |
| ILLINOIS | 29.02 | 37.18 | 26.80 | 3.77 | 2.00 | 0.40 | 0.33 | 0.48 |
| INDIANA | 59.49 | 14.12 | 24.17 | 1.10 | 0.04 | 0.49 | 0.25 | 0.34 |
| IOWA | 60.58 | 27.63 | 8.41 | 1.98 |  | 0.92 | 0.39 | 0.10 |
| KANSAS | 61.18 | 23.38 | 12.29 | 1.76 | 0.22 | 0.59 | 0.20 | 0.37 |
| KENTUCKY | 47.63 | 35.73 | 15.14 | 0.30 | 0.18 | 0.57 | 0.07 | 0.38 |
| LOUISIANA | 34.25 | 20.19 | 42.29 | 0.95 | 0.12 | 1.35 | 0.09 | 0.76 |
| MAINE | 52.60 | 33.79 | 11.19 | 0.66 | 0.67 | 0.06 | 0.72 | 0.30 |
| MARYLAND | 43.33 | 25.98 | 22.96 | 3.66 | 2.43 | 0.64 | 0.63 | 0.36 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 65.26 | 13.83 | 14.45 | 1.80 | 3.17 |  | 0.77 | 0.72 |
| MICHIGAN | 47.90 | 26.31 | 21.05 | 4.18 |  | 0.18 | 0.10 | 0.27 |
| MINNESOTA | 64.84 | 21.92 | 7.82 | 3.72 | 0.38 | 0.72 | 0.37 | 0.23 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 35.06 | 36.95 | 25.93 | 0.59 | 0.12 | 0.64 | 0.03 | 0.67 |
| MISSOURI | 50.16 | 29.12 | 17.83 | 1.29 | 0.58 | 0.39 | 0.17 | 0.46 |
| MONTANA | 54.08 | 33.96 | 9.11 | 0.97 | 0.15 | 0.61 | 0.68 | 0.44 |
| NEBRASKA | 61.48 | 24.23 | 11.88 | 1.06 | 0.16 | 0.30 | 0.16 | 0.73 |
| NEVADA | 43.96 | 41.04 | 11.95 | 2.54 | 0.01 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.34 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 52.71 | 24.19 | 17.12 | 2.38 | 1.34 | 0.33 | 1.54 | 0.38 |
| NEW JERSEY | 46.13 | 25.23 | 18.74 | 3.55 | 5.22 | 0.40 | 0.07 | 0.67 |
| NEW MEXICO | 34.07 | 30.71 | 33.77 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.87 | 0.06 | 0.48 |
| NEW YORK | 41.52 | 13.22 | 34.37 | 7.45 | 2.06 | 0.28 | 0.52 | 0.58 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 57.33 | 22.73 | 17.36 | 1.23 | 0.25 | 0.62 | 0.11 | 0.36 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 78.27 | 14.29 | 5.69 | 0.28 | 0.19 | 0.44 | 0.57 | 0.27 |
| OHIO | 59.17 | 23.88 | 13.44 | 1.95 | 0.00 | 0.43 | 0.00 | 1.13 |
| OKLAHOMA | 48.85 | 36.07 | 13.34 | 0.62 | 0.16 | 0.48 | 0.07 | 0.42 |
| OREGON | 72.08 | 18.99 | 5.80 | 0.91 | 1.07 | 0.44 | 0.23 | 0.48 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 37.90 | 30.33 | 27.20 | 1.82 | 1.56 | 0.66 | 0.28 | 0.25 |
| PUERTO RICO | 5.82 | 57.39 | 27.99 | 3.85 | 2.05 | 0.33 | 0.10 | 2.47 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 50.57 | 19.16 | 24.66 | 0.74 | 2.06 | 0.70 | 1.43 | 0.68 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 34.21 | 39.36 | 24.79 | 1.14 | 0.04 | . | 0.04 | 0.43 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 64.41 | 24.84 | 6.87 | 0.52 | 0.87 | 0.73 | 1.60 | 0.16 |
| TENNESSEE | 47.27 | 31.97 | 17.44 | 0.79 | 0.61 | 0.35 | 0.41 | 1.18 |
| TEXAS | 26.25 | 49.92 | 21.62 | 0.84 | 0.01 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 1.14 |
| UTAH | 40.77 | 35.13 | 19.50 | 2.62 | 0.00 | 1.64 | 0.00 | 0.33 |
| VERMONT | 84.90 | 6.61 | 3.81 | 0.83 | 1.04 | 0.10 | 1.82 | 0.90 |
| VIRGINIA | 37.17 | 33.92 | 26.19 | 0.73 | 0.69 | 0.78 | 0.23 | 0.29 |
| WASHINGTON | 51.81 | 30.82 | 15.79 | 0.73 | 0.22 | 0.31 | 0.03 | 0.30 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 44.08 | 38.18 | 16.60 | 0.29 | 0.01 | 0.51 | 0.02 | 0.31 |
| WISCONSIN | 37.42 | 41.99 | 18.96 | 0.86 | 0.06 | 0.49 | 0.03 | 0.20 |
| WYOMING | 55.90 | 33.66 | 7.99 | 0.32 | 0.26 | 1.09 | 0.53 | 0.25 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 62.87 | 18.89 | 18.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| GUAM | 32.92 | 37.50 | 28.45 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.48 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 58.67 | 27.31 | 12.55 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 | 1.11 |
| PALAU | 23.89 | 51.33 | 20.35 | 3.54 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.88 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 19.07 | 4.35 | 74.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.27 | 1.19 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 41.55 | 44.41 | 12.87 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.32 | 0.19 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 45.35 | 28.68 | 21.68 | 2.06 | 1.00 | 0.42 | 0.25 | 0.55 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 45.36 | 28.66 | 21.68 | 2.07 | 1.00 | 0.42 | 0.25 | 0.55 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB2

## Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULARCLASS | RESOURCEROOM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEPAR } \\ & \text { CLASS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | PRIVATESEPAR FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALABAMA | 15,113 | 21,738 | 1,298 | 46 | 4 | 2 | 17 | 43 |
| ALASKA | 5,457 | 3,391 | 576 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 18 | 2 |
| ARIZONA | 16,271 | 19,178 | 4,800 | 39 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| ARKANSAS | 8,685 | 12,029 | 1,272 | 9 | 25 | 0 | 17 | 96 |
| CALIFORNIA | 151,920 | 89,065 | 66,618 | 481 | 1,589 | 0 | 142 | 823 |
| COLORADO | 25,668 | 6,375 | 1,114 | 88 | 19 | 120 | 72 | 50 |
| CONNECTICUT | 21,811 | 8,983 | 4,278 | 113 | 328 | 2 | 66 | 35 |
| DELAWARE | 2,111 | 5,892 | 585 | 124 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 12 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 694 | 1,056 | 1,623 | 112 | 346 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 37,021 | 55,550 | 36,441 | 97 | 177 | 160 | 0 | 132 |
| GEORGIA | 16,461 | 17,399 | 5,076 | 9 | 1 | 69 | 0 | 3 |
| HAWAII | 3,671 | 3,584 | 954 | 2 |  |  |  | 8 |
| IDAHO | 9,046 | 2,920 | 198 | 21 | 1 | 3 | 11 | 22 |
| ILLINOIS | 14,693 | 71,295 | 29,614 | 613 | 189 | 140 | 16 | 37 |
| INDIANA | 29,641 | 13,152 | 8,596 | 35 | 1 | 76 | 11 | 122 |
| IOWA | 17,714 | 8,080 | 2,458 | 145 |  | 42 | 34 | 9 |
| KANSAS | 13,094 | 6,860 | 1,013 | 15 | 2 | 12 | 4 | 38 |
| KENTUCKY | 7,991 | 12,026 | 1,696 | 48 | 3 | 12 | 5 | 43 |
| LOUISIANA | 9,310 | 12,250 | 15,181 | 26 | 16 | 128 | 11 | 173 |
| MAINE | 6,716 | 5,379 | 516 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 17 |
| MARYLAND | 18,821 | 14,956 | 9,030 | 144 | 286 | 15 | 14 | 101 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 63,972 | 14,151 | 7,757 | 430 | 661 |  | 113 | 56 |
| MICHIGAN | 35,127 | 32,012 | 14,008 | 843 |  | 17 | 35 | 63 |
| MINNESOTA | 27,194 | 9,300 | 885 | 334 | 28 | 34 | 36 | 24 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 4,896 | 17,438 | 8,294 | 61 | 24 | 9 | 1 | 147 |
| MISSOURI | 29,313 | 25,126 | 6,247 | 4 | 141 | 0 | 47 | 158 |
| MONTANA | 4,561 | 4,437 | 387 | 19 | 9 | 3 | 17 | 15 |
| NEBRASKA | 9,962 | 4,717 | 622 | 23 | 6 | 45 | 15 | 21 |
| NEVADA | 5,766 | 8,919 | 1,174 | 159 | 1 | 23 | 2 | 32 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 7,074 | 3,041 | 1,866 | 15 | 62 | 15 | 60 | 21 |
| NEW JERSEY | 36,096 | 38,081 | 22,741 | 943 | 1,761 | 35 | 17 | 341 |
| NEW MEXICO | 7,613 | 9,743 | 7,890 | 13 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 41 |
| NEW YORK | 97,656 | 32,238 | 68,264 | 2,617 | 959 | 28 | 234 | 232 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 36,822 | 15,619 | 3,400 | 28 | 13 | 44 | 0 | 71 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 4,825 | 672 | 16 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 9 |
| OHIO | 61,678 | 14,159 | 3,108 | 74 | 0 | 184 | 0 | 112 |
| OKLAHOMA | 17,419 | 16,649 | 1,394 | 60 | 45 | 25 | 4 | 72 |
| OREGON | 22,110 | 7,191 | 570 | 126 | 132 | 33 | 17 | 75 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 28,557 | 43,117 | 24,334 | 237 | 0 | 206 | 0 | 33 |
| PUERTO RICO | 523 | 13,357 | 2,191 | 264 | 167 | 22 | 7 | 28 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 7,034 | 3,297 | 3,413 | 46 | 60 | 12 | 41 | 15 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 5,843 | 20,802 | 5,686 | 18 | 15 |  | 1 | 101 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 4,514 | 2,075 | 93 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 26,056 | 25,363 | 6,557 | 74 | 131 | 1 | 165 | 315 |
| TEXAS | 38,460 | 161,635 | 37,008 | 357 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 405 |
| UTAH | 10,096 | 13,200 | 3,811 | 110 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 47 |
| VERMONT | 4,068 | 277 | 61 | 12 | 14 | 1 | 35 | 23 |
| VIRGINIA | 20,247 | 31,162 | 13,681 | 38 | 181 | 188 | 20 | 77 |
| WASHINGTON | 22,345 | 17,174 | 4,183 | 119 | 23 | 22 | 7 | 26 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 6,783 | 10,477 | 1,696 | 1 | 0 | 40 | 1 | 26 |
| WISCONSIN | 12,792 | 26,477 | 3,514 | 55 | 8 | 24 | 3 | 27 |
| WYOMING | 2,985 | 2,422 | 201 | 4 | 8 | 15 | 14 | 8 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 187 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 330 | 550 | 346 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 111 | 44 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 22 | 52 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 88 | 24 | 302 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 1,612 | 2,251 | 331 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 1,096,646 | 1,018,455 | 448,986 | 9,284 | 7,509 | 1,858 | 1,354 | 4,417 |
| 50 StATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 1,094,296 | 1,015,486 | 447,989 | 9,278 | 7,509 | 1,858 | 1,348 | 4,413 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB2

## Percentage of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULARCLASS | RESOURCEROOM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEPAR } \\ & \text { CLASS } \end{aligned}$ | ---PERCENTAGE----- |  | PUBLICRESIDFACIL | PRIVATERESIDFACIL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOME } \\ & \text { HOSP } \\ & \text { ENVIR } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | FACIL | FACIL |  |  |  |
| ALABAMA | 39.50 | 56.82 | 3.39 | 0.12 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.11 |
| ALASKA | 57.68 | 35.85 | 6.09 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.19 | 0.02 |
| ARIZONA | 40.31 | 47.51 | 11.89 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 |
| ARKANSAS | 39.24 | 54.35 | 5.75 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.43 |
| CALIFORNIA | 48.91 | 28.67 | 21.45 | 0.15 | 0.51 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.26 |
| COLORADO | 76.61 | 19.03 | 3.32 | 0.26 | 0.06 | 0.36 | 0.21 | 0.15 |
| CONNECTICUT | 61.24 | 25.22 | 12.01 | 0.32 | 0.92 | 0.01 | 0.19 | 0.10 |
| DELAWARE | 24.17 | 67.45 | 6.70 | 1.42 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.14 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 18.12 | 27.56 | 42.36 | 2.92 | 9.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 28.57 | 42.87 | 28.12 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.10 |
| GEORGIA | 42.19 | 44.59 | 13.01 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| HAWAII | 44.66 | 43.61 | 11.61 | 0.02 |  |  |  | 0.10 |
| IDAHO | 74.01 | 23.89 | 1.62 | 0.17 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.18 |
| ILLINOIS | 12.60 | 61.15 | 25.40 | 0.53 | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.01 | 0.03 |
| INDIANA | 57.41 | 25.47 | 16.65 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.02 | 0.24 |
| IOWA | 62.19 | 28.37 | 8.63 | 0.51 |  | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.03 |
| KANSAS | 62.24 | 32.61 | 4.82 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.18 |
| KENTUCKY | 36.62 | 55.10 | 7.77 | 0.22 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.20 |
| LOUISIANA | 25.10 | 33.02 | 40.92 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.35 | 0.03 | 0.47 |
| MAINE | 53.10 | 42.53 | 4.08 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.13 |
| MARYLAND | 43.40 | 34.49 | 20.82 | 0.33 | 0.66 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.23 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 73.41 | 16.24 | 8.90 | 0.49 | 0.76 |  | 0.13 | 0.06 |
| MICHIGAN | 42.78 | 38.99 | 17.06 | 1.03 |  | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.08 |
| MINNESOTA | 71.88 | 24.58 | 2.34 | 0.88 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.06 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 15.86 | 56.49 | 26.87 | 0.20 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.48 |
| MISSOURI | 48.03 | 41.17 | 10.23 | 0.01 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.26 |
| MONTANA | 48.27 | 46.96 | 4.10 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.18 | 0.16 |
| NEBRASKA | 64.64 | 30.61 | 4.04 | 0.15 | 0.04 | 0.29 | 0.10 | 0.14 |
| NEVADA | 35.87 | 55.48 | 7.30 | 0.99 | 0.01 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.20 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 58.20 | 25.02 | 15.35 | 0.12 | 0.51 | 0.12 | 0.49 | 0.17 |
| NEW JERSEY | 36.09 | 38.08 | 22.74 | 0.94 | 1.76 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.34 |
| NEW MEXICO | 30.08 | 38.49 | 31.17 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.16 |
| NEW YORK | 48.29 | 15.94 | 33.76 | 1.29 | 0.47 | 0.01 | 0.12 | 0.11 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 65.76 | 27.89 | 6.07 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.13 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 87.16 | 12.14 | 0.29 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.16 |
| OHIO | 77.76 | 17.85 | 3.92 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.14 |
| OKLAHOMA | 48.84 | 46.68 | 3.91 | 0.17 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.20 |
| OREGON | 73.08 | 23.77 | 1.88 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.25 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 29.60 | 44.69 | 25.22 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| PUERTO RICO | 3.16 | 80.66 | 13.23 | 1.59 | 1.01 | 0.13 | 0.04 | 0.17 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 50.54 | 23.69 | 24.52 | 0.33 | 0.43 | 0.09 | 0.29 | 0.11 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 18.00 | 64.07 | 17.51 | 0.06 | 0.05 |  | 0.00 | 0.31 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 67.40 | 30.98 | 1.39 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.00 |
| TENNESSEE | 44.42 | 43.24 | 11.18 | 0.13 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.54 |
| TEXAS | 16.17 | 67.95 | 15.56 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.17 |
| UTAH | 37.00 | 48.37 | 13.97 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.17 |
| VERMONT | 90.58 | 6.17 | 1.36 | 0.27 | 0.31 | 0.02 | 0.78 | 0.51 |
| VIRGINIA | 30.87 | 47.51 | 20.86 | 0.06 | 0.28 | 0.29 | 0.03 | 0.12 |
| WASHINGTON | 50.90 | 39.12 | 9.53 | 0.27 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.06 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 35.65 | 55.07 | 8.92 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.01 | 0.14 |
| WISCONSIN | 29.82 | 61.72 | 8.19 | 0.13 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.06 |
| WYOMING | 52.77 | 42.81 | 3.55 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.27 | 0.25 | 0.14 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 79.57 | 20.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| GUAM | 26.83 | 44.72 | 28.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 67.68 | 26.83 | 5.49 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PALAU | 26.51 | 62.65 | 10.84 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 21.20 | 5.78 | 72.77 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.00 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 38.34 | 53.53 | 7.87 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.10 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYing areas | 42.37 | 39.35 | 17.35 | 0.36 | 0.29 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.17 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 42.38 | 39.33 | 17.35 | 0.36 | 0.29 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.17 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB2

## Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | SEPAR | $\begin{gathered} --- \text { NUMB } \\ \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | PRIVATE SEPAR FACII | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | PRIVATE RESID FACIL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOME } \\ & \text { HOSP } \\ & \text { ENVIR } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 15,965 | 194 | 123 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| ALASKA | 2,501 | 398 | 29 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| ARIZONA | 11,264 | 765 | 134 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| ARKANSAS | 7,061 | 429 | 108 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| CALIFORNIA | 97,450 | 5,227 | 7,380 | 48 | 77 | 0 | 2 | 46 |
| COLORADO | 9,377 | 626 | 213 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| CONNECTICUT | 9,579 | 1,115 | 584 | 12 | 24 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| DELAWARE | 816 | 659 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 419 | 4 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 48,487 | 1,837 | 2,050 | 37 | 41 | 18 | 0 | 10 |
| GEORGIA | 20,498 | 5,499 | 242 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| HAWAII | 2,268 | 200 | 75 | 0 |  |  |  | 2 |
| IDAHO | 3,106 | 210 | 33 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| ILLINOIS | 48,164 | 1,044 | 1,929 | 83 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 6 |
| INDIANA | 34,588 | 30 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 1 |
| IOWA | 4,990 | 2,275 | 692 | 7 |  | 0 | 12 | 3 |
| KANSAS | 10,589 | 108 | 122 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| KENTUCKY | 17,818 | 641 | 7 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| LOUISIANA | 15,096 | 353 | 707 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 14 |
| MAINE | 5,068 | 1,177 | 219 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| MARYLAND | 16,236 | 4,875 | 3,305 | 140 | 63 | 4 | 6 | 43 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 21,662 | 1,317 | 1,610 | 44 | 111 |  | 44 | 46 |
| MICHIGAN | 33,150 | 1,084 | 626 | 334 |  | 2 | 1 | 186 |
| MINNESOTA | 13,888 | 760 | 105 | 72 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 6 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 15,692 | 1,607 | 967 | 50 | 40 | 4 | 0 | 22 |
| MISSOURI | 21,816 | 1,709 | 737 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
| MONTANA | 3,235 | 74 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 8,139 | 466 | 193 | 148 | 9 | 13 | 1 | 84 |
| NEVADA | 4,095 | 47 | 249 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 2,644 | 1,350 | 847 | 46 | 19 | 2 | 12 | 8 |
| NEW JERSEY | 43,096 | 1,258 | 1,771 | 62 | 254 | 1 | 0 | 9 |
| NEW MEXICO | 5,262 | 1,997 | 1,827 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 9 |
| NEW YORK | 24,631 | 4,614 | 12,233 | 474 | 289 | 6 | 44 | 16 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 25,693 | 206 | 345 | 4 | 42 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 2,814 | 138 | 97 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| OHIO | 49,447 | 0 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 |
| OKLAHOMA | 12,310 | 1,408 | 44 | 9 | 25 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| OREGON | 11,587 | 935 | 281 | 26 | 39 | 4 | 5 | 21 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 37,162 | 1,826 | 309 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| PUERTO RICO | 844 | 2,159 | 197 | 9 | 44 | 2 | 3 | 20 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 3,495 | 436 | 323 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 17,572 | 682 | 59 | 0 | 10 |  | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 3,175 | 131 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| TENNESSEE | 21,625 | 2,887 | 1,028 | 5 | 20 | 0 | 2 | 22 |
| TEXAS | 55,095 | 3,664 | 834 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| UTAH | 6,615 | 1,002 | 458 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 1,520 | 99 | 49 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 11 |
| VIRGINIA | 21,447 | 3,355 | 375 | 17 | 154 | 4 | 1 | 35 |
| WASHINGTON | 14,272 | 346 | 844 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 15 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 9,873 | 1,119 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| WISCONSIN | 15,479 | 663 | 488 | 11 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| WYOMING | 2,332 | 393 | 52 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 136 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 73 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 1,015 | 362 | 227 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 892,251 | 65,770 | 45,364 | 1,792 | 1,381 | 129 | 158 | 761 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 891,007 | 65,398 | 45,098 | 1,791 | 1,381 | 129 | 158 | 760 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB2

## Percentage of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | SPEECH | OR | LANGUAGE | IMPAIRMENTS |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB2

## Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | SEPAR <br> CLASS | PUBLIC SEPAR FACIL | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | PRIVATE RESID FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 1,491 | 11,713 | 10,514 | 540 | 30 | 38 | 6 | 62 |
| ALASKA | 78 | 118 | 370 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| ARIZONA | 480 | 1,172 | 4,226 | 239 | 69 | 0 | 8 | 11 |
| ARKANSAS | 1,373 | 5,868 | 4,072 | 24 | 194 | 0 | 199 | 55 |
| CALIFORNIA | 1,462 | 3,351 | 20,730 | 2,115 | 474 | 0 | 25 | 549 |
| COLORADO | 1,074 | 761 | 1,158 | 11 | 7 | 12 | 2 | 8 |
| CONNECTICUT | 292 | 793 | 2,393 | 199 | 96 | 0 | 21 | 3 |
| DELAWARE | 128 | 1,042 | 398 | 249 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 1 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 19 | 53 | 682 | 294 | 125 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 781 | 2,112 | 24,771 | 3,093 | 64 | 86 | 0 | 142 |
| GEORGIA | 1,655 | 7,023 | 17,776 | 159 | 3 | 295 | 13 | 41 |
| HAWAII | 307 | 922 | 1,062 | 7 |  |  | 2 |  |
| IDAHO | 937 | 1,046 | 809 | 24 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| ILLINOIS | 662 | 2,784 | 17,082 | 2,524 | 1,468 | 52 | 171 | 18 |
| INDIANA | 2,495 | 2,563 | 14,589 | 603 | 1 | 40 | 41 | 77 |
| IOWA | 7,639 | 3,484 | 1,061 | 284 | 0 | 16 | 42 | 8 |
| KANSAS | 984 | 1,598 | 2,744 | 101 | 33 | 21 | 45 | 11 |
| KENTUCKY | 4,144 | 8,695 | 5,209 | 38 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 90 |
| LOUISIANA | 278 | 1,103 | 10,534 | 324 | 62 | 342 | 16 | 85 |
| MAINE | 119 | 525 | 653 | 9 | 24 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
| MARYLAND | 404 | 1,124 | 3,217 | 1,055 | 168 | 24 | 23 | 17 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 2,930 | 2,642 | 5,863 | 205 | 402 |  | 141 | 42 |
| MICHIGAN | 1,498 | 4,178 | 11,972 | 2,635 |  | 6 | 12 | 37 |
| MINNESOTA | 2,143 | 4,090 | 3,236 | 721 | 14 | 13 | 21 | 36 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 162 | 2,316 | 5,085 | 105 | 6 | 99 | 5 | 62 |
| MISSOURI | 1,140 | 1,673 | 7,869 | 1,277 | 73 | 63 | 25 | 133 |
| MONTANA | 201 | 420 | 499 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 2 |
| NEBRASKA | 1,230 | 2,268 | 1,761 | 97 | 13 | 20 | 21 | 30 |
| NEVADA | 133 | 469 | 750 | 205 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 239 | 203 | 406 | 54 | 22 | 0 | 18 | 10 |
| NEW JERSEY | 62 | 704 | 2,016 | 995 | 644 | 69 | 11 | 43 |
| NEW MEXICO | 156 | 293 | 1,605 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 5 |
| NEW YORK | 1,162 | 1,165 | 9,454 | 4,826 | 495 | 19 | 76 | 50 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 3,597 | 9,004 | 11,931 | 852 | 178 | 30 | 23 | 77 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 357 | 492 | 385 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 8 |
| OHIO | 7,110 | 28,446 | 12,433 | 196 | 0 | 212 | 0 | 134 |
| OKLAHOMA | 1,140 | 4,502 | 4,647 | 93 | 15 | 10 | 0 | 24 |
| OREGON | 1,020 | 861 | 1,269 | 50 | 18 | 11 | 4 | 14 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 1,416 | 7,756 | 16,584 | 1,533 | 106 | 47 | 52 | 99 |
| PUERTO RICO | 407 | 4,950 | 6,928 | 964 | 294 | 71 | 1 | 185 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 29 | 63 | 831 | 4 | 104 | 1 | 20 | 6 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 897 | 4,784 | 9,702 | 487 | 0 |  | 11 | 86 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 275 | 718 | 461 | 15 | 35 | 13 | 42 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 1,242 | 5,299 | 8,310 | 227 | 165 | 10 | 62 | 56 |
| TEXAS | 554 | 8,239 | 21,152 | 1,017 | 20 | 194 | 2 | 323 |
| UTAH | 219 | 445 | 2,338 | 238 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 8 |
| VERMONT | 1,044 | 145 | 153 | 13 | 9 | 0 | 10 | 11 |
| VIRGINIA | 363 | 3,026 | 10,446 | 182 | 43 | 114 | 34 | 63 |
| WASHINGTON | 1,443 | 3,210 | 3,279 | 84 | 11 | 8 | 1 | 5 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 423 | 3,032 | 4,280 | 50 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 40 |
| WISCONSIN | 607 | 3,716 | 7,593 | 296 | 3 | 73 | 5 | 32 |
| WYOMING | 57 | 270 | 266 | 7 | 2 | 35 | 5 | 2 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 10 | 40 | 82 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 14 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| PALAU | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 58 | 30 | 503 | 0 | 0 | , | 1 | 1 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 49 | 278 | 112 | 23 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYing areas | 60,189 | 167,587 | 318,291 | 29,357 | 5,514 | 2,086 | 1,254 | 2,817 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 60,058 | 167,229 | 317,554 | 29,332 | 5,514 | 2,085 | 1,252 | 2,815 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB2

## Percentage of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | SEPAR | PUBLIC SEPAR FACIL | PRIVATE <br> SEPAR <br> FACIL | PUBLIC RESID FACIL | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOME } \\ & \text { HOSP } \\ & \text { ENVIR } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 6.11 | 48.02 | 43.10 | 2.21 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.02 | 0.25 |
| ALASKA | 13.76 | 20.81 | 65.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.18 |
| ARIZONA | 7.74 | 18.89 | 68.11 | 3.85 | 1.11 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.18 |
| ARKANSAS | 11.65 | 49.79 | 34.55 | 0.20 | 1.65 | 0.00 | 1.69 | 0.47 |
| CALIFORNIA | 5.09 | 11.67 | 72.21 | 7.37 | 1.65 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 1.91 |
| COLORADO | 35.41 | 25.09 | 38.18 | 0.36 | 0.23 | 0.40 | 0.07 | 0.26 |
| CONNECTICUT | 7.69 | 20.88 | 63.02 | 5.24 | 2.53 | 0.00 | 0.55 | 0.08 |
| DELAWARE | 7.00 | 57.00 | 21.77 | 13.62 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.49 | 0.05 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 1.62 | 4.52 | 58.14 | 25.06 | 10.66 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 2.52 | 6.80 | 79.78 | 9.96 | 0.21 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.46 |
| GEORGIA | 6.14 | 26.04 | 65.92 | 0.59 | 0.01 | 1.09 | 0.05 | 0.15 |
| HAWAII | 13.35 | 40.09 | 46.17 | 0.30 |  |  | 0.09 |  |
| IDAHO | 33.06 | 36.91 | 28.55 | 0.85 | 0.28 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.28 |
| ILLINOIS | 2.67 | 11.24 | 68.99 | 10.19 | 5.93 | 0.21 | 0.69 | 0.07 |
| INDIANA | 12.22 | 12.56 | 71.48 | 2.95 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.38 |
| IOWA | 60.95 | 27.80 | 8.46 | 2.27 |  | 0.13 | 0.34 | 0.06 |
| KANSAS | 17.77 | 28.86 | 49.56 | 1.82 | 0.60 | 0.38 | 0.81 | 0.20 |
| KENTUCKY | 22.77 | 47.77 | 28.62 | 0.21 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.49 |
| LOUISIANA | 2.18 | 8.66 | 82.66 | 2.54 | 0.49 | 2.68 | 0.13 | 0.67 |
| MAINE | 8.91 | 39.33 | 48.91 | 0.67 | 1.80 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.07 |
| MARYLAND | 6.70 | 18.63 | 53.33 | 17.49 | 2.79 | 0.40 | 0.38 | 0.28 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 23.97 | 21.61 | 47.96 | 1.68 | 3.29 |  | 1.15 | 0.34 |
| MICHIGAN | 7.37 | 20.54 | 58.87 | 12.96 |  | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.18 |
| MINNESOTA | 20.86 | 39.81 | 31.50 | 7.02 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.20 | 0.35 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 2.07 | 29.54 | 64.86 | 1.34 | 0.08 | 1.26 | 0.06 | 0.79 |
| MISSOURI | 9.30 | 13.65 | 64.22 | 10.42 | 0.60 | 0.51 | 0.20 | 1.09 |
| MONTANA | 17.69 | 36.97 | 43.93 | 0.53 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.53 | 0.18 |
| NEBRASKA | 22.61 | 41.69 | 32.37 | 1.78 | 0.24 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.55 |
| NEVADA | 8.51 | 30.01 | 47.98 | 13.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.26 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 25.11 | 21.32 | 42.65 | 5.67 | 2.31 | 0.00 | 1.89 | 1.05 |
| NEW JERSEY | 1.36 | 15.49 | 44.37 | 21.90 | 14.17 | 1.52 | 0.24 | 0.95 |
| NEW MEXICO | 7.56 | 14.20 | 77.80 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.24 |
| NEW YORK | 6.74 | 6.75 | 54.82 | 27.98 | 2.87 | 0.11 | 0.44 | 0.29 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 14.00 | 35.05 | 46.44 | 3.32 | 0.69 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.30 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 28.22 | 38.89 | 30.43 | 0.47 | 0.24 | 0.47 | 0.63 | 0.63 |
| OHIO | 14.65 | 58.61 | 25.62 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 0.28 |
| OKLAHOMA | 10.93 | 43.16 | 44.55 | 0.89 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.23 |
| OREGON | 31.41 | 26.52 | 39.08 | 1.54 | 0.55 | 0.34 | 0.12 | 0.43 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 5.13 | 28.11 | 60.10 | 5.56 | 0.38 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.36 |
| PUERTO RICO | 2.95 | 35.87 | 50.20 | 6.99 | 2.13 | 0.51 | 0.01 | 1.34 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 2.74 | 5.95 | 78.54 | 0.38 | 9.83 | 0.09 | 1.89 | 0.57 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 5.62 | 29.96 | 60.76 | 3.05 | 0.00 |  | 0.07 | 0.54 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 17.64 | 46.06 | 29.57 | 0.96 | 2.25 | 0.83 | 2.69 | 0.00 |
| TENNESSEE | 8.08 | 34.47 | 54.06 | 1.48 | 1.07 | 0.07 | 0.40 | 0.36 |
| TEXAS | 1.76 | 26.15 | 67.15 | 3.23 | 0.06 | 0.62 | 0.01 | 1.03 |
| UTAH | 6.73 | 13.68 | 71.85 | 7.31 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.25 |
| VERMONT | 75.38 | 10.47 | 11.05 | 0.94 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.72 | 0.79 |
| VIRGINIA | 2.54 | 21.20 | 73.20 | 1.28 | 0.30 | 0.80 | 0.24 | 0.44 |
| WASHINGTON | 17.95 | 39.92 | 40.78 | 1.04 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.06 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 5.40 | 38.67 | 54.59 | 0.64 | 0.01 | 0.17 | 0.01 | 0.51 |
| WISCONSIN | 4.92 | 30.15 | 61.61 | 2.40 | 0.02 | 0.59 | 0.04 | 0.26 |
| WYOMING | 8.85 | 41.93 | 41.30 | 1.09 | 0.31 | 5.43 | 0.78 | 0.31 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| GUAM | 7.41 | 29.63 | 60.74 | 1.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.74 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 50.00 | 32.14 | 14.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.57 |
| PALAU | 0.00 | 25.00 | 75.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 9.78 | 5.06 | 84.82 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.17 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 10.58 | 60.04 | 24.19 | 4.97 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 10.25 | 28.55 | 54.21 | 5.00 | 0.94 | 0.36 | 0.21 | 0.48 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 10.25 | 28.55 | 54.20 | 5.01 | 0.94 | 0.36 | 0.21 | 0.48 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB2

## Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | SEPAR <br> CLASS | $\begin{gathered} --- \text { NUMB } \\ \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | PUBLIC RESID FACIL | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 1,993 | 2,082 | 1,035 | 93 | 21 | 45 | 96 | 73 |
| ALASKA | , 208 | , 202 | , 282 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 22 | 1 |
| ARIZONA | 1,000 | 1,075 | 1,688 | 348 | 305 | 13 | 124 | 26 |
| ARKANSAS | 58 | 113 | 148 | 2 | 26 | 0 | 54 | 26 |
| CALIFORNIA | 1,865 | 1,671 | 6,533 | 781 | 5,775 | 0 | 1,016 | 379 |
| COLORADO | 4,492 | 1,055 | 1,421 | 498 | 154 | 130 | 513 | 214 |
| CONNECTICUT | 4,117 | 1,960 | 3,106 | 393 | 843 | 20 | 530 | 110 |
| DELAWARE | 148 | 357 | 93 | 101 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 7 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0 | 245 | 210 | 102 | 220 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 5,055 | 5,785 | 18,004 | 2,069 | 211 | 350 | 1 | 191 |
| GEORGIA | 6,108 | 8,244 | 6,920 | 441 | 0 | 393 | 71 | 10 |
| HAWAII | 563 | 515 | 509 | 0 | 44 | 12 | 21 | 99 |
| IDAHO | 241 | 110 | 107 | 48 | 9 | 2 | 26 | 14 |
| ILLINOIS | 1,681 | 7,931 | 9,753 | 4,934 | 2,701 | 331 | 533 | 60 |
| INDIANA | 2,685 | 903 | 4,115 | 280 | 40 | 186 | 186 | 162 |
| IOWA | 4,398 | 2,006 | 610 | 626 | 0 | 270 | 106 | 15 |
| KANSAS | 1,920 | 1,340 | 976 | 319 | 39 | 203 | 34 | 44 |
| KENTUCKY | 702 | 1,573 | 2,111 | 106 | 82 | 77 | 34 | 52 |
| LOUISIANA | 645 | 703 | 3,841 | 311 | 5 | 233 | 43 | 182 |
| MAINE | 1,732 | 1,411 | 790 | 96 | 133 | 2 | 158 | 29 |
| MARYLAND | 1,080 | 973 | 2,132 | 794 | 1,151 | 183 | 265 | 91 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 2,443 | 987 | 3,477 | 1,601 | 2,368 | 0 | 267 | 136 |
| MICHIGAN | 5,414 | 4,809 | 5,130 | 1,379 | 0 | 155 | 116 | 18 |
| MINNESOTA | 8,499 | 3,461 | 1,915 | 1,909 | 266 | 375 | 253 | 95 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 13 | 93 | 142 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 27 |
| MISSOURI | 2,912 | 2,612 | 3,683 | 77 | 351 | 202 | 61 | 106 |
| MONTANA | 342 | 279 | 235 | 120 | 13 | 20 | 82 | 17 |
| NEBRASKA | 1,174 | 622 | 934 | 43 | 17 | 3 | 12 | 20 |
| NEVADA | 406 | 509 | 370 | 50 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 24 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 883 | 416 | 336 | 11 | 157 | 53 | 171 | 27 |
| NEW JERSEY | 1,816 | 2,786 | 2,999 | 1,513 | 3,438 | 210 | 23 | 490 |
| NEW MEXICO | 760 | 524 | 1,768 | 3 | 0 | 170 | 24 | 90 |
| NEW YORK | 7,304 | 3,165 | 16,682 | 10,391 | 2,194 | 461 | 735 | 1,295 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 2,935 | 2,197 | 3,706 | 288 | 11 | 108 | 3 | 192 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 341 | 201 | 87 | 1 | 7 | 10 | 33 | 5 |
| OHIO | 1,532 | 3,467 | 3,153 | 2,976 | 0 | 212 | 0 | 341 |
| OKLAHOMA | 416 | 705 | 1,248 | 60 | 15 | 24 | 12 | 88 |
| OREGON | 1,507 | 504 | 654 | 242 | 328 | 43 | 87 | 94 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 2,378 | 4,126 | 7,102 | 1,194 | 1,519 | 1,000 | 133 | 277 |
| PUERTO RICO | 56 | 324 | 419 | 28 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 35 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 410 | 275 | 637 | 16 | 185 | 147 | 245 | 17 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 536 | 1,856 | 2,192 | 231 | 0 |  | 17 | 103 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 228 | 147 | 108 | 5 | 46 | 1 | 67 | 4 |
| TENNESSEE | 836 | 807 | 1,164 | 180 | 169 | 52 | 224 | 94 |
| TEXAS | 7,039 | 13,448 | 13,147 | 1,081 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 1,324 |
| UTAH | 1,658 | 1,482 | 1,449 | 183 | 0 | 114 | 0 | 43 |
| VERMONT | 1,081 | -92 | 1,77 | 51 | 50 | 9 | 95 | 31 |
| VIRGINIA | 1,987 | 2,945 | 5,253 | 498 | 429 | 399 | 189 | 93 |
| WASHINGTON | 1,982 | 1,779 | 1,356 | 207 | 57 | 15 | 13 | 127 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 568 | 642 | 677 | 17 | 0 | 40 | 3 | 40 |
| WISCONSIN | 3,678 | 7,054 | 4,585 | 324 | 30 | 171 | 17 | 63 |
| WYOMING | 289 | 287 | 213 | 21 | 1 | 48 | 31 | 6 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 5 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 183 | 214 | 157 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 21 | 5 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 102,308 | 103,072 | 149,478 | 37,053 | 23,434 | 6,522 | 6,792 | 7,113 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 102,114 | 102,855 | 149,282 | 37,053 | 23,434 | 6,517 | 6,766 | 7,107 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB2

## Percentage of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE | --PERCENTAGE- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE | PUBLIC | PRIVATE | HOME |
|  | REGULAR | RESOURCE | SEPAR | SEPAR | SEPAR | RESID | RESID | HOSP |
|  | CLASS | ROOM | CLASS | FACIL | FACIL | FACIL | FACIL | ENVIR |
| ALABAMA | 36.65 | 38.29 | 19.03 | 1.71 | 0.39 | 0.83 | 1.77 | 1.34 |
| ALASKA | 28.77 | 27.94 | 39.00 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.28 | 3.04 | 0.14 |
| ARIZONA | 21.84 | 23.48 | 36.86 | 7.60 | 6.66 | 0.28 | 2.71 | 0.57 |
| ARKANSAS | 13.58 | 26.46 | 34.66 | 0.47 | 6.09 | 0.00 | 12.65 | 6.09 |
| CALIFORNIA | 10.35 | 9.27 | 36.25 | 4.33 | 32.05 | 0.00 | 5.64 | 2.10 |
| COLORADO | 52.99 | 12.45 | 16.76 | 5.87 | 1.82 | 1.53 | 6.05 | 2.52 |
| CONNECTICUT | 37.16 | 17.69 | 28.04 | 3.55 | 7.61 | 0.18 | 4.78 | 0.99 |
| DELAWARE | 20.64 | 49.79 | 12.97 | 14.09 | 0.00 | 0.56 | 0.98 | 0.98 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0.00 | 31.53 | 27.03 | 13.13 | 28.31 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 15.96 | 18.27 | 56.86 | 6.53 | 0.67 | 1.11 | 0.00 | 0.60 |
| GEORGIA | 27.53 | 37.16 | 31.19 | 1.99 | 0.00 | 1.77 | 0.32 | 0.05 |
| HAWAII | 31.93 | 29.21 | 28.87 | 0.00 | 2.50 | 0.68 | 1.19 | 5.62 |
| IDAHO | 43.27 | 19.75 | 19.21 | 8.62 | 1.62 | 0.36 | 4.67 | 2.51 |
| ILLINOIS | 6.02 | 28.40 | 34.93 | 17.67 | 9.67 | 1.19 | 1.91 | 0.21 |
| INDIANA | 31.38 | 10.55 | 48.09 | 3.27 | 0.47 | 2.17 | 2.17 | 1.89 |
| IOWA | 54.76 | 24.98 | 7.60 | 7.79 |  | 3.36 | 1.32 | 0.19 |
| KANSAS | 39.38 | 27.49 | 20.02 | 6.54 | 0.80 | 4.16 | 0.70 | 0.90 |
| KENTUCKY | 14.82 | 33.21 | 44.56 | 2.24 | 1.73 | 1.63 | 0.72 | 1.10 |
| LOUISIANA | 10.82 | 11.79 | 64.41 | 5.22 | 0.08 | 3.91 | 0.72 | 3.05 |
| MAINE | 39.81 | 32.43 | 18.16 | 2.21 | 3.06 | 0.05 | 3.63 | 0.67 |
| MARYLAND | 16.19 | 14.59 | 31.97 | 11.91 | 17.26 | 2.74 | 3.97 | 1.36 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 21.66 | 8.75 | 30.83 | 14.19 | 20.99 |  | 2.37 | 1.21 |
| MICHIGAN | 31.81 | 28.25 | 30.14 | 8.10 |  | 0.91 | 0.68 | 0.11 |
| MINNESOTA | 50.67 | 20.63 | 11.42 | 11.38 | 1.59 | 2.24 | 1.51 | 0.57 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 4.36 | 31.21 | 47.65 | 2.68 | 0.34 | 2.35 | 2.35 | 9.06 |
| MISSOURI | 29.11 | 26.11 | 36.82 | 0.77 | 3.51 | 2.02 | 0.61 | 1.06 |
| MONTANA | 30.87 | 25.18 | 21.21 | 10.83 | 1.17 | 1.81 | 7.40 | 1.53 |
| NEBRASKA | 41.56 | 22.02 | 33.06 | 1.52 | 0.60 | 0.11 | 0.42 | 0.71 |
| NEVADA | 29.68 | 37.21 | 27.05 | 3.65 | 0.00 | 0.58 | 0.07 | 1.75 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 42.99 | 20.25 | 16.36 | 0.54 | 7.64 | 2.58 | 8.33 | 1.31 |
| NEW JERSEY | 13.68 | 20.99 | 22.59 | 11.40 | 25.90 | 1.58 | 0.17 | 3.69 |
| NEW MEXICO | 22.76 | 15.69 | 52.95 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 5.09 | 0.72 | 2.70 |
| NEW YORK | 17.30 | 7.50 | 39.51 | 24.61 | 5.20 | 1.09 | 1.74 | 3.07 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 31.09 | 23.27 | 39.26 | 3.05 | 0.12 | 1.14 | 0.03 | 2.03 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 49.78 | 29.34 | 12.70 | 0.15 | 1.02 | 1.46 | 4.82 | 0.73 |
| OHIO | 13.12 | 29.68 | 26.99 | 25.48 | 0.00 | 1.81 | 0.00 | 2.92 |
| OKLAHOMA | 16.20 | 27.45 | 48.60 | 2.34 | 0.58 | 0.93 | 0.47 | 3.43 |
| OREGON | 43.57 | 14.57 | 18.91 | 7.00 | 9.48 | 1.24 | 2.52 | 2.72 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 13.41 | 23.27 | 40.06 | 6.73 | 8.57 | 5.64 | 0.75 | 1.56 |
| PUERTO RICO | 6.37 | 36.86 | 47.67 | 3.19 | 1.71 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 3.98 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 21.22 | 14.23 | 32.97 | 0.83 | 9.58 | 7.61 | 12.68 | 0.88 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 10.86 | 37.61 | 44.42 | 4.68 | 0.00 |  | 0.34 | 2.09 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 37.62 | 24.26 | 17.82 | 0.83 | 7.59 | 0.17 | 11.06 | 0.66 |
| TENNESSEE | 23.71 | 22.89 | 33.01 | 5.10 | 4.79 | 1.47 | 6.35 | 2.67 |
| TEXAS | 19.53 | 37.30 | 36.47 | 3.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 3.67 |
| UTAH | 33.64 | 30.07 | 29.40 | 3.71 | 0.00 | 2.31 | 0.00 | 0.87 |
| VERMONT | 72.75 | 6.19 | 5.18 | 3.43 | 3.36 | 0.61 | 6.39 | 2.09 |
| VIRGINIA | 16.85 | 24.97 | 44.54 | 4.22 | 3.64 | 3.38 | 1.60 | 0.79 |
| WASHINGTON | 35.80 | 32.14 | 24.49 | 3.74 | 1.03 | 0.27 | 0.23 | 2.29 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 28.59 | 32.31 | 34.07 | 0.86 | 0.00 | 2.01 | 0.15 | 2.01 |
| WISCONSIN | 23.10 | 44.30 | 28.80 | 2.03 | 0.19 | 1.07 | 0.11 | 0.40 |
| WYOMING | 32.25 | 32.03 | 23.77 | 2.34 | 0.11 | 5.36 | 3.46 | 0.67 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| GUAM | 40.00 | 30.00 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 66.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 33.33 | 0.00 |
| PALAU | 0.00 | 0.00 | 66.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 33.33 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 11.90 | 0.00 | 80.95 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.14 | 0.00 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 31.28 | 36.58 | 26.84 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.85 | 3.59 | 0.85 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 23.48 | 23.65 | 34.30 | 8.50 | 5.38 | 1.50 | 1.56 | 1.63 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 23.47 | 23.64 | 34.31 | 8.52 | 5.39 | 1.50 | 1.55 | 1.63 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB2

## Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEPAR } \\ & \text { CLASS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} --- \text { NUME } \\ \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 58 | 94 | 807 | 239 | 7 | 65 | 1 | 46 |
| ALASKA | 42 | 76 | 285 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| ARIZONA | 185 | 125 | 684 | 136 | 105 | 69 | 5 | 32 |
| ARKANSAS | 44 | 117 | 476 | 22 | 76 | 0 | 65 | 23 |
| CALIFORNIA | 296 | 486 | 3,444 | 649 | 307 | 74 | 13 | 64 |
| COLORADO | 964 | 399 | 1,072 | 238 | 9 | 15 | 10 | 44 |
| CONNECTICUT | 288 | 377 | 700 | 197 | 87 | 2 | 25 | 12 |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| GEORGIA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HAWAII | 2 | 3 | 244 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IDAHO | 69 | 65 | 219 | 5 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 6 |
| ILLINOIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INDIANA | 40 | 17 | 523 | 122 | 0 | 72 | 35 | 18 |
| IOWA | 243 | 111 | 34 | 87 | 0 | 18 | 13 | 6 |
| KANSAS | 497 | 350 | 451 | 181 | 18 | 39 | 7 | 24 |
| KENTUCKY | 192 | 244 | 890 | 5 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 44 |
| LOUISIANA | 11 | 10 | 706 | 68 | 1 | 71 | 6 | 62 |
| MAINE | 404 | 565 | 801 | 25 | 21 | 1 | 30 | 22 |
| MARYLAND | 533 | 565 | 1,856 | 1,011 | 371 | 26 | 191 | 38 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 317 | 283 | 893 | 165 | 402 |  | 198 | 136 |
| MICHIGAN | 83 | 62 | 864 | 1,266 | . | 3 | 1 | 65 |
| MINNESOTA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MISSISSIPPI | 2 | 16 | 233 | 65 | 0 | 66 | 2 | 16 |
| MISSOURI | 42 | 141 | 404 | 8 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 17 |
| MONTANA | 112 | 106 | 234 | 13 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 6 |
| NEBRASKA | 21 | 36 | 289 | 37 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 19 |
| NEVADA | 19 | 35 | 158 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 59 | 39 | 57 | 131 | 19 | 0 | 31 | 6 |
| NEW JERSEY | 839 | 2,052 | 3,542 | 2,334 | 2,700 | 169 | 58 | 179 |
| NEW MEXICO | 78 | 136 | 638 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 33 |
| NEW YORK | 1,385 | 1,298 | 5,869 | 4,625 | 1,716 | 186 | 468 | 203 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 52 | 87 | 762 | 235 | 53 | 92 | 119 | 27 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OHIO | 329 | 2,425 | 7,772 | 602 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 89 |
| OKLAHOMA | 85 | 175 | 937 | 134 | 2 | 43 | 22 | 59 |
| OREGON |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 53 | 41 | 996 | 264 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 28 |
| PUERTO RICO | 25 | 93 | 453 | 98 | 28 | 20 | 10 | 510 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 1 | 17 | 114 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 3 | 96 | 159 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 57 | 120 | 164 | 9 | 22 | 38 | 72 | 11 |
| TENNESSEE | 49 | 114 | 1,148 | 185 | 165 | 94 | 1 | 71 |
| TEXAS | 291 | 1,716 | 3,874 | 444 | 14 | 66 | 2 | 186 |
| UTAH | 44 | 39 | 647 | 638 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 18 |
| VERMONT | 55 | 8 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 3 |
| VIRGINIA | 834 | 913 | 1,761 | 94 | 26 | 74 | 12 | 37 |
| WASHINGTON | 404 | 649 | 2,040 | 103 | 17 | 19 | 1 | 33 |
| WEST VIRGINIA |  |  | . | . | . | . |  |  |
| WISCONSIN | - | - | . | . | . | . | . |  |
| WYOMING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 11 | 10 | 17 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 5 | 8 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 3 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 145 | 109 | 105 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 9,268 | 14,428 | 47,402 | 14,673 | 6,250 | 1,449 | 1,443 | 2,220 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 9,107 | 14,301 | 47,217 | 14,661 | 6,250 | 1,447 | 1,434 | 2,211 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB2

## Percentage of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULARCLASS | RESOURCEROOM | SEPAR CLASS | PUBLIC SEPAR FACII | $\begin{gathered} \text { TAGE----- } \\ \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | PUBLIC RESID FACIL | PRIVATERESIDFACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALABAMA | 4.40 | 7.14 | 61.28 | 18.15 | 0.53 | 4.94 | 0.08 | 3.49 |
| ALASKA | 10.32 | 18.67 | 70.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.98 |
| ARIZONA | 13.80 | 9.32 | 51.01 | 10.14 | 7.83 | 5.15 | 0.37 | 2.39 |
| ARKANSAS | 5.35 | 14.22 | 57.84 | 2.67 | 9.23 | 0.00 | 7.90 | 2.79 |
| CALIFORNIA | 5.55 | 9.11 | 64.58 | 12.17 | 5.76 | 1.39 | 0.24 | 1.20 |
| COLORADO | 35.04 | 14.50 | 38.97 | 8.65 | 0.33 | 0.55 | 0.36 | 1.60 |
| CONNECTICUT | 17.06 | 22.33 | 41.47 | 11.67 | 5.15 | 0.12 | 1.48 | 0.71 |
| DELAWARE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 33.33 | 66.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA |  | . | . |  |  | . |  |  |
| GEORGIA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HAWAII | 0.78 | 1.16 | 94.57 | 3.10 | 0.39 |  |  |  |
| IDAHO | 18.11 | 17.06 | 57.48 | 1.31 | 0.00 | 4.20 | 0.26 | 1.57 |
| ILLINOIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INDIANA | 4.84 | 2.06 | 63.24 | 14.75 | 0.00 | 8.71 | 4.23 | 2.18 |
| IOWA | 47.46 | 21.68 | 6.64 | 16.99 |  | 3.52 | 2.54 | 1.17 |
| KANSAS | 31.72 | 22.34 | 28.78 | 11.55 | 1.15 | 2.49 | 0.45 | 1.53 |
| KENTUCKY | 13.84 | 17.59 | 64.17 | 0.36 | 0.65 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 3.17 |
| LOUISIANA | 1.18 | 1.07 | 75.51 | 7.27 | 0.11 | 7.59 | 0.64 | 6.63 |
| MAINE | 21.62 | 30.23 | 42.86 | 1.34 | 1.12 | 0.05 | 1.61 | 1.18 |
| MARYLAND | 11.61 | 12.31 | 40.43 | 22.02 | 8.08 | 0.57 | 4.16 | 0.83 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 13.24 | 11.82 | 37.30 | 6.89 | 16.79 |  | 8.27 | 5.68 |
| MICHIGAN | 3.54 | 2.65 | 36.86 | 54.01 | . | 0.13 | 0.04 | 2.77 |
| MINNESOTA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MISSISSIPPI | 0.50 | 4.00 | 58.25 | 16.25 | 0.00 | 16.50 | 0.50 | 4.00 |
| MISSOURI | 6.47 | 21.73 | 62.25 | 1.23 | 1.85 | 2.00 | 1.85 | 2.62 |
| montana | 23.14 | 21.90 | 48.35 | 2.69 | 0.00 | 1.86 | 0.83 | 1.24 |
| NEBRASKA | 5.06 | 8.67 | 69.64 | 8.92 | 0.96 | 1.45 | 0.72 | 4.58 |
| NEVADA | 4.86 | 8.95 | 40.41 | 42.71 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 2.56 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 17.25 | 11.40 | 16.67 | 38.30 | 5.56 | 0.00 | 9.06 | 1.75 |
| NEW JERSEY | 7.07 | 17.28 | 29.83 | 19.66 | 22.74 | 1.42 | 0.49 | 1.51 |
| NEW MEXICO | 8.40 | 14.64 | 68.68 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.74 | 0.00 | 3.55 |
| NEW YORK | 8.79 | 8.24 | 37.26 | 29.37 | 10.90 | 1.18 | 2.97 | 1.29 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 3.64 | 6.10 | 53.40 | 16.47 | 3.71 | 6.45 | 8.34 | 1.89 |
| NORTH DAKOTA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OHIO | 2.93 | 21.62 | 69.29 | 5.37 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.79 |
| OKLAHOMA | 5.83 | 12.01 | 64.31 | 9.20 | 0.14 | 2.95 | 1.51 | 4.05 |
| OREGON |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 3.80 | 2.94 | 71.35 | 18.91 | 0.00 | 0.93 | 0.07 | 2.01 |
| PUERTO RICO | 2.02 | 7.52 | 36.62 | 7.92 | 2.26 | 1.62 | 0.81 | 41.23 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 0.51 | 8.67 | 58.16 | 0.00 | 28.06 | 0.00 | 4.59 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 0.96 | 30.77 | 50.96 | 16.03 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.28 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 11.56 | 24.34 | 33.27 | 1.83 | 4.46 | 7.71 | 14.60 | 2.23 |
| TENNESSEE | 2.68 | 6.24 | 62.84 | 10.13 | 9.03 | 5.15 | 0.05 | 3.89 |
| TEXAS | 4.41 | 26.03 | 58.76 | 6.73 | 0.21 | 1.00 | 0.03 | 2.82 |
| UTAH | 3.13 | 2.77 | 45.98 | 45.34 | 0.00 | 1.49 | 0.00 | 1.28 |
| VERMONT | 62.50 | 9.09 | 19.32 | 0.00 | 1.14 | 0.00 | 4.55 | 3.41 |
| VIRGINIA | 22.23 | 24.34 | 46.95 | 2.51 | 0.69 | 1.97 | 0.32 | 0.99 |
| WASHINGTON | 12.37 | 19.87 | 62.46 | 3.15 | 0.52 | 0.58 | 0.03 | 1.01 |
| WEST VIRGINIA |  | . | . |  |  | . |  |  |
| WISCONSIN |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |
| WYOMING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| GUAM | 23.91 | 21.74 | 36.96 | 17.39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 15.15 | 24.24 | 54.55 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.06 |
| PALAU | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 73.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 19.51 | 7.32 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 39.40 | 29.62 | 28.53 | 0.54 | 0.00 | 0.54 | 0.27 | 1.09 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 9.54 | 14.85 | 48.80 | 15.11 | 6.43 | 1.49 | 1.49 | 2.29 |
| 50 StATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 9.42 | 14.80 | 48.86 | 15.17 | 6.47 | 1.50 | 1.48 | 2.29 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB2

## Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | SEPAR CLASS | PUBLIC SEPAR FACII | PRIVATE SEPAR FACII | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | PRIVATE RESID FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 365 | 205 | 154 | 29 | 1 | 214 | 0 | 1 |
| ALASKA | 81 | 52 | 66 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 580 | 227 | 137 | 166 | 0 | 134 | 3 | 2 |
| ARKANSAS | 196 | 154 | 44 | 65 | 1 | 0 | 119 | 0 |
| CALIFORNIA | 2,867 | 1,266 | 3,431 | 127 | 91 | 836 | 14 | 11 |
| COLORADO | 645 | 78 | 172 | 53 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 1 |
| CONNECTICUT | 386 | 102 | 64 | 57 | 94 | 0 | 46 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 24 | 141 | 3 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| district of columbia | 10 | 11 | 18 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 544 | 317 | 1,028 | 12 | 0 | 437 | 0 | 1 |
| GEORGIA | 344 | 280 | 407 | 147 | 3 | 105 | 0 | 0 |
| HAWAII | 134 | 83 | 107 | 44 |  |  |  |  |
| IDAHO | 149 | 43 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 542 | 806 | 1,256 | 69 | 16 | 275 | 21 | 1 |
| INDIANA | 707 | 132 | 369 | 125 | 0 | 118 | 7 | 2 |
| IOWA | 398 | 182 | 55 | 4 | 0 | 142 | 6 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 221 | 102 | 97 | 164 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| KENTUCKY | 287 | 179 | 76 | 1 | 8 | 209 | 0 | 0 |
| LOUISIANA | 465 | 272 | 467 | 0 | 6 | 232 | 0 | 5 |
| MAINE | 146 | 58 | 20 | 41 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 1 |
| MARYLAND | 494 | 152 | 232 | 20 | 5 | 328 | 0 | 2 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 551 | 100 | 354 | 38 | 255 |  | 92 | 5 |
| MICHIGAN | 1,277 | 497 | 757 | 52 |  | 112 | 1 | 14 |
| MINNESOTA | 1,052 | 218 | 159 | 99 | 2 | 154 | 1 | 0 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 131 | 200 | 153 | 5 | 1 | 125 | 0 | 3 |
| MISSOURI | 377 | 292 | 246 | 41 | 20 | 135 | 19 | 0 |
| MONTANA | 103 | 38 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 346 | 88 | 110 | 15 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 5 |
| NEVADA | 103 | 59 | 152 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 61 | 20 | 15 | 139 | 1 | 0 | 22 | 0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 333 | 236 | 384 | 141 | 37 | 175 | 4 | 9 |
| NEW MEXICO | 162 | 64 | 126 | 2 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 11 |
| NEW YORK | 1,684 | 347 | 1,232 | 539 | 733 | 231 | 91 | 20 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 922 | 351 | 257 | 12 | 2 | 416 | 0 | 5 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 76 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| OHIO | 956 | 595 | 594 | 118 | 0 | 165 | 0 | 3 |
| OKLAHOMA | 232 | 109 | 196 | 14 | 0 | 158 | 1 | 0 |
| OREGON | 151 | 45 | 5 | 3 | 10 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 1,451 | 405 | 524 | 7 | 310 | 0 | 167 | 1 |
| PUERTO RICO | 55 | 346 | 256 | 12 | 123 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 41 | 31 | 16 | 100 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 355 | 257 | 245 | 22 | 1 |  | 0 | 2 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 67 | 21 | 3 | 34 | 1 | 27 | 1 | 2 |
| TENNESSEE | 521 | 174 | 389 | 42 | 4 | 152 | 7 | 6 |
| TEXAS | 860 | 1,712 | 2,129 | 70 | 2 | 395 | 0 | 44 |
| UTAH | 248 | 106 | 50 | 3 | 0 | 409 | 0 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 93 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 29 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 423 | 266 | 373 | 3 | 3 | 160 | 5 | 6 |
| WASHINGTON | 1,048 | 705 | 346 | 72 | 37 | 170 | 1 | 6 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 104 | 143 | 47 | 22 | 1 | 58 | 0 | 2 |
| WISCONSIN | 542 | 156 | 340 | 60 | 1 | 136 | 0 | 3 |
| WYOMING | 85 | 48 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 9 | 9 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 5 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 21 | 13 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 24,034 | 12,532 | 17,778 | 2,818 | 1,791 | 6,648 | 663 | 175 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 23,995 | 12,494 | 17,738 | 2,818 | 1,791 | 6,648 | 662 | 175 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB2

## Percentage of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | -PERCENTAGE- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE | PUBLIC | PRIVATE | HOME |
|  | REGULAR | RESOURCE | SEPAR | SEPAR | SEPAR | RESID | RESID | HOSP |
|  | CLASS | ROOM | CLASS | FACIL | FACIL | FACIL | FACIL | ENVIR |
| ALABAMA | 37.67 | 21.16 | 15.89 | 2.99 | 0.10 | 22.08 | 0.00 | 0.10 |
| ALASKA | 40.50 | 26.00 | 33.00 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARIZONA | 46.44 | 18.17 | 10.97 | 13.29 | 0.00 | 10.73 | 0.24 | 0.16 |
| ARKANSAS | 33.85 | 26.60 | 7.60 | 11.23 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 20.55 | 0.00 |
| CALIFORNIA | 33.17 | 14.65 | 39.70 | 1.47 | 1.05 | 9.67 | 0.16 | 0.13 |
| COLORADO | 62.74 | 7.59 | 16.73 | 5.16 | 0.00 | 7.68 | 0.00 | 0.10 |
| CONNECTICUT | 51.54 | 13.62 | 8.54 | 7.61 | 12.55 | 0.00 | 6.14 | 0.00 |
| DELAWARE | 13.26 | 77.90 | 1.66 | 6.63 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.55 | 0.00 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 21.74 | 23.91 | 39.13 | 8.70 | 6.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 23.26 | 13.55 | 43.95 | 0.51 | 0.00 | 18.68 | 0.00 | 0.04 |
| GEORGIA | 26.75 | 21.77 | 31.65 | 11.43 | 0.23 | 8.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| HAWAII | 36.41 | 22.55 | 29.08 | 11.96 |  |  |  |  |
| IDAHO | 47.91 | 13.83 | 7.07 | 0.32 | 0.00 | 30.87 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ILLINOIS | 18.15 | 26.99 | 42.06 | 2.31 | 0.54 | 9.21 | 0.70 | 0.03 |
| INDIANA | 48.42 | 9.04 | 25.27 | 8.56 | 0.00 | 8.08 | 0.48 | 0.14 |
| IOWA | 50.57 | 23.13 | 6.99 | 0.51 |  | 18.04 | 0.76 | 0.00 |
| KANSAS | 37.71 | 17.41 | 16.55 | 27.99 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| KENTUCKY | 37.76 | 23.55 | 10.00 | 0.13 | 1.05 | 27.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| LOUISIANA | 32.14 | 18.80 | 32.27 | 0.00 | 0.41 | 16.03 | 0.00 | 0.35 |
| MAINE | 52.33 | 20.79 | 7.17 | 14.70 | 0.36 | 4.30 | 0.00 | 0.36 |
| MARYLAND | 40.06 | 12.33 | 18.82 | 1.62 | 0.41 | 26.60 | 0.00 | 0.16 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 39.50 | 7.17 | 25.38 | 2.72 | 18.28 |  | 6.59 | 0.36 |
| MICHIGAN | 47.12 | 18.34 | 27.93 | 1.92 |  | 4.13 | 0.04 | 0.52 |
| MINNESOTA | 62.43 | 12.94 | 9.44 | 5.88 | 0.12 | 9.14 | 0.06 | 0.00 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 21.20 | 32.36 | 24.76 | 0.81 | 0.16 | 20.23 | 0.00 | 0.49 |
| MISSOURI | 33.36 | 25.84 | 21.77 | 3.63 | 1.77 | 11.95 | 1.68 | 0.00 |
| MONTANA | 49.28 | 18.18 | 10.53 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 22.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEBRASKA | 59.86 | 15.22 | 19.03 | 2.60 | 0.52 | 1.90 | 0.00 | 0.87 |
| NEVADA | 31.69 | 18.15 | 46.77 | 2.77 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.31 | 0.31 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 23.64 | 7.75 | 5.81 | 53.88 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 8.53 | 0.00 |
| NEW JERSEY | 25.25 | 17.89 | 29.11 | 10.69 | 2.81 | 13.27 | 0.30 | 0.68 |
| NEW MEXICO | 35.68 | 14.10 | 27.75 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 19.60 | 0.00 | 2.42 |
| NEW YORK | 34.53 | 7.12 | 25.26 | 11.05 | 15.03 | 4.74 | 1.87 | 0.41 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 46.92 | 17.86 | 13.08 | 0.61 | 0.10 | 21.17 | 0.00 | 0.25 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 76.77 | 17.17 | 4.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| OHIO | 39.33 | 24.48 | 24.43 | 4.85 | 0.00 | 6.79 | 0.00 | 0.12 |
| OKLAHOMA | 32.68 | 15.35 | 27.61 | 1.97 | 0.00 | 22.25 | 0.14 | 0.00 |
| OREGON | 48.09 | 14.33 | 1.59 | 0.96 | 3.18 | 31.85 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 50.65 | 14.14 | 18.29 | 0.24 | 10.82 | 0.00 | 5.83 | 0.03 |
| PUERTO RICO | 6.94 | 43.69 | 32.32 | 1.52 | 15.53 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 21.58 | 16.32 | 8.42 | 52.63 | 0.53 | 0.00 | 0.53 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 40.25 | 29.14 | 27.78 | 2.49 | 0.11 |  | 0.00 | 0.23 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 42.95 | 13.46 | 1.92 | 21.79 | 0.64 | 17.31 | 0.64 | 1.28 |
| TENNESSEE | 40.23 | 13.44 | 30.04 | 3.24 | 0.31 | 11.74 | 0.54 | 0.46 |
| TEXAS | 16.50 | 32.85 | 40.85 | 1.34 | 0.04 | 7.58 | 0.00 | 0.84 |
| UTAH | 30.39 | 12.99 | 6.13 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 50.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VERMONT | 63.27 | 4.08 | 2.04 | 1.36 | 9.52 | 0.00 | 19.73 | 0.00 |
| VIRGINIA | 34.14 | 21.47 | 30.10 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 12.91 | 0.40 | 0.48 |
| WASHINGTON | 43.94 | 29.56 | 14.51 | 3.02 | 1.55 | 7.13 | 0.04 | 0.25 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 27.59 | 37.93 | 12.47 | 5.84 | 0.27 | 15.38 | 0.00 | 0.53 |
| WISCONSIN | 43.78 | 12.60 | 27.46 | 4.85 | 0.08 | 10.99 | 0.00 | 0.24 |
| WYOMING | 51.83 | 29.27 | 15.85 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.83 | 1.22 | 0.00 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| GUAM | 30.00 | 30.00 | 40.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 50.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PALAU | 0.00 | 50.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 20.00 | 0.00 | 76.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 51.22 | 31.71 | 17.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 36.17 | 18.86 | 26.76 | 4.24 | 2.70 | 10.01 | 1.00 | 0.26 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 36.18 | 18.84 | 26.75 | 4.25 | 2.70 | 10.02 | 1.00 | 0.26 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB2

## Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | SEPAR CLASS | PUBLIC SEPAR FACIL | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 268 | 129 | 127 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| ALASKA | 44 | 19 | 16 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 376 | 106 | 258 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| ARKANSAS | 62 | 53 | 31 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| CALIFORNIA | 2,863 | 1,133 | 4,986 | 1,045 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 163 |
| COLORADO | 2,318 | 359 | 197 | 23 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 32 |
| CONNECTICUT | 169 | 23 | 26 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| DELAWARE | 131 | 197 | 61 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 50 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0 | 14 | 0 | 71 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 1,067 | 677 | 2,037 | 150 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 114 |
| GEORGIA | 246 | 247 | 307 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| HAWAII | 81 | 29 | 42 | 0 | . | . | . | 7 |
| IDAHO | 90 | 33 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 599 | 590 | 925 | 276 | 10 | 25 | 1 | 115 |
| INDIANA | 738 | 52 | 178 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| IOWA | 647 | 294 | 89 | 14 |  | 1 | 13 | 18 |
| KANSAS | 370 | 64 | 54 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| KENTUCKY | 215 | 136 | 65 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| LOUISIANA | 341 | 306 | 589 | 9 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 31 |
| MAINE | 74 | 16 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| MARYLAND | 202 | 100 | 160 | 20 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 616 | 59 | 163 | 5 | 65 |  | 8 | 35 |
| MICHIGAN | 4,263 | 1,866 | 1,623 | 175 |  | 2 | 4 | 65 |
| MINNESOTA | 922 | 314 | 88 | 39 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 127 | 424 | 491 | 38 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 114 |
| MISSOURI | 131 | 399 | 202 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 6 |
| MONTANA | 49 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| NEBRASKA | 328 | 82 | 60 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 29 |
| NEVADA | 127 | 40 | 33 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 68 | 45 | 36 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| NEW JERSEY | 245 | 100 | 90 | 139 | 60 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| NEW MEXICO | 186 | 87 | 165 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| NEW YORK | 1,556 | 236 | 616 | 84 | 119 | 6 | 5 | 19 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 553 | 190 | 206 | 19 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 85 | 14 | 19 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| OHIO | 962 | 497 | 653 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 102 |
| OKLAHOMA | 249 | 54 | 63 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| OREGON | 319 | 66 | 34 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 178 | 139 | 635 | 126 | 128 | 0 | 22 | 8 |
| PUERTO RICO | 131 | 241 | 37 | 6 | 104 | 1 | 3 | 25 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 44 | 55 | 41 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 189 | 274 | 265 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 75 | 21 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 382 | 192 | 374 | 97 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 113 |
| TEXAS | 841 | 2,002 | 1,857 | 84 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 299 |
| UTAH | 55 | 38 | 53 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 67 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| VIRGINIA | 251 | 215 | 279 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| WASHINGTON | 590 | 258 | 179 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 107 | 58 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 |
| WISCONSIN | 635 | 291 | 449 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 16 |
| WYOMING | 88 | 45 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 19 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 10 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 5 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 25,357 | 12,901 | 18,964 | 2,634 | 662 | 60 | 87 | 1,504 |
| 50 States, D.C. \& P.R. | 25,320 | 12,892 | 18,952 | 2,629 | 662 | 60 | 86 | 1,502 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB2

## Percentage of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| ORTHOPEDIC IMPAIRMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE |  |  |  | --PERCENTAGE |  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE | HOME |
|  | REGULAR | RESOURCE | SEPAR | SEPAR | SEPAR | RESID | RESID | HOSP |
|  | CLASS | ROOM | CLASS | FACIL | FACIL | FACIL | FACIL | ENVIR |
| ALABAMA | 49.91 | 24.02 | 23.65 | 0.93 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.49 |
| ALASKA | 55.70 | 24.05 | 20.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARIZONA | 50.27 | 14.17 | 34.49 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.07 |
| ARKANSAS | 40.79 | 34.87 | 20.39 | 0.00 | 3.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.66 |
| CALIFORNIA | 27.92 | 11.05 | 48.63 | 10.19 | 0.61 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.59 |
| COLORADO | 78.95 | 12.23 | 6.71 | 0.78 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 1.09 |
| CONNECTICUT | 75.78 | 10.31 | 11.66 | 1.35 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 |
| DELAWARE | 26.46 | 39.80 | 12.32 | 11.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 10.10 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0.00 | 16.28 | 0.00 | 82.56 | 1.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 26.29 | 16.68 | 50.18 | 3.70 | 0.32 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 2.81 |
| GEORGIA | 30.64 | 30.76 | 38.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 |
| HAWAII | 50.94 | 18.24 | 26.42 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 4.40 |
| IDAHO | 67.67 | 24.81 | 7.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ILlinois | 23.57 | 23.22 | 36.40 | 10.86 | 0.39 | 0.98 | 0.04 | 4.53 |
| INDIANA | 75.38 | 5.31 | 18.18 | 0.92 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.20 |
| IOWA | 60.13 | 27.32 | 8.27 | 1.30 |  | 0.09 | 1.21 | 1.67 |
| KANSAS | 72.55 | 12.55 | 10.59 | 0.20 | 1.57 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.55 |
| KENTUCKY | 50.47 | 31.92 | 15.26 | 0.00 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.11 |
| LOUISIANA | 26.43 | 23.72 | 45.66 | 0.70 | 0.00 | 1.09 | 0.00 | 2.40 |
| MAINE | 76.29 | 16.49 | 6.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.03 |
| MARYLAND | 39.00 | 19.31 | 30.89 | 3.86 | 6.76 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 64.77 | 6.20 | 17.14 | 0.53 | 6.83 |  | 0.84 | 3.68 |
| MICHIGAN | 53.30 | 23.33 | 20.29 | 2.19 |  | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.81 |
| MINNESOTA | 66.81 | 22.75 | 6.38 | 2.83 | 0.51 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.72 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 10.57 | 35.30 | 40.88 | 3.16 | 0.08 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 9.49 |
| MISSOURI | 17.37 | 52.92 | 26.79 | 0.93 | 0.66 | 0.00 | 0.53 | 0.80 |
| montana | 76.56 | 12.50 | 6.25 | 1.56 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.13 |
| NEBRASKA | 65.34 | 16.33 | 11.95 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 5.78 |
| NEVADA | 59.07 | 18.60 | 15.35 | 3.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.72 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 41.72 | 27.61 | 22.09 | 6.75 | 1.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.61 |
| NEW JERSEY | 38.34 | 15.65 | 14.08 | 21.75 | 9.39 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.63 |
| NEW MEXICO | 42.08 | 19.68 | 37.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.90 |
| NEW YORK | 58.92 | 8.94 | 23.32 | 3.18 | 4.51 | 0.23 | 0.19 | 0.72 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 56.31 | 19.35 | 20.98 | 1.93 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.12 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 68.00 | 11.20 | 15.20 | 0.00 | 1.60 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 |
| OHIO | 42.30 | 21.86 | 28.72 | 2.64 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.49 |
| OKLAHOMA | 66.76 | 14.48 | 16.89 | 0.80 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.07 |
| OREGON | 74.01 | 15.31 | 7.89 | 0.70 | 0.00 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 1.62 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 14.40 | 11.25 | 51.38 | 10.19 | 10.36 | 0.00 | 1.78 | 0.65 |
| PUERTO RICO | 23.91 | 43.98 | 6.75 | 1.09 | 18.98 | 0.18 | 0.55 | 4.56 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 29.53 | 36.91 | 27.52 | 0.00 | 5.37 | 0.00 | 0.67 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 24.80 | 35.96 | 34.78 | 2.49 | 0.26 |  | 0.00 | 1.71 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 66.96 | 18.75 | 8.93 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.36 | 0.00 |
| TENNESSEE | 32.85 | 16.51 | 32.16 | 8.34 | 0.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9.72 |
| TEXAS | 16.52 | 39.33 | 36.48 | 1.65 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 5.87 |
| UTAH | 36.42 | 25.17 | 35.10 | 3.31 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VERMONT | 87.01 | 6.49 | 3.90 | 0.00 | 1.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.30 |
| VIRGINIA | 32.51 | 27.85 | 36.14 | 0.91 | 1.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.55 |
| WASHINGTON | 56.73 | 24.81 | 17.21 | 0.58 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.58 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 48.86 | 26.48 | 20.55 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 | 3.65 |
| WISCONSIN | 45.45 | 20.83 | 32.14 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 1.15 |
| WYOMING | 57.89 | 29.61 | 9.87 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.66 | 1.97 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| GUAM | 90.48 | 0.00 | 9.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 83.33 | 8.33 | 8.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PALAU | 50.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 11.11 | 0.00 | 55.56 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 11.11 | 22.22 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 26.32 | 36.84 | 10.53 | 26.32 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| U.S. And OUTLYing areas | 40.79 | 20.75 | 30.50 | 4.24 | 1.06 | 0.10 | 0.14 | 2.42 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 40.77 | 20.76 | 30.52 | 4.23 | 1.07 | 0.10 | 0.14 | 2.42 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB2

## Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | SEPAR CLASS | PUBLIC SEPAR FACII | PRIVATE SEPAR FACII | PUBLIC RESID FACIL | PRIVATE RESID FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 704 | 535 | 180 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| ALASKA | 165 | 100 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 342 | 219 | 88 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| ARKANSAS | 875 | 1,139 | 278 | 3 | 28 | 0 | 2 | 24 |
| CALIFORNIA | 7,479 | 1,503 | 2,168 | 104 | 196 | 0 | 11 | 249 |
| COLORADO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CONNECTICUT | 2,312 | 536 | 268 | 16 | 29 | 0 | 19 | 20 |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 3 | 18 | 0 | 103 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 163 | 188 | 186 | 5 | 40 | 1 | 0 | 1,153 |
| GEORGIA | 1,322 | 1,676 | 983 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 19 |
| HAWAII | 165 | 129 | 128 | 0 |  | 9 | 0 | 63 |
| IDAHO | 403 | 129 | 60 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| ILLINOIS | 476 | 1,107 | 715 | 88 | 19 | 3 | 3 | 883 |
| INDIANA | 666 | 206 | 279 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 11 |
| IOWA | 7 | 3 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 1,261 | 643 | 227 | 14 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 32 |
| KENTUCKY | 752 | 722 | 113 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| LOUISIANA | 1,521 | 1,291 | 1,602 | 16 | 5 | 12 | 1 | 62 |
| MAINE | 491 | 368 | 94 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| MARYLAND | 1,421 | 782 | 649 | 65 | 75 | 5 | 8 | 32 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 345 | 110 | 120 | 13 | 45 | . | 23 | 548 |
| MICHIGAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MINNESOTA | 2,495 | 801 | 158 | 43 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 18 |
| MISSISSIPPI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MISSOURI | 1,221 | 959 | 438 | 7 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 63 |
| MONTANA | 265 | 196 | 35 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 29 |
| NEBRASKA | 642 | 310 | 209 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 49 |
| NEVADA | 286 | 121 | 43 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 1,079 | 439 | 364 | 45 | 25 | 5 | 33 | 15 |
| NEW JERSEY | 334 | 136 | 53 | 18 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 110 |
| NEW MEXICO | 317 | 285 | 304 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 11 |
| NEW YORK | 5,816 | 1,966 | 2,539 | 374 | 98 | 6 | 20 | 110 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 3,510 | 1,686 | 969 | 24 | 16 | 8 | 0 | 69 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 181 | 45 | 14 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| OHIO | 1,090 | 181 | 64 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,575 |
| OKLAHOMA | 391 | 212 | 119 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| OREGON | 1,275 | 397 | 192 | 26 | 33 | 5 | 6 | 31 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 226 | 165 | 68 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| PUERTO RICO | 168 | 392 | 75 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 11 | 129 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 397 | 155 | 160 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 113 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 263 | 796 | 145 | 2 | 1 |  | 0 | 13 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 133 | 53 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| TENNESSEE | 3,582 | 2,086 | 815 | 58 | 29 | 4 | 18 | 668 |
| TEXAS | 3,733 | 10,590 | 6,138 | 239 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1,994 |
| UTAH | 198 | 230 | 196 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
| VERMONT | 487 | 23 | 16 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 9 |
| VIRGINIA | 1,801 | 1,454 | 818 | 5 | 17 | 13 | 5 | 35 |
| WASHINGTON | 6,430 | 4,730 | 2,402 | 79 | 54 | 4 | 5 | 57 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 349 | 326 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| WISCONSIN | 640 | 455 | 234 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 45 |
| WYOMING | 256 | 178 | 70 | 1 | 2 | 11 | 5 | 9 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 23 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 2 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 30 | 34 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. And OUTLYING AREAS | 58,495 | 40,813 | 24,932 | 1,483 | 798 | 103 | 219 | 8,412 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 58,438 | 40,771 | 24,911 | 1,483 | 798 | 103 | 218 | 8,406 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB2

## Percentage of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | -PERCENTAGE- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | SEPAR CLASS | PUBLIC SEPAR FACIL | PRIVATE SEPAR | PUBLIC RESID FACIL | PRIVATE RESID FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
| ALABAMA | 47.73 | 36.27 | 12.20 | 1.42 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.31 |
| ALASKA | 51.56 | 31.25 | 17.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARIZONA | 50.52 | 32.35 | 13.00 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.84 |
| ARKANSAS | 37.25 | 48.49 | 11.83 | 0.13 | 1.19 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 1.02 |
| CALIFORNIA | 63.87 | 12.84 | 18.51 | 0.89 | 1.67 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 2.13 |
| COLORADO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CONNECTICUT | 72.25 | 16.75 | 8.38 | 0.50 | 0.91 | 0.00 | 0.59 | 0.63 |
| DELAWARE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| district of columbia | 2.22 | 13.33 | 0.00 | 76.30 | 8.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 9.39 | 10.83 | 10.71 | 0.29 | 2.30 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 66.42 |
| GEORGIA | 32.99 | 41.83 | 24.53 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.47 |
| HAWAII | 33.40 | 26.11 | 25.91 | 0.00 |  | 1.82 |  | 12.75 |
| IDAHO | 67.17 | 21.50 | 10.00 | 0.50 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.67 |
| ILLINOIS | 14.45 | 33.61 | 21.71 | 2.67 | 0.58 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 26.81 |
| INDIANA | 56.30 | 17.41 | 23.58 | 1.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.93 |
| IOWA | 58.33 | 25.00 | 8.33 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 8.33 | 0.00 |
| KANSAS | 57.82 | 29.48 | 10.41 | 0.64 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 1.47 |
| KENTUCKY | 46.94 | 45.07 | 7.05 | 0.25 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.50 |
| LOUISIANA | 33.73 | 28.63 | 35.52 | 0.35 | 0.11 | 0.27 | 0.02 | 1.37 |
| MAINE | 50.78 | 38.06 | 9.72 | 0.31 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.72 |
| MARYLAND | 46.79 | 25.75 | 21.37 | 2.14 | 2.47 | 0.16 | 0.26 | 1.05 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 28.65 | 9.14 | 9.97 | 1.08 | 3.74 | . | 1.91 | 45.51 |
| MICHIGAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MINNESOTA MISSISSIPPI | 70.78 | 22.72 | 4.48 | 1.22 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.14 | 0.51 |
| MISSOURI | 44.89 | 35.26 | 16.10 | 0.26 | 0.59 | 0.00 | 0.59 | 2.32 |
| montana | 50.09 | 37.05 | 6.62 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.57 | 5.48 |
| NEBRASKA | 52.54 | 25.37 | 17.10 | 0.57 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 4.01 |
| NEVADA | 62.31 | 26.36 | 9.37 | 0.87 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.87 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 53.82 | 21.90 | 18.15 | 2.24 | 1.25 | 0.25 | 1.65 | 0.75 |
| NEW JERSEY | 50.30 | 20.48 | 7.98 | 2.71 | 1.81 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 16.57 |
| NEW MEXICO | 34.49 | 31.01 | 33.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 1.20 |
| NEW YORK | 53.22 | 17.99 | 23.23 | 3.42 | 0.90 | 0.05 | 0.18 | 1.01 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 55.87 | 26.84 | 15.43 | 0.38 | 0.25 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 1.10 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 72.69 | 18.07 | 5.62 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.00 | 0.80 | 1.20 |
| OHIO | 37.05 | 6.15 | 2.18 | 1.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 53.54 |
| OKLAHOMA | 51.93 | 28.15 | 15.80 | 0.93 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.79 |
| OREGON | 64.89 | 20.20 | 9.77 | 1.32 | 1.68 | 0.25 | 0.31 | 1.58 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 48.71 | 35.56 | 14.66 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.86 |
| PUERTO RICO | 21.29 | 49.68 | 9.51 | 0.76 | 0.89 | 0.13 | 1.39 | 16.35 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 47.60 | 18.59 | 19.18 | 0.00 | 1.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 13.55 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 21.56 | 65.25 | 11.89 | 0.16 | 0.08 |  | 0.00 | 1.07 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 65.52 | 26.11 | 4.93 | 0.00 | 1.48 | 0.00 | 1.48 | 0.49 |
| TENNESSEE | 49.34 | 28.73 | 11.23 | 0.80 | 0.40 | 0.06 | 0.25 | 9.20 |
| TEXAS | 16.44 | 46.65 | 27.04 | 1.05 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 8.78 |
| UTAH | 29.29 | 34.02 | 28.99 | 2.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.62 |
| VERMONT | 88.87 | 4.20 | 2.92 | 0.36 | 0.73 | 0.00 | 1.28 | 1.64 |
| VIRGINIA | 43.42 | 35.05 | 19.72 | 0.12 | 0.41 | 0.31 | 0.12 | 0.84 |
| WASHINGTON | 46.73 | 34.37 | 17.46 | 0.57 | 0.39 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.41 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 46.29 | 43.24 | 9.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 1.06 |
| WISCONSIN | 46.28 | 32.90 | 16.92 | 0.58 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 3.25 |
| WYOMING | 48.12 | 33.46 | 13.16 | 0.19 | 0.38 | 2.07 | 0.94 | 1.69 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| GUAM | 67.65 | 11.76 | 17.65 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.94 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 28.57 | 42.86 | 28.57 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PALAU |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 11.76 | 5.88 | 47.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 35.29 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 44.78 | 50.75 | 4.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 43.25 | 30.17 | 18.43 | 1.10 | 0.59 | 0.08 | 0.16 | 6.22 |
| 50 StATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 43.25 | 30.17 | 18.44 | 1.10 | 0.59 | 0.08 | 0.16 | 6.22 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB2

## Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEPAR } \\ & \text { CLASS } \end{aligned}$ | PUBLIC SEPAR FACI | PRIVATE SEPAR FACII | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 195 | 59 | 30 | 40 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 0 |
| ALASKA | 34 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 277 | 74 | 51 | 2 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 5 |
| ARKANSAS | 58 | 44 | 10 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 |
| CALIFORNIA | 1,365 | 595 | 1,274 | 75 | 21 | 100 | 1 | 22 |
| COLORADO | 236 | 34 | 6 | 19 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 |
| CONNECTICUT | 252 | 55 | 130 | 33 | 20 | 2 | 5 | 12 |
| DELAWARE | 60 | 44 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 1 | 22 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 421 | 144 | 158 | 25 | 2 | 149 | 0 | 2 |
| GEORGIA | 257 | 106 | 46 | 4 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| HAWAII | 48 | 16 | 12 | 0 | . |  |  |  |
| IDAHO | 55 | 14 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 403 | 447 | 187 | 12 | 3 | 89 | 0 | 1 |
| INDIANA | 466 | 24 | 63 | 89 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 1 |
| IOWA | 83 | 37 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 50 | 2 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 165 | 25 | 6 | 22 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| KENTUCKY | 285 | 46 | 21 | 2 | 1 | 77 | 0 | 1 |
| LOUISIANA | 184 | 89 | 160 | 2 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 |
| MAINE | 78 | 15 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| MARYLAND | 202 | 59 | 61 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 31 | 1 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 383 | 95 | 89 | 5 | 25 |  | 25 | 3 |
| MICHIGAN | 524 | 133 | 135 | 16 |  | 13 | 0 | 8 |
| MINNESOTA | 269 | 35 | 10 | 9 | 1 | 51 | 0 | 2 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 25 | 62 | 59 | 1 | 1 | 63 | 0 | 3 |
| MISSOURI | 103 | 159 | 42 | 40 | 6 | 30 | 0 | 2 |
| MONTANA | 31 | 22 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 144 | 44 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 3 |
| NEVADA | 50 | 41 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 18 | 4 | 1 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 249 | 41 | 22 | 2 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| NEW MEXICO | 67 | 38 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW YORK | 708 | 114 | 320 | 112 | 161 | 5 | 7 | 6 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 339 | 123 | 49 | 1 | 3 | 72 | 0 | 2 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 43 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| OHIO | 589 | 154 | 119 | 20 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 2 |
| OKLAHOMA | 131 | 46 | 45 | 19 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 0 |
| OREGON | 76 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 33 | 0 | 2 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 823 | 90 | 128 | 14 | 198 | 0 | 77 | 10 |
| PUERTO RICO | 42 | 389 | 45 | 48 | 6 | 11 | 1 | 3 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 31 | 17 | 20 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 161 | 130 | 52 | 14 | 1 |  | 0 | 2 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 29 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 577 | 176 | 80 | 6 | 1 | 88 | 0 | 9 |
| TEXAS | 494 | 982 | 576 | 41 | 1 | 156 | 0 | 34 |
| UTAH | 90 | 35 | 41 | 1 | 0 | 176 | 0 | 2 |
| VERMONT | 33 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 318 | 109 | 23 | 0 | 4 | 45 | 0 | 1 |
| WASHINGTON | 163 | 75 | 41 | 5 | 1 | 54 | 0 | 2 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 84 | 40 | 6 | 25 | 0 | 42 | 1 | 1 |
| WISCONSIN | 248 | 39 | 36 | 22 | 1 | 40 | 1 | 0 |
| WYOMING | 34 | 13 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 10 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 4 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 12,021 | 5,186 | 4,299 | 869 | 488 | 1,978 | 201 | 145 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 12,001 | 5,177 | 4,290 | 869 | 488 | 1,975 | 200 | 145 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB2

## Percentage of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | SEPAR CLASS | ---PERCE <br> PUBLIC <br> SEPAR <br> FACIL | $\begin{array}{r} \text { TAGE----- } \\ \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PUBLIC } \\ & \text { RESID } \\ & \text { FACIL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PRIVATE } \\ & \text { RESID } \\ & \text { FACIL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 47.91 | 14.50 | 7.37 | 9.83 | 0.00 | 20.39 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ALASKA | 70.83 | 18.75 | 8.33 | 0.00 | 2.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARIZONA | 59.06 | 15.78 | 10.87 | 0.43 | 0.00 | 12.79 | 0.00 | 1.07 |
| ARKANSAS | 31.69 | 24.04 | 5.46 | 16.94 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 21.86 | 0.00 |
| CALIFORNIA | 39.53 | 17.23 | 36.90 | 2.17 | 0.61 | 2.90 | 0.03 | 0.64 |
| COLORADO | 74.21 | 10.69 | 1.89 | 5.97 | 0.00 | 7.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| CONNECTICUT | 49.51 | 10.81 | 25.54 | 6.48 | 3.93 | 0.39 | 0.98 | 2.36 |
| DELAWARE | 52.63 | 38.60 | 5.26 | 1.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.75 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 3.03 | 66.67 | 30.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 46.73 | 15.98 | 17.54 | 2.77 | 0.22 | 16.54 | 0.00 | 0.22 |
| GEORGIA | 50.10 | 20.66 | 8.97 | 0.78 | 0.00 | 19.49 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| HAWAII | 63.16 | 21.05 | 15.79 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| IDAHO | 65.48 | 16.67 | 8.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ILLINOIS | 35.29 | 39.14 | 16.37 | 1.05 | 0.26 | 7.79 | 0.00 | 0.09 |
| INDIANA | 64.19 | 3.31 | 8.68 | 12.26 | 0.00 | 11.43 | 0.00 | 0.14 |
| IOWA | 44.62 | 19.89 | 6.45 | 1.08 |  | 26.88 | 1.08 | 0.00 |
| KANSAS | 75.34 | 11.42 | 2.74 | 10.05 | 0.00 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| KENTUCKY | 65.82 | 10.62 | 4.85 | 0.46 | 0.23 | 17.78 | 0.00 | 0.23 |
| LOUISIANA | 38.66 | 18.70 | 33.61 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 8.61 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MAINE | 78.00 | 15.00 | 6.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| MARYLAND | 54.74 | 15.99 | 16.53 | 2.71 | 1.36 | 0.00 | 8.40 | 0.27 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 61.28 | 15.20 | 14.24 | 0.80 | 4.00 |  | 4.00 | 0.48 |
| MICHIGAN | 63.21 | 16.04 | 16.28 | 1.93 |  | 1.57 | 0.00 | 0.97 |
| MINNESOTA | 71.35 | 9.28 | 2.65 | 2.39 | 0.27 | 13.53 | 0.00 | 0.53 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 11.68 | 28.97 | 27.57 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 29.44 | 0.00 | 1.40 |
| MISSOURI | 26.96 | 41.62 | 10.99 | 10.47 | 1.57 | 7.85 | 0.00 | 0.52 |
| MONTANA | 43.06 | 30.56 | 5.56 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20.83 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEBRASKA | 66.06 | 20.18 | 6.42 | 1.38 | 0.00 | 4.13 | 0.46 | 1.38 |
| NEVADA | 51.02 | 41.84 | 7.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 15.25 | 3.39 | 0.85 | 77.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.39 | 0.00 |
| NEW JERSEY | 74.55 | 12.28 | 6.59 | 0.60 | 5.69 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 |
| NEW MEXICO | 35.64 | 20.21 | 23.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20.74 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW YORK | 49.41 | 7.96 | 22.33 | 7.82 | 11.24 | 0.35 | 0.49 | 0.42 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 57.56 | 20.88 | 8.32 | 0.17 | 0.51 | 12.22 | 0.00 | 0.34 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 82.69 | 7.69 | 5.77 | 1.92 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.92 | 0.00 |
| OHIO | 59.86 | 15.65 | 12.09 | 2.03 | 0.00 | 10.16 | 0.00 | 0.20 |
| OKLAHOMA | 44.56 | 15.65 | 15.31 | 6.46 | 0.00 | 18.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| OREGON | 60.32 | 2.38 | 4.76 | 0.79 | 3.97 | 26.19 | 0.00 | 1.59 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 61.42 | 6.72 | 9.55 | 1.04 | 14.78 | 0.00 | 5.75 | 0.75 |
| PUERTO RICO | 7.71 | 71.38 | 8.26 | 8.81 | 1.10 | 2.02 | 0.18 | 0.55 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 44.29 | 24.29 | 28.57 | 0.00 | 1.43 | 0.00 | 1.43 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 44.72 | 36.11 | 14.44 | 3.89 | 0.28 |  | 0.00 | 0.56 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 52.73 | 9.09 | 9.09 | 3.64 | 0.00 | 23.64 | 1.82 | 0.00 |
| TENNESSEE | 61.58 | 18.78 | 8.54 | 0.64 | 0.11 | 9.39 | 0.00 | 0.96 |
| TEXAS | 21.63 | 42.99 | 25.22 | 1.80 | 0.04 | 6.83 | 0.00 | 1.49 |
| UTAH | 26.09 | 10.14 | 11.88 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 51.01 | 0.00 | 0.58 |
| VERMONT | 97.06 | 2.94 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VIRGINIA | 63.60 | 21.80 | 4.60 | 0.00 | 0.80 | 9.00 | 0.00 | 0.20 |
| WASHINGTON | 47.80 | 21.99 | 12.02 | 1.47 | 0.29 | 15.84 | 0.00 | 0.59 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 42.21 | 20.10 | 3.02 | 12.56 | 0.00 | 21.11 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| WISCONSIN | 64.08 | 10.08 | 9.30 | 5.68 | 0.26 | 10.34 | 0.26 | 0.00 |
| WYOMING | 60.71 | 23.21 | 14.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.79 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| GUAM | 71.43 | 14.29 | 14.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PALAU | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 26.67 | 40.00 | 6.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 6.67 | 0.00 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 47.73 | 20.59 | 17.07 | 3.45 | 1.94 | 7.85 | 0.80 | 0.58 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 47.73 | 20.59 | 17.06 | 3.46 | 1.94 | 7.85 | 0.80 | 0.58 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB2

## Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | SEPAR CLASS | PUBLIC SEPAR FACI | PRIVATE SEPAR FACII | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 47 | 46 | 105 | 47 | 0 | 2 | 39 | 0 |
| ALASKA | 11 | 11 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 67 | 23 | 163 | 14 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| ARKANSAS | 27 | 41 | 118 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| CALIFORNIA | 229 | 210 | 2,002 | 284 | 313 | 0 | 13 | 13 |
| COLORADO | 32 | 11 | 36 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CONNECTICUT | 88 | 74 | 113 | 65 | 44 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 90 | 12 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0 | 0 | 25 | 4 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 42 | 31 | 878 | 239 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| GEORGIA | 37 | 60 | 376 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| HAWAII | 5 | 14 | 73 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| IDAHO | 36 | 23 | 47 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 69 | 110 | 529 | 110 | 221 | 0 | 26 | 0 |
| INDIANA | 240 | 64 | 563 | 45 | 1 | 6 | 10 | 3 |
| IOWA | 189 | 86 | 27 | 9 |  | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 72 | 35 | 114 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 1 |
| KENTUCKY | 50 | 61 | 102 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| LOUISIANA | 12 | 22 | 565 | 14 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 3 |
| MAINE | 41 | 26 | 44 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| MARYLAND | 56 | 72 | 245 | 76 | 42 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 28 | 5 | 239 | 40 | 131 |  | 148 | 5 |
| MICHIGAN | 330 | 217 | 774 | 433 |  | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| MINNESOTA | 213 | 172 | 256 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 2 | 11 | 106 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| MISSOURI | 125 | 93 | 349 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 2 |
| MONTANA | 16 | 14 | 41 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| NEBRASKA | 31 | 22 | 49 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| NEVADA | 12 | 17 | 46 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 12 | 11 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 26 | 40 | 121 | 232 | 464 | 52 | 12 | 11 |
| NEW MEXICO | 8 | 4 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW YORK | 173 | 70 | 363 | 1,449 | 272 | 21 | 101 | 11 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 113 | 65 | 910 | 120 | 4 | 29 | 0 | 5 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 14 | 10 | 9 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 0 |
| OHIO | 68 | 35 | 95 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OKLAHOMA | 30 | 44 | 124 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| OREGON | 147 | 25 | 42 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 58 | 80 | 904 | 87 | 79 | 1 | 11 | 5 |
| PUERTO RICO | 5 | 22 | 254 | 28 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 1 | 6 | 40 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 5 | 1 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 6 | 24 | 145 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 17 | 12 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 13 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 36 | 43 | 331 | 37 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| TEXAS | 124 | 429 | 1,670 | 84 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 13 |
| UTAH | 25 | 6 | 110 | 27 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 45 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 30 | 72 | 587 | 90 | 19 | 10 | 30 | 0 |
| WASHINGTON | 57 | 55 | 145 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 23 | 35 | 69 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| WISCONSIN | 79 | 72 | 282 | 17 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| WYOMING | 3 | 9 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 3,212 | 2,840 | 14,360 | 3,704 | 1,788 | 168 | 480 | 123 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 3,207 | 2,835 | 14,355 | 3,703 | 1,788 | 168 | 478 | 120 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB2

## Percentage of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | AUTISM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | REGULAR <br> CLASS | RESOURCEROOM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEPAR } \\ & \text { CLASS } \end{aligned}$ | PUBLIC SEPAR FACIL | AGE | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | PRIVATERESIDFACIL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOME } \\ & \text { HOSP } \\ & \text { ENVIR } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALABAMA | 16.43 | 16.08 | 36.71 | 16.43 | 0.00 | 0.70 | 13.64 | 0.00 |
| ALASKA | 20.37 | 20.37 | 59.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARIZONA | 20.55 | 7.06 | 50.00 | 4.29 | 17.79 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.31 |
| ARKANSAS | 13.24 | 20.10 | 57.84 | 0.00 | 7.84 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.98 |
| CALIFORNIA | 7.47 | 6.85 | 65.34 | 9.27 | 10.22 | 0.00 | 0.42 | 0.42 |
| COLORADO | 40.00 | 13.75 | 45.00 | 1.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| CONNECTICUT | 22.39 | 18.83 | 28.75 | 16.54 | 11.20 | 0.00 | 2.29 | 0.00 |
| DELAWARE | 0.00 | 66.67 | 8.89 | 24.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 34.25 | 5.48 | 60.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 3.49 | 2.58 | 72.98 | 19.87 | 0.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 |
| GEORGIA | 7.51 | 12.17 | 76.27 | 3.65 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | 0.00 |
| HAWAII | 5.38 | 15.05 | 78.49 | 1.08 |  |  |  |  |
| IDAHO | 33.64 | 21.50 | 43.93 | 0.93 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ILLINOIS | 6.48 | 10.33 | 49.67 | 10.33 | 20.75 | 0.00 | 2.44 | 0.00 |
| INDIANA | 25.75 | 6.87 | 60.41 | 4.83 | 0.11 | 0.64 | 1.07 | 0.32 |
| IOWA | 60.19 | 27.39 | 8.60 | 2.87 |  | 0.32 | 0.64 | 0.00 |
| KANSAS | 29.75 | 14.46 | 47.11 | 4.13 | 1.24 | 0.41 | 2.48 | 0.41 |
| KENTUCKY | 23.15 | 28.24 | 47.22 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.93 |
| LOUISIANA | 1.89 | 3.46 | 88.84 | 2.20 | 0.00 | 3.14 | 0.00 | 0.47 |
| MAINE | 34.45 | 21.85 | 36.97 | 0.84 | 1.68 | 0.00 | 2.52 | 1.68 |
| MARYLAND | 10.94 | 14.06 | 47.85 | 14.84 | 8.20 | 0.20 | 3.71 | 0.20 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 4.70 | 0.84 | 40.10 | 6.71 | 21.98 |  | 24.83 | 0.84 |
| MICHIGAN | 18.73 | 12.32 | 43.93 | 24.57 |  | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.23 |
| MINNESOTA | 32.08 | 25.90 | 38.55 | 3.16 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.15 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 1.43 | 7.86 | 75.71 | 11.43 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 1.43 | 1.43 |
| MISSOURI | 21.37 | 15.90 | 59.66 | 0.51 | 1.20 | 0.00 | 1.03 | 0.34 |
| MONTANA | 21.92 | 19.18 | 56.16 | 1.37 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.37 |
| NEBRASKA | 28.97 | 20.56 | 45.79 | 3.74 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.93 | 0.00 |
| NEVADA | 14.29 | 20.24 | 54.76 | 9.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.19 | 0.00 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 30.00 | 27.50 | 27.50 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW JERSEY | 2.71 | 4.18 | 12.63 | 24.22 | 48.43 | 5.43 | 1.25 | 1.15 |
| NEW MEXICO | 8.89 | 4.44 | 86.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW YORK | 7.03 | 2.85 | 14.76 | 58.90 | 11.06 | 0.85 | 4.11 | 0.45 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 9.07 | 5.22 | 73.03 | 9.63 | 0.32 | 2.33 | 0.00 | 0.40 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 31.11 | 22.22 | 20.00 | 2.22 | 6.67 | 2.22 | 15.56 | 0.00 |
| OHIO | 33.66 | 17.33 | 47.03 | 1.98 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| OKLAHOMA | 14.63 | 21.46 | 60.49 | 1.95 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.49 | 0.98 |
| OREGON | 64.76 | 11.01 | 18.50 | 2.20 | 0.44 | 0.88 | 1.32 | 0.88 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 4.73 | 6.53 | 73.80 | 7.10 | 6.45 | 0.08 | 0.90 | 0.41 |
| PUERTO RICO | 1.48 | 6.53 | 75.37 | 8.31 | 2.37 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.93 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 1.35 | 8.11 | 54.05 | 0.00 | 28.38 | 0.00 | 6.76 | 1.35 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 3.21 | 12.83 | 77.54 | 6.42 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 25.76 | 18.18 | 31.82 | 0.00 | 1.52 | 3.03 | 19.70 | 0.00 |
| TENNESSEE | 7.74 | 9.25 | 71.18 | 7.96 | 2.80 | 0.43 | 0.00 | 0.65 |
| TEXAS | 5.31 | 18.38 | 71.55 | 3.60 | 0.26 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.56 |
| UTAH | 14.53 | 3.49 | 63.95 | 15.70 | 0.00 | 2.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VERMONT | 84.91 | 9.43 | 1.89 | 1.89 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.89 | 0.00 |
| VIRGINIA | 3.58 | 8.59 | 70.05 | 10.74 | 2.27 | 1.19 | 3.58 | 0.00 |
| WASHINGTON | 21.84 | 21.07 | 55.56 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 17.69 | 26.92 | 53.08 | 1.54 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.77 | 0.00 |
| WISCONSIN | 17.48 | 15.93 | 62.39 | 3.76 | 0.00 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WYOMING | 10.34 | 31.03 | 48.28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.34 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GUAM | 0.00 | 80.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PALAU |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 30.00 | 0.00 | 30.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 30.00 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 40.00 | 0.00 | 40.00 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| U.S. And OUTLYing areas | 12.04 | 10.65 | 53.83 | 13.89 | 6.70 | 0.63 | 1.80 | 0.46 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 12.03 | 10.64 | 53.86 | 13.89 | 6.71 | 0.63 | 1.79 | 0.45 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB2

## Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEPAR } \\ & \text { CLASS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} --- \text { NUMB } \\ \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| ALASKA | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 4 | 4 | 17 | 13 | 5 | 22 | 0 | 3 |
| ARKANSAS | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| CALIFORNIA | 14 | 14 | 106 | 16 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 1 |
| COLORADO | 18 | 7 | 23 | 11 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 2 |
| CONNECTICUT | 6 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 1 | 11 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 1 | 0 | 17 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GEORGIA | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| HAWAII | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| IDAHO | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 2 | 4 | 29 | 15 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| INDIANA | 0 | 2 | 46 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 |
| IOWA | 14 | 7 | 2 | 7 |  | 9 | 1 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 3 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 |
| KENTUCKY | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| LOUISIANA | 2 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| MAINE | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| MARYLAND | 5 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 0 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 14 | . | 14 | 0 |
| MICHIGAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MINNESOTA | 8 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 1 | 1 | 8 | 0 | , | 5 | 0 | 3 |
| MISSOURI | 1 | 7 | 55 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 0 |
| MONTANA | 7 | 6 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEVADA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 3 | 0 | 6 | 18 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 1 |
| NEW MEXICO | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW YORK | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 3 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 |
| OHIO | 5 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| OKLAHOMA | 1 | 5 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 |
| OREGON | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 1 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PUERTO RICO | 0 | 0 | 5 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 0 | 0 | 49 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 3 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| TEXAS | 18 | 32 | 82 | 8 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 2 |
| UTAH | 1 | 2 | 10 | 8 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WASHINGTON | 9 | 4 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 |
| WISCONSIN | 1 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| WYOMING | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 158 | 146 | 591 | 225 | 55 | 223 | 44 | 27 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 158 | 144 | 585 | 223 | 55 | 223 | 44 | 27 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

Table AB2

## Percentage of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | DEAF-BLINDNESS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | REGULARCLASS | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RESOURCE } \\ \text { ROOM } \end{array}$ | SEPAR <br> CLASS | ---PERCE <br> PUBLIC <br> SEPAR <br> FACIL | AGE---- |  | PRIVATE RESID FACIL |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | PUBLIC <br> RESID <br> FACIL |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALABAMA | 12.50 | 0.00 | 37.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ALASKA | 66.67 | 0.00 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARIZONA | 5.88 | 5.88 | 25.00 | 19.12 | 7.35 | 32.35 | 0.00 | 4.41 |
| ARKANSAS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 35.29 | 35.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 29.41 | 0.00 |
| CALIFORNIA | 8.43 | 8.43 | 63.86 | 9.64 | 4.22 | 4.22 | 0.60 | 0.60 |
| COLORADO | 27.27 | 10.61 | 34.85 | 16.67 | 1.52 | 6.06 | 0.00 | 3.03 |
| CONNECTICUT | 26.09 | 26.09 | 13.04 | 13.04 | 13.04 | 0.00 | 8.70 | 0.00 |
| DELAWARE | 3.13 | 34.38 | 15.63 | 46.88 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 3.85 | 0.00 | 65.38 | 23.08 | 7.69 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| GEORGIA | 11.11 | 0.00 | 44.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 44.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| HAWAII | 0.00 | 12.50 | 50.00 | 12.50 |  | 12.50 |  | 12.50 |
| IDAHO | 30.00 | 20.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 40.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ILLINOIS | 3.17 | 6.35 | 46.03 | 23.81 | 0.00 | 20.63 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| INDIANA | 0.00 | 3.17 | 73.02 | 11.11 | 0.00 | 4.76 | 6.35 | 1.59 |
| IOWA | 35.00 | 17.50 | 5.00 | 17.50 |  | 22.50 | 2.50 | 0.00 |
| KANSAS | 15.79 | 5.26 | 36.84 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.53 | 0.00 | 31.58 |
| KENTUCKY | 11.11 | 33.33 | 22.22 | 0.00 | 11.11 | 11.11 | 0.00 | 11.11 |
| LOUISIANA | 14.29 | 14.29 | 42.86 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 28.57 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MAINE | 12.50 | 25.00 | 12.50 | 0.00 | 12.50 | 0.00 | 37.50 | 0.00 |
| MARYLAND | 19.23 | 7.69 | 19.23 | 11.54 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 42.31 | 0.00 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 27.91 | 6.98 | 32.56 | . | 32.56 | 0.00 |
| MICHIGAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MINNESOTA | 38.10 | 9.52 | 19.05 | 4.76 | 4.76 | 19.05 | 0.00 | 4.76 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 5.56 | 5.56 | 44.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 27.78 | 0.00 | 16.67 |
| MISSOURI | 1.28 | 8.97 | 70.51 | 7.69 | 1.28 | 8.97 | 1.28 | 0.00 |
| MONTANA | 22.58 | 19.35 | 35.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 22.58 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEBRASKA | 0.00 | 33.33 | 33.33 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEVADA | 50.00 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 80.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW JERSEY | 7.32 | 0.00 | 14.63 | 43.90 | 17.07 | 14.63 | 0.00 | 2.44 |
| NEW MEXICO | 0.00 | 0.00 | 60.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 40.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW YORK | 70.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 5.56 | 27.78 | 0.00 | 5.56 | 16.67 | 44.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 6.67 | 2.22 | 2.22 | 24.44 | 0.00 | 64.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| OHIO | 31.25 | 18.75 | 12.50 | 25.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.50 |
| OKLAHOMA | 3.57 | 17.86 | 39.29 | 14.29 | 0.00 | 14.29 | 0.00 | 10.71 |
| OREGON | 40.00 | 0.00 | 40.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 12.50 | 37.50 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PUERTO RICO | 0.00 | 0.00 | 15.15 | 84.85 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 8.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.33 | 75.00 | 0.00 | 8.33 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 94.23 | 5.77 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| TENNESSEE | 17.65 | 11.76 | 35.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 35.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| TEXAS | 11.32 | 20.13 | 51.57 | 5.03 | 0.00 | 10.69 | 0.00 | 1.26 |
| UTAH | 2.17 | 4.35 | 21.74 | 17.39 | 0.00 | 54.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VERMONT | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VIRGINIA |  |  |  |  | 0 | . |  |  |
| WASHINGTON | 29.03 | 12.90 | 45.16 | 6.45 | 0.00 | 6.45 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 0.00 | 4.17 | 4.17 | 16.67 | 0.00 | 75.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WISCONSIN | 10.00 | 10.00 | 70.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WYOMING |  |  |  | - | . |  | . |  |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| GUAM | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PALAU | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | . | . | - | . | - | . | . | - |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 10.76 | 9.94 | 40.23 | 15.32 | 3.74 | 15.18 | 3.00 | 1.84 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 10.83 | 9.87 | 40.10 | 15.28 | 3.77 | 15.28 | 3.02 | 1.85 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB2

## Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | SEPAR CLASS | $\begin{gathered} --- \text { NUMB } \\ \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESIID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOME } \\ & \text { HOSP } \\ & \text { ENVIR } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 62 | 64 | 43 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| ALASKA | 20 | 17 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 12 | 16 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| ARKANSAS | 18 | 29 | 22 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 26 | 2 |
| CALIFORNIA | 164 | 163 | 280 | 13 | 22 | 0 | 2 | 15 |
| COLORADO | 85 | 24 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 |
| CONNECTICUT | 25 | 14 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 24 | 46 | 65 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| GEORGIA | 39 | 68 | 76 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| HAWAII | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 1 |  |
| IDAHO | 60 | 31 | 16 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| ILLINOIS | 31 | 143 | 163 | 30 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| INDIANA | 136 | 44 | 97 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 |
| IOWA | 90 | 42 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| KANSAS | 111 | 69 | 74 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| KENTUCKY | 51 | 45 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| LOUISIANA | 35 | 45 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| MAINE | 25 | 26 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| MARYLAND | 69 | 42 | 51 | 4 | 19 | 0 | 4 | 3 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 47 | 26 | 86 | 21 | 48 | . | 22 | 19 |
| MICHIGAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MINNESOTA | 78 | 35 | 29 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 7 | 21 | 36 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 |
| MISSOURI | 91 | 71 | 79 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| MONTANA | 27 | 20 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| NEBRASKA | 54 | 42 | 21 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| NEVADA | 9 | 17 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 9 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 10 | 11 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| NEW MEXICO | 41 | 33 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 |
| NEW YORK | 235 | 114 | 227 | 44 | 15 | 1 | 9 | 14 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 94 | 50 | 68 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 12 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| OHIO | 83 | 28 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| OKLAHOMA | 42 | 45 | 30 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| OREGON | 96 | 59 | 26 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 89 | 194 | 373 | 10 | 639 | 2 | 72 | 4 |
| PUERTO RICO | 4 | 10 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 16 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 5 | 14 | 18 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 2 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 18 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 |
| TENNESSEE | 67 | 35 | 76 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| TEXAS | 60 | 142 | 147 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| UTAH | 80 | 70 | 84 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| VERMONT | 22 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| VIRGINIA | 54 | 61 | 54 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 8 |
| WASHINGTON | 49 | 39 | 40 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 42 | 26 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| WISCONSIN | 46 | 73 | 77 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| WYOMING | 16 | 35 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 5 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. And outlying areas | 2,566 | 2,236 | 2,753 | 230 | 801 | 29 | 161 | 214 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 2,560 | 2,227 | 2,748 | 230 | 801 | 28 | 161 | 214 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB2

## Percentage of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | --PERCENTAGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE | PUBLIC | PRIVATE | HOME |
|  | REGULAR | RESOURCE | SEPAR | SEPAR | SEPAR | RESID | RESID | HOSP |
|  | CLASS | ROOM | CLASS | FACIL | FACIL | FACIL | FACIL | ENVIR |
| ALABAMA | 34.07 | 35.16 | 23.63 | 1.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.04 |
| ALASKA | 37.74 | 32.08 | 30.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARIZONA | 32.43 | 43.24 | 21.62 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.70 |
| ARKANSAS | 17.82 | 28.71 | 21.78 | 0.00 | 3.96 | 0.00 | 25.74 | 1.98 |
| CALIFORNIA | 24.89 | 24.73 | 42.49 | 1.97 | 3.34 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 2.28 |
| COLORADO | 58.22 | 16.44 | 19.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 4.79 |
| CONNECTICUT | 43.10 | 24.14 | 15.52 | 6.90 | 8.62 | 0.00 | 1.72 | 0.00 |
| DELAWARE | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 17.02 | 32.62 | 46.10 | 1.42 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.84 |
| GEORGIA | 20.42 | 35.60 | 39.79 | 2.62 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.57 |
| HAWAII | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 100.00 |  |
| IDAHO | 54.05 | 27.93 | 14.41 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.80 |
| ILLINOIS | 8.09 | 37.34 | 42.56 | 7.83 | 3.39 | 0.78 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| INDIANA | 45.48 | 14.72 | 32.44 | 2.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.68 | 2.68 |
| IOWA | 59.21 | 27.63 | 8.55 | 1.97 |  | 0.66 | 1.32 | 0.66 |
| KANSAS | 40.51 | 25.18 | 27.01 | 5.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.19 |
| KENTUCKY | 38.93 | 34.35 | 23.66 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.05 |
| LOUISIANA | 18.92 | 24.32 | 54.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.54 | 0.00 | 2.16 |
| MAINE | 34.72 | 36.11 | 26.39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.78 |
| MARYLAND | 35.94 | 21.88 | 26.56 | 2.08 | 9.90 | 0.00 | 2.08 | 1.56 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 17.47 | 9.67 | 31.97 | 7.81 | 17.84 |  | 8.18 | 7.06 |
| MICHIGAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MINNESOTA | 50.32 | 22.58 | 18.71 | 4.52 | 0.00 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 2.58 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 9.46 | 28.38 | 48.65 | 4.05 | 0.00 | 4.05 | 0.00 | 5.41 |
| MISSOURI | 36.40 | 28.40 | 31.60 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 2.40 |
| montana | 47.37 | 35.09 | 14.04 | 1.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.75 |
| NEBRASKA | 43.90 | 34.15 | 17.07 | 0.81 | 1.63 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.44 |
| NEVADA | 21.95 | 41.46 | 24.39 | 9.76 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.44 | 0.00 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 39.13 | 30.43 | 26.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.35 | 0.00 |
| NEW JERSEY | 25.00 | 27.50 | 17.50 | 5.00 | 12.50 | 2.50 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| NEW MEXICO | 26.45 | 21.29 | 46.45 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.52 | 0.00 | 1.29 |
| NEW YORK | 35.66 | 17.30 | 34.45 | 6.68 | 2.28 | 0.15 | 1.37 | 2.12 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 40.00 | 21.28 | 28.94 | 5.11 | 0.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.26 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 57.14 | 19.05 | 4.76 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.76 | 14.29 |
| OHIO | 64.34 | 21.71 | 10.85 | 2.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.78 |
| OKLAHOMA | 33.60 | 36.00 | 24.00 | 1.60 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.60 | 3.20 |
| OREGON | 49.48 | 30.41 | 13.40 | 0.52 | 2.06 | 0.00 | 0.52 | 3.61 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 6.44 | 14.03 | 26.97 | 0.72 | 46.20 | 0.14 | 5.21 | 0.29 |
| PUERTO RICO | 14.29 | 35.71 | 25.00 | 7.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 17.86 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 38.10 | 11.90 | 28.57 | 0.00 | 19.05 | 0.00 | 2.38 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 12.82 | 35.90 | 46.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 5.13 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 45.00 | 22.50 | 12.50 | 0.00 | 5.00 | 2.50 | 10.00 | 2.50 |
| TENNESSEE | 34.72 | 18.13 | 39.38 | 1.04 | 1.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.70 |
| TEXAS | 15.87 | 37.57 | 38.89 | 2.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.56 |
| UTAH | 32.00 | 28.00 | 33.60 | 6.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 |
| VERMONT | 75.86 | 6.90 | 6.90 | 0.00 | 6.90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.45 |
| VIRGINIA | 29.83 | 33.70 | 29.83 | 0.55 | 1.10 | 0.55 | 0.00 | 4.42 |
| WASHINGTON | 37.12 | 29.55 | 30.30 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.52 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 52.50 | 32.50 | 11.25 | 1.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.50 |
| WISCONSIN | 23.12 | 36.68 | 38.69 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.50 |
| WYOMING | 22.86 | 50.00 | 18.57 | 0.00 | 2.86 | 5.71 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GUAM | 33.33 | 33.33 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | . |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |
| PALAU | . | - |  |  | - |  |  |  |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 27.78 | 44.44 | 22.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.56 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 28.54 | 24.87 | 30.62 | 2.56 | 8.91 | 0.32 | 1.79 | 2.38 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 28.54 | 24.83 | 30.64 | 2.56 | 8.93 | 0.31 | 1.80 | 2.39 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB3

## Number of Children Ages 3-5 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEPAR } \\ & \text { CLASS } \end{aligned}$ | PUBLIC SEPAR FACII | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOME } \\ & \text { HOSP } \\ & \text { ENVIR } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 7,040 | 775 | 425 | 110 | 33 | 22 | 12 | 151 |
| ALASKA | 361 | 1,066 | 275 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 3,291 | 2,135 | 2,164 | 121 | 77 | 105 | 0 | 0 |
| ARKANSAS | 3,297 | 1,136 | 1,512 | 27 | 1,140 | 0 | 18 | 390 |
| CALIFORNIA | 28,287 | 2,755 | 21,250 | 1,943 | 293 | 58 | 17 | 192 |
| COLORADO | 3,995 | 1,096 | 1,680 | 163 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 206 |
| CONNECTICUT | 3,725 | 491 | 2,903 | 95 | 94 | 0 | 2 | 28 |
| DELAWARE | 882 | 635 | 257 | 122 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 211 | 27 | 14 | 57 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 24,062 | 2,517 | 11,073 | 610 | 305 | 21 | 0 | 191 |
| GEORGIA | 6,700 | 3,575 | 2,474 | 257 | 56 | 17 | 8 | 227 |
| HAWAII | 274 | 61 | 926 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IDAHO | 1,386 | 811 | 300 | 733 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 17 |
| ILLINOIS | 12,076 | 947 | 10,157 | 1,898 | 178 | 16 | 0 | 160 |
| INDIANA | 4,921 | 616 | 6,292 | 324 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 106 |
| IOWA | 3,417 | 474 | 1,559 | 280 | 0 | 13 | 4 | 91 |
| KANSAS | 2,941 | 235 | 2,944 | 25 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| KENTUCKY | 13,141 | 841 | 369 | 154 | 96 | 10 | 1 | 71 |
| LOUISIANA | 4,392 | 523 | 4,369 | 247 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 33 |
| MAINE | 1,746 | 241 | 213 | 144 | 796 | 0 | 1 | 412 |
| MARYLAND | 4,525 | 1,894 | 2,067 | 544 | 223 | 56 | 0 | 177 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 12,544 | 356 | 1,156 | 25 | 68 |  | 1 | 91 |
| MICHIGAN | 5,469 | 573 | 5,988 | 3,153 |  | 9 | 0 | 3,048 |
| MINNESOTA | 4,410 | 2,349 | 2,126 | 1,590 | 13 | 12 | 0 | 281 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 4,308 | 441 | 1,404 | 306 | 59 | 17 | 0 | 112 |
| MISSOURI | 2,315 | 2,447 | 2,627 | 8 | 87 | 2 | 0 | 9 |
| MONTANA | 1,034 | 303 | 348 | 30 | 30 | 9 | 2 | 5 |
| NEBRASKA | 743 | 105 | 976 | 441 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 1,026 |
| NEVADA | 1,103 | 106 | 1,814 | 132 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 1,123 | 146 | 669 | 99 | 15 | 0 | 6 | 112 |
| NEW JERSEY | 6,887 | 2,190 | 5,338 | 1,230 | 827 | 18 | 0 | 69 |
| NEW MEXICO | 1,837 | 237 | 2,242 | 135 | 2 | 22 | 0 | 88 |
| NEW YORK | 7,548 | 771 | 5,729 | 1,193 | 530 | 18 | 29 | 51 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 11,580 | 794 | 2,871 | 627 | 393 | 138 | 31 | 237 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 567 | 77 | 321 | 158 | 14 | 2 | 3 | 27 |
| OHIO | 7,000 | 1,576 | 6,862 | 452 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 2,392 |
| OKLAHOMA | 2,863 | 399 | 1,784 | 187 | 12 | 19 | 13 | 35 |
| OREGON | 3,030 | 276 | 1,144 | 246 | 180 | 1 | 2 | 166 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 8,473 | 1,685 | 8,797 | 60 | 360 | 13 | 17 | 1,275 |
| PUERTO RICO | 1,610 | 590 | 820 | 124 | 134 | 18 | 0 | 249 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 1,045 | 335 | 807 | 13 | 129 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 7,835 | 528 | 1,572 | 151 | 39 | . | 0 | 188 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 515 | 496 | 1,148 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 7,280 | 1,080 | 1,586 | 98 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| TEXAS | 16,393 | 1,338 | 11,568 | 317 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 248 |
| UTAH | 1,853 | 1,478 | 901 | 236 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| VERMONT | 779 | 26 | 189 | 27 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 167 |
| VIRGINIA | 5,724 | 867 | 5,310 | 288 | 75 | 19 | 1 | 1,000 |
| WASHINGTON | 4,477 | 1,881 | 4,988 | 762 | 134 | 15 | 5 | 108 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 2,736 | 643 | 1,200 | 14 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 241 |
| WISCONSIN | 5,297 | 1,288 | 6,670 | 254 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 28 |
| WYOMING | 281 | 27 | 22 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 109 | 46 | 38 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 37 | 2 | 576 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |  |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | - |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 269,571 | 48,307 | 162,814 | 20,224 | 6,633 | 729 | 199 | 13,789 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 269,329 | 48,259 | 162,200 | 20,220 | 6,632 | 729 | 191 | 13,772 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
A crosswalk was used to report placement data for $3-5$ year olds in the OSEP placement categories. See the data notes for how preschool placements were recorded and for more detail on States that used these categories. SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT

Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS)

Table AB3

## Percentage of Children Ages 3-5 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR <br> CLASS | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RESOURCE } \\ \text { ROOM } \end{array}$ | SEPAR CLASS | -- PERCENTAGE-----  <br> PUBLIC PRIVATE <br> SEPAR SEPAR <br> FACIL FACIL |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALABAMA | 82.17 | 9.05 | 4.96 | 1.28 | 0.39 | 0.26 | 0.14 | 1.76 |
| ALASKA | 20.99 | 61.98 | 15.99 | 0.00 | 1.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARIZONA | 41.70 | 27.05 | 27.42 | 1.53 | 0.98 | 1.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARKANSAS | 43.84 | 15.11 | 20.11 | 0.36 | 15.16 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 5.19 |
| CALIFORNIA | 51.62 | 5.03 | 38.78 | 3.55 | 0.53 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.35 |
| COLORADO | 55.91 | 15.34 | 23.51 | 2.28 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 2.88 |
| CONNECTICUT | 50.76 | 6.69 | 39.56 | 1.29 | 1.28 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.38 |
| DELAWARE | 46.30 | 33.33 | 13.49 | 6.40 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.31 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 54.52 | 6.98 | 3.62 | 14.73 | 20.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 62.05 | 6.49 | 28.55 | 1.57 | 0.79 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.49 |
| GEORGIA | 50.32 | 26.85 | 18.58 | 1.93 | 0.42 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 1.70 |
| HAWAII | 21.63 | 4.81 | 73.09 | 0.47 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| IDAHO | 42.50 | 24.87 | 9.20 | 22.48 | 0.06 | 0.28 | 0.09 | 0.52 |
| ILLINOIS | 47.48 | 3.72 | 39.94 | 7.46 | 0.70 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.63 |
| INDIANA | 40.14 | 5.02 | 51.32 | 2.64 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.86 |
| IOWA | 58.53 | 8.12 | 26.70 | 4.80 |  | 0.22 | 0.07 | 1.56 |
| KANSAS | 47.68 | 3.81 | 47.73 | 0.41 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.05 |
| KENTUCKY | 89.50 | 5.73 | 2.51 | 1.05 | 0.65 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.48 |
| LOUISIANA | 45.81 | 5.45 | 45.57 | 2.58 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.34 |
| MAINE | 49.14 | 6.78 | 5.99 | 4.05 | 22.40 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 11.60 |
| MARYLAND | 47.70 | 19.97 | 21.79 | 5.73 | 2.35 | 0.59 | 0.00 | 1.87 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 88.08 | 2.50 | 8.12 | 0.18 | 0.48 |  | 0.01 | 0.64 |
| MICHIGAN | 29.98 | 3.14 | 32.83 | 17.29 |  | 0.05 | 0.00 | 16.71 |
| MINNESOTA | 40.91 | 21.79 | 19.72 | 14.75 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 2.61 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 64.81 | 6.63 | 21.12 | 4.60 | 0.89 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 1.68 |
| MISSOURI | 30.89 | 32.65 | 35.05 | 0.11 | 1.16 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.12 |
| MONTANA | 58.72 | 17.21 | 19.76 | 1.70 | 1.70 | 0.51 | 0.11 | 0.28 |
| NEBRASKA | 22.49 | 3.18 | 29.54 | 13.35 | 0.24 | 0.12 | 0.03 | 31.05 |
| NEVADA | 34.84 | 3.35 | 57.30 | 4.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.35 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 51.75 | 6.73 | 30.83 | 4.56 | 0.69 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 5.16 |
| NEW JERSEY | 41.59 | 13.23 | 32.24 | 7.43 | 4.99 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.42 |
| NEW MEXICO | 40.26 | 5.19 | 49.13 | 2.96 | 0.04 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 1.93 |
| NEW YORK | 47.56 | 4.86 | 36.10 | 7.52 | 3.34 | 0.11 | 0.18 | 0.32 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 69.46 | 4.76 | 17.22 | 3.76 | 2.36 | 0.83 | 0.19 | 1.42 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 48.50 | 6.59 | 27.46 | 13.52 | 1.20 | 0.17 | 0.26 | 2.31 |
| OHIO | 38.24 | 8.61 | 37.49 | 2.47 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 13.07 |
| OKLAHOMA | 53.90 | 7.51 | 33.58 | 3.52 | 0.23 | 0.36 | 0.24 | 0.66 |
| OREGON | 60.06 | 5.47 | 22.68 | 4.88 | 3.57 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 3.29 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 40.97 | 8.15 | 42.54 | 0.29 | 1.74 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 6.17 |
| PUERTO RICO | 45.42 | 16.64 | 23.13 | 3.50 | 3.78 | 0.51 | 0.00 | 7.02 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 44.79 | 14.36 | 34.59 | 0.56 | 5.53 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.13 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 75.97 | 5.12 | 15.24 | 1.46 | 0.38 |  | 0.00 | 1.82 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 23.67 | 22.79 | 52.76 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.09 | 0.37 | 0.00 |
| TENNESSEE | 71.72 | 10.64 | 15.62 | 0.97 | 0.66 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 |
| TEXAS | 54.87 | 4.48 | 38.72 | 1.06 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.83 |
| UTAH | 41.44 | 33.05 | 20.15 | 5.28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.09 |
| VERMONT | 64.12 | 2.14 | 15.56 | 2.22 | 2.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 13.74 |
| VIRGINIA | 43.09 | 6.53 | 39.97 | 2.17 | 0.56 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 7.53 |
| WASHINGTON | 36.19 | 15.21 | 40.32 | 6.16 | 1.08 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.87 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 56.51 | 13.28 | 24.78 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.02 | 4.98 |
| WISCONSIN | 39.11 | 9.51 | 49.24 | 1.88 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.21 |
| WYOMING | 84.38 | 8.11 | 6.61 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| GUAM | 53.17 | 22.44 | 18.54 | 1.95 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.41 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 93.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.52 |
| PALAU | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 5.94 | 0.32 | 92.46 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.28 |  |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | . | - | . | . | . | . | . | - |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 51.62 | 9.25 | 31.17 | 3.87 | 1.27 | 0.14 | 0.04 | 2.64 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 51.66 | 9.26 | 31.11 | 3.88 | 1.27 | 0.14 | 0.04 | 2.64 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
A crosswalk was used to report placement data for $3-5$ year olds in the OSEP placement categories. See the data notes for how preschool placements were recorded and for more detail on States that used these categories. SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT

Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB4

## Number of Children Ages 6-11 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | SEPAR CLASS | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PUBLIC } \\ & \text { RESID } \\ & \text { FACIL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 23,328 | 12,734 | 5,684 | 352 | 23 | 109 | 32 | 49 |
| ALASKA | 5,435 | 2,112 | 488 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 5 |
| ARIZONA | 20,786 | 10,671 | 4,527 | 376 | 189 | 73 | 30 | 32 |
| ARKANSAS | 11,118 | 7,219 | 2,538 | 62 | 158 | 0 | 106 | 37 |
| CALIFORNIA | 173,522 | 37,023 | 56,867 | 2,296 | 2,147 | 260 | 135 | 443 |
| COLORADO | 24,677 | 3,645 | 2,055 | 195 | 62 | 17 | 143 | 94 |
| CONNECTICUT | 21,871 | 5,810 | 5,047 | 326 | 445 | 1 | 80 | 52 |
| DELAWARE | 2,345 | 4,378 | 641 | 202 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 33 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 443 | 525 | 1,080 | 340 | 217 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 63,873 | 41,879 | 45,375 | 2,160 | 125 | 278 | 0 | 518 |
| GEORGIA | 32,731 | 21,756 | 14,236 | 289 | 8 | 140 | 12 | 32 |
| HAWAII | 4,467 | 2,268 | 1,150 | 19 | 11 | 1 | 5 | 21 |
| IDAHO | 9,064 | 1,888 | 532 | 38 | 4 | 44 | 10 | 8 |
| ILLINOIS | 52,132 | 35,752 | 27,589 | 1,999 | 1,198 | 98 | 99 | 201 |
| INDIANA | 46,732 | 6,455 | 11,702 | 249 | 10 | 137 | 70 | 46 |
| IOWA | 17,768 | 8,106 | 2,464 | 176 | 0 | 92 | 87 | 14 |
| KANSAS | 18,329 | 4,120 | 2,460 | 188 | 20 | 36 | 11 | 53 |
| KENTUCKY | 23,354 | 9,644 | 3,616 | 32 | 29 | 70 | 22 | 64 |
| LOUISIANA | 17,653 | 6,817 | 12,833 | 276 | 22 | 164 | 15 | 112 |
| MAINE | 8,375 | 4,177 | 1,342 | 65 | 50 | 3 | 28 | 24 |
| MARYLAND | 23,521 | 11,639 | 9,902 | 1,232 | 666 | 165 | 82 | 83 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 49,252 | 8,114 | 10,131 | 467 | 1,029 | . | 153 | 191 |
| MICHIGAN | 51,616 | 18,016 | 14,420 | 2,472 | . | 42 | 5 | 296 |
| MINNESOTA | 32,960 | 7,657 | 2,380 | 576 | 83 | 95 | 40 | 57 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 16,405 | 7,746 | 6,025 | 135 | 59 | 94 | 4 | 90 |
| MISSOURI | 33,089 | 13,921 | 8,454 | 522 | 262 | 141 | 100 | 234 |
| MONTANA | 5,406 | 2,418 | 462 | 29 | 10 | 29 | 50 | 13 |
| NEBRASKA | 13,482 | 3,556 | 1,750 | 232 | 21 | 10 | 7 | 186 |
| NEVADA | 7,040 | 4,915 | 1,260 | 234 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 5,412 | 2,869 | 2,013 | 329 | 47 | 0 | 66 | 18 |
| NEW JERSEY | 56,056 | 16,363 | 17,451 | 2,130 | 2,917 | 114 | 7 | 174 |
| NEW MEXICO | 8,785 | 5,988 | 6,175 | 2 | , 2 | 95 | 10 | 42 |
| NEW YORK | 69,291 | 21,946 | 53,257 | 9,432 | 3,093 | 236 | 386 | 397 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 51,690 | 11,902 | 9,807 | 531 | 199 | 249 | 57 | 108 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 4,656 | , 544 | 288 | 22 | 6 | 11 | 12 | 4 |
| OHIO | 72,913 | 18,695 | 12,609 | 1,230 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 319 |
| OKLAHOMA | 19,067 | 9,683 | 3,804 | 137 | 53 | 88 | 20 | 72 |
| OREGON | 22,885 | 4,142 | 1,203 | 157 | 220 | 42 | 39 | 64 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 43,487 | 21,768 | 23,790 | 890 | 979 | 184 | 134 | 111 |
| PUERTO RICO | 1,347 | 10,823 | 3,558 | 208 | 319 | 11 | 18 | 294 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 6,606 | 1,839 | 2,749 | 41 | 148 | 0 | 39 | 26 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 20,783 | 13,801 | 8,360 | 248 | 18 | . | 4 | 39 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 5,554 | 1,538 | 400 | 27 | 25 | 18 | 66 | 9 |
| TENNESSEE | 35,259 | 13,925 | 7,373 | 278 | 144 | 146 | 28 | 225 |
| TEXAS | 73,090 | 95,221 | 31,086 | 746 | 12 | 132 | 1 | 1,032 |
| UTAH | 12,761 | 8,437 | 3,544 | 291 | 0 | 310 | 0 | 38 |
| VERMONT | 4,187 | 221 | , 65 | 13 | 37 | 0 | 36 | 38 |
| VIRGINIA | 29,830 | 19,606 | 15,971 | 295 | 355 | 94 | 38 | 131 |
| WASHINGTON | 30,181 | 13,049 | 6,664 | 207 | 67 | 72 | 4 | 93 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 12,401 | 6,186 | 2,571 | 30 | 1 | 36 | 3 | 25 |
| WISCONSIN | 21,027 | 16,898 | 7,395 | 178 | 17 | 99 | 6 | 30 |
| WYOMING | 3,795 | 1,585 | 318 | 5 | 22 | 12 | 4 | 5 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 89 | 18 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 291 | 382 | 89 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 87 | 27 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| PALAU | 17 | 32 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 113 | 3 | 365 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 1,875 | 1,643 | 446 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 1 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 1,424,309 | 624,095 | 478,400 | 32,978 | 15,539 | 4,113 | 2,321 | 6,308 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 1,421,837 | 621,990 | 477,461 | 32,968 | 15,539 | 4,106 | 2,317 | 6,305 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB4

## Percentage of Children Ages 6-11 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | SEPAR <br> CLASS | ---PERCE <br> PUBLIC <br> SEPAR <br> FACIL | TAGE---- <br> PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 55.13 | 30.10 | 13.43 | 0.83 | 0.05 | 0.26 | 0.08 | 0.12 |
| ALASKA | 67.46 | 26.21 | 6.06 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.06 |
| ARIZONA | 56.66 | 29.09 | 12.34 | 1.02 | 0.52 | 0.20 | 0.08 | 0.09 |
| ARKANSAS | 52.35 | 33.99 | 11.95 | 0.29 | 0.74 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.17 |
| CALIFORNIA | 63.63 | 13.58 | 20.85 | 0.84 | 0.79 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.16 |
| COLORADO | 79.89 | 11.80 | 6.65 | 0.63 | 0.20 | 0.06 | 0.46 | 0.30 |
| CONNECTICUT | 65.03 | 17.28 | 15.01 | 0.97 | 1.32 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.15 |
| DELAWARE | 30.83 | 57.55 | 8.43 | 2.66 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.43 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 17.01 | 20.15 | 41.46 | 13.05 | 8.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 41.42 | 27.16 | 29.42 | 1.40 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.34 |
| GEORGIA | 47.30 | 31.44 | 20.57 | 0.42 | 0.01 | 0.20 | 0.02 | 0.05 |
| HAWAII | 56.25 | 28.56 | 14.48 | 0.24 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.26 |
| IDAHO | 78.22 | 16.29 | 4.59 | 0.33 | 0.03 | 0.38 | 0.09 | 0.07 |
| ILLINOIS | 43.78 | 30.03 | 23.17 | 1.68 | 1.01 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.17 |
| INDIANA | 71.45 | 9.87 | 17.89 | 0.38 | 0.02 | 0.21 | 0.11 | 0.07 |
| IOWA | 61.89 | 28.24 | 8.58 | 0.61 |  | 0.32 | 0.30 | 0.05 |
| KANSAS | 72.69 | 16.34 | 9.76 | 0.75 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.04 | 0.21 |
| KENTUCKY | 63.41 | 26.18 | 9.82 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.19 | 0.06 | 0.17 |
| LOUISIANA | 46.59 | 17.99 | 33.87 | 0.73 | 0.06 | 0.43 | 0.04 | 0.30 |
| MAINE | 59.55 | 29.70 | 9.54 | 0.46 | 0.36 | 0.02 | 0.20 | 0.17 |
| MARYLAND | 49.74 | 24.61 | 20.94 | 2.61 | 1.41 | 0.35 | 0.17 | 0.18 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 71.03 | 11.70 | 14.61 | 0.67 | 1.48 |  | 0.22 | 0.28 |
| MICHIGAN | 59.42 | 20.74 | 16.60 | 2.85 |  | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.34 |
| MINNESOTA | 75.17 | 17.46 | 5.43 | 1.31 | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.09 | 0.13 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 53.68 | 25.35 | 19.72 | 0.44 | 0.19 | 0.31 | 0.01 | 0.29 |
| MISSOURI | 58.33 | 24.54 | 14.90 | 0.92 | 0.46 | 0.25 | 0.18 | 0.41 |
| MONTANA | 64.23 | 28.73 | 5.49 | 0.34 | 0.12 | 0.34 | 0.59 | 0.15 |
| NEBRASKA | 70.06 | 18.48 | 9.09 | 1.21 | 0.11 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.97 |
| NEVADA | 52.25 | 36.48 | 9.35 | 1.74 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.17 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 50.33 | 26.68 | 18.72 | 3.06 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 0.61 | 0.17 |
| NEW JERSEY | 58.87 | 17.19 | 18.33 | 2.24 | 3.06 | 0.12 | 0.01 | 0.18 |
| NEW MEXICO | 41.64 | 28.38 | 29.27 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.45 | 0.05 | 0.20 |
| NEW YORK | 43.84 | 13.89 | 33.70 | 5.97 | 1.96 | 0.15 | 0.24 | 0.25 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 69.34 | 15.97 | 13.16 | 0.71 | 0.27 | 0.33 | 0.08 | 0.14 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 84.00 | 9.81 | 5.20 | 0.40 | 0.11 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.07 |
| OHIO | 68.90 | 17.67 | 11.92 | 1.16 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.30 |
| OKLAHOMA | 57.91 | 29.41 | 11.55 | 0.42 | 0.16 | 0.27 | 0.06 | 0.22 |
| OREGON | 79.59 | 14.41 | 4.18 | 0.55 | 0.77 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.22 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 47.61 | 23.83 | 26.04 | 0.97 | 1.07 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.12 |
| PUERTO RICO | 8.13 | 65.29 | 21.46 | 1.25 | 1.92 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 1.77 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 57.70 | 16.06 | 24.01 | 0.36 | 1.29 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.23 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 48.05 | 31.91 | 19.33 | 0.57 | 0.04 |  | 0.01 | 0.09 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 72.72 | 20.14 | 5.24 | 0.35 | 0.33 | 0.24 | 0.86 | 0.12 |
| TENNESSEE | 61.45 | 24.27 | 12.85 | 0.48 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.05 | 0.39 |
| TEXAS | 36.31 | 47.30 | 15.44 | 0.37 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.51 |
| UTAH | 50.28 | 33.24 | 13.96 | 1.15 | 0.00 | 1.22 | 0.00 | 0.15 |
| VERMONT | 91.08 | 4.81 | 1.41 | 0.28 | 0.80 | 0.00 | 0.78 | 0.83 |
| VIRGINIA | 44.98 | 29.56 | 24.08 | 0.44 | 0.54 | 0.14 | 0.06 | 0.20 |
| WASHINGTON | 59.96 | 25.92 | 13.24 | 0.41 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.18 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 58.35 | 29.11 | 12.10 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.01 | 0.12 |
| WISCONSIN | 46.06 | 37.02 | 16.20 | 0.39 | 0.04 | 0.22 | 0.01 | 0.07 |
| WYOMING | 66.05 | 27.58 | 5.53 | 0.09 | 0.38 | 0.21 | 0.07 | 0.09 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 72.36 | 14.63 | 13.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| GUAM | 38.14 | 50.07 | 11.66 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 68.50 | 21.26 | 9.45 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.79 |
| PALAU | 26.98 | 50.79 | 17.46 | 4.76 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 23.35 | 0.62 | 75.41 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | 0.21 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 47.11 | 41.28 | 11.21 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.05 | 0.03 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 55.03 | 24.11 | 18.48 | 1.27 | 0.60 | 0.16 | 0.09 | 0.24 |
| 50 StATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 55.06 | 24.08 | 18.49 | 1.28 | 0.60 | 0.16 | 0.09 | 0.24 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB4

## Number of Children Ages 6-11 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEPAR } \\ & \text { CLASS } \end{aligned}$ | PUBLIC SEPAR FACIL | PRIVATE SEPAR FACII | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | PRIVATE RESID FACIL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOME } \\ & \text { HOSP } \\ & \text { ENVIR } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 5,823 | 7,663 | 321 | 18 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| ALASKA | 2,822 | 1,490 | 61 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| ARIZONA | 8,495 | 8,689 | 1,302 | 9 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| ARKANSAS | 3,155 | 3,880 | 311 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| CALIFORNIA | 77,154 | 30,241 | 30,010 | 180 | 372 | 0 | 11 | 72 |
| COLORADO | 12,020 | 2,156 | 295 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 8 | 8 |
| CONNECTICUT | 10,191 | 3,712 | 1,961 | 34 | 92 | 0 | 5 | 8 |
| DELAWARE | 1,343 | 2,909 | 350 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | - 98 | 2,339 | 687 | 60 | 137 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 16,763 | 35,725 | 17,189 | 61 | 37 | 38 | 0 | 39 |
| GEORGIA | 8,280 | 8,245 | 2,164 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| HAWAII | 1,799 | 1,440 | 252 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| IDAHO | 5,075 | 1,118 | 57 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| ILLINOIS | 6,527 | 29,784 | 12,642 | 134 | 31 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| INDIANA | 10,443 | 4,878 | 2,933 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| IOWA | 7,286 | 3,324 | 1,011 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 |
| KANSAS | 5,600 | 2,561 | 348 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| KENTUCKY | 3,151 | 4,246 | 436 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| LOUISIANA | 2,463 | 4,723 | 4,713 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 11 |
| MAINE | 2,714 | 2,133 | 176 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| MARYLAND | 7,457 | 6,300 | 3,324 | 40 | 76 | 4 | 1 | 20 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 33,772 | 5,807 | 3,803 | 78 | 135 |  | 16 | 10 |
| MICHIGAN | 15,258 | 12,808 | 4,579 | 336 |  | 2 | 0 | 24 |
| MINNESOTA | 13,057 | 3,629 | 312 | 63 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 7 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 1,514 | 5,432 | 2,922 | 4 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 11 |
| MISSOURI | 11,429 | 9,762 | 2,398 | 1 | 54 | 0 | 18 | 61 |
| MONTANA | 1,934 | 1,917 | 66 | 12 | 3 | 2 | 9 | 1 |
| NEBRASKA | 4,606 | 1,864 | 257 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| NEVADA | 2,684 | 4,289 | 392 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 2,517 | 1,427 | 875 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| NEW JERSEY | 14,711 | 13,740 | 11,640 | 279 | 512 | 7 | 0 | 36 |
| NEW MEXICO | 3,428 | 4,203 | 3,132 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 |
| NEW YORK | 38,530 | 14,614 | 26,360 | 417 | 403 | 3 | 85 | 33 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 20,186 | 5,778 | 1,271 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 1,785 | 5 184 | - 9 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| OHIO | 22,321 | 5,319 | 1,372 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| OKLAHOMA | 6,410 | 6,284 | 501 | 8 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| OREGON | 10,758 | 2,869 | 141 | 17 | 18 | 4 | 6 | 18 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 7,163 | 16,107 | 11,309 | 70 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 |
| PUERTO RICO | 198 | 5,963 | 532 | 0 | 75 | 1 | 4 | 6 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 3,122 | 1,320 | 1,630 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 2,821 | 9,635 | 2,530 | 10 | 6 | . | 0 | 2 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 2,105 | 931 | 32 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 11,709 | 8,721 | 1,763 | 22 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 33 |
| TEXAS | 13,918 | 73,051 | 10,129 | 39 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 51 |
| UTAH | 5,208 | 6,615 | 1,293 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| VERMONT | 1,727 | 83 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 14 |
| VIRGINIA | 7,053 | 13,463 | 6,075 | 10 | 93 | 5 | 0 | 12 |
| WASHINGTON | 10,449 | 7,278 | 1,413 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 2,374 | 3,568 | 591 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| WISCONSIN | 4,719 | 11,818 | 1,267 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| WYOMING | 1,271 | 1,016 | 59 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 83 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 122 | 328 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 56 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 12 | 29 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 31 | 1 | 123 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 794 | 1,010 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 478,494 | 426,448 | 179,489 | 2,089 | 2,176 | 94 | 202 | 572 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 477,396 | 425,051 | 179,206 | 2,089 | 2,176 | 94 | 202 | 572 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB4

## Percentage of Children Ages 6-11 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | -PERCENTAGE- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE | PUBLIC | PRIVATE | HOME |
|  | REGULAR | RESOURCE | SEPAR | SEPAR | SEPAR | RESID | RESID | HOSP |
|  | CLASS | ROOM | CLASS | FACIL | FACIL | FACIL | FACIL | ENVIR |
| ALABAMA | 42.10 | 55.40 | 2.32 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| ALASKA | 64.43 | 34.02 | 1.39 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.02 |
| ARIZONA | 45.88 | 46.93 | 7.03 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| ARKANSAS | 42.86 | 52.71 | 4.22 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.05 |
| CALIFORNIA | 55.89 | 21.91 | 21.74 | 0.13 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.05 |
| COLORADO | 82.90 | 14.87 | 2.03 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.06 |
| CONNECTICUT | 63.68 | 23.20 | 12.25 | 0.21 | 0.57 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.05 |
| DELAWARE | 28.97 | 62.75 | 7.55 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.22 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 7.42 | 25.66 | 52.01 | 4.54 | 10.37 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 24.00 | 51.14 | 24.61 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.06 |
| GEORGIA | 44.29 | 44.10 | 11.57 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| HAWAII | 51.52 | 41.24 | 7.22 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |
| IDAHO | 80.94 | 17.83 | 0.91 | 0.22 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| ILLINOIS | 13.29 | 60.63 | 25.74 | 0.27 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| INDIANA | 57.20 | 26.72 | 16.07 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| IOWA | 62.62 | 28.57 | 8.69 | 0.07 |  | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.01 |
| KANSAS | 65.76 | 30.07 | 4.09 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.05 |
| KENTUCKY | 40.20 | 54.17 | 5.56 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| LOUISIANA | 20.65 | 39.60 | 39.52 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.09 |
| MAINE | 53.96 | 42.41 | 3.50 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.12 |
| MARYLAND | 43.30 | 36.58 | 19.30 | 0.23 | 0.44 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.12 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 77.42 | 13.31 | 8.72 | 0.18 | 0.31 |  | 0.04 | 0.02 |
| MICHIGAN | 46.23 | 38.80 | 13.87 | 1.02 |  | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.07 |
| MINNESOTA | 76.46 | 21.25 | 1.83 | 0.37 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.04 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 15.29 | 54.86 | 29.51 | 0.04 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.11 |
| MISSOURI | 48.18 | 41.15 | 10.11 | 0.00 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.26 |
| MONTANA | 49.04 | 48.61 | 1.67 | 0.30 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.23 | 0.03 |
| NEBRASKA | 68.32 | 27.65 | 3.81 | 0.12 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.09 |
| NEVADA | 36.13 | 57.74 | 5.28 | 0.82 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 52.08 | 29.53 | 18.10 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.08 |
| NEW JERSEY | 35.95 | 33.57 | 28.44 | 0.68 | 1.25 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.09 |
| NEW MEXICO | 31.83 | 39.03 | 29.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| NEW YORK | 47.90 | 18.17 | 32.77 | 0.52 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.04 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 74.07 | 21.20 | 4.66 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 89.97 | 9.27 | 0.45 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.00 |
| OHIO | 76.88 | 18.32 | 4.73 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| OKLAHOMA | 48.46 | 47.51 | 3.79 | 0.06 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.04 |
| OREGON | 77.78 | 20.74 | 1.02 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.13 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 20.67 | 46.47 | 32.63 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| PUERTO RICO | 2.92 | 87.96 | 7.85 | 0.00 | 1.11 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.09 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 51.31 | 21.70 | 26.79 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.03 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 18.80 | 64.22 | 16.86 | 0.07 | 0.04 |  | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 68.57 | 30.33 | 1.04 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 |
| TENNESSEE | 52.61 | 39.18 | 7.92 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.15 |
| TEXAS | 14.32 | 75.16 | 10.42 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 |
| UTAH | 39.66 | 50.38 | 9.85 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| VERMONT | 93.60 | 4.50 | 0.54 | 0.05 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.38 | 0.76 |
| VIRGINIA | 26.40 | 50.40 | 22.74 | 0.04 | 0.35 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.04 |
| WASHINGTON | 54.55 | 38.00 | 7.38 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 36.31 | 54.57 | 9.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.06 |
| WISCONSIN | 26.49 | 66.33 | 7.11 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| WYOMING | 53.99 | 43.16 | 2.51 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.00 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 84.69 | 15.31 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| GUAM | 23.87 | 64.19 | 11.94 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 80.00 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PALAU | 25.53 | 61.70 | 12.77 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 20.00 | 0.65 | 79.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 41.86 | 53.24 | 4.90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 43.92 | 39.14 | 16.47 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.05 |
| 50 StATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 43.93 | 39.11 | 16.49 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.05 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB4

## Number of Children Ages 6-11 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | SPEECH OR LANGUAGE IMPAIRMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | SEPAR | PUBLIC SEPAR FACIL | PRIVATE <br> SEPAR <br> FACIL | PUBLIC RESID FACIL | PRIVATE RESID FACII | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
| ALABAMA | 15,139 | 168 | 111 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| ALASKA | 2,267 | 345 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| ARIZONA | 10,422 | 677 | 108 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARKANSAS | 6,595 | 299 | 73 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| CALIFORNIA | 86,262 | 3,091 | 5,562 | 26 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| COLORADO | 7,741 | 429 | 149 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| CONNECTICUT | 8,309 | 723 | 453 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 747 | 639 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 332 | 4 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 43,612 | 1,429 | 1,726 | 33 | 31 | 12 | 0 | 6 |
| GEORGIA | 18,999 | 5,206 | 215 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| HAWAII | 2,034 | 163 | 67 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| IDAHO | 2,857 | 152 | 27 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| ILLINOIS | 43,407 | 827 | 1,611 | 70 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| INDIANA | 32,323 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| IOWA | 4,499 | 2,052 | 624 | 3 |  | 0 | 10 | 1 |
| KANSAS | 9,798 | 85 | 119 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| KENTUCKY | 16,709 | 589 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| LOUISIANA | 13,630 | 261 | 406 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| MAINE | 4,202 | 796 | 155 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| MARYLAND | 13,923 | 3,516 | 2,199 | 66 | 31 | 2 | 1 | 26 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 11,436 | 540 | 789 | 8 | 26 |  | 6 | 9 |
| MICHIGAN | 30,035 | 701 | 458 | 313 |  | 2 | 1 | 171 |
| MINNESOTA | 12,216 | 548 | 89 | 66 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 14,669 | 1,468 | 894 | 47 | 37 | 2 | 0 | 19 |
| MISSOURI | 19,085 | 1,479 | 638 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| MONTANA | 2,976 | 58 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 6,800 | 273 | 160 | 141 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 84 |
| NEVADA | 3,776 | 33 | 220 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 1,907 | 932 | 562 | 34 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| NEW JERSEY | 40,018 | 745 | 1,357 | 44 | 117 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| NEW MEXICO | 4,479 | 1,172 | 1,085 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| NEW YORK | 21,505 | 3,694 | 9,855 | 351 | 238 | 5 | 27 | 12 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 24,353 | 167 | 293 | 4 | 42 | 2 | 2 |  |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 2,319 | 102 | 89 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| OHIO | 45,072 | 0 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OKLAHOMA | 11,384 | 1,194 | 42 | 9 | 23 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| OREGON | 9,960 | 574 | 208 | 15 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 12 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 33,855 | 1,743 | 280 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| PUERTO RICO | 758 | 1,864 | 162 | 8 | 40 | 0 | 3 | 15 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 3,057 | 272 | 242 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 16,816 | 631 | 57 | 0 | 10 | . | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 3,010 | 111 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| TENNESSEE | 19,708 | 1,650 | 583 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| TEXAS | 51,347 | 3,022 | 687 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| UTAH | 6,139 | 716 | 309 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 1,080 | 59 | 21 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 9 |
| VIRGINIA | 19,829 | 2,753 | 306 | 17 | 137 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| WASHINGTON | 13,367 | 280 | 805 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 15 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 9,237 | 1,040 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| WISCONSIN | 13,506 | 557 | 472 | 11 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| WYOMING | 2,108 | 221 | 31 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 125 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 68 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 855 | 285 | 174 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 800,681 | 50,369 | 34,708 | 1,393 | 900 | 35 | 65 | 555 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 799,614 | 50,075 | 34,501 | 1,393 | 900 | 35 | 65 | 555 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB4

## Percentage of Children Ages 6-11 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | SPEECH | OR | LANGUAGE | IMPAIRMENTS |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB4

## Number of Children Ages 6-11 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | SEPAR CLASS | PUBLIC SEPAR FACIL | PRIVATE SEPAR FACII | PUBLIC RESID FACIL | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOME } \\ & \text { HOSP } \\ & \text { ENVIR } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 595 | 3,576 | 4,093 | 103 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 5 |
| ALASKA | 35 | 35 | 129 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 306 | 608 | 1,774 | 95 | 25 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| ARKANSAS | 614 | 2,077 | 1,572 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 27 | 10 |
| CALIFORNIA | 901 | 1,151 | 9,272 | 637 | 90 | 0 | 1 | 140 |
| COLORADO | 497 | 292 | 331 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| CONNECTICUT | 156 | 245 | 1,011 | 46 | 24 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| DELAWARE | 85 | 441 | - 195 | 91 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 6 | 16 | 274 | 100 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 373 | 1,078 | 13,489 | 1,056 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 72 |
| GEORGIA | 928 | 2,887 | 7,488 | 61 | 2 | 38 | 1 | 17 |
| HAWAII | 185 | 392 | 388 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| IDAHO | 537 | 425 | 224 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| ILLINOIS | 342 | 1,188 | 7,659 | 570 | 503 | 3 | 29 | 12 |
| INDIANA | 1,420 | 1,078 | 6,107 | 71 | 1 | 3 | 18 | 16 |
| IOWA | 3,547 | 1,618 | 492 | 30 |  | 0 | 11 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 574 | 579 | 1,082 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| KENTUCKY | 2,089 | 3,417 | 1,727 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| LOUISIANA | 157 | 534 | 4,118 | 111 | 13 | 42 | 2 | 13 |
| MAINE | 61 | 186 | 208 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MARYLAND | 214 | 522 | 1,552 | 335 | 32 | 5 | 1 | 6 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 1,547 | 1,084 | 2,875 | 37 | 95 | . | 20 | 8 |
| MICHIGAN | 856 | 1,923 | 5,100 | 638 |  | 2 | 0 | 17 |
| MINNESOTA | 1,298 | 1,848 | 895 | 122 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 15 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 52 | 474 | 1,654 | 29 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 5 |
| MISSOURI | 455 | 683 | 3,133 | 435 | 30 | 25 | 10 | 52 |
| MONTANA | 106 | 204 | 161 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 702 | 926 | 611 | 31 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 22 |
| NEVADA | 79 | 243 | 287 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 81 | 57 | 165 | 22 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| NEW JERSEY | 28 | 225 | 794 | 284 | 158 | 3 | 0 | 10 |
| NEW MEXICO | 70 | 125 | 556 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| NEW YORK | 542 | 359 | 4,011 | 971 | 191 | 2 | 12 | 10 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 2,486 | 3,981 | 4,875 | 278 | 90 | 0 | 8 | 23 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 215 | 168 | 110 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| OHIO | 3,082 | 10,480 | 5,818 | 48 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11 |
| OKLAHOMA | 502 | 1,707 | 1,891 | 25 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| OREGON | 533 | 301 | 392 | 15 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 515 | 2,528 | 7,208 | 394 | 18 | 10 | 5 | 45 |
| PUERTO RICO | 92 | 2,008 | 2,035 | 112 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 17 | 22 | 358 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 347 | 1,972 | 4,238 | 162 | 0 | . | 1 | 19 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 151 | 316 | 160 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 642 | 1,917 | 3,020 | 25 | 29 | 0 | 8 | 12 |
| TEXAS | 323 | 4,259 | 7,483 | 196 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 107 |
| UTAH | 98 | 212 | 922 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 512 | 36 | 15 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| VIRGINIA | 106 | 672 | 4,595 | 56 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 26 |
| WASHINGTON | 816 | 1,579 | 1,321 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 190 | 1,085 | 1,640 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| WISCONSIN | 321 | 1,756 | 3,165 | 100 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 1 |
| WYOMING | 36 | 115 | 89 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 6 | 29 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 9 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 3 | 1 | 165 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 22 | 157 | 43 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 30,462 | 65,800 | 132,990 | 7,421 | 1,540 | 198 | 184 | 772 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 30,422 | 65,610 | 132,762 | 7,417 | 1,540 | 197 | 184 | 772 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB4

## Percentage of Children Ages 6-11 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | MENTAL RETARDATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | REGULAR CLASS | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RESOURCE } \\ \text { ROOM } \end{array}$ |  | -PERC | AGE- |  | PRIVATE RESID FACIL |  |
|  |  |  | SEPARCLASS | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | PUBLIC <br> RESID <br> FACIL |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALABAMA | 7.09 | 42.64 | 48.80 | 1.23 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.06 |
| ALASKA | 17.59 | 17.59 | 64.82 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARIZONA | 10.87 | 21.60 | 63.02 | 3.37 | 0.89 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.14 |
| ARKANSAS | 14.06 | 47.57 | 36.01 | 0.00 | 1.51 | 0.00 | 0.62 | 0.23 |
| CALIFORNIA | 7.39 | 9.44 | 76.05 | 5.22 | 0.74 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.15 |
| COLORADO | 44.30 | 26.02 | 29.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.18 |
| CONNECTICUT | 10.51 | 16.51 | 68.13 | 3.10 | 1.62 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| DELAWARE | 10.43 | 54.11 | 23.93 | 11.17 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 1.42 | 3.77 | 64.62 | 23.58 | 6.60 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 2.32 | 6.70 | 83.88 | 6.57 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.45 |
| GEORGIA | 8.12 | 25.28 | 65.56 | 0.53 | 0.02 | 0.33 | 0.01 | 0.15 |
| HAWAII | 19.11 | 40.50 | 40.08 | 0.31 |  |  |  |  |
| IDAHO | 45.13 | 35.71 | 18.82 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.08 |
| ILLINOIS | 3.32 | 11.53 | 74.32 | 5.53 | 4.88 | 0.03 | 0.28 | 0.12 |
| INDIANA | 16.30 | 12.37 | 70.08 | 0.81 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.21 | 0.18 |
| IOWA | 62.25 | 28.40 | 8.63 | 0.53 |  | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.00 |
| KANSAS | 25.45 | 25.68 | 47.98 | 0.40 | 0.31 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| KENTUCKY | 28.78 | 47.07 | 23.79 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.28 |
| LOUISIANA | 3.15 | 10.70 | 82.53 | 2.22 | 0.26 | 0.84 | 0.04 | 0.26 |
| MAINE | 13.09 | 39.91 | 44.64 | 0.43 | 1.93 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MARYLAND | 8.02 | 19.57 | 58.19 | 12.56 | 1.20 | 0.19 | 0.04 | 0.22 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 27.30 | 19.13 | 50.74 | 0.65 | 1.68 |  | 0.35 | 0.14 |
| MICHIGAN | 10.03 | 22.53 | 59.75 | 7.47 |  | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.20 |
| MINNESOTA | 31.04 | 44.19 | 21.40 | 2.92 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.36 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 2.34 | 21.30 | 74.34 | 1.30 | 0.04 | 0.36 | 0.09 | 0.22 |
| MISSOURI | 9.43 | 14.16 | 64.96 | 9.02 | 0.62 | 0.52 | 0.21 | 1.08 |
| MONTANA | 22.08 | 42.50 | 33.54 | 1.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.83 | 0.00 |
| NEBRASKA | 30.54 | 40.28 | 26.58 | 1.35 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.17 | 0.96 |
| NEVADA | 11.79 | 36.27 | 42.84 | 8.51 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.60 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 24.40 | 17.17 | 49.70 | 6.63 | 1.20 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.60 |
| NEW JERSEY | 1.86 | 14.98 | 52.86 | 18.91 | 10.52 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.67 |
| NEW MEXICO | 9.27 | 16.56 | 73.64 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.13 |
| NEW YORK | 8.89 | 5.89 | 65.78 | 15.92 | 3.13 | 0.03 | 0.20 | 0.16 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 21.17 | 33.91 | 41.52 | 2.37 | 0.77 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.20 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 43.09 | 33.67 | 22.04 | 0.80 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.20 |
| OHIO | 15.85 | 53.91 | 29.93 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.06 |
| OKLAHOMA | 12.14 | 41.28 | 45.73 | 0.60 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.15 |
| OREGON | 42.47 | 23.98 | 31.24 | 1.20 | 0.64 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 0.24 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 4.80 | 23.58 | 67.22 | 3.67 | 0.17 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.42 |
| PUERTO RICO | 2.12 | 46.37 | 47.00 | 2.59 | 1.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.74 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 3.98 | 5.15 | 83.84 | 0.00 | 5.85 | 0.00 | 0.70 | 0.47 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 5.15 | 29.26 | 62.89 | 2.40 | 0.00 |  | 0.01 | 0.28 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 23.89 | 50.00 | 25.32 | 0.32 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.00 |
| TENNESSEE | 11.36 | 33.91 | 53.42 | 0.44 | 0.51 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.21 |
| TEXAS | 2.61 | 34.42 | 60.47 | 1.58 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.86 |
| UTAH | 7.81 | 16.91 | 73.52 | 1.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VERMONT | 89.35 | 6.28 | 2.62 | 0.35 | 0.70 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.52 |
| VIRGINIA | 1.94 | 12.29 | 84.03 | 1.02 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.48 |
| WASHINGTON | 21.86 | 42.31 | 35.40 | 0.29 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 6.48 | 37.03 | 55.97 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.27 |
| WISCONSIN | 5.99 | 32.74 | 59.02 | 1.86 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.09 |
| WYOMING | 14.57 | 46.56 | 36.03 | 0.40 | 0.81 | 1.21 | 0.00 | 0.40 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| GUAM | 13.33 | 64.44 | 22.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 75.00 | 16.67 | 8.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PALAU | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 1.78 | 0.59 | 97.63 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 9.69 | 69.16 | 18.94 | 1.76 | 0.00 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 12.73 | 27.49 | 55.56 | 3.10 | 0.64 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.32 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 12.73 | 27.46 | 55.57 | 3.10 | 0.64 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.32 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB4

## Number of Children Ages 6-11 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | SEPAR CLASS | $\begin{gathered} --- \text { NUME } \\ \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 831 | 718 | 430 | 44 | 10 | 9 | 16 | 6 |
| ALASKA | 76 | 63 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 483 | 318 | 639 | 127 | 83 | 0 | 26 | 0 |
| ARKANSAS | 25 | 30 | 68 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| CALIFORNIA | 444 | 298 | 2,523 | 256 | 1,315 | 0 | 110 | 40 |
| COLORADO | 1,848 | 293 | 571 | 66 | 49 | 0 | 131 | 43 |
| CONNECTICUT | 1,173 | 446 | 947 | 88 | 189 | 0 | 54 | 22 |
| DELAWARE | 29 | 129 | 40 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0 | 120 | 74 | 21 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 1,875 | 2,761 | 10,112 | 807 | 34 | 14 | 0 | 51 |
| GEORGIA | 3,220 | 4,023 | 3,060 | 144 | 0 | 47 | 10 | 3 |
| HAWAII | 186 | 136 | 131 | 0 | 11 |  | 5 | 2 |
| IDAHO | 95 | 24 | 42 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 1 |
| ILLINOIS | 660 | 2,345 | 3,575 | 839 | 537 | 1 | 58 | 16 |
| INDIANA | 984 | 204 | 1,461 | 74 | 9 | 27 | 30 | 13 |
| IOWA | 1,617 | 738 | 224 | 108 |  | 20 | 40 | 3 |
| KANSAS | - 772 | 302 | 412 | 52 | 1 | 29 | 7 | 9 |
| KENTUCKY | 294 | 523 | 857 | 20 | 9 | 1 | 22 | 6 |
| LOUISIANA | 138 | 210 | 1,337 | 105 | 0 | 28 | 7 | 18 |
| MAINE | 690 | 530 | 309 | 25 | 28 | 0 | 21 | 4 |
| MARYLAND | 306 | 292 | 892 | 218 | 295 | 6 | 25 | 6 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 1,290 | 405 | 1,705 | 291 | 552 |  | 36 | 25 |
| MICHIGAN | 1,968 | 1,398 | 2,025 | 345 |  | 12 | 2 | 4 |
| MINNESOTA | 3,546 | 852 | 744 | 264 | 64 | 27 | 28 | 13 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 7 | 22 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| MISSOURI | 1,040 | 867 | 1,314 | 27 | 123 | 70 | 43 | 38 |
| MONTANA | 119 | 59 | 60 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 33 | 4 |
| NEBRASKA | 510 | 193 | 383 | 17 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 7 |
| NEVADA | 150 | 178 | 126 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 262 | 116 | 120 | 3 | 19 | 0 | 30 | 2 |
| NEW JERSEY | 322 | 418 | 934 | 220 | 572 | 22 | 1 | 32 |
| NEW MEXICO | 287 | 140 | 669 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 10 | 4 |
| NEW YORK | 2,119 | 846 | 6,301 | 4,091 | 833 | 97 | 123 | 205 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 1,403 | 800 | 1,579 | 54 | 7 | 13 | 3 | 23 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 118 | 44 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 |
| OHIO | 398 | 1,031 | 1,241 | 838 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 26 |
| OKLAHOMA | 133 | 168 | 551 | 25 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 23 |
| OREGON | 538 | 137 | 329 | 92 | 146 | 4 | 30 | 16 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 505 | 807 | 2,936 | 231 | 382 | 167 | 29 | 23 |
| PUERTO RICO | 31 | 178 | 238 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 118 | 69 | 263 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 32 | 2 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 237 | 704 | 943 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 73 | 48 | 52 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 34 | 3 |
| TENNESSEE | 251 | 184 | 416 | 41 | 19 | 14 | 12 | 8 |
| TEXAS | 4,011 | 4,894 | 4,366 | 199 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 184 |
| UTAH | 918 | 677 | 521 | 53 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 14 |
| VERMONT | 406 | 24 | 7 | 8 | 15 | 0 | 16 | 3 |
| VIRGINIA | 509 | 701 | 2,290 | 114 | 89 | 7 | 24 | 6 |
| WASHINGTON | 843 | 586 | 592 | 70 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 38 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 198 | 157 | 203 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| WISCONSIN | 1,281 | 2,171 | 1,666 | 13 | 0 | 32 | 5 | 2 |
| WYOMING | 103 | 79 | 69 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 61 | 83 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 39,503 | 33,540 | 60,617 | 10,086 | 5,527 | 711 | 1,089 | 979 |
| 50 StATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 39,440 | 33,456 | 60,539 | 10,086 | 5,527 | 707 | 1,087 | 979 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB4

## Percentage of Children Ages 6-11 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE | -PERCENTAGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | REGULAR | RESOURCE | SEPAR | SEPAR | SEPAR | RESID | RESID | HOME |
|  | CLASS | ROOM | CLASS | FACIL | FACIL | FACIL | FACIL | ENVIR |
| ALABAMA | 40.26 | 34.79 | 20.83 | 2.13 | 0.48 | 0.44 | 0.78 | 0.29 |
| ALASKA | 33.78 | 28.00 | 34.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.56 | 0.00 |
| ARIZONA | 28.82 | 18.97 | 38.13 | 7.58 | 4.95 | 0.00 | 1.55 | 0.00 |
| ARKANSAS | 18.52 | 22.22 | 50.37 | 0.74 | 3.70 | 0.00 | 1.48 | 2.96 |
| CALIFORNIA | 8.90 | 5.98 | 50.60 | 5.13 | 26.37 | 0.00 | 2.21 | 0.80 |
| COLORADO | 61.58 | 9.76 | 19.03 | 2.20 | 1.63 | 0.00 | 4.37 | 1.43 |
| CONNECTICUT | 40.18 | 15.28 | 32.44 | 3.01 | 6.47 | 0.00 | 1.85 | 0.75 |
| DELAWARE | 12.89 | 57.33 | 17.78 | 11.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.89 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0.00 | 49.59 | 30.58 | 8.68 | 11.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 11.98 | 17.64 | 64.60 | 5.16 | 0.22 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.33 |
| GEORGIA | 30.65 | 38.29 | 29.12 | 1.37 | 0.00 | 0.45 | 0.10 | 0.03 |
| HAWAII | 39.49 | 28.87 | 27.81 | 0.00 | 2.34 |  | 1.06 | 0.42 |
| IDAHO | 51.35 | 12.97 | 22.70 | 7.57 | 1.62 | 0.00 | 3.24 | 0.54 |
| ILLINOIS | 8.22 | 29.20 | 44.52 | 10.45 | 6.69 | 0.01 | 0.72 | 0.20 |
| INDIANA | 35.12 | 7.28 | 52.14 | 2.64 | 0.32 | 0.96 | 1.07 | 0.46 |
| IOWA | 58.80 | 26.84 | 8.15 | 3.93 |  | 0.73 | 1.45 | 0.11 |
| KANSAS | 48.74 | 19.07 | 26.01 | 3.28 | 0.06 | 1.83 | 0.44 | 0.57 |
| KENTUCKY | 16.97 | 30.20 | 49.48 | 1.15 | 0.52 | 0.06 | 1.27 | 0.35 |
| LOUISIANA | 7.49 | 11.39 | 72.54 | 5.70 | 0.00 | 1.52 | 0.38 | 0.98 |
| MAINE | 42.94 | 32.98 | 19.23 | 1.56 | 1.74 | 0.00 | 1.31 | 0.25 |
| MARYLAND | 15.00 | 14.31 | 43.73 | 10.69 | 14.46 | 0.29 | 1.23 | 0.29 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 29.97 | 9.41 | 39.61 | 6.76 | 12.83 |  | 0.84 | 0.58 |
| MICHIGAN | 34.20 | 24.30 | 35.19 | 6.00 |  | 0.21 | 0.03 | 0.07 |
| MINNESOTA | 64.03 | 15.38 | 13.43 | 4.77 | 1.16 | 0.49 | 0.51 | 0.23 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 7.00 | 22.00 | 66.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 4.00 |
| MISSOURI | 29.53 | 24.62 | 37.31 | 0.77 | 3.49 | 1.99 | 1.22 | 1.08 |
| MONTANA | 40.48 | 20.07 | 20.41 | 3.06 | 2.04 | 1.36 | 11.22 | 1.36 |
| NEBRASKA | 45.70 | 17.29 | 34.32 | 1.52 | 0.36 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.63 |
| NEVADA | 32.33 | 38.36 | 27.16 | 1.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.86 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 47.46 | 21.01 | 21.74 | 0.54 | 3.44 | 0.00 | 5.43 | 0.36 |
| NEW JERSEY | 12.77 | 16.58 | 37.05 | 8.73 | 22.69 | 0.87 | 0.04 | 1.27 |
| NEW MEXICO | 25.20 | 12.29 | 58.74 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.55 | 0.88 | 0.35 |
| NEW YORK | 14.50 | 5.79 | 43.11 | 27.99 | 5.70 | 0.66 | 0.84 | 1.40 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 36.14 | 20.61 | 40.67 | 1.39 | 0.18 | 0.33 | 0.08 | 0.59 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 54.63 | 20.37 | 22.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 | 1.85 | 0.46 |
| OHIO | 11.26 | 29.16 | 35.10 | 23.70 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.74 |
| OKLAHOMA | 14.60 | 18.44 | 60.48 | 2.74 | 0.55 | 0.44 | 0.22 | 2.52 |
| OREGON | 41.64 | 10.60 | 25.46 | 7.12 | 11.30 | 0.31 | 2.32 | 1.24 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 9.94 | 15.89 | 57.80 | 4.55 | 7.52 | 3.29 | 0.57 | 0.45 |
| PUERTO RICO | 6.70 | 38.44 | 51.40 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 1.94 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 21.77 | 12.73 | 48.52 | 0.00 | 10.70 | 0.00 | 5.90 | 0.37 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 12.30 | 36.53 | 48.94 | 1.82 | 0.00 |  | 0.16 | 0.26 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 32.44 | 21.33 | 23.11 | 0.00 | 6.67 | 0.00 | 15.11 | 1.33 |
| TENNESSEE | 26.56 | 19.47 | 44.02 | 4.34 | 2.01 | 1.48 | 1.27 | 0.85 |
| TEXAS | 29.37 | 35.84 | 31.97 | 1.46 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.35 |
| UTAH | 41.82 | 30.84 | 23.74 | 2.41 | 0.00 | 0.55 | 0.00 | 0.64 |
| VERMONT | 84.76 | 5.01 | 1.46 | 1.67 | 3.13 | 0.00 | 3.34 | 0.63 |
| VIRGINIA | 13.61 | 18.74 | 61.23 | 3.05 | 2.38 | 0.19 | 0.64 | 0.16 |
| WASHINGTON | 39.36 | 27.36 | 27.64 | 3.27 | 0.42 | 0.14 | 0.05 | 1.77 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 35.36 | 28.04 | 36.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.18 |
| WISCONSIN | 24.78 | 41.99 | 32.22 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.62 | 0.10 | 0.04 |
| WYOMING | 39.31 | 30.15 | 26.34 | 1.15 | 0.00 | 1.53 | 1.15 | 0.38 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |
| GUAM | 0.00 | 50.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PALAU | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 27.98 | 38.07 | 31.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.83 | 0.92 | 0.00 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 25.98 | 22.06 | 39.87 | 6.63 | 3.63 | 0.47 | 0.72 | 0.64 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 25.98 | 22.04 | 39.88 | 6.64 | 3.64 | 0.47 | 0.72 | 0.64 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB4

## Number of Children Ages 6-11 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | SEPAR CLASS | $\begin{gathered} --- \text { NUMB } \\ \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 36 | 64 | 377 | 110 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 14 |
| ALASKA | 24 | 54 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| ARIZONA | 110 | 73 | 329 | 56 | 35 | 8 | 1 | 15 |
| ARKANSAS | 34 | 76 | 235 | 8 | 47 | 0 | 18 | 11 |
| CALIFORNIA | 190 | 201 | 1,581 | 271 | 61 | 27 | 1 | 32 |
| COLORADO | 574 | 244 | 442 | 95 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 21 |
| CONNECTICUT | 181 | 233 | 323 | 73 | 36 | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| GEORGIA |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |
| HAWAII | 1 | 3 | 125 | 1 | - | i | ; | ; |
| IDAHO | 47 | 40 | 98 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 2 |
| ILLINOIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INDIANA | 24 | 8 | 287 | 11 | 0 | 33 | 15 | 10 |
| IOWA | 103 | 47 | 14 | 22 | . | 0 | 4 | 3 |
| KANSAS | 311 | 134 | 178 | 47 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 10 |
| KENTUCKY | 141 | 133 | 361 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| LOUISIANA | 4 | 4 | 313 | 32 | 0 | 14 | 4 | 26 |
| MAINE | 257 | 291 | 392 | 10 | 8 | 1 | 6 | 8 |
| MARYLAND | 287 | 276 | 1,017 | 466 | 146 | 5 | 37 | 9 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 167 | 116 | 438 | 30 | 84 |  | 28 | 25 |
| MICHIGAN | 55 | 39 | 449 | 561 | . | 1 | 0 | 35 |
| MINNESOTA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MISSISSIPPI | 1 | 8 | 83 | 26 | 7 | 12 | 0 | 4 |
| MISSOURI | 24 | 85 | 241 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 12 |
| MONTANA | 51 | 52 | 98 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| NEBRASKA | 13 | 25 | 105 | 19 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 13 |
| NEVADA | 16 | 21 | 80 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 26 | 20 | 25 | 81 | 6 | 0 | 12 | 2 |
| NEW JERSEY | 391 | 978 | 2,329 | 1,000 | 1,205 | 32 | 5 | 46 |
| NEW MEXICO | 59 | 75 | 311 | - 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 19 |
| NEW YORK | 898 | 770 | 3,568 | 2,218 | 777 | 54 | 94 | 80 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 37 | 45 | 389 | 96 | 25 | 16 | 44 | 19 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OHIO | 215 | 1,181 | 3,218 | 224 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| OKLAHOMA | 55 | 99 | 462 | 42 | 1 | 4 | 12 | 22 |
| OREGON |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 33 | 27 | 454 | 110 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 16 |
| PUERTO RICO | 16 | 55 | 234 | 42 | 14 | 7 | 3 | 168 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 0 | 10 | 67 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 1 | 42 | 74 | 13 | 0 |  | 0 | 3 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 36 | 72 | 88 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 22 | 4 |
| TENNESSEE | 29 | 63 | 488 | 80 | 59 | 28 | 1 | 22 |
| TEXAS | 149 | 995 | 1,731 | 136 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 80 |
| UTAH | 25 | 15 | 260 | 184 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| VERMONT | 27 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| VIRGINIA | 816 | 803 | 1,382 | 36 | 12 | 13 | 3 | 25 |
| WASHINGTON | 197 | 330 | 795 | 35 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 12 |
| WEST VIRGINIA |  |  | . | . | . | . |  |  |
| WISCONSIN | . | . | . | - | - | . | - |  |
| WYOMING |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 9 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 2 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 95 | 61 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 5,767 | 7,884 | 23,652 | 6,233 | 2,579 | 332 | 331 | 858 |
| 50 States, D.C. \& P.R. | 5,661 | 7,811 | 23,562 | 6,230 | 2,579 | 330 | 331 | 856 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB4

## Percentage of Children Ages 6-11 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULARCLASS | RESOURCEROOM | SEPAR <br> CLASS | --PERCENTAGE----- |  | PUBLICRESIDFACIL | PRIVATERESIDFACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SEPAR | SEPAR |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | FACIL | FACIL |  |  |  |
| ALABAMA | 5.79 | 10.29 | 60.61 | 17.68 | 0.16 | 3.22 | 0.00 | 2.25 |
| ALASKA | 12.00 | 27.00 | 59.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.50 |
| ARIZONA | 17.54 | 11.64 | 52.47 | 8.93 | 5.58 | 1.28 | 0.16 | 2.39 |
| ARKANSAS | 7.93 | 17.72 | 54.78 | 1.86 | 10.96 | 0.00 | 4.20 | 2.56 |
| CALIFORNIA | 8.04 | 8.50 | 66.88 | 11.46 | 2.58 | 1.14 | 0.04 | 1.35 |
| COLORADO | 41.56 | 17.67 | 32.01 | 6.88 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 1.52 |
| CONNECTICUT | 21.10 | 27.16 | 37.65 | 8.51 | 4.20 | 0.00 | 0.70 | 0.70 |
| DELAWARE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FLORIDA | . | . | . |  |  |  |  |  |
| GEORGIA |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |
| HAWAII | 0.77 | 2.31 | 96.15 | 0.77 |  |  |  |  |
| IDAHO | 23.74 | 20.20 | 49.49 | 1.52 | 0.00 | 3.54 | 0.51 | 1.01 |
| ILLINOIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INDIANA | 6.19 | 2.06 | 73.97 | 2.84 | 0.00 | 8.51 | 3.87 | 2.58 |
| IOWA | 53.37 | 24.35 | 7.25 | 11.40 |  | 0.00 | 2.07 | 1.55 |
| KANSAS | 45.27 | 19.51 | 25.91 | 6.84 | 0.87 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 1.46 |
| KENTUCKY | 21.23 | 20.03 | 54.37 | 0.45 | 0.60 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.31 |
| LOUISIANA | 1.01 | 1.01 | 78.84 | 8.06 | 0.00 | 3.53 | 1.01 | 6.55 |
| MAINE | 26.41 | 29.91 | 40.29 | 1.03 | 0.82 | 0.10 | 0.62 | 0.82 |
| MARYLAND | 12.80 | 12.30 | 45.34 | 20.78 | 6.51 | 0.22 | 1.65 | 0.40 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 18.81 | 13.06 | 49.32 | 3.38 | 9.46 |  | 3.15 | 2.82 |
| MICHIGAN | 4.82 | 3.42 | 39.39 | 49.21 | . | 0.09 | 0.00 | 3.07 |
| MINNESOTA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MISSISSIPPI | 0.75 | 5.97 | 61.94 | 19.40 | 0.00 | 8.96 | 0.00 | 2.99 |
| MISSOURI | 6.23 | 22.08 | 62.60 | 1.04 | 1.82 | 1.30 | 1.82 | 3.12 |
| MONTANA | 24.06 | 24.53 | 46.23 | 0.94 | 0.00 | 1.42 | 1.42 | 1.42 |
| NEBRASKA | 7.30 | 14.04 | 58.99 | 10.67 | 0.56 | 1.12 | 0.00 | 7.30 |
| NEVADA | 7.88 | 10.34 | 39.41 | 38.92 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.45 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 15.12 | 11.63 | 14.53 | 47.09 | 3.49 | 0.00 | 6.98 | 1.16 |
| NEW JERSEY | 6.53 | 16.34 | 38.91 | 16.71 | 20.13 | 0.53 | 0.08 | 0.77 |
| NEW MEXICO | 12.32 | 15.66 | 64.93 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.13 | 0.00 | 3.97 |
| NEW YORK | 10.62 | 9.10 | 42.18 | 26.22 | 9.19 | 0.64 | 1.11 | 0.95 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 5.51 | 6.71 | 57.97 | 14.31 | 3.73 | 2.38 | 6.56 | 2.83 |
| NORTH DAKOTA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OHIO | 4.41 | 24.24 | 66.05 | 4.60 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.70 |
| OKLAHOMA | 7.89 | 14.20 | 66.28 | 6.03 | 0.14 | 0.57 | 1.72 | 3.16 |
| OREGON |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 5.12 | 4.19 | 70.50 | 17.08 | 0.00 | 0.62 | 0.00 | 2.48 |
| PUERTO RICO | 2.97 | 10.20 | 43.41 | 7.79 | 2.60 | 1.30 | 0.56 | 31.17 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 0.00 | 9.17 | 61.47 | 0.00 | 27.52 | 0.00 | 1.83 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 0.75 | 31.58 | 55.64 | 9.77 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 2.26 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 15.19 | 30.38 | 37.13 | 1.69 | 2.11 | 2.53 | 9.28 | 1.69 |
| TENNESSEE | 3.77 | 8.18 | 63.38 | 10.39 | 7.66 | 3.64 | 0.13 | 2.86 |
| TEXAS | 4.80 | 32.08 | 55.80 | 4.38 | 0.13 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 2.58 |
| UTAH | 5.06 | 3.04 | 52.63 | 37.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.02 |
| VERMONT | 75.00 | 11.11 | 5.56 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.33 |
| VIRGINIA | 26.41 | 25.99 | 44.72 | 1.17 | 0.39 | 0.42 | 0.10 | 0.81 |
| WASHINGTON | 14.32 | 23.98 | 57.78 | 2.54 | 0.29 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.87 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | . | . | . | . | . |  |  |  |
| WISCONSIN | . | . | . |  | . |  |  |  |
| WYOMING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| GUAM | 45.00 | 40.00 | 10.00 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 13.33 | 26.67 | 53.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.67 |
| PALAU | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 43.98 | 28.24 | 26.39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.93 | 0.00 | 0.46 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 12.11 | 16.55 | 49.65 | 13.08 | 5.41 | 0.70 | 0.69 | 1.80 |
| 50 StATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 11.95 | 16.49 | 49.75 | 13.15 | 5.45 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 1.81 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB4

## Number of Children Ages 6-11 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEPAR } \\ & \text { CLASS } \end{aligned}$ | PUBLIC SEPAR FACIL | PRIVATE SEPAR FACII | PUBLIC RESID FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESIID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 175 | 97 | 90 | 13 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 |
| ALASKA | 55 | 29 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 312 | 98 | 88 | 76 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 1 |
| ARKANSAS | 116 | 76 | 25 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 36 | 0 |
| CALIFORNIA | 1,486 | 466 | 2,003 | 57 | 39 | 216 | 2 | 1 |
| COLORADO | 349 | 30 | 124 | 15 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| CONNECTICUT | 196 | 49 | 29 | 26 | 47 | 0 | 11 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 12 | 77 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 6 | 6 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 267 | 152 | 658 | 1 | 0 | 174 | 0 | 0 |
| GEORGIA | 164 | 129 | 234 | 75 | 3 | 22 | 0 | 0 |
| HAWAII | 86 | 28 | 48 | 14 |  |  | . |  |
| IDAHO | 86 | 25 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 270 | 384 | 699 | 44 | 4 | 64 | 3 | 0 |
| INDIANA | 325 | 51 | 207 | 49 | 0 | 49 | 2 | 1 |
| IOWA | 189 | 87 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 3 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 100 | 44 | 49 | 51 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| KENTUCKY | 139 | 71 | 51 | 0 | 5 | 48 | 0 | 0 |
| LOUISIANA | 209 | 118 | 237 | 0 | 4 | 57 | 0 | 1 |
| MAINE | 76 | 16 | 10 | 24 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| MARYLAND | 247 | 65 | 146 | 4 | 1 | 139 | 0 | 1 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 291 | 41 | 173 | 7 | 60 | 0 | 13 | 1 |
| MICHIGAN | 677 | 170 | 423 | 31 |  | 23 | 0 | 11 |
| MINNESOTA | 578 | 107 | 90 | 30 | 0 | 52 | 1 | 0 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 87 | 79 | 70 | 4 | 1 | 51 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSOURI | 159 | 130 | 110 | 25 | 9 | 25 | 8 | 0 |
| MONTANA | 48 | 16 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 173 | 40 | 64 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 5 |
| NEVADA | 53 | 30 | 80 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 26 | 7 | 8 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 179 | 99 | 207 | 74 | 20 | 44 | 0 | 1 |
| NEW MEXICO | 97 | 29 | 68 | 2 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 10 |
| NEW YORK | 867 | 157 | 550 | 223 | 322 | 67 | 10 | 3 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 463 | 135 | 152 | 4 | 2 | 166 | 0 | 2 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 31 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| OHIO | 422 | 270 | 309 | 53 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 1 |
| OKLAHOMA | 109 | 42 | 111 | 7 | 0 | 54 | 1 | 0 |
| OREGON | 82 | 20 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 729 | 193 | 286 | 2 | 161 | 0 | 46 | 0 |
| PUERTO RICO | 36 | 164 | 124 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 22 | 20 | 7 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 182 | 103 | 152 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 35 | 11 | 2 | 19 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 1 |
| TENNESSEE | 243 | 70 | 161 | 19 | 2 | 60 | 1 | 2 |
| TEXAS | 483 | 860 | 1,068 | 34 | 1 | 78 | 0 | 19 |
| UTAH | 142 | 41 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 197 | 0 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 49 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 188 | 114 | 237 | 1 | 1 | 52 | 1 | 3 |
| WASHINGTON | 630 | 342 | 179 | 50 | 28 | 53 | 1 | 5 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 46 | 57 | 23 | 10 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| WISCONSIN | 246 | 82 | 190 | 28 | 1 | 34 | 0 | 0 |
| WYOMING | 54 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 5 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 4 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 18 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 12,320 | 5,568 | 9,704 | 1,253 | 806 | 2,033 | 153 | 70 |
| 50 StATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 12,292 | 5,554 | 9,678 | 1,253 | 806 | 2,033 | 153 | 70 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB4

## Percentage of Children Ages 6-11 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | HEARING IMPAIRMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | REGULARCLASS | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RESOURCE } \\ \text { ROOM } \end{array}$ | SEPAR <br> CLASS | ---PERCE <br> PUBLIC <br> SEPAR <br> FACIL | AGE----- | PUBLIC <br> RESID <br> FACIL | PRIVATE RESID FACIL |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALABAMA | 41.08 | 22.77 | 21.13 | 3.05 | 0.00 | 11.97 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ALASKA | 50.46 | 26.61 | 22.02 | 0.92 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARIZONA | 50.40 | 15.83 | 14.22 | 12.28 | 0.00 | 7.11 | 0.00 | 0.16 |
| ARKANSAS | 41.13 | 26.95 | 8.87 | 9.93 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 12.77 | 0.00 |
| CALIFORNIA | 34.80 | 10.91 | 46.91 | 1.33 | 0.91 | 5.06 | 0.05 | 0.02 |
| COLORADO | 65.73 | 5.65 | 23.35 | 2.82 | 0.00 | 2.45 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| CONNECTICUT | 54.75 | 13.69 | 8.10 | 7.26 | 13.13 | 0.00 | 3.07 | 0.00 |
| DELAWARE | 13.19 | 84.62 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 25.00 | 25.00 | 41.67 | 0.00 | 8.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 21.33 | 12.14 | 52.56 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 13.90 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| GEORGIA | 26.16 | 20.57 | 37.32 | 11.96 | 0.48 | 3.51 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| HAWAII | 48.86 | 15.91 | 27.27 | 7.95 |  |  |  |  |
| IDAHO | 52.76 | 15.34 | 12.27 | 0.61 | 0.00 | 19.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ILLINOIS | 18.39 | 26.16 | 47.62 | 3.00 | 0.27 | 4.36 | 0.20 | 0.00 |
| INDIANA | 47.51 | 7.46 | 30.26 | 7.16 | 0.00 | 7.16 | 0.29 | 0.15 |
| IOWA | 53.09 | 24.44 | 7.30 | 0.00 |  | 14.33 | 0.84 | 0.00 |
| KANSAS | 40.82 | 17.96 | 20.00 | 20.82 | 0.00 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| KENTUCKY | 44.27 | 22.61 | 16.24 | 0.00 | 1.59 | 15.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| LOUISIANA | 33.39 | 18.85 | 37.86 | 0.00 | 0.64 | 9.11 | 0.00 | 0.16 |
| MAINE | 58.91 | 12.40 | 7.75 | 18.60 | 0.78 | 1.55 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MARYLAND | 40.96 | 10.78 | 24.21 | 0.66 | 0.17 | 23.05 | 0.00 | 0.17 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 49.66 | 7.00 | 29.52 | 1.19 | 10.24 |  | 2.22 | 0.17 |
| MICHIGAN | 50.71 | 12.73 | 31.69 | 2.32 |  | 1.72 | 0.00 | 0.82 |
| MINNESOTA | 67.37 | 12.47 | 10.49 | 3.50 | 0.00 | 6.06 | 0.12 | 0.00 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 29.79 | 27.05 | 23.97 | 1.37 | 0.34 | 17.47 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MISSOURI | 34.12 | 27.90 | 23.61 | 5.36 | 1.93 | 5.36 | 1.72 | 0.00 |
| MONTANA | 53.93 | 17.98 | 14.61 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 13.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEBRASKA | 58.84 | 13.61 | 21.77 | 2.38 | 1.02 | 0.68 | 0.00 | 1.70 |
| NEVADA | 31.93 | 18.07 | 48.19 | 1.81 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 19.26 | 5.19 | 5.93 | 64.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.19 | 0.00 |
| NEW JERSEY | 28.69 | 15.87 | 33.17 | 11.86 | 3.21 | 7.05 | 0.00 | 0.16 |
| NEW MEXICO | 41.45 | 12.39 | 29.06 | 0.85 | 0.00 | 11.97 | 0.00 | 4.27 |
| NEW YORK | 39.43 | 7.14 | 25.01 | 10.14 | 14.64 | 3.05 | 0.45 | 0.14 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 50.11 | 14.61 | 16.45 | 0.43 | 0.22 | 17.97 | 0.00 | 0.22 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 72.09 | 18.60 | 6.98 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| OHIO | 38.89 | 24.88 | 28.48 | 4.88 | 0.00 | 2.76 | 0.00 | 0.09 |
| OKLAHOMA | 33.64 | 12.96 | 34.26 | 2.16 | 0.00 | 16.67 | 0.31 | 0.00 |
| OREGON | 60.29 | 14.71 | 2.94 | 1.47 | 5.88 | 14.71 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 51.45 | 13.62 | 20.18 | 0.14 | 11.36 | 0.00 | 3.25 | 0.00 |
| PUERTO RICO | 9.14 | 41.62 | 31.47 | 0.00 | 17.77 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 24.44 | 22.22 | 7.78 | 45.56 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 40.72 | 23.04 | 34.00 | 1.79 | 0.22 |  | 0.00 | 0.22 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 46.67 | 14.67 | 2.67 | 25.33 | 1.33 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 1.33 |
| TENNESSEE | 43.55 | 12.54 | 28.85 | 3.41 | 0.36 | 10.75 | 0.18 | 0.36 |
| TEXAS | 18.99 | 33.82 | 42.00 | 1.34 | 0.04 | 3.07 | 0.00 | 0.75 |
| UTAH | 35.86 | 10.35 | 4.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 49.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VERMONT | 70.00 | 2.86 | 2.86 | 2.86 | 11.43 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 |
| VIRGINIA | 31.49 | 19.10 | 39.70 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 8.71 | 0.17 | 0.50 |
| WASHINGTON | 48.91 | 26.55 | 13.90 | 3.88 | 2.17 | 4.11 | 0.08 | 0.39 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 30.46 | 37.75 | 15.23 | 6.62 | 0.00 | 9.93 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WISCONSIN | 42.34 | 14.11 | 32.70 | 4.82 | 0.17 | 5.85 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WYOMING | 60.67 | 19.10 | 19.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| GUAM | 35.71 | 0.00 | 64.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 25.00 | 75.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PALAU | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 28.57 | 0.00 | 71.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 56.25 | 25.00 | 18.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 38.61 | 17.45 | 30.41 | 3.93 | 2.53 | 6.37 | 0.48 | 0.22 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 38.61 | 17.44 | 30.40 | 3.94 | 2.53 | 6.39 | 0.48 | 0.22 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB4

## Number of Children Ages 6-11 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | SEPAR <br> CLASS | $\begin{gathered} --- \text { NUMB } \\ \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESIID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOME } \\ & \text { HOSP } \\ & \text { ENVIR } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 181 | 72 | 59 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| ALASKA | 26 | 12 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 252 | 49 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARKANSAS | 38 | 30 | 19 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CALIFORNIA | 1,670 | 531 | 2,560 | 604 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 58 |
| COLORADO | 1,471 | 171 | 105 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 15 |
| CONNECTICUT | 109 | 10 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| DELAWARE | 99 | 113 | 42 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 19 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0 | 14 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 623 | 437 | 1,306 | 58 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 44 |
| GEORGIA | 149 | 159 | 171 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| HAWAII | 48 | 12 | 18 | 0 |  |  |  | 2 |
| IDAHO | 50 | 16 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 370 | 323 | 436 | 185 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 42 |
| INDIANA | 438 | 27 | 102 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IOWA | 334 | 152 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 6 |
| KANSAS | 261 | 28 | 32 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| KENTUCKY | 118 | 81 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| LOUISIANA | 202 | 155 | 319 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 15 |
| MAINE | 41 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MARYLAND | 119 | 56 | 109 | 11 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 325 | 24 | 80 | 1 | 15 |  | 1 | 6 |
| MICHIGAN | 2,282 | 802 | 870 | 84 |  | 0 | 2 | 28 |
| MINNESOTA | 522 | 146 | 34 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 58 | 211 | 226 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 44 |
| MISSOURI | 67 | 217 | 110 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| MONTANA | 30 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 207 | 42 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| NEVADA | 76 | 17 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 36 | 29 | 23 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| NEW JERSEY | 144 | 56 | 42 | 67 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| NEW MEXICO | 104 | 47 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| NEW YORK | 1,081 | 135 | 419 | 30 | 61 | 1 | 3 | 7 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 338 | 86 | 104 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 58 | 8 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| OHIO | 535 | 211 | 348 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| OKLAHOMA | 164 | 20 | 36 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| OREGON | 178 | 20 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 101 | 72 | 297 | 37 | 90 | 0 | 9 | 3 |
| PUERTO RICO | 69 | 151 | 20 | 2 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 35 | 35 | 21 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 122 | 137 | 133 | 6 | 1 |  | 0 | 5 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 45 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 223 | 99 | 202 | 41 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| TEXAS | 515 | 1,179 | 925 | 32 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 99 |
| UTAH | 32 | 14 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 32 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| VIRGINIA | 154 | 118 | 169 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| WASHINGTON | 368 | 141 | 99 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 64 | 39 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| WISCONSIN | 378 | 186 | 281 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| WYOMING | 65 | 20 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 3 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. And outlying areas | 15,027 | 6,734 | 10,150 | 1,336 | 337 | 12 | 35 | 540 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 15,007 | 6,729 | 10,143 | 1,334 | 337 | 12 | 34 | 540 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB4

## Percentage of Children Ages 6-11 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | ORTHOPEDIC IMPAIRMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCEROOM | SEPAR CLASS | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | PUBLIC <br> RESID <br> FACIL | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | HOME <br> HOSP <br> ENVIR |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALABAMA | 57.10 | 22.71 | 18.61 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.63 |
| ALASKA | 54.17 | 25.00 | 20.83 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARIZONA | 60.87 | 11.84 | 27.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARKANSAS | 42.22 | 33.33 | 21.11 | 0.00 | 3.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| CALIFORNIA | 30.64 | 9.74 | 46.96 | 11.08 | 0.51 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.06 |
| COLORADO | 83.06 | 9.66 | 5.93 | 0.34 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.85 |
| CONNECTICUT | 81.34 | 7.46 | 8.96 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.75 |
| DELAWARE | 32.35 | 36.93 | 13.73 | 10.46 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 6.21 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0.00 | 29.79 | 0.00 | 70.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 25.15 | 17.64 | 52.73 | 2.34 | 0.32 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 1.78 |
| GEORGIA | 31.04 | 33.13 | 35.63 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 |
| HAWAII | 60.00 | 15.00 | 22.50 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 2.50 |
| IDAHO | 69.44 | 22.22 | 8.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ILLINOIS | 27.13 | 23.68 | 31.96 | 13.56 | 0.22 | 0.29 | 0.07 | 3.08 |
| INDIANA | 76.98 | 4.75 | 17.93 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| IOWA | 60.73 | 27.64 | 8.36 | 0.00 |  | 0.18 | 2.00 | 1.09 |
| KANSAS | 77.91 | 8.36 | 9.55 | 0.30 | 1.49 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.39 |
| KENTUCKY | 52.44 | 36.00 | 9.33 | 0.00 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.78 |
| LOUISIANA | 28.73 | 22.05 | 45.38 | 1.14 | 0.00 | 0.57 | 0.00 | 2.13 |
| MAINE | 80.39 | 15.69 | 3.92 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MARYLAND | 37.30 | 17.55 | 34.17 | 3.45 | 7.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 71.90 | 5.31 | 17.70 | 0.22 | 3.32 |  | 0.22 | 1.33 |
| MICHIGAN | 56.10 | 19.71 | 21.39 | 2.06 |  | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.69 |
| MINNESOTA | 72.20 | 20.19 | 4.70 | 1.80 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.83 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 10.47 | 38.09 | 40.79 | 2.53 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.94 |
| MISSOURI | 16.54 | 53.58 | 27.16 | 0.99 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 0.49 | 0.74 |
| MONTANA | 81.08 | 10.81 | 8.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEBRASKA | 69.46 | 14.09 | 8.39 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.72 |
| NEVADA | 64.96 | 14.53 | 16.24 | 1.71 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.56 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 36.36 | 29.29 | 23.23 | 9.09 | 1.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.01 |
| NEW JERSEY | 42.99 | 16.72 | 12.54 | 20.00 | 7.46 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 |
| NEW MEXICO | 44.07 | 19.92 | 35.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.85 |
| NEW YORK | 62.23 | 7.77 | 24.12 | 1.73 | 3.51 | 0.06 | 0.17 | 0.40 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 61.79 | 15.72 | 19.01 | 1.83 | 0.55 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.10 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 72.50 | 10.00 | 16.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.25 | 0.00 |
| OHIO | 46.40 | 18.30 | 30.18 | 2.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.60 |
| OKLAHOMA | 73.54 | 8.97 | 16.14 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.90 |
| OREGON | 82.03 | 9.22 | 6.45 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.30 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 16.58 | 11.82 | 48.77 | 6.08 | 14.78 | 0.00 | 1.48 | 0.49 |
| PUERTO RICO | 22.70 | 49.67 | 6.58 | 0.66 | 16.78 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.62 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 37.23 | 37.23 | 22.34 | 0.00 | 3.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 30.20 | 33.91 | 32.92 | 1.49 | 0.25 | . | 0.00 | 1.24 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 78.95 | 10.53 | 8.77 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.75 | 0.00 |
| TENNESSEE | 37.93 | 16.84 | 34.35 | 6.97 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.57 |
| TEXAS | 18.72 | 42.86 | 33.62 | 1.16 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 3.60 |
| UTAH | 44.44 | 19.44 | 36.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VERMONT | 88.89 | 2.78 | 2.78 | 0.00 | 2.78 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.78 |
| VIRGINIA | 33.70 | 25.82 | 36.98 | 1.09 | 0.88 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.53 |
| WASHINGTON | 60.33 | 23.11 | 16.23 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.16 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 49.61 | 30.23 | 16.28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.88 |
| WISCONSIN | 44.47 | 21.88 | 33.06 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.35 |
| WYOMING | 71.43 | 21.98 | 6.59 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| GUAM | 88.89 | 0.00 | 11.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 85.71 | 0.00 | 14.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PALAU | 66.67 | 0.00 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 25.00 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 25.00 | 0.00 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 27.27 | 45.45 | 9.09 | 18.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 43.98 | 19.71 | 29.70 | 3.91 | 0.99 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 1.58 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 43.96 | 19.71 | 29.71 | 3.91 | 0.99 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 1.58 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB4

## Number of Children Ages 6-11 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RESOURCE } \\ \text { ROOM } \end{array}$ | SEPAR CLASS | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOME } \\ & \text { HOSP } \\ & \text { ENVIR } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 384 | 283 | 97 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| ALASKA | 89 | 62 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 202 | 98 | 40 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| ARKANSAS | 488 | 681 | 136 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| CALIFORNIA | 4,495 | 597 | 1,271 | 51 | 82 | 0 | 5 | 64 |
| COLORADO | . | . |  | . |  |  |  | . |
| CONNECTICUT | 1,339 | 300 | 156 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0 | 18 | 0 | 55 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 93 | 151 | 136 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 304 |
| GEORGIA | 808 | 966 | 601 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 |
| HAWAII | 106 | 77 | 66 | 0 | . | 1 | . | 16 |
| IDAHO | 227 | 51 | 34 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| ILLINOIS | 284 | 562 | 405 | 60 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 123 |
| INDIANA | 338 | 109 | 182 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| IOWA | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | . | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 723 | 331 | 141 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 13 |
| KENTUCKY | 496 | 497 | 72 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| LOUISIANA | 743 | 744 | 918 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 20 |
| MAINE | 253 | 186 | 52 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| MARYLAND | 795 | 517 | 435 | 39 | 38 | 4 | 0 | 13 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 182 | 45 | 59 | 2 | 11 | . | 3 | 102 |
| MICHIGAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MINNESOTA | 1,399 | 392 | 82 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 |
| MISSISSIPPI |  | - | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| MISSOURI | 675 | 537 | 243 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 34 |
| MONTANA | 103 | 77 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| NEBRASKA | 360 | 146 | 117 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 23 |
| NEVADA | 173 | 63 | 20 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 537 | 272 | 222 | 36 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 3 |
| NEW JERSEY | 118 | 48 | 25 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| NEW MEXICO | 209 | 164 | 171 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| NEW YORK | 3,183 | 1,219 | 1,701 | 191 | 36 | 3 | 4 | 36 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 2,127 | 774 | 543 | 11 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 24 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 95 | 20 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| OHIO | 528 | 80 | 41 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 207 |
| OKLAHOMA | 203 | 102 | 81 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| OREGON | 653 | 183 | 87 | 11 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 127 | 104 | 53 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| PUERTO RICO | 121 | 235 | 43 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 46 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 215 | 74 | 106 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 172 | 503 | 97 | 2 | 0 | . | 0 | 4 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 65 | 28 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 2,117 | 1,102 | 477 | 33 | 13 | 1 | 3 | 117 |
| TEXAS | 1,972 | 6,112 | 3,311 | 69 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 445 |
| UTAH | 96 | 106 | 98 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| VERMONT | 297 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 5 |
| VIRGINIA | 976 | 868 | 510 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 15 |
| WASHINGTON | 3,391 | 2,426 | 1,301 | 29 | 15 | 0 | 2 | 13 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 212 | 186 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| WISCONSIN | 372 | 234 | 127 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| WYOMING | 132 | 94 | 32 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 11 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 1 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 18 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 32,710 | 22,466 | 14,385 | 675 | 321 | 23 | 56 | 1,788 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 32,679 | 22,436 | 14,375 | 675 | 321 | 23 | 56 | 1,787 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB4

## Percentage of Children Ages 6-11 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | PERCENTAGE- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEPAR } \\ & \text { CIASS } \end{aligned}$ | PUBLIC SEPAR FACII | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL | PUBLIC RESID FACIL | PRIVATE RESID | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
| ALABAMA | 48.92 | 36.05 | 12.36 | 0.89 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.78 |
| ALASKA | 52.66 | 36.69 | 10.65 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARIZONA | 58.38 | 28.32 | 11.56 | 0.58 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.16 |
| ARKANSAS | 36.86 | 51.44 | 10.27 | 0.00 | 0.91 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.45 |
| CALIFORNIA | 68.47 | 9.09 | 19.36 | 0.78 | 1.25 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.97 |
| COLORADO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CONNECTICUT | 73.69 | 16.51 | 8.59 | 0.28 | 0.55 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.28 |
| DELAWARE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0.00 | 22.50 | 0.00 | 68.75 | 8.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 13.34 | 21.66 | 19.51 | 0.29 | 1.58 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 43.62 |
| GEORGIA | 33.91 | 40.54 | 25.22 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.25 |
| HAWAII | 39.85 | 28.95 | 24.81 | 0.00 |  | 0.38 |  | 6.02 |
| IDAHO | 72.29 | 16.24 | 10.83 | 0.32 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 |
| ILLINOIS | 19.64 | 38.87 | 28.01 | 4.15 | 0.83 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.51 |
| INDIANA | 53.06 | 17.11 | 28.57 | 0.78 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.31 | 0.16 |
| IOWA | 66.67 | 22.22 | 11.11 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| KANSAS | 59.36 | 27.18 | 11.58 | 0.74 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 1.07 |
| KENTUCKY | 46.44 | 46.54 | 6.74 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 |
| LOUISIANA | 30.54 | 30.58 | 37.73 | 0.21 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.82 |
| MAINE | 51.01 | 37.50 | 10.48 | 0.20 | 0.60 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.20 |
| MARYLAND | 43.18 | 28.08 | 23.63 | 2.12 | 2.06 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.71 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 45.05 | 11.14 | 14.60 | 0.50 | 2.72 |  | 0.74 | 25.25 |
| MICHIGAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MINNESOTA MISSISSIPPI | 73.90 | 20.71 | 4.33 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.32 |
| MISSISSIPPI MISSOURI | 44.67 | 35.54 | 16.08 | 0.26 | 0.60 | 0.00 | 0.60 | 2.25 |
| MONTANA | 52.55 | 39.29 | 4.59 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 2.55 |
| NEBRASKA | 55.13 | 22.36 | 17.92 | 0.61 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 3.52 |
| NEVADA | 66.54 | 24.23 | 7.69 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.77 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 49.58 | 25.12 | 20.50 | 3.32 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 0.74 | 0.28 |
| NEW JERSEY | 50.64 | 20.60 | 10.73 | 0.86 | 2.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 15.02 |
| NEW MEXICO | 38.14 | 29.93 | 31.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.55 |
| NEW YORK | 49.95 | 19.13 | 26.69 | 3.00 | 0.56 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.56 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 60.82 | 22.13 | 15.53 | 0.31 | 0.43 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.69 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 73.64 | 15.50 | 7.75 | 0.78 | 1.55 | 0.00 | 0.78 | 0.00 |
| OHIO | 61.04 | 9.25 | 4.74 | 1.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 23.93 |
| OKLAHOMA | 50.75 | 25.50 | 20.25 | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.25 |
| OREGON | 68.66 | 19.24 | 9.15 | 1.16 | 0.95 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.63 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 44.25 | 36.24 | 18.47 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.70 |
| PUERTO RICO | 26.54 | 51.54 | 9.43 | 0.00 | 0.88 | 0.00 | 1.54 | 10.09 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 51.81 | 17.83 | 25.54 | 0.00 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.34 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 22.11 | 64.65 | 12.47 | 0.26 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.51 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 66.33 | 28.57 | 3.06 | 0.00 | 1.02 | 0.00 | 1.02 | 0.00 |
| TENNESSEE | 54.80 | 28.53 | 12.35 | 0.85 | 0.34 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 3.03 |
| TEXAS | 16.56 | 51.32 | 27.80 | 0.58 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.74 |
| UTAH | 30.28 | 33.44 | 30.91 | 1.58 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.79 |
| VERMONT | 91.95 | 3.10 | 2.17 | 0.00 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 0.93 | 1.55 |
| VIRGINIA | 40.99 | 36.46 | 21.42 | 0.08 | 0.34 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.63 |
| WASHINGTON | 47.25 | 33.80 | 18.13 | 0.40 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.18 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 48.18 | 42.27 | 8.64 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.91 |
| WISCONSIN | 49.53 | 31.16 | 16.91 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| WYOMING | 49.62 | 35.34 | 12.03 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 1.13 | 0.00 | 1.13 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GUAM | 73.33 | 26.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 25.00 | 25.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PALAU |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 10.00 | 10.00 | 70.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 41.86 | 55.81 | 2.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| U.S. And OUTLYing areas | 45.16 | 31.02 | 19.86 | 0.93 | 0.44 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 2.47 |
| 50 StATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 45.17 | 31.01 | 19.87 | 0.93 | 0.44 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 2.47 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB4

## Number of Children Ages 6-11 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEPAR } \\ & \text { CLASS } \end{aligned}$ | PUBLIC SEPAR FACIL | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESIID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOME } \\ & \text { HOSP } \\ & \text { ENVIR } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 102 | 31 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 |
| ALASKA | 20 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 145 | 36 | 28 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 1 |
| ARKANSAS | 30 | 24 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| CALIFORNIA | 671 | 268 | 607 | 35 | 8 | 16 | 0 | 11 |
| COLORADO | 113 | 14 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| CONNECTICUT | 123 | 26 | 72 | 11 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 9 |
| DELAWARE | 29 | 19 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 1 | 8 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 229 | 89 | 94 | 14 | 1 | 29 | 0 | 1 |
| GEORGIA | 133 | 59 | 25 | 3 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 |
| HAWAII | 19 | 6 | 5 | 0 |  |  | . |  |
| IDAHO | 37 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 197 | 208 | 109 | 5 | 1 | 21 | 0 | 1 |
| INDIANA | 226 | 11 | 40 | 26 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 |
| IOWA | 38 | 17 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 81 | 11 | 3 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KENTUCKY | 147 | 18 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 |
| LOUISIANA | 83 | 38 | 77 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| MAINE | 38 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MARYLAND | 101 | 26 | 31 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 1 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 202 | 39 | 44 | 1 | 6 |  | 4 | 1 |
| MICHIGAN | 252 | 46 | 80 | 7 |  | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| MINNESOTA | 133 | 14 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 2 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 13 | 32 | 23 | 1 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSOURI | 48 | 78 | 20 | 18 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 1 |
| MONTANA | 12 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 64 | 18 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| NEVADA | 20 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 7 | 1 | 1 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 116 | 21 | 13 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| NEW MEXICO | 31 | 19 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW YORK | 337 | 53 | 169 | 39 | 57 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 170 | 72 | 26 | 1 | 3 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 19 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OHIO | 260 | 85 | 75 | 10 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 1 |
| OKLAHOMA | 63 | 23 | 28 | 13 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 |
| OREGON | 31 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 2 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 387 | 42 | 87 | 6 | 91 | 0 | 26 | 4 |
| PUERTO RICO | 22 | 181 | 19 | 29 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 15 | 10 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 78 | 56 | 23 | 6 | 0 | . | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 11 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 281 | 86 | 40 | 4 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 5 |
| TEXAS | 248 | 471 | 293 | 16 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 21 |
| UTAH | 46 | 13 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 152 | 44 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 1 |
| WASHINGTON | 62 | 28 | 25 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 2 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 47 | 20 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 1 |
| WISCONSIN | 121 | 19 | 20 | 7 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| WYOMING | 18 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. And outlying areas | 5,852 | 2,442 | 2,219 | 382 | 193 | 566 | 57 | 74 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 5,842 | 2,436 | 2,217 | 382 | 193 | 566 | 57 | 74 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB4

## Percentage of Children Ages 6-11 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR <br> CLASS | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RESOURCE } \\ \text { ROOM } \end{array}$ | SEPAR CLASS | PR | GE-- | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | PUBLIC <br> SEPAR <br> FACIL | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALABAMA | 56.67 | 17.22 | 8.33 | 8.33 | 0.00 | 9.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ALASKA | 74.07 | 14.81 | 7.41 | 0.00 | 3.70 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARIZONA | 64.44 | 16.00 | 12.44 | 0.89 | 0.00 | 5.78 | 0.00 | 0.44 |
| ARKANSAS | 36.59 | 29.27 | 2.44 | 20.73 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.98 | 0.00 |
| CALIFORNIA | 41.52 | 16.58 | 37.56 | 2.17 | 0.50 | 0.99 | 0.00 | 0.68 |
| COLORADO | 83.70 | 10.37 | 0.74 | 3.70 | 0.00 | 1.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| CONNECTICUT | 49.80 | 10.53 | 29.15 | 4.45 | 2.02 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 3.64 |
| DELAWARE | 53.70 | 35.19 | 7.41 | 1.85 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.85 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 5.26 | 42.11 | 52.63 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 50.11 | 19.47 | 20.57 | 3.06 | 0.22 | 6.35 | 0.00 | 0.22 |
| GEORGIA | 53.41 | 23.69 | 10.04 | 1.20 | 0.00 | 11.65 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| HAWAII | 63.33 | 20.00 | 16.67 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| IDAHO | 74.00 | 14.00 | 6.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ILLINOIS | 36.35 | 38.38 | 20.11 | 0.92 | 0.18 | 3.87 | 0.00 | 0.18 |
| INDIANA | 68.90 | 3.35 | 12.20 | 7.93 | 0.00 | 7.62 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| IOWA | 48.10 | 21.52 | 6.33 | 0.00 |  | 22.78 | 1.27 | 0.00 |
| KANSAS | 74.31 | 10.09 | 2.75 | 12.84 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| KENTUCKY | 75.00 | 9.18 | 5.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.71 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| LOUISIANA | 39.71 | 18.18 | 36.84 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 4.78 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MAINE | 86.36 | 9.09 | 4.55 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MARYLAND | 57.06 | 14.69 | 17.51 | 1.69 | 1.13 | 0.00 | 7.34 | 0.56 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 68.01 | 13.13 | 14.81 | 0.34 | 2.02 |  | 1.35 | 0.34 |
| MICHIGAN | 65.12 | 11.89 | 20.67 | 1.81 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.52 |
| MINNESOTA | 78.70 | 8.28 | 2.37 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9.47 | 0.00 | 1.18 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 14.44 | 35.56 | 25.56 | 1.11 | 1.11 | 22.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MISSOURI | 26.52 | 43.09 | 11.05 | 9.94 | 1.10 | 7.73 | 0.00 | 0.55 |
| MONTANA | 44.44 | 37.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 18.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEBRASKA | 68.09 | 19.15 | 6.38 | 2.13 | 0.00 | 2.13 | 0.00 | 2.13 |
| NEVADA | 47.62 | 50.00 | 2.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 11.67 | 1.67 | 1.67 | 83.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.67 | 0.00 |
| NEW JERSEY | 73.42 | 13.29 | 8.23 | 1.27 | 3.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.63 |
| NEW MEXICO | 34.07 | 20.88 | 32.97 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW YORK | 51.06 | 8.03 | 25.61 | 5.91 | 8.64 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.45 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 59.23 | 25.09 | 9.06 | 0.35 | 1.05 | 5.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 82.61 | 8.70 | 4.35 | 4.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| OHIO | 57.27 | 18.72 | 16.52 | 2.20 | 0.00 | 5.07 | 0.00 | 0.22 |
| OKLAHOMA | 42.00 | 15.33 | 18.67 | 8.67 | 0.00 | 15.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| OREGON | 62.00 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 2.00 | 6.00 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 60.19 | 6.53 | 13.53 | 0.93 | 14.15 | 0.00 | 4.04 | 0.62 |
| PUERTO RICO | 8.53 | 70.16 | 7.36 | 11.24 | 1.55 | 1.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 40.54 | 27.03 | 32.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 47.85 | 34.36 | 14.11 | 3.68 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 44.00 | 12.00 | 16.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 16.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 |
| TENNESSEE | 61.89 | 18.94 | 8.81 | 0.88 | 0.00 | 8.37 | 0.00 | 1.10 |
| TEXAS | 22.86 | 43.41 | 27.00 | 1.47 | 0.00 | 3.32 | 0.00 | 1.94 |
| UTAH | 29.11 | 8.23 | 5.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 57.59 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VERMONT | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VIRGINIA | 68.47 | 19.82 | 5.86 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 4.50 | 0.00 | 0.45 |
| WASHINGTON | 46.97 | 21.21 | 18.94 | 1.52 | 0.76 | 9.09 | 0.00 | 1.52 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 49.47 | 21.05 | 1.05 | 12.63 | 0.00 | 13.68 | 1.05 | 1.05 |
| WISCONSIN | 66.85 | 10.50 | 11.05 | 3.87 | 0.00 | 7.73 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WYOMING | 69.23 | 15.38 | 15.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GUAM | 71.43 | 28.57 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PALAU | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 42.86 | 42.86 | 14.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 49.66 | 20.72 | 18.83 | 3.24 | 1.64 | 4.80 | 0.48 | 0.63 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 49.65 | 20.70 | 18.84 | 3.25 | 1.64 | 4.81 | 0.48 | 0.63 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB4

## Number of Children Ages 6-11 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEPAR } \\ & \text { CLASS } \end{aligned}$ | PUBLIC SEPAR FACIL | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOME } \\ & \text { HOSP } \\ & \text { ENVVR } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 39 | 36 | 69 | 30 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 0 |
| ALASKA | 7 | 10 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 53 | 17 | 95 | 5 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARKANSAS | 19 | 35 | 84 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| CALIFORNIA | 179 | 131 | 1,324 | 168 | 120 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| COLORADO | 18 | 5 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CONNECTICUT | 76 | 58 | 78 | 34 | 28 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 48 | 6 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0 | 0 | 25 | 4 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 31 | 25 | 614 | 125 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GEORGIA | 29 | 50 | 246 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HAWAII | 3 | 11 | 50 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| IDAHO | 28 | 13 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ILlinois | 55 | 71 | 359 | 68 | 101 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| INDIANA | 161 | 41 | 324 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| IOWA | 105 | 48 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 60 | 22 | 66 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| KENTUCKY | 46 | 52 | 64 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| LOUISIANA | 10 | 15 | 343 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| MAINE | 37 | 16 | 31 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| MARYLAND | 43 | 52 | 173 | 47 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 15 | 2 | 117 | 7 | 31 |  | 21 | 1 |
| MICHIGAN | 233 | 129 | 436 | 157 |  | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| MINNESOTA | 170 | 108 | 126 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 2 | 9 | 70 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| MISSOURI | 72 | 53 | 198 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| MONTANA | 12 | 13 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 26 | 15 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEVADA | 10 | 11 | 31 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 10 | 8 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 23 | 29 | 103 | 147 | 292 | 5 | 1 | 7 |
| NEW MEXICO | 4 | 2 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW YORK | 124 | 47 | 226 | 890 | 171 | 3 | 26 | 6 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 86 | 40 | 545 | 65 | 1 | 29 | 0 | 1 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 10 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| OHIO | 56 | 26 | 63 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OKLAHOMA | 28 | 25 | 84 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OREGON | 103 | 14 | 16 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 39 | 49 | 661 | 34 | 58 | 0 | 5 | 4 |
| PUERTO RICO | 3 | 18 | 145 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 0 | 4 | 35 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 6 | 13 | 85 | 5 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 15 | 7 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 25 | 20 | 187 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| TEXAS | 94 | 302 | 987 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| UTAH | 20 | 3 | 62 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 34 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 26 | 49 | 371 | 53 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| WASHINGTON | 38 | 43 | 104 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 16 | 19 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WISCONSIN | 62 | 48 | 175 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| WYOMING | 1 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 2,367 | 1,881 | 9,024 | 1,953 | 906 | 45 | 109 | 43 |
| 50 StATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 2,362 | 1,877 | 9,021 | 1,953 | 906 | 45 | 108 | 43 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences. SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT

Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB4

## Percentage of Children Ages 6-11 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | AUTISM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | REGULARCLASS | RESOURCEROOM | SEPAR <br> CLASS |  | PRIVATESEPARFACIL | PUBLIC RESID <br> FACIL | PRIVATE RESID FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALABAMA | 20.53 | 18.95 | 36.32 | 15.79 | 0.00 | 0.53 | 7.89 | 0.00 |
| ALASKA | 16.67 | 23.81 | 59.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARIZONA | 26.90 | 8.63 | 48.22 | 2.54 | 13.71 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARKANSAS | 12.42 | 22.88 | 54.90 | 0.00 | 9.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.65 |
| CALIFORNIA | 9.27 | 6.79 | 68.60 | 8.70 | 6.22 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.21 |
| COLORADO | 43.90 | 12.20 | 43.90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| CONNECTICUT | 27.64 | 21.09 | 28.36 | 12.36 | 10.18 | 0.00 | 0.36 | 0.00 |
| DELAWARE | 0.00 | 64.00 | 8.00 | 28.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 59.52 | 9.52 | 30.95 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 3.90 | 3.14 | 77.23 | 15.72 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| GEORGIA | 8.92 | 15.38 | 75.69 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| HAWAII | 4.69 | 17.19 | 78.13 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| IDAHO | 45.90 | 21.31 | 32.79 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ILLINOIS | 8.31 | 10.73 | 54.23 | 10.27 | 15.26 | 0.00 | 1.21 | 0.00 |
| INDIANA | 30.04 | 7.65 | 60.45 | 1.31 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.56 | 0.00 |
| IOWA | 61.05 | 27.91 | 8.72 | 1.74 |  | 0.00 | 0.58 | 0.00 |
| KANSAS | 38.96 | 14.29 | 42.86 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 1.95 | 0.00 |
| KENTUCKY | 28.05 | 31.71 | 39.02 | 0.61 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.61 |
| LOUISIANA | 2.64 | 3.96 | 90.50 | 1.85 | 0.00 | 0.79 | 0.00 | 0.26 |
| MAINE | 42.53 | 18.39 | 35.63 | 1.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.30 |
| MARYLAND | 12.99 | 15.71 | 52.27 | 14.20 | 4.23 | 0.00 | 0.60 | 0.00 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 7.73 | 1.03 | 60.31 | 3.61 | 15.98 |  | 10.82 | 0.52 |
| MICHIGAN | 24.30 | 13.45 | 45.46 | 16.37 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.42 |
| MINNESOTA | 41.67 | 26.47 | 30.88 | 0.98 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 2.22 | 10.00 | 77.78 | 8.89 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.11 |
| MISSOURI | 21.69 | 15.96 | 59.64 | 0.30 | 1.20 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.30 |
| MONTANA | 23.53 | 25.49 | 49.02 | 1.96 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEBRASKA | 43.33 | 25.00 | 30.00 | 1.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEVADA | 17.54 | 19.30 | 54.39 | 8.77 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 32.26 | 25.81 | 32.26 | 3.23 | 3.23 | 0.00 | 3.23 | 0.00 |
| NEW JERSEY | 3.79 | 4.78 | 16.97 | 24.22 | 48.11 | 0.82 | 0.16 | 1.15 |
| NEW MEXICO | 7.84 | 3.92 | 88.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW YORK | 8.31 | 3.15 | 15.14 | 59.61 | 11.45 | 0.20 | 1.74 | 0.40 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 11.21 | 5.22 | 71.06 | 8.47 | 0.13 | 3.78 | 0.00 | 0.13 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 38.46 | 26.92 | 15.38 | 0.00 | 3.85 | 0.00 | 15.38 | 0.00 |
| OHIO | 38.10 | 17.69 | 42.86 | 1.36 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| OKLAHOMA | 20.00 | 17.86 | 60.00 | 2.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| OREGON | 74.64 | 10.14 | 11.59 | 2.90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.72 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 4.59 | 5.76 | 77.76 | 4.00 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 0.59 | 0.47 |
| PUERTO RICO | 1.66 | 9.94 | 80.11 | 1.66 | 3.87 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.76 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 0.00 | 7.84 | 68.63 | 0.00 | 21.57 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.96 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 5.50 | 11.93 | 77.98 | 4.59 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 36.59 | 17.07 | 36.59 | 0.00 | 2.44 | 0.00 | 7.32 | 0.00 |
| TENNESSEE | 10.16 | 8.13 | 76.02 | 3.66 | 1.63 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| TEXAS | 6.72 | 21.59 | 70.55 | 0.93 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.14 |
| UTAH | 21.74 | 3.26 | 67.39 | 7.61 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VERMONT | 94.44 | 5.56 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VIRGINIA | 5.11 | 9.63 | 72.89 | 10.41 | 0.59 | 0.00 | 1.38 | 0.00 |
| WASHINGTON | 20.32 | 22.99 | 55.61 | 0.00 | 1.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 21.33 | 25.33 | 53.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WISCONSIN | 20.81 | 16.11 | 58.72 | 3.69 | 0.00 | 0.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WYOMING | 6.67 | 40.00 | 53.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GUAM | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PALAU |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 42.86 | 0.00 | 42.86 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.29 | 0.00 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 14.50 | 11.52 | 55.27 | 11.96 | 5.55 | 0.28 | 0.67 | 0.26 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 14.48 | 11.50 | 55.29 | 11.97 | 5.55 | 0.28 | 0.66 | 0.26 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB4

## Number of Children Ages 6-11 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | DEAF-BLINDNESS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | SEPAR <br> CLASS | PUBLIC SEPAR FACIL | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
| ALABAMA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ALASKA | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 1 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 3 |
| ARKANSAS | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| CALIFORNIA | 8 | 3 | 45 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| COLORADO | 11 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| CONNECTICUT | 6 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 1 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GEORGIA | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HAWAII | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . | . | . | 1 |
| IDAHO | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 1 | 1 | 12 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| INDIANA | 0 | 2 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IOWA | 8 | 4 | 1 | 2 | . | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| KENTUCKY | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LOUISIANA | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MAINE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| MARYLAND | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 3 | . | 2 | 0 |
| MICHIGAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MINNESOTA | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| MISSOURI | 0 | 3 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| MONTANA | 5 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEVADA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 2 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| NEW MEXICO | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW YORK | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| OHIO | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| OKLAHOMA | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| OREGON | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PUERTO RICO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 0 | 0 | 19 | 1 | 0 | . | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 1 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| TEXAS | 9 | 14 | 43 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 |
| UTAH | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WASHINGTON | 3 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| WISCONSIN | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WYOMING | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 85 | 56 | 260 | 89 | 25 | 60 | 8 | 17 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 85 | 55 | 258 | 88 | 25 | 60 | 8 | 17 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB4

## Percentage of Children Ages 6-11 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | -PERCENTAGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE | PUBLIC | PRIVATE | HOME |
|  | REGULAR | RESOURCE | SEPAR | SEPAR | SEPAR | RESID | RESID | HOSP |
|  | CLASS | ROOM | CLASS | FACIL | FACIL | FACIL | FACIL | ENVIR |
| ALABAMA | 50.00 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ALASKA | 80.00 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARIZONA | 3.57 | 3.57 | 32.14 | 10.71 | 10.71 | 28.57 | 0.00 | 10.71 |
| ARKANSAS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 44.44 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 22.22 | 0.00 |
| CALIFORNIA | 12.70 | 4.76 | 71.43 | 7.94 | 0.00 | 1.59 | 1.59 | 0.00 |
| COLORADO | 36.67 | 6.67 | 40.00 | 6.67 | 3.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.67 |
| CONNECTICUT | 42.86 | 21.43 | 14.29 | 14.29 | 7.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| DELAWARE | 6.67 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 53.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 92.31 | 7.69 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| GEORGIA | 25.00 | 0.00 | 75.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| HAWAII | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 100.00 |
| IDAHO | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 66.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ILLINOIS | 3.70 | 3.70 | 44.44 | 37.04 | 0.00 | 11.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| INDIANA | 0.00 | 8.70 | 86.96 | 4.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| IOWA | 47.06 | 23.53 | 5.88 | 11.76 |  | 11.76 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| KANSAS | 0.00 | 16.67 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 33.33 |
| KENTUCKY | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| LOUISIANA | 40.00 | 20.00 | 40.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MAINE | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 |
| MARYLAND | 27.27 | 9.09 | 18.18 | 27.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 18.18 | 0.00 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 8.33 | 25.00 | . | 16.67 | 0.00 |
| MICHIGAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MINNESOTA | 77.78 | 0.00 | 11.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 11.11 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 0.00 | 14.29 | 42.86 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.29 | 0.00 | 28.57 |
| MISSOURI | 0.00 | 11.54 | 69.23 | 11.54 | 0.00 | 7.69 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MONTANA | 38.46 | 15.38 | 23.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 23.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEBRASKA |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NEVADA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW JERSEY | 9.09 | 0.00 | 13.64 | 50.00 | 22.73 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.55 |
| NEW MEXICO | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW YORK | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 25.00 | 75.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 40.00 | 0.00 | 45.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| OHIO | 12.50 | 25.00 | 12.50 | 25.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 25.00 |
| OKLAHOMA | 8.33 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 8.33 | 0.00 | 16.67 | 0.00 | 16.67 |
| OREGON | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PUERTO RICO | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 95.00 | 5.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 66.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| TENNESSEE | 9.09 | 9.09 | 45.45 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 36.36 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| TEXAS | 12.16 | 18.92 | 58.11 | 2.70 | 0.00 | 6.76 | 0.00 | 1.35 |
| UTAH | 5.88 | 5.88 | 17.65 | 11.76 | 0.00 | 58.82 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VERMONT |  | . |  |  | . | . |  |  |
| VIRGINIA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WASHINGTON | 21.43 | 14.29 | 64.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 0.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 70.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WISCONSIN | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WYOMING | . | . |  |  | . | . |  |  |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | . | . |  |  | . | . |  |  |
| GUAM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PALAU | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 14.17 | 9.33 | 43.33 | 14.83 | 4.17 | 10.00 | 1.33 | 2.83 |
| 50 StATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 14.26 | 9.23 | 43.29 | 14.77 | 4.19 | 10.07 | 1.34 | 2.85 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB4

## Number of Children Ages 6-11 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | SEPAR CLASS | $\begin{gathered} --- \text { NUMB } \\ \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESIID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOME } \\ & \text { HOSP } \\ & \text { ENVIR } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 22 | 26 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| ALASKA | 10 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 5 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARKANSAS | 4 | 11 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| CALIFORNIA | 62 | 45 | 109 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| COLORADO | 35 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| CONNECTICUT | 12 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 7 | 32 | 39 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| GEORGIA | 20 | 32 | 29 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| HAWAII | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| IDAHO | 24 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 19 | 59 | 82 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| INDIANA | 50 | 21 | 39 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| IOWA | 36 | 17 | 5 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 49 | 22 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| KENTUCKY | 24 | 17 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| LOUISIANA | 12 | 14 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| MAINE | 6 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MARYLAND | 26 | 16 | 22 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 25 | 11 | 42 | 4 | 11 | . | 3 | 3 |
| MICHIGAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MINNESOTA | $3 \dot{4}$ | 13 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 2 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSOURI | 35 | 27 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| MONTANA | 10 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 21 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| NEVADA | 3 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW MEXICO | 17 | 12 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW YORK | 102 | 52 | 97 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 41 | 24 | 30 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OHIO | 23 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 0 | . | 0 | 0 |
| OKLAHOMA | 15 | 16 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| OREGON | 48 | 23 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 33 | 94 | 219 | 3 | 179 | 1 | 14 | 2 |
| PUERTO RICO | 1 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 5 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 1 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 6 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 30 | 12 | 31 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| TEXAS | 21 | 62 | 63 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| UTAH | 36 | 24 | 26 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 21 | 21 | 23 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| WASHINGTON | 17 | 14 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 17 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WISCONSIN | 21 | 27 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WYOMING | 7 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 4 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. And outlying areas | 1,041 | 907 | 1,202 | 68 | 229 | 4 | 32 | 40 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 1,037 | 900 | 1,199 | 68 | 229 | 4 | 32 | 40 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences. SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT

Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB4

## Percentage of Children Ages 6-11 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | REGULARCLASS | RESOURCEROOM | SEPAR <br> CLASS | PUBLIC SEPAR FACIL | AGE----- | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | PRIVATE RESID FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | PRIVATESEPARFACIL |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALABAMA | 30.99 | 36.62 | 29.58 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.82 |
| ALASKA | 41.67 | 33.33 | 25.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARIZONA | 35.71 | 50.00 | 14.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARKANSAS | 11.76 | 32.35 | 26.47 | 0.00 | 5.88 | 0.00 | 23.53 | 0.00 |
| CALIFORNIA | 26.84 | 19.48 | 47.19 | 2.60 | 3.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.87 |
| COLORADO | 67.31 | 17.31 | 13.46 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.92 |
| CONNECTICUT | 50.00 | 20.83 | 12.50 | 0.00 | 16.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| DELAWARE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 40.00 | 60.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 8.64 | 39.51 | 48.15 | 2.47 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.23 |
| GEORGIA | 23.53 | 37.65 | 34.12 | 2.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.35 |
| HAWAII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IDAHO | 57.14 | 40.48 | 2.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ILLINOIS | 10.73 | 33.33 | 46.33 | 7.91 | 1.69 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| INDIANA | 43.86 | 18.42 | 34.21 | 1.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.75 |
| IOWA | 62.07 | 29.31 | 8.62 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| KANSAS | 48.51 | 21.78 | 26.73 | 0.99 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.98 |
| KENTUCKY | 42.11 | 29.82 | 24.56 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.51 |
| LOUISIANA | 15.38 | 17.95 | 64.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.28 | 0.00 | 1.28 |
| MAINE | 27.27 | 50.00 | 22.73 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MARYLAND | 36.11 | 22.22 | 30.56 | 0.00 | 9.72 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.39 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 25.25 | 11.11 | 42.42 | 4.04 | 11.11 |  | 3.03 | 3.03 |
| MICHIGAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MINNESOTA | 62.96 | 24.07 | 5.56 | 3.70 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.70 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 7.14 | 35.71 | 50.00 | 7.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MISSOURI | 36.84 | 28.42 | 32.63 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.11 |
| MONTANA | 52.63 | 31.58 | 15.79 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEBRASKA | 50.00 | 33.33 | 9.52 | 2.38 | 2.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.38 |
| NEVADA | 18.75 | 56.25 | 25.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 60.00 | 0.00 | 40.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW JERSEY | 30.77 | 30.77 | 30.77 | 0.00 | 7.69 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW MEXICO | 31.48 | 22.22 | 42.59 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.70 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW YORK | 37.92 | 19.33 | 36.06 | 4.09 | 1.49 | 0.00 | 0.37 | 0.74 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 40.59 | 23.76 | 29.70 | 4.95 | 0.99 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| OHIO | 62.16 | 27.03 | 10.81 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| OKLAHOMA | 31.25 | 33.33 | 29.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.17 | 2.08 |
| OREGON | 57.14 | 27.38 | 11.90 | 0.00 | 1.19 | 0.00 | 1.19 | 1.19 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 6.06 | 17.25 | 40.18 | 0.55 | 32.84 | 0.18 | 2.57 | 0.37 |
| PUERTO RICO | 6.25 | 37.50 | 37.50 | 6.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.50 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 26.32 | 15.79 | 42.11 | 0.00 | 10.53 | 0.00 | 5.26 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 6.67 | 33.33 | 60.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 35.29 | 29.41 | 23.53 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 11.76 | 0.00 |
| TENNESSEE | 40.00 | 16.00 | 41.33 | 0.00 | 1.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.33 |
| TEXAS | 13.73 | 40.52 | 41.18 | 1.96 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.61 |
| UTAH | 39.56 | 26.37 | 28.57 | 5.49 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VERMONT | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VIRGINIA | 30.43 | 30.43 | 33.33 | 1.45 | 1.45 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.90 |
| WASHINGTON | 32.08 | 26.42 | 39.62 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.89 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 50.00 | 41.18 | 8.82 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WISCONSIN | 27.27 | 35.06 | 36.36 | 1.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WYOMING | 29.17 | 54.17 | 12.50 | 0.00 | 4.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GUAM | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS |  | . |  | . |  |  | . |  |
| PALAU |  |  |  | - |  |  | . |  |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 30.77 | 53.85 | 15.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 29.55 | 25.75 | 34.12 | 1.93 | 6.50 | 0.11 | 0.91 | 1.14 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 29.55 | 25.65 | 34.17 | 1.94 | 6.53 | 0.11 | 0.91 | 1.14 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB5

## Number of Children Ages 12-17 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | SEPAR CLASS | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 11,758 | 21,461 | 7,719 | 526 | 32 | 243 | 115 | 186 |
| ALASKA | 2,979 | 2,135 | 1,043 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 29 | 3 |
| ARIZONA | 9,514 | 11,371 | 6,533 | 471 | 324 | 179 | 102 | 85 |
| ARKANSAS | 6,665 | 11,673 | 3,656 | 83 | 199 | 0 | 341 | 169 |
| CALIFORNIA | 88,406 | 62,229 | 54,534 | 2,370 | 5,870 | 589 | 1,038 | 1,439 |
| COLORADO | 18,884 | 5,531 | 2,725 | 573 | 123 | 269 | 444 | 240 |
| CONNECTICUT | 15,980 | 7,489 | 5,808 | 611 | 979 | 20 | 587 | 128 |
| DELAWARE | 860 | 3,711 | 449 | 336 | 1 | 10 | 16 | 35 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 614 | 702 | 1,359 | 330 | 432 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 29,262 | 24,374 | 39,175 | 2,912 | 366 | 867 | 1 | 1,180 |
| GEORGIA | 13,337 | 17,453 | 16,055 | 412 | 1 | 588 | 66 | 46 |
| HAWAII | 2,536 | 2,917 | 1,680 | 37 | 32 | 20 | 17 | 133 |
| IDAHO | 4,816 | 2,530 | 817 | 63 | 6 | 70 | 29 | 40 |
| ILLINOIS | 14,256 | 46,840 | 31,454 | 5,469 | 2,740 | 589 | 529 | 796 |
| INDIANA | 23,169 | 9,564 | 16,060 | 452 | 31 | 404 | 207 | 331 |
| IOWA | 16,931 | 7,722 | 2,351 | 787 |  | 350 | 97 | 43 |
| KANSAS | 10,215 | 6,428 | 2,945 | 540 | 68 | 200 | 70 | 106 |
| KENTUCKY | 8,404 | 13,199 | 5,858 | 157 | 85 | 269 | 21 | 173 |
| LOUISIANA | 9,044 | 8,857 | 19,300 | 400 | 56 | 691 | 54 | 449 |
| MAINE | 5,894 | 4,948 | 1,592 | 109 | 119 | 11 | 162 | 55 |
| MARYLAND | 15,108 | 11,072 | 10,032 | 1,557 | 1,310 | 356 | 389 | 219 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 40,475 | 10,643 | 8,920 | 1,716 | 2,716 | . | 621 | 698 |
| MICHIGAN | 27,177 | 24,507 | 19,231 | 3,186 | 0 | 227 | 164 | 119 |
| MINNESOTA | 22,630 | 10,723 | 3,593 | 1,773 | 234 | 491 | 279 | 126 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 4,318 | 13,107 | 8,610 | 165 | 16 | 210 | 12 | 271 |
| MISSOURI | 22,167 | 17,684 | 10,607 | 594 | 358 | 205 | 67 | 256 |
| MONTANA | 3,229 | 2,949 | 876 | 124 | 14 | 64 | 61 | 52 |
| NEBRASKA | 8,021 | 4,744 | 2,034 | 120 | 23 | 80 | 41 | 68 |
| NEVADA | 3,739 | 5,022 | 1,513 | 304 | 1 | 29 | 5 | 60 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 6,069 | 2,459 | 1,794 | 204 | 218 | 73 | 261 | 50 |
| NEW JERSEY | 24,993 | 26,421 | 15,042 | 3,215 | 5,377 | 421 | 69 | 862 |
| NEW MEXICO | 5,489 | 6,690 | 7,545 | 17 | 1 | 250 | 14 | 151 |
| NEW YORK | 65,634 | 21,247 | 56,676 | 12,281 | 3,118 | 631 | 1,022 | 1,239 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 21,579 | 16,248 | 11,489 | 781 | 92 | 455 | 59 | 335 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 3,725 | , 925 | , 246 | 7 | 15 | 32 | 46 | 22 |
| OHIO | 45,631 | 28,198 | 12,782 | 2,494 | 0 | 558 | 0 | 1,755 |
| OKLAHOMA | 12,004 | 13,057 | 4,539 | 198 | 46 | 189 | 21 | 181 |
| OREGON | 14,277 | 5,479 | 1,498 | 280 | 317 | 143 | 83 | 160 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 25,616 | 32,595 | 25,254 | 1,954 | 1,602 | 987 | 273 | 306 |
| PUERTO RICO | 761 | 10,622 | 6,088 | 764 | 345 | 65 | 13 | 390 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 4,422 | 2,296 | 2,541 | 105 | 245 | 43 | 248 | 107 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 4,625 | 14,582 | 9,276 | 444 | 11 |  | 21 | 265 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 2,787 | 1,610 | 436 | 28 | 50 | 51 | 93 | 11 |
| TENNESSEE | 17,814 | 21,073 | 11,234 | 448 | 430 | 214 | 430 | 955 |
| TEXAS | 32,394 | 103,599 | 47,383 | 1,958 | 20 | 431 | 6 | 3,315 |
| UTAH | 6,204 | 7,915 | 5,053 | 449 | 0 | 323 | 0 | 103 |
| VERMONT | 3,994 | 389 | 240 | 59 | 58 | 7 | 130 | 44 |
| VIRGINIA | 16,260 | 21,849 | 15,945 | 538 | 465 | 609 | 208 | 194 |
| WASHINGTON | 17,045 | 14,575 | 6,915 | 339 | 125 | 170 | 21 | 158 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 5,339 | 8,524 | 3,859 | 61 | 2 | 139 | 6 | 94 |
| WISCONSIN | 12,520 | 20,186 | 8,664 | 488 | 32 | 298 | 19 | 135 |
| WYOMING | 2,159 | 1,937 | 463 | 27 | 7 | 91 | 42 | 18 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 100 | 40 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 231 | 222 | 333 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 59 | 42 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| PALAU | 10 | 26 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 116 | 52 | 509 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 11 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 1,089 | 1,458 | 442 | 17 | 0 | 4 | 19 | 10 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 793,334 | 755,901 | 542,838 | 53,347 | 28,719 | 13,219 | 8,687 | 18,379 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 791,729 | 754,061 | 541,491 | 53,324 | 28,719 | 13,215 | 8,649 | 18,356 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB5

## Percentage of Children Ages 12-17 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year



Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB5

## Number of Children Ages 12-17 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year



Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB5

## Percentage of Children Ages 12-17 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

|  | SPECIFIC LEARNING DISABILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { REGULAR } \\ \text { CLASS } \end{array}$ | RESOURCEROOM | SEPAR <br> CLASS | -PERC | GE---- |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | PUBLIC <br> SEPAR <br> FACIL | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL | PUBLIC <br> RESID <br> FACIL | PRIVATE <br> RESID <br> FACIL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOME } \\ & \text { HOSP } \\ & \text { ENVIR } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STATE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALABAMA | 38.03 | 57.55 | 4.07 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.15 |
| ALASKA | 51.88 | 37.70 | 9.85 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.32 | 0.02 |
| ARIZONA | 36.25 | 48.18 | 15.18 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 |
| ARKANSAS | 37.14 | 55.37 | 6.60 | 0.04 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.60 |
| CALIFORNIA | 43.45 | 33.96 | 21.26 | 0.18 | 0.68 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.40 |
| COLORADO | 72.90 | 21.93 | 3.81 | 0.32 | 0.06 | 0.41 | 0.35 | 0.22 |
| CONNECTICUT | 59.22 | 26.88 | 11.98 | 0.37 | 1.10 | 0.01 | 0.31 | 0.13 |
| DELAWARE | 18.00 | 73.51 | 5.65 | 2.52 | 0.03 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 0.05 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 23.42 | 24.22 | 41.67 | 2.32 | 8.37 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 33.98 | 33.30 | 32.09 | 0.05 | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.16 |
| GEORGIA | 39.98 | 45.21 | 14.55 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| HAWAII | 40.01 | 45.74 | 14.13 | 0.02 |  |  |  | 0.09 |
| IDAHO | 66.92 | 30.13 | 2.31 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.16 | 0.32 |
| ILLINOIS | 12.03 | 61.50 | 25.43 | 0.63 | 0.22 | 0.13 | 0.02 | 0.04 |
| INDIANA | 56.88 | 24.75 | 17.71 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.04 | 0.36 |
| IOWA | 62.30 | 28.42 | 8.65 | 0.36 |  | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.05 |
| KANSAS | 60.51 | 33.94 | 5.11 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.24 |
| KENTUCKY | 34.54 | 55.25 | 9.43 | 0.34 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.28 |
| LOUISIANA | 25.60 | 30.69 | 42.48 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.44 | 0.04 | 0.63 |
| MAINE | 51.89 | 43.11 | 4.60 | 0.13 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.14 |
| MARYLAND | 43.96 | 32.79 | 21.81 | 0.28 | 0.80 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.30 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 70.24 | 19.28 | 8.48 | 0.73 | 1.02 |  | 0.16 | 0.10 |
| MICHIGAN | 39.66 | 39.45 | 19.73 | 0.99 |  | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.07 |
| MINNESOTA | 68.92 | 27.48 | 2.55 | 0.55 | 0.08 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.08 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 16.17 | 57.12 | 25.77 | 0.23 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.63 |
| MISSOURI | 47.97 | 41.16 | 10.30 | 0.01 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.25 |
| MONTANA | 47.62 | 46.17 | 5.51 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.02 | 0.16 | 0.26 |
| NEBRASKA | 61.94 | 33.00 | 3.95 | 0.17 | 0.05 | 0.55 | 0.16 | 0.17 |
| NEVADA | 35.79 | 53.71 | 8.75 | 1.08 | 0.01 | 0.26 | 0.02 | 0.37 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 61.49 | 22.32 | 14.18 | 0.14 | 0.75 | 0.23 | 0.69 | 0.21 |
| NEW JERSEY | 36.33 | 41.00 | 19.29 | 0.94 | 1.92 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.46 |
| NEW MEXICO | 28.95 | 38.02 | 32.62 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.27 |
| NEW YORK | 48.94 | 14.91 | 33.96 | 1.44 | 0.46 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0.16 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 58.29 | 33.72 | 7.49 | 0.10 | 0.02 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.23 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 85.54 | 13.78 | 0.16 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.28 |
| OHIO | 78.06 | 18.06 | 3.40 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.20 |
| OKLAHOMA | 48.19 | 46.96 | 4.04 | 0.24 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.01 | 0.32 |
| OREGON | 69.31 | 26.41 | 2.48 | 0.58 | 0.67 | 0.15 | 0.07 | 0.33 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 33.47 | 44.08 | 21.85 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.04 |
| PUERTO RICO | 3.04 | 76.92 | 16.59 | 2.14 | 0.91 | 0.16 | 0.03 | 0.20 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 49.65 | 25.45 | 23.07 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.13 | 0.50 | 0.13 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 17.02 | 63.78 | 18.52 | 0.05 | 0.05 |  | 0.01 | 0.57 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 66.35 | 31.76 | 1.54 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.00 |
| TENNESSEE | 39.06 | 46.06 | 13.27 | 0.15 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 0.47 | 0.67 |
| TEXAS | 17.64 | 64.76 | 17.15 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.24 |
| UTAH | 34.57 | 47.46 | 17.25 | 0.43 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.28 |
| VERMONT | 88.72 | 7.44 | 1.63 | 0.45 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 1.10 | 0.29 |
| VIRGINIA | 33.23 | 45.72 | 20.24 | 0.07 | 0.22 | 0.32 | 0.05 | 0.15 |
| WASHINGTON | 48.20 | 40.17 | 11.08 | 0.29 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.08 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 35.05 | 55.00 | 9.48 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.01 | 0.18 |
| WISCONSIN | 31.82 | 58.91 | 8.88 | 0.20 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.10 |
| WYOMING | 51.88 | 42.85 | 4.02 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.46 | 0.33 | 0.26 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 75.19 | 24.81 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| GUAM | 28.55 | 31.05 | 39.94 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.47 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 55.26 | 34.21 | 10.53 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PALAU | 28.57 | 65.71 | 5.71 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 19.68 | 9.24 | 70.68 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.00 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 36.12 | 53.60 | 9.94 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.20 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 41.14 | 39.84 | 17.88 | 0.40 | 0.33 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.24 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 41.15 | 39.83 | 17.88 | 0.40 | 0.33 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.24 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB5

## Number of Children Ages 12-17 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEPAR } \\ & \text { CLASS } \end{aligned}$ |  | PRIVATE SEPAR FACII | PUBLIC RESID FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 804 | 23 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ALASKA | 230 | 51 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 829 | 82 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| ARKANSAS | 454 | 126 | 34 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| CALIFORNIA | 10,745 | 1,980 | 1,714 | 19 | 48 | 0 | 2 | 23 |
| COLORADO | 1,582 | 192 | 59 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| CONNECTICUT | 1,237 | 376 | 123 | 4 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| DELAWARE | 68 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 83 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 4,831 | 398 | 320 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 4 |
| GEORGIA | 1, 481 | 291 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| HAWAII | 225 | 36 | 6 | 0 |  | . | . | 1 |
| IDAHO | 242 | 56 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 4,656 | 206 | 299 | 10 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 |
| INDIANA | 2,235 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| IOWA | 466 | 212 | 65 | 4 |  | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| KANSAS | 781 | 22 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KENTUCKY | 1,099 | 51 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| LOUISIANA | 1,438 | 77 | 267 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| MAINE | 812 | 360 | 60 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| MARYLAND | 2,255 | 1,282 | 1,050 | 57 | 27 | 1 | 5 | 17 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 9,398 | 709 | 695 | 29 | 67 |  | 25 | 31 |
| MICHIGAN | 3,060 | 364 | 163 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| MINNESOTA | 1,643 | 199 | 15 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 1,002 | 130 | 66 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| MISSOURI | 2,512 | 210 | 89 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| MONTANA | 246 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 1,303 | 186 | 30 | 7 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| NEVADA | - 318 | 12 | 28 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 691 | 388 | 276 | 11 | 10 | 1 | 8 | 3 |
| NEW JERSEY | 2,950 | 475 | 400 | 15 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| NEW MEXICO | 739 | 768 | 680 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 |
| NEW YORK | 2,994 | 875 | 2,299 | 94 | 50 | 1 | 16 | 3 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 1,265 | 37 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 475 | 33 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| OHIO | 4,312 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 |
| OKLAHOMA | 915 | 210 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| OREGON | 1,556 | 333 | 61 | 9 | 12 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 3,230 | 80 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| PUERTO RICO | 76 | 286 | 33 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 421 | 150 | 81 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 732 | 48 | 2 | 0 | 0 | . | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 158 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| TENNESSEE | 1,816 | 1,182 | 405 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 16 |
| TEXAS | 3,691 | 616 | 120 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| UTAH | 467 | 280 | 144 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VERMONT | +421 | 34 | 23 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| VIRGINIA | 1,590 | 581 | 66 | 0 | 17 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| WASHINGTON | 893 | 65 | 39 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 623 | 77 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| WISCONSIN | 1,896 | 97 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| WYOMING | 204 | 157 | 19 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 11 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 153 | 71 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 88,319 | 14,532 | 9,987 | 316 | 399 | 85 | 74 | 177 |
| 50 StATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 88,150 | 14,460 | 9,928 | 315 | 399 | 85 | 74 | 177 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB5

## Percentage of Children Ages 12-17 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | SPEECH | OR | LANGUAGE | IMPAIRMENTS |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB5

## Number of Children Ages 12-17 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | MENTAL RETARDATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | SEPAR CLASS | PUBLIC SEPAR FACIL | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL | PUBLIC RESID FACIL | PRIVATE RESID FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
| ALABAMA | 754 | 6,981 | 5,652 | 285 | 18 | 16 | 5 | 38 |
| ALASKA | 34 | 71 | 175 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 144 | 478 | 1,941 | 90 | 25 | 0 | 3 | 5 |
| ARKANSAS | 673 | 3,444 | 2,240 | 19 | 112 | 0 | 119 | 38 |
| CALIFORNIA | 455 | 1,629 | 8,524 | 844 | 252 | 0 | 20 | 225 |
| COLORADO | 497 | 401 | 613 | 7 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 5 |
| CONNECTICUT | 115 | 440 | 1,097 | 96 | 49 | 0 | 13 | 1 |
| DELAWARE | 32 | 542 | 157 | 124 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 1 | 27 | 309 | 134 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 338 | 973 | 10,871 | 1,468 | 20 | 33 | 0 | 60 |
| GEORGIA | 630 | 3,607 | 8,790 | 63 | 0 | 113 | 6 | 21 |
| HAWAII | 112 | 476 | 512 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| IDAHO | 365 | 556 | 460 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| ILLINOIS | 257 | 1,378 | 7,937 | 1,223 | 662 | 29 | 88 | 5 |
| INDIANA | 925 | 1,222 | 7,444 | 108 | 0 | 31 | 21 | 54 |
| IOWA | 3,541 | 1,615 | 492 | 153 |  | 7 | 16 | 6 |
| KANSAS | 377 | 894 | 1,372 | 55 | 15 | 11 | 32 | 8 |
| KENTUCKY | 1,863 | 4,648 | 2,877 | 30 | 3 | 9 | 5 | 57 |
| LOUISIANA | 107 | 469 | 5,370 | 141 | 35 | 182 | 7 | 53 |
| MAINE | 44 | 286 | 354 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| MARYLAND | 168 | 513 | 1,392 | 465 | 94 | 16 | 13 | 10 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 1,271 | 1,422 | 2,531 | 137 | 243 |  | 80 | 28 |
| MICHIGAN | 436 | 1,898 | 5,760 | 1,101 |  | 2 | 11 | 10 |
| MINNESOTA | 727 | 1,983 | 1,654 | 166 | 9 | 7 | 18 | 15 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 100 | 1,635 | 3,030 | 56 | 5 | 55 | 2 | 46 |
| MISSOURI | 560 | 833 | 4,000 | 523 | 36 | 31 | 12 | 64 |
| MONTANA | 78 | 186 | 265 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| NEBRASKA | 461 | 1,227 | 860 | 48 | 8 | 9 | 13 | 5 |
| NEVADA | 44 | 198 | 360 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 119 | 112 | 197 | 28 | 11 | 0 | 17 | 2 |
| NEW JERSEY | 27 | 363 | 945 | 479 | 312 | 21 | 4 | 21 |
| NEW MEXICO | 66 | 138 | 820 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| NEW YORK | 436 | 607 | 4,477 | 2,284 | 205 | 15 | 36 | 27 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 1,010 | 4,542 | 6,137 | 364 | 62 | 10 | 8 | 43 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 125 | 264 | 182 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 5 |
| OHIO | 3,214 | 16,078 | 5,827 | 115 | 0 | 111 | 0 | 99 |
| OKLAHOMA | 527 | 2,448 | 2,471 | 50 | 9 | 10 | 0 | 16 |
| OREGON | 375 | 468 | 615 | 20 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 6 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 705 | 4,384 | 7,833 | 715 | 55 | 28 | 29 | 41 |
| PUERTO RICO | 253 | 2,670 | 3,979 | 465 | 146 | 31 | 0 | 72 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 12 | 36 | 356 | 3 | 54 | 1 | 13 | 3 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 470 | 2,487 | 4,658 | 198 | 0 |  | 8 | 55 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 108 | 349 | 249 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 13 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 506 | 2,861 | 4,426 | 89 | 86 | 9 | 47 | 41 |
| TEXAS | 205 | 3,687 | 10,473 | 455 | 5 | 75 | 1 | 173 |
| UTAH | 91 | 203 | 1,168 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| VERMONT | 466 | 91 | 91 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 7 |
| VIRGINIA | 203 | 1,903 | 4,842 | 80 | 31 | 56 | 18 | 30 |
| WASHINGTON | 508 | 1,417 | 1,489 | 31 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 4 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 193 | 1,620 | 2,255 | 20 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 29 |
| WISCONSIN | 233 | 1,699 | 3,471 | 136 | 2 | 37 | 3 | 20 |
| WYOMING | 19 | 130 | 120 | 4 | 0 | 20 | 3 | 1 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 2 | 10 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 5 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 53 | 29 | 298 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 25 | 106 | 56 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 25,065 | 88,740 | 154,547 | 13,039 | 2,653 | 1,015 | 717 | 1,464 |
| 50 States, D.C. \& P.R. | 24,980 | 88,589 | 154,120 | 13,028 | 2,653 | 1,015 | 715 | 1,462 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB5

## Percentage of Children Ages 12-17 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year



Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB5

## Number of Children Ages 12-17 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | SEPAR CLASS | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL | PUBLIC RESID FACIL | PRIVATE RESID FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
| ALABAMA | 1,100 | 1,278 | 571 | 47 | 10 | 27 | 76 | 65 |
| ALASKA | 117 | 137 | 189 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 14 | 1 |
| ARIZONA | 495 | 685 | 960 | 200 | 205 | 12 | 96 | 21 |
| ARKANSAS | 32 | 77 | 73 | 1 | 19 | 0 | 52 | 21 |
| CALIFORNIA | 1,239 | 1,206 | 3,610 | 489 | 4,018 | 0 | 862 | 263 |
| COLORADO | 2,491 | 714 | 792 | 353 | 105 | 104 | 371 | 162 |
| CONNECTICUT | 2,565 | 1,384 | 1,901 | 271 | 608 | 18 | 453 | 84 |
| DELAWARE | 18 | 218 | 53 | 70 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 5 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0 | 116 | 107 | 69 | 163 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 3,173 | 2,969 | 7,776 | 1,232 | 173 | 333 | 1 | 133 |
| GEORGIA | 2,762 | 4,006 | 3,691 | 278 | 0 | 317 | 57 | 6 |
| HAWAII | 335 | 336 | 318 | 0 | 32 | 11 | 16 | 79 |
| IDAHO | 137 | 85 | 56 | 32 | 5 | 2 | 20 | 12 |
| ILlinois | 940 | 5,235 | 5,817 | 3,680 | 1,821 | 243 | 400 | 39 |
| INDIANA | 1,565 | 638 | 2,523 | 175 | 29 | 144 | 142 | 141 |
| IOWA | 2,597 | 1,184 | 360 | 502 |  | 207 | 46 | 12 |
| KANSAS | 1,070 | 973 | 535 | 245 | 35 | 155 | 27 | 32 |
| KENTUCKY | 391 | 991 | 1,212 | 76 | 73 | 75 | 10 | 45 |
| LOUISIANA | 469 | 457 | 2,384 | 197 | 2 | 180 | 36 | 157 |
| MAINE | 944 | 807 | 441 | 67 | 94 | 2 | 129 | 24 |
| MARYLAND | 704 | 623 | 1,169 | 525 | 743 | 163 | 214 | 76 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 1,060 | 531 | 1,501 | 1,070 | 1,424 |  | 151 | 92 |
| MICHIGAN | 3,184 | 3,135 | 2,926 | 930 |  | 135 | 114 | 9 |
| MINNESOTA | 4,745 | 2,454 | 1,126 | 1,417 | 201 | 335 | 222 | 77 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 6 | 69 | 69 | 7 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 22 |
| MISSOURI | 1,782 | 1,661 | 2,254 | 47 | 212 | 122 | 7 | 64 |
| MONTANA | 203 | 213 | 165 | 105 | 7 | 16 | 48 | 11 |
| NEBRASKA | 638 | 396 | 502 | 25 | 9 | 2 | 10 | 12 |
| NEVADA | 238 | 311 | 230 | 39 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 20 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 558 | 270 | 203 | 8 | 119 | 52 | 134 | 22 |
| NEW JERSEY | 1,343 | 2,126 | 1,893 | 1,013 | 2,528 | 160 | 17 | 403 |
| NEW MEXICO | 444 | 361 | 1,037 | 3 | 0 | 139 | 14 | 84 |
| NEW YORK | 4,642 | 2,102 | 9,365 | 5,628 | 1,196 | 353 | 506 | 850 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 1,461 | 1,316 | 2,066 | 222 | 4 | 95 | 0 | 165 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | , 200 | 144 | 136 | 11 | 7 | 8 | 27 | 4 |
| OHIO | 1,040 | 2,288 | 1,808 | 1,977 | 0 | 176 | 0 | 277 |
| OKLAHOMA | 266 | 503 | 660 | 34 | 9 | 18 | 9 | 61 |
| OREGON | 878 | 338 | 301 | 143 | 168 | 38 | 57 | 69 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 1,637 | 2,978 | 3,917 | 869 | 975 | 787 | 72 | 220 |
| PUERTO RICO | 22 | 140 | 171 | 15 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 19 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 257 | 190 | 342 | 15 | 109 | 33 | 190 | 12 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 285 | 1,071 | 1,192 | 186 | 0 |  | 12 | 96 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 148 | 92 | 51 | 4 | 30 | 1 | 32 | 1 |
| TENNESSEE | 537 | 580 | 701 | 132 | 138 | 37 | 204 | 80 |
| TEXAS | 2,893 | 8,216 | 8,066 | 824 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1,115 |
| UTAH | 705 | 779 | 880 | 95 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 27 |
| VERMONT | 623 | 59 | 65 | 40 | 32 | 7 | 74 | 24 |
| VIRGINIA | 1,325 | 2,049 | 2,804 | 353 | 298 | 289 | 148 | 70 |
| WASHINGTON | 1,066 | 1,114 | 707 | 129 | 43 | 11 | 11 | 81 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 340 | 451 | 443 | 17 | 0 | 36 | 1 | 35 |
| WISCONSIN | 2,207 | 4,503 | 2,664 | 264 | 27 | 125 | 12 | 55 |
| WYOMING | 173 | 190 | 136 | 17 | 1 | 42 | 26 | 3 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 5 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 112 | 122 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 5 |
| U.S. And outlying areas | 58,170 | 64,872 | 82,914 | 24,140 | 15,689 | 5,078 | 5,155 | 5,463 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 58,050 | 64,749 | 82,819 | 24,140 | 15,689 | 5,077 | 5,134 | 5,458 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB5

## Percentage of Children Ages 12-17 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year



Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB5

## Number of Children Ages 12-17 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | MULTIPLE DISABILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | SEPAR CLASS | PUBLIC SEPAR FACIL | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL | PUBLIC <br> RESID <br> FACIL | PRIVATE RESID FACIL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOME } \\ & \text { HOSP } \\ & \text { ENVIR } \end{aligned}$ |
| ALABAMA | 19 | 22 | 338 | 106 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 26 |
| ALASKA | 17 | 22 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| ARIZONA | 69 | 45 | 259 | 61 | 40 | 46 | 1 | 10 |
| ARKANSAS | 7 | 30 | 211 | 12 | 27 | 0 | 41 | 8 |
| CALIFORNIA | 82 | 231 | 1,356 | 217 | 162 | 34 | 5 | 25 |
| COLORADO | 338 | 136 | 449 | 86 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 17 |
| CONNECTICUT | 105 | 128 | 307 | 92 | 38 | 0 | 12 | 4 |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |  |
| GEORGIA |  |  |  |  | . |  | . |  |
| HAWAII | 1 | 0 | 92 | 6 | - |  |  |  |
| IDAHO | 18 | 24 | 98 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 |
| ILLINOIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INDIANA | 14 | 7 | 174 | 27 | 0 | 32 | 16 | 7 |
| IOWA | 109 | 50 | 15 | 43 | 0 | 14 | 7 | 3 |
| KANSAS | 170 | 201 | 226 | 113 | 11 | 21 | 5 | 10 |
| KENTUCKY | 45 | 93 | 413 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 20 |
| LOUISIANA | 7 | 4 | 283 | 29 | 1 | 40 | 1 | 29 |
| MAINE | 127 | 250 | 342 | 10 | 9 | 0 | 22 | 11 |
| MARYLAND | 224 | 241 | 676 | 379 | 179 | 14 | 105 | 23 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 138 | 152 | 385 | 110 | 227 |  | 112 | 92 |
| MICHIGAN | 22 | 18 | 292 | 462 | . | 0 | 1 | 21 |
| MINNESOTA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MISSISSIPPI | 1 | 8 | 127 | 26 | 0 | 34 | 1 | 7 |
| MISSOURI | 13 | 46 | 133 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 3 |
| MONTANA | 50 | 49 | 106 | 10 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 2 |
| NEBRASKA | 6 | 9 | 134 | 12 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 |
| NEVADA | 1 | 14 | 58 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 24 | 14 | 24 | 45 | 8 | 0 | 15 | 2 |
| NEW JERSEY | 390 | 940 | 1,102 | 1,002 | 1,208 | 85 | 28 | 103 |
| NEW MEXICO | 18 | 52 | 274 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 12 |
| NEW YORK | 417 | 449 | 1,873 | 1,778 | 638 | 84 | 218 | 89 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 15 | 37 | 295 | 102 | 19 | 41 | 51 | 7 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OHIO | 66 | 969 | 3,003 | 254 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| OKLAHOMA | 27 | 63 | 375 | 49 | 1 | 22 | 9 | 22 |
| OREGON |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 11 | 10 | 399 | 105 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 7 |
| PUERTO RICO | 6 | 32 | 158 | 37 | 11 | 8 | 5 | 199 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 1 | 7 | 43 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 2 | 47 | 74 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 21 | 40 | 64 | 3 | 7 | 21 | 32 | 6 |
| TENNESSEE | 16 | 42 | 500 | 74 | 73 | 52 | 0 | 29 |
| TEXAS | 131 | 684 | 1,632 | 180 | 6 | 24 | 1 | 87 |
| UTAH | 14 | 22 | 289 | 256 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 |
| VERMONT | 19 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 13 | 89 | 283 | 47 | 11 | 28 | 4 | 10 |
| WASHINGTON | 173 | 275 | 898 | 42 | 10 | 8 | 1 | 17 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |  |
| WISCONSIN | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |  |
| WYOMING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 1 | 2 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 3 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 43 | 47 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 2,994 | 5,607 | 17,975 | 5,863 | 2,719 | 689 | 720 | 970 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 2,947 | 5,554 | 17,890 | 5,859 | 2,719 | 689 | 712 | 966 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB5

## Percentage of Children Ages 12-17 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | MULTIPLE DISABILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | REGULARCLASS | RESOURCEROOM | SEPAR CLASS | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | PRIVATERESIDFACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALABAMA | 3.53 | 4.08 | 62.71 | 19.67 | 0.00 | 5.19 | 0.00 | 4.82 |
| ALASKA | 10.69 | 13.84 | 74.84 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.63 |
| ARIZONA | 12.99 | 8.47 | 48.78 | 11.49 | 7.53 | 8.66 | 0.19 | 1.88 |
| ARKANSAS | 2.08 | 8.93 | 62.80 | 3.57 | 8.04 | 0.00 | 12.20 | 2.38 |
| CALIFORNIA | 3.88 | 10.94 | 64.20 | 10.27 | 7.67 | 1.61 | 0.24 | 1.18 |
| COLORADO | 32.38 | 13.03 | 43.01 | 8.24 | 0.29 | 0.96 | 0.48 | 1.63 |
| CONNECTICUT | 15.31 | 18.66 | 44.75 | 13.41 | 5.54 | 0.00 | 1.75 | 0.58 |
| DELAWARE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 33.33 | 66.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | . | . | . | . | . | . |  | . |
| GEORGIA | . | ${ }^{\circ}$ |  | ${ }^{\circ}$ | - | - |  |  |
| HAWAII | 1.01 | 0.00 | 92.93 | 6.06 | . | . | . | - |
| IDAHO | 12.00 | 16.00 | 65.33 | 1.33 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 1.33 |
| ILLINOIS |  |  |  |  |  | . |  | . |
| INDIANA | 5.05 | 2.53 | 62.82 | 9.75 | 0.00 | 11.55 | 5.78 | 2.53 |
| IOWA | 45.23 | 20.75 | 6.22 | 17.84 |  | 5.81 | 2.90 | 1.24 |
| KANSAS | 22.46 | 26.55 | 29.85 | 14.93 | 1.45 | 2.77 | 0.66 | 1.32 |
| KENTUCKY | 7.79 | 16.09 | 71.45 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 3.46 |
| LOUISIANA | 1.78 | 1.02 | 71.83 | 7.36 | 0.25 | 10.15 | 0.25 | 7.36 |
| MAINE | 16.47 | 32.43 | 44.36 | 1.30 | 1.17 | 0.00 | 2.85 | 1.43 |
| MARYLAND | 12.17 | 13.09 | 36.72 | 20.59 | 9.72 | 0.76 | 5.70 | 1.25 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 11.35 | 12.50 | 31.66 | 9.05 | 18.67 |  | 9.21 | 7.57 |
| MICHIGAN | 2.70 | 2.21 | 35.78 | 56.62 | . | 0.00 | 0.12 | 2.57 |
| MINNESOTA | . |  |  |  |  |  | . | . |
| MISSISSIPPI | 0.49 | 3.92 | 62.25 | 12.75 | 0.00 | 16.67 | 0.49 | 3.43 |
| MISSOURI | 6.31 | 22.33 | 64.56 | 0.97 | 1.94 | 0.49 | 1.94 | 1.46 |
| MONTANA | 22.32 | 21.88 | 47.32 | 4.46 | 0.00 | 2.68 | 0.45 | 0.89 |
| NEBRASKA | 3.51 | 5.26 | 78.36 | 7.02 | 1.17 | 1.17 | 0.58 | 2.92 |
| NEVADA | 0.77 | 10.77 | 44.62 | 41.54 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.31 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 18.18 | 10.61 | 18.18 | 34.09 | 6.06 | 0.00 | 11.36 | 1.52 |
| NEW JERSEY | 8.03 | 19.35 | 22.68 | 20.63 | 24.87 | 1.75 | 0.58 | 2.12 |
| NEW MEXICO | 4.81 | 13.90 | 73.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.81 | 0.00 | 3.21 |
| NEW YORK | 7.52 | 8.10 | 33.77 | 32.06 | 11.50 | 1.51 | 3.93 | 1.60 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 2.65 | 6.53 | 52.03 | 17.99 | 3.35 | 7.23 | 8.99 | 1.23 |
| NORTH DAKOTA |  | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| OHIO | 1.52 | 22.37 | 69.32 | 5.86 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.92 |
| OKLAHOMA | 4.75 | 11.09 | 66.02 | 8.63 | 0.18 | 3.87 | 1.58 | 3.87 |
| OREGON |  |  |  |  | . |  | . |  |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 2.05 | 1.86 | 74.30 | 19.55 | 0.00 | 0.74 | 0.19 | 1.30 |
| PUERTO RICO | 1.32 | 7.02 | 34.65 | 8.11 | 2.41 | 1.75 | 1.10 | 43.64 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 1.35 | 9.46 | 58.11 | 0.00 | 25.68 | 0.00 | 5.41 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 1.36 | 31.97 | 50.34 | 15.65 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.68 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 10.82 | 20.62 | 32.99 | 1.55 | 3.61 | 10.82 | 16.49 | 3.09 |
| TENNESSEE | 2.04 | 5.34 | 63.61 | 9.41 | 9.29 | 6.62 | 0.00 | 3.69 |
| TEXAS | 4.77 | 24.92 | 59.45 | 6.56 | 0.22 | 0.87 | 0.04 | 3.17 |
| UTAH | 2.37 | 3.73 | 48.98 | 43.39 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 0.00 | 1.02 |
| VERMONT | 52.78 | 5.56 | 30.56 | 0.00 | 2.78 | 0.00 | 8.33 | 0.00 |
| VIRGINIA | 2.68 | 18.35 | 58.35 | 9.69 | 2.27 | 5.77 | 0.82 | 2.06 |
| WASHINGTON | 12.15 | 19.31 | 63.06 | 2.95 | 0.70 | 0.56 | 0.07 | 1.19 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| WISCONSIN | . | . | . | - | - | - | - | . |
| WYOMING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| GUAM | 4.55 | 9.09 | 68.18 | 18.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 20.00 | 26.67 | 46.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.67 |
| PALAU | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 55.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 35.00 | 10.00 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 31.85 | 34.81 | 31.85 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.74 | 0.74 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 7.98 | 14.94 | 47.89 | 15.62 | 7.24 | 1.84 | 1.92 | 2.58 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 7.89 | 14.88 | 47.92 | 15.69 | 7.28 | 1.85 | 1.91 | 2.59 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB5

## Number of Children Ages 12-17 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEPAR } \\ & \text { CLASS } \end{aligned}$ | PUBLIC SEPAR FACII | PRIVATE SEPAR FACII | PUBLIC RESID FACIL | PRIVATE RESID FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 170 | 90 | 60 | 14 | 1 | 118 | 0 | 1 |
| ALASKA | 24 | 22 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 246 | 107 | 46 | 82 | 0 | 74 | 2 | 1 |
| ARKANSAS | 72 | 69 | 19 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 0 |
| CALIFORNIA | 1,285 | 726 | 1,243 | 53 | 40 | 503 | 11 | 8 |
| COLORADO | 263 | 44 | 40 | 33 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 1 |
| CONNECTICUT | 178 | 44 | 30 | 25 | 30 | 0 | 30 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 11 | 55 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| district of columbia | 1 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 269 | 158 | 346 | 8 | 0 | 257 | 0 | 1 |
| GEORGIA | 168 | 131 | 160 | 53 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 0 |
| HAWAII | 43 | 41 | 53 | 26 |  |  |  |  |
| IDAHO | 59 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 247 | 371 | 493 | 22 | 10 | 166 | 15 | 1 |
| INDIANA | 353 | 74 | 144 | 62 | 0 | 62 | 4 | 1 |
| IOWA | 186 | 85 | 26 | 3 | . | 81 | 2 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 109 | 52 | 44 | 90 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| KENTUCKY | 135 | 94 | 22 | 1 | 2 | 122 | 0 | 0 |
| LOUISIANA | 236 | 146 | 206 | 0 | 2 | 139 | 0 | 4 |
| MAINE | 60 | 40 | 9 | 16 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 |
| MARYLAND | 234 | 81 | 74 | 8 | 3 | 155 | 0 | 0 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 239 | 54 | 153 | 25 | 154 |  | 52 | 3 |
| MICHIGAN | 534 | 269 | 306 | 16 |  | 67 | 1 | 2 |
| MINNESOTA | 451 | 100 | 58 | 50 | 1 | 86 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 39 | 107 | 71 | 1 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 3 |
| MISSOURI | 178 | 146 | 122 | 5 | 10 | 47 | 9 | 0 |
| MONTANA | 52 | 22 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 159 | 40 | 35 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| NEVADA | 46 | 29 | 66 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 33 | 10 | 4 | 50 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 143 | 119 | 140 | 55 | 13 | 96 | 4 | 7 |
| NEW MEXICO | 59 | 29 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 1 |
| NEW YORK | 749 | 165 | 605 | 244 | 284 | 135 | 46 | 15 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 425 | 198 | 101 | 6 | 0 | 222 | 0 | 3 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 38 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| OHIO | 470 | 285 | 256 | 54 | 0 | 98 | 0 | 1 |
| OKLAHOMA | 114 | 59 | 76 | 2 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 0 |
| OREGON | 52 | 23 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 55 | 0 | 0 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 662 | 180 | 203 | 3 | 122 | 0 | 92 | 1 |
| PUERTO RICO | 15 | 153 | 109 | 7 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 18 | 11 | 7 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 159 | 130 | 85 | 10 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 28 | 7 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 1 |
| TENNESSEE | 253 | 94 | 198 | 20 | 0 | 70 | 6 | 3 |
| TEXAS | 351 | 790 | 908 | 27 | 1 | 235 | 0 | 21 |
| UTAH | 97 | 62 | 32 | 1 | 0 | 182 | 0 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 40 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 15 | 1 |
| VIRGINIA | 211 | 132 | 120 | 2 | 2 | 81 | 4 | 1 |
| WASHINGTON | 386 | 321 | 154 | 20 | 9 | 93 | 0 | 1 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 55 | 76 | 23 | 9 | 1 | 35 | 0 | 2 |
| WISCONSIN | 284 | 66 | 136 | 29 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 1 |
| WYOMING | 31 | 28 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 4 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 10,730 | 6,186 | 7,107 | 1,245 | 741 | 3,704 | 378 | 87 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 10,720 | 6,163 | 7,097 | 1,245 | 741 | 3,704 | 377 | 87 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB5

## Percentage of Children Ages 12-17 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| HEARING IMPAIRMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE | --PERCENTAGE- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | REGULAR |  | SEPAR | PUBLIC | PRIVATE | PUBLIC | PRIVATE | HOME HOSP |
|  | CLASS | ROOM | CLASS | FACIL | FACIL | FACIL | FACIL | ENVIR |
| ALABAMA | 37.44 | 19.82 | 13.22 | 3.08 | 0.22 | 25.99 | 0.00 | 0.22 |
| ALASKA | 30.00 | 27.50 | 42.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARIZONA | 44.09 | 19.18 | 8.24 | 14.70 | 0.00 | 13.26 | 0.36 | 0.18 |
| ARKANSAS | 27.91 | 26.74 | 7.36 | 11.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 26.74 | 0.00 |
| CALIFORNIA | 33.21 | 18.76 | 32.13 | 1.37 | 1.03 | 13.00 | 0.28 | 0.21 |
| COLORADO | 60.05 | 10.05 | 9.13 | 7.53 | 0.00 | 13.01 | 0.00 | 0.23 |
| CONNECTICUT | 52.82 | 13.06 | 8.90 | 7.42 | 8.90 | 0.00 | 8.90 | 0.00 |
| DELAWARE | 13.92 | 69.62 | 2.53 | 12.66 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.27 | 0.00 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 6.67 | 20.00 | 46.67 | 20.00 | 6.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 25.89 | 15.21 | 33.30 | 0.77 | 0.00 | 24.74 | 0.00 | 0.10 |
| GEORGIA | 29.32 | 22.86 | 27.92 | 9.25 | 0.00 | 10.65 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| HAWAII | 26.38 | 25.15 | 32.52 | 15.95 |  |  |  |  |
| IDAHO | 45.38 | 12.31 | 1.54 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 40.77 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ILLINOIS | 18.64 | 28.00 | 37.21 | 1.66 | 0.75 | 12.53 | 1.13 | 0.08 |
| INDIANA | 50.43 | 10.57 | 20.57 | 8.86 | 0.00 | 8.86 | 0.57 | 0.14 |
| IOWA | 48.56 | 22.19 | 6.79 | 0.78 |  | 21.15 | 0.52 | 0.00 |
| KANSAS | 36.82 | 17.57 | 14.86 | 30.41 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| KENTUCKY | 35.90 | 25.00 | 5.85 | 0.27 | 0.53 | 32.45 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| LOUISIANA | 32.20 | 19.92 | 28.10 | 0.00 | 0.27 | 18.96 | 0.00 | 0.55 |
| MAINE | 44.78 | 29.85 | 6.72 | 11.94 | 0.00 | 5.97 | 0.00 | 0.75 |
| MARYLAND | 42.16 | 14.59 | 13.33 | 1.44 | 0.54 | 27.93 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 35.15 | 7.94 | 22.50 | 3.68 | 22.65 |  | 7.65 | 0.44 |
| MICHIGAN | 44.69 | 22.51 | 25.61 | 1.34 |  | 5.61 | 0.08 | 0.17 |
| MINNESOTA | 60.46 | 13.40 | 7.77 | 6.70 | 0.13 | 11.53 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 13.88 | 38.08 | 25.27 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 21.35 | 0.00 | 1.07 |
| MISSOURI | 34.43 | 28.24 | 23.60 | 0.97 | 1.93 | 9.09 | 1.74 | 0.00 |
| MONTANA | 47.71 | 20.18 | 6.42 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 25.69 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEBRASKA | 63.86 | 16.06 | 14.06 | 2.81 | 0.00 | 3.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEVADA | 31.08 | 19.59 | 44.59 | 4.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.68 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 30.00 | 9.09 | 3.64 | 45.45 | 0.91 | 0.00 | 10.91 | 0.00 |
| NEW JERSEY | 24.78 | 20.62 | 24.26 | 9.53 | 2.25 | 16.64 | 0.69 | 1.21 |
| NEW MEXICO | 30.73 | 15.10 | 26.56 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 27.08 | 0.00 | 0.52 |
| NEW YORK | 33.39 | 7.36 | 26.97 | 10.88 | 12.66 | 6.02 | 2.05 | 0.67 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 44.50 | 20.73 | 10.58 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 23.25 | 0.00 | 0.31 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 82.61 | 13.04 | 2.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| OHIO | 40.38 | 24.48 | 21.99 | 4.64 | 0.00 | 8.42 | 0.00 | 0.09 |
| OKLAHOMA | 33.73 | 17.46 | 22.49 | 0.59 | 0.00 | 25.74 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| OREGON | 38.81 | 17.16 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 1.49 | 41.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 52.41 | 14.25 | 16.07 | 0.24 | 9.66 | 0.00 | 7.28 | 0.08 |
| PUERTO RICO | 4.55 | 46.36 | 33.03 | 2.12 | 13.94 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 21.43 | 13.10 | 8.33 | 55.95 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.19 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 41.30 | 33.77 | 22.08 | 2.60 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.26 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 44.44 | 11.11 | 1.59 | 15.87 | 0.00 | 25.40 | 0.00 | 1.59 |
| TENNESSEE | 39.29 | 14.60 | 30.75 | 3.11 | 0.00 | 10.87 | 0.93 | 0.47 |
| TEXAS | 15.05 | 33.86 | 38.92 | 1.16 | 0.04 | 10.07 | 0.00 | 0.90 |
| UTAH | 25.94 | 16.58 | 8.56 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 48.66 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VERMONT | 61.54 | 4.62 | 1.54 | 0.00 | 9.23 | 0.00 | 23.08 | 0.00 |
| VIRGINIA | 38.16 | 23.87 | 21.70 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 14.65 | 0.72 | 0.18 |
| WASHINGTON | 39.23 | 32.62 | 15.65 | 2.03 | 0.91 | 9.45 | 0.00 | 0.10 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 27.36 | 37.81 | 11.44 | 4.48 | 0.50 | 17.41 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| WISCONSIN | 46.79 | 10.87 | 22.41 | 4.78 | 0.00 | 14.99 | 0.00 | 0.16 |
| WYOMING | 44.93 | 40.58 | 10.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.90 | 1.45 | 0.00 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| GUAM | 26.67 | 60.00 | 13.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 75.00 | 25.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PALAU | 0.00 | 66.67 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 85.71 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.29 | 0.00 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 37.50 | 50.00 | 12.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 35.56 | 20.50 | 23.55 | 4.13 | 2.46 | 12.27 | 1.25 | 0.29 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 35.57 | 20.45 | 23.55 | 4.13 | 2.46 | 12.29 | 1.25 | 0.29 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB5

## Number of Children Ages 12-17 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year



Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB5

## Percentage of Children Ages 12-17 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

|  | ORTHOPEDIC IMPAIRMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | REGULAR CLASS | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RESOURCE } \\ \text { ROOM } \end{array}$ | SEPAR <br> CLASS | PUBLIC SEPAR FACIL | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOME } \\ & \text { HOSP } \\ & \text { ENVIR } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STATE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALABAMA | 42.41 | 27.23 | 27.23 | 1.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.09 |
| ALASKA | 62.07 | 20.69 | 17.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARIZONA | 40.99 | 16.96 | 39.93 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.12 |
| ARKANSAS | 40.35 | 38.60 | 17.54 | 0.00 | 1.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.75 |
| CALIFORNIA | 28.06 | 12.78 | 48.52 | 8.23 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.91 |
| COLORADO | 74.81 | 15.76 | 6.72 | 1.40 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 1.03 |
| CONNECTICUT | 67.47 | 13.25 | 16.87 | 2.41 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| DELAWARE | 17.96 | 44.31 | 9.58 | 11.98 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 16.17 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 29.05 | 15.44 | 46.60 | 4.29 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.56 |
| GEORGIA | 33.33 | 28.46 | 37.83 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 |
| HAWAII | 46.97 | 19.70 | 27.27 | 0.00 | . | . |  | 6.06 |
| IDAHO | 67.27 | 27.27 | 5.45 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ILLINOIS | 21.96 | 24.45 | 38.62 | 6.19 | 0.50 | 1.20 | 0.00 | 7.09 |
| INDIANA | 75.55 | 6.04 | 18.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.27 |
| IOWA | 59.67 | 27.16 | 8.23 | 2.47 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.47 |
| KANSAS | 64.29 | 20.13 | 10.39 | 0.00 | 1.95 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.25 |
| KENTUCKY | 50.00 | 26.14 | 21.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.84 |
| LOUISIANA | 26.82 | 25.84 | 43.59 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.79 | 0.00 | 2.76 |
| MAINE | 69.77 | 18.60 | 9.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.33 |
| MARYLAND | 43.96 | 20.88 | 26.37 | 3.30 | 4.95 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.55 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 60.54 | 7.26 | 15.87 | 0.68 | 9.07 |  | 1.13 | 5.44 |
| MICHIGAN | 51.74 | 27.40 | 18.33 | 1.65 |  | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.77 |
| MINNESOTA | 65.58 | 26.51 | 6.71 | 0.34 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.69 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 10.76 | 33.85 | 40.28 | 3.65 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.52 | 10.76 |
| MISSOURI | 16.55 | 54.05 | 27.03 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.68 |
| MONTANA | 73.91 | 17.39 | 4.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.35 |
| NEBRASKA | 59.68 | 19.89 | 16.13 | 0.54 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.54 | 3.23 |
| NEVADA | 52.75 | 21.98 | 15.38 | 5.49 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.40 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 47.27 | 25.45 | 21.82 | 3.64 | 1.82 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW JERSEY | 35.82 | 14.18 | 16.04 | 21.64 | 10.82 | 0.00 | 0.37 | 1.12 |
| NEW MEXICO | 41.40 | 18.82 | 39.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.54 |
| NEW YORK | 54.88 | 11.25 | 21.14 | 5.07 | 5.56 | 0.49 | 0.25 | 1.36 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 50.92 | 23.36 | 23.10 | 1.84 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.79 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 58.54 | 14.63 | 12.20 | 0.00 | 4.88 | 0.00 | 9.76 | 0.00 |
| OHIO | 40.04 | 24.54 | 26.28 | 2.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.47 |
| OKLAHOMA | 55.64 | 24.06 | 18.05 | 0.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.50 |
| OREGON | 68.54 | 20.79 | 7.87 | 1.12 | 0.00 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.56 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 13.21 | 12.16 | 53.67 | 11.74 | 7.13 | 0.00 | 1.47 | 0.63 |
| PUERTO RICO | 27.78 | 39.39 | 5.05 | 0.51 | 21.72 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 4.55 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 20.00 | 35.56 | 35.56 | 0.00 | 8.89 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 19.43 | 38.85 | 35.67 | 3.50 | 0.32 |  | 0.00 | 2.23 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 54.17 | 29.17 | 10.42 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.25 | 0.00 |
| TENNESSEE | 29.75 | 16.94 | 28.31 | 8.68 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 15.91 |
| TEXAS | 15.08 | 37.92 | 36.39 | 1.82 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 8.69 |
| UTAH | 31.34 | 32.84 | 35.82 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VERMONT | 88.24 | 8.82 | 2.94 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VIRGINIA | 31.75 | 32.12 | 32.48 | 0.73 | 1.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.82 |
| WASHINGTON | 52.11 | 27.63 | 18.16 | 1.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.05 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 46.75 | 20.78 | 27.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.30 | 3.90 |
| WISCONSIN | 50.21 | 19.58 | 27.71 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 2.29 |
| WYOMING | 36.54 | 40.38 | 17.31 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.77 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GUAM | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 80.00 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PALAU | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 28.57 | 28.57 | 14.29 | 28.57 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 39.27 | 22.69 | 29.64 | 3.74 | 1.02 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 3.38 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 39.25 | 22.69 | 29.67 | 3.74 | 1.02 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 3.38 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB5

## Number of Children Ages 12-17 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | SEPAR <br> CLASS | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 302 | 241 | 68 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| ALASKA | 69 | 34 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 130 | 108 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| ARKANSAS | 374 | 436 | 135 | 3 | 16 | 0 | 1 | 17 |
| CALIFORNIA | 2,794 | 824 | 788 | 36 | 97 | 0 | 6 | 157 |
| COLORADO | . | . | . | . |  |  |  | . |
| CONNECTICUT | 925 | 221 | 105 | 9 | 19 | 0 | 15 | 12 |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 3 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 68 | 37 | 49 | 3 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 818 |
| GEORGIA | 486 | 679 | 356 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 13 |
| HAWAII | 49 | 48 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 45 |
| IDAHO | 169 | 70 | 25 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| ILLINOIS | 185 | 521 | 278 | 20 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 653 |
| INDIANA | 306 | 97 | 91 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| IOWA | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | . | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 517 | 295 | 76 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 17 |
| KENTUCKY | 245 | 211 | 41 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| LOUISIANA | 727 | 519 | 625 | 9 | 4 | 10 | 1 | 38 |
| MAINE | 217 | 171 | 39 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| MARYLAND | 611 | 257 | 199 | 23 | 27 | 1 | 5 | 18 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 150 | 59 | 52 | 9 | 27 | . | 13 | 372 |
| MICHIGAN |  |  |  |  | . | - | . |  |
| MINNESOTA | 1,049 | 379 | 67 | 12 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 11 |
| MISSISSIPPI | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |  |
| MISSOURI | 521 | 400 | 185 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 26 |
| MONTANA | 152 | 112 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 21 |
| NEBRASKA | 269 | 149 | 80 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 23 |
| NEVADA | 108 | 52 | 22 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 510 | 155 | 131 | 9 | 17 | 5 | 24 | 7 |
| NEW JERSEY | 201 | 78 | 25 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 63 |
| NEW MEXICO | 104 | 113 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| NEW YORK | 2,496 | 708 | 799 | 159 | 59 | 3 | 13 | 60 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 1,309 | 867 | 398 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 41 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 78 | 23 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| OHIO | 509 | 95 | 19 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,182 |
| OKLAHOMA | 174 | 104 | 31 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1, 9 |
| OREGON | 581 | 201 | 91 | 15 | 20 | 4 | 5 | 22 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 94 | 56 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| PUERTO RICO | 40 | 144 | 31 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 59 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 167 | 76 | 51 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 82 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 86 | 274 | 47 | 0 | 1 | . | 0 | 9 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 66 | 25 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| TENNESSEE | 1,372 | 946 | 314 | 24 | 14 | 3 | 15 | 475 |
| TEXAS | 1,665 | 4,286 | 2,403 | 95 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1,393 |
| UTAH | 93 | 121 | 89 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| VERMONT | 184 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 3 |
| VIRGINIA | 774 | 559 | 295 | 3 | 9 | 10 | 5 | 18 |
| WASHINGTON | 2,829 | 2,159 | 997 | 44 | 36 | 4 | 2 | 33 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 132 | 132 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| WISCONSIN | 249 | 204 | 89 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 25 |
| WYOMING | 116 | 80 | 35 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 11 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 11 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 24,280 | 17,347 | 9,461 | 597 | 414 | 70 | 138 | 5,825 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 24,256 | 17,337 | 9,450 | 597 | 414 | 70 | 137 | 5,820 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB5

## Percentage of Children Ages 12-17 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year



Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB5

Number of Children Ages 12-17 Served in Different Educational Environments
Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | SEPAR <br> CLASS | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | PUBLIC <br> RESID <br> FACIL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PRIVATE } \\ & \text { RESID } \\ & \text { FACIL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOME } \\ & \text { HOSP } \\ & \text { ENVIR } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 89 | 25 | 14 | 20 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 |
| ALASKA | 12 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 115 | 35 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 3 |
| ARKANSAS | 28 | 20 | 7 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 |
| CALIFORNIA | 639 | 292 | 550 | 28 | 9 | 49 | 1 | 9 |
| COLORADO | 114 | 20 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| CONNECTICUT | 123 | 27 | 43 | 15 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| DELAWARE | 29 | 24 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 191 | 51 | 59 | 10 | 1 | 117 | 0 | 1 |
| GEORGIA | 114 | 42 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 |
| HAWAII | 27 | 7 | 4 | 0 | . | . | . | . |
| IDAHO | 17 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 189 | 220 | 69 | 6 | 2 | 43 | 0 | 0 |
| INDIANA | 226 | 9 | 20 | 49 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 1 |
| IOWA | 40 | 18 | 6 | 2 | . | 24 | 1 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 73 | 11 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| KENTUCKY | 128 | 24 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 45 | 0 | 1 |
| LOUISIANA | 85 | 44 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 |
| MAINE | 37 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MARYLAND | 95 | 31 | 25 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 17 | 0 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 166 | 51 | 38 | 3 | 15 | . | 14 | 2 |
| MICHIGAN | 245 | 79 | 42 | 5 | . | 6 | 0 | 5 |
| MINNESOTA | 133 | 18 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 27 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 11 | 28 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 3 |
| MISSOURI | 47 | 72 | 19 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| MONTANA | 17 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 75 | 24 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| NEVADA | 26 | 17 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 11 | 2 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 122 | 16 | 6 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW MEXICO | 33 | 18 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW YORK | 349 | 54 | 135 | 55 | 72 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 158 | 49 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 1 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 23 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OHIO | 294 | 62 | 42 | 10 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 1 |
| OKLAHOMA | 60 | 20 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 |
| OREGON | 38 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 409 | 42 | 35 | 5 | 64 | 0 | 35 | 5 |
| PUERTO RICO | 16 | 190 | 19 | 18 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 2 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 14 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 72 | 65 | 25 | 3 | 1 | . | 0 | 2 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 17 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 264 | 77 | 39 | 2 | 1 | 41 | 0 | 4 |
| TEXAS | 231 | 467 | 204 | 17 | 1 | 87 | 0 | 11 |
| UTAH | 41 | 22 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 2 |
| VERMONT | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 151 | 61 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 23 | 0 | 0 |
| WASHINGTON | 92 | 44 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 36 | 18 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| WISCONSIN | 110 | 19 | 14 | 10 | 1 | 20 | 1 | 0 |
| WYOMING | 14 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 5,674 | 2,480 | 1,732 | 358 | 200 | 1,063 | 106 | 62 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 5,664 | 2,478 | 1,726 | 358 | 200 | 1,060 | 106 | 62 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB5

## Percentage of Children Ages 12-17 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | VISUAL IMPAIRMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | REGULAR CLASS | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RESOURCE } \\ \text { ROOM } \end{array}$ | SEPAR <br> CLASS | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOME } \\ & \text { HOSP } \\ & \text { ENVIR } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALABAMA | 44.72 | 12.56 | 7.04 | 10.05 | 0.00 | 25.63 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ALASKA | 63.16 | 26.32 | 10.53 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARIZONA | 56.10 | 17.07 | 6.83 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 18.54 | 0.00 | 1.46 |
| ARKANSAS | 30.43 | 21.74 | 7.61 | 11.96 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 28.26 | 0.00 |
| CALIFORNIA | 40.52 | 18.52 | 34.88 | 1.78 | 0.57 | 3.11 | 0.06 | 0.57 |
| COLORADO | 70.37 | 12.35 | 1.85 | 6.79 | 0.00 | 8.64 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| CONNECTICUT | 54.91 | 12.05 | 19.20 | 6.70 | 4.91 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 1.34 |
| DELAWARE | 50.88 | 42.11 | 3.51 | 1.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.75 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 44.42 | 11.86 | 13.72 | 2.33 | 0.23 | 27.21 | 0.00 | 0.23 |
| GEORGIA | 49.78 | 18.34 | 8.73 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 22.71 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| HAWAII | 71.05 | 18.42 | 10.53 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| IDAHO | 54.84 | 16.13 | 12.90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 16.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ILLINOIS | 35.73 | 41.59 | 13.04 | 1.13 | 0.38 | 8.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| INDIANA | 63.84 | 2.54 | 5.65 | 13.84 | 0.00 | 13.84 | 0.00 | 0.28 |
| IOWA | 43.96 | 19.78 | 6.59 | 2.20 |  | 26.37 | 1.10 | 0.00 |
| KANSAS | 76.84 | 11.58 | 3.16 | 7.37 | 0.00 | 1.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| KENTUCKY | 60.66 | 11.37 | 5.21 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 21.33 | 0.00 | 0.47 |
| LOUISIANA | 38.12 | 19.73 | 33.63 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MAINE | 77.08 | 18.75 | 4.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MARYLAND | 54.60 | 17.82 | 14.37 | 2.30 | 1.15 | 0.00 | 9.77 | 0.00 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 57.44 | 17.65 | 13.15 | 1.04 | 5.19 |  | 4.84 | 0.69 |
| MICHIGAN | 64.14 | 20.68 | 10.99 | 1.31 |  | 1.57 | 0.00 | 1.31 |
| MINNESOTA | 70.74 | 9.57 | 2.66 | 2.13 | 0.53 | 14.36 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 10.00 | 25.45 | 29.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 32.73 | 0.00 | 2.73 |
| MISSOURI | 31.54 | 48.32 | 12.75 | 4.03 | 1.34 | 1.34 | 0.00 | 0.67 |
| MONTANA | 40.48 | 26.19 | 9.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 23.81 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEBRASKA | 66.37 | 21.24 | 6.19 | 0.88 | 0.00 | 3.54 | 0.88 | 0.88 |
| NEVADA | 53.06 | 34.69 | 12.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 20.00 | 3.64 | 0.00 | 70.91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.45 | 0.00 |
| NEW JERSEY | 79.22 | 10.39 | 3.90 | 0.00 | 6.49 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW MEXICO | 38.82 | 21.18 | 12.94 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 27.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW YORK | 51.70 | 8.00 | 20.00 | 8.15 | 10.67 | 0.44 | 0.59 | 0.44 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 59.85 | 18.56 | 7.58 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 13.64 | 0.00 | 0.38 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 85.19 | 7.41 | 7.41 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| OHIO | 63.23 | 13.33 | 9.03 | 2.15 | 0.00 | 12.04 | 0.00 | 0.22 |
| OKLAHOMA | 47.62 | 15.87 | 13.49 | 3.17 | 0.00 | 19.84 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| OREGON | 67.86 | 1.79 | 5.36 | 0.00 | 3.57 | 21.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 68.74 | 7.06 | 5.88 | 0.84 | 10.76 | 0.00 | 5.88 | 0.84 |
| PUERTO RICO | 6.30 | 74.80 | 7.48 | 7.09 | 0.39 | 2.76 | 0.39 | 0.79 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 50.00 | 25.00 | 17.86 | 0.00 | 3.57 | 0.00 | 3.57 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 42.86 | 38.69 | 14.88 | 1.79 | 0.60 |  | 0.00 | 1.19 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 70.83 | 8.33 | 4.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 16.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| TENNESSEE | 61.68 | 17.99 | 9.11 | 0.47 | 0.23 | 9.58 | 0.00 | 0.93 |
| TEXAS | 22.69 | 45.87 | 20.04 | 1.67 | 0.10 | 8.55 | 0.00 | 1.08 |
| UTAH | 23.98 | 12.87 | 18.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 43.86 | 0.00 | 1.17 |
| VERMONT | 94.74 | 5.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VIRGINIA | 61.13 | 24.70 | 4.05 | 0.00 | 0.81 | 9.31 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WASHINGTON | 49.73 | 23.78 | 8.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 18.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 40.45 | 20.22 | 5.62 | 11.24 | 0.00 | 22.47 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WISCONSIN | 62.86 | 10.86 | 8.00 | 5.71 | 0.57 | 11.43 | 0.57 | 0.00 |
| WYOMING | 58.33 | 33.33 | 4.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| GUAM | 83.33 | 0.00 | 16.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS |  |  | O | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| PALAU | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 16.67 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 48.60 | 21.24 | 14.84 | 3.07 | 1.71 | 9.10 | 0.91 | 0.53 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 48.60 | 21.26 | 14.81 | 3.07 | 1.72 | 9.10 | 0.91 | 0.53 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB5

## Number of Children Ages 12-17 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | SEPAR <br> CLASS | PUBLIC SEPAR FACIL | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | PRIVATE RESID FACIL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOME } \\ & \text { HOSP } \\ & \text { ENVIR } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 8 | 8 | 34 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 0 |
| ALASKA | 4 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 13 | 6 | 50 | 5 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| ARKANSAS | 7 | 6 | 28 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| CALIFORNIA | 44 | 67 | 502 | 78 | 121 | 0 | 7 | 5 |
| COLORADO | 11 | 6 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CONNECTICUT | 9 | 14 | 28 | 25 | 12 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 27 | 4 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| district of columbia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 9 | 6 | 212 | 91 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| GEORGIA | 4 | 9 | 111 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| HAWAII | 2 | 3 | 16 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| IDAHO | 7 | 9 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 12 | 36 | 154 | 30 | 92 | 0 | 13 | 0 |
| INDIANA | 71 | 19 | 203 | 16 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| IOWA | 72 | 33 | 10 | 6 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 12 | 13 | 42 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| KENTUCKY | 4 | 7 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LOUISIANA | 2 | 6 | 169 | 4 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 2 |
| MAINE | 4 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| MARYLAND | 13 | 15 | 69 | 19 | 22 | 0 | 13 | 1 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 12 | 3 | 103 | 27 | 79 |  | 84 | 3 |
| MICHIGAN | 81 | 77 | 245 | 154 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| MINNESOTA | 40 | 62 | 108 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 0 | 2 | 32 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| MISSOURI | 45 | 34 | 131 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| MONTANA | 4 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| NEBRASKA | 5 | 7 | 25 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| NEVADA | 1 | 5 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 3 | 10 | 17 | 63 | 127 | 27 | 7 | 4 |
| NEW MEXICO | 3 | 2 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW YORK | 44 | 19 | 106 | 408 | 64 | 9 | 48 | 5 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 25 | 24 | 287 | 39 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 4 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| OHIO | 10 | 6 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OKLAHOMA | 2 | 19 | 38 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| OREGON | 39 | 9 | 19 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 19 | 28 | 199 | 43 | 20 | 1 | 6 | 0 |
| PUERTO RICO | 2 | 4 | 80 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 1 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 0 | 9 | 46 | 3 | 0 | . | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 2 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 10 | 21 | 111 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| TEXAS | 29 | 117 | 536 | 33 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 |
| UTAH | 4 | 3 | 35 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 11 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 3 | 20 | 178 | 26 | 11 | 6 | 11 | 0 |
| WASHINGTON | 15 | 8 | 36 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 6 | 14 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| WISCONSIN | 17 | 22 | 82 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WYOMING | 2 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 749 | 842 | 4,245 | 1,184 | 621 | 76 | 245 | 58 |
| 50 StATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 749 | 842 | 4,243 | 1,183 | 621 | 76 | 244 | 56 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB5

## Percentage of Children Ages 12-17 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | AUTISM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | REGULAR CLASS | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RESOURCE } \\ \text { ROOM } \end{array}$ | SEPAR CLASS | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALABAMA | 9.64 | 9.64 | 40.96 | 16.87 | 0.00 | 1.20 | 21.69 | 0.00 |
| ALASKA | 36.36 | 9.09 | 54.55 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARIZONA | 13.83 | 6.38 | 53.19 | 5.32 | 20.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.06 |
| ARKANSAS | 15.91 | 13.64 | 63.64 | 0.00 | 4.55 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.27 |
| CALIFORNIA | 5.34 | 8.13 | 60.92 | 9.47 | 14.68 | 0.00 | 0.85 | 0.61 |
| COLORADO | 42.31 | 23.08 | 34.62 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| CONNECTICUT | 9.78 | 15.22 | 30.43 | 27.17 | 13.04 | 0.00 | 4.35 | 0.00 |
| DELAWARE | 0.00 | 64.29 | 9.52 | 26.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 2.73 | 1.82 | 64.24 | 27.58 | 2.42 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.21 |
| GEORGIA | 2.96 | 6.67 | 82.22 | 6.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.48 | 0.00 |
| HAWAII | 9.09 | 13.64 | 72.73 | 4.55 | . |  |  | . |
| IDAHO | 17.50 | 22.50 | 57.50 | 2.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ILLINOIS | 3.56 | 10.68 | 45.70 | 8.90 | 27.30 | 0.00 | 3.86 | 0.00 |
| INDIANA | 22.12 | 5.92 | 63.24 | 4.98 | 0.31 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 0.93 |
| IOWA | 58.54 | 26.83 | 8.13 | 4.88 |  | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.00 |
| KANSAS | 15.00 | 16.25 | 52.50 | 10.00 | 1.25 | 0.00 | 3.75 | 1.25 |
| KENTUCKY | 9.30 | 16.28 | 74.42 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| LOUISIANA | 1.02 | 3.06 | 86.22 | 2.04 | 0.00 | 6.63 | 0.00 | 1.02 |
| MAINE | 16.00 | 36.00 | 36.00 | 0.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 |
| MARYLAND | 8.55 | 9.87 | 45.39 | 12.50 | 14.47 | 0.00 | 8.55 | 0.66 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 3.86 | 0.96 | 33.12 | 8.68 | 25.40 |  | 27.01 | 0.96 |
| MICHIGAN | 14.52 | 13.80 | 43.91 | 27.60 |  | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MINNESOTA | 18.10 | 28.05 | 48.87 | 4.07 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 0.00 | 4.65 | 74.42 | 13.95 | 2.33 | 0.00 | 2.33 | 2.33 |
| MISSOURI | 20.74 | 15.67 | 60.37 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.00 | 0.92 | 0.46 |
| MONTANA | 25.00 | 6.25 | 62.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.25 |
| NEBRASKA | 12.20 | 17.07 | 60.98 | 7.32 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.44 | 0.00 |
| NEVADA | 4.55 | 22.73 | 59.09 | 9.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.55 | 0.00 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 28.57 | 28.57 | 14.29 | 14.29 | 14.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW JERSEY | 1.16 | 3.88 | 6.59 | 24.42 | 49.22 | 10.47 | 2.71 | 1.55 |
| NEW MEXICO | 10.71 | 7.14 | 82.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW YORK | 6.26 | 2.70 | 15.08 | 58.04 | 9.10 | 1.28 | 6.83 | 0.71 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 6.61 | 6.35 | 75.93 | 10.32 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.53 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 25.00 | 12.50 | 31.25 | 0.00 | 12.50 | 6.25 | 12.50 | 0.00 |
| OHIO | 22.73 | 13.64 | 61.36 | 2.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| OKLAHOMA | 3.23 | 30.65 | 61.29 | 1.61 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.23 |
| OREGON | 53.42 | 12.33 | 26.03 | 1.37 | 1.37 | 1.37 | 2.74 | 1.37 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 6.01 | 8.86 | 62.97 | 13.61 | 6.33 | 0.32 | 1.90 | 0.00 |
| PUERTO RICO | 1.89 | 3.77 | 75.47 | 11.32 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.55 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 6.25 | 12.50 | 31.25 | 0.00 | 31.25 | 0.00 | 18.75 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 0.00 | 15.52 | 79.31 | 5.17 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 9.52 | 19.05 | 28.57 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9.52 | 33.33 | 0.00 |
| TENNESSEE | 6.21 | 13.04 | 68.94 | 9.32 | 1.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.24 |
| TEXAS | 3.99 | 16.09 | 73.73 | 4.54 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.14 | 0.96 |
| UTAH | 7.02 | 5.26 | 61.40 | 19.30 | 0.00 | 7.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VERMONT | 68.75 | 18.75 | 6.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.25 | 0.00 |
| VIRGINIA | 1.18 | 7.84 | 69.80 | 10.20 | 4.31 | 2.35 | 4.31 | 0.00 |
| WASHINGTON | 24.59 | 13.11 | 59.02 | 3.28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 13.64 | 31.82 | 50.00 | 2.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.27 | 0.00 |
| WISCONSIN | 13.82 | 17.89 | 66.67 | 1.63 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WYOMING | 15.38 | 23.08 | 38.46 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 23.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |
| GUAM | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| PALAU |  | ${ }^{-}$ | ${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{-}$ | ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 66.67 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 9.34 | 10.50 | 52.93 | 14.76 | 7.74 | 0.95 | 3.05 | 0.72 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 9.35 | 10.51 | 52.94 | 14.76 | 7.75 | 0.95 | 3.04 | 0.70 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB5

## Number of Children Ages 12-17 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | DEAF-BLINDNESS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { REGULAR } \\ & \text { CLASS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RESOURCE } \\ \text { ROOM } \end{array}$ | SEPAR <br> CLASS | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
| ALABAMA | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| ALASKA | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 2 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| ARKANSAS | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| CALIFORNIA | 6 | 9 | 31 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| COLORADO | 6 | 4 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| CONNECTICUT | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 1 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GEORGIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| HAWAII | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | . | 1 | . | . |
| IDAHO | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 1 | 3 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| INDIANA | 0 | 0 | 17 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 |
| IOWA | 6 | 3 | 1 | 3 | . | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| KENTUCKY | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| LOUISIANA | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| MAINE | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| MARYLAND | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 9 | . | 8 | 0 |
| MICHIGAN |  | . |  | . | . | . |  |  |
| MINNESOTA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| MISSOURI | 1 | 3 | 28 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| MONTANA | 2 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEVADA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 1 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW MEXICO | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW YORK | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 |
| OHIO | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OKLAHOMA | 0 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| OREGON | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PUERTO RICO | 0 | 0 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 0 | 0 | 29 | 2 | 0 | . | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| TEXAS | 9 | 15 | 31 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| UTAH | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WASHINGTON | 5 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| WISCONSIN | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| WYOMING | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 63 | 71 | 252 | 101 | 23 | 102 | 24 | 8 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 63 | 70 | 248 | 100 | 23 | 102 | 24 | 8 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB5

## Percentage of Children Ages 12-17 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | DEAF-BLINDNESS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | REGULARCLASS | RESOURCEROOM | SEPAR CLASS | PUBLIC <br> SEPAR <br> FACIL | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | PUBLIC <br> RESID <br> FACIL | PRIVATERESIDFACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALABAMA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 66.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ALASKA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARIZONA | 7.41 | 7.41 | 18.52 | 25.93 | 7.41 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARKANSAS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 33.33 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 33.33 | 0.00 |
| CALIFORNIA | 10.53 | 15.79 | 54.39 | 8.77 | 5.26 | 5.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| COLORADO | 19.35 | 12.90 | 29.03 | 29.03 | 0.00 | 9.68 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| CONNECTICUT | 0.00 | 16.67 | 16.67 | 16.67 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 16.67 | 0.00 |
| DELAWARE | 0.00 | 42.86 | 14.29 | 42.86 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 12.50 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 25.00 | 12.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| GEORGIA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| HAWAII | 0.00 | 16.67 | 50.00 | 16.67 |  | 16.67 |  |  |
| IDAHO | 16.67 | 33.33 | 16.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ILLINOIS | 3.57 | 10.71 | 50.00 | 17.86 | 0.00 | 17.86 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| INDIANA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 58.62 | 20.69 | 0.00 | 3.45 | 13.79 | 3.45 |
| IOWA | 40.00 | 20.00 | 6.67 | 20.00 |  | 13.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| KANSAS | 12.50 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 37.50 |
| KENTUCKY | 16.67 | 33.33 | 16.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 16.67 | 0.00 | 16.67 |
| LOUISIANA | 0.00 | 12.50 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 37.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MAINE | 14.29 | 28.57 | 14.29 | 0.00 | 14.29 | 0.00 | 28.57 | 0.00 |
| MARYLAND | 10.00 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20.83 | 8.33 | 37.50 | . | 33.33 | 0.00 |
| MICHIGAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MINNESOTA | 10.00 | 20.00 | 30.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 11.11 | 0.00 | 44.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 11.11 |
| MISSOURI | 2.70 | 8.11 | 75.68 | 5.41 | 2.70 | 5.41 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MONTANA | 13.33 | 26.67 | 46.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 13.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEBRASKA | 0.00 | 33.33 | 33.33 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEVADA | 50.00 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 66.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 33.33 | 0.00 |
| NEW JERSEY | 7.14 | 0.00 | 21.43 | 35.71 | 14.29 | 21.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW MEXICO | 0.00 | 0.00 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 66.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW YORK | 57.14 | 0.00 | 14.29 | 14.29 | 0.00 | 14.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 12.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 87.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 8.70 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 13.04 | 0.00 | 78.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| OHIO | 60.00 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| OKLAHOMA | 0.00 | 12.50 | 50.00 | 18.75 | 0.00 | 12.50 | 0.00 | 6.25 |
| OREGON | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 20.00 | 20.00 | 60.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PUERTO RICO | 0.00 | 0.00 | 25.00 | 75.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 25.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 0.00 | 25.00 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 93.55 | 6.45 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| TENNESSEE | 40.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| TEXAS | 14.52 | 24.19 | 50.00 | 4.84 | 0.00 | 4.84 | 0.00 | 1.61 |
| UTAH | 0.00 | 4.35 | 17.39 | 21.74 | 0.00 | 56.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VERMONT | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| VIRGINIA | . | - | . | . ${ }^{\circ}$ | $\dot{0}^{\circ}$ | $\dot{0}^{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\dot{0}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{0}$ |
| WASHINGTON | 41.67 | 8.33 | 33.33 | 16.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 22.22 | 0.00 | 77.78 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WISCONSIN | 16.67 | 16.67 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 16.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WYOMING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| GUAM | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PALAU | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | . | . | . | . | . |  | . |  |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 9.78 | 11.02 | 39.13 | 15.68 | 3.57 | 15.84 | 3.73 | 1.24 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 9.87 | 10.97 | 38.87 | 15.67 | 3.61 | 15.99 | 3.76 | 1.25 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB5

## Number of Children Ages 12-17 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | -NUMBER- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE | PUBLIC | PRIVATE | HOME |
|  | REGULAR | RESOURCE | SEPAR | SEPAR | SEPAR | RESID | RESID | HOSP |
|  | CLASS | ROOM | CLASS | FACIL | FACIL | FACIL | FACIL | ENVIR |
| ALABAMA | 35 | 35 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| ALASKA | 9 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 5 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| ARKANSAS | 13 | 15 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 17 | 1 |
| CALIFORNIA | 94 | 98 | 133 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 10 |
| COLORADO | 43 | 13 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| CONNECTICUT | 13 | 7 | 3 | 4 |  |  | 1 |  |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 17 | 14 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| GEORGIA | 14 | 30 | 37 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| HAWAII | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| IDAHO | 33 | 12 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| ILLINOIS | 10 | 74 | 64 | 13 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| INDIANA | 75 | 21 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| IOWA | 42 | 19 | 6 | 3 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| KANSAS | 57 | 38 | 37 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| KENTUCKY | 22 | 22 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| LOUISIANA | 17 | 27 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| MAINE | 16 | 14 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| MARYLAND | 40 | 23 | 27 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 20 | 14 | 37 | 14 | 29 | . | 13 | 13 |
| MICHIGAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MINNESOTA | 39 | 20 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 4 | 10 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| MISSOURI | 47 | 37 | 41 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| MONTANA | 16 | 13 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| NEBRASKA | 29 | 23 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| NEVADA | 5 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 5 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 4 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| NEW MEXICO | 20 | 18 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 |
| NEW YORK | 113 | 49 | 104 | 26 | 9 | 1 | 4 | 7 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 46 | 25 | 33 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| OHIO | 50 | 13 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OKLAHOMA | 20 | 23 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| OREGON | 40 | 31 | 13 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 43 | 93 | 136 | 5 | 332 | 1 | 31 | 1 |
| PUERTO RICO | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 11 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 3 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 0 | . | 0 | 1 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 11 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| TENNESSEE | 31 | 19 | 32 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| TEXAS | 38 | 75 | 55 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| UTAH | 39 | 41 | 46 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 11 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| VIRGINIA | 30 | 33 | 26 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| WASHINGTON | 26 | 20 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 23 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| WISCONSIN | 22 | 40 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| WYOMING | 7 | 20 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GUAM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| PALAU | . |  | - | . | . | . | . |  |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. And outlying areas | 1,318 | 1,144 | 1,225 | 111 | 414 | 19 | 77 | 118 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 1,316 | 1,142 | 1,223 | 111 | 414 | 19 | 77 | 118 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB5

## Percentage of Children Ages 12-17 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | REGULARCLASS | RESOURCEROOM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEPAR } \\ & \text { CLAS } \end{aligned}$ | --PERC SEPAR FACIL | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PUBLIC } \\ & \text { RESID } \\ & \text { FACIL } \end{aligned}$ | PRIVATERESIDFACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALABAMA | 38.04 | 38.04 | 20.65 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.26 |
| ALASKA | 36.00 | 36.00 | 28.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARIZONA | 29.41 | 35.29 | 29.41 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.88 |
| ARKANSAS | 21.67 | 25.00 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 3.33 | 0.00 | 28.33 | 1.67 |
| CALIFORNIA | 26.70 | 27.84 | 37.78 | 1.14 | 3.41 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 2.84 |
| COLORADO | 58.11 | 17.57 | 18.92 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.35 | 4.05 |
| CONNECTICUT | 46.43 | 25.00 | 10.71 | 14.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.57 | 0.00 |
| DELAWARE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 30.36 | 25.00 | 42.86 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.79 |
| GEORGIA | 16.67 | 35.71 | 44.05 | 2.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.19 |
| HAWAII | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 100.00 |  |
| IDAHO | 56.90 | 20.69 | 17.24 | 1.72 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.45 |
| ILLINOIS | 5.95 | 44.05 | 38.10 | 7.74 | 3.57 | 0.60 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| INDIANA | 49.67 | 13.91 | 31.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.65 | 2.65 |
| IOWA | 58.33 | 26.39 | 8.33 | 4.17 |  | 1.39 | 0.00 | 1.39 |
| KANSAS | 39.58 | 26.39 | 25.69 | 6.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.08 |
| KENTUCKY | 38.60 | 38.60 | 19.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.51 |
| LOUISIANA | 19.77 | 31.40 | 47.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.16 |
| MAINE | 36.36 | 31.82 | 27.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.55 |
| MARYLAND | 37.74 | 21.70 | 25.47 | 2.83 | 9.43 | 0.00 | 1.89 | 0.94 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 14.29 | 10.00 | 26.43 | 10.00 | 20.71 |  | 9.29 | 9.29 |
| MICHIGAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MINNESOTA | 45.88 | 23.53 | 24.71 | 2.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.18 | 2.35 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 10.53 | 26.32 | 47.37 | 2.63 | 0.00 | 7.89 | 0.00 | 5.26 |
| MISSOURI | 36.15 | 28.46 | 31.54 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.31 |
| MONTANA | 45.71 | 37.14 | 11.43 | 2.86 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.86 |
| NEBRASKA | 43.28 | 34.33 | 19.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.99 |
| NEVADA | 23.81 | 33.33 | 23.81 | 19.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 31.25 | 43.75 | 18.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.25 | 0.00 |
| NEW JERSEY | 19.05 | 33.33 | 14.29 | 4.76 | 9.52 | 4.76 | 0.00 | 14.29 |
| NEW MEXICO | 25.64 | 23.08 | 43.59 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.41 | 0.00 | 1.28 |
| NEW YORK | 36.10 | 15.65 | 33.23 | 8.31 | 2.88 | 0.32 | 1.28 | 2.24 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 40.71 | 22.12 | 29.20 | 1.77 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.19 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 60.00 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20.00 |
| OHIO | 71.43 | 18.57 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| OKLAHOMA | 32.26 | 37.10 | 22.58 | 3.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.84 |
| OREGON | 43.96 | 34.07 | 14.29 | 1.10 | 2.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.40 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 6.70 | 14.49 | 21.18 | 0.78 | 51.71 | 0.16 | 4.83 | 0.16 |
| PUERTO RICO | 22.22 | 33.33 | 11.11 | 11.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 22.22 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 52.38 | 9.52 | 19.05 | 0.00 | 19.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 15.79 | 36.84 | 42.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 5.26 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 61.11 | 22.22 | 5.56 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.56 | 0.00 | 5.56 |
| TENNESSEE | 34.07 | 20.88 | 35.16 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.69 |
| TEXAS | 20.65 | 40.76 | 29.89 | 2.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.52 |
| UTAH | 30.00 | 31.54 | 35.38 | 3.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VERMONT | 73.33 | 6.67 | 6.67 | 0.00 | 6.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.67 |
| VIRGINIA | 31.91 | 35.11 | 27.66 | 0.00 | 1.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.26 |
| WASHINGTON | 43.33 | 33.33 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 1.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.67 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 63.89 | 22.22 | 8.33 | 2.78 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.78 |
| WISCONSIN | 23.40 | 42.55 | 31.91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.06 | 0.00 | 1.06 |
| WYOMING | 17.07 | 48.78 | 21.95 | 0.00 | 2.44 | 9.76 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GUAM | 50.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |
| PALAU |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 25.00 | 25.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| U.S. And OUTLYing areas | 29.78 | 25.85 | 27.68 | 2.51 | 9.35 | 0.43 | 1.74 | 2.67 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 29.77 | 25.84 | 27.67 | 2.51 | 9.37 | 0.43 | 1.74 | 2.67 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB6

## Number of Children Ages 18-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | SEPAR CLASS | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 1,176 | 2,664 | 1,016 | 194 | 14 | 103 | 12 | 47 |
| ALASKA | 231 | 146 | 202 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| ARIZONA | 558 | 942 | 1,194 | 114 | 78 | 46 | 8 | 25 |
| ARKANSAS | 674 | 1,124 | 391 | 19 | 22 | 0 | 80 | 26 |
| CALIFORNIA | 6,046 | 5,432 | 7,551 | 1,072 | 918 | 168 | 67 | 453 |
| COLORADO | 1,348 | 553 | 660 | 181 | 9 | 98 | 17 | 30 |
| CONNECTICUT | 1,474 | 739 | 819 | 158 | 150 | 5 | 58 | 14 |
| DELAWARE | 214 | 345 | 75 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 89 | 196 | 129 | 104 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 471 | 434 | 1,085 | 663 | 68 | 57 | 0 | 55 |
| GEORGIA | 900 | 1,393 | 1,922 | 84 | 1 | 240 | 11 | 5 |
| HAWAII | 241 | 311 | 380 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 26 |
| IDAHO | 315 | 208 | 180 | 6 | 11 | 16 | 0 | 9 |
| ILLINOIS | 934 | 3,669 | 3,139 | 1,286 | 708 | 250 | 144 | 124 |
| INDIANA | 2,501 | 1,170 | 1,656 | 638 | 2 | 55 | 29 | 31 |
| IOWA | 1,713 | 779 | 239 | 225 | . | 108 | 50 | 3 |
| KANSAS | 743 | 647 | 480 | 114 | 17 | 48 | 15 | 20 |
| KENTUCKY | 730 | 1,528 | 849 | 17 | 9 | 52 | 2 | 22 |
| LOUISIANA | 1,203 | 772 | 2,325 | 95 | 21 | 244 | 8 | 60 |
| MAINE | 626 | 443 | 235 | 14 | 22 | 2 | 14 | 7 |
| MARYLAND | 894 | 991 | 1,009 | 553 | 244 | 65 | 101 | 28 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 3,567 | 1,018 | 1,612 | 387 | 782 | . | 321 | 142 |
| MICHIGAN | 2,873 | 2,335 | 2,238 | 1,475 |  | 45 | 1 | 45 |
| MINNESOTA | 1,171 | 808 | 872 | 906 | 15 | 48 | 7 | 14 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 335 | 1,336 | 939 | 52 | 0 | 80 | 4 | 42 |
| MISSOURI | 2,016 | 1,636 | 1,290 | 355 | 41 | 104 | 25 | 40 |
| MONTANA | 314 | 253 | 169 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 8 |
| NEBRASKA | 568 | 398 | 479 | 29 | 13 | 17 | 9 | 9 |
| NEVADA | 228 | 337 | 220 | 97 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 1 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 665 | 247 | 138 | 16 | 44 | 2 | 28 | 20 |
| NEW JERSEY | 2,060 | 2,661 | 1,259 | 1,054 | 1,107 | 184 | 50 | 166 |
| NEW MEXICO | 376 | 526 | 800 | , 1 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 13 |
| NEW YORK | 7,392 | 2,134 | 7,867 | 3,823 | 840 | 104 | 382 | 340 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 1,362 | 1,433 | 1,307 | 284 | 38 | 105 | 31 | 31 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 1,370 | +129 | +102 | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ | 0 | 6 | 6 | 4 |
| OHIO | 5,305 | 3,097 | 2,735 | 365 | 0 | 295 | 0 | 287 |
| OKLAHOMA | 1,375 | 1,214 | 515 | 74 | 6 | 42 | 3 | 26 |
| OREGON | 1,128 | 465 | 380 | 46 | 33 | 48 | 2 | 29 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 3,289 | 3,579 | 2,917 | 631 | 398 | 98 | 128 | 61 |
| PUERTO RICO | 152 | 838 | 1,221 | 521 | 132 | 53 | 6 | 276 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 472 | 222 | 317 | 22 | 75 | 117 | 38 | 21 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 422 | 1,332 | 1,081 | 166 | 1 | . | 4 | 22 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 249 | 164 | 80 | 14 | 41 | 29 | 55 | 1 |
| TENNESSEE | 1,903 | 2,180 | 1,671 | 187 | 130 | 49 | 21 | 188 |
| TEXAS | 2,085 | 5,771 | 10,145 | 743 | 23 | 284 | 6 | 323 |
| UTAH | 364 | 303 | 650 | 503 | 0 | 146 | 0 | 16 |
| VERMONT | 335 | 53 | 77 | 11 | 9 | 3 | 17 | 8 |
| VIRGINIA | 1,665 | 2,123 | 1,734 | 102 | 66 | 305 | 50 | 42 |
| WASHINGTON | 1,566 | 1,400 | 1,290 | 137 | 13 | 53 | 4 | 28 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | , 616 | 1,189 | , 483 | 31 | 0 | 37 | 1 | 11 |
| WISCONSIN | 1,200 | 1,913 | 1,546 | 133 | 4 | 54 | 2 | 25 |
| WYOMING | 191 | 178 | 97 | 3 | 0 | 17 | 12 | 5 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 31 | 26 | 56 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 13 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 12 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 107 | 181 | 63 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 68,862 | 65,970 | 71,960 | 17,797 | 6,213 | 3,921 | 1,848 | 3,241 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 68,695 | 65,758 | 71,767 | 17,778 | 6,213 | 3,920 | 1,841 | 3,234 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB6

## Percentage of Children Ages 18-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | -PERCENTAGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE | PUBLIC | PRIVATE | HOME |
|  | REGULAR | RESOURCE | SEPAR | SEPAR | SEPAR | RESID | RESID | HOSP |
|  | CLASS | ROOM | CLASS | FACIL | FACIL | FACIL | FACIL | ENVIR |
| ALABAMA | 22.50 | 50.98 | 19.44 | 3.71 | 0.27 | 1.97 | 0.23 | 0.90 |
| ALASKA | 39.55 | 25.00 | 34.59 | 0.51 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.17 |
| ARIZONA | 18.82 | 31.77 | 40.27 | 3.84 | 2.63 | 1.55 | 0.27 | 0.84 |
| ARKANSAS | 28.85 | 48.12 | 16.74 | 0.81 | 0.94 | 0.00 | 3.42 | 1.11 |
| CALIFORNIA | 27.85 | 25.02 | 34.79 | 4.94 | 4.23 | 0.77 | 0.31 | 2.09 |
| COLORADO | 46.55 | 19.10 | 22.79 | 6.25 | 0.31 | 3.38 | 0.59 | 1.04 |
| CONNECTICUT | 43.14 | 21.63 | 23.97 | 4.62 | 4.39 | 0.15 | 1.70 | 0.41 |
| DELAWARE | 30.84 | 49.71 | 10.81 | 7.64 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | 0.58 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 14.26 | 31.41 | 20.67 | 16.67 | 16.99 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 16.63 | 15.32 | 38.30 | 23.40 | 2.40 | 2.01 | 0.00 | 1.94 |
| GEORGIA | 19.75 | 30.58 | 42.19 | 1.84 | 0.02 | 5.27 | 0.24 | 0.11 |
| HAWAII | 24.85 | 32.06 | 39.18 | 0.72 | 0.21 | 0.10 | 0.21 | 2.68 |
| IDAHO | 42.28 | 27.92 | 24.16 | 0.81 | 1.48 | 2.15 | 0.00 | 1.21 |
| ILLINOIS | 9.11 | 35.78 | 30.61 | 12.54 | 6.90 | 2.44 | 1.40 | 1.21 |
| INDIANA | 41.12 | 19.24 | 27.23 | 10.49 | 0.03 | 0.90 | 0.48 | 0.51 |
| IOWA | 54.96 | 24.99 | 7.67 | 7.22 |  | 3.46 | 1.60 | 0.10 |
| KANSAS | 35.65 | 31.05 | 23.03 | 5.47 | 0.82 | 2.30 | 0.72 | 0.96 |
| KENTUCKY | 22.75 | 47.62 | 26.46 | 0.53 | 0.28 | 1.62 | 0.06 | 0.69 |
| LOUISIANA | 25.44 | 16.33 | 49.18 | 2.01 | 0.44 | 5.16 | 0.17 | 1.27 |
| MAINE | 45.93 | 32.50 | 17.24 | 1.03 | 1.61 | 0.15 | 1.03 | 0.51 |
| MARYLAND | 23.01 | 25.51 | 25.97 | 14.23 | 6.28 | 1.67 | 2.60 | 0.72 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 45.56 | 13.00 | 20.59 | 4.94 | 9.99 |  | 4.10 | 1.81 |
| MICHIGAN | 31.88 | 25.91 | 24.83 | 16.37 |  | 0.50 | 0.01 | 0.50 |
| MINNESOTA | 30.49 | 21.04 | 22.70 | 23.59 | 0.39 | 1.25 | 0.18 | 0.36 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 12.02 | 47.92 | 33.68 | 1.87 | 0.00 | 2.87 | 0.14 | 1.51 |
| MISSOURI | 36.61 | 29.71 | 23.42 | 6.45 | 0.74 | 1.89 | 0.45 | 0.73 |
| montana | 41.26 | 33.25 | 22.21 | 1.05 | 0.00 | 1.05 | 0.13 | 1.05 |
| NEBRASKA | 37.32 | 26.15 | 31.47 | 1.91 | 0.85 | 1.12 | 0.59 | 0.59 |
| NEVADA | 25.62 | 37.87 | 24.72 | 10.90 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.56 | 0.11 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 57.33 | 21.29 | 11.90 | 1.38 | 3.79 | 0.17 | 2.41 | 1.72 |
| NEW JERSEY | 24.12 | 31.16 | 14.74 | 12.34 | 12.96 | 2.15 | 0.59 | 1.94 |
| NEW MEXICO | 21.57 | 30.18 | 45.90 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 1.55 | 0.00 | 0.75 |
| NEW YORK | 32.30 | 9.33 | 34.38 | 16.71 | 3.67 | 0.45 | 1.67 | 1.49 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 29.67 | 31.21 | 28.47 | 6.19 | 0.83 | 2.29 | 0.68 | 0.68 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 59.77 | 20.84 | 16.48 | 0.32 | 0.00 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.65 |
| OHIO | 43.90 | 25.63 | 22.63 | 3.02 | 0.00 | 2.44 | 0.00 | 2.38 |
| OKLAHOMA | 42.24 | 37.30 | 15.82 | 2.27 | 0.18 | 1.29 | 0.09 | 0.80 |
| OREGON | 52.93 | 21.82 | 17.83 | 2.16 | 1.55 | 2.25 | 0.09 | 1.36 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 29.63 | 32.24 | 26.28 | 5.68 | 3.59 | 0.88 | 1.15 | 0.55 |
| PUERTO RICO | 4.75 | 26.20 | 38.17 | 16.29 | 4.13 | 1.66 | 0.19 | 8.63 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 36.76 | 17.29 | 24.69 | 1.71 | 5.84 | 9.11 | 2.96 | 1.64 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 13.94 | 43.99 | 35.70 | 5.48 | 0.03 |  | 0.13 | 0.73 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 39.34 | 25.91 | 12.64 | 2.21 | 6.48 | 4.58 | 8.69 | 0.16 |
| TENNESSEE | 30.07 | 34.44 | 26.40 | 2.95 | 2.05 | 0.77 | 0.33 | 2.97 |
| TEXAS | 10.76 | 29.78 | 52.35 | 3.83 | 0.12 | 1.47 | 0.03 | 1.67 |
| UTAH | 18.37 | 15.29 | 32.80 | 25.38 | 0.00 | 7.37 | 0.00 | 0.81 |
| VERMONT | 65.30 | 10.33 | 15.01 | 2.14 | 1.75 | 0.58 | 3.31 | 1.56 |
| VIRGINIA | 27.35 | 34.88 | 28.49 | 1.68 | 1.08 | 5.01 | 0.82 | 0.69 |
| WASHINGTON | 34.87 | 31.17 | 28.72 | 3.05 | 0.29 | 1.18 | 0.09 | 0.62 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 26.01 | 50.21 | 20.40 | 1.31 | 0.00 | 1.56 | 0.04 | 0.46 |
| WISCONSIN | 24.61 | 39.22 | 31.70 | 2.73 | 0.08 | 1.11 | 0.04 | 0.51 |
| WYOMING | 37.97 | 35.39 | 19.28 | 0.60 | 0.00 | 3.38 | 2.39 | 0.99 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 40.00 | 0.00 | 60.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| GUAM | 26.05 | 21.85 | 47.06 | 4.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.84 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 59.09 | 22.73 | 18.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PALAU | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.00 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 14.81 | 0.00 | 77.78 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.70 | 3.70 |
| bur. Of INDIAN AFFAIRS | 28.76 | 48.66 | 16.94 | 3.76 | 0.00 | 0.27 | 0.81 | 0.81 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 28.71 | 27.51 | 30.01 | 7.42 | 2.59 | 1.64 | 0.77 | 1.35 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 28.72 | 27.49 | 30.00 | 7.43 | 2.60 | 1.64 | 0.77 | 1.35 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB6

## Number of Children Ages 18-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | SEPAR CLASS | $\begin{gathered} --- \text { NUMB } \\ \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL | PUBLIC RESID FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOME } \\ & \text { HOSP } \\ & \text { ENVVR } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 894 | 1,369 | 79 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| ALASKA | 190 | 124 | 51 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 426 | 720 | 419 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| ARKANSAS | 548 | 721 | 76 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| CALIFORNIA | 4,814 | 4,145 | 2,377 | 18 | 128 | 0 | 8 | 110 |
| COLORADO | 911 | 387 | 154 | 28 | 0 | 48 | 2 | 4 |
| CONNECTICUT | 966 | 435 | 161 | 12 | 39 | 1 | 5 | 4 |
| DELAWARE | 96 | 238 | 24 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 70 | 173 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 320 | 284 | 423 | 5 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| GEORGIA | 592 | 572 | 149 | 1 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 |
| HAWAII | 161 | 188 | 98 | 0 |  |  |  | 4 |
| IDAHO | 240 | 122 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| ILLINOIS | 627 | 2,960 | 1,030 | 81 | 22 | 55 | 6 | 9 |
| INDIANA | 2,074 | 822 | 332 | 27 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 11 |
| IOWA | 847 | 386 | 117 | 81 |  | 29 | 6 | 1 |
| KANSAS | 545 | 401 | 78 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 |
| KENTUCKY | 457 | 770 | 64 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| LOUISIANA | 1,027 | 551 | 813 | 1 | 3 | 25 | 0 | 18 |
| MAINE | 400 | 254 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| MARYLAND | 681 | 689 | 406 | 36 | 16 | 5 | 3 | 9 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 2,446 | 728 | 604 | 65 | 124 |  | 33 | 8 |
| MICHIGAN | 2,072 | 1,500 | 576 | 63 |  | i | 0 | 9 |
| MINNESOTA | 716 | 319 | 76 | 163 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 290 | 1,083 | 443 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| MISSOURI | 1,472 | 1,282 | 324 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 10 |
| MONTANA | 235 | 201 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| NEBRASKA | 391 | 208 | 48 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| NEVADA | 179 | 273 | 72 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 467 | 129 | 48 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 3 |
| NEW JERSEY | 1,672 | 2,092 | 636 | 155 | 208 | 0 | 9 | 55 |
| NEW MEXICO | 259 | 384 | 335 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| NEW YORK | 6,180 | 1,496 | 5,163 | 637 | 60 | 3 | 20 | 30 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 966 | 777 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 290 | 45 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OHIO | 4,084 | 678 | 199 | 21 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 13 |
| OKLAHOMA | 1,184 | 791 | 70 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| OREGON | 756 | 284 | 50 | 21 | 11 | 6 | 0 | 7 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 2,652 | 2,325 | 791 | 14 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 4 |
| PUERTO RICO | 51 | 472 | 166 | 71 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 4 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 402 | 178 | 152 | 8 | 13 | 3 | 5 | 4 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 267 | 845 | 158 | 0 | 1 | . | 0 | 6 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 207 | 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 1,484 | 1,474 | 424 | 4 | 21 | 0 | 6 | 61 |
| TEXAS | 1,698 | 4,710 | 4,665 | 41 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| UTAH | 256 | 226 | 207 | 40 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 7 |
| VERMONT | 170 | 12 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| VIRGINIA | 1,321 | 1,365 | 374 | 3 | 8 | 70 | 3 | 10 |
| WASHINGTON | 1,042 | 850 | 275 | 47 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 4 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 514 | 797 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 2 |
| WISCONSIN | 813 | 1,218 | 221 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| WYOMING | 140 | 106 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 25 | 23 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 13 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| bur. Of INDIAN AFFAIRS | 80 | 146 | 35 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 51,692 | 43,422 | 23,284 | 1,709 | 734 | 473 | 133 | 516 |
| 50 StATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 51,562 | 43,249 | 23,215 | 1,706 | 734 | 473 | 131 | 516 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB6

## Percentage of Children Ages 18-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RESOURCE } \\ \text { ROOM } \end{array}$ | SEPAR CLASS | P | GE- | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALABAMA | 38.03 | 58.23 | 3.36 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.21 |
| ALASKA | 51.77 | 33.79 | 13.90 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.27 | 0.00 |
| ARIZONA | 27.12 | 45.83 | 26.67 | 0.32 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 |
| ARKANSAS | 40.35 | 53.09 | 5.60 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.88 |
| CALIFORNIA | 41.50 | 35.73 | 20.49 | 0.16 | 1.10 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.95 |
| COLORADO | 59.39 | 25.23 | 10.04 | 1.83 | 0.00 | 3.13 | 0.13 | 0.26 |
| CONNECTICUT | 59.52 | 26.80 | 9.92 | 0.74 | 2.40 | 0.06 | 0.31 | 0.25 |
| DELAWARE | 26.30 | 65.21 | 6.58 | 1.92 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 26.52 | 65.53 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.95 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 30.45 | 27.02 | 40.25 | 0.48 | 1.43 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.19 |
| GEORGIA | 44.25 | 42.75 | 11.14 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 1.79 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| HAWAII | 35.70 | 41.69 | 21.73 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.89 |
| IDAHO | 63.66 | 32.36 | 3.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.53 |
| ILLINOIS | 13.09 | 61.80 | 21.50 | 1.69 | 0.46 | 1.15 | 0.13 | 0.19 |
| INDIANA | 63.41 | 25.13 | 10.15 | 0.83 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.34 |
| IOWA | 57.74 | 26.31 | 7.98 | 5.52 |  | 1.98 | 0.41 | 0.07 |
| KANSAS | 52.50 | 38.63 | 7.51 | 0.39 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.67 |
| KENTUCKY | 35.21 | 59.32 | 4.93 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 |
| LOUISIANA | 42.12 | 22.60 | 33.35 | 0.04 | 0.12 | 1.03 | 0.00 | 0.74 |
| MAINE | 59.00 | 37.46 | 3.10 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.15 |
| MARYLAND | 36.91 | 37.34 | 22.01 | 1.95 | 0.87 | 0.27 | 0.16 | 0.49 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 61.03 | 18.16 | 15.07 | 1.62 | 3.09 |  | 0.82 | 0.20 |
| MICHIGAN | 49.09 | 35.54 | 13.65 | 1.49 |  | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.21 |
| MINNESOTA | 55.76 | 24.84 | 5.92 | 12.69 | 0.31 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 15.73 | 58.73 | 24.02 | 0.70 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.81 |
| MISSOURI | 47.47 | 41.34 | 10.45 | 0.03 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.32 |
| MONTANA | 48.86 | 41.79 | 9.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 |
| NEBRASKA | 59.88 | 31.85 | 7.35 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.31 | 0.15 |
| NEVADA | 33.40 | 50.93 | 13.43 | 1.87 | 0.00 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 69.81 | 19.28 | 7.17 | 0.30 | 1.49 | 0.00 | 1.49 | 0.45 |
| NEW JERSEY | 34.64 | 43.34 | 13.18 | 3.21 | 4.31 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 1.14 |
| NEW MEXICO | 26.37 | 39.10 | 34.11 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.31 |
| NEW YORK | 45.48 | 11.01 | 37.99 | 4.69 | 0.44 | 0.02 | 0.15 | 0.22 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 51.91 | 41.75 | 6.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.16 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 86.05 | 13.35 | 0.59 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| OHIO | 80.16 | 13.31 | 3.91 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 1.96 | 0.00 | 0.26 |
| OKLAHOMA | 57.64 | 38.51 | 3.41 | 0.19 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.10 |
| OREGON | 66.61 | 25.02 | 4.41 | 1.85 | 0.97 | 0.53 | 0.00 | 0.62 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 45.54 | 39.92 | 13.58 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.07 |
| PUERTO RICO | 6.53 | 60.44 | 21.25 | 9.09 | 1.28 | 0.90 | 0.00 | 0.51 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 52.55 | 23.27 | 19.87 | 1.05 | 1.70 | 0.39 | 0.65 | 0.52 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 20.91 | 66.17 | 12.37 | 0.00 | 0.08 |  | 0.00 | 0.47 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 67.21 | 29.22 | 3.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| TENNESSEE | 42.72 | 42.43 | 12.20 | 0.12 | 0.60 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 1.76 |
| TEXAS | 15.22 | 42.21 | 41.80 | 0.37 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 |
| UTAH | 33.77 | 29.82 | 27.31 | 5.28 | 0.00 | 2.90 | 0.00 | 0.92 |
| VERMONT | 85.43 | 6.03 | 5.53 | 0.00 | 1.01 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 1.01 |
| VIRGINIA | 41.88 | 43.28 | 11.86 | 0.10 | 0.25 | 2.22 | 0.10 | 0.32 |
| WASHINGTON | 46.79 | 38.17 | 12.35 | 2.11 | 0.00 | 0.36 | 0.04 | 0.18 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 37.44 | 58.05 | 3.79 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.58 | 0.00 | 0.15 |
| WISCONSIN | 35.88 | 53.75 | 9.75 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.13 |
| WYOMING | 52.04 | 39.41 | 7.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.12 | 0.00 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| GUAM | 32.05 | 29.49 | 37.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.28 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 72.22 | 22.22 | 5.56 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PALAU | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 72.73 | 0.00 | 27.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 30.19 | 55.09 | 13.21 | 1.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 | 0.00 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 42.38 | 35.60 | 19.09 | 1.40 | 0.60 | 0.39 | 0.11 | 0.42 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 42.41 | 35.57 | 19.09 | 1.40 | 0.60 | 0.39 | 0.11 | 0.42 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB6

## Number of Children Ages 18-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | SEPAR CLASS | PUBLIC SEPAR FACIL | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 22 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| ALASKA | 4 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 13 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARKANSAS | 12 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CALIFORNIA | 443 | 156 | 104 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| COLORADO | 54 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| CONNECTICUT | 33 | 16 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 44 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GEORGIA | 18 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HAWAII | 9 | 1 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  | 1 |
| IDAHO | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ILlinois | 101 | 11 | 19 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| INDIANA | 30 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| IOWA | 25 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KENTUCKY | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LOUISIANA | 28 | 15 | 34 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| MAINE | 54 | 21 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MARYLAND | 58 | 77 | 56 | 17 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 828 | 68 | 126 | 7 | 18 |  | 13 | 6 |
| MICHIGAN | 55 | 19 | 5 | 5 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MINNESOTA | 29 | 13 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 21 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSOURI | 219 | 20 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| MONTANA | 13 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 36 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| NEVADA | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 46 | 30 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| NEW JERSEY | 128 | 38 | 14 | 3 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW MEXICO | 44 | 57 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| NEW YORK | 132 | 45 | 79 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 75 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 20 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OHIO | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| OKLAHOMA | 11 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OREGON | 71 | 28 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 77 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PUERTO RICO | 10 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 17 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 24 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 101 | 55 | 40 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| TEXAS | 57 | 26 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UTAH | 9 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 19 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 28 | 21 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| WASHINGTON | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WISCONSIN | 77 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WYOMING | 20 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 7 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 3,251 | 869 | 669 | 83 | 82 | 9 | 19 | 29 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 3,243 | 863 | 669 | 83 | 82 | 9 | 19 | 28 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB6

## Percentage of Children Ages 18-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | -PERCENTAGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE | PUBLIC | PRIVATE | HOME |
|  | REGULAR | RESOURCE | SEPAR | SEPAR | SEPAR | RESID | RESID | HOSP |
|  | CLASS | ROOM | CLASS | FACIL | FACIL | FACIL | FACIL | ENVIR |
| ALABAMA | 78.57 | 10.71 | 3.57 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.14 |
| ALASKA | 36.36 | 18.18 | 36.36 | 9.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARIZONA | 59.09 | 27.27 | 13.64 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARKANSAS | 70.59 | 23.53 | 5.88 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| CALIFORNIA | 62.04 | 21.85 | 14.57 | 0.42 | 0.56 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.56 |
| COLORADO | 80.60 | 7.46 | 7.46 | 2.99 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.49 |
| CONNECTICUT | 53.23 | 25.81 | 12.90 | 3.23 | 4.84 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| DELAWARE | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 73.33 | 16.67 | 6.67 | 0.00 | 3.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| GEORGIA | 85.71 | 9.52 | 4.76 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| HAWAII | 69.23 | 7.69 | 15.38 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 7.69 |
| IDAHO | 77.78 | 22.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ILLINOIS | 74.26 | 8.09 | 13.97 | 2.21 | 1.47 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| INDIANA | 85.71 | 5.71 | 0.00 | 2.86 | 0.00 | 5.71 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| IOWA | 62.50 | 27.50 | 7.50 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 2.50 | 0.00 |
| KANSAS | 90.91 | 9.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| KENTUCKY | 90.91 | 9.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| LOUISIANA | 35.44 | 18.99 | 43.04 | 0.00 | 1.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.27 |
| MAINE | 68.35 | 26.58 | 5.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MARYLAND | 27.10 | 35.98 | 26.17 | 7.94 | 2.34 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 77.67 | 6.38 | 11.82 | 0.66 | 1.69 |  | 1.22 | 0.56 |
| MICHIGAN | 65.48 | 22.62 | 5.95 | 5.95 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MINNESOTA | 59.18 | 26.53 | 2.04 | 8.16 | 2.04 | 0.00 | 2.04 | 0.00 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 56.76 | 24.32 | 18.92 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MISSOURI | 86.22 | 7.87 | 3.94 | 0.00 | 1.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.79 |
| montana | 86.67 | 6.67 | 6.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEBRASKA | 75.00 | 14.58 | 6.25 | 0.00 | 2.08 | 2.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEVADA | 25.00 | 50.00 | 25.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 51.11 | 33.33 | 10.00 | 1.11 | 0.00 | 1.11 | 2.22 | 1.11 |
| NEW JERSEY | 58.45 | 17.35 | 6.39 | 1.37 | 16.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW MEXICO | 26.67 | 34.55 | 37.58 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.21 |
| NEW YORK | 45.83 | 15.63 | 27.43 | 10.07 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.35 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 94.94 | 2.53 | 2.53 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 86.96 | 13.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| OHIO | 95.45 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.55 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| OKLAHOMA | 68.75 | 25.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| OREGON | 61.74 | 24.35 | 10.43 | 1.74 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.74 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 91.67 | 3.57 | 4.76 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PUERTO RICO | 40.00 | 36.00 | 8.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 51.52 | 42.42 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 88.89 | 11.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 87.50 | 12.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| TENNESSEE | 50.50 | 27.50 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| TEXAS | 51.35 | 23.42 | 24.32 | 0.90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| UTAH | 42.86 | 28.57 | 23.81 | 4.76 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VERMONT | 63.33 | 20.00 | 16.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VIRGINIA | 52.83 | 39.62 | 5.66 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.89 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WASHINGTON | 85.71 | 7.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.14 | 0.00 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 86.67 | 13.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WISCONSIN | 89.53 | 10.47 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WYOMING | 54.05 | 40.54 | 5.41 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | . | . |  | . | . | . |  |  |
| GUAM |  | . |  |  | . |  |  |  |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | - | . | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| PALAU |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 50.00 | 42.86 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.14 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 64.88 | 17.34 | 13.35 | 1.66 | 1.64 | 0.18 | 0.38 | 0.58 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 64.91 | 17.27 | 13.39 | 1.66 | 1.64 | 0.18 | 0.38 | 0.56 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB6

## Number of Children Ages 18-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | SEPAR CLASS | PUBLIC SEPAR FACIL | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | PRIVATE RESID FACIL | $\begin{array}{r} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 142 | 1,156 | 769 | 152 | 6 | 14 | 0 | 19 |
| ALASKA | 9 | 12 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| ARIZONA | 30 | 86 | 511 | 54 | 19 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| ARKANSAS | 86 | 347 | 260 | 5 | 16 | 0 | 53 | 7 |
| CALIFORNIA | 106 | 571 | 2,934 | 634 | 132 | 0 | 4 | 184 |
| COLORADO | 80 | 68 | 214 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| CONNECTICUT | 21 | 108 | 285 | 57 | 23 | 0 | 7 | 1 |
| DELAWARE | 11 | 59 | 46 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 12 | 10 | 99 | 60 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 70 | 61 | 411 | 569 | 41 | 43 | 0 | 10 |
| GEORGIA | 97 | 529 | 1,498 | 35 | 1 | 144 | 6 | 3 |
| HAWAII | 10 | 54 | 162 | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |
| IDAHO | 35 | 65 | 125 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| ILLINOIS | 63 | 218 | 1,486 | 731 | 303 | 20 | 54 | 1 |
| INDIANA | 150 | 263 | 1,038 | 424 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 7 |
| IOWA | 551 | 251 | 77 | 101 |  | 9 | 15 | 2 |
| KANSAS | 33 | 125 | 290 | 37 | 11 | 8 | 12 | 2 |
| KENTUCKY | 192 | 630 | 605 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 13 |
| LOUISIANA | 14 | 100 | 1,046 | 72 | 14 | 118 | 7 | 19 |
| MAINE | 14 | 53 | 91 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| MARYLAND | 22 | 89 | 273 | 255 | 42 | 3 | 9 | 1 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 112 | 136 | 457 | 31 | 64 |  | 41 | 6 |
| MICHIGAN | 206 | 357 | 1,112 | 896 |  | 2 | 1 | 10 |
| MINNESOTA | 118 | 259 | 687 | 433 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 6 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 10 | 207 | 401 | 20 | 0 | 36 | 1 | 11 |
| MISSOURI | 125 | 157 | 736 | 319 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 17 |
| MONTANA | 17 | 30 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 67 | 115 | 290 | 18 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 3 |
| NEVADA | 10 | 28 | 103 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 39 | 34 | 44 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| NEW JERSEY | 7 | 116 | 277 | 232 | 174 | 45 | 7 | 12 |
| NEW MEXICO | 20 | 30 | 229 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| NEW YORK | 184 | 199 | 966 | 1,571 | 99 | 2 | 28 | 13 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 101 | 481 | 919 | 210 | 26 | 20 | 7 | 11 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 17 | 60 | 93 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| OHIO | 814 | 1,888 | 788 | 33 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 24 |
| OKLAHOMA | 111 | 347 | 285 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| OREGON | 112 | 92 | 262 | 15 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 196 | 844 | 1,543 | 424 | 33 | 9 | 18 | 13 |
| PUERTO RICO | 62 | 272 | 914 | 387 | 97 | 40 | 1 | 81 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 0 | 5 | 117 | 1 | 25 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 80 | 325 | 806 | 127 | 0 | . | 2 | 12 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 16 | 53 | 52 | 6 | 28 | 7 | 28 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 94 | 521 | 864 | 113 | 50 | 1 | 7 | 3 |
| TEXAS | 26 | 293 | 3,196 | 366 | 11 | 116 | 1 | 43 |
| UTAH | 30 | 30 | 248 | 199 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 3 |
| VERMONT | 66 | 18 | 47 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| VIRGINIA | 54 | 451 | 1,009 | 46 | 7 | 53 | 13 | 7 |
| WASHINGTON | 119 | 214 | 469 | 42 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 40 | 327 | 385 | 23 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 |
| WISCONSIN | 53 | 261 | 957 | 60 | 1 | 20 | 2 | 7 |
| WYOMING | 2 | 25 | 57 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 2 | 1 | 23 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 2 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 2 | 15 | 13 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 4,662 | 13,047 | 30,754 | 8,897 | 1,321 | 873 | 353 | 581 |
| 50 StATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 4,656 | 13,030 | 30,672 | 8,887 | 1,321 | 873 | 353 | 581 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

Table AB6

## Percentage of Children Ages 18-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | MENTAL RETARDATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | REGULARCLASS | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RESOURCE } \\ \text { ROOM } \end{array}$ | SEPAR <br> CLASS | PERC | AGE- | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALABAMA | 6.29 | 51.20 | 34.06 | 6.73 | 0.27 | 0.62 | 0.00 | 0.84 |
| ALASKA | 10.23 | 13.64 | 75.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.14 |
| ARIZONA | 4.26 | 12.22 | 72.59 | 7.67 | 2.70 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.28 |
| ARKANSAS | 11.11 | 44.83 | 33.59 | 0.65 | 2.07 | 0.00 | 6.85 | 0.90 |
| CALIFORNIA | 2.32 | 12.51 | 64.27 | 13.89 | 2.89 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 4.03 |
| COLORADO | 21.39 | 18.18 | 57.22 | 1.07 | 1.07 | 0.80 | 0.00 | 0.27 |
| CONNECTICUT | 4.18 | 21.51 | 56.77 | 11.35 | 4.58 | 0.00 | 1.39 | 0.20 |
| DELAWARE | 7.24 | 38.82 | 30.26 | 22.37 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.32 | 0.00 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 5.31 | 4.42 | 43.81 | 26.55 | 19.91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 5.81 | 5.06 | 34.11 | 47.22 | 3.40 | 3.57 | 0.00 | 0.83 |
| GEORGIA | 4.19 | 22.87 | 64.76 | 1.51 | 0.04 | 6.23 | 0.26 | 0.13 |
| HAWAII | 4.35 | 23.48 | 70.43 | 0.87 |  |  | 0.87 |  |
| IDAHO | 14.52 | 26.97 | 51.87 | 1.66 | 3.32 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.66 |
| ILLINOIS | 2.19 | 7.58 | 51.67 | 25.42 | 10.54 | 0.70 | 1.88 | 0.03 |
| INDIANA | 7.94 | 13.92 | 54.92 | 22.43 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.11 | 0.37 |
| IOWA | 54.77 | 24.95 | 7.65 | 10.04 |  | 0.89 | 1.49 | 0.20 |
| KANSAS | 6.37 | 24.13 | 55.98 | 7.14 | 2.12 | 1.54 | 2.32 | 0.39 |
| KENTUCKY | 13.24 | 43.45 | 41.72 | 0.28 | 0.34 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.90 |
| LOUISIANA | 1.01 | 7.19 | 75.25 | 5.18 | 1.01 | 8.49 | 0.50 | 1.37 |
| MAINE | 8.33 | 31.55 | 54.17 | 1.19 | 3.57 | 0.00 | 0.60 | 0.60 |
| MARYLAND | 3.17 | 12.82 | 39.34 | 36.74 | 6.05 | 0.43 | 1.30 | 0.14 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 13.22 | 16.06 | 53.96 | 3.66 | 7.56 |  | 4.84 | 0.71 |
| MICHIGAN | 7.97 | 13.82 | 43.03 | 34.67 |  | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.39 |
| MINNESOTA | 7.80 | 17.12 | 45.41 | 28.62 | 0.20 | 0.40 | 0.07 | 0.40 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 1.46 | 30.17 | 58.45 | 2.92 | 0.00 | 5.25 | 0.15 | 1.60 |
| MISSOURI | 9.12 | 11.45 | 53.68 | 23.27 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.22 | 1.24 |
| MONTANA | 14.17 | 25.00 | 60.83 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEBRASKA | 13.14 | 22.55 | 56.86 | 3.53 | 0.78 | 1.76 | 0.78 | 0.59 |
| NEVADA | 5.29 | 14.81 | 54.50 | 24.87 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.53 | 0.00 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 29.10 | 25.37 | 32.84 | 2.99 | 5.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.48 |
| NEW JERSEY | 0.80 | 13.33 | 31.84 | 26.67 | 20.00 | 5.17 | 0.80 | 1.38 |
| NEW MEXICO | 7.14 | 10.71 | 81.79 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.36 |
| NEW YORK | 6.01 | 6.50 | 31.55 | 51.31 | 3.23 | 0.07 | 0.91 | 0.42 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 5.69 | 27.10 | 51.77 | 11.83 | 1.46 | 1.13 | 0.39 | 0.62 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 9.60 | 33.90 | 52.54 | 0.56 | 0.00 | 1.69 | 0.56 | 1.13 |
| OHIO | 22.32 | 51.77 | 21.61 | 0.90 | 0.00 | 2.74 | 0.00 | 0.66 |
| OKLAHOMA | 14.51 | 45.36 | 37.25 | 2.35 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.26 |
| OREGON | 22.67 | 18.62 | 53.04 | 3.04 | 0.61 | 0.81 | 0.20 | 1.01 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 6.36 | 27.40 | 50.10 | 13.77 | 1.07 | 0.29 | 0.58 | 0.42 |
| PUERTO RICO | 3.34 | 14.67 | 49.30 | 20.87 | 5.23 | 2.16 | 0.05 | 4.37 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 0.00 | 3.27 | 76.47 | 0.65 | 16.34 | 0.00 | 2.61 | 0.65 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 5.92 | 24.04 | 59.62 | 9.39 | 0.00 |  | 0.15 | 0.89 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 8.42 | 27.89 | 27.37 | 3.16 | 14.74 | 3.68 | 14.74 | 0.00 |
| TENNESSEE | 5.69 | 31.52 | 52.27 | 6.84 | 3.02 | 0.06 | 0.42 | 0.18 |
| TEXAS | 0.64 | 7.23 | 78.87 | 9.03 | 0.27 | 2.86 | 0.02 | 1.06 |
| UTAH | 5.81 | 5.81 | 48.06 | 38.57 | 0.00 | 1.16 | 0.00 | 0.58 |
| VERMONT | 45.83 | 12.50 | 32.64 | 4.86 | 1.39 | 0.00 | 2.08 | 0.69 |
| VIRGINIA | 3.29 | 27.50 | 61.52 | 2.80 | 0.43 | 3.23 | 0.79 | 0.43 |
| WASHINGTON | 14.03 | 25.24 | 55.31 | 4.95 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 5.12 | 41.82 | 49.23 | 2.94 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 0.00 | 0.38 |
| WISCONSIN | 3.89 | 19.18 | 70.32 | 4.41 | 0.07 | 1.47 | 0.15 | 0.51 |
| WYOMING | 2.00 | 25.00 | 57.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 12.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| GUAM | 7.14 | 3.57 | 82.14 | 7.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PALAU |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 4.76 | 0.00 | 95.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 5.26 | 39.47 | 34.21 | 21.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 7.71 | 21.57 | 50.84 | 14.71 | 2.18 | 1.44 | 0.58 | 0.96 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 7.71 | 21.58 | 50.80 | 14.72 | 2.19 | 1.45 | 0.58 | 0.96 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB6

## Number of Children Ages 18-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | -NUMBER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEPAR } \\ & \text { CLASS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | PRIVATE SEPAR FACII | PUBLIC <br> RESID <br> FACIL | PRIVATE RESID FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
| ALABAMA | 62 | 86 | 34 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 2 |
| ALASKA | 15 | 2 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 22 | 72 | 89 | 21 | 17 | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| ARKANSAS | 1 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| CALIFORNIA | 182 | 167 | 400 | 36 | 442 | 0 | 44 | 76 |
| COLORADO | 153 | 48 | 58 | 79 | 0 | 26 | 11 | 9 |
| CONNECTICUT | 379 | 130 | 258 | 34 | 46 | 2 | 23 | 4 |
| DELAWARE | 101 | 10 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0 | 9 | 29 | 12 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 7 | 55 | 116 | 30 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 7 |
| GEORGIA | 126 | 215 | 169 | 19 | 0 | 29 | 4 | 1 |
| HAWAII | 42 | 43 | 60 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | 18 |
| IDAHO | 9 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| ILLINOIS | 81 | 351 | 361 | 415 | 343 | 87 | 75 | 5 |
| INDIANA | 136 | 61 | 131 | 31 | 2 | 15 | 14 | 8 |
| IOWA | 184 | 84 | 26 | 16 | 0 | 43 | 20 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 78 | 65 | 29 | 22 | 3 | 19 | 0 | 3 |
| KENTUCKY | 17 | 59 | 42 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| LOUISIANA | 38 | 36 | 120 | 9 | 3 | 25 | 0 | 7 |
| MAINE | 98 | 74 | 40 | 4 | 11 | 0 | 8 | 1 |
| MARYLAND | 70 | 58 | 71 | 51 | 113 | 14 | 26 | 9 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 93 | 51 | 271 | 240 | 392 |  | 80 | 19 |
| MICHIGAN | 262 | 276 | 179 | 104 |  | 8 | 0 | 5 |
| MINNESOTA | 208 | 155 | 45 | 228 | 1 | 13 | 3 | 5 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 0 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| MISSOURI | 90 | 84 | 115 | 3 | 16 | 10 | 11 | 4 |
| MONTANA | 20 | 7 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| NEBRASKA | 26 | 33 | 49 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| NEVADA | 18 | 20 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 63 | 30 | 13 | 0 | 19 | 1 | 7 | 3 |
| NEW JERSEY | 151 | 242 | 172 | 280 | 338 | 28 | 5 | 55 |
| NEW MEXICO | 29 | 23 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| NEW YORK | 543 | 217 | 1,016 | 672 | 165 | 11 | 106 | 240 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 71 | 81 | 61 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 23 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| OHIO | 94 | 148 | 104 | 161 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 38 |
| OKLAHOMA | 17 | 34 | 37 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 |
| OREGON | 91 | 29 | 24 | 7 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 9 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 236 | 341 | 249 | 94 | 162 | 46 | 32 | 34 |
| PUERTO RICO | 3 | 6 | 10 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 35 | 16 | 32 | 1 | 18 | 114 | 23 | 3 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 14 | 81 | 57 | 10 | 0 | . | 2 | 2 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 7 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 48 | 43 | 47 | 7 | 12 | 1 | 8 | 6 |
| TEXAS | 135 | 338 | 715 | 58 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 25 |
| UTAH | 35 | 26 | 48 | 35 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 2 |
| VERMONT | 52 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 4 |
| VIRGINIA | 153 | 195 | 159 | 31 | 42 | 103 | 17 | 17 |
| WASHINGTON | 73 | 79 | 57 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 30 | 34 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 4 |
| WISCONSIN | 190 | 380 | 255 | 47 | 3 | 14 | 0 | 6 |
| WYOMING | 13 | 18 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 10 | 9 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 4,635 | 4,660 | 5,947 | 2,827 | 2,218 | 733 | 548 | 671 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 4,624 | 4,650 | 5,924 | 2,827 | 2,218 | 733 | 545 | 670 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB6

## Percentage of Children Ages 18-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | REGULAR CLASS | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RESOURCE } \\ \text { ROOM } \end{array}$ | SEPAR CLASS | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | PUBLIC <br> RESID <br> FACIL | PRIVATE RESID FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALABAMA | 31.00 | 43.00 | 17.00 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 4.50 | 2.00 | 1.00 |
| ALASKA | 45.45 | 6.06 | 45.45 | 3.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARIZONA | 9.61 | 31.44 | 38.86 | 9.17 | 7.42 | 0.44 | 0.87 | 2.18 |
| ARKANSAS | 5.88 | 35.29 | 41.18 | 0.00 | 11.76 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.88 |
| CALIFORNIA | 13.51 | 12.40 | 29.70 | 2.67 | 32.81 | 0.00 | 3.27 | 5.64 |
| COLORADO | 39.84 | 12.50 | 15.10 | 20.57 | 0.00 | 6.77 | 2.86 | 2.34 |
| CONNECTICUT | 43.26 | 14.84 | 29.45 | 3.88 | 5.25 | 0.23 | 2.63 | 0.46 |
| DELAWARE | 85.59 | 8.47 | 0.00 | 5.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.85 | 0.00 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0.00 | 11.25 | 36.25 | 15.00 | 37.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 3.15 | 24.77 | 52.25 | 13.51 | 1.80 | 1.35 | 0.00 | 3.15 |
| GEORGIA | 22.38 | 38.19 | 30.02 | 3.37 | 0.00 | 5.15 | 0.71 | 0.18 |
| HAWAII | 25.45 | 26.06 | 36.36 | 0.00 | 0.61 | 0.61 |  | 10.91 |
| IDAHO | 39.13 | 4.35 | 39.13 | 8.70 | 4.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.35 |
| ILLINOIS | 4.71 | 20.43 | 21.01 | 24.16 | 19.97 | 5.06 | 4.37 | 0.29 |
| INDIANA | 34.17 | 15.33 | 32.91 | 7.79 | 0.50 | 3.77 | 3.52 | 2.01 |
| IOWA | 49.33 | 22.52 | 6.97 | 4.29 |  | 11.53 | 5.36 | 0.00 |
| KANSAS | 35.62 | 29.68 | 13.24 | 10.05 | 1.37 | 8.68 | 0.00 | 1.37 |
| KENTUCKY | 12.88 | 44.70 | 31.82 | 7.58 | 0.00 | 0.76 | 1.52 | 0.76 |
| LOUISIANA | 15.97 | 15.13 | 50.42 | 3.78 | 1.26 | 10.50 | 0.00 | 2.94 |
| MAINE | 41.53 | 31.36 | 16.95 | 1.69 | 4.66 | 0.00 | 3.39 | 0.42 |
| MARYLAND | 16.99 | 14.08 | 17.23 | 12.38 | 27.43 | 3.40 | 6.31 | 2.18 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 8.12 | 4.45 | 23.65 | 20.94 | 34.21 |  | 6.98 | 1.66 |
| MICHIGAN | 31.41 | 33.09 | 21.46 | 12.47 |  | 0.96 | 0.00 | 0.60 |
| MINNESOTA | 31.61 | 23.56 | 6.84 | 34.65 | 0.15 | 1.98 | 0.46 | 0.76 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 0.00 | 16.67 | 58.33 | 8.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.33 | 8.33 |
| MISSOURI | 27.03 | 25.23 | 34.53 | 0.90 | 4.80 | 3.00 | 3.30 | 1.20 |
| MONTANA | 43.48 | 15.22 | 21.74 | 13.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.17 | 4.35 |
| NEBRASKA | 22.61 | 28.70 | 42.61 | 0.87 | 3.48 | 0.00 | 0.87 | 0.87 |
| NEVADA | 31.58 | 35.09 | 24.56 | 8.77 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 46.32 | 22.06 | 9.56 | 0.00 | 13.97 | 0.74 | 5.15 | 2.21 |
| NEW JERSEY | 11.88 | 19.04 | 13.53 | 22.03 | 26.59 | 2.20 | 0.39 | 4.33 |
| NEW MEXICO | 24.58 | 19.49 | 52.54 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.69 | 0.00 | 1.69 |
| NEW YORK | 18.28 | 7.31 | 34.21 | 22.63 | 5.56 | 0.37 | 3.57 | 8.08 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 31.00 | 35.37 | 26.64 | 5.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.75 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 54.76 | 30.95 | 7.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.38 | 4.76 | 0.00 |
| OHIO | 16.23 | 25.56 | 17.96 | 27.81 | 0.00 | 5.87 | 0.00 | 6.56 |
| OKLAHOMA | 17.53 | 35.05 | 38.14 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 2.06 | 1.03 | 4.12 |
| OREGON | 52.00 | 16.57 | 13.71 | 4.00 | 8.00 | 0.57 | 0.00 | 5.14 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 19.77 | 28.56 | 20.85 | 7.87 | 13.57 | 3.85 | 2.68 | 2.85 |
| PUERTO RICO | 7.69 | 15.38 | 25.64 | 25.64 | 7.69 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 17.95 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 14.46 | 6.61 | 13.22 | 0.41 | 7.44 | 47.11 | 9.50 | 1.24 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 8.43 | 48.80 | 34.34 | 6.02 | 0.00 |  | 1.20 | 1.20 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 31.82 | 31.82 | 22.73 | 4.55 | 4.55 | 0.00 | 4.55 | 0.00 |
| TENNESSEE | 27.91 | 25.00 | 27.33 | 4.07 | 6.98 | 0.58 | 4.65 | 3.49 |
| TEXAS | 10.60 | 26.53 | 56.12 | 4.55 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 1.96 |
| UTAH | 17.16 | 12.75 | 23.53 | 17.16 | 0.00 | 28.43 | 0.00 | 0.98 |
| VERMONT | 62.65 | 10.84 | 6.02 | 3.61 | 3.61 | 2.41 | 6.02 | 4.82 |
| VIRGINIA | 21.34 | 27.20 | 22.18 | 4.32 | 5.86 | 14.37 | 2.37 | 2.37 |
| WASHINGTON | 31.47 | 34.05 | 24.57 | 3.45 | 2.16 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 3.45 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 28.85 | 32.69 | 29.81 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.85 | 0.96 | 3.85 |
| WISCONSIN | 21.23 | 42.46 | 28.49 | 5.25 | 0.34 | 1.56 | 0.00 | 0.67 |
| WYOMING | 28.26 | 39.13 | 17.39 | 2.17 | 0.00 | 4.35 | 4.35 | 4.35 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GUAM | 33.33 | 33.33 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PALAU | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 85.71 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.29 | 0.00 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 33.33 | 30.00 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.33 | 0.00 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 20.84 | 20.95 | 26.74 | 12.71 | 9.97 | 3.30 | 2.46 | 3.02 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 20.84 | 20.95 | 26.70 | 12.74 | 10.00 | 3.30 | 2.46 | 3.02 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB6

## Number of Children Ages 18-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year



Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences. SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT

Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB6

## Percentage of Children Ages 18-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | MULTIPLE DISABILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | REGULAR <br> CLASS | RESOURCEROOM | SEPAR <br> CLASS | $\begin{gathered} -- \text { PERCE } \\ \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | AGE----- | PUBLICRESID | PRIVATERESIDFACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | PRIVATE SEPAR FACII |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALABAMA | 1.92 | 5.13 | 58.97 | 14.74 | 3.85 | 10.90 | 0.64 | 3.85 |
| ALASKA | 2.08 | 0.00 | 97.92 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARIZONA | 3.28 | 3.83 | 52.46 | 10.38 | 16.39 | 8.20 | 1.64 | 3.83 |
| ARKANSAS | 5.17 | 18.97 | 51.72 | 3.45 | 3.45 | 0.00 | 10.34 | 6.90 |
| CALIFORNIA | 2.80 | 6.30 | 59.16 | 18.79 | 9.80 | 1.52 | 0.82 | 0.82 |
| COLORADO | 15.95 | 5.83 | 55.52 | 17.48 | 1.53 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 1.84 |
| CONNECTICUT | 1.39 | 11.11 | 48.61 | 22.22 | 9.03 | 1.39 | 4.86 | 1.39 |
| DELAWARE | . |  | . | . |  |  |  |  |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FLORIDA | . |  | . | . | . |  |  |  |
| GEORGIA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HAWAII | 0.00 | 0.00 | 93.10 | 3.45 | 3.45 |  |  |  |
| IDAHO | 12.12 | 3.03 | 69.70 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9.09 | 0.00 | 6.06 |
| ILLINOIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INDIANA | 1.23 | 1.23 | 38.27 | 51.85 | 0.00 | 4.32 | 2.47 | 0.62 |
| IOWA | 39.74 | 17.95 | 6.41 | 28.21 |  | 5.13 | 2.56 | 0.00 |
| KANSAS | 13.01 | 12.20 | 38.21 | 17.07 | 0.81 | 13.82 | 1.63 | 3.25 |
| KENTUCKY | 4.14 | 12.41 | 80.00 | 0.00 | 2.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.38 |
| LOUISIANA | 0.00 | 1.39 | 76.39 | 4.86 | 0.00 | 11.81 | 0.69 | 4.86 |
| MAINE | 16.00 | 19.20 | 53.60 | 4.00 | 3.20 | 0.00 | 1.60 | 2.40 |
| MARYLAND | 4.34 | 9.47 | 32.15 | 32.74 | 9.07 | 1.38 | 9.66 | 1.18 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 4.14 | 5.17 | 24.14 | 8.62 | 31.38 |  | 20.00 | 6.55 |
| MICHIGAN | 1.55 | 1.29 | 31.70 | 62.63 | . | 0.52 | 0.00 | 2.32 |
| MINNESOTA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MISSISSIPPI | 0.00 | 0.00 | 37.10 | 20.97 | 0.00 | 32.26 | 1.61 | 8.06 |
| MISSOURI | 8.62 | 17.24 | 51.72 | 3.45 | 1.72 | 12.07 | 1.72 | 3.45 |
| MONTANA | 22.92 | 10.42 | 62.50 | 2.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.08 |
| NEBRASKA | 3.03 | 3.03 | 75.76 | 9.09 | 1.52 | 3.03 | 3.03 | 1.52 |
| NEVADA | 3.45 | 0.00 | 34.48 | 58.62 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.45 | 0.00 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 23.68 | 13.16 | 21.05 | 13.16 | 13.16 | 0.00 | 10.53 | 5.26 |
| NEW JERSEY | 5.64 | 13.02 | 10.79 | 32.26 | 27.89 | 5.05 | 2.43 | 2.92 |
| NEW MEXICO | 1.32 | 11.84 | 69.74 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.47 | 0.00 | 2.63 |
| NEW YORK | 4.01 | 4.53 | 24.53 | 36.05 | 17.25 | 2.75 | 8.94 | 1.95 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 0.00 | 2.65 | 41.27 | 19.58 | 4.76 | 18.52 | 12.70 | 0.53 |
| NORTH DAKOTA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OHIO | 2.38 | 13.66 | 77.05 | 6.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.75 |
| OKLAHOMA | 1.56 | 6.77 | 52.08 | 22.40 | 0.00 | 8.85 | 0.52 | 7.81 |
| OREGON |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 4.19 | 1.86 | 66.51 | 22.79 | 0.00 | 2.33 | 0.00 | 2.33 |
| PUERTO RICO | 1.24 | 2.48 | 25.21 | 7.85 | 1.24 | 2.07 | 0.83 | 59.09 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 0.00 | 0.00 | 30.77 | 0.00 | 46.15 | 0.00 | 23.08 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 0.00 | 21.88 | 34.38 | 43.75 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 0.00 | 12.90 | 19.35 | 3.23 | 16.13 | 17.74 | 29.03 | 1.61 |
| TENNESSEE | 1.48 | 3.32 | 59.04 | 11.44 | 12.18 | 5.17 | 0.00 | 7.38 |
| TEXAS | 1.47 | 4.96 | 68.50 | 17.16 | 0.54 | 4.69 | 0.13 | 2.55 |
| UTAH | 1.55 | 0.62 | 30.34 | 61.30 | 0.00 | 5.57 | 0.00 | 0.62 |
| VERMONT | 56.25 | 12.50 | 25.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.25 | 0.00 |
| VIRGINIA | 2.84 | 11.93 | 54.55 | 6.25 | 1.70 | 18.75 | 2.84 | 1.14 |
| WASHINGTON | 7.30 | 9.44 | 74.46 | 5.58 | 0.64 | 1.72 | 0.00 | 0.86 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | . |  | . | . | . | . |  | . |
| WISCONSIN | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WYOMING | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AMERICAN SAMOA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GUAM | 25.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 75.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PALAU |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 41.18 | 5.88 | 29.41 | 11.76 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 11.76 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 4.24 | 7.83 | 48.29 | 21.55 | 7.96 | 3.58 | 3.28 | 3.28 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 4.18 | 7.84 | 48.32 | 21.56 | 7.98 | 3.59 | 3.28 | 3.26 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB6

## Number of Children Ages 18-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | SEPAR <br> CLASS | $\begin{gathered} --- \text { NUME } \\ \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PUBLIC } \\ & \text { RESID } \\ & \text { FACIL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 20 | 18 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 |
| ALASKA | 2 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 22 | 22 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 0 |
| ARKANSAS | 8 | 9 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| CALIFORNIA | 96 | 74 | 185 | 17 | 12 | 117 | 1 | 2 |
| COLORADO | 33 | 4 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| CONNECTICUT | 12 | 9 | 5 | 6 | 17 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 1 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 8 | 7 | 24 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| GEORGIA | 12 | 20 | 13 | 19 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 |
| HAWAII | 5 | 14 | 6 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| IDAHO | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 25 | 51 | 64 | 3 | 2 | 45 | 3 | 0 |
| INDIANA | 29 | 7 | 18 | 14 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 |
| IOWA | 23 | 10 | 3 | 1 |  | 10 | 1 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 12 | 6 | 4 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KENTUCKY | 13 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 39 | 0 | 0 |
| LOUISIANA | 20 | 8 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 |
| MAINE | 10 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| MARYLAND | 13 | 6 | 12 | 8 | 1 | 34 | 0 | 1 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 21 | 5 | 28 | 6 | 41 |  | 27 | 1 |
| MICHIGAN | 66 | 58 | 28 | 5 |  | 22 | 0 | 1 |
| MINNESOTA | 23 | 11 | 11 | 19 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 5 | 14 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSOURI | 40 | 16 | 14 | 11 | 1 | 63 | 2 | 0 |
| MONTANA | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 14 | 8 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| NEVADA | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 11 | 18 | 37 | 12 | 4 | 35 | 0 | 1 |
| NEW MEXICO | 6 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW YORK | 68 | 25 | 77 | 72 | 127 | 29 | 35 | 2 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 34 | 18 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OHIO | 64 | 40 | 29 | 11 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 1 |
| OKLAHOMA | 9 | 8 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 |
| OREGON | 17 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 60 | 32 | 35 | 2 | 27 | 0 | 29 | 0 |
| PUERTO RICO | 4 | 29 | 23 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 1 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 14 | 24 | 8 | 4 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 4 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 25 | 10 | 30 | 3 | 2 | 22 | 0 | 1 |
| TEXAS | 26 | 62 | 153 | 9 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 4 |
| UTAH | 9 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 24 | 20 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 2 |
| WASHINGTON | 32 | 42 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 3 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| WISCONSIN | 12 | 8 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 2 |
| WYOMING | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 984 | 778 | 967 | 320 | 244 | 911 | 132 | 18 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 983 | 777 | 963 | 320 | 244 | 911 | 132 | 18 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB6

## Percentage of Children Ages 18-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCEROOM | SEPAR <br> CLASS | ---PERCENTAGE----- |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | PRIVATERESIDFACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SEPAR | SEPAR |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | FACIL | FACIL |  |  |  |
| ALABAMA | 22.47 | 20.22 | 4.49 | 2.25 | 0.00 | 50.56 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ALASKA | 18.18 | 9.09 | 72.73 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARIZONA | 30.56 | 30.56 | 4.17 | 11.11 | 0.00 | 22.22 | 1.39 | 0.00 |
| ARKANSAS | 20.51 | 23.08 | 0.00 | 20.51 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 35.90 | 0.00 |
| CALIFORNIA | 19.05 | 14.68 | 36.71 | 3.37 | 2.38 | 23.21 | 0.20 | 0.40 |
| COLORADO | 55.93 | 6.78 | 13.56 | 8.47 | 0.00 | 15.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| CONNECTICUT | 22.22 | 16.67 | 9.26 | 11.11 | 31.48 | 0.00 | 9.26 | 0.00 |
| DELAWARE | 9.09 | 81.82 | 0.00 | 9.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 42.86 | 28.57 | 14.29 | 14.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 16.67 | 14.58 | 50.00 | 6.25 | 0.00 | 12.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| GEORGIA | 13.95 | 23.26 | 15.12 | 22.09 | 0.00 | 25.58 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| HAWAII | 17.24 | 48.28 | 20.69 | 13.79 |  |  |  |  |
| IDAHO | 22.22 | 11.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 66.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ILLINOIS | 12.95 | 26.42 | 33.16 | 1.55 | 1.04 | 23.32 | 1.55 | 0.00 |
| INDIANA | 38.16 | 9.21 | 23.68 | 18.42 | 0.00 | 9.21 | 1.32 | 0.00 |
| IOWA | 47.92 | 20.83 | 6.25 | 2.08 |  | 20.83 | 2.08 | 0.00 |
| KANSAS | 26.67 | 13.33 | 8.89 | 51.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| KENTUCKY | 18.57 | 20.00 | 4.29 | 0.00 | 1.43 | 55.71 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| LOUISIANA | 22.73 | 9.09 | 27.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 40.91 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MAINE | 62.50 | 12.50 | 6.25 | 6.25 | 0.00 | 12.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MARYLAND | 17.33 | 8.00 | 16.00 | 10.67 | 1.33 | 45.33 | 0.00 | 1.33 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 16.28 | 3.88 | 21.71 | 4.65 | 31.78 |  | 20.93 | 0.78 |
| MICHIGAN | 36.67 | 32.22 | 15.56 | 2.78 |  | 12.22 | 0.00 | 0.56 |
| MINNESOTA | 28.40 | 13.58 | 13.58 | 23.46 | 1.23 | 19.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 11.11 | 31.11 | 26.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 31.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MISSOURI | 27.21 | 10.88 | 9.52 | 7.48 | 0.68 | 42.86 | 1.36 | 0.00 |
| MONTANA | 27.27 | 0.00 | 18.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 54.55 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEBRASKA | 40.00 | 22.86 | 31.43 | 2.86 | 0.00 | 2.86 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEVADA | 36.36 | 0.00 | 54.55 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9.09 | 0.00 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 15.38 | 23.08 | 23.08 | 15.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 23.08 | 0.00 |
| NEW JERSEY | 9.32 | 15.25 | 31.36 | 10.17 | 3.39 | 29.66 | 0.00 | 0.85 |
| NEW MEXICO | 21.43 | 21.43 | 25.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 32.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW YORK | 15.63 | 5.75 | 17.70 | 16.55 | 29.20 | 6.67 | 8.05 | 0.46 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 39.53 | 20.93 | 4.65 | 2.33 | 0.00 | 32.56 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 70.00 | 30.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| OHIO | 35.16 | 21.98 | 15.93 | 6.04 | 0.00 | 20.33 | 0.00 | 0.55 |
| OKLAHOMA | 18.75 | 16.67 | 18.75 | 10.42 | 0.00 | 35.42 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| OREGON | 38.64 | 4.55 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 56.82 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 32.43 | 17.30 | 18.92 | 1.08 | 14.59 | 0.00 | 15.68 | 0.00 |
| PUERTO RICO | 5.88 | 42.65 | 33.82 | 7.35 | 10.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 6.25 | 0.00 | 12.50 | 75.00 | 6.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 28.00 | 48.00 | 16.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 22.22 | 16.67 | 0.00 | 27.78 | 0.00 | 27.78 | 5.56 | 0.00 |
| TENNESSEE | 26.88 | 10.75 | 32.26 | 3.23 | 2.15 | 23.66 | 0.00 | 1.08 |
| TEXAS | 7.74 | 18.45 | 45.54 | 2.68 | 0.00 | 24.40 | 0.00 | 1.19 |
| UTAH | 19.57 | 6.52 | 4.35 | 4.35 | 0.00 | 65.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VERMONT | 33.33 | 8.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 58.33 | 0.00 |
| VIRGINIA | 26.97 | 22.47 | 17.98 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 30.34 | 0.00 | 2.25 |
| WASHINGTON | 28.32 | 37.17 | 11.50 | 1.77 | 0.00 | 21.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 12.00 | 40.00 | 4.00 | 12.00 | 0.00 | 32.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WISCONSIN | 24.00 | 16.00 | 28.00 | 6.00 | 0.00 | 22.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| WYOMING | 0.00 | 50.00 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 16.67 | 0.00 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GUAM | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | . | . |  |  | . | . | . |  |
| PALAU |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 25.00 | 0.00 | 75.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 22.60 | 17.87 | 22.21 | 7.35 | 5.60 | 20.92 | 3.03 | 0.41 |
| 50 StATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 22.61 | 17.87 | 22.15 | 7.36 | 5.61 | 20.95 | 3.04 | 0.41 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB6

## Number of Children Ages 18-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | ORTHOPEDIC IMPAIRMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEPAR } \\ & \text { CLASS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} --- \text { NUMB } \\ \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL | PUBLIC RESID FACIL | PRIVATE RESID FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
| ALABAMA | 6 | 5 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| ALASKA | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 8 | 9 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| ARKANSAS | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | ) |
| CALIFORNIA | 122 | 114 | 574 | 127 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| COLORADO | 45 | 19 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| CONNECTICUT | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 2 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 17 | 13 | 46 | 29 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| GEORGIA | 8 | 12 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| HAWAII | 2 | 4 | 6 | 0 |  |  |  | 1 |
| IDAHO | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 9 | 22 | 102 | 29 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 2 |
| INDIANA | 25 | 3 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| IOWA | 23 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 10 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KENTUCKY | 9 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LOUISIANA | 3 | 20 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 |
| MAINE | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MARYLAND | 3 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 24 | 3 | 13 | 1 | 10 | - | 2 | 5 |
| MICHIGAN | 163 | 101 | 109 | 33 |  | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| MINNESOTA | 19 | 14 | 15 | 24 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 7 | 18 | 33 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 8 |
| MISSOURI | 15 | 22 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| MONTANA | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| NEBRASKA | 10 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEVADA | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 5 | 6 | 5 | 14 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW MEXICO | 5 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| NEW YORK | 31 | 10 | 26 | 13 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 21 | 15 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OHIO | 37 | 47 | 49 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| OKLAHOMA | 11 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OREGON | 19 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 14 | 9 | 82 | 33 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 2 |
| PUERTO RICO | 7 | 12 | 7 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 6 | 15 | 20 | 2 | 0 | . | 0 | 1 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 15 | 11 | 35 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| TEXAS | 19 | 51 | 191 | 15 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 23 |
| UTAH | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 10 | 9 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WASHINGTON | 24 | 12 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 7 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WISCONSIN | 16 | 11 | 35 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| WYOMING | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 818 | 672 | 1,634 | 391 | 78 | 21 | 18 | 146 |
| 50 StATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 817 | 672 | 1,630 | 390 | 78 | 21 | 18 | 145 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB6

## Percentage of Children Ages 18-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| ORTHOPEDIC IMPAIRMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE | --PERCENTAGE- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE | PUBLIC | PRIVATE | HOME |
|  | REGULAR | RESOURCE | SEPAR | SEPAR | SEPAR | RESID | RESID | HOSP |
|  | CLASS | ROOM | CLASS | FACIL | FACIL | FACIL | FACIL | ENVIR |
| ALABAMA | 20.69 | 17.24 | 55.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.90 |
| ALASKA | 0.00 | 50.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARIZONA | 15.69 | 17.65 | 62.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.92 |
| ARKANSAS | 20.00 | 20.00 | 40.00 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| CALIFORNIA | 12.39 | 11.57 | 58.27 | 12.89 | 1.62 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.25 |
| COLORADO | 48.39 | 20.43 | 21.51 | 2.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.08 | 6.45 |
| CONNECTICUT | 66.67 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| DELAWARE | 9.09 | 45.45 | 13.64 | 13.64 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 18.18 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 92.31 | 7.69 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 15.18 | 11.61 | 41.07 | 25.89 | 3.57 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.68 |
| GEORGIA | 14.29 | 21.43 | 62.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.79 |
| HAWAII | 15.38 | 30.77 | 46.15 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 7.69 |
| IDAHO | 50.00 | 33.33 | 16.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ILLINOIS | 5.14 | 12.57 | 58.29 | 16.57 | 1.14 | 5.14 | 0.00 | 1.14 |
| INDIANA | 54.35 | 6.52 | 21.74 | 15.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.17 |
| IOWA | 57.50 | 25.00 | 7.50 | 5.00 |  | 0.00 | 5.00 | 0.00 |
| KANSAS | 47.62 | 23.81 | 28.57 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| KENTUCKY | 36.00 | 36.00 | 28.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| LOUISIANA | 3.75 | 25.00 | 61.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.50 | 0.00 | 2.50 |
| MAINE | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MARYLAND | 17.65 | 35.29 | 17.65 | 17.65 | 11.76 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 41.38 | 5.17 | 22.41 | 1.72 | 17.24 |  | 3.45 | 8.62 |
| MICHIGAN | 39.18 | 24.28 | 26.20 | 7.93 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.40 |
| MINNESOTA | 25.00 | 18.42 | 19.74 | 31.58 | 5.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 9.86 | 25.35 | 46.48 | 4.23 | 0.00 | 2.82 | 0.00 | 11.27 |
| MISSOURI | 28.30 | 41.51 | 22.64 | 1.89 | 1.89 | 0.00 | 1.89 | 1.89 |
| MONTANA | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 25.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 25.00 |
| NEBRASKA | 55.56 | 16.67 | 27.78 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEVADA | 42.86 | 42.86 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.29 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 66.67 | 22.22 | 11.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW JERSEY | 13.89 | 16.67 | 13.89 | 38.89 | 16.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW MEXICO | 25.00 | 25.00 | 45.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| NEW YORK | 32.63 | 10.53 | 27.37 | 13.68 | 13.68 | 1.05 | 0.00 | 1.05 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 38.89 | 27.78 | 25.93 | 3.70 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.70 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 75.00 | 0.00 | 25.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| OHIO | 25.17 | 31.97 | 33.33 | 3.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.12 |
| OKLAHOMA | 64.71 | 11.76 | 17.65 | 5.88 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| OREGON | 52.78 | 25.00 | 16.67 | 2.78 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.78 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 9.33 | 6.00 | 54.67 | 22.00 | 2.67 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 1.33 |
| PUERTO RICO | 15.22 | 26.09 | 15.22 | 6.52 | 21.74 | 0.00 | 4.35 | 10.87 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 0.00 | 40.00 | 40.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 13.64 | 34.09 | 45.45 | 4.55 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 2.27 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 57.14 | 14.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 28.57 | 0.00 |
| TENNESSEE | 16.48 | 12.09 | 38.46 | 15.38 | 1.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 16.48 |
| TEXAS | 6.27 | 16.83 | 63.04 | 4.95 | 0.33 | 0.99 | 0.00 | 7.59 |
| UTAH | 16.67 | 16.67 | 25.00 | 41.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VERMONT | 71.43 | 14.29 | 14.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VIRGINIA | 24.39 | 21.95 | 51.22 | 0.00 | 2.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WASHINGTON | 48.00 | 24.00 | 22.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 53.85 | 23.08 | 23.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WISCONSIN | 23.88 | 16.42 | 52.24 | 4.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.99 |
| WYOMING | 44.44 | 44.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 11.11 | 0.00 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GUAM | 50.00 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS |  | . |  |  | . | . |  |  |
| PALAU |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 75.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 25.00 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 21.65 | 17.79 | 43.25 | 10.35 | 2.06 | 0.56 | 0.48 | 3.86 |
| 50 States, D.C. \& P.R. | 21.67 | 17.82 | 43.22 | 10.34 | 2.07 | 0.56 | 0.48 | 3.85 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB6

## Number of Children Ages 18-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | SEPAR <br> CLASS | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 18 | 11 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| ALASKA | 7 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 10 | 13 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| ARKANSAS | 13 | 22 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| CALIFORNIA | 190 | 82 | 109 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| COLORADO | . |  | . | . |  |  | . | . |
| CONNECTICUT | 48 | 15 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| GEORGIA | 28 | 31 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| HAWAII | 10 | 4 | 8 | 0 | . | . | . | 2 |
| IDAHO | 7 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 7 | 24 | 32 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 107 |
| INDIANA | 22 | 0 | 6 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| IOWA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 21 | 17 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| KENTUCKY | 11 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LOUISIANA | 51 | 28 | 59 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| MAINE | 21 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| MARYLAND | 15 | 8 | 15 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 13 | 6 | 9 | 2 | 7 | . | 7 | 74 |
| MICHIGAN |  |  | . | . | . | - | . |  |
| MINNESOTA | 47 | 30 | 9 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| MISSISSIPPI | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| MISSOURI | 25 | 22 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| MONTANA | 10 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| NEBRASKA | 13 | 15 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| NEVADA | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 32 | 12 | 11 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| NEW JERSEY | 15 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 12 |
| NEW MEXICO | 4 | 8 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| NEW YORK | 137 | 39 | 39 | 24 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 14 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 74 | 45 | 28 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 8 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| OHIO | 53 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 186 |
| OKLAHOMA | 14 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| OREGON | 41 | 13 | 14 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 5 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PUERTO RICO | 7 | 13 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 24 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 15 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 5 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 0 | . | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 93 | 38 | 24 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 76 |
| TEXAS | 96 | 192 | 424 | 75 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 156 |
| UTAH | 9 | 3 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| VERMONT | 6 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| VIRGINIA | 51 | 27 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| WASHINGTON | 210 | 145 | 104 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 11 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 5 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| WISCONSIN | 19 | 17 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| WYOMING | 8 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 1,505 | 1,000 | 1,086 | 211 | 63 | 10 | 25 | 799 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 1,503 | 998 | 1,086 | 211 | 63 | 10 | 25 | 799 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB6

## Percentage of Children Ages 18-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | -PERCENTAGE- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | REGULAR | RESOURCE | SEPAR | SEPAR | SEPAR | RESID | RESID | HOSP |
|  | CLASS | ROOM | CLASS | FACIL | FACIL | FACIL | FACIL | ENVIR |
| ALABAMA | 34.62 | 21.15 | 28.85 | 5.77 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9.62 |
| ALASKA | 41.18 | 23.53 | 35.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARIZONA | 25.00 | 32.50 | 25.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 17.50 |
| ARKANSAS | 30.23 | 51.16 | 16.28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.33 |
| CALIFORNIA | 42.89 | 18.51 | 24.60 | 3.84 | 3.84 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.32 |
| COLORADO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CONNECTICUT | 62.34 | 19.48 | 9.09 | 2.60 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.60 | 3.90 |
| DELAWARE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 5.88 | 0.00 | 2.94 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 91.18 |
| GEORGIA | 32.56 | 36.05 | 30.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.16 | 0.00 |
| HAWAII | 41.67 | 16.67 | 33.33 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 8.33 |
| IDAHO | 41.18 | 47.06 | 5.88 | 0.00 | 5.88 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ILLINOIS | 3.83 | 13.11 | 17.49 | 4.37 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 0.55 | 58.47 |
| INDIANA | 55.00 | 0.00 | 15.00 | 25.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.50 | 2.50 |
| IOWA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| KANSAS | 40.38 | 32.69 | 19.23 | 1.92 | 0.00 | 1.92 | 0.00 | 3.85 |
| KENTUCKY | 44.00 | 56.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| LOUISIANA | 35.42 | 19.44 | 40.97 | 1.39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.78 |
| MAINE | 56.76 | 29.73 | 8.11 | 0.00 | 2.70 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.70 |
| MARYLAND | 27.27 | 14.55 | 27.27 | 5.45 | 18.18 | 0.00 | 5.45 | 1.82 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 11.02 | 5.08 | 7.63 | 1.69 | 5.93 | . | 5.93 | 62.71 |
| MICHIGAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MINNESOTA | 43.93 | 28.04 | 8.41 | 17.76 | 0.93 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.93 |
| MISSISSIPPI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MISSOURI | 40.32 | 35.48 | 16.13 | 1.61 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.61 | 4.84 |
| MONTANA | 47.62 | 33.33 | 4.76 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.29 |
| NEBRASKA | 28.26 | 32.61 | 26.09 | 4.35 | 2.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.52 |
| NEVADA | 41.67 | 50.00 | 8.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 50.00 | 18.75 | 17.19 | 0.00 | 4.69 | 0.00 | 1.56 | 7.81 |
| NEW JERSEY | 33.33 | 22.22 | 6.67 | 2.22 | 6.67 | 2.22 | 0.00 | 26.67 |
| NEW MEXICO | 14.29 | 28.57 | 53.57 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.57 |
| NEW YORK | 52.90 | 15.06 | 15.06 | 9.27 | 1.16 | 0.00 | 1.16 | 5.41 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 48.68 | 29.61 | 18.42 | 0.00 | 0.66 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.63 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 61.54 | 15.38 | 15.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.69 |
| OHIO | 20.87 | 2.36 | 1.57 | 1.97 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 73.23 |
| OKLAHOMA | 46.67 | 20.00 | 23.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| OREGON | 54.67 | 17.33 | 18.67 | 0.00 | 5.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 45.45 | 45.45 | 9.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PUERTO RICO | 14.29 | 26.53 | 2.04 | 6.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.04 | 48.98 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 39.47 | 13.16 | 7.89 | 0.00 | 5.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 34.21 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 20.00 | 76.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 66.67 | 0.00 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| TENNESSEE | 39.74 | 16.24 | 10.26 | 0.43 | 0.85 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 32.48 |
| TEXAS | 10.15 | 20.30 | 44.82 | 7.93 | 0.11 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 16.49 |
| UTAH | 32.14 | 10.71 | 32.14 | 21.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.57 |
| VERMONT | 42.86 | 21.43 | 21.43 | 0.00 | 7.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.14 |
| VIRGINIA | 54.26 | 28.72 | 13.83 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.06 | 0.00 | 2.13 |
| WASHINGTON | 43.75 | 30.21 | 21.67 | 1.25 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 2.29 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 33.33 | 53.33 | 6.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.67 |
| WISCONSIN | 30.65 | 27.42 | 29.03 | 4.84 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.06 |
| WYOMING | 33.33 | 16.67 | 12.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.50 | 12.50 | 12.50 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GUAM | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS |  | . |  |  |  | . |  |  |
| PALAU |  | - | - |  |  | $\cdot$ |  |  |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 33.33 | 66.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| U.S. And OUTLYing areas | 32.03 | 21.28 | 23.11 | 4.49 | 1.34 | 0.21 | 0.53 | 17.00 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 32.01 | 21.26 | 23.13 | 4.49 | 1.34 | 0.21 | 0.53 | 17.02 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB6

## Number of Children Ages 18-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RESOURCE } \\ \text { ROOM } \end{array}$ | SEPAR <br> CLASS | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | PRIVATE RESID FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 4 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| ALASKA | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 17 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 |
| ARKANSAS | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| CALIFORNIA | 55 | 35 | 117 | 12 | 4 | 35 | 0 | 2 |
| COLORADO | 9 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| CONNECTICUT | 6 | 2 | 15 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 1 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| GEORGIA | 10 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 |
| HAWAII | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | . | . | . | . |
| IDAHO | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 17 | 19 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 |
| INDIANA | 14 | 4 | 3 | 14 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| IOWA | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 11 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KENTUCKY | 10 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| LOUISIANA | 16 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| MAINE | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| MARYLAND | 6 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 15 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 4 | . | 7 | 0 |
| MICHIGAN | 27 | 8 | 13 | 4 | . | 7 | 0 | 1 |
| MINNESOTA | 3 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSOURI | 8 | 9 | 3 | 16 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| MONTANA | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| NEVADA | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 11 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW MEXICO | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW YORK | 22 | 7 | 16 | 18 | 32 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 11 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 1 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| OHIO | 35 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 |
| OKLAHOMA | 8 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| OREGON | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 27 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 43 | 0 | 16 | 1 |
| PUERTO RICO | 4 | 18 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 11 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 0 | . | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 32 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| TEXAS | 15 | 44 | 79 | 8 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 2 |
| UTAH | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 15 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| WASHINGTON | 9 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| WISCONSIN | 17 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| WYOMING | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 495 | 264 | 348 | 129 | 95 | 349 | 38 | 9 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 495 | 263 | 347 | 129 | 95 | 349 | 37 | 9 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB6

## Percentage of Children Ages 18-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCEROOM | SEPAR CLASS | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | PRIVATERESIDFACIL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOME } \\ & \text { HOSP } \\ & \text { ENVIR } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALABAMA | 14.29 | 10.71 | 3.57 | 17.86 | 0.00 | 53.57 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ALASKA | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARIZONA | 43.59 | 7.69 | 23.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 23.08 | 0.00 | 2.56 |
| ARKANSAS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 11.11 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 55.56 | 0.00 |
| CALIFORNIA | 21.15 | 13.46 | 45.00 | 4.62 | 1.54 | 13.46 | 0.00 | 0.77 |
| COLORADO | 42.86 | 0.00 | 9.52 | 14.29 | 0.00 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| CONNECTICUT | 15.79 | 5.26 | 39.47 | 18.42 | 10.53 | 0.00 | 10.53 | 0.00 |
| DELAWARE | 66.67 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 7.14 | 28.57 | 35.71 | 7.14 | 0.00 | 21.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| GEORGIA | 28.57 | 14.29 | 2.86 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 54.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| HAWAII | 25.00 | 37.50 | 37.50 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| IDAHO | 33.33 | 66.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ILLINOIS | 23.94 | 26.76 | 12.68 | 1.41 | 0.00 | 35.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| INDIANA | 31.82 | 9.09 | 6.82 | 31.82 | 0.00 | 20.45 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| IOWA | 31.25 | 12.50 | 6.25 | 0.00 |  | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| KANSAS | 73.33 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 6.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| KENTUCKY | 38.46 | 15.38 | 0.00 | 3.85 | 0.00 | 42.31 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| LOUISIANA | 36.36 | 15.91 | 18.18 | 2.27 | 0.00 | 27.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MAINE | 37.50 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.50 | 0.00 |
| MARYLAND | 33.33 | 11.11 | 27.78 | 16.67 | 5.56 | 0.00 | 5.56 | 0.00 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 38.46 | 12.82 | 17.95 | 2.56 | 10.26 |  | 17.95 | 0.00 |
| MICHIGAN | 45.00 | 13.33 | 21.67 | 6.67 |  | 11.67 | 0.00 | 1.67 |
| MINNESOTA | 15.00 | 15.00 | 5.00 | 25.00 | 0.00 | 40.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 7.14 | 14.29 | 28.57 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MISSOURI | 15.38 | 17.31 | 5.77 | 30.77 | 3.85 | 26.92 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MONTANA | 66.67 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEBRASKA | 45.45 | 18.18 | 9.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 27.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEVADA | 57.14 | 42.86 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 0.00 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 66.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW JERSEY | 50.00 | 18.18 | 13.64 | 0.00 | 18.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW MEXICO | 25.00 | 8.33 | 25.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 41.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW YORK | 22.45 | 7.14 | 16.33 | 18.37 | 32.65 | 1.02 | 2.04 | 0.00 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 28.95 | 5.26 | 7.89 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 55.26 | 0.00 | 2.63 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 |
| OHIO | 53.85 | 10.77 | 3.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 32.31 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| OKLAHOMA | 44.44 | 16.67 | 0.00 | 11.11 | 0.00 | 27.78 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| OREGON | 35.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 55.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 26.47 | 5.88 | 5.88 | 2.94 | 42.16 | 0.00 | 15.69 | 0.98 |
| PUERTO RICO | 12.12 | 54.55 | 21.21 | 3.03 | 3.03 | 3.03 | 0.00 | 3.03 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 40.00 | 0.00 | 60.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 37.93 | 31.03 | 13.79 | 17.24 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 16.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 83.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| TENNESSEE | 58.18 | 23.64 | 1.82 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 16.36 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| TEXAS | 8.29 | 24.31 | 43.65 | 4.42 | 0.00 | 18.23 | 0.00 | 1.10 |
| UTAH | 18.75 | 0.00 | 12.50 | 6.25 | 0.00 | 62.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VERMONT | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VIRGINIA | 48.39 | 12.90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 38.71 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WASHINGTON | 37.50 | 12.50 | 4.17 | 12.50 | 0.00 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 6.67 | 13.33 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 60.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WISCONSIN | 54.84 | 3.23 | 6.45 | 16.13 | 0.00 | 19.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WYOMING | 33.33 | 16.67 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA |  |  |  |  | 0. | 0 |  |  |
| GUAM | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| PALAU | - | . | . | - | . | - |  | - |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 0.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 28.66 | 15.29 | 20.15 | 7.47 | 5.50 | 20.21 | 2.20 | 0.52 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 28.71 | 15.26 | 20.13 | 7.48 | 5.51 | 20.24 | 2.15 | 0.52 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB6

## Number of Children Ages 18-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | AUTISM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEPAR } \\ & \text { CLASS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | PRIVATE RESID FACIL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOME } \\ & \text { HOSP } \\ & \text { ENVIR } \end{aligned}$ |
| Alabama | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| ALASKA | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 1 | 0 | 18 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARKANSAS | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CALIFORNIA | 6 | 12 | 176 | 38 | 72 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| COLORADO | 3 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CONNECTICUT | 3 | 2 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 15 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 2 | 0 | 52 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GEORGIA | 4 | 1 | 19 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HAWAII | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| IDAHO | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 2 | 3 | 16 | 12 | 28 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| INDIANA | 8 | 4 | 36 | 22 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 |
| IOWA | 12 | 5 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KENTUCKY | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| LOUISIANA | 0 | 1 | 53 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| MAINE | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| MARYLAND | 0 | 5 | 3 | 10 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 0 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 1 | 0 | 19 | 6 | 21 |  | 43 | 1 |
| MICHIGAN | 16 | 11 | 93 | 122 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| MINNESOTA | 3 | 2 | 22 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| MISSOURI | 8 | 6 | 20 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| MONTANA | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEVADA | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 0 | 1 | 1 | 22 | 45 | 20 | 4 | 0 |
| NEW MEXICO | 1 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW YORK | 5 | 4 | 31 | 151 | 37 | 9 | 27 | 0 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 2 | 1 | 78 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| OHIO | 2 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OKLAHOMA | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| OREGON | 5 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 0 | 3 | 44 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| PUERTO RICO | 0 | 0 | 29 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 0 | 2 | 14 | 4 | 0 | . | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 1 | 2 | 33 | 13 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| TEXAS | 1 | 10 | 147 | 38 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| UTAH | 1 | 0 | 13 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 1 | 3 | 38 | 11 | 5 | 4 | 12 | 0 |
| WASHINGTON | 4 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 1 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WISCONSIN | 0 | 2 | 25 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WYoming | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 96 | 117 | 1,091 | 567 | 261 | 47 | 126 | 22 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 96 | 116 | 1,091 | 567 | 261 | 47 | 126 | 21 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB6

## Percentage of Children Ages 18-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | SEPAR <br> CLASS | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 0.00 | 15.38 | 15.38 | 23.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 46.15 | 0.00 |
| ALASKA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARIZONA | 2.86 | 0.00 | 51.43 | 11.43 | 34.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARKANSAS | 14.29 | 0.00 | 85.71 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| CALIFORNIA | 1.94 | 3.87 | 56.77 | 12.26 | 23.23 | 0.00 | 0.65 | 1.29 |
| COLORADO | 23.08 | 0.00 | 69.23 | 7.69 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| CONNECTICUT | 11.54 | 7.69 | 26.92 | 23.08 | 15.38 | 0.00 | 15.38 | 0.00 |
| DELAWARE | 0.00 | 83.33 | 11.11 | 5.56 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 2.56 | 0.00 | 66.67 | 29.49 | 1.28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| GEORGIA | 12.12 | 3.03 | 57.58 | 27.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| HAWAII | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| IDAHO | 16.67 | 16.67 | 66.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ILLINOIS | 3.03 | 4.55 | 24.24 | 18.18 | 42.42 | 0.00 | 7.58 | 0.00 |
| INDIANA | 10.67 | 5.33 | 48.00 | 29.33 | 0.00 | 2.67 | 4.00 | 0.00 |
| IOWA | 63.16 | 26.32 | 10.53 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| KANSAS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 75.00 | 12.50 | 12.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| KENTUCKY | 0.00 | 22.22 | 66.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 11.11 |
| LOUISIANA | 0.00 | 1.64 | 86.89 | 4.92 | 0.00 | 6.56 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MAINE | 0.00 | 14.29 | 57.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 28.57 | 0.00 |
| MARYLAND | 0.00 | 17.24 | 10.34 | 34.48 | 20.69 | 3.45 | 13.79 | 0.00 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 1.10 | 0.00 | 20.88 | 6.59 | 23.08 |  | 47.25 | 1.10 |
| MICHIGAN | 6.53 | 4.49 | 37.96 | 49.80 |  | 1.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MINNESOTA | 8.57 | 5.71 | 62.86 | 22.86 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 0.00 | 0.00 | 57.14 | 28.57 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.29 | 0.00 |
| MISSOURI | 22.22 | 16.67 | 55.56 | 0.00 | 2.78 | 0.00 | 2.78 | 0.00 |
| MONTANA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEBRASKA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEVADA | 20.00 | 20.00 | 40.00 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 0.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW JERSEY | 0.00 | 1.08 | 1.08 | 23.66 | 48.39 | 21.51 | 4.30 | 0.00 |
| NEW MEXICO | 9.09 | 0.00 | 90.91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW YORK | 1.89 | 1.52 | 11.74 | 57.20 | 14.02 | 3.41 | 10.23 | 0.00 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 1.98 | 0.99 | 77.23 | 15.84 | 1.98 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.98 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 0.00 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 33.33 | 0.00 |
| OHIO | 18.18 | 27.27 | 45.45 | 9.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| OKLAHOMA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 66.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 33.33 | 0.00 |
| OREGON | 31.25 | 12.50 | 43.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.25 | 6.25 | 0.00 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 0.00 | 5.08 | 74.58 | 16.95 | 1.69 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.69 |
| PUERTO RICO | 0.00 | 0.00 | 58.00 | 26.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.00 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 71.43 | 0.00 | 28.57 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 0.00 | 10.00 | 70.00 | 20.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 0.00 | 25.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 75.00 | 0.00 |
| TENNESSEE | 1.72 | 3.45 | 56.90 | 22.41 | 12.07 | 1.72 | 0.00 | 1.72 |
| TEXAS | 0.48 | 4.81 | 70.67 | 18.27 | 1.44 | 0.96 | 1.44 | 1.92 |
| UTAH | 4.35 | 0.00 | 56.52 | 39.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VERMONT | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VIRGINIA | 1.35 | 4.05 | 51.35 | 14.86 | 6.76 | 5.41 | 16.22 | 0.00 |
| WASHINGTON | 30.77 | 30.77 | 38.46 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 9.09 | 18.18 | 63.64 | 9.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WISCONSIN | 0.00 | 6.45 | 80.65 | 12.90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WYOMING | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GUAM | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| PALAU |  | - | . | . | - | . | . |  |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 4.13 | 5.03 | 46.88 | 24.37 | 11.22 | 2.02 | 5.41 | 0.95 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 4.13 | 4.99 | 46.92 | 24.39 | 11.23 | 2.02 | 5.42 | 0.90 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB6

## Number of Children Ages 18-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | DEAF-BLINDNESS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | REGULAR CLASS | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RESOURCE } \\ \text { ROOM } \end{array}$ | SEPAR <br> CLASS | PUBLIC SEPAR FACIL | PRIVATE SEPAR FACIL | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{array}$ |
| ALABAMA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| ALASKA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| ARKANSAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| CALIFORNIA | 0 | 2 | 30 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| COLORADO | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| CONNECTICUT | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GEORGIA | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| HAWAII | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | . | . | . | . |
| IDAHO | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| INDIANA | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| IOWA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| KENTUCKY | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LOUISIANA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| MAINE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MARYLAND | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | . | 4 | 0 |
| MICHIGAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MINNESOTA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSOURI | 0 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| MONTANA | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEVADA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW MEXICO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW YORK | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| OHIO | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OKLAHOMA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OREGON | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PUERTO RICO | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| TEXAS | 0 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| UTAH | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WASHINGTON | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| WISCONSIN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WYOMING | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 10 | 19 | 79 | 35 | 7 | 61 | 12 | 2 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 10 | 19 | 79 | 35 | 7 | 61 | 12 | 2 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

Table AB6

## Percentage of Children Ages 18-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | DEAF-BLINDNESS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | REGULARCLASS | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RESOURCE } \\ \text { ROOM } \end{array}$ | SEPAR CLASS | --PERCE <br> PUBLIC <br> SEPAR <br> FACIL | AGE- |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOME } \\ \text { HOSP } \\ \text { ENVIR } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { SEPAR } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACIL } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALABAMA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ALASKA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ARIZONA | 7.69 | 7.69 | 23.08 | 23.08 | 0.00 | 38.46 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARKANSAS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 |
| CALIFORNIA | 0.00 | 4.35 | 65.22 | 13.04 | 8.70 | 6.52 | 0.00 | 2.17 |
| COLORADO | 20.00 | 20.00 | 40.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| CONNECTICUT | 0.00 | 66.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 33.33 | 0.00 |
| DELAWARE | 0.00 | 66.67 | 0.00 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FLORIDA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 60.00 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| GEORGIA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 66.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| HAWAII | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0 |
| IDAHO | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ILLINOIS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 37.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 62.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| INDIANA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 81.82 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 18.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| IOWA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 25.00 |  | 62.50 | 12.50 | 0.00 |
| KANSAS | 40.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 40.00 | 0.00 | 20.00 |
| KENTUCKY | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| LOUISIANA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MAINE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MARYLAND | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 80.00 | 0.00 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.29 | 0.00 | 28.57 | . | 57.14 | 0.00 |
| MICHIGAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MINNESOTA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MISSOURI | 0.00 | 6.67 | 60.00 | 6.67 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 6.67 | 0.00 |
| MONTANA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 66.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEBRASKA | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| NEVADA | - | - | - | - | - | - | . |  |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NEW JERSEY | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 40.00 | 0.00 | 60.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW MEXICO | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| NEW YORK | ${ }^{\circ}$ | . | ${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{\circ}$ | . | ${ }^{\circ}$ | . |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 0.00 | 83.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 16.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| OHIO | 33.33 | 0.00 | 33.33 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| OKLAHOMA |  |  |  |  |  | . |  | . |
| OREGON | 50.00 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PUERTO RICO | 0.00 | 0.00 | 11.11 | 88.89 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| RHODE ISLAND |  |  |  |  | . | . | . | . |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | - | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |
| TENNESSEE | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| TEXAS | 0.00 | 13.04 | 34.78 | 13.04 | 0.00 | 39.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| UTAH | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 16.67 | 0.00 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VERMONT | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VIRGINIA |  |  |  |  | . | . | 0 | 0 |
| WASHINGTON | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 40.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 80.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WISCONSIN | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| WYOMING | . | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | . | . | - | . | . | - | - | - |
| GUAM | . | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | . | . | . | . | - | . | . |  |
| PALAU | . | . | - | . | - | - | - | - |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 4.44 | 8.44 | 35.11 | 15.56 | 3.11 | 27.11 | 5.33 | 0.89 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 4.44 | 8.44 | 35.11 | 15.56 | 3.11 | 27.11 | 5.33 | 0.89 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB6

## Number of Children Ages 18-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year



Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB6

## Percentage of Children Ages 18-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | -PERCENTAGE- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE | PUBLIC | PRIVATE | HOME |
|  | REGULAR | RESOURCE | SEPAR | SEPAR | SEPAR | RESID | RESID | HOSP |
|  | CLASS | ROOM | CLASS | FACIL | FACIL | FACIL | FACIL | ENVIR |
| ALABAMA | 26.32 | 15.79 | 15.79 | 10.53 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 31.58 |
| ALASKA | 25.00 | 0.00 | 75.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARIZONA | 33.33 | 50.00 | 16.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARKANSAS | 14.29 | 42.86 | 14.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.29 | 14.29 |
| CALIFORNIA | 10.53 | 26.32 | 50.00 | 3.95 | 3.95 | 0.00 | 1.32 | 3.95 |
| COLORADO | 35.00 | 10.00 | 35.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 15.00 |
| CONNECTICUT | 0.00 | 33.33 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 16.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| DELAWARE | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FLORIDA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.00 |
| GEORGIA | 22.73 | 27.27 | 45.45 | 4.55 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| HAWAII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IDAHO | 27.27 | 18.18 | 45.45 | 0.00 | 9.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ILLINOIS | 5.26 | 26.32 | 44.74 | 7.89 | 10.53 | 5.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| INDIANA | 32.35 | 5.88 | 32.35 | 11.76 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 11.76 | 5.88 |
| IOWA | 54.55 | 27.27 | 9.09 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 9.09 | 0.00 |
| KANSAS | 17.24 | 31.03 | 34.48 | 13.79 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.45 |
| KENTUCKY | 29.41 | 35.29 | 35.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| LOUISIANA | 28.57 | 19.05 | 42.86 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9.52 |
| MAINE | 50.00 | 16.67 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MARYLAND | 21.43 | 21.43 | 14.29 | 7.14 | 14.29 | 0.00 | 14.29 | 7.14 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 6.67 | 3.33 | 23.33 | 10.00 | 26.67 |  | 20.00 | 10.00 |
| MICHIGAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MINNESOTA | 31.25 | 12.50 | 31.25 | 18.75 | 0.00 | 6.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 12.50 | 12.50 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 25.00 |
| MISSOURI | 36.00 | 28.00 | 28.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| MONTANA | 33.33 | 33.33 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEBRASKA | 28.57 | 35.71 | 28.57 | 0.00 | 7.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEVADA | 25.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 25.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 50.00 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NEW JERSEY | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 16.67 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 16.67 |
| NEW MEXICO | 17.39 | 13.04 | 65.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.35 |
| NEW YORK | 25.97 | 16.88 | 33.77 | 9.09 | 2.60 | 0.00 | 5.19 | 6.49 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 33.33 | 4.76 | 23.81 | 23.81 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.29 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 16.67 | 33.33 | 16.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 16.67 | 16.67 |
| OHIO | 45.45 | 22.73 | 13.64 | 13.64 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.55 |
| OKLAHOMA | 46.67 | 40.00 | 13.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| OREGON | 42.11 | 26.32 | 15.79 | 0.00 | 5.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.53 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 6.63 | 3.57 | 9.18 | 1.02 | 65.31 | 0.00 | 13.78 | 0.51 |
| PUERTO RICO | 33.33 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 33.33 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 20.00 | 40.00 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 20.00 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 40.00 | 0.00 | 40.00 | 0.00 |
| TENNESSEE | 22.22 | 14.81 | 48.15 | 3.70 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 11.11 |
| TEXAS | 2.44 | 12.20 | 70.73 | 2.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.20 |
| UTAH | 17.24 | 17.24 | 41.38 | 20.69 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.45 |
| VERMONT | 25.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 0.00 | 25.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VIRGINIA | 16.67 | 38.89 | 27.78 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.56 | 0.00 | 11.11 |
| WASHINGTON | 31.58 | 26.32 | 36.84 | 5.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 20.00 | 40.00 | 30.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| WISCONSIN | 10.71 | 21.43 | 67.86 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| WYOMING | 40.00 | 40.00 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | . | . |  | . |  |  |  |  |
| GUAM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PALAU | - | - |  | . |  | - | . |  |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 19.88 | 17.77 | 31.32 | 4.90 | 15.18 | 0.58 | 5.00 | 5.38 |
| 50 StATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 19.90 | 17.79 | 31.35 | 4.90 | 15.19 | 0.48 | 5.00 | 5.38 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB7

## Number of Children Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B by Age Group During the 1986-87 Through 1995-96 School Years

|  | AGE GROUP 3-5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { REGULAR } \\ \text { CLASS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RESOURCE } \\ & \text { ROOM } \end{aligned}$ | SEPARATE CLASS | PUBLIC SEPARATE FACILITY | PRIVATE SEPARATE FACILITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ | HOME HOSP ENVIR | TOTAL |
| 1986-87 | 116,898 | 55,529 | 78,227 | 20,526 | 18,962 | 1,098 | 440 | 5,703 | 297,383 |
| 1987-88 | 122,864 | 43,158 | 87,316 | 25,100 | 20,101 | 1,066 | 480 | 6,178 | 306,263 |
| 1988-89 | 140,364 | 53,706 | 87,595 | 26,106 | 16,698 | 1,080 | 338 | 6,573 | 332,460 |
| 1989-90 | 159,554 | 42,630 | 98,879 | 25,954 | 20,198 | 1,059 | 443 | 7,635 | 356,352 |
| 1990-91 | 163,723 | 47,946 | 99,233 | 30,020 | 18,897 | 969 | 348 | 7,252 | 368,388 |
| 1991-92 | 173,364 | 41,436 | 108,507 | 17,984 | 26,251 | 931 | 250 | 4,394 | 373,117 |
| 1992-93 | 220,018 | 56,599 | 141,566 | 22,199 | 13,222 | 1,541 | 313 | 7,270 | 462,728 |
| 1993-94 | 237,470 | 44,175 | 151,088 | 22,453 | 20,529 | 983 | 555 | 9,045 | 486,298 |
| 1994-95 | 243,226 | 44,657 | 152,000 | 19,539 | 7,070 | 633 | 245 | 12,474 | 479,844 |
| 1995-96 | 269,571 | 48,307 | 162,814 | 20,224 | 6,633 | 729 | 199 | 13,789 | 522,266 |


|  | AGE GROUP 6-11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { REGULAR } \\ & \text { CLASS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { RESOURCE } \\ \text { ROOM } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SEPARATE } \\ \text { CLASS } \end{gathered}$ | PUBLIC SEPARATE FACILITY | PRIVATE SEPARATE FACILITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ | HOME HOSP ENVIR | TOTAL |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1986-87 | 756,194 | 795,960 | 429,431 | 42,677 | 22,347 | 5,634 | 3,141 | 10,518 | 2,065,902 |
| 1987-88 | 832,284 | 747,080 | 431,042 | 47,685 | 23,191 | 4,509 | 2,784 | 6,266 | 2,094,841 |
| 1988-89 | 898,693 | 762,537 | 449,059 | 45,567 | 22,026 | 5,582 | 2,601 | 7,348 | 2,193,413 |
| 1989-90 | 937,329 | 748,115 | 463,525 | 45,186 | 24,156 | 6,144 | 2,626 | 6,303 | 2,233,384 |
| 1990-91 | 992,884 | 727,000 | 497,003 | 42,739 | 24,773 | 5,402 | 2,545 | 7,370 | 2,299,716 |
| 1991-92 | 1,075,455 | 726,035 | 463,267 | 37,018 | 27,467 | 5,872 | 2,098 | 5,141 | 2,342,353 |
| 1992-93 | 1,164,427 | 617,476 | 477,765 | 37,856 | 25,419 | 7,159 | 2,269 | 7,194 | 2,339,565 |
| 1993-94 | 1,313,089 | 608,776 | 472,899 | 33,112 | 14,456 | 4,416 | 2,295 | 6,429 | 2,455,472 |
| 1994-95 | 1,364,545 | 610,920 | 475,664 | 31,959 | 15,000 | 4,057 | 2,161 | 6,226 | 2,510,532 |
| 1995-96 | 1,424,309 | 624,095 | 478,400 | 32,978 | 15,539 | 4,113 | 2,321 | 6,308 | 2,588,063 |


|  | AGE GROUP 12-17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { REGULAR } \\ \text { CLASS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { RESOURCE } \\ \text { ROOM } \end{gathered}$ | SEPARATE CLASS | PUBLIC SEPARATE FACILITY | PRIVATE SEPARATE FACILITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ | HOME HOSP ENVIR | TOTAL |
| 1986-87 | 287,018 | 852,796 | 507,702 | 59,822 | 24,302 | 11,658 | 9,714 | 17,254 | 1,770,266 |
| 1987-88 | 315,192 | 803,174 | 502,486 | 70,286 | 26,079 | 12,151 | 7,545 | 19,409 | 1,756,322 |
| 1988-89 | 335,057 | 779,691 | 487,524 | 63,144 | 26,071 | 12,918 | 7,210 | 22,532 | 1,734,147 |
| 1989-90 | 360,143 | 769,427 | 517,752 | 64,885 | 26,183 | 15,695 | 7,355 | 15,950 | 1,777,390 |
| 1990-91 | 400,416 | 783,562 | 526,763 | 59,118 | 27,034 | 14,701 | 7,259 | 14,038 | 1,832,891 |
| 1991-92 | 445,691 | 821,318 | 517,011 | 54,895 | 29,264 | 16,786 | 7,317 | 13,815 | 1,906,097 |
| 1992-93 | 609,919 | 759,618 | 530,137 | 54,342 | 25,825 | 15,179 | 7,655 | 14,517 | 2,017,192 |
| 1993-94 | 687,004 | 725,572 | 534,931 | 51,246 | 25,446 | 13,663 | 8,030 | 17,304 | 2,063,196 |
| 1994-95 | 745,534 | 731,410 | 548,839 | 50,958 | 27,919 | 14,249 | 8,219 | 18,621 | 2,145,749 |
| 1995-96 | 793,334 | 755,901 | 542,838 | 53,347 | 28,719 | 13,219 | 8,687 | 18,379 | 2,214,424 |

[^45]
## Table AB7

## Number of Children Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B by Age Group During the 1986-87 Through 1995-96 School Years

|  | AGE GROUP 18-21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | REGULAR CLASS | $\begin{gathered} \text { RESOURCE } \\ \text { ROOM } \end{gathered}$ | SEPARATE CLASS | PUBLIC SEPARATE FACILITY | PRIVATE SEPARATE FACILITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ | HOME HOSP ENVIR | TOTAL |
| 1986-87 | 30,392 | 85,661 | 73,600 | 21,530 | 7,299 | 5,624 | 2,415 | 3,774 | 230,295 |
| 1987-88 | 28,715 | 78,332 | 72,752 | 26,209 | 6,504 | 4,393 | 2,015 | 3,527 | 222,447 |
| 1988-89 | 32,132 | 79,255 | 71,315 | 26,023 | 7,075 | 5,290 | 2,095 | 3,204 | 226,389 |
| 1989-90 | 37,910 | 75,558 | 76,416 | 25,732 | 6,313 | 6,181 | 2,183 | 3,007 | 233,300 |
| 1990-91 | 39,319 | 80,278 | 71,013 | 23,916 | 6,515 | 4,621 | 2,250 | 2,993 | 230,905 |
| 1991-92 | 42,253 | 78,389 | 72,834 | 20,205 | 6,311 | 5,569 | 2,118 | 2,317 | 229,996 |
| 1992-93 | 56,802 | 79,024 | 70,399 | 20,034 | 5,867 | 4,522 | 1,828 | 3,088 | 241,564 |
| 1993-94 | 63,393 | 67,002 | 73,394 | 18,740 | 5,801 | 5,061 | 1,755 | 3,167 | 238,313 |
| 1994-95 | 66,360 | 64,310 | 73,181 | 16,994 | 5,864 | 4,019 | 2,445 | 3,266 | 236,439 |
| 1995-96 | 68,862 | 65,970 | 71,960 | 17,797 | 6,213 | 3,921 | 1,848 | 3,241 | 239,812 |


|  | AGE GROUP 6-21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { REGULAR } \\ & \text { CLASS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { RESOURCE } \\ \text { ROOM } \end{gathered}$ | SEPARATECLASS | PUBLIC SEPARATE FACILITY | PRIVATE SEPARATE FACILITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ | HOME HOSP ENVIR | TOTAL |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1986-87 | 1,073,604 | 1,734,417 | 1,010,733 | 124,029 | 53,948 | 22,916 | 15,270 | 31,546 | 4,066,463 |
| 1987-88 | 1,176,191 | 1,628,586 | 1,006,280 | 144,180 | 55,774 | 21,053 | 12,344 | 29,202 | 4,073,610 |
| 1988-89 | 1,265,882 | 1,621,483 | 1,007,898 | 134,734 | 55,172 | 23,790 | 11,906 | 33,084 | 4,153,949 |
| 1989-90 | 1,335,382 | 1,593,100 | 1,057,693 | 135,803 | 56,652 | 28,020 | 12,164 | 25,260 | 4,244,074 |
| 1990-91 | 1,432,619 | 1,590,840 | 1,094,779 | 125,773 | 58,322 | 24,724 | 12,054 | 24,401 | 4,363,512 |
| 1991-92 | 1,563,399 | 1,625,742 | 1,053,112 | 112,118 | 63,042 | 28,227 | 11,533 | 21,273 | 4,478,446 |
| 1992-93 | 1,831,148 | 1,456,118 | 1,078,301 | 112,232 | 57,111 | 26,860 | 11,752 | 24,799 | 4,598,321 |
| 1993-94 | 2,063,486 | 1,401,350 | 1,081,224 | 103,098 | 45,703 | 23,140 | 12,080 | 26,900 | 4,756,981 |
| 1994-95 | 2,176,439 | 1,406,640 | 1,097,684 | 99,911 | 48,783 | 22,325 | 12,825 | 28,113 | 4,892,720 |
| 1995-96 | 2,286,505 | 1,445,966 | 1,093,198 | 104,122 | 50,471 | 21,253 | 12,856 | 27,928 | 5,042,299 |


|  | AGE GROUP 3-21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | SEPARATE CLASS | PUBLIC SEPARATE FACILITY | PRIVATE SEPARATE FACILITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ | HOME HOSP ENVIR | TOTAL |
| 1986-87 | 1,190,502 | 1,789,946 | 1,088,960 | 144,555 | 72,910 | 24,014 | 15,710 | 37,249 | 4,363,846 |
| 1987-88 | 1,299,055 | 1,671,744 | 1,093,596 | 169,280 | 75,875 | 22,119 | 12,824 | 35,380 | 4,379,873 |
| 1988-89 | 1,406,246 | 1,675,189 | 1,095,493 | 160,840 | 71,870 | 24,870 | 12,244 | 39,657 | 4,486,409 |
| 1989-90 | 1,494,936 | 1,635,730 | 1,156,572 | 161,757 | 76,850 | 29,079 | 12,607 | 32,895 | 4,600,426 |
| 1990-91 | 1,596,342 | 1,638,786 | 1,194,012 | 155,793 | 77,219 | 25,693 | 12,402 | 31,653 | 4,731,900 |
| 1991-92 | 1,736,763 | 1,667,178 | 1,161,619 | 130,102 | 89,293 | 29,158 | 11,783 | 25,667 | 4,851,563 |
| 1992-93 | 2,051,166 | 1,512,717 | 1,219,867 | 134,431 | 70,333 | 28,401 | 12,065 | 32,069 | 5,061,049 |
| 1993-94 | 2,300,956 | 1,445,525 | 1,232,312 | 125,551 | 66,232 | 24,123 | 12,635 | 35,945 | 5,243,279 |
| 1994-95 | 2,419,665 | 1,451,297 | 1,249,684 | 119,450 | 55,853 | 22,958 | 13,070 | 40,587 | 5,372,564 |
| 1995-96 | 2,556,076 | 1,494,273 | 1,256,012 | 124,346 | 57,104 | 21,982 | 13,055 | 41,717 | 5,564,565 |

[^46]
## Table AB8

## Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B by Disability During the 1986-87 Through 1995-96 School Years

|  | REGULAR CLASS | $\begin{gathered} \text { RESOURCE } \\ \text { ROOM } \end{gathered}$ | SEPARATE CLASS | PUBLIC SEPARATE FACILITY | PRIVATE SEPARATE FACILITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ | HOME HOSP ENVIR | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986-87 | 301,589 | 1,155,533 | 401,095 | 20,755 | 8,819 | 613 | 1,028 | 7,743 | 1,897,175 |
| 1987-88 | 336,542 | 1,131,297 | 415,193 | 17,500 | 8,310 | 983 | 949 | 2,311 | 1,913,085 |
| 1988-89 | 388,991 | 1,148,804 | 415,004 | 18,811 | 7,376 | 1,359 | 807 | 2,193 | 1,983,345 |
| 1989-90 | 423,425 | 1,148,624 | 443,840 | 17,963 | 8,622 | 1,578 | 898 | 2,220 | 2,047,170 |
| 1990-91 | 483,392 | 1,151,746 | 480,313 | 13,232 | 9,351 | 1,478 | 1,380 | 4,939 | 2,145,831 |
| 1991-92 | 560,661 | 1,231,560 | 455,645 | 13,165 | 7,839 | 1,929 | 939 | 2,183 | 2,273,921 |
| 1992-93 | 821,344 | 1,035,787 | 473,008 | 10,462 | 8,026 | 2,751 | 909 | 5,552 | 2,357,839 |
| 1993-94 | 957,770 | 1,000,140 | 457,622 | 7,625 | 6,268 | 1,994 | 1,023 | 3,757 | 2,436,199 |
| 1994-95 | 1,032,624 | 996,417 | 461,828 | 8,401 | 7,066 | 2,082 | 1,193 | 4,092 | 2,513,703 |
| 1995-96 | 1,096,646 | 1,018,455 | 448,986 | 9,284 | 7,509 | 1,858 | 1,354 | 4,417 | 2,588,509 |

SPEECH OR LANGUAGE IMPAIRMENTS

|  | REGULAR CLASS | RESOURCE ROOM | SEPARATE CLASS | PUBLIC SEPARATE FACILITY | PRIVATE SEPARATE FACILITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ | HOME HOSP ENVIR | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986-87 | 667,074 | 225,990 | 39,216 | 4,781 | 10,836 | 247 | 282 | 2,908 | 951,334 |
| 1987-88 | 704,034 | 185,730 | 35,978 | 3,211 | 10,487 | 454 | 497 | 549 | 940,940 |
| 1988-89 | 731,585 | 184,209 | 36,747 | 3,059 | 10,598 | 376 | 458 | 1,010 | 968,042 |
| 1989-90 | 756,832 | 174,009 | 37,563 | 2,855 | 11,656 | 811 | 293 | 770 | 984,789 |
| 1990-91 | 776,247 | 136,779 | 55,549 | 3,223 | 10,097 | 246 | 411 | 1,480 | 984,032 |
| 1991-92 | 845,601 | 90,278 | 38,456 | 1,907 | 11,900 | 344 | 291 | 458 | 989,235 |
| 1992-93 | 811,166 | 106,402 | 59,315 | 2,272 | 11,246 | 477 | 130 | 1,256 | 992,264 |
| 1993-94 | 877,007 | 76,160 | 45,228 | 1,590 | 1,232 | 166 | 167 | 471 | 1,002,021 |
| 1994-95 | 879,681 | 78,125 | 45,892 | 1,936 | 1,327 | 170 | 145 | 643 | 1,007,919 |
| 1995-96 | 892,251 | 65,770 | 45,364 | 1,792 | 1,381 | 129 | 158 | 761 | 1,007,606 |

MENTAL RETARDATION

|  | REGULAR CLASS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RESOURCE } \\ & \text { ROOM } \end{aligned}$ | SEPARATE CLASS | PUBLIC SEPARATE FACILITY | PRIVATE SEPARATE FACILITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ | HOME HOSP ENVIR | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986-87 | 19,864 | 164,861 | 353,486 | 53,396 | 8,149 | 4,594 | 2,497 | 2,834 | 609,681 |
| 1987-88 | 33,807 | 142,570 | 342,194 | 60,929 | 6,847 | 4,040 | 2,323 | 2,043 | 594,753 |
| 1988-89 | 33,825 | 128,171 | 336,457 | 56,511 | 7,846 | 4,380 | 2,278 | 1,986 | 571,454 |
| 1989-90 | 37,942 | 112,997 | 343,454 | 51,200 | 6,581 | 5,621 | 2,271 | 2,124 | 562,190 |
| 1990-91 | 40,943 | 126,876 | 321,823 | 48,252 | 6,079 | 3,855 | 2,168 | 2,387 | 552,383 |
| 1991-92 | 26,731 | 134,235 | 312,403 | 40,650 | 5,928 | 4,692 | 1,414 | 1,653 | 527,706 |
| 1992-93 | 37,466 | 141,028 | 298,957 | 35,871 | 5,799 | 3,119 | 1,375 | 2,770 | 526,385 |
| 1993-94 | 47,317 | 144,298 | 314,669 | 32,454 | 6,014 | 2,642 | 1,242 | 3,028 | 551,664 |
| 1994-95 | 55,118 | 154,354 | 317,803 | 29,861 | 5,809 | 2,137 | 1,363 | 2,706 | 569,151 |
| 1995-96 | 60,189 | 167,587 | 318,291 | 29,357 | 5,514 | 2,086 | 1,254 | 2,817 | 587,095 |

[^47]
## Table AB8

## Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B by Disability During the 1986-87 Through 1995-96 School Years

|  | EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | REGULAR CLASS | $\begin{gathered} \text { RESOURCE } \\ \text { ROOM } \end{gathered}$ | SEPARATE CLASS | PUBLIC SEPARATE FACILITY | PRIVATE SEPARATE FACILITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ | HOME HOSP ENVIR | TOTAL |
| 1986-87 | 36,828 | 128,409 | 132,531 | 25,417 | 16,698 | 6,092 | 8,457 | 5,173 | 359,605 |
| 1987-88 | 47,038 | 122,990 | 129,416 | 33,483 | 20,179 | 6,684 | 6,289 | 8,267 | 374,346 |
| 1988-89 | 52,819 | 112,622 | 134,264 | 29,866 | 20,259 | 7,975 | 6,309 | 10,821 | 374,935 |
| 1989-90 | 56,366 | 107,910 | 141,704 | 32,075 | 19,657 | 8,330 | 5,920 | 7,654 | 379,616 |
| 1990-91 | 65,462 | 113,588 | 139,303 | 29,914 | 22,103 | 7,709 | 5,966 | 5,664 | 389,709 |
| 1991-92 | 61,854 | 108,437 | 144,024 | 30,299 | 24,100 | 9,423 | 6,019 | 6,034 | 390,190 |
| 1992-93 | 77,415 | 105,186 | 138,735 | 33,440 | 20,728 | 7,186 | 6,576 | 5,039 | 394,305 |
| 1993-94 | 81,975 | 103,321 | 141,519 | 33,189 | 20,628 | 5,974 | 6,669 | 7,326 | 400,601 |
| 1994-95 | 93,335 | 101,866 | 149,076 | 35,022 | 22,608 | 7,111 | 6,907 | 7,687 | 423,612 |
| 1995-96 | 102,308 | 103,072 | 149,478 | 37,053 | 23,434 | 6,522 | 6,792 | 7,113 | 435,772 |

MULTIPLE DISABILITIES

|  | REGULAR CLASS | $\begin{gathered} \text { RESOURCE } \\ \text { ROOM } \end{gathered}$ | SEPARATE CLASS | PUBLIC SEPARATE FACILITY | PRIVATE SEPARATE FACILITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ | HOME HOSP ENVIR | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986-87 | 3,313 | 14,706 | 35,906 | 10,471 | 4,658 | 2,684 | 1,384 | 2,318 | 75,440 |
| 1987-88 | 4,867 | 10,081 | 34,725 | 15,383 | 5,274 | 2,025 | 983 | 2,368 | 75,706 |
| 1988-89 | 5,503 | 11,037 | 36,094 | 15,034 | 5,183 | 2,090 | 1,072 | 2,173 | 78,186 |
| 1989-90 | 5,141 | 12,355 | 37,891 | 19,552 | 5,993 | 2,155 | 1,248 | 2,312 | 86,647 |
| 1990-91 | 6,195 | 16,085 | 39,999 | 19,521 | 6,329 | 2,261 | 1,013 | 1,973 | 93,376 |
| 1991-92 | 5,764 | 16,778 | 43,735 | 14,823 | 6,153 | 2,242 | 1,241 | 2,077 | 92,813 |
| 1992-93 | 7,801 | 19,664 | 45,994 | 18,483 | 5,922 | 2,215 | 1,332 | 1,822 | 103,233 |
| 1993-94 | 9,873 | 21,553 | 48,034 | 18,004 | 5,809 | 2,083 | 1,415 | 2,187 | 108,958 |
| 1994-95 | 8,116 | 10,751 | 46,314 | 13,727 | 5,967 | 1,844 | 1,344 | 2,237 | 90,300 |
| 1995-96 | 9,268 | 14,428 | 47,402 | 14,673 | 6,250 | 1,449 | 1,443 | 2,220 | 97,133 |

HEARING IMPAIRMENTS

|  | REGULAR CLASS | $\begin{gathered} \text { RESOURCE } \\ \text { ROOM } \end{gathered}$ | SEPARATE CLASS | PUBLIC SEPARATE FACILITY | PRIVATE SEPARATE FACILITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ | HOME HOSP ENVIR | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986-87 | 11,987 | 13,930 | 18,399 | 2,713 | 2,075 | 5,591 | 655 | 235 | 55,585 |
| 1987-88 | 13,613 | 11,632 | 19,615 | 3,859 | 2,140 | 4,236 | 536 | 131 | 55,762 |
| 1988-89 | 14,791 | 11,573 | 18,446 | 3,134 | 1,555 | 4,970 | 430 | 128 | 55,027 |
| 1989-90 | 15,146 | 10,170 | 17,782 | 3,908 | 2,028 | 6,423 | 479 | 117 | 56,053 |
| 1990-91 | 16,157 | 11,844 | 19,693 | 3,504 | 1,988 | 6,261 | 383 | 315 | 60,145 |
| 1991-92 | 16,469 | 12,477 | 19,017 | 3,512 | 2,327 | 6,548 | 474 | 80 | 60,904 |
| 1992-93 | 18,276 | 12,227 | 17,435 | 3,448 | 1,674 | 8,146 | 542 | 234 | 61,982 |
| 1993-94 | 20,266 | 13,230 | 20,295 | 2,701 | 1,963 | 7,030 | 531 | 147 | 66,163 |
| 1994-95 | 22,539 | 12,443 | 18,381 | 2,447 | 1,850 | 5,894 | 652 | 133 | 64,339 |
| 1995-96 | 24,034 | 12,532 | 17,778 | 2,818 | 1,791 | 6,648 | 663 | 175 | 66,439 |

[^48]
## Table AB8 <br> Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B by Disability During the 1986-87 Through 1995-96 School Years

|  | REGULAR CLASS | $\begin{gathered} \text { RESOURCE } \\ \text { ROOM } \end{gathered}$ | SEPARATE CLASS | PUBLIC SEPARATE FACILITY | PRIVATE SEPARATE FACILITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ | HOME HOSP ENVIR | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986-87 | 11,255 | 10,738 | 15,260 | 3,985 | 1,273 | 252 | 231 | 3,429 | 46,423 |
| 1987-88 | 13,128 | 8,509 | 15,004 | 4,965 | 1,282 | 210 | 240 | 3,916 | 47,254 |
| 1988-89 | 13,648 | 8,668 | 15,605 | 3,905 | 1,257 | 148 | 195 | 3,223 | 46,649 |
| 1989-90 | 14,410 | 9,199 | 16,867 | 3,915 | 914 | 204 | 272 | 2,890 | 48,671 |
| 1990-91 | 15,089 | 11,349 | 16,858 | 3,595 | 922 | 154 | 205 | 2,862 | 51,034 |
| 1991-92 | 16,410 | 10,632 | 17,374 | 2,849 | 828 | 133 | 318 | 2,074 | 50,618 |
| 1992-93 | 18,557 | 10,581 | 18,014 | 2,757 | 771 | 194 | 104 | 1,854 | 52,832 |
| 1993-94 | 21,397 | 11,819 | 19,018 | 2,264 | 742 | 172 | 89 | 1,675 | 57,176 |
| 1994-95 | 23,607 | 12,442 | 19,095 | 2,654 | 733 | 162 | 90 | 1,589 | 60,372 |
| 1995-96 | 25,357 | 12,901 | 18,964 | 2,634 | 662 | 60 | 87 | 1,504 | 62,169 |

OTHER HEALTH IMPAIRMENTS

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { REGULAR } \\ \text { CLASS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { RESOURCE } \\ \text { ROOM } \end{gathered}$ | SEPARATE CLASS | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { SEPARATE } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ | PRIVATE SEPARATE FACILITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ | HOME HOSP ENVIR | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986-87 | 13,882 | 12,921 | 9,032 | 1,647 | 746 | 250 | 350 | 6,709 | 45,537 |
| 1987-88 | 14,764 | 10,062 | 9,058 | 3,765 | 832 | 187 | 199 | 9,437 | 48,304 |
| 1988-89 | 15,864 | 10,781 | 10,405 | 3,258 | 853 | 197 | 218 | 11,424 | 53,000 |
| 1989-90 | 16,712 | 11,952 | 13,041 | 3,284 | 873 | 195 | 367 | 7,026 | 53,450 |
| 1990-91 | 17,802 | 16,319 | 15,469 | 3,323 | 979 | 283 | 289 | 4,489 | 58,953 |
| 1991-92 | 19,266 | 15,062 | 11,678 | 1,142 | 648 | 83 | 194 | 6,448 | 54,521 |
| 1992-93 | 26,233 | 17,969 | 13,477 | 1,090 | 527 | 170 | 143 | 5,956 | 65,565 |
| 1993-94 | 33,469 | 22,581 | 17,818 | 1,049 | 464 | 102 | 201 | 7,885 | 83,569 |
| 1994-95 | 45,439 | 30,952 | 19,751 | 1,210 | 608 | 120 | 215 | 8,522 | 106,817 |
| 1995-96 | 58,495 | 40,813 | 24,932 | 1,483 | 798 | 103 | 219 | 8,412 | 135,255 |


|  | VISUAL IMPAIRMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | REGULAR CLASS | $\begin{gathered} \text { RESOURCE } \\ \text { ROOM } \end{gathered}$ | SEPARATE CLASS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PUBLIC } \\ & \text { SEPARATE } \\ & \text { FACILITY } \end{aligned}$ | PRIVATE SEPARATE FACILITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ | HOME HOSP ENVIR | TOTAL |
| 1986-87 | 7,681 | 6,884 | 5,020 | 614 | 634 | 2,228 | 289 | 168 | 23,518 |
| 1987-88 | 8,260 | 5,602 | 4,548 | 794 | 385 | 1,900 | 286 | 122 | 21,897 |
| 1988-89 | 8,684 | 5,539 | 4,431 | 803 | 212 | 1,962 | 84 | 108 | 21,823 |
| 1989-90 | 9,250 | 5,561 | 4,960 | 778 | 274 | 2,181 | 375 | 129 | 23,508 |
| 1990-91 | 11,177 | 6,159 | 5,295 | 925 | 410 | 2,125 | 219 | 260 | 26,570 |
| 1991-92 | 9,937 | 5,325 | 4,923 | 767 | 1,370 | 2,379 | 286 | 106 | 25,093 |
| 1992-93 | 10,769 | 4,987 | 4,266 | 930 | 399 | 2,029 | 191 | 120 | 23,691 |
| 1993-94 | 11,252 | 5,299 | 4,567 | 630 | 404 | 2,366 | 173 | 135 | 24,826 |
| 1994-95 | 11,534 | 5,295 | 4,322 | 729 | 474 | 2,384 | 234 | 132 | 25,104 |
| 1995-96 | 12,021 | 5,186 | 4,299 | 869 | 488 | 1,978 | 201 | 145 | 25,187 |

[^49]
## Table AB8

Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B by Disability During the 1986-87 Through 1995-96 School Years

|  | AUTISM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | REGULAR CLASS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RESOURCE } \\ & \text { ROOM } \end{aligned}$ | SEPARATE CLASS | PUBLIC SEPARATE FACILITY | PRIVATE SEPARATE FACILITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ | HOME HOSP ENVIR | TOTAL |
| 1991-92 | 472 | 700 | 4,894 | 2,728 | 914 | 92 | 247 | 88 | 10,135 |
| 1992-93 | 1,381 | 1,477 | 7,660 | 3,113 | 1,107 | 180 | 307 | 94 | 15,319 |
| 1993-94 | 1,813 | 1,531 | 10,309 | 3,169 | 1,260 | 324 | 405 | 93 | 18,904 |
| 1994-95 | 2,434 | 2,127 | 12,518 | 3,433 | 1,479 | 152 | 505 | 125 | 22,773 |
| 1995-96 | 3,212 | 2,840 | 14,360 | 3,704 | 1,788 | 168 | 480 | 123 | 26,675 |


|  | DEAF-BLINDNESS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { REGULAR } \\ \text { CLASS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { RESOURCE } \\ \text { ROOM } \end{gathered}$ | SEPARATE CLASS | PUBLIC SEPARATE FACILITY | PRIVATE SEPARATE FACILITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ | HOME HOSP ENVIR | TOTAL |
| 1986-87 | 129 | 445 | 786 | 250 | 60 | 365 | 97 | 29 | 2,161 |
| 1987-88 | 138 | 113 | 549 | 291 | 38 | 334 | 42 | 58 | 1,563 |
| 1988-89 | 172 | 79 | 445 | 353 | 33 | 333 | 55 | 18 | 1,488 |
| 1989-90 | 158 | 323 | 591 | 273 | 54 | 522 | 41 | 18 | 1,980 |
| 1990-91 | 155 | 95 | 477 | 284 | 64 | 352 | 20 | 32 | 1,479 |
| 1991-92 | 82 | 87 | 510 | 235 | 63 | 360 | 42 | 25 | 1,404 |
| 1992-93 | 194 | 153 | 497 | 247 | 89 | 363 | 26 | 15 | 1,584 |
| 1993-94 | 102 | 106 | 459 | 255 | 67 | 275 | 32 | 29 | 1,325 |
| 1994-95 | 129 | 120 | 501 | 265 | 50 | 248 | 36 | 35 | 1,384 |
| 1995-96 | 158 | 146 | 591 | 225 | 55 | 223 | 44 | 27 | 1,469 |

TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { REGULAR } \\ & \text { CLASS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { RESOURCE } \\ \text { ROOM } \end{gathered}$ | SEPARATE CLASS | PUBLIC SEPARATE FACILITY | PRIVATE SEPARATE FACILITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ | HOME HOSP ENVIR | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991-92 | 152 | 171 | 453 | 41 | 972 | 2 | 68 | 47 | 1,906 |
| 1992-93 | 546 | 657 | 943 | 119 | 823 | 30 | 117 | 87 | 3,322 |
| 1993-94 | 1,245 | 1,312 | 1,686 | 168 | 852 | 12 | 133 | 167 | 5,575 |
| 1994-95 | 1,883 | 1,748 | 2,203 | 226 | 812 | 21 | 141 | 212 | 7,246 |
| 1995-96 | 2,566 | 2,236 | 2,753 | 230 | 801 | 29 | 161 | 214 | 8,990 |

Beginning in 1987-88, data on youth with disabilities served in correctional facilities were collected as duplicated counts of data reported under one of the other environments. Prior to this time, a separate unduplicated count was collected for students served in correctional facilities. These students are excluded from the totals in the years prior to 1987-88.

Beginning in 1989-90, States were instructed to report students in regular class, resource room, and separate class placements based on the percent of time they recieved services OUTSIDE the regular class ( $<21$, 21-60, and $>60$, respectively) instead of the percent of time they received special education.

Reporting on autism and traumatic brain injury was required under IDEA beginning in $1992-93$ and was optional in 1991-92.

RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AB8

## Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B by Disability During the 1986-87 Through 1995-96 School Years

|  | REGULAR CLASS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RESOURCE } \\ & \text { ROOM } \end{aligned}$ | SEPARATE CLASS | PUBLIC SEPARATE FACILITY | PRIVATE SEPARATE FACILITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { PUBLIC } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRIVATE } \\ \text { RESID } \\ \text { FACILITY } \end{gathered}$ | HOME HOSP ENVIR | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986-87 | 1,073,604 | 1,734,417 | 1,010,733 | 124,029 | 53,948 | 22,916 | 15,270 | 31,546 | 4,066,463 |
| 1987-88 | 1,176,191 | 1,628,586 | 1,006,280 | 144,180 | 55,774 | 21,053 | 12,344 | 29,202 | 4,073,610 |
| 1988-89 | 1,265,882 | 1,621,483 | 1,007,898 | 134,734 | 55,172 | 23,790 | 11,906 | 33,084 | 4,153,949 |
| 1989-90 | 1,335,382 | 1,593,100 | 1,057,693 | 135,803 | 56,652 | 28,020 | 12,164 | 25,260 | 4,244,074 |
| 1990-91 | 1,432,619 | 1,590,840 | 1,094,779 | 125,773 | 58,322 | 24,724 | 12,054 | 24,401 | 4,363,512 |
| 1991-92 | 1,563,399 | 1,625,742 | 1,053,112 | 112,118 | 63,042 | 28,227 | 11,533 | 21,273 | 4,478,446 |
| 1992-93 | 1,831,148 | 1,456,118 | 1,078,301 | 112,232 | 57,111 | 26,860 | 11,752 | 24,799 | 4,598,321 |
| 1993-94 | 2,063,486 | 1,401,350 | 1,081,224 | 103,098 | 45,703 | 23,140 | 12,080 | 26,900 | 4,756,981 |
| 1994-95 | 2,176,439 | 1,406,640 | 1,097,684 | 99,911 | 48,783 | 22,325 | 12,825 | 28,113 | 4,892,720 |
| 1995-96 | 2,286,505 | 1,445,966 | 1,093,198 | 104,122 | 50,471 | 21,253 | 12,856 | 27,928 | 5,042,299 |

Beginning in 1987-88, data on youth with disabilities served in correctional facilities were collected as duplicated counts of data reported under one of the other environments. Prior to this time, a separate unduplicated count was collected for students served in correctional facilities. These students are excluded from the totals in the years prior to 1987-88.

Beginning in 1989-90, States were instructed to report students in regular class, resource room, and separate class placements based on the percent of time they recieved services OUTSIDE the regular class (<21, 21-60, and $>60$, respectively) instead of the percent of time they received special education.

Reporting on autism and traumatic brain injury was required under IDEA beginning in 1992-93 and was optional in 1991-92.

RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AC1

Total Number of Teachers Employed, Vacant Funded Positions (In Full-Time Equivalency), and Number of Teachers Retained to Provide Special Education and Related Services for Children and Youth with Disabilities, Ages 3-5 During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | $-------E M P$ FULLY CERTIFIED | OYED------NOT FULLY CERTIFIED | VACANT <br> POSITIONS | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { POSITIONS } \\ \text { (EMPLOYED } \\ +\quad \text { VACANT) } \end{gathered}$ | --RETAINED FULLY CERTIFIED | TEACHERS--NOT FULLY CERTIFIED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 708 | 28 | 15 | 751 | 504 | 20 |
| ALASKA | 64 | 2 | 0 | 66 | 54 | 2 |
| ARIZONA | 137 | 144 | 12 | 292 | 131 | 140 |
| ARKANSAS | 148 | 161 | 6 | 315 | 107 | 108 |
| CALIFORNIA | 1,728 | 148 | 7 | 1,883 | 1,655 | 76 |
| COLORADO | 118 | 40 | 1 | 160 | 94 | 24 |
| CONNECTICUT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 140 | 17 | 2 | 158 | 122 | 16 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 65 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 65 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 1,374 | 107 | 48 | 1,528 | 1,239 | 65 |
| GEORGIA | 500 | 17 | 5 | 523 | 452 | 7 |
| HAWAII | 221 | 17 | 1 | 239 | 211 | 2 |
| IDAHO | 183 | 5 | 0 | 188 | 151 | 2 |
| ILLINOIS | 941 | 10 | 24 | 975 | 785 | 0 |
| INDIANA | 400 | 34 | 2 | 436 | 380 | 21 |
| IOWA | 229 | 27 | 3 | 259 | 201 | 3 |
| KANSAS | 319 |  | 5 | 324 | 264 |  |
| KENTUCKY | 1,549 | 105 | 24 | 1,678 | 1,434 | 159 |
| LOUISIANA | 466 | 320 | 4 | 790 | 419 | 238 |
| MAINE | 206 | 9 | 0 | 215 | 189 | 4 |
| MARYLAND | 273 | 19 | 5 | 297 | 258 | 16 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 463 |  | 5 | 467 | 441 |  |
| MICHIGAN | 636 | 68 | 0 | 704 | 553 | 46 |
| MINNESOTA | 672 | 50 | 7 | 729 | 615 | 21 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 262 | 26 | 5 | 292 | 238 | 12 |
| MISSOURI | 446 | 78 | 3 | 527 | 266 | 78 |
| MONTANA | 82 | 4 | 9 | 95 | 19 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 82 | 20 | 0 | 102 | 66 | 19 |
| NEVADA | 236 | 16 | 3 | 255 | 204 | 16 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 94 | 5 | 0 | 99 | 86 | 5 |
| NEW JERSEY | 951 | 0 | 7 | 958 | 872 | 0 |
| NEW MEXICO | 169 | 25 | 6 | 200 | 135 | 18 |
| NEW YORK | 1,857 | 825 | 78 | 2,760 | 1,634 | 501 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 539 | 82 | 21 | 642 | 506 | 62 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 77 | 3 | 1 | 81 | 69 | 2 |
| OHIO | 1,111 | 0 | 133 | 1,244 | 729 | 0 |
| OKLAHOMA | 235 | 9 | 1 | 245 | 222 | 6 |
| OREGON | 376 | . | 31 | 408 | . |  |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 1,152 | 0 | 2 | 1,154 | 963 | 0 |
| PUERTO RICO | 90 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 0 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 117 | 3 | 2 | 122 | 117 | 2 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 477 | 23 | 14 | 514 | 419 | 13 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 93 | 2 | 0 | 95 | 79 | 2 |
| TENNESSEE | 314 | 1 | 3 | 318 | 314 | 1 |
| TEXAS |  | . | . |  | . |  |
| UTAH | 141 | 31 | 5 | 176 | 129 | 28 |
| VERMONT | 87 | 0 | 1 | 89 | 83 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 1,232 | 214 | 31 | 1,477 | 1,144 | 147 |
| WASHINGTON | 584 | 22 | 3 | 609 | 517 | 19 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 150 | 35 | 1 | 186 | 136 | 24 |
| WISCONSIN | 658 | 3 | 25 | 686 | 679 | 1 |
| WYOMING | 68 | 4 | 1 | 73 | 67 | 2 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 2 | 11 | 0 | 13 | 2 | 10 |
| GUAM | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 2 | . | 0 | 2 | 2 |  |
| PALAU | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | . | . | . | . | . |  |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 23,232 | 2,770 | 561 | 26,564 | 20,025 | 1,936 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 23,220 | 2,758 | 561 | 26,540 | 20,014 | 1,925 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
The total FTE for the U.S. and Outlying Areas and the 50 States, D.C., and Puerto Rico may not equal the sum of the individual states and outlying areas because of rounding.

Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AC2

Total Number of Teachers Employed, Vacant Funded Positions (In Full-Time Equivalency), and Number of Teachers Retained to Provide Special Education and Related Services for Children and Youth with Disabilities, Ages 6-21 During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | -------EMPLOYED------- |  | VACANT <br> POSITIONS | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { POSITIONS } \\ \text { (EMPLOYED } \\ +\quad \text { VACANT) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text {--RETAINED } \\ & \text { FULLY } \\ & \text { CERTIFIED } \end{aligned}$ | TEACHERS--NOT FULLY CERTIFIED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FULLY | NOT FULLY |  |  |  |  |
|  | CERTIFIED | CERTIFIED |  |  |  |  |
| ALABAMA | 5,551 | 264 | 65 | 5,880 | 4,771 | 201 |
| ALASKA | 532 | 21 | 0 | 553 | 440 | 16 |
| ARIZONA | 3,324 | 358 | 71 | 3,753 | 3,198 | 300 |
| ARKANSAS | 2,790 | 154 | 72 | 3,016 | 2,426 | 88 |
| CALIFORNIA | 20,251 | 3,250 | 408 | 23,909 | 19,045 | 1,835 |
| COLORADO | 2,798 | 547 | 15 | 3,360 | 2,169 | 286 |
| CONNECTICUT | 5,168 | 0 | 0 | 5,168 | 0 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 1,193 | 236 | 0 | 1,429 | 1,124 | 217 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 821 | 12 | 4 | 837 | 825 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 12,955 | 1,764 | 270 | 14,989 | 11,810 | 1,127 |
| GEORGIA | 8,416 | 346 | 90 | 8,852 | 7,433 | 198 |
| HAWAII | 828 | 239 | 6 | 1,072 | 803 | 165 |
| IDAHO | 1,357 | 18 | 27 | 1,401 | 1,266 | 10 |
| ILLINOIS | 17,544 | 176 | 534 | 18,254 | 13,808 | 2 |
| INDIANA | 5,229 | 608 | 10 | 5,847 | 4,528 | 502 |
| IOWA | 3,278 | 575 | 1 | 3,854 | 2,878 | 70 |
| KANSAS | 3,083 |  | 46 | 3,129 | 2,690 |  |
| KENTUCKY | 4,894 | 330 | 65 | 5,289 | 4,416 | 177 |
| LOUISIANA | 5,242 | 2,163 | 100 | 7,505 | 4,754 | 1,379 |
| MAINE | 1,832 | 85 | 3 | 1,920 | 1,690 | 34 |
| MARYLAND | 6,077 | 550 | 87 | 6,714 | 5,693 | 244 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 8,292 |  | 106 | 8,397 | 8,037 |  |
| MICHIGAN | 11,484 | 659 | 26 | 12,168 | 10,024 | 394 |
| MINNESOTA | 6,228 | 539 | 26 | 6,793 | 5,792 | 257 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 3,681 | 338 | 104 | 4,123 | 3,373 | 186 |
| MISSOURI | 7,644 | 837 | 111 | 8,593 | 7,012 | 764 |
| MONTANA | 769 | 39 | 86 | 894 | 182 | 1 |
| NEBRASKA | 1,896 | 114 | 6 | 2,016 | 1,685 | 53 |
| NEVADA | 1,596 | 34 | 10 | 1,640 | 1,437 | 16 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 1,409 | 183 | 6 | 1,598 | 1,297 | 147 |
| NEW JERSEY | 13,467 | 0 | 372 | 13,839 | 12,501 | 0 |
| NEW MEXICO | 2,953 | 394 | 41 | 3,389 | 2,431 | 268 |
| NEW YORK | 25,306 | 5,056 | 143 | 30,505 | 23,565 | 3,351 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 6,805 | 714 | 127 | 7,647 | 6,446 | 518 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 816 | 29 | 15 | 860 | 776 | 21 |
| OHIO | 13,376 | 305 | 313 | 13,994 | 11,425 | 0 |
| OKLAHOMA | 3,658 | 83 | 6 | 3,747 | 3,462 | 62 |
| OREGON | 2,917 | 57 | 34 | 3,007 | 2,629 | 31 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 13,119 | 0 | 5 | 13,124 | 11,993 | 0 |
| PUERTO RICO | 2,657 | 0 | 7 | 2,664 | 0 | 0 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 1,395 | 6 | 3 | 1,404 | 1,361 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 4,134 | 268 | 107 | 4,509 | 3,688 | 149 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 798 | 16 | 4 | 818 | 683 | 12 |
| TENNESSEE | 4,314 | 0 | 13 | 4,327 | 4,314 | 0 |
| TEXAS | 20,290 | 2,795 |  | 23,085 | 17,186 | 1,309 |
| UTAH | 2,233 | 70 | 8 | 2,311 | 2,056 | 37 |
| VERMONT | 747 | 4 | 4 | 754 | 668 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 8,885 | 1,028 | 62 | 9,974 | 8,281 | 567 |
| WASHINGTON | 4,230 | 58 | 24 | 4,312 | 3,773 | 45 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 2,264 | 267 | 23 | 2,554 | 2,123 | 135 |
| WISCONSIN | 6,419 | 142 | 55 | 6,616 | 5,417 | 70 |
| WYOMING | 723 | 0 | 0 | 723 | . |  |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 11 | 44 | 0 | 55 | 10 | 40 |
| GUAM | 159 | 3 | 15 | 177 | 153 | 2 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 39 |  | 4 | 43 | 19 |  |
| PALAU | 10 | 19 | 2 | 31 | 9 | 18 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS |  | - | $\dot{7}$ |  | - |  |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 369 | 49 | 17 | 435 | 329 | 37 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 298,253 | 25,845 | 3,757 | 327,855 | 259,902 | 15,341 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 297,664 | 25,730 | 3,719 | 327,114 | 259,382 | 15,245 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
The total FTE for the U.S. and Outlying Areas and the 50 States, D.C., and Puerto Rico may not equal the sum of the individual States and outlying areas because of rounding.

Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS)

## Table AC3

Total Number of Teachers Employed and Vacant Funded Positions (In Full-Time Equivalency) to Provide Special Education and Related Services for Children and Youth with Disabilities, by Disability, Ages 6-21

During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | SPECIFIC <br> LEARNING |  |  | SPEECH <br> OR LANGUAGE <br> -IMPAIRMENTS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -------EMPLOYED------- |  |  | -------EMPLOYED------- |  |  |
|  | FULLY | NOT FULLY | VACANT | FULLY | NOT FULLY | VACANT |
|  | CERTIFIED | CERTIFIED | POSITIONS | CERTIFIED | CERTIFIED | POSITIONS |
| ALABAMA | 1,554 | 74 | 22 | 548 | 13 | 8 |
| ARKANSAS | 1,359 | 79 | 6 | 421 | 10 | 58 |
| COLORADO | 1,531 | 311 | 6 | . | . | . |
| CONNECTICUT | 2,476 | 0 | 0 | 803 | 0 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 27 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 4,880 | 18 | 80 | 2,487 | 117 | 155 |
| INDIANA | 2,172 | 218 | 1 | 2,487 | 117 | 155 |
| IOWA | 211 | 40 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| KENTUCKY | 1,540 | 105 | 9 | 598 | 37 | 19 |
| LOUISIANA | 1,670 | 961 | 7 | 903 | 25 | 77 |
| MAINE | 724 | 37 | 0 | 390 | 6 | 2 |
| MARYLAND | 1,670 | 961 | 7 | 903 | 25 | 77 |
| MINNESOTA | 2,475 | 130 | 3 | . | . | . |
| MISSISSIPPI | 2,158 | 177 | 37 | 633 | 79 | 45 |
| MISSOURI | 3,616 | 317 | 27 | 1,241 | 20 | 44 |
| MONTANA | 439 | 22 | 49 | 155 | 8 | 17 |
| NEBRASKA | 762 | 28 | 1 | 459 | 27 | 4 |
| NEVADA | 1,016 | 25 | 1 | 196 | 5 | 2 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 679 | 66 | 1 | 226 | 12 | 0 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 2,563 | 191 | 22 | 873 | 72 | 48 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 344 | 13 | 4 | 118 | 4 | 4 |
| OHIO | 3,989 | 98 | 102 | 1,590 | 28 | 44 |
| OKLAHOMA | 1,804 | 26 | 1 | 160 | 13 | 2 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 1,587 | 124 | 6 | 586 | 2 | 35 |
| TENNESSEE | 2,069 | 0 | 4 | 489 | 0 | 5 |
| VERMONT | 366 | 1 | 1 | 734 | 73 | 9 |
| VIRGINIA | 4,421 | 437 | 20 | 734 | 73 | 9 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 1,001 | 93 | 9 | . | . | - |
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## Table AC3

Total Number of Teachers Employed and Vacant Funded Positions (In Full-Time Equivalency) to Provide Special Education and Related Services for Children and Youth with Disabilities, by Disability, Ages 6-21

During the 1995-96 School Year
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## Table AC3

Total Number of Teachers Employed and Vacant Funded Positions (In Full-Time Equivalency) to Provide Special Education and Related Services for Children and Youth with Disabilities, by Disability, Ages 6-21

> During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | MULTIPLE |  |  | HEARING |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -------EMP | YED- |  | -------EMP |  |  |
|  | FULLY | NOT FULLY | VACANT | FULLY | NOT FULLY | VACANT |
|  | CERTIFIED | CERTIFIED | POSITIONS | CERTIFIED | CERTIFIED | POSITIONS |
| ALABAMA | 143 | 4 | 1 | 147 | 4 | 5 |
| ARKANSAS | 56 | 4 | 0 | 25 | 1 | 0 |
| COLORADO | 250 | 48 | 2 | 108 | 10 | 0 |
| CONNECTICUT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 12 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 74 | 17 | 0 | 667 | 7 | 13 |
| INDIANA | 115 | 15 | 0 | 230 | 2 | 4 |
| IOWA | 74 | 17 | 0 | 93 | 11 | 0 |
| KENTUCKY | 123 | 9 | 0 | 132 | 6 | 2 |
| LOUISIANA | 77 | 46 | 0 | 186 | 37 | 1 |
| MAINE | 153 | 8 | 0 | 25 | 1 | 0 |
| MARYLAND | 77 | 46 | 0 | 186 | 37 | 1 |
| MINNESOTA | . | . | . | 225 | 10 | 1 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 50 | 4 | 4 | 74 | 3 | 3 |
| MISSOURI | 55 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 8 | 1 |
| MONTANA | 22 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 1 |
| NEBRASKA | 31 | 5 | 0 | 40 | 1 | 2 |
| NEVADA | 37 | 1 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 68 | 6 | 0 | 26 | 2 | 0 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 141 | 18 | 4 | 149 | 6 | 3 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 141 | 18 | 4 | 35 | 2 | 1 |
| OHIO | 1,708 | 38 | 36 | 291 | 3 | 8 |
| OKLAHOMA | 190 | 5 | 1 | 86 | 1 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 24 | 4 | 0 | 131 | 7 | 7 |
| TENNESSEE | 169 | 0 | 0 | 124 | 0 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 27 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 364 | 52 | 3 | 209 | 5 | 4 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | . | . | . | 67 | 10 | 2 |

States were allowed to use their own classification scheme in identifying special education teachers. Thirty States and outlying areas used schemes other than the federal disability categories. For more information on the classification scheme used, see the data notes at the end of these tables.

Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AC3

Total Number of Teachers Employed and Vacant Funded Positions (In Full-Time Equivalency) to Provide Special Education and Related Services for Children and Youth with Disabilities, by Disability, Ages 6-21

> During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | ORTHOPEDIC$\qquad$ |  |  | OTHER HEALTH -IMPAIRMENTS- |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -------EMPLOYED------- |  |  | -------EMPLOYED------- |  |  |
|  | FULLY | NOT FULLY | VACANT | FULLY | NOT FULLY | VACANT |
|  | CERTIFIED | CERTIFIED | POSITIONS | CERTIFIED | CERTIFIED | POSITIONS |
| ALABAMA | 15 | 1 | 0 | 35 | 3 | 0 |
| ARKANSAS | 10 | 1 | 0 | 153 | 9 | 0 |
| COLORADO | 170 | 33 | 1 | 153 | 9 | 0 |
| CONNECTICUT | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 309 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| INDIANA | 85 | 4 | 1 | 78 | 6 | 0 |
| IOWA | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KENTUCKY | 21 | 1 | 0 | 71 | 6 | 0 |
| LOUISIANA | 88 | 24 | 0 | 161 | 79 | 0 |
| MAINE | 6 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 2 | 0 |
| MARYLAND | 88 | 24 | 0 | 161 | 79 | 0 |
| MINNESOTA | 60 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 88 | 9 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSOURI | 161 | 92 | 2 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| MONTANA | 3 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 1 | 3 |
| NEBRASKA | 33 | 3 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 0 |
| NEVADA | 9 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 23 | 1 | 0 | 70 | 10 | 2 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 63 | 2 | 0 | 265 | 17 | 0 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| OHIO | 202 | 8 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OKLAHOMA | 26 | 2 | 0 | 46 | 5 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 66 | 1 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 1 |
| TENNESSEE | 83 | 0 | 0 | 246 | 0 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 8 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 1 |
| VIRGINIA | 73 | 8 | 0 | 65 | 6 | 1 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 39 | 2 | 0 | 26 | 3 | 0 |

States were allowed to use their own classification scheme in identifying special education teachers. Thirty States and outlying areas used schemes other than the federal disability categories. For more information on the classification scheme used, see the data notes at the end of these tables.

Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AC3

Total Number of Teachers Employed and Vacant Funded Positions (In Full-Time Equivalency) to Provide Special Education and Related Services for Children and Youth with Disabilities, by Disability, Ages 6-21

## During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | AL |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | --- | MPAIRMENTS | ----- | ------ | -AUTISM- |  |
|  | -------EMPLOYED------- |  | VACANT | -------EMP LOYED------- |  |  |
|  | FULLY | NOT FULLY |  | FULLY | NOT FULLY | VACANT |
|  | CERTIFIED | CERTIFIED | POSITIONS | CERTIFIED | CERTIFIED | POSITIONS |
| ALABAMA | 52 | 5 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 1 |
| ARKANSAS | 7 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 0 |
| COLORADO | 40 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 0 |
| CONNECTICUT | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 6 | 7 | 0 | 23 | 6 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 234 | 1 | 14 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| INDIANA | 96 | 6 | 0 | 92 | 20 | 0 |
| IOWA | 23 | 7 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| KENTUCKY | 88 | 3 | 3 | 18 | 1 | 0 |
| LOUISIANA | 70 | 10 | 5 | 101 | 61 | 2 |
| MAINE | 8 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 0 |
| MARYLAND | 70 | 10 | 5 | 101 | 61 | 2 |
| MINNESOTA | 77 | 4 | 3 | 56 | 0 | 1 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 21 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSOURI | 64 | 0 | 2 | 60 | 0 | 0 |
| MONTANA | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 8 | 12 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 |
| NEVADA | 17 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 13 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 0 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 88 | 4 | 2 | 169 | 22 | 8 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 10 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| OHIO | 89 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OKLAHOMA | 45 | 1 | 0 | 22 | 1 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 70 | 4 | 5 | 26 | 2 | 2 |
| TENNESSEE | 72 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 3 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 105 | 13 | 4 | 121 | 11 | 0 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 3 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 17 | 1 |

States were allowed to use their own classification scheme in identifying special education teachers. Thirty States and outlying areas used schemes other than the federal disability categories. For more information on the classification scheme used, see the data notes at the end of these tables.

Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AC3

Total Number of Teachers Employed and Vacant Funded Positions (In Full-Time Equivalency) to Provide Special Education and Related Services for Children and Youth with Disabilities, by Disability, Ages 6-21

> During the 1995-96 School Year


States were allowed to use their own classification scheme in identifying special education teachers. Thirty States and outlying areas used schemes other than the federal disability categories. For more information on the classification scheme used, see the data notes at the end of these tables.

Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AC3

# Total Number of Teachers Employed and Vacant Funded Positions (In Full-Time Equivalency) to Provide Special Education and Related Services for Children and Youth with Disabilities, by Disability, Ages 6-21 

During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | CROSS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -----------CATEGORICAL |  |  |
|  | $\qquad$ |  |  |
|  | FULLY | NOT FULLY | VACANT |
|  | CERTIFIED | CERTIFIED | POSITIONS |
| ALABAMA | 479 | 48 | 2 |
| ARKANSAS | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| COLORADO | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CONNECTICUT | 455 | 0 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 1,057 | 184 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 4,764 | 0 | 120 |
| INDIANA | 4,764 | 0 | 120 |
| IOWA | 1,993 | 347 | 0 |
| KENTUCKY | 458 | 19 | 7 |
| LOUISIANA | 460 | 119 | 2 |
| MAINE | 6,077 | 550 | 87 |
| MARYLAND | 6,077 | 550 | 87 |
| MINNESOTA | 26 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 26 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSOURI | 26 | 0 | 0 |
| MONTANA | 26 | 0 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 26 | 0 | 0 |
| NEVADA | 26 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 26 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 26 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 26 | 0 | 0 |
| OHIO | 137 | 0 | 0 |
| OKLAHOMA | 137 | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 144 | 15 | 3 |
| TENNESSEE | 144 | 15 | 3 |
| VERMONT | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 125 | 59 | 11 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | . | . | . |

States were allowed to use their own classification scheme in identifying special education teachers. Thirty States and outlying areas used schemes other than the federal disability categories. For more information on the classification scheme used, see the data notes at the end of these tables.

Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AC4

Number and Type of Other Personnel Employed and Vacant Funded Positions (In Full-Time Equivalency) to Provide Special Education and Related Services for Children and Youth with Disabilities, Ages 3-21, by Personnel Category During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | SCHOOL |  |  | OCCUPATIONAL |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ----------SOCIAL WORKERS----------- |  |  | -THERAP ISTS- |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FULLY | NOT FULLY | VACANT | FULLY | NOT FULLY | VACANT |
|  | CERTIFIED | CERTIFIED | POSITIONS | CERTIFIED | CERTIFIED | POSITIONS |
| ALABAMA | 12 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 1 | 5 |
| ALASKA | 1 | 1 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 104 | 2 | 0 | 57 | 4 | 11 |
| ARKANSAS | 2 | 2 | 5 | 32 | 22 | 20 |
| CALIFORNIA | 44 | 1 | 1 | 92 | 17 | 3 |
| COLORADO | 278 | 13 | 2 | 145 | 11 | 10 |
| CONNECTICUT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 6 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 64 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 319 | 0 | 0 | 214 | 3 | 40 |
| GEORGIA | 105 | 3 | 1 | 107 | 0 | 12 |
| HAWAII | 41 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| IDAHO | 53 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 10 |
| ILLINOIS | 2,031 | 179 | 23 | 447 | 0 | 0 |
| INDIANA | 51 | 1 | 3 | 117 | 4 | 1 |
| IOWA | 210 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 4 |
| KANSAS | 185 | . | 1 | 86 | . | 15 |
| KENTUCKY | 23 | 1 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 16 |
| LOUISIANA | 253 | 4 | 4 | 106 | 3 | 10 |
| MAINE | 109 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 2 |
| MARYLAND | 273 | 7 | 22 | 151 | 2 | 4 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 684 | . | 11 | 189 | . | 10 |
| MICHIGAN | 994 | 108 | 1 | 357 | 3 | 0 |
| MINNESOTA | 687 | 0 | 0 | 372 | 0 | 1 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 20 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSOURI | 72 | 0 | 0 | 103 | 0 | 0 |
| MONTANA | 11 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 2 |
| NEBRASKA | 9 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 1 |
| NEVADA | 3 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 8 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 37 | 7 | 0 | 110 | 2 | 2 |
| NEW JERSEY | 1,523 | 0 | 14 | 202 | 0 | 10 |
| NEW MEXICO | 132 | 9 | 10 | 166 | 2 | 32 |
| NEW YORK | 2,686 | 141 | 114 | 1,378 | . | 269 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 173 | 13 | 5 | 127 | 0 | 21 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 47 | 2 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 1 |
| OHIO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 279 | 13 | 42 |
| OKLAHOMA | 5 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 1 | 2 |
| OREGON | 38 | 2 | 0 | 96 | 1 | 3 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 161 | 0 | 1 | 270 | 0 | 0 |
| PUERTO RICO | 116 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 2 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 107 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 4 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 56 | 20 | 3 | 62 | 1 | 6 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 10 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 26 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 1 |
| TEXAS | 5 | 57 | - | 1 | 174 | . |
| UTAH | 25 | 2 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 4 |
| VERMONT | 20 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 398 | 19 | 1 | 198 | 0 | 21 |
| WASHINGTON | 102 | 0 | 7 | 334 | 3 | 9 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 1 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 7 |
| WISCONSIN | 452 | 2 | 1 | 312 | 1 | 0 |
| WYOMING | 65 | . | 0 | 36 | . | 5 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | $\dot{0}$ | 1 | $\dot{0}$ | . | . |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 11 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 3 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 12,838 | 598 | 240 | 6,915 | 275 | 632 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 12,822 | 596 | 236 | 6,908 | 275 | 627 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
The total FTE for the U.S. and Outlying Areas and the 50 States, D.C., and Puerto Rico may not equal the sum of the individual States and outlying areas because of rounding.
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AC4

Number and Type of Other Personnel Employed and Vacant Funded Positions (In Full-Time Equivalency) to Provide Special Education and Related Services for Children and Youth with Disabilities, Ages 3-21, by Personnel Category During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | ------RECREATION SPECIALISTS--------------EMPLOYED------- |  |  | PHYSICAL |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | _-_-_-_-_-_---THERAPISTS <br> -------EMP LOYED------- |  |  |
|  | FULLY | NOT FULLY | VACANT | FULLY | NOT FULLY | VACANT |
|  | CERTIFIED | CERTIFIED | POSITIONS | CERTIFIED | CERTIFIED | POSITIONS |
| ALABAMA | 1 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 1 | 5 |
| ALASKA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 2 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 11 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 1 | 12 |
| ARKANSAS | 1 | 0 | 1 | 41 | 9 | 11 |
| CALIFORNIA | 1 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 2 | 0 |
| COLORADO |  |  |  | 48 | 6 | 5 |
| CONNECTICUT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 12 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 5 | 0 | 0 | 144 | 1 | 25 |
| GEORGIA | 27 | 2 | 2 | 92 | 0 | 3 |
| HAWAII | 1 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| IDAHO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 3 |
| ILLINOIS | 12 | 0 | 0 | 255 | 0 | 27 |
| INDIANA | 19 | 1 | 0 | 99 | 3 | 1 |
| IOWA | 7 | 0 | 1 | 31 | 0 | 5 |
| KANSAS | 0 |  | 0 | 48 |  | 12 |
| KENTUCKY | 3 | 15 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 7 |
| LOUISIANA | 1 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 14 |
| MAINE | 1 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 1 |
| MARYLAND | 27 | 12 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 5 |
| MASSACHUSETTS |  |  |  | 104 |  | 2 |
| MICHIGAN | 3 | 0 | 0 | 199 | 1 | 1 |
| MINNESOTA |  |  |  | 115 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 6 | 0 | 1 | 26 | 0 | 5 |
| MISSOURI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 |
| MONTANA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 1 |
| NEBRASKA |  |  |  | 19 | 0 | 1 |
| NEVADA | 6 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 5 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 8 | 2 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 11 | 0 | 0 | 143 | 0 | 12 |
| NEW MEXICO | 2 | 2 | 1 | 78 | 0 | 19 |
| NEW YORK | 71 |  | 1 | 858 |  | 70 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 13 | 7 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 14 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 2 | 1 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 1 |
| OHIO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 185 | 7 | 37 |
| OKLAHOMA | 2 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 1 | 3 |
| OREGON | 2 | 1 | 0 | 74 | 2 | 3 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 7 | 0 | 1 | 191 | 0 | 2 |
| PUERTO RICO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 4 | 1 | 0 | 35 | 2 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 1 | 8 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 3 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 6 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 7 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 1 |
| TEXAS | 2 | 4 |  | 6 | 88 |  |
| UTAH | 13 | 2 | 0 | 59 | 5 | 2 |
| VERMONT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 1 | 0 | 0 | 159 | 9 | 6 |
| WASHINGTON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 173 | 2 | 10 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 0 | 0 | , | 23 | 0 | 4 |
| WISCONSIN | . | . | . | 200 | 7 | 0 |
| WYOMING |  | . |  | 22 |  | 2 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 1 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 |
| U.S. And OUtlying areas | 299 | 59 | 8 | 4,352 | 150 | 350 |
| 50 States, D.C. \& P.R. | 296 | 58 | 8 | 4,345 | 150 | 342 |

[^52]The total FTE for the U.S. and Outlying Areas and the 50 States, D.C., and Puerto Rico may not equal the sum of the individual States and outlying areas because of rounding.
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AC4

Number and Type of Other Personnel Employed and Vacant Funded Positions (In Full-Time Equivalency) to Provide Special Education and Related Services for Children and Youth with Disabilities, Ages 3-21, by Personnel Category During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE |  |  |  | PHYSICAL EDUCATION |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -------E M P \\ & \text { FULLY } \\ & \text { CERTIFIED } \end{aligned}$ | NOT FULLY CERTIFIED | VACANT <br> POSITIONS |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALABAMA | 1,984 | 211 | 11 | 104 | 1 | 1 |
| ALASKA | 10 | 848 | 6 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 1,316 | 2,163 | 62 | 96 | 5 | 0 |
| ARKANSAS | 1,323 | 0 | 14 | 9 | 0 | 2 |
| CALIFORNIA | 20,192 | 6,296 | 321 | 760 | 52 | 8 |
| COLORADO | 3,067 | - | 0 | 37 | 2 | 0 |
| CONNECTICUT | 4,268 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 127 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 327 |  | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 9,222 | 0 | 96 | 191 | 12 | 0 |
| GEORGIA | 4,701 | 100 | 24 | 57 | 1 | 0 |
| HAWAII | 454 | 44 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| IDAHO | 1,549 | 0 | 34 | 24 | 0 | 4 |
| ILLINOIS | 16,323 | 0 | 41 | 165 | 0 | 1 |
| INDIANA | 4,445 | 0 | 4 | 24 | 0 | 0 |
| IOWA | 3,035 | 0 | 9 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 5,624 |  | 23 | 49 | . | 1 |
| KENTUCKY | 1,843 | 1,095 | 23 | 71 | 0 | 0 |
| LOUISIANA | 5,934 | 138 | 40 | 369 | 56 | 1 |
| MAINE | 2,067 | 66 | 8 | 26 | 0 | 0 |
| MARYLAND | 3,879 | 0 | 69 | 111 | 6 | 1 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 6,966 |  | 363 | 135 | . | 1 |
| MICHIGAN | 2,892 | 0 | 1 | 78 | 4 | 0 |
| MINNESOTA | 7,617 | 0 | 16 | 284 | 49 | 0 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 859 | 0 | 9 | 33 | 2 | 0 |
| MISSOURI | 4,528 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 |
| MONTANA | 744 | 0 | 15 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 1,736 | . | 5 | . | . | . |
| NEVADA | 838 | 79 | 1 | 39 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 1,793 | 1,130 | 10 | 14 | 4 | 0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 9,061 | 0 | 138 | 355 | 0 | 4 |
| NEW MEXICO | 1,085 | 994 | 58 | 47 | 1 | 2 |
| NEW YORK | 12,223 | . | 137 | 1,158 | 215 | 3 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 5,198 | 2 | 93 | 34 | 1 | 3 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 865 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 |
| OHIO | 3,491 | 86 | 269 | 169 | 6 | 7 |
| OKLAHOMA | 1,753 | 82 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 |
| OREGON | 2,193 | 1,339 | 47 | 106 | 0 | 0 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 6,542 | 0 | 3 | 74 | 0 | 1 |
| PUERTO RICO | 82 | 0 | 1 | 125 | 0 | 1 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 986 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 1,985 | 389 | 14 | 37 | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 902 | 0 | 8 | 18 | 0 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 3,149 | 0 | 25 | 19 | 0 | 1 |
| TEXAS | 53 | 15,827 | - | . | - | - |
| UTAH | 0 | 2,023 | 5 | 22 | 0 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 2,288 | 0 | 7 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 5,698 | 159 | 30 | 133 | 1 | 1 |
| WASHINGTON | 4,069 | 254 | 30 | 37 | 2 | 1 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 1,224 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 |
| WISCONSIN | 4,912 | 70 | 27 | 305 | 0 | 1 |
| WYOMING | 1,122 | - | 0 | 16 | . | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 0 | 147 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 62 | - | 2 | . | - | . |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS |  |  | . |  | . |  |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 210 | 229 | 15 | 9 | 0 | 1 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 188,820 | 33,788 | 2,129 | 5,610 | 422 | 46 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 188,544 | 33,411 | 2,112 | 5,601 | 422 | 45 |

## Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.

The total FTE for the U.S. and Outlying Areas and the 50 States, D.C., and Puerto Rico may not equal the sum of the individual States and outlying areas because of rounding.

Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AC4

Number and Type of Other Personnel Employed and Vacant Funded Positions (In Full-Time Equivalency) to Provide Special Education and Related Services for Children and Youth with Disabilities, Ages 3-21, by Personnel Category During the 1995-96 School Year

|  | SUPERVISORS/ |  |  | OTHER PROFESSIONAL |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -------EMP LOYED------- |  |  | -------EMPLOYED------- |  |  |
|  | FULLY | NOT FULLY | VACANT | FULLY | NOT FULLY | VACANT |
|  | CERTIFIED | CERTIFIED | POSITIONS | CERTIFIED | CERTIFIED | POSITIONS |
| ALABAMA | 196 | 1 | 2 | 193 | 0 | 7 |
| ALASKA | 33 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 162 | 5 | 0 | 119 | 6 | 1 |
| ARKANSAS | 147 | 24 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 5 |
| CALIFORNIA | 823 | 6 | 3 | 3,578 | 133 | 65 |
| COLORADO | 116 | 18 | 1 | 204 | 32 | 2 |
| CONNECTICUT | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 22 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 355 | 0 | 2 | 1,448 | 0 | 11 |
| GEORGIA | 362 | 1 | 2 | 354 | 3 | 4 |
| HAWAII | 13 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| IDAHO | 85 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 803 | 3 | 6 | 882 | 0 | 37 |
| INDIANA | 264 | 11 | 1 | 1,279 | 0 | 0 |
| IOWA | 143 | 5 | 2 | 357 | 13 | 3 |
| KANSAS | 54 | . | 0 | 166 | . | 0 |
| KENTUCKY | 185 | 4 | 6 | 91 | 1 | 7 |
| LOUISIANA | 246 | 0 | 4 | 300 | 6 | 1 |
| MAINE | 116 | 7 | 0 | 77 | 5 | 0 |
| MARYLAND | 279 | 19 | 8 | 286 | 22 | 7 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 326 | . | 2 | 1,768 |  | 22 |
| MICHIGAN | 458 | 90 | 0 | 270 | 35 | 0 |
| MINNESOTA | 158 | 0 | 1 | 213 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 177 | 0 | 5 | 114 | 19 | 7 |
| MISSOURI | 272 | 0 | 0 | 141 | 0 | 0 |
| MONTANA | 32 | 3 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 3 |
| NEBRASKA | 74 | . | . | 2 |  | . |
| NEVADA | 55 | 1 | 0 | 144 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 154 | 8 | 0 | 360 | 4 | 3 |
| NEW JERSEY | 868 | 0 | 21 | 381 | 0 | 9 |
| NEW MEXICO | 75 | 5 | 2 | 61 | 4 | 7 |
| NEW YORK | 2,597 | 103 | 36 | 9,086 | 1,904 | 130 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 246 | 11 | 7 | 338 | 17 | 12 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 61 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 3 | 0 |
| OHIO | 495 | 8 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OKLAHOMA | 148 | 1 | 1 | 302 | 1 | 2 |
| OREGON | 227 | 10 | 4 | 358 | 102 | 12 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 1,320 | 0 | 0 | 1,077 | 0 | 0 |
| PUERTO RICO | 91 | 0 | 7 | 46 | 0 | 3 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 63 | 1 | 0 | 129 | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 169 | 6 | 2 | 182 | 11 | 1 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 78 | 1 | 2 | 45 | 1 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 172 | 0 | 4 | 332 | 0 | 2 |
| TEXAS | . | - | . | 20 | 41 | . |
| UTAH | 108 | 5 | 0 | 46 | 9 | 0 |
| VERMONT | . | - | . | 27 | 1 | 1 |
| VIRGINIA | 424 | 9 | 8 | 478 | 31 | 2 |
| WASHINGTON | 230 | 3 | 2 | 230 | 9 | 1 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 72 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 1 | 0 |
| WISCONSIN | 237 | 11 | 13 | . | . | . |
| WYOMING | 65 | . | 0 | 32 | - | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | . | . | . | 1 | . | 1 |
| PALAU | . | . | . | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | - | - | - | . | . | . |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 34 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 9 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 14,004 | 385 | 176 | 25,808 | 2,414 | 377 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 13,964 | 381 | 170 | 25,801 | 2,413 | 367 |

[^53]The total FTE for the U.S. and Outlying Areas and the 50 States, D.C., and Puerto Rico may not equal the sum of the individual States and outlying areas because of rounding.

Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AC4

Number and Type of Other Personnel Employed and Vacant Funded Positions (In Full-Time Equivalency) to Provide Special Education and Related Services for Children and Youth with Disabilities, Ages 3-21, by Personnel Category During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | ------------PSYCHOLOGISTS------------------- |  |  | DIAGNOSTIC \& EVALUATION |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ---STAFF--- | ---------- |
|  |  |  |  | FULLY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OYED------ } \\ & \text { NOT FULLY } \end{aligned}$ | VACANT |
|  | CERTIFIED | CERTIFIED | POSITIONS | CERTIFIED | CERTIFIED | POSITIONS |
| ALABAMA | 47 | 1 | 2 | 154 | 1 | 8 |
| ALASKA | 77 | 0 | 0 | . | . | . |
| ARIZONA | 469 | 10 | 11 | 38 | 3 | 0 |
| ARKANSAS | 3 | 1 | 1 | 112 | 14 | 8 |
| CALIFORNIA | 2,462 | 39 | 26 | 126 | 2 | 0 |
| COLORADO | 404 | 19 | 4 | . |  |  |
| CONNECTICUT | 674 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 83 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 100 | 0 | 0 | . |  |  |
| FLORIDA | 653 | 0 | 11 | 183 | 0 | 5 |
| GEORGIA | 495 | 6 | 5 | 91 | 0 | 0 |
| HAWAII | 6 | 0 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 9 |
| IDAHO | 120 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 1,465 | 105 | 57 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| INDIANA | 417 | 6 | 1 | 61 | 0 | 0 |
| IOWA | 310 | 18 | 2 | 44 | 0 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 416 | . | 2 | 13 | . | 0 |
| KENTUCKY | 186 | 7 | 10 | 112 | 2 | 4 |
| LOUISIANA | 309 | 7 | 14 | 418 | 0 | 7 |
| MAINE | 83 | 1 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 0 |
| MARYLAND | 350 | 8 | 13 | 204 | 4 | 11 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 522 | . | 10 | . | . | . |
| MICHIGAN | 814 | 37 | 1 | - | - | - |
| MINNESOTA | 476 | 19 | 2 | - | . | - |
| MISSISSIPPI | 47 | 0 | 0 | 124 | 3 | 6 |
| MISSOURI | 19 | 14 | 2 | 505 | 0 | 0 |
| MONTANA | 92 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 168 | 3 | 0 | 5 |  |  |
| NEVADA | 147 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 117 | 1 | 1 | 107 | 2 | 0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 1,107 | 0 | 19 | 4,009 | 0 | 59 |
| NEW MEXICO | 90 | 16 | 6 | 210 | 56 | 23 |
| NEW YORK | 3,379 | 330 | 230 | 1,352 | 21 | 161 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 484 | 19 | 27 | 109 | 3 | 5 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 32 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| OHIO | 1,308 | 11 | 24 | 164 | 1 | 1 |
| OKLAHOMA | 85 | 2 | 1 | 70 | 2 | 0 |
| OREGON | 250 | 10 | 3 | 56 | 4 | 0 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 836 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| PUERTO RICO | 7 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 1 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 145 | 0 | 0 | 110 | 2 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 291 | 7 | 15 | 5 | 1 | 0 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 57 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 261 | 0 | 1 | 61 | 0 | 0 |
| TEXAS | 82 | 312 |  | 2,028 | 125 |  |
| UTAH | 116 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 42 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 536 | 4 | 6 | 89 | 2 | 0 |
| WASHINGTON | 750 | 17 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 113 | 5 | 2 | 78 | 0 | 0 |
| WISCONSIN | 772 | 2 | 3 | 248 | 44 | 0 |
| WYOMING | 52 | . | 0 | 57 | . | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| GUAM | 10 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | . | . | . | - | . | . |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 22 | 4 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 1 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 22,357 | 1,064 | 541 | 11,253 | 293 | 308 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 22,325 | 1,060 | 537 | 11,237 | 291 | 307 |

[^54]The total FTE for the U.S. and Outlying Areas and the 50 States, D.C., and Puerto Rico may not equal the sum of the individual States and outlying areas because of rounding.
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AC4

Number and Type of Other Personnel Employed and Vacant Funded Positions (In Full-Time Equivalency) to Provide Special Education and Related Services for Children and Youth with Disabilities, Ages 3-21, by Personnel Category During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE |  |  |  | WORK-STUDY ------------COORDINATORS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -----------AUDIOLOGISTS------------ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -------EMPI |  |  | --EMP | OYED |  |
|  |  | NOT FULLY | VACANT | FULLY | NOT FULLY | VACANT |
|  | CERTIFIED | CERTIFIED | POSITIONS | CERTIFIED | CERTIFIED | POSITIONS |
| ALABAMA | 14 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 1 |
| ALASKA | 4 | 0 | 0 |  | . |  |
| ARIZONA | 12 | 1 | 2 | 42 | 6 | 1 |
| ARKANSAS | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| CALIFORNIA | 57 | 2 | 2 | 41 | 1 | 0 |
| COLORADO | 33 | 1 | 0 | . | . | . |
| CONNECTICUT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 4 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 40 | 0 | 1 | 88 | 0 | 1 |
| GEORGIA | 33 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 1 | 0 |
| HAWAII | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| IDAHO | 11 | 0 | 5 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 45 | 0 | 0 | . | . | . |
| INDIANA | 12 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 2 | 0 |
| IOWA | 59 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 2 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 19 | . | 1 | 25 | . | 1 |
| KENTUCKY | 11 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 6 | 1 |
| LOUISIANA | 21 | 0 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 2 |
| MAINE | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| MARYLAND | 25 | 0 | 1 | 57 | 8 | 0 |
| MASSACHUSETTS |  | . | . |  | . | . |
| MICHIGAN | 23 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 5 | 0 |
| MINNESOTA | 42 | 0 | 0 | 175 | . | . |
| MISSISSIPPI | 17 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 3 |
| MISSOURI | 14 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| MONTANA | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 8 | 0 | 1 | 28 | 0 | 0 |
| NEVADA | 3 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 1 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 5 | 2 |
| NEW JERSEY | 35 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW MEXICO | 16 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 3 | 2 |
| NEW YORK | 138 | 2 | 1 | 73 | 6 | 2 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 35 | 0 | 1 | 45 | 2 | 6 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
| OHIO | 34 | 0 | 0 | 232 | 8 | 11 |
| OKLAHOMA | 3 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 1 | 0 |
| OREGON | 14 | 1 | 0 | 26 | 11 | 1 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 21 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| PUERTO RICO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 2 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 12 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 3 | 4 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 31 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 1 |
| TEXAS | 23 | 13 | - | . | - | . |
| UTAH | 22 | 2 | 0 | 21 | 1 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 2 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 2 | 1 |
| VIRGINIA | 22 | 0 | 1 | 37 | 3 | 0 |
| WASHINGTON | 35 | 1 | 0 | 69 | 4 | 4 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 5 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 1 |
| WISCONSIN | 13 | 1 | 0 | . | . | 0 |
| WYOMING | 8 | $\dot{0}$ | 0 | - | . | . |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| GUAM | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 1 | $\dot{0}$ | 0 | 1 | $\dot{0}$ | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 998 | 24 | 26 | 1,464 | 92 | 45 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 993 | 24 | 25 | 1,459 | 86 | 45 |

[^55]The total FTE for the U.S. and Outlying Areas and the 50 States, D.C., and Puerto Rico may not equal the sum of the individual States and outlying areas because of rounding.
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AC4

Number and Type of Other Personnel Employed and Vacant Funded Positions (In Full-Time Equivalency) to Provide Special Education and Related Services for Children and Youth with Disabilities, Ages 3-21, by Personnel Category During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | VOCATIONAL EDUCATION |  |  | -COUNSELORS- |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | --------EMPLOYED------- VACANT |  |  | -------EMP LOYED------- |  |  |
|  | FULLY | NOT FULLY | VACANT | FULLY | NOT FULLY | VACANT |
|  | CERTIFIED | CERTIFIED | POSITIONS | CERTIFIED | CERTIFIED | POSITIONS |
| Alabama | 112 | 0 | 3 | 108 | 0 | 2 |
| ALASKA |  | . |  | 16 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 60 | 5 | 1 | 217 | 12 | 2 |
| ARKANSAS | 8 | 6 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| CALIFORNIA | 214 | 10 | 7 | 342 | 13 | 5 |
| COLORADO | 21 | 0 | 0 | . | . | . |
| CONNECTICUT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 39 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 213 | 4 | 0 | 998 | 0 | 4 |
| GEORGIA | 136 | 1 | 2 | 209 | 2 | 1 |
| HAWAII | 7 | 0 | 0 | 440 | 11 | 0 |
| IDAHO | 43 | 0 | 5 | 41 | 6 | 11 |
| ILLINOIS | 148 | 0 | 5 | 811 | 0 | 0 |
| INDIANA | 39 | 5 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 1 |
| IOWA | 19 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 62 |  | 1 | 30 |  | 0 |
| KENTUCKY | 99 | 4 | 1 | 156 | 8 | 0 |
| LOUISIANA | 83 | 9 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| MAINE | 6 | 1 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 |
| MARYLAND | 166 | 41 | 5 | 89 | 56 | 0 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 59 | . | 0 | . | . | . |
| MICHIGAN |  | . | . |  |  |  |
| MINNESOTA | 165 | . | . | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 60 | 3 | 2 | 36 | 1 | 2 |
| MISSOURI | 68 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| MONTANA | 12 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 |
| NEBRASKA |  | . |  | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| NEVADA | 7 | 1 | 0 | 169 | 1 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 36 | 2 | 0 | 126 | 7 | 1 |
| NEW JERSEY | 584 | 0 | 7 | 517 | 0 | 13 |
| NEW MEXICO | 16 | 2 | 1 | 42 | 2 | 5 |
| NEW YORK | 431 | 112 | 6 | 1,544 | 234 | 36 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 8 | 0 | 0 | 242 | 1 | 2 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 15 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 6 | 1 |
| OHIO | 171 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OKLAHOMA | 11 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 0 |
| OREGON | 64 | 1 | 0 | 231 | 13 | 3 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 49 | 0 | 0 | 284 | 0 | 0 |
| PUERTO RICO | 147 | 23 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 12 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 79 | 5 | 3 | 38 | 5 | 1 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 18 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 27 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 1 |
| TEXAS | 24 | 5 |  | 257 | 148 |  |
| UTAH | 18 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 2 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 25 | 1 | 1 | 38 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 233 | 0 | 0 | 614 | 0 | 0 |
| WASHINGTON | 391 | 8 | 1 | 419 | 3 | 1 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 41 | 0 | 1 | 33 | 0 | 0 |
| WISCONSIN | 105 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |
| WYOMING |  |  |  | 33 |  | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 29 | 1 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 5 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYing areas | 4,381 | 255 | 66 | 8,531 | 533 | 100 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 4,351 | 251 | 66 | 8,498 | 533 | 95 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
The total FTE for the U.S. and Outlying Areas and the 50 States, D.C., and Puerto Rico may not equal the sum of the individual States and outlying areas because of rounding.
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AC4

Number and Type of Other Personnel Employed and Vacant Funded Positions (In Full-Time Equivalency) to Provide Special Education and Related Services for Children and Youth with Disabilities, Ages 3-21, by Personnel Category During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | REHABILITATI |  |  | -----------INTERPRETERS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FULLY | NOT FULLY | VACANT | FULLY | NOT FULLY | VACANT |
|  | CERTIFIED | CERTIFIED | POSITIONS | CERTIFIED | CERTIFIED | POSITIONS |
| ALABAMA | 10 | 0 | 1 | 57 | 11 | 2 |
| ALASKA |  |  |  | 2 | 20 | 1 |
| ARIZONA | 7 | 2 | 0 | 48 | 33 | 5 |
| ARKANSAS | 0 | 0 | 6 | 48 | 0 | 0 |
| CALIFORNIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| COLORADO |  |  |  | 130 |  | 1 |
| CONNECTICUT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 11 | 1 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 321 | 0 | 9 |
| GEORGIA | 47 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 17 | 1 |
| HAWAII | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| IDAHO | 16 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 4 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 0 | 0 |
| INDIANA | 15 | 2 | 0 | 27 | 18 | 0 |
| IOWA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 0 | . | 0 | 16 |  | 2 |
| KENTUCKY | 6 | 1 | 1 | 41 | 7 | 0 |
| LOUISIANA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 10 | 2 |
| MAINE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 13 | 0 |
| MARYLAND | 9 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 2 | 3 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 11 | . | 2 |  |  |  |
| MICHIGAN | . | - | . | 34 | 46 | 0 |
| MINNESOTA |  |  | . | 213 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 5 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 7 | 2 |
| MISSOURI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 136 | 0 |
| MONTANA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 3 |
| NEBRASKA |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| NEVADA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 4 | 2 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 49 | 0 | 3 | 35 | 2 | 1 |
| NEW JERSEY |  |  |  | 126 | 0 | 5 |
| NEW MEXICO | 18 | 0 | 3 | 31 | 19 | 7 |
| NEW YORK | 14 | . | 0 | 223 |  | 15 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 9 | 0 | 2 | 164 | 23 | 8 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 2 |
| OHIO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OKLAHOMA | 3 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 20 | 0 |
| OREGON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 14 | 1 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 16 | 0 | 0 | 153 | 0 | 0 |
| PUERTO RICO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 15 | 4 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 1 |
| TENNESSEE | 14 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 0 |
| TEXAS |  |  |  | 3 | 206 |  |
| UTAH | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 8 | 2 |
| VERMONT | 1 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 4 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 2 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 129 | 1 |
| WASHINGTON | 9 | 0 | 0 | 207 | 15 | 4 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 1 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 2 | 0 |
| WISCONSIN |  | . | . | 201 | 7 | 5 |
| WYOMING |  | - |  | 23 | . | 6 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| U.S. And OUtlying areas | 266 | 5 | 19 | 3,241 | 798 | 96 |
| 50 States, D.C. \& P.R. | 266 | 5 | 19 | 3,241 | 797 | 95 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
The total FTE for the U.S. and Outlying Areas and the 50 States, D.C., and Puerto Rico may not equal the sum of the individual States and outlying areas because of rounding.
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AC4

Number and Type of Other Personnel Employed and Vacant Funded Positions (In Full-Time Equivalency) to Provide Special Education and Related Services for Children and Youth with Disabilities, Ages 3-21, by Personnel Category During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | SPEECH/ |  |  | SUPERVISORS / ADMINISTRATORS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | --- | THOLOGIS | ----- | SUPERVI | --- (SEA)---- | --------- |
|  | -------EMPLOYED------- |  |  | -------EMPLOYED------- |  |  |
|  | FULLY | NOT FULLY | VACANT | FULLY | NOT FULLY | VACANT |
|  | CERTIFIED | CERTIFIED | POSITIONS | CERTIFIED | CERTIFIED | POSITIONS |
| ALABAMA | 190 | 5 | 8 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| ALASKA | 167 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 404 | 26 | 46 | 28 | 0 | 0 |
| ARKANSAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 2 |
| CALIFORNIA | 3,690 | 156 | 109 | 63 | 0 | 6 |
| COLORADO | 615 | 32 | 10 | 7 | 0 |  |
| CONNECTICUT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA |  | . | . | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 1,816 | 61 | 59 | 39 | 0 | 0 |
| GEORGIA | 782 | 15 | 17 | . |  | . |
| HAWAII | 116 | 0 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| IDAHO | 176 | 5 | 25 | 5 | 0 | 1 |
| ILLINOIS | . | . | . | 69 | 0 | 0 |
| INDIANA | 991 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IOWA | 485 | 7 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 1 |
| KANSAS | 540 | . | 39 | 93 | . | 1 |
| KENTUCKY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 1 | 2 |
| LOUISIANA | 178 | 2 | 11 | 62 | 0 | 0 |
| MAINE |  | . |  | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| MARYLAND | 979 | 23 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | . | . | . |  | - |  |
| MICHIGAN | ${ }^{\circ}$ | . | - | 28 | 0 | 0 |
| MINNESOTA | 1,310 | 6 | 10 | 41 | 0 | . |
| MISSISSIPPI | 6 | 3 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSOURI | 34 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 |
| MONTANA | 155 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 427 | 11 | 4 | 19 | . | . |
| NEVADA | 191 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 292 | 8 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 1 |
| NEW JERSEY | 2,118 | 0 | 28 | 86 | 0 | 13 |
| NEW MEXICO | 523 | 99 | 51 | 22 | 1 | 0 |
| NEW YORK | 3,380 | 562 | 144 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 1 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 106 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| OHIO | 148 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OKLAHOMA | 345 | 15 | 9 | 47 | 0 | 0 |
| OREGON | 461 | 8 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 227 | 0 | 1 | 33 | 0 | 3 |
| PUERTO RICO | 23 | 0 | 1 | 41 | 0 | 22 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 214 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 206 | 7 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 226 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 1 |
| TENNESSEE | 281 | 0 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 0 |
| TEXAS | 1,714 | 566 | . | . | . |  |
| UTAH | 190 | 15 | 10 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 196 | 5 | 5 | 63 | 2 | 3 |
| VIRGINIA | 512 | 41 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WASHINGTON | 826 | 13 | 20 | 11 | 0 | 1 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 402 | 42 | 7 | 11 | 2 | 0 |
| WISCONSIN | 1,472 | 3 | 18 | 28 | 0 | 4 |
| WYOMING | 176 | . | 3 | 2 | . | 5 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 7 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 2 | . | 0 | 2 | . | 1 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS |  | . | . | . | . | . |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 42 | 1 | 10 | 14 | 0 | 1 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 27,343 | 1,755 | 746 | 1,102 | 7 | 68 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 27,291 | 1,755 | 734 | 1,081 | 7 | 66 |

[^56]The total FTE for the U.S. and Outlying Areas and the 50 States, D.C., and Puerto Rico may not equal the sum of the individual States and outlying areas because of rounding.

Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AC4

Number and Type of Other Personnel Employed and Vacant Funded Positions (In Full-Time Equivalency) to Provide Special Education and Related Services for Children and Youth with Disabilities, Ages 3-21, by Personnel Category During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | NON-PROFESSIONAL |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -------EMP LOYED------- |  |  |
|  | FULLY | NOT FULLY | VACANT |
|  | CERTIFIED | CERTIFIED | POSITIONS |
| ALABAMA | 320 | 24 | 5 |
| ALASKA | 0 | 58 | 2 |
| ARIZONA | 169 | 123 | 4 |
| ARKANSAS | 246 | 0 | 10 |
| CALIFORNIA | 881 | 404 | 7 |
| COLORADO | 366 |  | 1 |
| CONNECTICUT | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 88 |  | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 3,230 | 0 | 16 |
| GEORGIA | 503 | 60 | 14 |
| HAWAII | 200 | 4 | 2 |
| IDAHO | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 3,093 | 0 | 0 |
| INDIANA | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IOWA | 299 | 0 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 0 |  | 0 |
| KENTUCKY | 215 | 172 | 4 |
| LOUISIANA | 1,186 | 6 | 4 |
| MAINE | 95 | 7 | 1 |
| MARYLAND | 282 | 227 | 9 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | . | . | . |
| MICHIGAN |  |  |  |
| MINNESOTA | 568 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 190 | 144 | 14 |
| MISSOURI | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MONTANA | 268 | 1 | 25 |
| NEBRASKA | . |  |  |
| NEVADA | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 0 | 454 | 2 |
| NEW JERSEY | 610 | 0 | 3 |
| NEW MEXICO | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW YORK | 3,292 |  | 63 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 347 | 36 | 20 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| OHIO | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OKLAHOMA | 372 | 6 | 1 |
| OREGON | 110 | 93 | 0 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 1,095 | 0 | 1 |
| PUERTO RICO | 1,513 | 0 | 62 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 85 | 9 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 286 | 42 | 6 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 59 | 0 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 644 | 0 | 2 |
| TEXAS |  |  |  |
| UTAH | 8 | 112 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 20 | 1 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 580 | 22 | 3 |
| WASHINGTON | 100 | 6 | 4 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 376 | 3 | 12 |
| WISCONSIN |  | . | 6 |
| WYOMING | 41 |  | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 3 |  | 0 |
| PALAU | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS |  |  |  |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 8 | 12 | 0 |
| U.S. And OUtlying areas | 21,777 | 2,035 | 301 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 21,752 | 2,013 | 301 |

[^57]
## Table AD1

## Number of Students Age 14 and Older Exiting Special Education During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE |  | GRADUATED THROUGH CERTIFICATION | REACHED <br> MAXIMUM AGE | RETURNED TO REGULAR EDUCATION | DIED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 1,205 | 2,253 | 62 | 716 | 31 |
| ALASKA | 335 | 4 | 4 | 291 | 3 |
| ARIZONA | 1,637 | 88 | 68 | 522 | 25 |
| ARKANSAS | 1,651 | 198 | 14 | 654 | 20 |
| CALIFORNIA | 7,349 | 3,454 | 650 | 9,224 | 140 |
| COLORADO | 1,702 | 120 | 79 | 1,704 | 21 |
| CONNECTICUT | 2,200 | 79 | 34 | 593 | 10 |
| DELAWARE | 135 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 1 |
| district of columbia | 33 | 83 | 7 | 16 | 2 |
| FLORIDA | 3,754 | 2,464 | 0 | 5,304 | 63 |
| GEORGIA | 1,181 | 1,920 | 24 | 1,834 | 39 |
| HAWAII | 398 | 234 | 8 | 87 | 2 |
| IDAHO | 503 | 94 | 12 | 432 | 10 |
| ILLINOIS | 7,133 | 416 | 321 | 2,986 | 100 |
| INDIANA | 3,596 | 395 | 176 | 1,180 | 47 |
| IOWA | 1,784 | 81 | 36 | 790 | 15 |
| KANSAS | 1,289 | 0 | 15 | 801 | 14 |
| KENTUCKY | 1,816 | 239 | 35 | 832 | 34 |
| LOUISIANA | 968 | 1,253 | 25 | 375 | 49 |
| MAINE | 878 | 80 | 14 | 611 | 6 |
| MARYLAND | 1,967 | 577 | 71 | 1,478 | 26 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 5,596 | 0 | 210 | 2,809 | 38 |
| MICHIGAN | 4,134 | 257 | 404 | 3,545 | 97 |
| MINNESOTA | 3,351 | 8 | 52 | 77 | 41 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 357 | 1,773 | 27 | 329 | 28 |
| MISSOURI | 2,458 | 223 | 18 | 830 | 42 |
| MONTANA | 446 | 13 | 2 | 208 | 6 |
| NEBRASKA | 968 | 50 | 52 | 842 | 17 |
| NEVADA | 604 | 191 | 21 | 95 | 6 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 752 | 171 | 56 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 8,210 | 0 | 193 | 812 | 51 |
| NEW MEXICO | 716 | 108 | 7 | 279 | 16 |
| NEW YORK | 8,126 | 3,646 | 329 | 5,575 | 104 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 2,126 | 1,003 | 121 | 2,066 | 27 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 350 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| OHIO | 6,750 | 237 | 121 | 1,890 | 64 |
| OKLAHOMA | 2,435 | 0 | 11 | 653 | 25 |
| OREGON | 733 | 159 | 54 | 1,827 | 20 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 7,487 | 0 | 106 | 2,565 | 55 |
| PUERTO RICO | 289 | 343 | 215 | 258 | 35 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 820 | 3 | 31 | 368 | 14 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 682 | 900 | 112 | 663 | 33 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 358 | 30 | 46 | 430 | 5 |
| TENNESSEE | 1,961 | 1,258 | 91 | 2,280 | 42 |
| TEXAS | 14,116 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UTAH | 688 | 157 | 59 | 740 | 12 |
| VERMONT | 278 | 13 | 4 | 243 | 2 |
| VIRGINIA | 2,993 | 881 | 64 | 1,324 | 47 |
| WASHINGTON | 1,332 | 313 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 1,783 | 115 | 32 | 212 | 12 |
| WISCONSIN | 3,174 | 186 | 60 | 1,890 | 45 |
| WYOMING | 317 | 2 | 11 | 255 | 5 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 3 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 0 |
| GUAM | 21 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 6 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 117 | 27 | 8 | 28 | 1 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 126,051 | 26,146 | 4,176 | 63,582 | 1,563 |
| 50 States, D.C. \& P.R. | 125,904 | 26,100 | 4,167 | 63,516 | 1,562 |

[^58]
## Table AD1

## Number of Students Age 14 and Older Exiting Special Education During the 1995-96 School Year



[^59]
## Table AD1

## Number of Students Age 14 and Older Exiting Special Education During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | GRADUATED WITH DIPLOMA | GRADUATED <br> THROUGH CERTIFICATION | REACHED <br> MAXIMUM AGE | RETURNED TO REGULAR EDUCATION | DIED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 969 | 783 | 0 | 397 | 7 |
| ALASKA | 275 | 4 | 0 | 216 | 3 |
| ARIZONA | 1,146 | 42 | 5 | 395 | 7 |
| ARKANSAS | 1,057 | 76 | 4 | 481 | 9 |
| CALIFORNIA | 5,674 | 2,499 | 23 | 6,487 | 59 |
| COLORADO | 1,136 | 31 | 16 | 1,025 | 6 |
| CONNECTICUT | 1,378 | 35 | 3 | 338 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 109 | 2 | 0 | 18 | 1 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 28 | 36 | 0 | 12 | 1 |
| FLORIDA | 2,627 | 988 | 0 | 1,004 | 30 |
| GEORGIA | 768 | 364 | 1 | 752 | 6 |
| HAWAII | 173 | 70 | 6 | 58 | 0 |
| IDAHO | 341 | 36 | 1 | 333 | 3 |
| ILLINOIS | 4,546 | 105 | 76 | 1,560 | 25 |
| INDIANA | 2,469 | 76 | 9 | 587 | 17 |
| IOWA | 1,079 | 32 | 5 | 547 | 7 |
| KANSAS | 786 | 0 | 2 | 455 | 5 |
| KENTUCKY | 954 | 3 | 5 | 410 | 12 |
| LOUISIANA | 688 | 587 | 2 | 130 | 14 |
| MAINE | 533 | 29 | 1 | 359 | 1 |
| MARYLAND | 1,428 | 243 | 23 | 949 | 11 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 3,283 | 0 | 125 | 1,654 | 29 |
| MICHIGAN | 2,833 | 85 | 11 | 1,910 | 26 |
| MINNESOTA | 1,667 | 3 | 1 | 44 | 14 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 307 | 1,186 | 1 | 250 | 14 |
| MISSOURI | 1,667 | 110 | 4 | 523 | 13 |
| MONTANA | 311 | 6 | 1 | 143 | 2 |
| NEBRASKA | 576 | 9 | 1 | 406 | 6 |
| NEVADA | 434 | 133 | 2 | 83 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 506 | 79 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 6,187 | 0 | 22 | 674 | 15 |
| NEW MEXICO | 464 | 56 | 5 | 173 | 8 |
| NEW YORK | 6,356 | 2,156 | 152 | 4,005 | 35 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 1,426 | 259 | 0 | 1,207 | 7 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 232 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| OHIO | 3,902 | 45 | 4 | 785 | 12 |
| OKLAHOMA | 1,589 | 0 | 3 | 490 | 8 |
| OREGON | 538 | 58 | 5 | 1,012 | 6 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 4,786 | 0 | 37 | 1,361 | 26 |
| PUERTO RICO | 168 | 158 | 5 | 141 | 11 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 583 | 0 | 2 | 161 | 3 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 510 | 281 | 8 | 365 | 7 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 281 | 18 | 0 | 348 | 3 |
| TENNESSEE | 1,463 | 618 | 49 | 1,119 | 16 |
| TEXAS | 10,216 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UTAH | 491 | 65 | 11 | 523 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 134 | 2 | 0 | 184 | 1 |
| VIRGINIA | 2,360 | 255 | 4 | 772 | 17 |
| WASHINGTON | 875 | 158 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 1,214 | 22 | 0 | 88 | 5 |
| WISCONSIN | 1,888 | 66 | 4 | 750 | 14 |
| WYOMING | 229 | 0 | 0 | 172 | 1 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 3 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 |
| GUAM | 18 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 5 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 81 | 21 | 3 | 17 | 1 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 85,747 | 11,907 | 655 | 35,909 | 527 |
| 50 StATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 85,640 | 11,874 | 651 | 35,858 | 526 |
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## Table AD1

## Number of Students Age 14 and Older Exiting Special Education During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | MOVED, KNOWN TO CONTINUE | MOVED, NOT KNOWN TO CONTINUE | DROPPED OUT | TOTAL EXITING SPECIAL EDUCATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 700 | 315 | 960 | 4,131 |
| ALASKA | 218 | 124 | 354 | 1,194 |
| ARIZONA | 890 | 412 | 1,147 | 4,044 |
| ARKANSAS | 1,100 | 340 | 547 | 3,614 |
| CALIFORNIA | 12,521 | 9,218 | 2,042 | 38,523 |
| COLORADO | 1,623 | 300 | 574 | 4,711 |
| CONNECTICUT | 327 | 138 | 339 | 2,558 |
| DELAWARE | 162 | 3 | 44 | 339 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 14 | 9 | 24 | 124 |
| FLORIDA | 4,409 | 326 | 2,238 | 11,622 |
| GEORGIA | 898 | 371 | 812 | 3,972 |
| HAWAII | 53 | 17 | 31 | 408 |
| IDAHO | 396 | 208 | 284 | 1,602 |
| ILLINOIS | 1,932 | 1,181 | 2,564 | 11,989 |
| INDIANA | 1,503 | 826 | 1,518 | 7,005 |
| IOWA | 386 | 290 | 981 | 3,327 |
| KANSAS | 893 | 119 | 358 | 2,618 |
| KENTUCKY | 708 | 197 | 825 | 3,114 |
| LOUISIANA | 21 | 1,228 | 1,149 | 3,819 |
| MAINE | 300 | 93 | 213 | 1,529 |
| MARYLAND | 588 | 353 | 837 | 4,432 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 584 | 1,376 | 1,346 | 8,397 |
| MICHIGAN | 1,527 | 1,986 | 2,976 | 11,354 |
| MINNESOTA | 155 | 817 | 727 | 3,428 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 778 | 215 | 986 | 3,737 |
| MISSOURI | 751 | 1,098 | 1,232 | 5,398 |
| MONTANA | 191 | 62 | 219 | 935 |
| NEBRASKA | 661 | 118 | 229 | 2,006 |
| NEVADA | 170 | 116 | 205 | 1,143 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 0 | 0 | 474 | 1,071 |
| NEW JERSEY | 2,766 | 368 | 1,974 | 12,006 |
| NEW MEXICO | 717 | 271 | 526 | 2,220 |
| NEW YORK | 5,050 | 932 | 4,992 | 23,678 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 955 | 145 | 1,267 | 5,266 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 63 | 78 | 70 | 450 |
| OHIO | 1,363 | 532 | 963 | 7,606 |
| OKLAHOMA | 913 | 472 | 769 | 4,244 |
| OREGON | 0 | 1,049 | 580 | 3,248 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 1,419 | 2,146 | 1,205 | 10,980 |
| PUERTO RICO | 110 | 109 | 284 | 986 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 591 | 9 | 332 | 1,681 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 481 | 354 | 754 | 2,760 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 125 | 78 | 131 | 984 |
| TENNESSEE | 2,415 | 954 | 1,109 | 7,743 |
| TEXAS | 0 | 0 | 2,447 | 12,663 |
| UTAH | 590 | 768 | 371 | 2,819 |
| VERMONT | 104 | 29 | 78 | 532 |
| VIRGINIA | 1,111 | 261 | 1,332 | 6,112 |
| WASHINGTON | 896 | 611 | 449 | 2,992 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 329 | 164 | 451 | 2,273 |
| WISCONSIN | 1,164 | 490 | 755 | 5,131 |
| WYOMING | 249 | 0 | 196 | 847 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 1 | 1 | 9 | 30 |
| GUAM | 15 | 1 | 32 | 83 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 1 | 2 | 13 | 29 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 70 | 19 | 56 | 268 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 55,957 | 31,699 | 47,381 | 269,782 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 55,870 | 31,676 | 47,270 | 269,365 |
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## Table AD1

## Number of Students Age 14 and Older Exiting Special Education During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | GRADUATED WITH DIPLOMA | GRADUATED THROUGH CERTIFICATION | REACHED <br> MAXIMUM AGE | RETURNED TO REGULAR EDUCATION | DIED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 14 | 23 | 3 | 69 | 0 |
| ALASKA | 6 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 25 | 1 | 4 | 66 | 1 |
| ARKANSAS | 12 | 3 | 0 | 68 | 0 |
| CALIFORNIA | 422 | 82 | 3 | 1,088 | 1 |
| COLORADO | 52 | 2 | 1 | 108 | 0 |
| CONNECTICUT | 59 | 2 | 0 | 44 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 208 | 40 | 0 | 549 | 0 |
| GEORGIA | 19 | 22 | 0 | 223 | 3 |
| HAWAII | 9 | 5 | 0 | 17 | 0 |
| IDAHO | 6 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 168 | 1 | 1 | 404 | 1 |
| INDIANA | 35 | 5 | 3 | 404 | 1 |
| IOWA | 9 | 1 | 0 | 50 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 10 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 0 |
| KENTUCKY | 14 | 6 | 1 | 205 | 0 |
| LOUISIANA | 32 | 34 | 0 | 210 | 1 |
| MAINE | 45 | 1 | 0 | 91 | 2 |
| MARYLAND | 107 | 81 | 0 | 289 | 1 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 997 | 0 | 37 | 499 | 6 |
| MICHIGAN | 91 | 9 | 1 | 618 | 1 |
| MINNESOTA | 71 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 14 | 17 | 0 | 57 | 0 |
| MISSOURI | 30 | 2 | 1 | 209 | 3 |
| MONTANA | 5 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 32 | 1 | 0 | 239 | 0 |
| NEVADA | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 59 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 49 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 0 |
| NEW MEXICO | 66 | 11 | 0 | 47 | 2 |
| NEW YORK | 176 | 44 | 0 | 351 | 0 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 50 | 4 | 4 | 215 | 0 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OHIO | 112 | 3 | 1 | 371 | 1 |
| OKLAHOMA | 25 | 0 | 2 | 87 | 0 |
| OREGON | 51 | 8 | 0 | 604 | 2 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 41 | 0 | 2 | 440 | 0 |
| PUERTO RICO | 9 | 10 | 1 | 15 | 0 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 19 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 14 | 7 | 0 | 145 | 0 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 4 | 3 | 0 | 37 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 109 | 42 | 3 | 227 | 1 |
| TEXAS | 109 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UTAH | 13 | 3 | 0 | 59 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 24 | 1 | 0 | 23 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 28 | 1 | 0 | 244 | 0 |
| WASHINGTON | 11 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 11 | 1 | 0 | 55 | 0 |
| WISCONSIN | 71 | 1 | 1 | 261 | 1 |
| WYOMING | 22 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 3,594 | 539 | 71 | 9,014 | 28 |
| 50 StATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 3,588 | 538 | 71 | 9,004 | 28 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
The definition for dropped out differs from the definition used by States prior to $1993-94$. In this context, "dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year, were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases described. This category includes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown and other exiters.

Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AD1

## Number of Students Age 14 and Older Exiting Special Education During the 1995-96 School Year

|  | SPEECH | OR | LANGUAGE | IMPAIRMENTS |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
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## Table AD1

## Number of Students Age 14 and Older Exiting Special Education During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | MENTAL RETARDATION |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GRADUATED } \\ & \text { WITH } \\ & \text { DIPLOMA } \end{aligned}$ | GRADUATED THROUGH CERTIFICATION | REACHED <br> MAXIMUM AGE | RETURNED TO REGULAR EDUCATION | DIED |
| ALABAMA | 55 | 1,263 | 37 | 170 | 9 |
| ALASKA | 11 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 186 | 23 | 34 | 3 | 7 |
| ARKANSAS | 460 | 100 | 7 | 58 | 10 |
| CALIFORNIA | 203 | 364 | 387 | 118 | 20 |
| COLORADO | 105 | 27 | 28 | 21 | 1 |
| CONNECTICUT | 115 | 24 | 13 | 4 | 1 |
| DELAWARE | 11 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0 | 26 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 239 | 1,080 | 0 | 111 | 19 |
| GEORGIA | 74 | 1,226 | 16 | 184 | 12 |
| HAWAII | 14 | 61 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| IDAHO | 97 | 41 | 8 | 19 | 3 |
| ILLINOIS | 930 | 155 | 168 | 38 | 35 |
| INDIANA | 666 | 243 | 116 | 48 | 18 |
| IOWA | 409 | 33 | 12 | 53 | 4 |
| KANSAS | 246 | 0 | 3 | 25 | 2 |
| KENTUCKY | 598 | 175 | 22 | 122 | 6 |
| LOUISIANA | 62 | 452 | 15 | 3 | 10 |
| MAINE | 73 | 19 | 4 | 7 | 1 |
| MARYLAND | 69 | 135 | 23 | 60 | 2 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 537 | 0 | 20 | 269 | 2 |
| MICHIGAN | 441 | 93 | 284 | 156 | 15 |
| MINNESOTA | 638 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 11 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 5 | 474 | 20 | 9 | 7 |
| MISSOURI | 516 | 61 | 5 | 11 | 7 |
| MONTANA | 50 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| NEBRASKA | 186 | 29 | 40 | 22 | 4 |
| NEVADA | 61 | 31 | 8 | 0 | 2 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 26 | 24 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 241 | 0 | 70 | 18 | 7 |
| NEW MEXICO | 52 | 26 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| NEW YORK | 30 | 665 | 50 | 12 | 11 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 231 | 588 | 70 | 308 | 7 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 52 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| OHIO | 1,827 | 74 | 26 | 105 | 4 |
| OKLAHOMA | 552 | 0 | 4 | 33 | 6 |
| OREGON | 39 | 64 | 40 | 11 | 5 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 1,492 | 0 | 46 | 118 | 13 |
| PUERTO RICO | 48 | 141 | 139 | 79 | 9 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 43 | 1 | 15 | 5 | 3 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 40 | 504 | 98 | 47 | 19 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 41 | 6 | 25 | 8 | 1 |
| TENNESSEE | 49 | 424 | 25 | 40 | 8 |
| TEXAS | 1,301 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UTAH | 42 | 22 | 25 | 13 | 2 |
| VERMONT | 48 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 151 | 502 | 44 | 35 | 10 |
| WASHINGTON | 143 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 395 | 77 | 29 | 36 | 5 |
| WISCONSIN | 488 | 76 | 46 | 100 | 16 |
| WYOMING | 19 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 14 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 14,421 | 9,390 | 2,111 | 2,499 | 338 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 14,407 | 9,385 | 2,108 | 2,498 | 338 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
The definition for dropped out differs from the definition used by States prior to 1993-94. In this context, "dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year, were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases described. This category includes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown and other exiters.

Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AD1

## Number of Students Age 14 and Older Exiting Special Education During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | MOVED, KNOWN TO CONTINUE | MOVED, NOT KNOWN TO CONTINUE | DROPPED OUT | TOTAL EXITING SPECIAL EDUCATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 477 | 203 | 705 | 2,919 |
| ALASKA | 20 | 7 | 4 | 48 |
| ARIZONA | 113 | 28 | 87 | 481 |
| ARKANSAS | 505 | 151 | 306 | 1,597 |
| CALIFORNIA | 956 | 501 | 106 | 2,655 |
| COLORADO | 156 | 20 | 36 | 394 |
| CONNECTICUT | 48 | 32 | 32 | 269 |
| DELAWARE | 34 | 3 | 7 | 69 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 3 | 2 | 2 | 38 |
| FLORIDA | 870 | 67 | 477 | 2,863 |
| GEORGIA | 588 | 225 | 583 | 2,908 |
| HAWAII | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76 |
| IDAHO | 128 | 29 | 50 | 375 |
| ILLINOIS | 466 | 249 | 421 | 2,462 |
| INDIANA | 546 | 325 | 451 | 2,413 |
| IOWA | 101 | 109 | 323 | 1,044 |
| KANSAS | 248 | 29 | 67 | 620 |
| KENTUCKY | 477 | 146 | 570 | 2,116 |
| LOUISIANA | 2 | 244 | 193 | 981 |
| MAINE | 41 | 13 | 28 | 186 |
| MARYLAND | 44 | 47 | 80 | 460 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 95 | 223 | 221 | 1,367 |
| MICHIGAN | 370 | 426 | 493 | 2,278 |
| MINNESOTA | 48 | 101 | 103 | 947 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 132 | 28 | 162 | 837 |
| MISSOURI | 151 | 154 | 183 | 1,088 |
| MONTANA | 15 | 6 | 8 | 87 |
| NEBRASKA | 176 | 30 | 84 | 571 |
| NEVADA | 9 | 5 | 4 | 120 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 0 | 0 | 14 | 84 |
| NEW JERSEY | 119 | 39 | 58 | 552 |
| NEW MEXICO | 62 | 20 | 41 | 203 |
| NEW YORK | 524 | 129 | 270 | 1,691 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 439 | 161 | 612 | 2,416 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 15 | 12 | 11 | 96 |
| OHIO | 1,026 | 455 | 880 | 4,397 |
| OKLAHOMA | 322 | 123 | 191 | 1,231 |
| OREGON | 0 | 99 | 25 | 283 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 470 | 543 | 347 | 3,029 |
| PUERTO RICO | 119 | 117 | 257 | 909 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 57 | 2 | 10 | 136 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 239 | 209 | 344 | 1,500 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 23 | 17 | 16 | 137 |
| TENNESSEE | 530 | 195 | 242 | 1,513 |
| TEXAS | 0 | 0 | 171 | 1,472 |
| UTAH | 142 | 98 | 51 | 395 |
| VERMONT | 47 | 7 | 13 | 131 |
| VIRGINIA | 297 | 42 | 279 | 1,360 |
| WASHINGTON | 167 | 101 | 43 | 485 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 121 | 54 | 200 | 917 |
| WISCONSIN | 371 | 227 | 159 | 1,483 |
| WYOMING | 26 | 0 | 8 | 63 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| GUAM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 9 | 3 | 17 | 49 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 11,946 | 6,056 | 10,053 | 56,814 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 11,935 | 6,053 | 10,028 | 56,752 |
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## Table AD1

## Number of Students Age 14 and Older Exiting Special Education During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GRADUATED } \\ & \text { WITH } \\ & \text { DIPLOMA } \end{aligned}$ | GRADUATED THROUGH CERTIFICATION | REACHED <br> MAXIMUM AGE | RETURNED TO REGULAR EDUCATION | DIED |
| ALABAMA | 67 | 61 | 5 | 51 | 2 |
| ALASKA | 14 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 121 | 10 | 3 | 38 | 2 |
| ARKANSAS | 9 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 0 |
| CALIFORNIA | 380 | 171 | 17 | 661 | 3 |
| COLORADO | 266 | 32 | 4 | 468 | 2 |
| CONNECTICUT | 464 | 9 | 4 | 172 | 2 |
| DELAWARE | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| district of columbia | 1 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 393 | 217 | 0 | 438 | 9 |
| GEORGIA | 195 | 177 | 3 | 613 | 8 |
| HAWAII | 90 | 35 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| IDAHO | 7 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 1,075 | 141 | 60 | 460 | 23 |
| INDIANA | 273 | 30 | 24 | 110 | 5 |
| IOWA | 186 | 7 | 17 | 108 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 133 | 0 | 0 | 168 | 2 |
| KENTUCKY | 92 | 4 | 3 | 48 | 7 |
| LOUISIANA | 46 | 65 | 0 | 15 | 4 |
| MAINE | 116 | 6 | 5 | 123 | 1 |
| MARYLAND | 179 | 29 | 5 | 101 | 2 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 454 | 0 | 17 | 228 | 1 |
| MICHIGAN | 414 | 46 | 17 | 682 | 12 |
| MINNESOTA | 637 | 4 | 1 | 26 | 11 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 2 | 28 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| MISSOURI | 112 | 37 | 5 | 69 | 11 |
| montana | 36 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 82 | 3 | 0 | 65 | 1 |
| NEVADA | 59 | 13 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 92 | 33 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 1,098 | 0 | 7 | 63 | 7 |
| NEW MEXICO | 57 | 7 | 1 | 38 | 0 |
| NEW YORK | 999 | 349 | 50 | 969 | 17 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 132 | 37 | 1 | 207 | 1 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 21 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| OHIO | 278 | 18 | 3 | 185 | 5 |
| OKLAHOMA | 59 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 1 |
| OREGON | 35 | 16 | 2 | 66 | 0 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 829 | 0 | 5 | 590 | 10 |
| PUERTO RICO | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 0 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 103 | 2 | 8 | 28 | 4 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 39 | 42 | 4 | 67 | 1 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 11 | 2 | 3 | 26 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 82 | 72 | 3 | 62 | 2 |
| TEXAS | 1,133 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UTAH | 77 | 59 | 4 | 129 | 3 |
| VERMONT | 48 | 3 | 1 | 21 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 287 | 64 | 7 | 203 | 11 |
| WASHINGTON | 70 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 85 | 5 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| WISCONSIN | 581 | 34 | 5 | 711 | 6 |
| WYOMING | 20 | 1 | 1 | 31 | 2 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 13 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 12,060 | 1,915 | 315 | 8,168 | 178 |
| 50 StATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 12,046 | 1,913 | 313 | 8,167 | 178 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
The definition for dropped out differs from the definition used by States prior to $1993-94$. In this context, "dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year, were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases described. This category includes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown and other exiters.
Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AD1

## Number of Students Age 14 and Older Exiting Special Education During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | MOVED, KNOWN TO CONTINUE | MOVED, NOT KNOWN TO CONTINUE | $\begin{gathered} \text { DROPPED } \\ \text { OUT } \end{gathered}$ | TOTAL EXITING SPECIAL EDUCATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 266 | 101 | 176 | 729 |
| ALASKA | 45 | 32 | 77 | 184 |
| ARIZONA | 306 | 136 | 238 | 854 |
| ARKANSAS | 41 | 12 | 8 | 85 |
| CALIFORNIA | 2,013 | 1,968 | 251 | 5,464 |
| COLORADO | 952 | 183 | 271 | 2,178 |
| CONNECTICUT | 389 | 161 | 421 | 1,622 |
| DELAWARE | 37 | 3 | 5 | 50 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 2 | 1 | 9 | 22 |
| FLORIDA | 2,521 | 118 | 1,066 | 4,762 |
| GEORGIA | 1,336 | 361 | 850 | 3,543 |
| HAWAII | 39 | 6 | 20 | 193 |
| IDAHO | 53 | 19 | 37 | 135 |
| ILLINOIS | 1,500 | 1,447 | 1,794 | 6,500 |
| INDIANA | 683 | 285 | 587 | 1,997 |
| IOWA | 490 | 168 | 623 | 1,599 |
| KANSAS | 850 | 117 | 209 | 1,479 |
| KENTUCKY | 492 | 103 | 331 | 1,080 |
| LOUISIANA | 11 | 289 | 251 | 681 |
| MAINE | 294 | 70 | 224 | 839 |
| MARYLAND | 245 | 144 | 179 | 884 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 79 | 190 | 187 | 1,156 |
| MICHIGAN | 1,057 | 1,204 | 1,318 | 4,750 |
| MINNESOTA | 475 | 1,103 | 959 | 3,216 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 46 | 9 | 10 | 99 |
| MISSOURI | 322 | 267 | 323 | 1,146 |
| MONTANA | 120 | 20 | 60 | 256 |
| NEBRASKA | 267 | 69 | 138 | 625 |
| NEVADA | 40 | 35 | 54 | 205 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 0 | 0 | 224 | 361 |
| NEW JERSEY | 1,142 | 243 | 1,173 | 3,733 |
| NEW MEXICO | 257 | 50 | 181 | 591 |
| NEW YORK | 3,355 | 913 | 2,011 | 8,663 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 566 | 118 | 782 | 1,844 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 33 | 27 | 42 | 125 |
| OHIO | 535 | 256 | 425 | 1,705 |
| OKLAHOMA | 138 | 92 | 122 | 442 |
| OREGON | 0 | 266 | 115 | 500 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 1,005 | 2,164 | 503 | 5,106 |
| PUERTO RICO | 7 | 9 | 8 | 35 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 183 | 12 | 109 | 449 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 280 | 165 | 187 | 785 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 36 | 28 | 33 | 139 |
| TENNESSEE | 320 | 237 | 118 | 896 |
| TEXAS | 0 | 0 | 533 | 1,666 |
| UTAH | 296 | 260 | 182 | 1,010 |
| VERMONT | 117 | 27 | 49 | 266 |
| VIRGINIA | 568 | 91 | 642 | 1,873 |
| WASHINGTON | 198 | 159 | 102 | 555 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 132 | 45 | 113 | 400 |
| WISCONSIN | 1,553 | 531 | 868 | 4,289 |
| WYOMING | 83 | 0 | 69 | 207 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 34 | 3 | 19 | 73 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 25,809 | 14,317 | 19,289 | 82,051 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 25,775 | 14,314 | 19,267 | 81,973 |
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## Table AD1

## Number of Students Age 14 and Older Exiting Special Education During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | MULTIPLE DISABILITIES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GRADUATED } \\ & \text { WITH } \\ & \text { DIPLOMA } \end{aligned}$ | GRADUATED THROUGH CERTIFICATION | REACHED <br> MAXIMUM AGE | RETURNED TO REGULAR EDUCATION | DIED |
| ALABAMA | 1 | 43 | 16 | 0 | 8 |
| ALASKA | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 31 | 10 | 19 | 1 | 4 |
| ARKANSAS | 14 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| CALIFORNIA | 29 | 53 | 85 | 21 | 13 |
| COLORADO | 49 | 13 | 27 | 21 | 7 |
| CONNECTICUT | 27 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 3 |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| district of columbia | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GEORGIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HAWAII | 66 | 32 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| IDAHO | 3 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| ILLINOIS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| INDIANA | 15 | 24 | 15 | 0 | 2 |
| IOWA | 10 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| KANSAS | 16 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 4 |
| KENTUCKY | 21 | 41 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| LOUISIANA | 4 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 8 |
| MAINE | 44 | 21 | 4 | 8 | 0 |
| MARYLAND | 47 | 70 | 17 | 28 | 6 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 111 | 0 | 4 | 55 | 0 |
| MICHIGAN | 9 | 4 | 38 | 13 | 27 |
| MINNESOTA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 2 | 22 | 5 | 0 | 2 |
| MISSOURI | 9 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| MONTANA | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| NEBRASKA | 2 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 |
| NEVADA | 9 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 3 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 3 | 8 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 334 | 0 | 75 | 10 | 13 |
| NEW MEXICO | 19 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 3 |
| NEW YORK | 62 | 262 | 62 | 9 | 30 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 7 | 26 | 16 | 3 | 4 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OHIO | 249 | 63 | 82 | 17 | 24 |
| OKLAHOMA | 78 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 |
| OREGON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 26 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 1 |
| PUERTO RICO | 1 | 0 | 37 | 1 | 7 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 6 | 15 | 1 | 17 | 1 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 3 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 1 |
| TENNESSEE | 3 | 39 | 5 | 1 | 5 |
| TEXAS | 167 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UTAH | 22 | 6 | 12 | 1 | 4 |
| VERMONT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 11 | 22 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| WASHINGTON | 35 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WISCONSIN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WYOMING | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 1,556 | 830 | 608 | 225 | 210 |
| 50 StATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 1,555 | 829 | 608 | 225 | 210 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
The definition for dropped out differs from the definition used by States prior to $1993-94$. In this context, "dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year, were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases described. This category includes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown and other exiters.

Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AD1

## Number of Students Age 14 and Older Exiting Special Education During the 1995-96 School Year



Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
The definition for dropped out differs from the definition used by States prior to $1993-94$. In this context, "dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year, context, "dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases
described. This category includes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown and described. Thi
other exiters. other exiters.
Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AD1

## Number of Students Age 14 and Older Exiting Special Education During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | HEARING IMPAIRMENTS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GRADUATED } \\ & \text { WITH } \\ & \text { DIPLOMA } \end{aligned}$ | GRADUATED THROUGH CERTIFICATION | REACHED <br> MAXIMUM AGE | RETURNED TO REGULAR EDUCATION | DIED |
| ALABAMA | 34 | 40 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| ALASKA | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 59 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| ARKANSAS | 30 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| CALIFORNIA | 205 | 74 | 6 | 78 | 1 |
| COLORADO | 23 | 13 | 0 | 11 | 0 |
| CONNECTICUT | 31 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 114 | 34 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| GEORGIA | 23 | 53 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| HAWAII | 9 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IDAHO | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 103 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 2 |
| INDIANA | 56 | 10 | 2 | 12 | 1 |
| IOWA | 35 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 1 |
| KANSAS | 23 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| KENTUCKY | 56 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 5 |
| LOUISIANA | 31 | 26 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| MAINE | 18 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| MARYLAND | 57 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 57 | 0 | 2 | 28 | 0 |
| MICHIGAN | 88 | 3 | 1 | 25 | 2 |
| MINNESOTA | 96 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 3 | 22 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| MISSOURI | 49 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| MONTANA | 10 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 25 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 0 |
| NEVADA | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 111 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW MEXICO | 11 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| NEW YORK | 119 | 55 | 3 | 69 | 1 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 61 | 20 | 23 | 34 | 2 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OHIO | 119 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 0 |
| OKLAHOMA | 45 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| OREGON | 14 | 2 | 0 | 25 | 0 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 130 | 0 | 1 | 28 | 0 |
| PUERTO RICO | 6 | 15 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 17 | 30 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 36 | 13 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| TEXAS | 248 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UTAH | 9 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 36 | 13 | 0 | 15 | 1 |
| WASHINGTON | 32 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 22 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| WISCONSIN | 39 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| WYOMING | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 2,374 | 455 | 48 | 517 | 20 |
| 50 StATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 2,373 | 454 | 48 | 517 | 20 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
The definition for dropped out differs from the definition used by States prior to $1993-94$. In this context, "dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year, were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases described. This category includes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown and other exiters.

Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AD1

## Number of Students Age 14 and Older Exiting Special Education During the 1995-96 School Year



Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
The definition for dropped out differs from the definition used by States prior to $1993-94$. In this context, "dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year, context, "dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases
described. This category includes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown and described. Thi
other exiters. other exiters.
Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AD1

## Number of Students Age 14 and Older Exiting Special Education During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | ORTHOPEDIC IMPAIRMENTS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GRADUATED } \\ & \text { WITH } \\ & \text { DIPLOMA } \end{aligned}$ | GRADUATED THROUGH CERTIFICATION | REACHED <br> MAXIMUM AGE | RETURNED TO REGULAR EDUCATION | DIED |
| ALABAMA | 12 | 12 | 0 | 10 | 1 |
| ALASKA | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 14 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| ARKANSAS | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CALIFORNIA | 123 | 72 | 66 | 179 | 24 |
| COLORADO | 47 | 1 | 3 | 43 | 4 |
| CONNECTICUT | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| DELAWARE | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 97 | 65 | 0 | 123 | 4 |
| GEORGIA | 16 | 16 | 1 | 6 | 4 |
| HAWAII | 10 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| IDAHO | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 114 | 4 | 3 | 40 | 6 |
| INDIANA | 39 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 2 |
| IOWA | 24 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 1 |
| KANSAS | 11 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| KENTUCKY | 15 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| LOUISIANA | 17 | 27 | 3 | 6 | 4 |
| MAINE | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MARYLAND | 10 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 40 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 0 |
| MICHIGAN | 213 | 13 | 8 | 121 | 13 |
| MINNESOTA | 61 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 18 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| MISSOURI | 21 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 3 |
| MONTANA | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| NEBRASKA | 10 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 2 |
| NEVADA | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 42 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW MEXICO | 12 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| NEW YORK | 62 | 17 | 0 | 33 | 1 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 32 | 7 | 1 | 14 | 0 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OHIO | 204 | 22 | 2 | 412 | 17 |
| OKLAHOMA | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OREGON | 12 | 0 | 1 | 35 | 2 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 40 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| PUERTO RICO | 13 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 9 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 20 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 19 | 10 | 1 | 137 | 1 |
| TEXAS | 153 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UTAH | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 14 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| WASHINGTON | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 12 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |
| WISCONSIN | 39 | 1 | 3 | 17 | 5 |
| WYOMING | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. And OUTLYing areas | 1,679 | 323 | 106 | 1,300 | 120 |
| 50 States, D.C. \& P.R. | 1,678 | 322 | 106 | 1,300 | 120 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
The definition for dropped out differs from the definition used by States prior to $1993-94$. In this context, "dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year, were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases described. This category includes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown and other exiters.

Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AD1

## Number of Students Age 14 and Older Exiting Special Education During the 1995-96 School Year
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## Table AD1

## Number of Students Age 14 and Older Exiting Special Education During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | GRADUATED WITH DIPLOMA | GRADUATED THROUGH CERTIFICATION | REACHED <br> MAXIMUM AGE | RETURNED TO REGULAR EDUCATION | DIED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 24 | 16 | 0 | 7 | 4 |
| ALASKA | 9 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 27 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 2 |
| ARKANSAS | 45 | 4 | 0 | 28 | 0 |
| CALIFORNIA | 193 | 70 | 12 | 537 | 9 |
| COLORADO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CONNECTICUT | 91 | 2 | 0 | 28 | 3 |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| FLORIDA | 10 | 4 | 0 | 3,050 | 0 |
| GEORGIA | 49 | 33 | 0 | 46 | 5 |
| HAWAII | 18 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IDAHO | 18 | 3 | 0 | 22 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 124 | 3 | 2 | 462 | 5 |
| INDIANA | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| IOWA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 51 | 0 | 1 | 34 | 0 |
| KENTUCKY | 23 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 1 |
| LOUISIANA | 64 | 33 | 1 | 7 | 5 |
| MAINE | 37 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 1 |
| MARYLAND | 51 | 11 | 1 | 19 | 3 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 48 | 0 | 2 | 23 | 0 |
| MICHIGAN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MINNESOTA | 122 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSOURI | 25 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 3 |
| MONTANA | 16 | 1 | 0 | 23 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 33 | 2 | 1 | 83 | 2 |
| NEVADA | 10 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 47 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 106 | 0 | 2 | 39 | 9 |
| NEW MEXICO | 16 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| NEW YORK | 232 | 35 | 1 | 99 | 5 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 132 | 29 | 0 | 67 | 4 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OHIO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OKLAHOMA | 29 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 |
| OREGON | 32 | 4 | 0 | 64 | 4 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| PUERTO RICO | 21 | 10 | 4 | 7 | 5 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 40 | 0 | 2 | 115 | 1 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 19 | 4 | 0 | 13 | 1 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 167 | 30 | 4 | 671 | 9 |
| TEXAS | 634 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UTAH | 15 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 3 |
| VERMONT | 16 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
| VIRGINIA | 70 | 16 | 0 | 23 | 4 |
| WASHINGTON | 150 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 20 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| WISCONSIN | 24 | 5 | 0 | 39 | 3 |
| WYOMING | 10 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 1 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 2,888 | 408 | 37 | 5,592 | 108 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 2,885 | 407 | 37 | 5,589 | 108 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
The definition for dropped out differs from the definition used by States prior to 1993-94. In this context, "dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year, were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases described. This category includes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown and other exiters.
Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AD1

## Number of Students Age 14 and Older Exiting Special Education During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | MOVED, KNOWN TO CONTINUE | MOVED, NOT KNOWN TO CONTINUE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DROPPED } \\ & \text { OUT } \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL EXITING SPECIAL EDUCATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 12 | 4 | 6 | 73 |
| ALASKA | 2 | 3 | 4 | 20 |
| ARIZONA | 14 | 5 | 11 | 69 |
| ARKANSAS | 51 | 12 | 15 | 155 |
| CALIFORNIA | 348 | 232 | 47 | 1,448 |
| COLORADO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CONNECTICUT | 26 | 12 | 15 | 177 |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| FLORIDA | 70 | 1 | 9 | 3,144 |
| GEORGIA | 37 | 12 | 23 | 205 |
| HAWAII | 6 | 3 | 0 | 38 |
| IDAHO | 14 | 5 | 9 | 71 |
| ILLINOIS | 39 | 28 | 103 | 766 |
| INDIANA | 8 | 7 | 12 | 35 |
| IOWA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 73 | 7 | 13 | 179 |
| KENTUCKY | 25 | 7 | 22 | 96 |
| LOUISIANA | 0 | 71 | 57 | 238 |
| MAINE | 22 | 4 | 13 | 99 |
| MARYLAND | 26 | 11 | 25 | 147 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 8 | 20 | 21 | 122 |
| MICHIGAN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MINNESOTA | 16 | 71 | 29 | 240 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSOURI | 17 | 16 | 12 | 80 |
| MONTANA | 11 | 3 | 11 | 65 |
| NEBRASKA | 47 | 12 | 8 | 188 |
| NEVADA | 8 | 8 | 15 | 51 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 0 | 0 | 47 | 109 |
| NEW JERSEY | 15 | 4 | 14 | 189 |
| NEW MEXICO | 23 | 7 | 7 | 62 |
| NEW YORK | 141 | 27 | 68 | 608 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 71 | 11 | 71 | 385 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 2 | 1 | 2 | 15 |
| OHIO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OKLAHOMA | 11 | 4 | 8 | 59 |
| OREGON | 0 | 48 | 26 | 178 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 4 | 4 | 1 | 15 |
| PUERTO RICO | 7 | 6 | 13 | 73 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 27 | 0 | 18 | 203 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 15 | 9 | 25 | 86 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 3 | 1 | 1 | 7 |
| TENNESSEE | 209 | 145 | 50 | 1,285 |
| TEXAS | 0 | 0 | 108 | 742 |
| UTAH | 22 | 10 | 6 | 65 |
| VERMONT | 10 | 1 | 4 | 36 |
| VIRGINIA | 54 | 12 | 34 | 213 |
| WASHINGTON | 182 | 82 | 65 | 514 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 8 | 1 | 4 | 38 |
| WISCONSIN | 38 | 4 | 7 | 120 |
| WYOMING | 9 | 0 | 10 | 38 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 1,732 | 932 | 1,073 | 12,770 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 1,731 | 931 | 1,069 | 12,757 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
The definition for dropped out differs from the definition used by States prior to $1993-94$. In this context, "dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year, context, "dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases
described. This category includes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown and described. Thi
other exiters.

Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AD1

## Number of Students Age 14 and Older Exiting Special Education During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | VISUAL IMPAIRMENTS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GRADUATED } \\ & \text { WITH } \\ & \text { DIPLOMA } \end{aligned}$ | GRADUATED THROUGH CERTIFICATION | REACHED <br> MAXIMUM AGE | RETURNED TO REGULAR EDUCATION | DIED |
| ALABAMA | 20 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| ALASKA | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 20 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| ARKANSAS | 17 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| CALIFORNIA | 79 | 34 | 16 | 25 | 6 |
| COLORADO | 14 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| CONNECTICUT | 22 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 49 | 7 | 0 | 10 | 1 |
| GEORGIA | 25 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| HAWAII | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| IDAHO | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 44 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 1 |
| INDIANA | 37 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| IOWA | 13 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 13 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| KENTUCKY | 35 | 2 | 1 | 17 | 0 |
| LOUISIANA | 19 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MAINE | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| MARYLAND | 9 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 25 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 0 |
| MICHIGAN | 29 | 2 | 3 | 12 | 1 |
| MINNESOTA | 22 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 3 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| MISSOURI | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MONTANA | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 11 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| NEVADA | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW MEXICO | 9 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| NEW YORK | 50 | 13 | 2 | 18 | 3 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 26 | 16 | 3 | 7 | 0 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OHIO | 38 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 0 |
| OKLAHOMA | 20 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| OREGON | 9 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 0 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 62 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 0 |
| PUERTO RICO | 20 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  |
| RHODE ISLAND | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 15 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 22 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| TEXAS | 82 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UTAH | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 22 | 3 | 1 | 11 | 0 |
| WASHINGTON | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WISCONSIN | 22 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| WYOMING | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 1,008 | 136 | 47 | 201 | 14 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 1,008 | 136 | 47 | 201 | 14 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
The definition for dropped out differs from the definition used by States prior to $1993-94 . \quad$ In this context, "dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year, were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases described. This category includes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown and other exiters.

Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AD1

## Number of Students Age 14 and Older Exiting Special Education During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | MOVED, KNOWN TO CONTINUE | MOVED, NOT KNOWN TO CONTINUE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DROPPED } \\ & \text { OUT } \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL EXITING SPECIAL EDUCATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 6 | 2 | 4 | 42 |
| ALASKA | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| ARIZONA | 6 | 0 | 4 | 31 |
| ARKANSAS | 10 | 2 | 7 | 39 |
| CALIFORNIA | 87 | 39 | 9 | 295 |
| COLORADO | 14 | 2 | 1 | 35 |
| CONNECTICUT | 5 | 1 | 2 | 35 |
| DELAWARE | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| FLORIDA | 31 | 0 | 4 | 102 |
| GEORGIA | 6 | 7 | 4 | 57 |
| HAWAII | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| IDAHO | 4 | 0 | 4 | 13 |
| ILLINOIS | 12 | 5 | 9 | 84 |
| INDIANA | 10 | 5 | 12 | 69 |
| IOWA | 2 | 2 | 5 | 26 |
| KANSAS | 2 | 0 | 2 | 19 |
| KENTUCKY | 6 | 2 | 3 | 66 |
| LOUISIANA | 0 | 5 | 9 | 35 |
| MAINE | 3 | 0 | 1 | 11 |
| MARYLAND | 1 | 0 | 3 | 17 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 5 | 9 | 10 | 63 |
| MICHIGAN | 5 | 10 | 12 | 74 |
| MINNESOTA | 3 | 3 | 0 | 29 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 2 | 1 | 1 | 15 |
| MISSOURI | 5 | 2 | 2 | 16 |
| MONTANA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| NEBRASKA | 7 | 0 | 1 | 22 |
| NEVADA | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| NEW JERSEY | 4 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| NEW MEXICO | 8 | 0 | 1 | 20 |
| NEW YORK | 25 | 6 | 11 | 128 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 7 | 2 | 8 | 69 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| OHIO | 25 | 3 | 3 | 81 |
| OKLAHOMA | 3 | 1 | 0 | 28 |
| OREGON | 0 | 5 | 1 | 26 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 6 | 25 | 7 | 112 |
| PUERTO RICO | 4 | 2 | 6 | 37 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 12 | 4 | 3 | 40 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| TENNESSEE | 17 | 5 | 6 | 59 |
| TEXAS | 0 | 0 | 8 | 90 |
| UTAH | 0 | 1 | 2 | 10 |
| VERMONT | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| VIRGINIA | 12 | 5 | 9 | 63 |
| WASHINGTON | 11 | 1 | 3 | 26 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 1 | 0 | 2 | 19 |
| WISCONSIN | 8 | 3 | 2 | 38 |
| WYOMING | 3 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 387 | 163 | 183 | 2,139 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 387 | 163 | 182 | 2,138 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
The definition for dropped out differs from the definition used by States prior to 1993-94. In this context, "dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year, context, "dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year
were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases
described. This category includes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown and described. Thi
Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AD1

## Number of Students Age 14 and Older Exiting Special Education During the 1995-96 School Year

| AUTISM |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GRADUATED } \\ & \text { WITH } \\ & \text { DIPLOMA } \end{aligned}$ | GRADUATED <br> THROUGH CERTIFICATION | REACHED <br> MAXIMUM AGE | RETURNED TO REGULAR EDUCATION | DIED |
| ALABAMA | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| ALASKA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| ARKANSAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CALIFORNIA | 13 | 19 | 29 | 16 | 2 |
| COLORADO | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CONNECTICUT | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 9 | 24 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| GEORGIA | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HAWAII | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IDAHO | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
| INDIANA | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| IOWA | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KENTUCKY | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| LOUISIANA | 1 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| MAINE | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| MARYLAND | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 32 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 0 |
| MICHIGAN | 16 | 2 | 41 | 8 | 0 |
| MINNESOTA | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSOURI | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MONTANA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| NEVADA | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 12 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW MEXICO | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW YORK | 12 | 32 | 7 | 3 | 0 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 10 | 16 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OHIO | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OKLAHOMA | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OREGON | 2 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PUERTO RICO | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| TEXAS | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UTAH | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 4 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 0 |
| WASHINGTON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| WISCONSIN | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | , |
| WYOMING | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 254 | 145 | 129 | 60 | 3 |
| 50 StATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 254 | 145 | 129 | 60 | 3 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
The definition for dropped out differs from the definition used by States prior to $1993-94$. In this context, "dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year, were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases described. This category includes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown and other exiters.

Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AD1

Number of Students Age 14 and Older Exiting Special Education During the 1995-96 School Year

|  | AUTISM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE | MOVED, KNOWN TO CONTINUE | MOVED, NOT KNOWN TO CONTINUE | DROPPED OUT | TOTAL EXITING SPECIAL EDUCATION |
| ALABAMA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| ALASKA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 2 | 2 | 0 | 7 |
| ARKANSAS | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| CALIFORNIA | 55 | 27 | 1 | 162 |
| COLORADO | 7 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| CONNECTICUT | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| FLORIDA | 15 | 1 | 1 | 52 |
| GEORGIA | 10 | 1 | 0 | 19 |
| HAWAII | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| IDAHO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| ILLINOIS | 6 | 4 | 2 | 24 |
| INDIANA | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 |
| IOWA | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 |
| KANSAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KENTUCKY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| LOUISIANA | 0 | 1 | 3 | 16 |
| MAINE | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| MARYLAND | 1 | 0 | 2 | 10 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 5 | 13 | 14 | 80 |
| MICHIGAN | 27 | 13 | 8 | 115 |
| MINNESOTA | 2 | 1 | 0 | 24 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSOURI | 5 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| MONTANA | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| NEBRASKA | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| NEVADA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 14 | 0 | 2 | 35 |
| NEW MEXICO | 1 |  | 0 | 2 |
| NEW YORK | 39 | 7 | 12 | 112 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 14 | 1 | 6 | 53 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OHIO | 3 | 2 | 2 | 8 |
| OKLAHOMA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| OREGON | 0 | 7 | 4 | 22 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 2 | 6 | 0 | 15 |
| PUERTO RICO | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 4 | 1 | 1 | 12 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| TENNESSEE | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| TEXAS | 0 | 0 | 3 | 56 |
| UTAH | 3 | 3 | 0 | 11 |
| VERMONT | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| VIRGINIA | 5 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| WASHINGTON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 1 | 1 | 2 | 11 |
| WISCONSIN | 2 | 1 | 2 | 16 |
| WYOMING | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | , | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 235 | 95 | 71 | 992 |
| 50 StATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 235 | 95 | 71 | 992 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
The definition for dropped out differs from the definition used by States prior to 1993-94. In this context, "dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year, context, "dropped out is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year
were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases
described. This category includes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown and described. Thi
Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AD1

## Number of Students Age 14 and Older Exiting Special Education During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | DEAF-BLINDNESS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GRADUATED } \\ & \text { WITH } \\ & \text { DIPLOMA } \end{aligned}$ | GRADUATED THROUGH CERTIFICATION | REACHED <br> MAXIMUM AGE | RETURNED TO REGULAR EDUCATION | DIED |
| ALABAMA | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ALASKA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| ARIZONA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARKANSAS | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CALIFORNIA | 1 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 0 |
| COLORADO | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| CONNECTICUT | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GEORGIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HAWAII | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| IDAHO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| INDIANA | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| IOWA | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| KENTUCKY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LOUISIANA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MAINE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MARYLAND | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MICHIGAN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MINNESOTA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSOURI | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| MONTANA | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEVADA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW MEXICO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW YORK | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OHIO | 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| OKLAHOMA | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| OREGON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PUERTO RICO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| TENNESSEE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TEXAS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UTAH | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WASHINGTON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| WISCONSIN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WYOMING | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 34 | 17 | 21 | 6 | 3 |
| 50 StATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 34 | 17 | 21 | 6 | 3 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
The definition for dropped out differs from the definition used by States prior to $1993-94$. In this context, "dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year, were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases described. This category includes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown and other exiters.

Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AD1

## Number of Students Age 14 and Older Exiting Special Education During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | MOVED, KNOWN TO CONTINUE | MOVED, NOT known TO CONTINUE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DROPPED } \\ & \text { OUT } \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL EXITING SPECIAL EDUCATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| ALASKA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| ARIZONA | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| ARKANSAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| CALIFORNIA | 3 | 2 | 0 | 14 |
| COLORADO | 4 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| CONNECTICUT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| district of columbia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| FLORIDA | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| GEORGIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HAWAII | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| IDAHO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ILlinois | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| INDIANA | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| IOWA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| KANSAS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| KENTUCKY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LOUISIANA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MAINE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MARYLAND | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| MICHIGAN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MINNESOTA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| MISSOURI | 2 | 1 | 0 | 10 |
| MONTANA | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| NEBRASKA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEVADA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| NEW MEXICO | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| NEW YORK | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| OHIO | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 |
| OKLAHOMA | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| OREGON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PUERTO RICO | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| TENNESSEE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TEXAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| UTAH | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| VERMONT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WASHINGTON | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| WISCONSIN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WYOMING | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | , | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 24 | 8 | 3 | 116 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 24 | 8 | 3 | 116 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
The definition for dropped out differs from the definition used by States prior to 1993-94. In this context, "dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year, context, "dropped out is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year
were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases
described. This category includes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown and described. Thi
Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AD1

## Number of Students Age 14 and Older Exiting Special Education During the 1995-96 School Year



Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
The definition for dropped out differs from the definition used by States prior to $1993-94$. In this context, "dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year, context, "dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases
described. This category includes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown and described. Thi
other exiters.
Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AD1

## Number of Students Age 14 and Older Exiting Special Education During the 1995-96 School Year

| STATE | MOVED, KNOWN TO CONTINUE | MOVED, NOT KNOWN TO CONTINUE | $\begin{gathered} \text { DROPPED } \\ \text { OUT } \end{gathered}$ | TOTAL EXITING SPECIAL EDUCATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 1 | 0 | 1 | 13 |
| ALASKA | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| ARIZONA | 7 | 0 | 3 | 21 |
| ARKANSAS | 4 | 10 | 2 | 20 |
| CALIFORNIA | 28 | 32 | 5 | 122 |
| COLORADO | 8 | 0 | 1 | 18 |
| CONNECTICUT | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| DELAWARE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 8 | 0 | 6 | 31 |
| GEORGIA | 7 | 0 | 4 | 39 |
| HAWAII | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| IDAHO | 3 | 2 | 2 | 18 |
| ILLINOIS | 3 | 2 | 5 | 38 |
| INDIANA | 3 | 0 | 3 | 10 |
| IOWA | 2 | 1 | 6 | 23 |
| KANSAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KENTUCKY | 3 | 1 | 8 | 22 |
| LOUISIANA | 0 | 3 | 3 | 13 |
| MAINE | 1 | 2 | 0 | 6 |
| MARYLAND | 5 | 0 | 4 | 27 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 0 | 4 | 4 | 24 |
| MICHIGAN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MINNESOTA | 1 | 2 | 2 | 22 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| MISSOURI | 6 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| MONTANA | 1 | 1 | 2 | 9 |
| NEBRASKA | 3 | 2 | 5 | 20 |
| NEVADA | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| NEW JERSEY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| NEW MEXICO | 9 | 1 | 3 | 25 |
| NEW YORK | 17 | 13 | 9 | 94 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 9 | 1 | 5 | 38 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| OHIO | 2 | 0 | 4 | 22 |
| OKLAHOMA | 2 | 0 | 2 | 18 |
| OREGON | 0 | 7 | 3 | 15 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 21 | 25 | 6 | 139 |
| PUERTO RICO | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 3 | 0 | 2 | 9 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 2 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| TENNESSEE | 5 | 2 | 1 | 24 |
| TEXAS | 0 | 0 | 4 | 23 |
| UTAH | 4 | 12 | 5 | 31 |
| VERMONT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 6 | 2 | 1 | 26 |
| WASHINGTON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 0 | 1 | 3 | 12 |
| WISCONSIN | 7 | 0 | 2 | 25 |
| WYOMING | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GUAM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 186 | 126 | 122 | 1,084 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 186 | 126 | 122 | 1,083 |
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## Table AD2

## Number of Students with Disabilities Exiting Special Education by Age Year During the 1995-96 School Year

| AGE GROUP | MENTAL RETARDATION |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\qquad$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GRADUATED } \\ \text { WITH } \\ \text {--CERTIFICATE-- } \end{gathered}$ | REACHED MAXIMUM | ```RETURNED TO REGULAR ---EDUCATION---``` | -----DIED------ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 428 | 55 |
| 15 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 447 | 40 |
| 16 | 25 | 40 | 4 | 432 | 47 |
| 17 | 794 | 638 | 4 | 376 | 43 |
| 18 | 4,436 | 2,655 | 22 | 441 | 54 |
| 19 | 4,628 | 2,612 | 13 | 196 | 38 |
| 20 | 2,075 | 1,438 | 201 | 76 | 24 |
| 21+ | 2,456 | 1,996 | 1,867 | 103 | 37 |
| 14-21 | 14,421 | 9,390 | 2,111 | 2,499 | 338 |
|  |  |  |  | TOTAL |  |
|  |  | MOVED, NOT |  | EXITING |  |
|  | MOVED, KNOWN TO | KNOWN TO | DROPPED | SPECIAL |  |
| AGE GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 2,601 | 920 | 333 | 4,346 |  |
| 15 | 2,529 | 1,050 | 675 | 4,750 |  |
| 16 | 2,352 | 1,147 | 2,098 | 6,145 |  |
| 17 | 1,908 | 1,064 | 2,218 | 7,045 |  |
| 18 | 1,311 | 895 | 2,493 | 12,307 |  |
| 19 | 648 | 477 | 1,319 | 9,931 |  |
| 20 | 347 | 266 | 573 | 5,000 |  |
| 21+ | 250 | 237 | 344 | 7,290 |  |
| 14-21 | 11,946 | 6,056 | 10,053 | 56,814 |  |
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## Table AD2

## Number of Students with Disabilities Exiting Special Education by Age Year During the 1995-96 School Year

| EmOtIONAL DISTURBANCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE GROUP | GRADUATEDWITH$----D I P L O M A----$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GRADUATED } \\ \text { WITH } \\ -- \text { CERTIFICATE-- } \end{gathered}$ | REACHED MAXIMUM | RETURNED TO REGULAR <br> --EDUCATION-- | -----DIED------ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 11 | 8 | 0 | 1,528 | 24 |
| 15 | 12 | 20 | 1 | 1,750 | 36 |
| 16 | 106 | 65 | 4 | 1,972 | 45 |
| 17 | 2,430 | 315 | 4 | 1,557 | 28 |
| 18 | 5,181 | 743 | 27 | 894 | 22 |
| 19 | 3,115 | 486 | 20 | 318 | 9 |
| 20 | 835 | 185 | 53 | 105 | 6 |
| $21+$ | 370 | 93 | 206 | 44 | 8 |
| 14-21 | 12,060 | 1,915 | 315 | 8,168 | 178 |
|  |  |  |  | TOTAL |  |
|  |  | MOVED, NOT |  | EXITING |  |
|  | MOVED, KNOWN TO | KNOWN TO | DROPPED | SPECIAL |  |
| AGE GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 5,641 | 2,261 | 734 | 10,207 |  |
| 15 | 6,608 | 3,016 | 1,964 | 13,407 |  |
| 16 | 6,284 | 3,512 | 4,767 | 16,755 |  |
| 17 | 4,467 | 2,991 | 5,059 | 16,851 |  |
| 18 | 1,995 | 1,706 | 4,272 | 14,840 |  |
| 19 | 593 | 518 | 1,663 | 6,722 |  |
| 20 | 155 | 223 | 611 | 2,173 |  |
| 21+ | 66 | 90 | 219 | 1,096 |  |
| 14-21 | 25,809 | 14,317 | 19,289 | 82,051 |  |
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## Table AD3

> Number of Students with Disabilities Exiting School by Graduation with a Diploma, Graduation with a Certificate, and Reached Maximum Age by Age During the 1986-87 Through 1995-96 School Years

|  | GRADUATED WITH A DIPLOMA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986-87 | 1987-88 | 1988-89 | 1989-90 | REPORTING YEAR |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992-93 | 1993-94 | 1994-95 | 1995-96 |
| 14 | - | 90 | 61 | 262 | 82 | 139 | 127 | 91 | 62 | 42 |
| 15 | - | 130 | 70 | 170 | 152 | 172 | 110 | 169 | 106 | 61 |
| 16 | 1,501 | 596 | 662 | 471 | 543 | 506 | 472 | 532 | 545 | 403 |
| 17 | 16,910 | 17,794 | 14,424 | 14,453 | 14,663 | 14,360 | 16,149 | 15,417 | 16,455 | 16,193 |
| 18 | 43,144 | 42,698 | 44,851 | 44,853 | 46,707 | 45,068 | 46,809 | 47,847 | 49,988 | 53,523 |
| 19 | 22,308 | 24,591 | 27,316 | 27,776 | 29,194 | 29,325 | 27,162 | 35,730 | 37,154 | 40,208 |
| 20 | 5,637 | 6,444 | 7,060 | 8,129 | 7,468 | 7,445 | 7,205 | 9,361 | 9,254 | 10,222 |
| $21+$ | 6,710 | 3,288 | 3,615 | 3,369 | 3,165 | 3,740 | 3,555 | 4,763 | 4,907 | 5,399 |
| 14-22 | 96,210 | 95,631 | 98,059 | 103,688 | 101,974 | 100,755 | 101,589 | 113,910 | 118,471 | 126,051 |


|  | GRADUATED WITH A CERTIFICATE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986-87 | 1987-88 | 1988-89 | 1989-90 | REPORTI1990-91 | YEAR1991-92 | 1992-93 | 1993-94 | 1994-95 | 1995-96 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | - | 481 | 818 | 356 | 264 | 223 | 64 | 130 | 73 | 114 |
| 15 | . | 369 | 721 | 350 | 378 | 158 | 91 | 71 | 68 | 117 |
| 16 | 347 | 465 | 810 | 399 | 430 | 217 | 142 | 178 | 154 | 236 |
| 17 | 2,125 | 1,909 | 2,326 | 1,811 | 1,938 | 1,930 | 2,201 | 2,016 | 2,373 | 2,286 |
| 18 | 7,288 | 7,560 | 7,667 | 6,993 | 6,956 | 7,264 | 8,259 | 7,766 | 9,017 | 9,151 |
| 19 | 5,803 | 5,168 | 5,721 | 5,821 | 6,780 | 7,593 | 8,345 | 7,001 | 7,308 | 7,850 |
| 20 | 3,317 | 2,299 | 2,748 | 2,845 | 7,025 | 7,190 | 8,189 | 3,408 | 3,083 | 3,199 |
| $21+$ | 8,475 | 3,024 | 3,255 | 3,132 | 5,963 | 6,267 | 6,693 | 3,413 | 3,030 | 3,193 |
| 14-22 | 27,355 | 21,275 | 24,066 | 28,770 | 29,734 | 30,842 | 33,984 | 23,983 | 25,106 | 26,146 |

REACHED MAXIMUM AGE REPORTING YEAR

|  | 1986-87 | 1987-88 | 1988-89 | 1989-90 | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992-93 | 1993-94 | 1994-95 | 1995-96 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | - | 14 | 255 | 6 | 5 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 1 |
| 15 | . | 8 | 312 | 43 | 9 | 16 | 51 | 9 | 7 | 16 |
| 16 | 104 | 32 | 222 | 157 | 74 | 44 | 45 | 39 | 26 | 20 |
| 17 | 121 | 44 | 280 | 136 | 74 | 70 | 91 | 106 | 37 | 23 |
| 18 | 134 | 505 | 191 | 256 | 66 | 115 | 163 | 110 | 110 | 116 |
| 19 | 278 | 56 | 94 | 175 | 60 | 68 | 193 | 91 | 79 | 91 |
| 20 | 851 | 335 | 299 | 539 | 560 | 588 | 725 | 525 | 383 | 365 |
| 21+ | 3,863 | 4,977 | 4,626 | 4,388 | 3,522 | 3,428 | 3,768 | 3,707 | 3,308 | 3,544 |
| 14-22 | 5,351 | 5,971 | 6,279 | 5,700 | 4,370 | 4,337 | 5,042 | 4,594 | 3,954 | 4,176 |

[^80]
## Table AF1

Estimated Resident Population for Children Ages 3-21


Population counts are July estimates from the U.S. Bureau of the Census.
Data as of October 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AF2

## Estimated Resident Population for Children Birth Through Age 2

| STATE | 1987-88 | 1995-96 | 1996-97 | CHANGE IN --NUMBER- |  | PERCENTAGE CHANGE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1996-97 | 1996-97 | 1996-97 | 1996-97 |
|  |  |  |  | LESS | LESS | LESS | LESS |
|  |  |  |  | 1987-88 | 1995-96 | 1987-88 | 1995-96 |
| ALABAMA | 172,606 | 178,938 | 175,355 | 2,749 | -3,583 | 1.59 | -2.00 |
| ALASKA | 37,208 | 30,918 | 28,983 | -8,225 | -1,935 | -22.11 | -6.26 |
| ARIZONA | 172,487 | 211,782 | 208,055 | 35,568 | -3,727 | 20.62 | -1.76 |
| ARKANSAS | 100,626 | 101,744 | 104,156 | 3,530 | 2,412 | 3.51 | 2.37 |
| CALIFORNIA | 1,368,685 | 1,653,825 | 1,609,309 | 240,624 | -44,516 | 17.58 | -2.69 |
| COLORADO | 160,714 | 158,555 | 160,314 | -400 | 1,759 | -0.25 | 1.11 |
| CONNECTICUT | 132,444 | 133,704 | 131,703 | -741 | -2,001 | -0.56 | -1.50 |
| DELAWARE | 28,214 | 30,404 | 29,456 | 1,242 | -948 | 4.40 | -3.12 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 24,519 | 23,678 | 19,412 | -5,107 | -4,266 | -20.83 | -18.02 |
| FLORIDA | 484,667 | 570,069 | 562,291 | 77,624 | -7,778 | 16.02 | -1.36 |
| GEORGIA | 286,346 | 328,305 | 328,671 | 42,325 | 366 | 14.78 | 0.11 |
| HAWAII | 51,375 | 57,587 | 53,577 | 2,202 | -4,010 | 4.29 | -6.96 |
| IDAHO | 49,656 | 52,798 | 53,394 | 3,738 | 596 | 7.53 | 1.13 |
| ILLINOIS | 513,295 | 550,204 | 543,374 | 30,079 | -6,830 | 5.86 | -1.24 |
| INDIANA | 235,109 | 242,079 | 243,350 | 8,241 | 1,271 | 3.51 | 0.53 |
| IOWA | 116,393 | 108,246 | 107,503 | -8,890 | -743 | -7.64 | -0.69 |
| KANSAS | 115,245 | 108,405 | 107,727 | -7,518 | -678 | -6.52 | -0.63 |
| KENTUCKY | 152,383 | 154,715 | 153,244 | 861 | -1,471 | 0.57 | -0.95 |
| LOUISIANA | 222,590 | 200,473 | 192,981 | -29,609 | -7,492 | -13.30 | -3.74 |
| MAINE | 50,141 | 42,529 | 40,871 | -9,270 | -1,658 | -18.49 | -3.90 |
| MARYLAND | 203,299 | 216,000 | 211,217 | 7,918 | -4,783 | 3.89 | -2.21 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 240,986 | 242,830 | 224,807 | -16,179 | -18,023 | -6.71 | -7.42 |
| MICHIGAN | 411,296 | 399,821 | 393,598 | -17,698 | -6,223 | -4.30 | -1.56 |
| MINNESOTA | 197,575 | 188,289 | 186,462 | -11,113 | -1,827 | -5.62 | -0.97 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 122,260 | 124,547 | 120,753 | -1,507 | -3,794 | -1.23 | -3.05 |
| MISSOURI | 221,960 | 216,420 | 215,302 | -6,658 | -1,118 | -3.00 | -0.52 |
| MONTANA | 38,628 | 32,982 | 32,551 | -6,077 | -431 | -15.73 | -1.31 |
| NEBRASKA | 73,462 | 67,434 | 67,760 | -5,702 | 326 | -7.76 | 0.48 |
| NEVADA | 47,714 | 71,186 | 74,972 | 27,258 | 3,786 | 57.13 | 5.32 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 46,783 | 43,838 | 44,135 | -2,648 | 297 | -5.66 | 0.68 |
| NEW JERSEY | 314,837 | 339,133 | 335,928 | 21,091 | -3,205 | 6.70 | -0.95 |
| NEW MEXICO | 78,989 | 81,641 | 79,677 | 688 | -1,964 | 0.87 | -2.41 |
| NEW YORK | 746,118 | 802,969 | 774,377 | 28,259 | -28,592 | 3.79 | -3.56 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 264,118 | 302,603 | 301,593 | 37,475 | -1,010 | 14.19 | -0.33 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 32,469 | 24,961 | 24,731 | -7,738 | -230 | -23.83 | -0.92 |
| OHIO | 468,488 | 455,084 | 447,690 | -20,798 | -7,394 | -4.44 | -1.62 |
| OKLAHOMA | 149,832 | 134,940 | 133,709 | -16,123 | -1,231 | -10.76 | -0.91 |
| OREGON | 115,566 | 123,168 | 126,210 | 10,644 | 3,042 | 9.21 | 2.47 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 472,131 | 459,259 | 444,361 | -27,770 | -14,898 | -5.88 | -3.24 |
| PUERTO RICO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RHODE ISLAND | 39,648 | 39,298 | 36,997 | -2,651 | -2,301 | -6.69 | -5.86 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 151,004 | 153,738 | 148,150 | -2,854 | -5,588 | -1.89 | -3.63 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 34,713 | 30,695 | 30,267 | -4,446 | -428 | -12.81 | -1.39 |
| TENNESSEE | 193,667 | 216,078 | 215,634 | 21,967 | -444 | 11.34 | -0.21 |
| TEXAS | 872,626 | 946,613 | 947,908 | 75,282 | 1,295 | 8.63 | 0.14 |
| UTAH | 107,865 | 110,504 | 114,433 | 6,568 | 3,929 | 6.09 | 3.56 |
| VERMONT | 24,148 | 21,538 | 20,445 | -3,703 | -1,093 | -15.33 | -5.07 |
| VIRGINIA | 256,225 | 276,609 | 268,466 | 12,241 | -8,143 | 4.78 | -2.94 |
| WASHINGTON | 208,831 | 226,071 | 227,539 | 18,708 | 1,468 | 8.96 | 0.65 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 68,128 | 62,516 | 62,775 | -5,353 | 259 | -7.86 | 0.41 |
| WISCONSIN | 216,949 | 201,715 | 197,899 | -19,050 | -3,816 | -8.78 | -1.89 |
| WYOMING | 25,405 | 18,878 | 18,360 | -7,045 | -518 | -27.73 | -2.74 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| GUAM | . | - |  |  | - | . | - |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| PALAU | - |  |  | - | - | - | - |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | - | - | - | - | , | - | - |
| 50 STATES AND D.C. | 10,920,423 | 11,570,316 | 11,382,432 | 462,009 | $-187,884$ | 4.23 | -1. 62 |

Population counts are July estimates from the U.S. Bureau of the Census.
Data as of October 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AF3

## Estimated Resident Population for Children Ages 3-5

| STATE | 1976-77 | 1995-96 | 1996-97 | CHANGE IN --NUMBER- |  | PERCENTAGE CHANGE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1996-97 | 1996-97 | 1996-97 | 1996-97 |
|  |  |  |  | LESS | LESS | LESS | LESS |
|  |  |  |  | 1976-77 | 1995-96 | 1976-77 | 1995-96 |
| ALABAMA | 175,341 | 182,430 | 181,753 | 6,412 | -677 | 3.66 | -0.37 |
| ALASKA | 24,068 | 33,676 | 31,429 | 7,361 | -2,247 | 30.58 | -6.67 |
| ARIZONA | 120,127 | 215,394 | 203,447 | 83,320 | -11,947 | 69.36 | -5.55 |
| ARKANSAS | 101,569 | 107,170 | 107,454 | 5,885 | 284 | 5.79 | 0.26 |
| CALIFORNIA | 909,219 | 1,708,349 | 1,708,168 | 798,949 | -181 | 87.87 | -0.01 |
| COLORADO | 120,145 | 166,491 | 166,049 | 45,904 | -442 | 38.21 | -0.27 |
| CONNECTICUT | 113,358 | 143,093 | 138,557 | 25,199 | -4,536 | 22.23 | -3.17 |
| DELAWARE | 25,241 | 31,933 | 30,753 | 5,512 | -1,180 | 21.84 | -3.70 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 27,938 | 24,173 | 22,640 | -5,298 | -1,533 | -18.96 | -6.34 |
| FLORIDA | 344,352 | 601,820 | 597,915 | 253,563 | -3,905 | 73.63 | -0.65 |
| GEORGIA | 249,132 | 335,940 | 336,261 | 87,129 | 321 | 34.97 | 0.10 |
| HAWAII | 45,097 | 56,983 | 56,702 | 11,605 | -281 | 25.73 | -0.49 |
| IDAHO | 44,631 | 55,248 | 55,174 | 10,543 | -74 | 23.62 | -0.13 |
| ILLINOIS | 499,178 | 553,497 | 557,606 | 58,428 | 4,109 | 11.70 | 0.74 |
| INDIANA | 246,507 | 249,176 | 249,849 | 3,342 | 673 | 1.36 | 0.27 |
| IOWA | 118,766 | 114,898 | 112,292 | -6,474 | -2,606 | -5.45 | -2.27 |
| KANSAS | 96,784 | 112,873 | 109,451 | 12,667 | -3,422 | 13.09 | -3.03 |
| KENTUCKY | 162,249 | 159,784 | 158,803 | -3,446 | -981 | -2.12 | -0.61 |
| LOUISIANA | 198,917 | 203,620 | 202,797 | 3,880 | -823 | 1.95 | -0.40 |
| MAINE | 47,644 | 49,250 | 46,486 | -1,158 | -2,764 | -2.43 | -5.61 |
| MARYLAND | 164,831 | 230,286 | 226,122 | 61,291 | -4,164 | 37.18 | -1.81 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 213,304 | 258,913 | 251,434 | 38,130 | -7,479 | 17.88 | -2.89 |
| MICHIGAN | 413,467 | 432,377 | 422,831 | 9,364 | -9,546 | 2.26 | -2.21 |
| MINNESOTA | 166,645 | 202,751 | 197,034 | 30,389 | -5,717 | 18.24 | -2.82 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 130,900 | 125,857 | 125,202 | -5,698 | -655 | -4.35 | -0.52 |
| MISSOURI | 205,393 | 231,076 | 229,502 | 24,109 | -1,574 | 11.74 | -0.68 |
| MONTANA | 35,214 | 36,684 | 35,126 | -88 | -1,558 | -0.25 | -4.25 |
| NEBRASKA | 69,511 | 71,440 | 69,196 | -315 | -2,244 | -0.45 | -3.14 |
| NEVADA | 27,838 | 72,409 | 73,646 | 45,808 | 1,237 | 164.55 | 1.71 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 34,881 | 50,254 | 47,840 | 12,959 | -2,414 | 37.15 | -4.80 |
| NEW JERSEY | 290,746 | 357,962 | 357,056 | 66,310 | -906 | 22.81 | -0.25 |
| NEW MEXICO | 64,122 | 85,077 | 84,562 | 20,440 | -515 | 31.88 | -0.61 |
| NEW YORK | 702,865 | 833,359 | 827,184 | 124,319 | -6,175 | 17.69 | -0.74 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 252,156 | 318,378 | 319,547 | 67,391 | 1,169 | 26.73 | 0.37 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 30,231 | 26,082 | 25,129 | -5,102 | -953 | -16.88 | -3.65 |
| OHIO | 470,129 | 480,489 | 470,717 | 588 | -9,772 | 0.13 | -2.03 |
| OKLAHOMA | 126,173 | 144,087 | 142,310 | 16,137 | -1,777 | 12.79 | -1.23 |
| OREGON | 98,561 | 131,491 | 129,945 | 31,384 | -1,546 | 31.84 | -1.18 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 460,377 | 494,109 | 481,047 | 20,670 | -13,062 | 4.49 | -2.64 |
| PUERTO RICO |  |  | -4057 | 4, 695 | - 765 | 13.28 |  |
| RHODE ISLAND | 35,362 | 42,822 | 40,057 | 4,695 | -2,765 | 13.28 | -6.46 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 144,888 | 164,669 | 160,734 | 15,846 | -3,935 | 10.94 | -2.39 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 32,481 | 32,923 | 31,798 | -683 | -1,125 | -2.10 | -3.42 |
| TENNESSEE | 192,024 | 224,491 | 224,388 | 32,364 | -103 | 16.85 | -0.05 |
| TEXAS | 634,321 | 943,507 | 951,887 | 317,566 | 8,380 | 50.06 | 0.89 |
| UTAH | 81,356 | 109,997 | 110,474 | 29,118 | 477 | 35.79 | 0.43 |
| VERMONT | 20,524 | 24,054 | 22,996 | 2,472 | -1,058 | 12.05 | -4.40 |
| VIRGINIA | 216,877 | 282,845 | 281,752 | 64,875 | -1,093 | 29.91 | -0.39 |
| WASHINGTON | 147,905 | 242,001 | 239,841 | 91,936 | -2,160 | 62.16 | -0.89 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 84,025 | 65,894 | 66,454 | -17,571 | 560 | -20.91 | 0.85 |
| WISCONSIN | 192,191 | 217,658 | 210,511 | 18,320 | -7,147 | 9.53 | -3.28 |
| WYOMING | 19,946 | 20,495 | 19,592 | -354 | -903 | -1.78 | -4.41 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| GUAM |  |  | . | - | - | . | - |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | . | . | - | - | - | - | - |
| PALAU | . | . | . | . | - |  | - |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS |  |  | . | . | . |  | - |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 50 STATES AND D.C. | 9,429,510 | 12,060,235 | 11,949,500 | 2,519,990 | -110,735 | 26.72 | -0.92 |

Population counts are July estimates form the U.S. Bureau of the Census.
The 1976-77 data were estimated from the 3-21 year old group.
Data as of October 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AF4

Estimated Resident Population for Children Ages 6-17

| STATE | 1976-77 | 1995-96 | 1996-97 | CHANGE IN --NUMBER- |  | PERCENTAGECHANGE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1996-97 | 1996-97 | 1996-97 | 1996-97 |
|  |  |  |  | LESS | LESS | LESS | LESS |
|  |  |  |  | 1976-77 | 1995-96 | 1976-77 | 1995-96 |
| ALABAMA | 812,953 | 718,777 | 719,328 | -93,625 | 551 | -11.52 | 0.08 |
| ALASKA | 102,411 | 124,659 | 123,975 | 21,564 | -684 | 21.06 | -0.55 |
| ARIZONA | 490,548 | 766,094 | 738,684 | 248,136 | -27,410 | 50.58 | -3.58 |
| ARKANSAS | 450,431 | 440,607 | 447,838 | -2,593 | 7,231 | -0.58 | 1.64 |
| CALIFORNIA | 4,446,498 | 5,431,442 | 5,548,936 | 1,102,438 | 117,494 | 24.79 | 2.16 |
| COLORADO | 551,093 | 656,154 | 671,575 | 120,482 | 15,421 | 21.86 | 2.35 |
| CONNECTICUT | 671,319 | 520,936 | 527,690 | -143,629 | 6,754 | -21.40 | 1.30 |
| DELAWARE | 128,764 | 116,489 | 115,806 | -12,958 | -683 | -10.06 | -0.59 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 136,585 | 66,801 | 67,507 | -69,078 | 706 | -50.58 | 1.06 |
| FLORIDA | 1,586,530 | 2,199,439 | 2,262,861 | 676,331 | 63,422 | 42.63 | 2.88 |
| GEORGIA | 1,120,109 | 1,259,349 | 1,287,524 | 167,415 | 28,175 | 14.95 | 2.24 |
| HAWAII | 191,110 | 194,692 | 196,244 | 5,134 | 1,552 | 2.69 | 0.80 |
| IDAHO | 186,590 | 239,878 | 239,941 | 53,351 | 63 | 28.59 | 0.03 |
| ILLINOIS | 2,429,966 | 2,022,193 | 2,054,925 | -375,041 | 32,732 | -15.43 | 1.62 |
| INDIANA | 1,182,681 | 996,104 | 1,005,325 | -177,356 | 9,221 | -15.00 | 0.93 |
| IOWA | 632,399 | 501,367 | 499,544 | -132,855 | -1,823 | -21.01 | -0.36 |
| KANSAS | 473,180 | 471,483 | 470,136 | -3,044 | -1,347 | -0.64 | -0.29 |
| KENTUCKY | 746,989 | 658,209 | 656,613 | -90,376 | -1,596 | -12.10 | -0.24 |
| LOUISIANA | 923,076 | 835,121 | 837,677 | -85,399 | 2,556 | -9.25 | 0.31 |
| MAINE | 237,130 | 213,116 | 212,162 | -24,968 | -954 | -10.53 | -0.45 |
| MARYLAND | 928,271 | 825,680 | 848,851 | -79,420 | 23,171 | -8.56 | 2.81 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 1,242,391 | 930,111 | 945,688 | -296,703 | 15,577 | -23.88 | 1.67 |
| MICHIGAN | 2,095,777 | 1,687,257 | 1,720,585 | -375,192 | 33,328 | -17.90 | 1.98 |
| MINNESOTA | 898,231 | 854,452 | 863,512 | -34,719 | 9,060 | -3.87 | 1.06 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 562,604 | 511,505 | 510,179 | -52,425 | -1,326 | -9.32 | -0.26 |
| MISSOURI | 1,003,075 | 934,056 | 949,395 | -53,680 | 15,339 | -5.35 | 1.64 |
| MONTANA | 169,330 | 166,468 | 165,074 | -4,256 | -1,394 | -2.51 | -0.84 |
| NEBRASKA | 332,339 | 304,423 | 305,230 | -27,109 | 807 | -8.16 | 0.27 |
| NEVADA | 135,073 | 254,991 | 268,132 | 133,059 | 13,141 | 98.51 | 5.15 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 183,785 | 200,877 | 203,891 | 20,106 | 3,014 | 10.94 | 1.50 |
| NEW JERSEY | 1,587,994 | 1,266,428 | 1,293,988 | -294,006 | 27,560 | -18.51 | 2.18 |
| NEW MEXICO | 280,878 | 333,381 | 336,994 | 56,116 | 3,613 | 19.98 | 1.08 |
| NEW YORK | 3,793,733 | 2,900,534 | 2,938,973 | -854,760 | 38,439 | -22.53 | 1.33 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 1,181,836 | 1,178,138 | 1,212,477 | 30,641 | 34,339 | 2.59 | 2.91 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 144,042 | 119,402 | 118,783 | -25,259 | -619 | -17.54 | -0.52 |
| OHIO | 2,355,041 | 1,924,275 | 1,929,434 | -425,607 | 5,159 | -18.07 | 0.27 |
| OKLAHOMA | 564,589 | 599,012 | 604,777 | 40,188 | 5,765 | 7.12 | 0.96 |
| OREGON | 478,903 | 542,381 | 552,251 | 73,348 | 9,870 | 15.32 | 1.82 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 2,454,642 | 1,955,934 | 1,969,268 | -485,374 | 13,334 | -19.77 | 0.68 |
| PUERTO RICO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RHODE ISLAND | 199,207 | 155,491 | 158,229 | -40,978 | 2,738 | -20.57 | 1.76 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 645,989 | 625,977 | 628,881 | -17,108 | 2,904 | -2.65 | 0.46 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 151,333 | 142,818 | 142,091 | -9,242 | -727 | -6.11 | -0.51 |
| TENNESSEE | 899,154 | 869,728 | 882,139 | -17,015 | 12,411 | -1.89 | 1.43 |
| TEXAS | 2,779,661 | 3,510,297 | 3,552,482 | 772,821 | 42,185 | 27.80 | 1.20 |
| UTAH | 286,294 | 454,117 | 453,896 | 167,602 | -221 | 58.54 | -0.05 |
| VERMONT | 108,007 | 101,168 | 103,207 | -4,800 | 2,039 | -4.44 | 2.02 |
| VIRGINIA | 1,090,502 | 1,053,073 | 1,081,618 | -8,884 | 28,545 | -0.81 | 2.71 |
| WASHINGTON | 776,411 | 950,332 | 969,424 | 193,013 | 19,092 | 24.86 | 2.01 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 380,112 | 293,458 | 292,704 | -87,408 | -754 | -23.00 | -0.26 |
| WISCONSIN | 1,043,493 | 933,832 | 934,624 | -108,869 | 792 | -10.43 | 0.08 |
| WYOMING | 84,744 | 96,895 | 95,323 | 10,579 | -1,572 | 12.48 | -1.62 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| GUAM | . |  | - | - | - | . | - |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | . | - | . | - | - | - | - |
| PALAU | - | . | . | . | . | . |  |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | . |  |  | . | . |  |  |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 50 STATES AND D.C. | 46,337,802 | 45,109,401 | 45,716,391 | -621,411 | 606,990 | -1.34 | 1.35 |

Population counts are July estimates from the U.S. Bureau of the Census.
The 1976-77 data were estimated from the 3-21 year old group.
Data as of October 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AF5

## Estimated Resident Population for Children Ages 18-21

| STATE | 1976-77 | 1995-96 | 1996-97 | CHANGE IN --NUMBER- |  | PERCENTAGE CHANGE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1996-97 | 1996-97 | 1996-97 | 1996-97 |
|  |  |  |  | LESS | LESS | LESS | LESS |
|  |  |  |  | 1976-77 | 1995-96 | 1976-77 | 1995-96 |
| ALABAMA | 287,706 | 249,708 | 252,834 | -34,872 | 3,126 | -12.12 | 1.25 |
| ALASKA | 44,521 | 37,733 | 39,840 | -4,681 | 2,107 | -10.51 | 5.58 |
| ARIZONA | 177,325 | 224,372 | 249,971 | 72,646 | 25,599 | 40.97 | 11.41 |
| ARKANSAS | 152,000 | 144,861 | 147,043 | -4,957 | 2,182 | -3.26 | 1.51 |
| CALIFORNIA | 1,736,283 | 1,649,889 | 1,704,381 | -31,902 | 54,492 | -1.84 | 3.30 |
| COLORADO | 228,763 | 200,289 | 209,379 | -19,384 | 9,090 | -8.47 | 4.54 |
| CONNECTICUT | 236,324 | 148,533 | 149,636 | -86,688 | 1,103 | -36.68 | 0.74 |
| DELAWARE | 50,995 | 35,563 | 37,204 | -13,791 | 1,641 | -27.04 | 4.61 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 62,477 | 22,812 | 22,877 | -39,600 | 65 | -63.38 | 0.28 |
| FLORIDA | 594,118 | 645,128 | 665,875 | 71,757 | 20,747 | 12.08 | 3.22 |
| GEORGIA | 408,759 | 404,732 | 417,341 | 8,582 | 12,609 | 2.10 | 3.12 |
| HAWAII | 84,792 | 67,868 | 68,498 | -16,294 | 630 | -19.22 | 0.93 |
| IDAHO | 65,779 | 76,101 | 80,895 | 15,116 | 4,794 | 22.98 | 6.30 |
| ILLINOIS | 872,856 | 627,065 | 635,589 | -237,267 | 8,524 | -27.18 | 1.36 |
| INDIANA | 424,812 | 328,760 | 335,015 | -89,797 | 6,255 | -21.14 | 1.90 |
| IOWA | 218,835 | 157,175 | 163,821 | -55,014 | 6,646 | -25.14 | 4.23 |
| KANSAS | 193,036 | 142,943 | 148,077 | -44,959 | 5,134 | -23.29 | 3.59 |
| KENTUCKY | 271,761 | 228,777 | 234,029 | -37,732 | 5,252 | -13.88 | 2.30 |
| LOUISIANA | 322,007 | 267,723 | 275,716 | -46,291 | 7,993 | -14.38 | 2.99 |
| MAINE | 83,226 | 64,071 | 65,105 | -18,121 | 1,034 | -21.77 | 1.61 |
| MARYLAND | 343,897 | 238,946 | 243,998 | -99,899 | 5,052 | -29.05 | 2.11 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 474,305 | 279,129 | 284,474 | -189,831 | 5,345 | -40.02 | 1.91 |
| MICHIGAN | 757,757 | 519,421 | 526,067 | -231,690 | 6,646 | -30.58 | 1.28 |
| MINNESOTA | 328,124 | 239,528 | 251,043 | -77,081 | 11,515 | -23.49 | 4.81 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 188,496 | 172,824 | 176,968 | -11,528 | 4,144 | -6.12 | 2.40 |
| MISSOURI | 378,532 | 283,650 | 291,954 | -86,578 | 8,304 | -22.87 | 2.93 |
| MONTANA | 60,456 | 50,954 | 53,127 | -7,329 | 2,173 | -12.12 | 4.26 |
| NEBRASKA | 126,150 | 93,579 | 97,538 | -28,612 | 3,959 | -22.68 | 4.23 |
| NEVADA | 48,088 | 71,407 | 76,524 | 28,436 | 5,117 | 59.13 | 7.17 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 62,335 | 52,843 | 54,328 | -8,007 | 1,485 | -12.84 | 2.81 |
| NEW JERSEY | 519,260 | 381,431 | 384,781 | -134,479 | 3,350 | -25.90 | 0.88 |
| NEW MEXICO | 102,000 | 99,498 | 103,057 | 1,057 | 3,559 | 1.04 | 3.58 |
| NEW YORK | 1,317,403 | 905,013 | 923,233 | -394,170 | 18,220 | -29.92 | 2.01 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 449,008 | 389,691 | 398,286 | -50,722 | 8,595 | -11.30 | 2.21 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 55,727 | 38,467 | 40,010 | -15,717 | 1,543 | -28.20 | 4.01 |
| OHIO | 861,830 | 601,677 | 613,075 | -248,755 | 11,398 | -28.86 | 1.89 |
| OKLAHOMA | 215,238 | 190,250 | 195,236 | -20,002 | 4,986 | -9.29 | 2.62 |
| OREGON | 174,536 | 164,554 | 173,161 | -1,375 | 8,607 | -0.79 | 5.23 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 877,981 | 595,120 | 603,033 | -274,948 | 7,913 | -31.32 | 1.33 |
| PUERTO RICO |  | - | - | - | . | . | . |
| RHODE ISLAND | 73,430 | 46,470 | 47,617 | -25,813 | 1,147 | -35.15 | 2.47 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 244,123 | 213,542 | 217,098 | -27,025 | 3,556 | -11.07 | 1.67 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 57,186 | 43,444 | 45,300 | -11,886 | 1,856 | -20.79 | 4.27 |
| TENNESSEE | 321,822 | 288,311 | 293,947 | -27,875 | 5,636 | -8.66 | 1.95 |
| TEXAS | 1,032,018 | 1,103,460 | 1,149,180 | 117,162 | 45,720 | 11.35 | 4.14 |
| UTAH | 113,350 | 148,540 | 161,395 | 48,045 | 12,855 | 42.39 | 8.65 |
| VERMONT | 39,470 | 29,537 | 30,363 | -9,107 | 826 | -23.07 | 2.80 |
| VIRGINIA | 446,620 | 360,985 | 367,509 | -79,111 | 6,524 | -17.71 | 1.81 |
| WASHINGTON | 292,683 | 287,143 | 301,301 | 8,618 | 14,158 | 2.94 | 4.93 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 127,864 | 109,966 | 110,761 | -17,103 | 795 | -13.38 | 0.72 |
| WISCONSIN | 377,316 | 278,113 | 289,225 | -88,091 | 11,112 | -23.35 | 4.00 |
| WYOMING | 31,309 | 30,581 | 31,719 | 410 | 1,138 | 1.31 | 3.72 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | . | . | . | . | . |  | . |
| GUAM |  | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | . |  | . | - | - | - | - |
| PALAU |  | - | - | - | . |  |  |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 50 STATES AND D.C. | 17,014,688 | 14,032,177 | 14,438,434 | -2,576,254 | 406,257 | -15.14 | 2.90 |

Population counts are July estimates from the U.S. Bureau of the Census.
The 1976-77 data were estimated from the 3-21 year old group.
Data as of October 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AF6

Enrollment for Students in Grades Pre-Kindergarten Through Twelve

| STATE | 1976-77 | 1995-96 | 1996-97 | CHANGE IN --NUMBER- |  | PERCENTAGE CHANGE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1996-97 | 1996-97 | 1996-97 | 1996-97 |
|  |  |  |  | LESS | LESS | LESS | LESS |
|  |  |  |  | 1976-77 | 1995-96 | 1976-77 | 1995-96 |
| ALABAMA | 752,507 | 735,947 | 741,933 | -10,574 | 5,986 | -1.41 | 0.81 |
| ALASKA | 91,190 | 125,257 | 126,015 | 34,825 | 758 | 38.19 | 0.61 |
| ARIZONA | 502,817 | 766,498 | 749,759 | 246,942 | -16,739 | 49.11 | -2.18 |
| ARKANSAS | 460,593 | 454,278 | 457,076 | -3,517 | 2,798 | -0.76 | 0.62 |
| CALIFORNIA | 4,380,300 | 5,447,846 | 5,535,312 | 1,155,012 | 87,466 | 26.37 | 1.61 |
| COLORADO | 570,000 | 656,279 | 673,438 | 103,438 | 17,159 | 18.15 | 2.61 |
| CONNECTICUT | 635,000 | 514,627 | 523,054 | -111,946 | 8,427 | -17.63 | 1.64 |
| DELAWARE | 122,273 | 108,461 | 110,549 | -11,724 | 2,088 | -9.59 | 1.93 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 125,848 | 79,802 | 79,159 | -46,689 | -643 | -37.10 | -0.81 |
| FLORIDA | 1,537,336 | 2,172,794 | 2,240,283 | 702,947 | 67,489 | 45.73 | 3.11 |
| GEORGIA | 1,095,142 | 1,311,126 | 1,321,239 | 226,097 | 10,113 | 20.65 | 0.77 |
| HAWAII | 174,943 | 186,574 | 188,485 | 13,542 | 1,911 | 7.74 | 1.02 |
| IDAHO | 200,005 | 243,097 | 245,252 | 45,247 | 2,155 | 22.62 | 0.89 |
| ILLINOIS | 2,238,129 | 1,927,519 | 1,961,299 | -276,830 | 33,780 | -12.37 | 1.75 |
| INDIANA | 1,163,179 | 980,198 | 984,610 | -178,569 | 4,412 | -15.35 | 0.45 |
| IOWA | 605,127 | 502,301 | 504,511 | -100,616 | 2,210 | -16.63 | 0.44 |
| KANSAS | 436,526 | 464,088 | 465,140 | 28,614 | 1, 052 | 6.55 | 0.23 |
| KENTUCKY | 694,000 | 638,634 | 663,071 | -30,929 | 24,437 | -4.46 | 3.83 |
| LOUISIANA | 839,499 | 781,142 | 777,570 | -61,929 | -3,572 | -7.38 | -0.46 |
| MAINE | 248,822 | 219,225 | 218,560 | -30,262 | -665 | -12.16 | -0.30 |
| MARYLAND | 860,929 | 805,580 | 818,947 | -41,982 | 13,367 | -4.88 | 1.66 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 1,172,000 | 910,020 | 936,794 | -235,206 | 26,774 | -20.07 | 2.94 |
| MICHIGAN | 2,035,703 | 1,643,100 | 1,662,100 | -373,603 | 19,000 | -18.35 | 1.16 |
| MINNESOTA | 862,591 | 835,418 | 836,700 | -25,891 | 1,282 | -3.00 | 0.15 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 510,209 | 503,602 | 504,168 | -6,041 | 566 | -1.18 | 0.11 |
| MISSOURI | 950,142 | 873,638 | 883,327 | -66,815 | 9,689 | -7.03 | 1.11 |
| MONTANA | 170,552 | 165,499 | 166,909 | -3,643 | 1,410 | -2.14 | 0.85 |
| NEBRASKA | 312,024 | 289,733 | 292,121 | -19,903 | 2,388 | -6.38 | 0.82 |
| NEVADA | 141, 791 | 265,041 | 282,131 | 140,340 | 17,090 | 98.98 | 6.45 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 175,496 | 190,450 | 194,581 | 19,085 | 4,131 | 10.87 | 2.17 |
| NEW JERSEY | 1,427,000 | 1,197,560 | 1,221,013 | -205,987 | 23,453 | -14.43 | 1.96 |
| NEW MEXICO | 284,719 | 328,463 | 330,522 | 45,803 | 2,059 | 16.09 | 0.63 |
| NEW YORK | 3,378,997 | 2,830,000 | 2,825,000 | -553,997 | -5,000 | -16.40 | -0.18 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 1,191,316 | 1,165,385 | 1,199,962 | 8,646 | 34,577 | 0.73 | 2.97 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 129,106 | 119,090 | 118,427 | -10,679 | -663 | -8.27 | -0.56 |
| OHIO | 2,249,440 | 1,838,411 | 1,841,095 | -408,345 | 2,684 | -18.15 | 0.15 |
| OKLAHOMA | 597,665 | 616,497 | 620,379 | 22,714 | 3,882 | 3.80 | 0.63 |
| OREGON | 474,707 | 527,914 | 537,783 | 63,076 | 9,869 | 13.29 | 1.87 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 2,193,673 | 1,801,970 | 1,807,250 | -386,423 | 5,280 | -17.62 | 0.29 |
| PUERTO RICO | 688,592 | 621,370 | 613,009 | -75,583 | -8,361 | -10.98 | -1.35 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 172,373 | 148,978 | 151,181 | -21,192 | 2,203 | -12.29 | 1.48 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 620,711 | 637,519 | 648,980 | 28,269 | 11,461 | 4.55 | 1.80 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 148,080 | 144,114 | 142,910 | -5,170 | -1,204 | -3.49 | -0.84 |
| TENNESSEE | 841,974 | 880,960 | 891,101 | 49,127 | 10,141 | 5.83 | 1.15 |
| TEXAS | 2,822,754 | 3,740,260 | 3,809,186 | 986,432 | 68,926 | 34.95 | 1.84 |
| UTAH | 314,471 | 473,666 | 478,085 | 163,614 | 4,419 | 52.03 | 0.93 |
| VERMONT | 104,356 | 105,965 | 106,607 | 2,251 | 642 | 2.16 | 0.61 |
| VIRGINIA | 1,100,723 | 1,079,854 | 1,096,093 | -4,630 | 16,239 | -0.42 | 1.50 |
| WASHINGTON | 780,730 | 951,696 | 971,903 | 191,173 | 20,207 | 24.49 | 2.12 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 404,771 | 306,451 | 303,441 | -101,330 | -3,010 | -25.03 | -0.98 |
| WISCONSIN | 945,337 | 869,172 | 884,738 | -60,599 | 15,566 | -6.41 | 1.79 |
| WYOMING | 90,587 | 99,859 | 98,777 | 8,190 | -1,082 | 9.04 | -1.08 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 9,950 | 14,406 | 14,708 | 4,758 | 302 | 47.82 | 2.10 |
| GUAM | 28,570 | 33,502 | 33,754 | 5,184 | 252 | 18.14 | 0.75 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | . | 10,634 | 8,253 |  | -2,381 |  | -22.39 |
| PALAU |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 25,026 | 22,221 | 22,146 | -2,880 | -75 | -11.51 | -0.34 |
| BUR. OF INDIAN AFFAIRS | . | . | - | . | . | . | . |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 45,090,301 | 45,363,691 | 45,920,396 | 830,095 | 556,705 | 1.84 | 1.23 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 45,026,755 | 45,282,928 | 45,841,535 | 814,780 | 558,607 | 1.81 | 1.23 |

Enrollment counts are fall membership counts collected by NCES.
Data for school years 1995-96 and 1996-97 are estimates from NCES.
Data as of October 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AG1

State Grant Awards Under IDEA, Part B, Preschool Grant Program and Part H

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | APPROPRIATION YEAR | 1996 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | ALLOCATION YEAR $1996-1997$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | IDEA, | PRESCHOOL |

[^81]
## Table AH1

## Number of Infants and Toddlers Receiving Early Intervention Services December 1, 1996

| STATE | 0-1 | 1-2 | 2-3 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { BIRTH } \\ \text { THROUGH } 2 \\ \text { TOTAL } \end{array}$ | POPULATION | $\begin{gathered} \text { PERCENTAGE } \\ \text { OF } \\ \text { POPULATION } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 208 | 571 | 820 | 1,599 | 175,355 | 0.91 |
| ALASKA | 62 | 128 | 280 | 470 | 28,983 | 1.62 |
| ARIZONA | 202 | 599 | 803 | 1,604 | 208,055 | 0.77 |
| ARKANSAS | 359 | 720 | 942 | 2,021 | 104,156 | 1.94 |
| CALIFORNIA | 3,269 | 6,987 | 9,824 | 20,080 | 1,609,309 | 1.25 |
| COLORADO | 546 | 788 | 1,128 | 2,462 | 160,314 | 1.54 |
| CONNECTICUT | 448 | 828 | 1,639 | 2,915 | 131,703 | 2.21 |
| DELAWARE | 120 | 245 | 376 | 741 | 29,456 | 2.52 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 69 | 134 | 177 | 380 | 19,412 | 1.96 |
| FLORIDA | 3,744 | 3,598 | 4,555 | 11,897 | 562,291 | 2.12 |
| GEORGIA | 533 | 1,214 | 1,616 | 3,363 | 328,671 | 1.02 |
| HAWAII | 1,266 | 1,076 | 1,076 | 3,418 | 53,577 | 6.38 |
| IDAHO | 185 | 299 | 447 | 931 | 53,394 | 1.74 |
| ILLINOIS | 1,173 | 2,731 | 3,903 | 7,807 | 543,374 | 1.44 |
| INDIANA | 829 | 1,542 | 2,008 | 4,379 | 243,350 | 1.80 |
| IOWA | 117 | 325 | 592 | 1,034 | 107,503 | 0.96 |
| KANSAS | 275 | 488 | 729 | 1,492 | 107,727 | 1.38 |
| KENTUCKY | 303 | 738 | 1,044 | 2,085 | 153,244 | 1.36 |
| LOUISIANA | 238 | 677 | 1,040 | 1,955 | 192,981 | 1.01 |
| MAINE | 49 | 169 | 405 | 623 | 40,871 | 1.52 |
| MARYLAND | 523 | 1,120 | 2,180 | 3,823 | 211,217 | 1.81 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 1,797 | 2,867 | 4,395 | 9,059 | 224,807 | 4.03 |
| MICHIGAN | 1,051 | 1,683 | 2,408 | 5,142 | 393,598 | 1.31 |
| MINNESOTA | 427 | 774 | 1,457 | 2,658 | 186,462 | 1.43 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 118 | 205 | 331 | 654 | 120,753 | 0.54 |
| MISSOURI | 420 | 719 | 1,089 | 2,228 | 215,302 | 1.03 |
| MONTANA | 94 | 177 | 237 | 508 | 32,551 | 1.56 |
| NEBRASKA | 71 | 229 | 392 | 692 | 67,760 | 1.02 |
| NEVADA | 184 | 329 | 428 | 941 | 74,972 | 1.26 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 147 | 326 | 699 | 1,172 | 44,135 | 2.66 |
| NEW JERSEY | 535 | 1,255 | 2,062 | 3,852 | 335,928 | 1.15 |
| NEW MEXICO | 363 | 709 | 1,084 | 2,156 | 79,677 | 2.71 |
| NEW YORK | 1,050 | 3,606 | 10,493 | 15,149 | 774,377 | 1.96 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 556 | 1,576 | 2,505 | 4,637 | 301,593 | 1.54 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 44 | 109 | 128 | 281 | 24,731 | 1.14 |
| OHIO | 2,874 | 5,240 | 9,241 | 17,355 | 447,690 | 3.88 |
| OKLAHOMA | 362 | 632 | 749 | 1,743 | 133,709 | 1.30 |
| OREGON | 220 | 593 | 984 | 1,797 | 126,210 | 1.42 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 1,162 | 2,358 | 3,526 | 7,046 | 444,361 | 1.59 |
| PUERTO RICO | 606 | 1,708 | 2,352 | 4,666 | - |  |
| RHODE ISLAND | 118 | 219 | 417 | 754 | 36,997 | 2.04 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 319 | 685 | 1,022 | 2,026 | 148,150 | 1.37 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 57 | 139 | 238 | 434 | 30,267 | 1.43 |
| TENNESSEE | 542 | 1,125 | 1,641 | 3,308 | 215,634 | 1.53 |
| TEXAS | 1,695 | 3,601 | 5,522 | 10,818 | 947,908 | 1.14 |
| UTAH | 537 | 643 | 792 | 1,972 | 114,433 | 1.72 |
| VERMONT | 28 | 91 | 189 | 308 | 20,445 | 1.51 |
| VIRGINIA | 422 | 1,020 | 749 | 2,191 | 268,466 | 0.82 |
| WASHINGTON | 234 | 725 | 1,236 | 2,195 | 227,539 | 0.96 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 442 | 640 | 693 | 1,775 | 62,775 | 2.83 |
| WISCONSIN | 456 | 1,247 | 2,291 | 3,994 | 197,899 | 2.02 |
| WYOMING | 59 | 126 | 238 | 423 | 18,360 | 2.30 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 10 | 14 | 21 | 45 | . | . |
| GUAM | 42 | 62 | 61 | 165 | - |  |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 18 | 19 | 24 | 61 | . | - |
| PALAU | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 7 | 23 | 34 | 64 | - | - |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 31,585 | 60,451 | 95,312 | 187,348 | 11,382,432 | 1.65 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 31,508 | 60,333 | 95,172 | 187,013 | 11,382,432 | 1.64 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
Population figures are July estimates from the Bureau of the Census.
No census data are available for Outlying Areas.
Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AH2

## Early Intervention Services on IFSPs Provided to Infants, Toddlers, and Their Families in Accord With Part H December 1, 1995

| STATE | ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGY SERVICES/ DEVICES | AUDIOLOGY | FAMILY TRAINING COUNSELING AND HOME VISITS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HEALTH } \\ & \text { SERVICES } \end{aligned}$ | MEDICAL SERVICES | NURSING <br> SERVICES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 104 | 300 | 666 | 80 | 238 | 382 |
| ALASKA | 1 | 121 | 6 | 123 | 204 | 95 |
| ARIZONA | 15 | 93 | 211 | 29 | 75 | 68 |
| ARKANSAS | 263 | 483 | 47 | 85 | 954 | 85 |
| CALIFORNIA | 1,842 | 553 | 1,371 | 3,381 | 884 | 1,514 |
| COLORADO | 264 | 462 | 1,073 | 385 | 508 | 127 |
| CONNECTICUT | 195 | 167 | 122 | 0 | 14 | 62 |
| DELAWARE | 64 | 49 | 95 | 120 | 409 | 284 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 16 | 62 | 156 | 105 | 93 | 203 |
| FLORIDA | 271 | 904 | 7,818 | 443 | 2,490 | 2,688 |
| GEORGIA | 191 | 371 | 209 | 111 | 224 | 190 |
| HAWAII | 52 | 222 | 2,641 | 46 | 90 | 912 |
| IDAHO | 94 | 107 | 50 | 20 | 230 | 89 |
| ILLINOIS | 362 | 712 | 1,667 | 360 | 317 | 953 |
| INDIANA | 149 | 328 | 1,008 | 271 | 242 | 117 |
| IOWA | 12 | 78 | 280 | 56 | 44 | 96 |
| KANSAS | 267 | 312 | 626 | 249 | 124 | 102 |
| KENTUCKY | 212 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| LOUISIANA | 64 | 405 | 477 | 326 | 516 | 172 |
| MAINE | 28 | 15 | 52 | 46 | 20 | 0 |
| MARYLAND | 8 | 467 | 107 | 5 | 13 | 212 |
| MASSACHUSETTS |  | 425 | 9,059 | 9,059 | 0 | 779 |
| MICHIGAN | 116 | 221 | 1,402 | 808 | 558 | 794 |
| MINNESOTA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MISSISSIPPI | 21 | 35 | 324 | 23 | 118 | 42 |
| MISSOURI | 291 | 228 | 953 | 2 | 1,242 | 325 |
| MONTANA | 47 | 114 | 500 | 85 | 125 | 25 |
| NEBRASKA | 59 | 37 | 47 | 6 | 18 | 6 |
| NEVADA | 22 | 42 | 839 |  | 639 |  |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE |  | 0 | 243 | 2 | 5 | 3 |
| NEW JERSEY | 59 | 151 | 265 | 27 | 79 | 144 |
| NEW MEXICO | 140 | 711 | 984 | 652 | 952 | 286 |
| NEW YORK | 248 | 648 | 4,323 | 3 | 88 | 275 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 297 | 100 | 4,336 | 419 | 215 |  |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 49 | 56 | 254 | 24 | 65 | 38 |
| OHIO | 177 | 360 | 2,783 | 675 | 1,443 | 1,008 |
| OKLAHOMA | 0 | 3 | 166 | 1 | 0 | 63 |
| OREGON | 41 | 54 | 851 | 54 |  |  |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 95 | 244 | 1,517 | 29 | 20 | 442 |
| PUERTO RICO | 30 | 1,085 | 590 | 203 | 4,150 | 4,150 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 53 | 230 | 727 | 65 | 104 | 46 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 57 | 129 | 414 | 64 | 307 | 63 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 7 | 13 | 74 | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| TENNESSEE | 172 | 897 | 1,512 | 393 | 913 | 818 |
| TEXAS | 1,723 | 1,271 | 5,316 | 318 | 957 | 1,430 |
| UTAH | 98 | 195 | 1,298 | 377 | 61 | 926 |
| VERMONT | 5 | 51 | 57 |  | 64 | 26 |
| VIRGINIA | 57 | 125 | 223 | 21 | 104 | 61 |
| WASHINGTON | 272 | 113 | 856 | 274 | 324 | 332 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 451 | 318 | 1,072 | 91 | 375 | 137 |
| WISCONSIN | 183 | 348 | 826 | 30 | 72 | 526 |
| WYOMING | 22 | 118 | 253 | 168 | 98 | 102 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 5 | 2 | 30 | 0 | 31 | 31 |
| GUAM | 0 | 96 | 201 | 1 | 55 | 14 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 3 | 20 | 14 | 1 | 8 | 0 |
| PALAU | . |  |  |  |  |  |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | - | 22 | 8 | 5 | 19 | 8 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 9,274 | 14,733 | 60,999 | 20,124 | 20,901 | 21,276 |
| 50 StATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 9,266 | 14,593 | 60,746 | 20,117 | 20,788 | 21,223 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AH2

## Early Intervention Services on IFSPs Provided to Infants, Toddlers, and Their Families in Accord With Part H December 1, 1995

| STATE | NUTRITION SERVICES | OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY | PHYSICAL THERAPY | PSYCHOLOGICAL SERVICES | $\underset{\text { CARE }}{\text { RESPITE }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SOCIAL } \\ & \text { WORK } \\ & \text { SERVICES } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 331 | 951 | 1,176 | 100 | 1,300 | 491 |
| ALASKA | 99 | 140 | 127 | 6 | 29 | 37 |
| ARIZONA | 89 | 959 | 1,004 | 12 | 659 | 23 |
| ARKANSAS | 137 | 510 | 617 | 177 | 193 | 47 |
| CALIFORNIA | 484 | 4,288 | 2,638 | 1,930 | 6,893 | 230 |
| COLORADO | 162 | 738 | 560 | 89 | 440 | 242 |
| CONNECTICUT | 44 | 752 | 1,036 | 13 | 0 | 100 |
| DELAWARE | 111 | 207 | 205 | 30 | 12 | 123 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 168 | 229 | 170 | 50 | 3 | 182 |
| FLORIDA | 250 | 2,412 | 2,622 | 674 | 151 | 2,840 |
| GEORGIA | 194 | 1,118 | 1,420 | 61 | 517 | 183 |
| HAWAII | 576 | 458 | 422 | 395 | 314 | 1,584 |
| IDAHO | 111 | 345 | 158 | 192 | 63 | 402 |
| ILLINOIS | 364 | 981 | 1,013 | 399 | 268 | 1,348 |
| INDIANA | 829 | 1,276 | 1,477 | 84 | 105 | 413 |
| IOWA | 29 | 265 | 280 | 72 | 28 | 88 |
| KANSAS | 361 | 627 | 558 | 250 | 137 | 371 |
| KENTUCKY | 0 | 384 | 392 | 0 | 252 | 20 |
| LOUISIANA | 320 | 514 | 551 | 10 | 56 | 78 |
| MAINE | 0 | 145 | 224 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| MARYLAND | 8 | 840 | 1,449 | 59 | 7 | 61 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 443 | 924 | 888 | 516 | 0 | 1,187 |
| MICHIGAN | 410 | 1,094 | 1,001 | 155 | 165 | 1,680 |
| MINNESOTA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MISSISSIPPI | 60 | 35 | 144 | 44 | - | 109 |
| MISSOURI | 59 | 1,097 | 1,296 | 3 |  | 33 |
| MONTANA | 99 | 150 | 148 | 42 | 244 | 76 |
| NEBRASKA |  | 342 | 378 | 22 |  | 26 |
| NEVADA | 105 | 229 | 284 | 640 | 2 | 638 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 10 | 313 | 293 | 4 | 11 | 84 |
| NEW JERSEY | 37 | 1,216 | 1,514 | 32 | 14 | 394 |
| NEW MEXICO | 535 | 782 | 830 | 127 | 424 | 433 |
| NEW YORK | 80 | 5,243 | 5,589 | 320 | 441 | 906 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 283 | 299 | 517 | 47 | 162 | 174 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 75 | 139 | 93 | 51 | 52 | 44 |
| OHIO | 977 | 1,786 | 2,005 | 100 | 340 | 1,346 |
| OKLAHOMA | 20 | 268 | 410 | 16 | 0 | 2 |
| OREGON |  | 356 | 405 | 2 |  | 9 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 74 | 2,641 | 3,113 | 366 | 0 | 1,064 |
| PUERTO RICO | 418 | 868 | 979 | 685 | 17 | 1,765 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 126 | 221 | 282 | 194 | 8 | 195 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 443 | 378 | 531 | 26 | 16 | 42 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 16 | 160 | 179 | 1 |  | 4 |
| TENNESSEE | 738 | 702 | 1,085 | 156 | 66 | 1,434 |
| TEXAS | 1,412 | 3,724 | 3,446 | 286 | 180 | 1,834 |
| UTAH | 230 | 789 | 498 | 52 | 15 | 284 |
| VERMONT | 36 | 89 | 123 | 9 | 47 | 20 |
| VIRGINIA | 51 | 708 | 1,175 | 16 | 232 | 106 |
| WASHINGTON | 0 | 753 | 694 | 104 | 44 | 403 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 141 | 452 | 815 | 421 | 57 | 941 |
| WISCONSIN | 300 | 1,880 | 1,634 | 54 |  | 849 |
| WYOMING | 61 | 213 | 200 | 24 | 69 | 107 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 27 | 14 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 7 |
| GUAM | 10 | 19 | 69 | 188 |  | 27 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 11 | 40 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| PALAU |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 1 | 23 | 38 | - | - | 15 |
| U.S. And OUtlying areas | 11,955 | 46,086 | 48,785 | 9,307 | 14,034 | 25,136 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 11,906 | 45,990 | 48,648 | 9,118 | 14,033 | 25,086 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AH2

## Early Intervention Services on IFSPs Provided to Infants, Toddlers, and Their Families in Accord With Part H December 1, 1995

| STATE | SPECIAL <br> INSTRUCTION | $\begin{gathered} \text { SPEECH } \\ \text { LANGUAGE } \\ \text { PATHOLOGY } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TRANSPOR- } \\ \text { TATION } \end{gathered}$ | VISION SERVICES | OTHER EARLY INTERVENTION SERVICES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 838 | 1,241 | 223 | 258 | 16 |
| ALASKA | 432 | 171 | 5 | 65 |  |
| ARIZONA | 1,112 | 1,021 | 162 | 61 | 90 |
| ARKANSAS | 1,254 | 1,003 | 651 | 119 | 0 |
| CALIFORNIA | 26,399 | 3,441 | 2,544 | 315 | 2,168 |
| COLORADO | 1,240 | 781 | 225 | 143 | 454 |
| CONNECTICUT | 1,171 | 1,240 | 169 | 115 | 399 |
| DELAWARE | 194 | 263 | 77 | 62 | 464 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 149 | 183 | 101 | 11 | 21 |
| FLORIDA | 1,052 | 2,906 | 1,360 | 112 | 8,269 |
| GEORGIA | 1,492 | 1,389 | 976 | 111 | 5 |
| HAWAII | 1,144 | 561 | 613 | 11 | 717 |
| IDAHO | 476 | 369 | 142 | 48 | 866 |
| ILLINOIS | 2,493 | 1,330 | 410 | 301 | 492 |
| INDIANA | 3,588 | 1,705 | 1,147 | 71 | 344 |
| IOWA | 712 | 265 | 71 | 26 | 25 |
| KANSAS | 1,059 | 998 | 295 | 252 | 191 |
| KENTUCKY | 428 | 508 | 188 | 264 |  |
| LOUISIANA | 1,315 | 410 | 68 | 275 | 665 |
| MAINE | 232 | 307 | 227 | 0 | 0 |
| MARYLAND | 2,134 | 1,661 | 549 | 132 | 7 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 1,911 | 996 | 2,355 | 806 | 0 |
| MICHIGAN | 1,839 | 875 | 537 | 134 | 1,042 |
| MINNESOTA |  |  | . | . |  |
| MISSISSIPPI | 299 | 66 | 55 | - | 87 |
| MISSOURI | 1,119 | 1,381 | 578 | 227 | . |
| MONTANA | 117 | 185 | 50 | 54 | 500 |
| NEBRASKA | 381 | 436 | 68 | 4 | 56 |
| NEVADA | 828 | 233 | . | 22 |  |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 131 | 383 | 16 | 63 | 404 |
| NEW JERSEY | 2,296 | 1,885 | 161 | 102 | 104 |
| NEW MEXICO | 857 | 832 | 463 | 478 | . |
| NEW YORK | 8,500 | 10,535 | 5,165 | 248 | - |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 4,336 | 546 | 237 | 152 | 130 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 178 | 165 | 17 | 75 | 68 |
| OHIO | 1,351 | 2,209 | 748 | 140 | 3,629 |
| OKLAHOMA | 386 | 514 | 3 | 1 | 72 |
| OREGON | 389 | 443 | 90 | 73 | 67 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 4,621 | 3,561 | 964 | 343 | 7,075 |
| PUERTO RICO | 8 | 478 | 2 | 393 | 0 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 347 | 359 | 218 | 61 | 138 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 813 | 418 | 10 | 150 | 200 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 256 | 223 | 105 | 6 | 376 |
| TENNESSEE | 1,601 | 1,421 | 593 | 335 | 201 |
| TEXAS | 7,299 | 5,371 | 1,287 | 610 | 462 |
| UTAH | 834 | 601 | 401 | 113 | 32 |
| VERMONT | 241 | 153 | 17 | 25 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 1,164 | 944 | 192 | 86 | 73 |
| WASHINGTON | 1,076 | 935 | 304 | 92 | 668 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 1,332 | 892 | 486 | 166 | 1 |
| WISCONSIN | 2,563 | 2,767 | 1,387 | 99 | - |
| WYOMING | 279 | 322 | 210 | 13 | 59 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 39 | 26 | 23 | 8 | 33 |
| GUAM | 201 | 120 | 12 | 1 | . |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 36 | 30 | 14 | 3 | 0 |
| PALAU | . | $\cdots$ | . | - | . |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 5 | 37 | - | 5 | - |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 96,547 | 62,095 | 26,971 | 7,840 | 30,670 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 96,266 | 61,882 | 26,922 | 7,823 | 30,637 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AH3

## Number and Type of Personnel Employed and Needed to Provide Early Intervention Services to Infants and Toddlers with Disabilities and Their Families December 1, 1995

| STATE | EMPLOYEDNEEDED |  | EMPLOYED NELOGISTS---- |  | FAMILY <br> EMPLOYED <br> NEEDED |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 154 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| ALASKA | 126 | 55 | 6 |  | 0 |  |
| ARIZONA | 281 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| ARKANSAS | 1,014 | . | 4 | . | 1 | . |
| CALIFORNIA | 2,721 | . | 0 | . | 1 | . |
| COLORADO |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CONNECTICUT | 349 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 456 | 80 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 7 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 157 | 30 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| FLORIDA | 347 |  | 15 |  | 10 |  |
| GEORGIA | 506 | 219 | 13 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| HAWAII | 394 | 92 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| IDAHO | 135 | 174 | 0 | 5 | 0 |  |
| ILLINOIS | 518 | 105 | 6 | 1 | 12 | 2 |
| INDIANA | 560 | 138 | 8 | 2 | 7 | 6 |
| IOWA | 178 | 182 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| KANSAS | 247 | 49 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| KENTUCKY | 140 |  | 4 |  | 2 |  |
| LOUISIANA | 269 | 61 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| MAINE | 400 |  | 50 | . | 10 | . |
| MARYLAND | 385 | 4 | 7 |  | 0 |  |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 949 | 1,025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MICHIGAN | 737 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| MINNESOTA | 1,171 |  | 5 | . | 25 | . |
| MISSISSIPPI | 146 | 35 | 5 | 2 |  | . |
| MISSOURI | 174 |  | 6 |  | 1 |  |
| MONTANA | 74 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 210 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEVADA | 82 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 106 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| NEW JERSEY | 356 | 45 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW MEXICO | 277 | 25 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 |
| NEW YORK | 8,872 | 912 | 133 | 15 |  |  |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 1,137 | 224 | 4 | 3 | 20 | 12 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 29 | 0 | 0 | . | 0 |  |
| OHIO |  |  | . |  | . |  |
| OKLAHOMA | 154 | 52 | 2 | 3 |  |  |
| OREGON | 139 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 1,109 | 238 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| PUERTO RICO | 71 | 45 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 70 | 40 | 1 | . | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA |  |  |  | . |  |  |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 65 |  | 1 | . | 2 |  |
| TENNESSEE | 752 | 67 | 16 | 1 | 17 | 0 |
| TEXAS | 1,384 | 101 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| UTAH | 112 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 |
| VERMONT | 55 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 415 | 92 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| WASHINGTON | 384 | . | 3 | . | 6 |  |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 252 | . | 0 | . | 2 | . |
| WISCONSIN | 430 |  |  |  |  |  |
| WYOMING | 164 | 88 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 54 |  | 1 |  | 4 |  |
| GUAM | 21 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU |  |  |  | . | . |  |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 8 | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 29,308 | 4,331 | 346 | 52 | 181 | 43 |
| 50 StATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 29,214 | 4,328 | 344 | 52 | 176 | 43 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
The total FTE for the U.S. and outlying areas and the 50 States, D.C., and Puerto Rico may not equal the sum of the personnel categories because some States could not provide personnel data by category.

The total FTE for the U.S. and outlying areas and the 50 States, D.C., and Puerto Rico may not equal the sum of the individual States and outlying areas because of rounding.
Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AH3

Number and Type of Personnel Employed and Needed to Provide Early Intervention Services to Infants and Toddlers with Disabilities and Their Families December 1, 1995

| STATE | EMPLOYED NEEDED |  | E--NUTRITIONISTS----- |  | $$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 |
| ALASKA | 3 |  | 0 |  | 15 | 10 |
| ARIZONA | 24 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| ARKANSAS | 51 |  | 1 | . | 63 |  |
| CALIFORNIA | 21 |  | 10 | . | 10 |  |
| COLORADO |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CONNECTICUT | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 38 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 91 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 16 | 1 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 12 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 1 |
| FLORIDA | 41 |  | 2 |  | 20 |  |
| GEORGIA | 30 | 13 | 10 | 5 | 55 | 16 |
| HAWAII | 77 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 3 |
| IDAHO | 8 | 13 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 20 |
| ILLINOIS | 36 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 37 | 10 |
| INDIANA | 34 | 10 | 11 | 1 | 46 | 21 |
| IOWA | 7 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 10 |
| KANSAS | 11 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 4 |
| KENTUCKY | 1 |  | ; |  | 16 |  |
| LOUISIANA | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 14 | 6 |
| MAINE | 55 | . | 6 | . | 16 |  |
| MARYLAND | 36 |  | 0 |  | 27 |  |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 81 | 87 | 11 | 12 | 97 | 105 |
| MICHIGAN | 69 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 75 | 0 |
| MINNESOTA |  | . | 15 |  | 19 |  |
| MISSISSIPPI | 9 | . | 3 | 1 | 6 | 10 |
| MISSOURI | 8 | - | 1 | . | 27 |  |
| MONTANA | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| NEVADA | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 1 |
| NEW JERSEY | 25 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 26 | 5 |
| NEW MEXICO | 6 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 15 | 5 |
| NEW YORK | 1,200 | 55 | 88 | 16 | 1,013 | 137 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 122 | 52 | 41 | 6 | 43 | 11 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 0 | 0 | 0 | . | 4 |  |
| OHIO |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OKLAHOMA | 9 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 7 |
| OREGON | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 2 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 14 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 82 | 22 |
| PUERTO RICO | 11 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 3 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA |  | . |  | . |  |  |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 17 |  | 1 | . | 4 |  |
| TENNESSEE | 112 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 28 | 12 |
| TEXAS | 69 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 100 | 7 |
| UTAH | 20 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 |
| VERMONT | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 2 |
| VIRGINIA | 31 | 8 | 10 | 2 | 34 | 6 |
| WASHINGTON | 20 | . | 4 | . | 55 |  |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 8 | . | 1 | . | 6 |  |
| WISCONSIN | 13 |  |  | . | 70 |  |
| WYOMING | 15 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 15 | 4 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 3 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |
| GUAM | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| PALAU |  |  |  | . |  |  |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 2 | . | 0 | . | 0 | - |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 2,431 | 303 | 274 | 66 | 2,226 | 453 |
| 50 StATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 2,422 | 303 | 271 | 66 | 2,224 | 453 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
The total FTE for the U.S. and outlying areas and the 50 States, D.C., and Puerto Rico may not equal the sum of the personnel categories because some States could not provide personnel data by category.

The total FTE for the U.S. and outlying areas and the 50 States, D.C., and Puerto Rico may not equal the sum of the individual States and outlying areas because of rounding.

Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AH3

## Number and Type of Personnel Employed and Needed to Provide Early Intervention Services to Infants and Toddlers with Disabilities and Their Families December 1, 1995

| STATE | ORIENTATION <br> AND MOBILITY <br> ----SPECIALISTS- |  | --PARAPROFESSIONALS---EMPLOYED |  | E--PEDIATRICIANS-----EMPLOYED NEEDED |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 0 | 0 | 49 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| ALASKA | 0 | . | 18 | 10 | 1 | . |
| ARIZONA | 1 | 0 | 75 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| ARKANSAS | 1 | . | 336 | . | 1 |  |
| CALIFORNIA | 1 | . | 617 | . | . | . |
| COLORADO |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CONNECTICUT | 0 | 0 | 34 | 6 | 2 | 0 |
| DELAWARE |  |  | 45 | 8 | 27 | 2 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 1 | 0 | 55 | 10 | 2 | 1 |
| FLORIDA | 0 |  | 16 |  | 13 |  |
| GEORGIA | 4 | 6 | 106 | 25 | 16 | 26 |
| HAWAII | 0 | 0 | 143 | 35 | 0 | 0 |
| IDAHO | 0 | . | 29 | 55 | 1 |  |
| ILLINOIS | 1 | 1 | 51 | 10 | 12 | 1 |
| INDIANA | 1 | 1 | 93 | 7 | 12 | 19 |
| IOWA | 0 | 1 | 7 | 7 |  |  |
| KANSAS | 1 | 1 | 67 | 7 | 2 | 3 |
| KENTUCKY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOUISIANA | 0 | 0 | 45 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| MAINE | 2 | . | 26 | . | 14 | . |
| MARYLAND | 2 |  | 34 |  | 3 |  |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 0 | 0 | 99 | 107 | 1 | 1 |
| MICHIGAN | 2 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| MINNESOTA | . | . | 510 |  |  | . |
| MISSISSIPPI | . | . | 11 | 2 | 2 |  |
| MISSOURI | 1 |  |  |  | . |  |
| MONTANA | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 0 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEVADA | 1 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 3 |  |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 0 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW JERSEY | 1 | 0 | 34 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| NEW MEXICO |  |  | 58 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| NEW YORK | 24 | 7 | 386 | 70 |  |  |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 2 | 4 | 176 | 14 | 21 | 3 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 0 | . | 0 | . | 0 | . |
| OHIO | . | - | . | . |  |  |
| OKLAHOMA |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| OREGON | 0 | 0 | 25 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 7 | 1 | 128 | 44 | 1 | 0 |
| PUERTO RICO | 0 | 0 | 28 | 21 | 3 | 1 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 0 | 1 | 16 | 9 | 1 | 1 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 0 |  | 6 | . | 0 |  |
| TENNESSEE | 0 | 0 | 157 | 6 | 8 | 0 |
| TEXAS | 1 | 0 | 277 | 16 | 6 | 0 |
| UTAH | 0 | 0 | 24 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 3 | 1 | 38 | 9 | 9 | 3 |
| WASHINGTON | 0 | . | 38 | . | 14 | . |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 0 | . | 52 | . | 0 | . |
| WISCONSIN |  |  | 88 |  |  |  |
| WYOMING | 9 | 4 | 14 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 0 | . | 1 |  | 8 |  |
| GUAM |  |  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU |  |  | . | . |  | . |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | - | - | . | . | 0 | - |
| U.S. And outlying areas | 65 | 26 | 4,159 | 517 | 194 | 62 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 65 | 26 | 4,147 | 517 | 185 | 62 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
The total FTE for the U.S. and outlying areas and the 50 States, D.C., and Puerto Rico may not equal the sum of the personnel categories because some States could not provide personnel data by category.

The total FTE for the U.S. and outlying areas and the 50 States, D.C., and Puerto Rico may not equal the sum of the individual states and outlying areas because of rounding.

Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AH3

## Number and Type of Personnel Employed and Needed to Provide Early Intervention Services to Infants and Toddlers with Disabilities and Their Families December 1, 1995

| STATE | PHYSICAL THERAPISTS- |  | PHYSICIANS, OTHER THAN ---PEDIATRICIANS-- |  | ---PSYCHOLOGISTS---EMPLOYED NEEDED |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 11 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ALASKA | 12 | 10 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| ARIZONA | 39 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| ARKANSAS | 97 |  | 1 | . | 7 |  |
| CALIFORNIA | 0 | . | 8 | . | 20 |  |
| COLORADO |  |  | . |  |  |  |
| CONNECTICUT | 55 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| DELAWARE | 20 | 3 | 22 | 0 | 9 | 4 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 7 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| FLORIDA | 17 |  | 4 |  | 34 |  |
| GEORGIA | 56 | 24 | 18 | 23 | 14 | 12 |
| HAWAII | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| IDAHO | 3 | 26 | 1 | . | 3 | 6 |
| ILLINOIS | 37 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 2 |
| INDIANA | 46 | 21 | 29 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| IOWA | 9 | 11 |  |  | 12 | 12 |
| KANSAS | 12 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| KENTUCKY | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOUISIANA | 8 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 1 |
| MAINE | 28 |  | 7 | . | 0 |  |
| MARYLAND | 43 | 1 | 0 |  | 8 |  |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 94 | 102 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 58 |
| MICHIGAN | 53 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| MINNESOTA | 85 |  | . | . | 18 |  |
| MISSISSIPPI | 17 | 6 | 1 | . | 5 | 1 |
| MISSOURI | 32 |  | 10 | . | 0 |  |
| MONTANA | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| NEVADA | 4 |  | 1 |  | 5 |  |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| NEW JERSEY | 42 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| NEW MEXICO | 11 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| NEW YORK | 1,162 | 123 | 263 | 14 | 455 | 64 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 35 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 60 | 6 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 1 | . | 0 | . | 0 |  |
| OHIO |  |  | . | - |  |  |
| OKLAHOMA | 24 | 6 | . | - | 4 | 2 |
| OREGON | 9 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 88 | 24 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 3 |
| PUERTO RICO | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA |  | . | . | . | . |  |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 5 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| TENNESSEE | 37 | 11 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 2 |
| TEXAS | 75 | 5 |  |  | 3 | 0 |
| UTAH | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| VIRGINIA | 51 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 2 |
| WASHINGTON | 55 |  | 5 | . | 2 |  |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 9 | . | 1 | . | 1 |  |
| WISCONSIN | 51 |  |  |  |  |  |
| WYOMING | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 1 | . | 6 | . | 3 |  |
| GUAM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU |  |  |  | . | . |  |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 2 | - | 0 | . | . |  |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 2,510 | 449 | 420 | 49 | 801 | 187 |
| 50 StATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 2,506 | 448 | 414 | 49 | 798 | 187 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
The total FTE for the U.S. and outlying areas and the 50 States, D.C., and Puerto Rico may not equal the sum of the personnel categories because some States could not provide personnel data by category.

The total FTE for the U.S. and outlying areas and the 50 States, D.C., and Puerto Rico may not equal the sum of the individual States and outlying areas because of rounding.

Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AH3

Number and Type of Personnel Employed and Needed to Provide Early Intervention Services to Infants and Toddlers with Disabilities and Their Families December 1, 1995
$\left.\begin{array}{lllll} & & & & \\ & & & & \text { SPEECH AND } \\ \text { LANGUAGE }\end{array}\right]$

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
The total FTE for the U.S. and outlying areas and the 50 States, D.C., and Puerto Rico may not equal the sum of the personnel categories because some States could not provide personnel data by category.

The total FTE for the U.S. and outlying areas and the 50 States, D.C., and Puerto Rico may not equal the sum of the individual states and outlying areas because of rounding.

Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AH3

Number and Type of Personnel Employed and Needed to Provide Early Intervention Services to Infants and Toddlers with Disabilities and Their Families December 1, 1995

| STATE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OTH } \\ & \text {--PROFESSIO } \\ & \text { EMPLOYED } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 17 | 1 |
| ALASKA | 2 |  |
| ARIZONA | 31 | 0 |
| ARKANSAS | 125 | . |
| CALIFORNIA | 454 | . |
| COLORADO |  |  |
| CONNECTICUT | 4 | 1 |
| DELAWARE | 162 | 18 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 10 | 1 |
| FLORIDA | 85 |  |
| GEORGIA | 14 | 1 |
| HAWAII | 104 | 20 |
| IDAHO | 26 | 0 |
| ILLINOIS | 87 | 12 |
| INDIANA | 3 | 4 |
| IOWA | 10 | 10 |
| KANSAS | 13 | 3 |
| KENTUCKY | 0 |  |
| LOUISIANA | 30 | 5 |
| MAINE | 85 | . |
| MARYLAND |  |  |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 83 | 90 |
| MICHIGAN | 80 | 0 |
| MINNESOTA | 12 | . |
| MISSISSIPPI | 6 | . |
| MISSOURI | 3 |  |
| MONTANA | 37 | 0 |
| NEBRASKA | 3 | 0 |
| NEVADA | 5 |  |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 1 | 1 |
| NEW JERSEY | 46 | 18 |
| NEW MEXICO | 99 | 0 |
| NEW YORK | 3 | 1 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 200 | 34 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 6 | . |
| OHIO |  |  |
| OKLAHOMA | 48 | 21 |
| OREGON | 22 | 1 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 258 | 32 |
| PUERTO RICO | 5 | 1 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 22 | 16 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA |  | . |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 0 |  |
| TENNESSEE | 109 | 5 |
| TEXAS | 489 | 48 |
| UTAH | 22 | 2 |
| VERMONT | 9 | 0 |
| VIRGINIA | 73 | 9 |
| WASHINGTON | 30 | . |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 76 | - |
| WISCONSIN |  |  |
| WYOMING | 13 | 12 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 6 |  |
| GUAM | 4 | 0 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU |  | . |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 1 | - |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 3,032 | 365 |
| 50 StATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 3,021 | 365 |

[^82]
## Table AH4

Number of Infants and Toddlers Birth Through Age 2 Served in Different Early Intervention Settings Under Part H December 1, 1995

| STATE | EARLY INTERVENTION CLASSROOM | FAMILY <br> CHILD CARE | HOME | HOSPITAL <br> (INPATIENT) | OUTPATIENT SERVICE FACILITY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 706 | 5 | 336 | 12 | 225 |
| ALASKA | 24 | 1 | 395 | 1 | 4 |
| ARIZONA | 436 | 9 | 764 | 5 | 364 |
| ARKANSAS | 954 | 12 | 643 | 0 | 325 |
| CALIFORNIA | 9,059 |  | 9,060 |  |  |
| COLORADO | 406 | 16 | 577 | 51 | 1,241 |
| CONNECTICUT | 222 | 4 | 1,789 | 3 | 237 |
| DELAWARE | 105 | 2 | 240 | 76 | 643 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 147 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 168 |
| FLORIDA | 2,618 | 22 | 4,218 | 501 | 958 |
| GEORGIA | 606 | 132 | 1,275 | 6 | 1,217 |
| HAWAII | 590 | 8 | 2,874 | 1 | 65 |
| IDAHO | 373 | 3 | 410 | 7 | 38 |
| ILLINOIS | 3,175 | 63 | 3,907 | 4 | 167 |
| INDIANA | 1,548 | 65 | 2,345 | 61 | 518 |
| IOWA | 92 | 21 | 780 |  | 18 |
| KANSAS | 377 | 43 | 837 | 2 | 115 |
| KENTUCKY | 24 | 0 | 424 | 0 | 460 |
| LOUISIANA | 135 | 21 | 1,356 | 18 | 525 |
| MAINE | 0 | 0 | 395 | 21 | 27 |
| MARYLAND | 1,369 | 42 | 1,971 | 4 | 219 |
| MASSACHUSETTS |  |  | 9,059 |  |  |
| MICHIGAN | 1,147 | 2 | 2,757 | 9 | 262 |
| MINNESOTA | 1,135 |  | 1,254 | 3 | 52 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 280 | 3 | 122 |  | 7 |
| MISSOURI | 1,185 | 22 | 2,042 | 16 | 460 |
| MONTANA | 3 | 13 | 449 | 0 | 25 |
| NEBRASKA | 186 | . | 526 | 7 | 4 |
| NEVADA | 539 |  | 290 | 3 |  |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 108 | 8 | 734 | 0 | 8 |
| NEW JERSEY | 2,163 | 25 | 851 | 12 | 226 |
| NEW MEXICO | 284 | 16 | 987 | 22 | 38 |
| NEW YORK | 5,026 | 66 | 7,549 | 46 | 254 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 345 |  | 3,568 | 11 | 101 |
| NORTH DAKOTA |  | 6 | 251 |  | 7 |
| OHIO | 2,282 | 6 | 3,803 | 92 | 547 |
| OKLAHOMA | 52 | 9 | 1,518 | 8 | 61 |
| OREGON | 280 | 19 | 742 | 2 | 8 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 1,736 | 3 | 3,579 | 16 | 220 |
| PUERTO RICO |  |  |  |  | 4,793 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 185 | 23 | 548 | 0 | 190 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 62 | 1 | 1,325 | 3 | 409 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 134 | 12 | 168 | 2 | 35 |
| TENNESSEE | 937 | 6 | 801 | 33 | 1,254 |
| TEXAS | 2,223 | 195 | 6,641 | 9 | 52 |
| UTAH | 699 | 40 | 1,262 | 0 | 0 |
| VERMONT | 12 | 9 | 266 | 0 | 19 |
| VIRGINIA | 499 | 15 | 1,267 | 3 | 419 |
| WASHINGTON | 928 | 20 | 532 | 7 | 198 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 476 | 7 | 1,088 | 2 | 53 |
| WISCONSIN | 1,763 | 39 | 1,332 | 12 | 396 |
| WYOMING | 166 | 10 | 196 | 6 | 8 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 29 |  |  |  | 4 |
| GUAM | 48 | 9 | 104 | 0 | 1 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 18 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 |
| PALAU |  |  |  | . |  |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | . | 11 | 27 | . | 10 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 47,896 | 1,064 | 90,275 | 1,101 | 17,655 |
| 50 StATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 47,801 | 1,044 | 90,118 | 1,097 | 17,640 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
The sum of the individual age-year data may no equal total settings data because some States could not provide age-year data.

Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## Table AH4

## Number of Infants and Toddlers Birth Through Age 2 Served in Different Early Intervention Settings Under Part H December 1, 1995

| STATE | REGULAR NURSERY SCHOOL/ CHILD CARE | RESIDENTIAL FACILITY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OTHER } \\ & \text { SETTING } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ALL } \\ \text { SETTINGS } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALABAMA | 15 | 1 | 28 | 1,328 |
| ALASKA | 3 |  | 4 | 432 |
| ARIZONA | 15 | 3 | 3 | 1,599 |
| ARKANSAS | 216 | 25 | 0 | 2,175 |
| CALIFORNIA |  |  |  | 18,119 |
| COLORADO | 87 | 1 | 1,535 | 3,914 |
| CONNECTICUT | 79 | 0 | 92 | 2,426 |
| DELAWARE | 6 | . | 33 | 1,105 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 3 | 1 | 6 | 340 |
| FLORIDA | 469 | 43 | 46 | 8,875 |
| GEORGIA | 234 | 2 | 0 | 3,472 |
| HAWAII | 14 | 0 | 323 | 3,875 |
| IDAHO | 7 | 1 | 6 | 845 |
| ILLINOIS | 116 | 4 | 593 | 8,029 |
| INDIANA | 125 | 14 | 97 | 4,773 |
| IOWA | 18 | 5 | 15 | 949 |
| KANSAS | 46 | 0 | 9 | 1,429 |
| KENTUCKY | 140 | . |  | 1,048 |
| LOUISIANA | 29 | 3 | 158 | 2,245 |
| MAINE | 228 | 0 | 9 | 680 |
| MARYLAND | 49 | 1 | 40 | 3,695 |
| MASSACHUSETTS |  | . |  | 9,059 |
| MICHIGAN | 6 | 1 | 200 | 4,384 |
| MINNESOTA | 175 | . | 1 | 2,620 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 7 | - | 3 | 422 |
| MISSOURI | 119 | 0 | 902 | 4,746 |
| MONTANA | 8 | 0 | 2 | 500 |
| NEBRASKA | 2 |  | . | 725 |
| NEVADA | 7 | 2 | . | 841 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 22 | 0 | 5 | 885 |
| NEW JERSEY | 74 | 12 | 44 | 3,407 |
| NEW MEXICO | 6 | 5 | 389 | 1,747 |
| NEW YORK | 283 | 23 | 70 | 13,317 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 296 | 3 | 12 | 4,336 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 1 | . | . | 265 |
| OHIO | 19 | 3 | 655 | 7,407 |
| OKLAHOMA | 41 | 2 | 76 | 1,767 |
| OREGON | 29 | 10 | 49 | 1,139 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 52 | 8 | 1,562 | 7,176 |
| PUERTO RICO |  | . |  | 4,793 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 29 | 0 | 1 | 976 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 14 | 0 | 83 | 1,897 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 11 | 2 | 12 | 376 |
| TENNESSEE | 80 | 0 | 45 | 3,156 |
| TEXAS | 916 | 13 | 34 | 10,083 |
| UTAH | 61 | 2 | 0 | 2,064 |
| VERMONT | 34 | 0 | 0 | 340 |
| VIRGINIA | 14 | 1 | 8 | 2,226 |
| WASHINGTON | 46 | 8 | 3 | 1,742 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 15 | 7 | 16 | 1,664 |
| WISCONSIN | 68 | 0 | 6 | 3,616 |
| WYOMING | 35 | 0 | 11 | 432 |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | 3 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| GUAM | 3 | 0 | . | 165 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 |
| PALAU | 8 | . | . |  |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 8 | - | . | 56 |
| U.S. AND OUTLYING AREAS | 4,383 | 206 | 7,186 | 169,766 |
| 50 STATES, D.C. \& P.R. | 4,369 | 206 | 7,186 | 169,461 |

Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
The sum of the individual age-year data may no equal total settings data because some States could not provide age-year data.

Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997
U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

## DATA NOTES

These notes contain information on the ways in which States collected and reported data differently from the OSEP data formats and instructions. In addition, the notes provide explanations of significant changes in the data from the previous year. The chart below summarizes differences in collecting and reporting of Part B data for 11 States. These variations affected the way data were reported for the IDEA, Part B child count and the educational environment, personnel, and exiting collections. Additional notes on how States reported Part B data for specific data collections follow this chart.

Table A-1
State Reporting Patterns for IDEA, Part B Child Count Data 1996-97, Other Data 1995-96

| States | Differences from OSEP Reporting Categories <br> Where $\mathrm{H}=$ Reported in the hearing impairments category <br> $\mathrm{O}=$ Reported in the orthopedic impairments category <br> $\mathrm{P}=$ Reported in the primary disability category <br> $\mathrm{R}=$ Reported in other disability categories |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Multiple Disabilities | Other Health Impairments | DeafBlindness | Traumatic Brain Injury |
| Colorado |  | O |  |  |
| Delaware | P | O |  |  |
| Florida | P |  |  |  |
| Georgia | P |  |  |  |
| Illinois | P |  |  |  |
| Michigan |  | O | H | R |
| Mississippi |  | O |  |  |
| North Dakota | P |  |  |  |
| Oregon | P |  |  |  |
| West Virginia | P |  |  |  |
| Wyoming | P |  | H |  |

## Child Count

NOTE: Twenty-one States suggested that the increases in their counts of students with other health impairments were due to increases in the identification and inclusion of students with attention deficit disorder and attention deficit hyperactivity disorders. These States include:

| Alabama | Kentucky | New Mexico | Virginia |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Arkansas | Maine | New York | West Virginia |
| Connecticut | Minnesota | North Carolina | Wisconsin |
| Florida | Missouri | Oklahoma |  |
| Georgia | Montana | Rhode Island |  |
| Indiana | Nevada | South Carolina |  |

Arizona -- The State provided explanations for increases from 1995-96 to 1996-97 in the number of students with visual and orthopedic impairments and autism. Increases in the number of students with visual impairments were attributed to improvements in data collection and reporting. The increase in the number of students with orthopedic impairments was due to the greater attention paid to this category by districts as a result of inservice training and an increase in the number of children with near-drowning experiences. The increase in the number of students with autism was due to the increasing sophistication of the evaluation and assessment teams with respect to this disability.

California -- The State attributed the increase from 1995-96 to 1996-97 in the number of students with autism to a combination of better identification and a general increase from 1995-96 to 1996-97 in the special education population.

Florida -- The State attributed the increase from 1995-96 to 1996-97 in the number of students with autism to the following factors: (1) the establishment of regional autism centers has provided better diagnosis of children with autism, (2) the broadening of the definition of the disability, (3) a better understanding of the disability, and (4) an increase in the number of families that have children with autism that have moved into the State because of the quality of the services provided. The State noted that southeast Florida has attracted a lot of families because of The Baudhin School, an autism center established by Dan Marino.

Georgia -- The State explained the increase from 1995-96 to 1996-97 in the number of students with autism as the result of increased public awareness of and advocacy for this disability and to improvements in the identification and provision of services to students with autism.

Kansas -- The State indicated that a survey of districts revealed that many students who were previously reported as having traumatic brain injury qualified in the current year in the other health impairment category.

Maryland -- The State provided the following explanations for increases from 1995-96 to 1996-97: (1) the increase in the number of students with visual impairments was because the Maryland School for the Blind changed the coding of its students from multiple disabilities to visually impaired; (2) the increase in the number of students with other health impairments was due to the inclusion of students with developmental delay in this category; (3) and the increase in the number of students with autism was due to the earlier identification of these students.

Massachusetts -- Massachusetts is prohibited by State law from collecting data by disability. Assignment to disability categories is based on a formula.

Minnesota -- The State indicated that the increase from 1995-96 to 1996-97 in the number of students with autism was due to an increase in the medical diagnosis of this condition.

New York -- The State suspects that the increase from 1995-96 to 1996-97 in the number of students with traumatic brain injury was due to the State's efforts in providing technical assistance, including the establishment of nine regional model programs, and training.

Oklahoma -- The State attributed the increase from 1995-96 to 1996-97 in the number of students with other health impairments to the continued training of teachers in the identification and education of students with these conditions. Oklahoma attributed some of the increase to the identification of students with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, brain tumors, cancer, and other similar conditions.

Pennsylvania -- The State noted that it does not identify students by disability category on their individualized education programs (IEPs). Rather, students are identified according to their needs. Students are only assigned to a disability category at the district level for purposes of Federal reporting. Hence, the State thinks that the changes in the disability categories were more reflective of variations in local reporting practices than the nature of the population being served. The State suspects that the other health impairments category was used to report that portion of the overall increase from 1995-96 to 1996-97 that was not easily categorized.

Puerto Rico -- Puerto Rico attributed the increase from 1995-96 to 1996-97 in the number of preschool children served to increased referrals from Head Start programs and the increase from the previous year in the number of students with speech or language impairments to a clarification of eligibility requirements to include students who only need speech as a related service.

South Carolina -- The State attributed the increase from 1995-96 to 1996-97 in the number of students with autism to the disability's becoming a separate State funding category. Since the change, districts have been doing a better job reporting these data.

Utah -- The State indicated that the decrease from 1995-96 to 1996-97 in the number of children with traumatic brain injury was due to the more accurate reporting of data. In the previous year, one district misreported children with intellectual disabilities in the traumatic brain injury category.

Washington -- The State indicated that the increase from 1995-96 to 1996-97 in the number of students with autism was due to the more appropriate identification of students during their reevaluations. Washington thought that continuing inservice training in identifying students with autism contributed to the reclassification.

Wisconsin -- The State attributed the increase from 1995-96 to 1996-97 in the number of students with autism to reclassification of students after their 3-year reevaluations and to some actual growth in this population.

## Educational Environments

Arkansas -- The State attributed the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in homebound/hospital placements to school districts' placing greater emphasis on providing services to children at the school location.

California -- The State attributed the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in private residential facility placements to two factors. First, in response to the high cost of providing services in private programs, a pilot program (the nonprivate school pilot program) involving several districts was initiated to provide students with equivalent services in public settings. Second, the State has been encouraging districts to reduce the number of students served in private facilities.

Georgia -- The State indicated that the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in public residential facility placements was a result of Georgia's expansion of public residential services to adolescents through the formation of the Department of Children and Youth Services.

Hawaii -- The State attributed the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 of youth in correctional facilities to the first-time reporting of detention center data; in previous reports, a more restrictive definition of correctional facilities was used.

Idaho -- The State indicated that the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in separate school facility placements was due to a change in the way districts reported their preschool data. It appears that in the past children who should have been reported in self-contained settings were reported in separate school settings; this was corrected in the current report.

Iowa -- The State indicated that the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in correctional facility placements occurred because the 1995-96 figures did not include data from two facilities operated by the Iowa Department of Human Services, namely the State Juvenile Home and the State Training School. These two facilities served 245 children during the 1995-96 school year.

Kansas -- The State reported that the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in regular class placements and the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in resource room placements was due to a revision of its data collection system to more accurately reflect both the practice of districts in the field and the Federal placement definitions.

Maryland -- The State indicated that the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in resource room, private separate school facility, and parent-initiated private school placements was because December 1995 was the first year of Maryland's new special education data base. In previous years, placement data were estimated, based on a formula.

Massachusetts -- The State is prohibited by State law from collecting data by disability. Assignment to disability categories is based on a formula.

Missouri -- The State indicated that the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in public residential facility placements may be due to some duplicate reporting of Division of Youth Services data because these data are reported by both districts and the Division of Youth Services.

Nebraska -- The State indicated that the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in public separate school facility placements and the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in homebound/hospital placements resulted from changes in placement definitions that are more consistent with the Federal categories. The change in placement definitions has resulted in districts reporting more preschool children in homebound placements and fewer in separate school facilities.

New Jersey -- The State indicated that the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in resource room placements and the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in separate class placements was due to correctly reporting students who were in special classes for less than 60 percent of the day in resource room placements. In previous years, these students were reported in separate class placements.

New York -- The State indicated that the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in public residential facility placements was due to State initiatives to keep children out of residential facilities; efforts are made to serve children before they need to be placed in these facilities. New York attributed the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in parent-initiated private school placements to improvements in data accuracy.

North Carolina -- The State suspects that the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in parent-initiated private school placements was due to the greater provision of special education services to children who were home schooled and to more parents exercising their choice to have their children served in private schools. North Carolina attributed the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in private residential facility placements to better reporting of community residential centers data. Community residential centers are public day care facilities that were authorized as Chapter 1 agencies. When Chapter 1 programs were merged with IDEA, the responsibility for reporting these children switched to the local school districts. In 1994-95 many school districts did
not fully report all their community residential center data; by 1995-96 districts had improved their reporting of these data.

Ohio -- The State attributed the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in public residential placements to increases in the number of students served in correctional facilities and the increase in homebound/hospital placements to an increase in services provided to preschool children in home through itinerant services. Ohio noted that the homebound/hospital placements included some preschoolers who were receiving itinerant services outside the home and that the separate class placements included some preschoolers in reverse mainstream settings.

Pennsylvania -- The State attributed the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in correctional facility placements to an increase in the prison population and to a decrease in the average age of inmates. Pennsylvania thought that the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in parent-initiated private school placements was due to the collection of more accurate data.

South Carolina -- The State suspects that some of the increase from 1994-95 to 199596 in homebound/hospital placements was partially due to children who were expelled and subsequently received services at home and to an increase in the number of young medically fragile children who received services at home.

Tennessee -- The State indicated that the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in public residential facilities placements was due to the closing of residential facilities for students with mental retardation and the transfer of these students to their local school districts.

Texas -- The State indicated that State statute mandated the collapsing of several placement categories, including one public separate and two separate class categories, into a new "off home campus" category. The data keyed under public separate school facility represent the data for the new "off home campus" category.

West Virginia -- The State indicated that the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in regular class placements and the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in resource room placements were due to a change in the definition of placement options. Beginning with the 1995-96 school year, West Virginia changed its placement definitions to correspond with the OSEP definitions. The State said that prior to 1995-96, regular class data included only students who were served 100 percent of the school day in that setting. The current data also include students who were served for less than 21 percent of the school day outside of the regular class; these students were previously reported as receiving services in resource rooms. West Virginia indicated that the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in homebound/hospital placements was primarily due to an increase in the number of preschool students served in home-based programs.

## Personnel

Alabama -- The State indicated that (1) the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in total demand for vocational education teachers was due to more students with disabilities being served in regular vocational programs; (2) the decrease in total demand for counselors and nonprofessional staff accurately reflected the decline in need for these personnel types; and (3) a change in the State's certification requirements has resulted in a decrease in the number of less than fully certified personnel that were hired.

Alaska -- The State indicated that the changes in the data from 1994-95 to 1995-96 were a result of the difficulty district personnel have with the State's data collection form. Alaska said that it has provided the University of Alaska - Fairbanks, which collects the personnel data for the State, with additional funding to improve the personnel data collection.

Arizona -- The State indicated that (1) the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in demand for vocational education teachers was a result of the School to Work Opportunities Act, which requires the inclusion of all students in school to work activities, and the IDEA transition requirement that districts provide employment objectives for students with disabilities; (2) the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in demand for counselors was due to an increase in the number of students with behavior problems in schools; (3) the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of aides was a result of inclusion, which often calls for more aides in the IEP, and to the increase in the number of students with behavior problems; (4) the increase from 199495 to 1995-96 in the demand for physical education teachers was due to an increase in the student population; (5) the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the demand for speech language pathologists was a result of a change in certification requirements; (6) the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the demand for supervisors/ administrators was due to a move toward site-based management, staffing reductions, and reduction in funds; and (7) the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in nonprofessional staff was probably due to reductions in funding and inaccurate reporting in the past.

Arkansas -- The State indicated that the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the total demand for other professional staff was due to more accurate reporting of the personnel previously reported in this category. For example, the number of deaf interpreters who were previously reported in the other professional staff category was listed separately in 1995-96.

California -- The State attributed the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of occupational therapists, counselors, and other professional staff employed and in the number of employed not fully certified teacher aides to a 20,000 increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of students served.

Colorado -- The State indicated that the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of nonprofessional staff was due to recent changes in its data system that have resulted in better data.

Florida -- The State verified the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the total demand for speech pathologists and indicated that the State has been aggressively recruiting speech pathologists. Florida noted that some districts have contracted with agencies to recruit speech pathologists from foreign countries. The State suspects that the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in physical education teachers was due to an increase in the number of students who needed adaptive physical education.

Georgia -- The State indicated that (1) the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the demand for school social workers was a result of the increasing cost of teachers' salaries (due to growing school populations) which has forced systems to reduce personnel in related services areas; (2) the increase in the demand for counselors was due to a statewide emphasis on providing counseling services at the middle school level; (3) the increase in the demand for supervisors/administrators was a result of the growth in the number of students with disabilities; (4) the increase in the demand for nonprofessional staff was due to the commencement in fiscal year 1997 of State funding of support services for students with disabilities in the regular classroom; (5) the increase in the number of rehabilitation counselors was due to Georgia's decision to continue funding the Rehabilitation Collaborative Grant program that was designed to provide increased rehabilitation counselor services to school systems; (6) the increase in the number of other professional staff is correct and includes personnel who provide services such as orientation/mobility services, nutrition services, augmentative/alternative communication services, and community-based job coaching; and (7) the decrease in the number of not fully certified speech-language pathologists was due to the provision of satellite course work and alternative certification routes, which has resulted in fewer vacant positions and fewer personnel who lack full certification.

Hawaii -- The State attributed the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in total demand for nonprofessional staff to the establishment of a new category of nonprofessionals which allowed the hiring of part-time paraprofessionals.

Illinois -- The State indicated that some of the changes in the number of personnel reported may be the result of changes in State funding requirements; Illinois also reported that (1) the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of not fully certified interpreters occurred because interpreters were incorrectly reported as not fully certified in 1994-95, and (2) new positions have been created to address the continuing demand for physical therapists.

Indiana -- The State indicated that the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of teacher aides was due to more aides being employed to work with students in inclusive settings. Indiana attributed the decrease in the number of fully certified interpreters to the reclassification of some personnel into the not fully certified category.

Massachusetts -- The State is prohibited by State law from collecting data by disability. The State reported all teachers as serving students in cross-categorical classrooms.

Michigan -- The State indicated that the data changes reflect the ongoing difficulty it is having getting school districts to report data accurately on personnel certifications.

Minnesota -- The State indicated that the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in occupational therapists and the decrease in other professional staff was due to the reporting of certified occupational therapy assistants in the occupational therapy category in the current year and in the other professional staff category in the prior year.

Mississippi -- The State indicated that the changes from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the nonprofessional staff data were due to the reclassification of nonprofessional staff into the fully certified category. In previous years, the nonprofessional staff data were incorrectly reported in the not fully certified category.

Missouri -- The State indicated that (1) the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in total demand for vocational education teachers occurred because previous reports did not include data on vocational resource educators who support students with disabilities in vocational technical schools and (2) the not fully certified column represented the number, not the full-time equivalency, of provisional certificates issued.

Montana -- The State attributed the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in nonprofessional staff to a revision of the State's data collection format, including the clarification of personnel definitions. Montana thinks that the new data format has resulted in more accurate data.

Nevada -- The State attributed the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the total demand for speech pathologists to a major effort by the Clark County School District in the 1995-96 school year to hire additional speech pathologists to ease caseloads.

New Hampshire -- The State suspects that the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the total demand for counselors was due to the combination of actual increases and inaccurate reporting. New Hampshire noted that some districts still report all counselors rather than just the full-time equivalency of counselors providing services to students with disabilities. The State indicated that the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in total demand for other professional staff was due to the first-time reporting of tutors and other student support employees by the State's largest school district.

New Jersey -- The State indicated that the submitted personnel data accurately reflected the composite data reported to them by the school districts. New Jersey noted that districts find it difficult to determine the full-time equivalents for physical education teachers and vocational education teachers because these teachers are shared with regular education pupils. The State attributed the increases in physical education teachers, vocational education, and other professional staff to the variability of the data in these categories. New Jersey attributed the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of interpreters employed to improvements in the collection of these data. The State thought that the increase in the number of vacant teacher positions occurred in the supplemental instructors category that contains a substantial
number of part-time teachers and is subject to large turnovers from year to year. New Jersey attributed the increase in the number of vacant other diagnostic staff positions to the high number of contracted, part-time personnel in this category which makes reliable reporting difficult.

New Mexico -- The State thought that changes in the data were due to increased efforts to improve the response rates and the quality of data collected from districts.

New York -- The State indicated that the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in teachers of children ages 3-5 was due to the breakout of speech language pathologists.

North Carolina -- The State indicated that the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the total demand for recreation specialists was a result of school districts having to adapt to continuing budgetary constraints. Specifically, school districts were using more of their resources to employ or contract occupational and physical therapists rather than recreational therapists. North Carolina noted that school districts were simultaneously becoming more innovative in the use of physical education and adaptive physical education personnel to provide recreation therapy services. The State indicated that the decrease in the total demand for diagnostic staff was due to schools using more of these personnel in classrooms rather than solely as diagnostic staff.

North Dakota -- The State indicated that the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the total demand for speech pathologists was due to local units more correctly reporting these personnel as speech pathologists rather than as speech/language teachers.

Ohio -- The State indicated that (1) the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the total demand for physical education teachers was due to the employment of more adaptive physical education teachers; (2) the increase in the number of psychologists employed was because more psychologists were hired to serve children ages 3-5; (3) the increase in the total demand for occupational therapists was due to improved recruiting by local districts for these positions and to an increase in the number of occupational therapists employed to serve preschool children; and (4) the decrease in the number of retained physical education teachers was because the prior year's data included teachers who had temporary certification and therefore were not retained.

Oklahoma -- The State attributed the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the total demand for nonprofessional staff to the hiring of additional bus drivers, bus monitors for special education students, and clerical staff assigned to compliance and special education data tasks. Oklahoma noted that the largest increase occurred among bus monitors.

Pennsylvania -- The State indicated that (1) the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of speech pathologists was due to a change in the State's eligibility requirement, which resulted in a decrease in the number of children who required only speech services, and (2) the increase in the number of interpreters was a result of the greater inclusion of children with hearing impairments in regular classrooms.

Puerto Rico -- The State attributed the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of diagnostic and evaluation staff employed to the use of more contracted personnel who provided services as needed.

South Carolina -- The State suspects that the decline in speech pathologists was due to districts reporting these personnel as teachers.

South Dakota -- The increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of speech pathologists was because these data were previously being reported under special education teachers.

Tennessee -- The State indicated that (1) the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of speech pathologists was due to their reclassification from the category for speech/language teachers and because the State has been sponsoring the education of speech pathologists, and (2) the increase in the number of interpreters was a result of the provision of training for interpreters.

Utah -- The State indicated that the changes in the personnel data were because the 1995-96 school year was the first year that a validated data collection was used. In contrast to prior years, where district data were accepted as reported, the current data were cross-checked by name, assignment, and certification.

Wisconsin -- The State attributed the changes from 1994-95 to 1995-96 to the implementation of an approved data collection and reporting system for personnel reimbursement. This new system has resulted in greater accuracy in data collection and reporting.

## Exiting

For individual States, percentages of students with disabilities exiting may sum to more than 100 percent. This is due to the fact that exit data are collected over a 12-month period, while child count data are collected for a single day, December 1. As a result, students ages 14-21 who enter special education after December 1 and exit prior to December 1 may appear in the numerator (exiters) but not in the denominator (child count).

Arizona -- The State attributed the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of children who exited through the moved, known to be continuing basis of exit to the transfer of students, especially those with learning disabilities, from public schools into charter schools.

Colorado -- The State attributed the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of students who returned to regular education to the implementation of more stringent eligibility requirements for learning disabilities which resulted in the declassification of some students with learning disabilities. Colorado indicated that the increase in the
number of students who moved and were known to be continuing was due to recent changes in its data system that have resulted in better data.

Illinois -- The State noted that the recent change in its data collection systems may account for some of the variance.

Massachusetts -- The State did not collect data for "graduation through certificate or completion of IEP requirement" because all students graduate with diplomas. Massachusetts is prohibited by State law from collecting data by disability. Assignment to disability categories is based on a formula.

Michigan -- The State indicated that changes in exiting data were due to a more accurate count of students leaving special education, especially from the Detroit school district.

Minnesota -- The State suspects that the returned to regular education data were underreported by the school districts but indicated that it could not provide revised data.

Missouri -- The State verified the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of students who graduated with certificates. Missouri noted that certificates (of attendance) are awarded to all students with disabilities who reach age 21 , or otherwise terminate their education, and who have met the district's attendance requirements but who have not fulfilled the requirements for graduation.

New Jersey -- The State attributed the increases in the total number of students exiting to improvements in data collection and to a statewide emphasis on encouraging students with disabilities to graduate.

Ohio -- The State thought that its Open Enrollment Program may have had an impact on the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of students who moved and were known to be continuing.

Oregon -- The State indicated that it did not collect exiting data in all the Federal categories and therefore could not accurately distribute the data into the Federal categories.

Pennsylvania -- The State indicated that graduation with a certificate was not a valid basis of exit in the State.

Washington -- The State indicated that the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of students who graduated with a certificate and in the total number of students who exited was due to the implementation of a new reporting procedure that has resulted in more accurate data.

## Table AH1: Part C Child Count

Alabama -- The State attributed the increase from 1995-96 to 1996-97 in the number of children served to its continuing public awareness efforts and to improvements in data collection and reporting.

Colorado -- The State indicated that the decrease from 1995-96 to 1996-97 in the number of infants served was due to the decision not to use unverified State data on children who may not have IFSPs.

Connecticut -- The State indicated that the increase from 1995-96 to 1996-97 in the number of children served was due to improved data collection and reporting. Connecticut noted that several factors contributed to the improvements in accuracy. First, in July 1996, when the Connecticut Department of Mental Retardation assumed lead agency status and reconstructed the Part C data base from hard copies of enrollment forms submitted by each of the 39 programs in the State, it discovered that many children were receiving services who were not reflected in the previous data base. Second, the new data base begins at the statewide single point of entry, where the electronic record is first created, and is subsequently updated at the central office as additional information is received from the 39 programs. In the previous system, data were not entered in the data base until each of the six coordination centers had an opportunity to update the data. Third, the list of children's services is mailed to each of the programs every month to document services delivered and as the basis for payment. And fourth, the system has been streamlined so that there is a shorter time span between evaluation and the commencement of services. Therefore, a larger percentage of the children in the system are receiving services than was the case last year.

Delaware -- The State indicated that the decrease from 1995-96 to 1996-97 in the number of children served was due to better reporting. Delaware upgraded its data system, including the development of a separate December 1 data base, and feels that the current data more accurately reflect the number of infants and toddlers served under Part C.

Maine -- The State attributed the decrease from 1995-96 to 1996-97 in the number of children served to an increase in the number of parents who had their children evaluated but declined moving forward toward the development of an IFSP.

New Mexico -- The State attributed the increase from 1995-96 to 1996-97 in the number of children served to the following factors: (1) child identification efforts have been more successful; (2) the State's population is growing; (3) there have been improvements in the data tracking system; and (4) new transition legislation allows parents to choose to have their children remain in early intervention services throughout the school year in which they turn age 3.

Oregon -- The State attributed the increase from 1995-96 to 1996-97 in the number of children served to the rapid expansion of the Part C program since its inception in 1993 as a State-operated program.

Rhode Island -- The State noted that the decrease from 1995-96 to 1996-97 in the number of children served was related to the State's decision to report only figures generated through its early intervention data system, Early Intervention Exchange of Information Operation. Rhode Island attributes the low numbers to regions that were resistant to using the new data system.

## Table AH2: Part C Services

Arizona -- The State indicated that the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in respite care services was due to increased training of and greater awareness among early intervention respite providers.

Arkansas -- The State indicated that the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of children who received family training, counseling, home visits, and other support services and the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of children who received medical services was due to the more accurate reporting of these data.

California -- The State indicated that increases from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of children who received various services could generally be attributed to an expansion of the reporting base. The prior year's data represented only children served by the Department of Developmental Health and the Department of Education, whereas the current year's data also included children served by the Department of Health Services, Department of Social Services, Department of Mental Health, and Department of Alcohol and Drug Services.

Colorado -- The State indicated that the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of infants who received services was due to the decision not to use unverified State data on children who may not have IFSPs.

Connecticut -- The State indicated that the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 was related to an increase in population. Connecticut noted that 49 percent of the children who received early intervention services since July 1996 were referred after the age of 2 , which suggests that many of them were referred due to concerns about speech and language rather than other disabilities, which would have been identified earlier.

Delaware -- The State indicated that the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of nursing services was because the prior year data included infants who were served under a plan but not an actual IFSP. Furthermore, some of the services performed by the nursing staff were more correctly identified and listed under developmental services. Delaware attributed the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of infants who received nutrition services to a reduction in the count. The State indicated that the prior year's data included nutrition services provided by the Women, Infants, and Children (WIC) program to children who did not necessarily
have IFSPs. Delaware attributed the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of infants who received other early intervention services to an increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in ongoing early intervention evaluations by child development specialists.

Florida -- The State attributed the increases from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of children who received various services to the 43 percent increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 ( 7,333 in 1994 to 10,771 in 1995) in the total number of children who received Part C services. Florida also provided the following explanations: (1) the increase in audiology services was a result of Florida's efforts to collect information on infant hearing impairment screening services provided by Developmental Evaluation and Intervention-designated hospitals; (2) the increases in medical and nursing services was a result of the program's decision that services provided to Part C infants and toddlers through the Children's Medical Services program (the administrating program for the Part C program) would be reported to the Early Intervention Program data system; (3) the increase in family training was due to the increase in the number of children served and to improved compliance on reporting; (4) the increase in special instruction was due to an increase in the number of children served, improved reporting compliance, and a change in the categorization of services between family training and special instruction; (5) the increase in respite services was due to improved reporting; and (6) the increase in social work services was due to improved reporting, growth in the number of children served, and to a change in the categorization of services among family training, case management, and social work services.

Georgia -- The State attributed the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in assistive technology services/devices to the use of second opinions and refined policies and protocols. Similarly, the decrease in respite care services was due to stricter district respite policies. Georgia further noted that an overall decrease in the child count also contributed to the decrease in services.

Hawaii -- The State indicated that the decreases from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of children who received health services, nursing services, respite care services, social work services, and other early intervention services were due to the reduction of their data entry capacity, budget cuts, and loss of staff.

Indiana -- The State provided the following explanations: (1) the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in family training, counseling, home visits, and other support services was due to the incorporation of these types of services into all the other service categories; (2) the decrease in social work services was due to the discontinuation of the practice of reporting some service coordination as a social work service; (3) the decrease in nutrition services was due to the removal of WIC services from the list of early intervention services; and (4) the increase in special instruction services was a result of increased child find.

Kansas -- The State attributed the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of children who received assistive technology services to the State's providing an additional \$300,000 to the Assistive Technology of Kansas Project in 1995. The
additional funding provided monies for the purchase of additional equipment as well as for training and systems development.

Kentucky -- The State indicated that the data changes from 1994-95 to 1995-96 were primarily due to the fact that it uses a variety of data collection methods that make it difficult to collect accurate and valid data. Kentucky noted that it is beginning to phase-in a centralized billing and information system that should help with data accuracy. More specifically, the State thinks the increase in respite services was due to greater interest in the service and wider dissemination of information on its availability. Kentucky attributed the growth in the number of children who received vision services to a concerted effort by the State to provide services to the visually impaired. In the prior year, the consultation and technical assistance project for the visually impaired was reorganized to provide more on-site consultation and support.

Michigan -- The State indicated that (1) the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of children who received health, nursing, and medical services was because most of the agencies that have recently joined the Part C system are non-special education and provide primarily medical-related services and (2) the increase in social work services was because local providers reported service coordination under social work services. The State noted that the definition of social work services mentions the coordinating of community resources.

Minnesota -- The State indicated that it does not currently have a system for reporting services received.

Missouri -- The State indicated that the increases from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in services data were due to better data entry by the Departments of Health and Mental Health and to increased service to children.

New Hampshire -- The State indicated that the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of children who received other early intervention services was due to fewer providers reporting children as receiving transdisciplinary services, which are reported in the other early intervention services category, and choosing instead to report these children in one of the specific service categories.

New Jersey -- The State attributed the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of children who received various services to the fact that the current figures represent verifiable, audited counts. New Jersey noted that in prior years, providers sometimes reported service data based exclusively on whether they had that personnel type on staff.

New York -- The State thought that the increases from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the major therapies (e.g., occupational therapy, physical therapy, and speech-language pathology), special instruction services, and transportation services could be attributed to the 41 percent increase in the number of children served between $1994(9,461)$ and $1995(13,317)$. New York thought that the increase in the number of children who received family counseling services was driven by the increase in the number of
children served in New York City (from 3,037 in 1994 to 4,688 in 1995), where family counseling is a frequently authorized service.

North Carolina -- The State indicated that the decreases from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of children who received services was because the 1994-95 data represented the number of children served over an entire year, whereas the 1995-96 data represent only the services provided to children on December 1, 1995.

Ohio -- The State attributed the increases in the number of children receiving various services to (1) an increase in the number of agency participants throughout the State, (2) an increase in family/client participation, and (3) increased State child find efforts.

Puerto Rico -- Puerto Rico indicated that the increases from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of children who received various services were due to the increased recruitment of staff across many disciplines and to a subsequent increase in the number of referred children who were evaluated. In particular, there has been greater availability of nurses, pediatricians, social workers, psychologists, and pediatric ophthalmologists.

South Carolina -- The State indicated that the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of children who received special instruction services was due to the reclassification of an early intervention service that was provided in the home. These data were previously reported under family training and home visits but were reclassified to special instruction.

South Dakota -- The State indicated that the increase in other early intervention services from 1994-95 to 1995-96 was due to the reporting of service coordination in this category.

Texas -- The State indicated that (1) the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of children who received assistive technology was due to service growth and improved reporting, and (2) the decrease in transportation services was a result of a decline in center-based services and an increase in services provided in natural environments.

Washington -- The State indicated that because it does not have a single statewide electronic system, the Part C lead agency must use a manual process to ensure that the data compiled from each of the three service systems -- Department of Health, Department of Social and Health Services, and Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction -- are unduplicated. In 1994-95, Washington's Infant Toddler Early Intervention Program provided Part C funds to enhance programs that had previously provided services under Chapter 1. This influx of funding resulted in additional providers reporting data on Tables 2 through 4. The State further noted that coordinated child find and increased funding have allowed more children and families to access early intervention services. The State thought that the increase from 199495 to 1995-96 in the number of children who received assistive technology services was due to the broad definition of this category and that the increase from 1994-95 to

1995-96 in other early intervention services was due to providers reporting all services listed on the IFSP instead of reporting only those services required under Part C.

## Table AH3: Part C Personnel Employed and Needed

Arizona -- The State attributed the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of paraprofessionals and total staff employed to improvements in its data collection system. These improvements include better definitions and increased communication with and better responses from providers.

Connecticut -- The State indicated that the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of other professional staff was due to the defunding of six Regional Family Service Coordination Centers. Most of the personnel reported in the other professional staff category were independent service coordinators and their supervisors who were employed by the Regional Family Service Coordinator Centers. Connecticut noted that service coordination is no longer a separate job within the State's birth to 3 system, and the responsibilities of service coordination are now most often carried out by the early interventionists who provide direct service to families.

Delaware -- The State indicated that (1) the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of paraprofessionals employed was due to greater use of occupational therapy assistants and physical therapy assistants; (2) the increase in the number of other personnel employed was due to additional State allocations that permitted hiring more family service coordinators; and (3) the increase in the number of total staff needed was a result of providers collecting and submitting more specific information on their personnel needs. Delaware noted that the other personnel category also included early childhood teachers who work with children both with and without disabilities.

District of Columbia -- The District of Columbia suspects that the 1994-95 counts of the number of nurses employed included other hospital-based personnel who were involved with Part C child find activities, whereas the 1995-96 count did not include other hospital-based personnel.

Florida -- The State attributed the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of personnel employed to a concerted effort to collect information on contracted personnel staff as well as early intervention program staff. Much of the increase in other professional staff was due to the improved reporting of contracted personnel.

Hawaii -- The State indicated that the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of nurses and paraprofessionals employed was due to lowered budgets and diminished resources available to programs.

Indiana -- The State attributed the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of personnel needed to an expansion of the provider base beyond the historic delivery system. Indiana attributed the decrease in the number of paraprofessionals employed to better clarification of definitions, which has allowed more appropriate personnel reporting.

Iowa -- The State provided two reasons for the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of personnel employed and contracted. First, in the past, the data were primarily collected through sampling, whereas the current data were based on actual counts. Second, providers previously reported the total number of personnel rather than full-time equivalencies of personnel who were providing early intervention services.

Kansas -- The State thought that the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of total staff employed and contracted reflected the State's emphasis on family training designed to provide families with the skills and techniques necessary to enhance the developmental growth of their children, thus eliminating some of the need for direct services. In addition, Kansas noted that many of its networks are utilizing the services of providers already employed by the school districts, which also eliminated some of the need for additional personnel.

Kentucky -- The State indicated that the data changes from 1994-95 to 1995-96 were primarily due to the fact that it uses a variety of data collection methods that make it difficult to collect accurate and valid data. Kentucky noted that it is beginning to phase-in a centralized billing and information system that should help with data accuracy.

Michigan -- The State indicated that the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of social workers employed was because Community Mental Health personnel who coordinated services for Part C children reported themselves as social workers.

New Jersey -- The State attributed the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of other professional staff employed to the creation of a new personnel category for child development specialists. Most of the personnel hired in this category had psychology, special education, or early intervention backgrounds. New Jersey attributed the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of total staff employed to an increase in funding for direct services.

New Mexico -- The State indicated that the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of other professional staff was due to their increased use among providers because they are available and less expensive. New Mexico noted that other professional staff are generally degree-holding in a related field but are often unlicensed and inexperienced in the provision of early intervention services. The State intends to tighten up its qualifications to reduce the number of other professionals used.

New York -- The State attributed the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of physical therapists employed to an expansion in the number of approved providers.

Pennsylvania -- The State indicated that the changes from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the personnel data were in response to changes in service delivery in order to provide more services in natural environments.

Texas -- The State indicated that the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of other professional staff employed and contracted was due to increases in enrollments and concomitant increases in services.

Washington -- The State indicated that because it does not have a single statewide electronic system, the Part C lead agency must use a manual process to ensure that the data compiled from each of the three service systems -- Department of Health, Department of Social and Health Services, and Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction -- are unduplicated. In 1994-95, Washington's Infant Toddler Early Intervention Program provided Part C funds to enhance programs that had previously provided services under Chapter 1. This influx of funding resulted in additional providers reporting data on Tables 2 through 4. Washington attributed the increases from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of employed personnel to an increase in the number of providers reporting personnel data.

West Virginia -- The State thought that the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of social workers employed was due to prior year data including personnel with temporary social worker licenses, whereas these personnel were more appropriately reported in the current year. The increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the number of other professional staff employed was a result of the growth in the number of children served.

## Table AH4: Part C Settings

Alabama -- The State attributed the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in early intervention classroom/center placements and the decrease in outpatient service facility placements to more accurate reporting as a result of the evolution of its data system.

Arkansas -- The State indicated that the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in early intervention classroom/center placements was because a significant number of children changed from receiving services at home to receiving services in early intervention classrooms.

Connecticut -- The increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in home placements was due to an overall increase in the number of children served and to emphasis on serving children in more natural settings. The increase in outpatient service facility placements and the decrease in other settings placements were due to better reporting of the data previously reported in other settings.

Delaware -- The State indicated that the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in early intervention placements was because the previous year's data were duplicated, whereas the current year's were not.

District of Columbia -- The State indicated that the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in outpatient service facility placements was due to improvements in reporting.

Florida -- The State indicated that (1) the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in total settings was due to a growth in the number of children served; (2) the decrease in other settings and outpatient service facility placements was due to the improvement in the collection of service location identification information; and (3) the increase in early intervention classroom/center, home, and regular nursery school/child care placements was due to growth in the number of children served, improved reporting compliance, and continuing efforts to serve children in more appropriate settings.

Georgia -- The State indicated that the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in family child care placements and the decrease in other setting placements were due to more accurate and consistent understanding of the definitions of these categories.

Indiana -- The State indicated that (1) the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in family child care placements was probably due to a combination of changes in family preferences and the realization by some providers that the State does not pay for child care; (2) the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in outpatient service facility placements was due to the expansion of the provider network to include more therapy groups and hospitals; and (3) the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in other settings was due to an increased emphasis on providing services in natural environments.

Kentucky -- The State indicated that the data changes from 1994-95 to 1995-96 were primarily due to the fact that it uses a variety of data collection methods that make it difficult to collect accurate and valid data. Kentucky noted that it is beginning to phase-in a centralized billing and information system that should help with data accuracy.

Louisiana -- The State attributed the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in early intervention classroom/center and other setting placements to a shift in emphasis from providing services in center-based programs to providing services in natural environments.

Maryland -- The State attributed the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in outpatient service facility placements to the North Washington Pediatric Hospital, a major outpatient provider in Baltimore, becoming unavailable for service.

Michigan -- The State indicated that (1) the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in other settings placements was because one large center had previously reported children who received 1 hour of center-based service and 1 hour of home-based services in the other category instead of splitting the data between home and classroom placements as was done in the current year; (2) the increase in the number of children served in home placements was because most of the noneducation agencies that have recently joined the Part C program provide the majority of their services in the home; and (3) the increase in outpatient service facility placements occurred because the Health Department (in Detroit) and children's hospital (in Flint) became very involved in the Part C program and traditionally serve most of their children at their respective facilities.

Missouri -- The State indicated that the increases from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in settings data were due to better data entry by the Departments of Health and Mental Health and to increased services to children.

New York -- The State thought that the increases from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the home and total settings were due to the 41 percent increase in the number of children served during that period and to an increasing shift away from center-based to home-based service delivery. New York thought that the increase in other settings may be due to an increase in the number of children who received assistive technology devices and for whom no settings were provided.

Ohio -- Ohio noted that it can only provide partial explanation for changes in the data because the data are compiled from various sources, including public and private agencies whose clients access services through multiple points of entry and utilize a variety of funding streams. Hence, the State must often rely on secondary data sources.

Pennsylvania -- The State indicated that the changes from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in the settings data were due to its efforts to serve more children in natural environments. Pennsylvania attributed the increase in the other settings category to the way Philadelphia County reported its data.

Rhode Island -- The State indicated that the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in outpatient service facility placements was due to its largest provider going from an onsite service delivery model to one where therapeutic services were contracted from various hospitals.

Texas -- The State attributed the changes from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in settings to growth in its service system and to an increased emphasis on providing services in inclusive and natural environments.

Washington -- The State attributed the increase from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in early intervention classroom/center and outpatient service facility placements to increased school district participation in the Part C program. The State further noted that since it does not have a single statewide electronic system, the Part C lead agency must use a manual process to ensure that the data compiled from each of the three service systems -- Department of Health, Department of Social and Health Services, and Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction -- are unduplicated. In 1994-95, Washington's Infant Toddler Early Intervention Program provided Part C funds to enhance programs that had previously provided services under Chapter 1. This influx of funding resulted in additional providers reporting data on Tables 2 through 4. The State further noted that coordinated child find and increased funding have allowed more children and families to access early intervention services.

West Virginia -- The State indicated that the decrease from 1994-95 to 1995-96 in outpatient service facility placements was a result of efforts to provide more services at early intervention centers.

## APPENDIX

## ACTIVITIES OF THE REGIONAL RESOURCE CENTERS

The Regional Resource and Federal Center Program assists State educational agencies (SEAs) in building their capacity to improve services for infants, toddlers, children, and youth with disabilities. The role of the six Regional Resource Centers (RRCs) is to provide advice and technical assistance to administrators and educators in SEAs, local educational agencies, and other appropriate public agencies. Information related to the activities conducted by the RRCs is included in each Annual Report.

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act Amendments of 1997 (P.L. 105-17) state that "National technical assistance, support, and dissemination activities are necessary to ensure that parts B (for preschool- and school-age children) and C (for infants and toddlers) are fully implemented and achieve quality early intervention, educational, and transitional results for children with disabilities and their families . . . . Parents, teachers, administrators, and related services personnel need technical assistance and information in a timely, coordinated, and accessible manner in order to improve early intervention, educational, and transitional services and results at the State and local levels for children with disabilities and their families." (\$681(a)(1)(2)) With the need for technical assistance and dissemination of information clearly defined in the legislation, the Office of Special Education Programs' 35 funded projects that make up the Special Education Technical Assistance and Dissemination (TA\&D) Network have spent the majority of their time since the reauthorization focusing upon acquainting their audiences and clients with the provisions and requirements of the IDEA Amendments of 1997. The newly reauthorized law is a powerful catalyst for ensuring improved results for infants, toddlers, children, and youth with disabilities and for equipping the families and professionals who are associated with them with the tools and understanding necessary for meeting their unique needs.

The Regional Resource and Federal Centers (RRFC) Network, which consists of six Regional Resource Centers (RRCs) and the coordinating Federal Resource Center (FRC), has worked to: (1) plan, facilitate, and evaluate OSEP's Implementation of IDEA Planning Meetings; (2) conduct regional sharing and State-specific planning sessions with State partnership teams to develop their State improvement plans; (3) work collaboratively to provide national and regional trainings and workshops on the changes/impacts of the IDEA Amendments of 1997 to regular educators and professionals working in an educational environment; (4) respond to information requests from State educational agencies (SEAs) and local educational agencies (LEAs) on a variety of IDEA topics, including transition, alternate assessment, individualized education programs (IEPs), discipline, expedited hearings, mediation, performance indicators, and reporting; and (5) develop within the RRFC network website modules, hotlinks, and searchable databases for any client to have immediate access to statutory, regulatory, and resource information to support implementation of IDEA. The FRC and RRCs regularly facilitate national conference calls with the six RRCs and other TA\&D providers as well as regional calls with their States to assist OSEP in disseminating information regarding IDEA. The RRFC Network has been involved in the Improving America's Schools (IAS) conferences and has assisted Judith E. Heumann, Assistant Secretary, Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services (OSERS), Department of Education, in presenting the IDEA Amendments of 1997 to general educators. The FRC has worked to set up meetings at the national level on topics including State Improvement Grants (SIGs) and the OSEP monitoring process, while the RRCs have worked on the same IDEA implementation topics at a regional and/or State level.

The rest of this appendix gives brief descriptions of a wide variety of the technical assistance projects that are taking place nationwide. As readers will notice, these projects heavily emphasize the goals of the IDEA Amendments of 1997.

The five national clearinghouses have devoted resources to developing and disseminating publications on the IDEA Amendments of 1997. The ERIC Clearinghouse on Disabilities and Gifted Education (ERIC-EC) has developed an eightpage synthesis of research on specific topics in special education. The first two issues of this publication, Research Connections, focused on School-wide Behavioral Management Systems (Fall 1997) and Statewide Assessment Programs (Spring 1998); one-page digests were also written to provide a brief overview of each topic.

The HEATH Resource Center, the national clearinghouse on postsecondary education for individuals with disabilities, recently updated two publications to reflect the IDEA Amendments of 1997-- 1998 Financial Aid for Students with Disabilities and How to Choose a College: Guide for the Student with a Disability. These offer logistical information about the transition process for students, parents, teachers, and counselors.

At the same time, the National Clearinghouse for Professions in Special Education (NCPSE), anticipating the need for greater numbers of qualified teaching professionals under the IDEA Amendments of 1997, increased the number of its products to encourage high school and undergraduate college students to pursue careers in special education and related services. NCPSE paid particular attention to over 2,200 high schools with student populations from diverse cultural and ethnic backgrounds. In its work with State directors of special education, NCPSE provided information on teacher preparation programs in each State, highlighting those programs that receive OSEP's personnel preparation grants.

The National Information Center for Children and Youth with Disabilities (NICHCY) in collaboration with the FRC and OSEP produced a training package entitled "The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act Amendments of 1997." The two-binder package has a curriculum binder with nearly 500 pages of background information, resources, handouts, and training scripts on the law and an overheads binder with a series of 145 overhead transparencies. Over 600 copies of this two-binder set have been distributed to agencies and organizations involved with special education, including parent training and information centers (PTIs), State departments of special education and Federal education officials. All of the overheads and most of the handouts are available in Spanish. NICHCY has also produced a 40-page publication that examines in detail the major changes that have taken place in the law as a result of reauthorization. This publication includes a side-by-side comparison of the old IDEA with the new IDEA Amendments of 1997.

The National Clearinghouse on Children who are Deaf-Blind (DB-LINK) has purchased and organized a collection of up-to-date materials on the new IDEA and special education law. Over the last year, DB-LINK published resource lists, both print and electronic, related to the new IDEA in two issues of Deaf-Blind Perspectives. In collaboration with NICHCY, DB-LINK distributed the NICHCY publication, The IDEA Amendments of 1997, at the National Conference on Deaf-Blindness and in a select mailing to all National Technical Assistance Consortium for Children and Young Adults Who Are Deaf-Blind (NTAC) and State 307.11 coordinators. Further, DB-LINK staff conducted a survey of State projects as to the availability of materials related to alternate assessments.

The National Technical Assistance Center (NTAC) holds "Stakeholder's Meetings" with individual States to identify assistance needs for implementing the IDEA Amendments of 1997. Communication, transition, assistive technology, and professional development were mentioned most often in these meetings. NTAC is working to provide Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) information to the States and to develop performance indicators specific to children and youth who are deaf-blind.

The National Early Childhood Technical Assistance System (NEC*TAS) has worked with OSEP to develop a briefing paper on the topic of natural environments for infants and toddlers and their families for States and communities. Also, NEC*TAS expanded its database of model early childhood projects on its web site to enable users to access information on effective practices. NEC*TAS has convened conference calls for State Part C and Section 619 coordinators on the changes made to IDEA in the reauthorization. TA and State program staff workgroups addressed two key areas in the preschool program: the funding formula and appropriate outcome measures

The Center for Special Education Finance (CSEF) addressed the fiscal provisions of IDEA by preparing and disseminating an article entitled "A Look at the Changes in the Finance Provisions for Grants to States Under the IDEA Amendments of 1997," featured in their Winter 1997-98 newsletter. CSEF is also working with OSEP to release an in-depth analysis of the changes in the finance provisions.

The Consortium on Inclusive Schooling Practices (CISP) works collaboratively to build the capacity of SEAs and LEAs to serve children and youth with and without disabilities in school and community settings. CISP recently published an Issue Brief reflecting the IDEA Amendments of 1997 entitled "Providing Accurate Placement Data on Students with Disabilities in General Education Settings."

Two projects have been funded to look at diverse populations, specifically to increase professional development from historically underrepresented groups and to encourage research on minority issues in special education. The Alliance Project works to enhance the capacities of Historically Black Colleges and Universities, tribally
controlled colleges and other institutions of higher education whose enrollments include at least 25 percent of students who are members of underrepresented racial/ethnic groups. The Center of Minority Research in Special Education (COMRISE) works to build the capacity of faculty at minority institutions of higher education to engage in research on minority issues in special education.

Among the significant stakeholders affected by the new law are parents of children with disabilities. The Parents Engaged in Education Reform (PEER) project has produced two publications for parents on the IDEA Amendments of 1997 addressing the issues of assessment and accountability--All Kids Count: Including Students with Disabilities in Statewide Assessment Programs (March 1998), and a two-volume set (in collaboration with the National Center on Educational Outcomes (NCEO)), Opening the Door to Education Reform, Volume I: Understanding Standards and Volume II: Understanding Educational Assessment and Accountability (1997).

The Technical Assistance for Parent Programs (TAPP) project helped the 72 PTIs to increase their capacity to serve nearly 2 million families of children with disabilities in the implementation of IDEA. TAPP completed two major monographs for parents of children with disabilities--Inherently Equal: An Inclusion Action Guide and Family Guide to Assistive Technology.

The Technical Assistance Alliance for Parent Centers (the Alliance) helps the PTIs and Community Parent Resource Centers develop their leadership capacity through a coordinated national system of peer-based technical assistance in order to assist parents regarding the IDEA Amendments of 1997 and their impact on improving results for children and youth with disabilities. The Alliance also provides training to parents on the new law.

The National Center on Educational Outcomes (NCEO) responded to the 1997 Amendments' new emphasis on assessment and accountability for students with disabilities by holding an assessment workgroup meeting (January 1998) to examine issues and develop practical application papers for the new IDEA large-scale assessment requirements. NCEO will also host two technical assistance meetings. The first is to build capacity within existing RRCs and Comprehensive Centers to respond to requests for assistance in the development of inclusive assessment and accountability systems. The second is for special and general education State assessment teams charged with planning, developing, and implementing the States' alternate assessments. NCEO established an alternate assessment database for State personnel to enter their State's alternate assessment system and to view what other States are doing. NCEO responds directly to requests by States for training on the assessment mandates of the IDEA Amendments of 1997 and develops products and networks to support those efforts.

The Networking System for Training Educational Personnel (NSTEP) assists Comprehensive System for Personnel Development (CSPD) coordinators and other SEA staff to improve leadership skills necessary for developing self-sustaining, statewide, collaborative partnerships within a personnel development system that meets the diverse needs of all children. The IDEA Amendments of 1997 reiterate this priority through the State Improvement Grant program, where a majority of the funds must be used for CSPD.

The National Center to Improve Practice (NCIP) recently produced the sixth in its series of NCIP Video Profiles, entitled Successful Science: Technology and Support for Students with Disabilities. This video depicts elementary school students with a range of disabilities successfully participating in a standards-based science curriculum and shows how technology, media, and materials--supported by good instruction--promote their achievement. Judith Heumann introduces the video and compellingly explains why every student needs to be a successful science learner.

The Center to Link Urban Schools with Information and Support on Technology and Special Education (LINK•US) will help the Boston Public Schools and New York Community School District 15 consider the impact of and respond to the IDEA amendments relating to assistive technology and how technology can support students with disabilities to succeed in standards-based curricula and instruction.

The National Transition Alliance for Youth with Disabilities (NTA) has disseminated information about the IDEA Amendments of 1997 in its quarterly newsletter, the ALLIANCE. A recently prepared LIAISON BULLETIN, disseminated by the National Association of State Directors of Special Education (NASDSE), an NTA partner, details the new IDEA requirements on transition. The NTA collaborates with the National Transition Network (NTN) to provide technical assistance to States on the new provisions of the IDEA Amendments of 1997.

NTN has disseminated to State transition system change projects a side-by-side comparison of the IDEA statute and proposed regulations as well as an overview of the State program improvement grants. NTN is in the process of surveying States regarding their projected policy changes with respect to age of majority and statement of transition service needs at age 14, two new requirements of the law. Updates of Parent Briefs, Policy Updates, and a transition guide for States will occur once the regulations are finalized.

The National Center to Improve the Tools of Educators (NCITE) helps to create a marketplace demand for research-based tools that contribute to increased achievement by students with disabilities. NCITE has worked collaboratively with many organizations to expand the role of research-based practices in contributing to students with disabilities making progress in the general education curriculum. This
collaborative group also works to ensure that the progress is being measured and reported to educators and parents reflecting the requirements of the IDEA Amendments of 1997.

The workscope of Project FORUM is heavily concentrated on topics related to the implementation of the IDEA Amendments of 1997. FORUM staff have recently planned and held a policy forum for OSEP to obtain input from the field on the content and design of the National Evaluation of the IDEA Amendments of 1997, the study mandated by Chapter 674 of the new law. A series of quick-turnaround reports are currently being developed and disseminated. These will provide brief, targeted information in areas such as developmental delay, paraprofessionals in special education, child find in private schools, home schooling, welfare reform, linking IEPs with the general education curriculum, and functional behavioral assessment in relation to the IDEA Amendments of 1997.

The Center for Effective Collaboration and Practice (CECP) has produced two sets of training materials for OSEP--one that focuses on the discipline provisions and positive behavior interventions, the other on the new IEP provisions. CECP has produced and disseminated a guide on positive behavior interventions and has conducted a study and drafted a report for OSEP and the Office of Safe and Drug Free Schools that focuses on how special education and regular education can collaborate to create positive schoolwide environments that work for all students, including those with disabilities.

In accordance with the IDEA Amendments of 1997--which strengthened the linkages among IDEA-funded research, technical assistance and dissemination, local education practices, and student outcomes--the Elementary and Middle Schools Technical Assistance Center (EMSTAC) seeks to meet the technical assistance needs of elementary and middle schools while developing a comprehensive national model of technical assistance. This approach to technical assistance will improve the transfer and use of research-based knowledge by LEAs and build the capacity of LEAs to effectively access and employ research-based knowledge.

With a particular focus on the challenges to schools in urban settings, the National Institute for Urban School Improvement (NIUSI) devotes resources to providing LEAs with tools for moving existing theory and research about inclusion into practical, systemic, educational reform initiatives. The Institute will continue to strengthen and inform national networks of those committed to improving educational results for children and youth with disabilities in urban schools in accordance with the IDEA Amendments of 1997.

The TA\&D Network has a wide variety of projects. Each is working to ensure that the IDEA Amendments of 1997 are implemented through clear, accurate, timely, and coordinated technical assistance and with dissemination of information that can be used to improve results for infants, toddlers, children and youth with disabilities. Contact information for the projects mentioned here can be obtained through the Federal Resource Center for Special Education by calling (202) 884-8215 or by visiting the FRC's website at www.dssc.org/frc. In addition, contact information for all the regional resource centers and the FRC can be found in table B-1.

Table B-1
Regional Resource Centers (RRC) and Federal Resource Center (FRC) Programs

|  | States Served |
| :---: | :---: |
| Region 1: H028A30002 |  |
| Edward Wilkens, Director <br> Northeast RRC (NERRC) <br> Trinity College of Vermont, McAuley Hall <br> 208 Colchester Avenue <br> Burlington, VT 05401-1496 <br> Telephone: (802) 658-5036 <br> FAX: (802) 658-7435 <br> TTY: (802) 860-1428 <br> E-Mail: NERRC@aol.com <br> WEB: http://www.interact.uoregon.edu/ wrrc/nerrc/index.htm | Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Rhode Island, Vermont |
| Region 2: H028A30008 |  |
| Kenneth Olsen, Director <br> Midsouth RRC (MSRRC) <br> Human Development Institute <br> University of Kentucky <br> 126 Mineral Industries Building <br> Lexington, KY 40506-0051 <br> Telephone: (606) 257-4921 <br> FAX: (606) 257-4353 <br> TTY: (606) 257-2903 <br> E-Mail: MSRRC@ihdi.ihdi.uky.edu <br> WEB: http://www.ihdi.uky.edu/projects/ MSRRC/index.html | Delaware, District of Columbia, Kentucky, Maryland, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, West Virginia |
| Region 3: H028A30005 |  |
| J ames Wright, Director <br> Southeast RRC (SERRC) <br> Auburn University <br> Montgomery School of Education <br> P.O. Box 244023 <br> Montgomery, AL 36124 <br> Telephone: (334) 244-3879 <br> FAX: (334) 244-3835 <br> No TTY Line <br> E-Mail: jwright@edla.aum.edu <br> WEB: http://www.fau.edu/divdept/sarrc/ | Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Texas, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands |

Table B-1 (cont'd)

|  | States Served |
| :---: | :---: |
| Larry Magliocca, Director Great Lakes Area RRC (GLARRC) <br> Center for Special Needs Populations The Ohio State University <br> 700 Ackerman Road, Suite 440 <br> Columbus, OH 43202 <br> Telephone: (614) 447-0844 <br> FAX: (614) 447-9043 <br> TTY: (614) 447-8776 <br> E-Mail: marshall.76@osu.edu <br> WEB: http://www.csnp.ohio-state.edu/ glarrc.htm <br> Region 5: H028A30009 <br> J ohn Copenhaver, Director <br> Mountain Plains RRC (MPRRC) <br> Utah State University <br> 1780 North Research Parkway <br> Suite 112 <br> Logan, UT 84341 <br> Telephone: (435) 752-0238 <br> FAX: (435) 753-9750 <br> TTY: (435) 753-9750 <br> E-Mail: cope@cc.usu.edu <br> WEB: http://www.usu.edu/~mprrc/ <br> MPRRC, Drake University <br> 2507 University <br> Des Moines, IA 50311 <br> Telephone: (515) 271-3936 <br> FAX: (515) 271-4185 <br> E-Mail: Gary_Dannenbring@drake.edu |  |
|  | Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Wisconsin |
|  |  |
|  | Colorado, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah, Wyoming, Bureau of Indian Affairs |

Table B-1 (cont’d)


## U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

 WASHINGTON, DC 20202-2641OFFICIAL BUSINESS
PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE \$300


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This module is, in part, based on an Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP)sponsored project from the National Information Center for Children and Youth with Disabilities (NICHCY) and the Federal Resource Center for Special Education (FRC). Information from a two-volume notebook of training materials titled The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act Amendments of 1997: Curriculum and Overheads was used to write this module.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ For in-depth discussions of the changes in law related to the inclusion of students with disabilities in the assessment process, please see in Section I the module titled "State Accountability Systems and Students with Disabilities," and in Section IV the modules titled "Standards-Based Reform and Students with Disabilities" and "Developing Alternate Assessments for Students with Disabilities."

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Section IV contains a module titled "Performance Indicators for Parts B, C, and D." This module gives a detailed description of OSEP's response to the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993 (GPRA).

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ For more on this topic, please see the modules titled "State Accountability Systems and Students with Disabilities" in Section I and "Standards-Based Reform and Students with Disabilities" and "Developing Alternate Assessments for Students with Disabilities" in Section IV of this report.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ This module reports, in part, work conducted by Virginia Roach, Ed.D., at the Center for Policy Research, one of several research centers funded by OSEP.

    2 More information related to standards-based reform can be found in two modules in the Results section: "Standards-Based Reform and Students with Disabilities" and "Developing Alternate Assessments for Students with Disabilities."

[^5]:    3 Please see the 19th Annual Report, pages III-9 through III-22, for an in-depth discussion on the educational reform activities related to the inclusion of students with disabilities in statewide assessments.

[^6]:    1 Throughout the rest of this report, the infants and toddlers program will be referred to as Part C.

[^7]:    1 The enrollment counts are fall membership counts collected by the National Center for Education Statistics. The enrollment figures include children in prekindergarten through 12th grade.

[^8]:    2 These disability categories were first reported separately as an option in 1991-92 and as a requirement in 1992-93 as a result of P.L. 101-476, the 1990 Amendments to IDEA.

[^9]:    ${ }^{3}$ OSERS. (1991). Clarification of policy to address the needs of children with attention deficit disorders with general and/or special education. Memorandum to Chief State School Officers. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, OSERS.

[^10]:    1 See the 19th Annual Report to Congress for modules on poverty and disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic minorities in special education.
    ${ }^{2}$ OSEP intends to include a module on limited English proficiency in a future report.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ One study (Levine \& Edgar, 1994) noted few significant differences in postschool results for men and women with disabilities, except for parenting.

[^12]:    1 This module reports on work conducted by the Center for Effective Collaboration and Practice, one of several research centers funded by OSEP.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ This module reports in part on work conducted by Erling Boe, Ph.D., at the Center for Research and Evaluation in Social Policy, University of Pennsylvania, and George Terhanian, at the Gordon S. Black Corporation.

    2 Demand thus defined is also referred to as the "total demand" for teachers to distinguish it from the "annual demand" for individuals to be hired as newly employed teachers each year to fill open positions. This distinction will be used later in this module.
    ${ }^{3}$ Teacher certification is the most basic qualification established for teachers. While there are other important dimensions of teacher quality (Kennedy, 1992), the most readily available national information on the quality of special education teachers is their certification status for the positions to which they are assigned. For these reasons, only the certification dimension of teacher quality is considered in this module.

[^14]:    ${ }^{4}$ In addition to the information about the demand and shortage of special education teachers identified, other detailed information about the supply of special education teachers should also be useful. Though beyond the scope of this module, national data about the supply of special education teachers can be found in Boe, Cook, Kaufman, \& Danielson, 1996, and Boe, Cook, et al.,1998.

    5 School year 1987-88 was chosen as the base year because it was the first year for which data were reported separately for teachers serving students with disabilities ages 3-5 years and ages 6-21 years. School year 1995-96 is the last year for which data are currently available.

[^15]:    ${ }^{6}$ The annual demand for "entering teacher hires" refers to open teaching positions that are not filled by fully certified, employed teachers who continue from 1 year to the next, even though many switch positions between school years. For example, thousands of general education teachers switch to special education each year to fill open positions. The remaining open positions in special education need to be filled by individuals entering the employed teaching force each year, thereby filling the annual demand for "entering teacher hires."

    7 The shortage percentage for general education teachers (7 percent) is based on data from the Schools and Staffing Surveys of the National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, for students in grades K-12 in public schools during the school years 1987-88, 1990-91, and 1993-94. Comparable data from these surveys indicate that the shortage of special education teachers averaged about 10.5 percent.

[^16]:    ${ }^{8}$ Differences in month of recording vacancies preclude exact comparisons between the number of vacant teaching positions in special education in 1993-94 from OSEP's DANS and the number of vacant teaching positions in all elementary and secondary education as indicated by the 1993-94 Schools and Staffing Survey of the National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education.

[^17]:    ${ }^{9}$ To obtain the number of FTE teaching positions in general education, the number of FTE teaching positions in special education (as obtained from OSEP's Data Analysis System) was subtracted from the number of FTE teaching positions in all teaching fields in grades K-12 as recorded by the Common Core of Data of the National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education (Snyder, Hoffman, \& Geddes, 1996).

[^18]:    ${ }^{10}$ The high annual demand for newly hired teachers in special education, in comparison with general education, is mainly due to (a) a larger number of teachers switching from special to general education than vice versa, (b) a higher percentage of vacant teaching positions than in general education, and, until school year 1994-95, (c) a somewhat higher rate of expansion of teaching positions.

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ Minnesota's IEIC includes directors, coordinators, supervisors of the Department of Education, Health, and Human Services, and other interagency collaborative members.

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ For a more detailed discussion on inclusion, the reader is encouraged to review the 19th and 18th Annual Reports to Congress. The 19th Report included a module titled "The Continuum of Placements: From Regular Classes to Residential Facilities." The 18th Report included a chapter titled "Progress in Achieving the Full Participation of Students with Disabilities in Their Schools and Communities: Federal Initiatives."

[^21]:    ${ }^{2}$ For this analysis, the percentages of students in regular class placements and separate facilities were based on resident population.

[^22]:    1 States may provide special education and related services to 2-year-old children with disabilities who will turn 3 during the school year. (§619(a)(2)).

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ For further discussion on these topics, see "Developing Alternate Assessments for Students with Disabilities" in the next section and "State Accountability and Students with Disabilities" in the Context/Environment section. For an in-depth discussion on how standards-based reforms have driven changes in curriculum and instruction at the school-level, the reader is encouraged to review the module titled "School Reform and Students with Disabilities: The Changing Context of Classrooms" in the 19th Report.

    2 This module reports in work conducted by the National Center on Educational Outcomes, one of several research centers funded by OSEP.

[^24]:    ${ }^{1}$ States report completion data for students ages 14-21. However, the 17-21 age range is used as the basis of analysis for this module because most students complete high school after age 16 .

[^25]:    ${ }^{2}$ Graduation rates for this analysis were calculated by dividing the number of diploma recipients with disabilities by the resident population of children and youth.

[^26]:    1 The National Longitudinal Transition Study identified several factors as strong predictors of postschool success in living independently, obtaining employment, and earning higher wages for youth with disabilities. These factors included high school completion, participation in regular education with appropriate supplementary aids and services, and access to secondary vocational education, including work experience.

[^27]:    2 OSEP uses a variety of methods to involve the families of students with disabilities in the monitoring process, including public meetings and smaller "outreach" meetings with members of groups representing students with disabilities and their families, as part of the pre-site visit to each State; one or more parent "focus group" meetings in at least one of the public agencies that OSEP visits in each State; and inviting a representative of each State's special education advisory panel to participate in meetings held to develop a corrective action plan.
    ${ }^{3}$ OSEP also made findings regarding requirements related to evaluation of students with disabilities and the development of IEPs. Both sets of requirements and OSEP's findings relate directly to the provision of a free appropriate public education. Evaluations serve as a critical source of information for making individualized determinations regarding the program and placement that each student needs, and Congress has mandated the development of an IEP as the mechanism for making such determinations.

[^28]:    Source: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Division of Monitoring and State Improvement Planning.

[^29]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Central Intelligence Agency, Government Accounting Office, Panama Canal Commission, U.S. Postal Service, and the Postal Rate Commission are excluded from GPRA.

[^30]:    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences. Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997. U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^31]:    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
    Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^32]:    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
    Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^33]:    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.

[^34]:    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
    Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^35]:    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
    Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^36]:    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
    The sum of the percentages of individual disabilities may not equal the percentage of all disabilities because of rounding.

    Percentage of children

    Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^37]:    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
    The sum of the percentages of individual disabilities may not equal the percentage of all disabilities because of rounding.

    Sercentage of children
    Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^38]:    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
    The sum of the percentages of individual disabilities may not equal the percentage of all disabilities because of rounding.

    Percentage of children served is based on U.S. Census Bureau Estimated Resident Population, by State, for July, 1996

    Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^39]:    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
    The sum of the percentages of individual disabilities may not equal the percentage of all disabilities because of rounding.

    Percentage of children served is based on U.S. Census Bureau Estimated Resident Population, by State, for July, 1996.

    Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^40]:    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
    The sum of the percentages of individual disabilities may not equal the percentage of all disabilities because of rounding.

    Percentage of children served is based on U.S. Census Bureau Estimated Resident Population, by State, for July, 1996.

    Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^41]:    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
    The sum of the percentages of individual disabilites may not equal the percentage of all disabilites because of rounding.

    Percentage of children served is based on 1996-1997 enrollment counts from NCES. These counts include individuals with and without disabilities, in pre-kindergarten through grade 12.

    Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^42]:    Data from the 1987-88 through 1993-94 for all age groups include children with disabilities served under Chapter 1 of ESEA (SOP). Beginning in 1994-95, all services to children and youth with disabilities were provided only through IDEA, Parts B and H. Infants and toddiers were first served under Part $H$ in 1987-88; however, the data collection was unreliable in the early years of the program. Consequently, counts of children served under Part $H$ are included in the totals presented only for 1991-92 forward.

    Reporting on autism and traumatic brain injury was required under IDEA beginning in 1992-93 and was optional in 1991-92.

    Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^43]:    Data from the 1987-88 through 1993-94 for all age groups include children with disabilities served under Chapter 1 of ESEA (SOP). Beginning in 1994-95, all services to children and youth with disabilities were provided only through IDEA, Parts B and H. Infants and toddlers were first served under Part H in 1987-88; however, the data collection was unreliable in the early years of the program. Consequently, counts of children served under Part $H$ are included in the totals presented only for 1991-92 forward.

    Reporting on autism and traumatic brain injury was required under IDEA beginning in $1992-93$ and was optional in 1991-92.

    Data based on the December 1, 1996 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^44]:    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
    The number of students served in correctional facilities and in private schools not placed or referred by public agencies are duplicate counts. These students are also reported as being served in one of the other eight educational environments.

    SEPAR=SEPARATE; FACIL=FACILITY; RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
    A crosswalk was used to report placement data for $3-5$ year olds in the OSEP placement categories. See the data notes for how preschool placements were recorded and for more detail on States that used these categories.

    Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^45]:    Beginning in 1987-88, data on youth with disabilities served in correctional facilities were collected as duplicated counts of data reported under one of the other environments. Prior to this time, a separate unduplicated count was collected for students served in correctional facilities. These students are excluded from the totals in the years prior to 1987-88.

    Beginning in 1989-90, States were instructed to report students in regular class, resource room, and separate class placements based on the percent of time they recieved services OUTSIDE the regular class ( < 21, 21-60, and $>60$, respectively) instead of the percent of time they received special education.

    Reporting on autism and traumatic brain injury was required under IDEA beginning in $1992-93$ and was optional in 1991-92.

    RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
    Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^46]:    Beginning in 1987-88, data on youth with disabilities served in correctional facilities were collected as duplicated counts of data reported under one of the other environments. Prior to this time, a separate unduplicated count was collected for students served in correctional facilities. These students are excluded from the totals in the years prior to 1987-88.

    Beginning in 1989-90, States were instructed to report students in regular class, resource room, and separate class placements based on the percent of time they recieved services OUTSIDE the regular class ( < 21, 21-60, and $>60$, respectively) instead of the percent of time they received special education.

    Reporting on autism and traumatic brain injury was required under IDEA beginning in $1992-93$ and was optional in 1991-92.

    RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
    Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^47]:    Beginning in 1987-88, data on youth with disabilities served in correctional facilities were collected as duplicated counts of data reported under one of the other environments. Prior to this time, a separate unduplicated count was collected for students served in correctional facilities. These students are excluded from the totals in the years prior to 1987-88.

    Beginning in 1989-90, States were instructed to report students in regular class, resource room, and separate class placements based on the percent of time they recieved services OUTSIDE the regular class (<21, 21-60, and $>60$, respectively) instead of the percent of time they received special education.

    Reporting on autism and traumatic brain injury was required under IDEA beginning in $1992-93$ and was optional in 1991-92.

    RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
    Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^48]:    Beginning in 1987-88, data on youth with disabilities served in correctional facilities were collected as duplicated counts of data reported under one of the other environments. Prior to this time, a separate unduplicated count was collected for students served in correctional facilities. These students are excluded from the totals in the years prior to 1987-88.

    Beginning in 1989-90, States were instructed to report students in regular class, resource room, and separate class placements based on the percent of time they recieved services OUTSIDE the regular class $(<21,21-60$, and $>60$, respectively) instead of the percent of time they received special education.

    Reporting on autism and traumatic brain injury was required under IDEA beginning in $1992-93$ and was optional in 1991-92.

    RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
    Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^49]:    Beginning in 1987-88, data on youth with disabilities served in correctional facilities were collected as duplicated counts of data reported under one of the other environments. prior to this time, a separate unduplicated count was collected for students served in correctional facilities. These students are excluded from the totals in the years prior to 1987-88.

    Beginning in 1989-90, States were instructed to report students in regular class, resource room, and separate class placements based on the percent of time they recieved services OUTSIDE the regular class $(<21,21-60$, and $>60$, respectively) instead of the percent of time they received special education.

    Reporting on autism and traumatic brain injury was required under IDEA beginning in $1992-93$ and was optional in 1991-92.

    RESID=RESIDENTIAL; HOSP=HOSPITAL; ENVIR=ENVIRONMENT
    Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^50]:    States were allowed to use their own classification scheme in identifying special education teachers. Thirty States and outlying areas used schemes other than the federal disability categories. For more information on the classification scheme used, see the data notes at the end of these tables.

    Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^51]:    States were allowed to use their own classification scheme in identifying special education teachers. Thirty States and outlying areas used schemes other than the federal disability categories. For more information on the classification scheme used, see the data notes at the end of these tables.

    Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^52]:    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.

[^53]:    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.

[^54]:    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.

[^55]:    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.

[^56]:    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.

[^57]:    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
    The total FTE for the U.S. and Outlying Areas and the 50 States, D.C., and Puerto Rico may not equal the sum of the individual states and outlying areas because of rounding.
    Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^58]:    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
    The definition for dropped out differs from the definition used by States prior to $1993-94$. In this context, "dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases described. This category includes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown and other exiters.

    Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS)

[^59]:    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
    The definition for dropped out differs from the definition used by States prior to $1993-94 . \quad$ In this context, "dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year, were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases described. This category includes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown and other exiters.

    Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS)

[^60]:    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
    The definition for dropped out differs from the definition used by States prior to $1993-94$. In this context, "dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year, were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases described. This category includes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown and other exiters.

    Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^61]:    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
    The definition for dropped out differs from the definition used by States prior to $1993-94$. In this context, "dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year, context, "dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases
    described. This category includes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown and described. Thi

    Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^62]:    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
    The definition for dropped out differs from the definition used by States prior to $1993-94$. In this The definition for dropped out differs from the definition used by States prior to $1993-94$. In this
    context, "dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year, context, "dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases
    described. This category includes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown and described. Thi
    other exiters.

    Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^63]:    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
    The definition for dropped out differs from the definition used by States prior to $1993-94$. In this The definition for dropped out differs from the definition used by States prior to $1993-94$. In this
    context, "dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year, context, "dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year
    were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases
    described. This category includes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown and described. Thi
    other exiters.

    Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^64]:    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
    The definition for dropped out differs from the definition used by States prior to $1993-94$. In this context, "dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year, were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases described. This category includes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown and other exiters.

    Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^65]:    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
    The definition for dropped out differs from the definition used by States prior to $1993-94$. In this The definition for dropped out differs from the definition used by States prior to $1993-94$. In this
    context, "dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year, context, "dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year
    were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases
    described. This category includes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown and described. Thi
    other exiters. other exiters.
    Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^66]:    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
    The definition for dropped out differs from the definition used by States prior to $1993-94$. In this context, "dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year, context, "dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year
    were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases
    described. This category includes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown and described. Thi
    other exiters.
    Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^67]:    The definition for dropped out differs from earlier definitions. In this context, "Dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year, were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases described. This category includes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown, and other exiters.

    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual state differences.
    Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^68]:    The definition for dropped out differs from earlier definitions. In this context, "Dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year, were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases described. This category includes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown, and other exiters.

    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual state differences.
    Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^69]:    The definition for dropped out differs from earlier definitions. In this context, "Dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year, were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases described. This category includes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown, and other exiters.

    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual state differences.
    Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^70]:    The definition for dropped out differs from earlier definitions. In this context, "Dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year, were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases described. This category includes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown, and other exiters.

    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual state differences.
    Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^71]:    The definition for dropped out differs from earlier definitions. In this context, "Dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year, were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases described. This category includes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown, and other exiters.

    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual state differences.
    Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^72]:    The definition for dropped out differs from earlier definitions. In this context, "Dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year, were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases described. This category includes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown, and other exiters.

    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual state differences.
    Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^73]:    The definition for dropped out differs from earlier definitions. In this context, "Dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year, were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases described. This category includes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown, and other exiters.

    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual state differences.
    Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^74]:    The definition for dropped out differs from earlier definitions. In this context, "Dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year, were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases described. This category includes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown, and other exiters.

    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual state differences.
    Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^75]:    The definition for dropped out differs from earlier definitions. In this context, "Dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year, were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases described. This category includes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown, and other exiters.

    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual state differences.
    Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^76]:    The definition for dropped out differs from earlier definitions. In this context, "Dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year, were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases described. This category includes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown, and other exiters.

    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual state differences.
    Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^77]:    The definition for dropped out differs from earlier definitions. In this context, "Dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year, were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases described. This category includes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown, and other exiters.

    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual state differences.
    Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^78]:    The definition for dropped out differs from earlier definitions. In this context, "Dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year, were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases described. This category includes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown, and other exiters.

    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual state differences.
    Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^79]:    The definition for dropped out differs from earlier definitions. In this context, "Dropped out" is defined as the total who were enrolled at some point in the reporting year, were not enrolled at the end of the reporting year, and did not exit through any of the other bases described. This category includes dropouts, runaways, GED recipients, expulsions, status unknown, and other exiters.

    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual state differences.
    Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^80]:    The data collection on exiting status was changed in 1992-93 from counting students exiting the school system to counting students who exited from special education. These three bases of exit had the same definitions across the data collections for the years shown.

    Exiting data on students ages 14 and 15 were first collected by individual age year in $1987-88$.
    For 1989-90, the total number of students with disabilities ages 14-22+ will not equal the sum for the individual age years because Texas did not apportion children by individual age.

    Data based on the 1995-96 school year, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS)

[^81]:    State grants awards are initial allocations for the 1996 appropriation. Data as of October 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

[^82]:    Please see data notes for an explanation of individual State differences.
    The total FTE for the U.S. and outlying areas and the 50 States, D.C., and Puerto Rico may not equal the sum of the personnel categories because some states could not provide personnel data by category.

    The total FTE for the U.S. and outlying areas and the 50 States, D.C., and Puerto Rico may not equal the sum of the individual States and outlying areas because of rounding.
    Data based on the December 1, 1995 count, updated as of September 1, 1997.
    U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Data Analysis System (DANS).

