Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues			OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
 Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator] 	The State revised the improvement activit SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for the data represent progress from the FFY 200 The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target The State reported that 88 of 88 findings of FFY 2005 related to this indicator were co	is indicato 5 data of 9 et of 100% of noncom	or are 99% 06.3%. pliance ide	. These entified in	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was corrected in a timely manner. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.[Results Indicator]				OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance. The State's actual target data for provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments are at or greater than 95%. There is no expectation that an increase in that percentage is necessary. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and expects that the State is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams are making service setting decisions on an individualized basis and in compliance with 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and 303.344(d)(1)(ii).	
3. Percent of infants and toddlers	The State's FFY 2006 reported progress data for this indicator are:				The State reported the required progress data and
with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);B. Acquisition and use of	06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	improvement activities. The State must provide progress data with the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, and baseline data and targets with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.
knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication);	a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	0%	0%	0%	

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues			OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
and C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. [Results Indicator; New]	b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	9%	0%	14%	
[Results indicator, New]	c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same- aged peers but did not reach it.	14%	32%	18%	
	d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	45%	54%	41%	
	e. % of infant & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	32%	14%	27%	
	The State revised the improvement activity SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.	ties for this	s indicator	[•] in its	
4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early	The State's reported data for this indicator are:				OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in
intervention services have helped the family:		FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2006	the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
A. Know their rights;		Data	Data	Target	
C C	A. Know their rights.	77%	76%	77%	
B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.	73%	73%	74%	
C. Help their children develop and learn.	C. Help their children develop and learn.	86%	84%	86%	
[Results Indicator]	These data represent slippage for 4A and 4C, and the data for 4B remained unchanged from the FFY 2005 data.				
	The State did not meet its FFY 2006 targets.				
	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.				
5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 2.45%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 2.42%.			OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.	

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; andB. National data.	The State met its FFY 2006 target of 1.96%.	
[Results Indicator]		
6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.
A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 4.4 data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 4.28%.		
B. National data.[Results Indicator]	The State met its FFY 2006 target of 3.32%.	
 7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline. [Compliance Indicator] 	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 97%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 93%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that six of six findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	The State reported that the remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342 was corrected. The State also reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the 45-day timeline requirements was corrected in a timely manner. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342, including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 97%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 95%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that six of six findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) was corrected in a timely manner. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;[Compliance Indicator]		that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.	
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).	
B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and			
[Compliance Indicator]			
 8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B. [Compliance Indicator] 	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 98%. However, OSEP recalculated the data to be 99.38%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 97%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that seven of seven findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)) was corrected in a timely manner. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.	
9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 92.85%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in timely correcting noncompliance identified under this indicator in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501, and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating	

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
one year from identification.		that it continues to be in compliance with these requirements.	
[Compliance Indicator]		In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, and 8C, the State must specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.	
 10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint. [Compliance Indicator] 	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data are based on six complaints. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely complaint resolution requirements in 34 CFR §§303.510 through 303.512.	
11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.[Compliance Indicator]	The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	
 12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator] 	Not applicable.	This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted the Part B due process procedures.	
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.[Results Indicator]	The State reported that no mediations were held during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with IDEA sections 616, 618, and	

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
accurate.		642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.
[Compliance Indicator]		