Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised its FFY 2005 baseline data and improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.  The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 98%. These data represent progress from the revised FFY 2005 data of 85.79%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.  The State reported that 14 of 14 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	As required by OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table, the State provided FFY 2006 data for this indicator that measured the timely initiation of early intervention (EI) services identified on initial IFSPs and any new additional EI services on subsequent IFSPs. The State also revised its FFY 2005 data to reflect the measurement for this indicator.  The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §\$303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was corrected in a timely manner.  OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §\$303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.  [Results Indicator]	The State revised its FFY 2007–2010 targets and improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.  The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 95.21%. The State's data reflect a high level of performance for this indicator.	The State's actual target data for provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments are at or greater than 95%. There is no expectation that an increase in that percentage is necessary. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and expects that the State is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams are making service setting decisions on an individualized basis and in compliance with

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues			OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
					34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and 303.344(d)(1)(ii).
3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:	The State's FFY 2006 reported progress dat	a for this i	ndicator a	re:	The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must provide progress data with the FFY 2007
A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships); B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including	06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	APR, due February 1, 2009, and baseline data and targets with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.
early language/ communication); and	a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	0%	1%	2%	
C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.  [Results Indicator; New]	b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	12%	14%	11%	
	c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	18%	25%	12%	
	d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	23%	47%	35%	
	e. % of infant & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	47%	13%	40%	
	The State provided improvement activities fremaining years of the SPP.	or this inc	licator cov	ering the	
<ul><li>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</li><li>A. Know their rights;</li><li>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and</li></ul>	The State revised its FFY 2005 baseline data, targets, and improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State revised the targets for FFY 2006-2010 to be less rigorous than the targets previously included in the State's SPP. The State indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the revised targets.  The State's reported data for this indicator are:			ets the State's data demonstrating improvement	

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues				OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
C. Help their children develop and					
learn.		FFY	FFY	FFY 2006	
[Results Indicator]		2005	2006	Revised	
		Data	Data	Target	
	A. Know their rights.	82.4%	83%	83%	
	B. Effectively communicate their	89.1%	90%	90%	
	children's needs.				
	C. Help their children develop and	90.4%	89%	91%	
	learn.				
	These data represent progress for Indicator from the revised FFY 2005 data. The Stat for Indicators 4A and 4B, but did not meet	e met its re	vised FFY	2006 targets	
5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are .95%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 1.03%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 1.14%.			OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance	
A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and				in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	
B. National data.					
[Results Indicator]					
6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:  A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and  B. National data.	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 2.61%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 2.88%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 2.82%.			OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	
[Results Indicator]					
7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for the represent progress from the FFY 2005 data its FFY 2006 target of 100%.				OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to report on the continuing noncompliance in County C with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
within Part C's 45-day timeline. [Compliance Indicator]	The State reported that seven of 17 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 were corrected in a timely manner. For the uncorrected noncompliance, the State reported that it is providing the counties with targeted technical assistance and requiring them to conduct monthly data monitoring throughout FFY 2007.	CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342. The State reported that compliance in this County has improved from FFY 2005 data of 71.82% to FFY 2006 data of 92.99% The State reported that its Part C Coordinato is providing additional technical assistance and monitoring oversight to County C to ensure compliance.
		The State reported that the noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the 45-day timeline requirements was partially corrected. The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR due in February 1, 2009, that the uncorrected noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 and the noncompliance for County C in FFY 2006 was corrected.
		The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1) and 303.342, including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 83.32%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 100%.  The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.  The State reported that two of two findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) was corrected in a timely manner.  The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1,

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
[Compliance Indicator]		2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §\$303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 80.71%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 83.45%.  The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.  The State reported there were no findings of noncompliance for this indicator in FFY 2005.	The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:  C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 82%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 66.2%.  The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.  The State reported that one of two findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator was corrected in a timely manner. For the remaining finding, the State reported that the program continues under a corrective action plan, which requires the program to conduct monthly data reporting and analysis.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)) was partially corrected. The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR due February 1, 2009, that the uncorrected noncompliance was corrected. The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 85.3%. OSEP could not determine whether there was progress or slippage because the State's FFY 2006 and FFY 2005 data are not comparable. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.  For the uncorrected noncompliance, the State reported that it has continued corrective action plans, which require the programs to conduct monthly data reporting and analysis on progress or slippage for individual indicators.	In reply to OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table, the State provided FFY 2006 data that measure timely correction of noncompliance relative to APR indicators as compared with its FFY 2005 data which were based on findings of noncompliance in non-priority areas.  The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the State has corrected the remaining noncompliance identified in Indicator 9 from FFY 2005.  The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009 demonstrating that the State timely corrected noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 (2006-2007) under this indicator in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501.  In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, and 8A, 8B, and 8C, the State must specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.
10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).  [Results Indicator]	Not applicable.	This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted the Part B due process procedures.
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.  [Results Indicator]	The State reported that no mediations were held during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State did not report a percentage for this indicator in its FFY 2006 APR, but reported that "all reports were submitted on or before due dates and that the data were accurate per required standards." OSEP's calculation of the State's FFY 2006 data for this indicator is 100%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	The State provided the information required by OSEP's January 24, 2007 verification letter regarding the State's February 2008 data submission under IDEA section 618.  OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.