Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<ol> <li>Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</li> <li>[Compliance Indicator]</li> </ol>	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 90%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 87%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that the one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 was not corrected in a timely manner. For this uncorrected FFY 2005 finding of noncompliance, the State reported that the LLA/EIS program completed an approved corrective action plan (CAP) and the State conducted an on-site technical assistance and data verification visit. The State also reported that, following the State's on-site visit, the LLA will develop a revised CAP that must address identified reasons for the untimely provision of services, and include monthly reporting and provisions for further revision if after 3 months, the LLA's data for this indicator do not reflect progress.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was not corrected. The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the uncorrected noncompliance was corrected. The State must also review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to demonstrate in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the State is in compliance with the requirements at 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
<ul><li>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.</li><li>[Results Indicator]</li></ul>	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 62%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 48%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 65%. The State reported that three of four findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner. For this uncorrected FFY 2005 finding of noncompliance, the State reported that this LLA/EIS program completed an approved CAP and the State conducted an on-site technical assistance and data verification visit. The State also reported that, following the State's on-site visit, the LLA will develop a revised CAP that must address identified reasons for noncompliance with Part C's natural environments requirements, and include monthly reporting and provisions for further revision if after 3 months, the LLA's data for this indicator do not reflect progress.	OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009. The State must report in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, on the correction of the remaining one FFY 2005 finding of noncompliance.
3. Percent of infants and toddlers	The State's FFY 2006 reported progress data for this indicator are:	The State reported the required progress

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues			OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
<ul> <li>with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</li> <li>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</li> <li>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and</li> <li>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</li> <li>[Results Indicator]</li> </ul>	06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	data and improvement activities. The State must provide progress data with the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, and baseline data and targets with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1,
	a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	0%	0%	0%	2010.
	b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	25%	25%	0%	
	c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	25%	0%	50%	
	d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	50%	75%	25%	
	e. % of infant & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	0%	0%	25%	
	The State provided improvement activities remaining years of the SPP.	for this inc	licator cov	vering the	
4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's reported data for this indicator are:			OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	
A. Know their rights;		FFY	FFY	FFY	
B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and		2005	2006	2006	
C. Help their children develop and		Data	Data	Target	
learn.	A. Know their rights.	92%	67%	93%	
[Results Indicator]	B. Effectively communicate their	92%	78%	93%	
	children's needs.	020/	020/	020/	
	C. Help their children develop and	92%	83%	93%	
	learn.				

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
	OSEP was unable to determine whether there was progress or slippage because the State changed survey instruments for the measurement of this indicator.		
	The State did not meet its FFY 2006 targets for 4A, 4B and 4C.		
5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.	OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in	
A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 0.53%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 0.51%.	performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	
B. National data. [Results Indicator]	The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 0.71%.		
6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 1.79%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 1.79%.	OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in	
A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and	The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 1.80%.	performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	
B. National data.			
[Results Indicator]			
7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline. [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.	The State reported that the one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY	
	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 82%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 85%.	2004 was corrected. The State reported that its one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the	
	The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	requirements in 34 CFR	
	The State reported that the one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator was not corrected in a timely manner. For this uncorrected FFY 2005 finding of noncompliance, the State reported that the LLA/EIS program completed an approved CAP and the State conducted an on-site technical assistance and data verification visit. The State also reported that, following the State's on-site visit, the LLA will develop a	§§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) was not corrected. The State must demonstrate in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the one uncorrected FFY 2005 finding of noncompliance was corrected.	
	revised CAP that must address identified reasons for noncompliance with the	The State must also review its	
FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table	45-day timeline, and include monthly reporting and provisions for further Washington	improvement activities and revise them, Page 3	

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
	revision if after 3 months, the LLA's data for this indicator do not reflect progress. The State reported that the one outstanding FFY 2004 finding of noncompliance related to this indicator was corrected during FFY 2006.	if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to demonstrate in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the State is in compliance with the requirements at 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.	
<ul> <li>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</li> <li>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</li> <li>[Compliance Indicator]</li> </ul>	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 67%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 76%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. Under Indicator 8A, the State reported that two of the two findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner. However, under Indicator 9, the State reported that only one of the two FFY 2005 findings under this indicator was timely corrected. OSEP is unable to determine whether there is an outstanding uncorrected FFY 2005 finding for this indicator. The State reported that the one outstanding FFY 2004 finding of noncompliance related to this indicator was corrected during FFY 2006.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) was corrected. OSEP could not determine whether noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) was corrected in a timely manner. The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that this noncompliance was corrected. The State must also review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to demonstrate in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the State is in compliance with the requirements at 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.	
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those changes.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY	

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<ul><li>transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</li><li>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and [Compliance Indicator]</li></ul>	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 97%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 95%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
<ul> <li>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</li> <li>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</li> <li>[Compliance Indicator]</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</li> <li>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 76%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 80%.</li> <li>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</li> <li>In its FFY 2006 APR under Indicator 8C, the State reported that one of three findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 were corrected in a timely manner.</li> <li>The State reported that the two outstanding FFY 2004 findings of noncompliance related to this indicator were corrected during FFY 2006.</li> </ul>	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)) was partially corrected. The State must demonstrate in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the uncorrected noncompliance was corrected. The State must also review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to demonstrate in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the State is in compliance with the requirements at 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 45.5% (or timely correction of five of 11 FFY 2005 findings). OSEP was unable to determine whether there was progress or slippage because the State's FFY 2005 data did not reflect the correct measurement	The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the State has corrected the remaining six findings of noncompliance identified in Indicator 9 from FFY 2005.

## **Monitoring Priorities and** Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues **OSEP** Analysis/Next Steps Indicators [Compliance Indicator] for this indicator. In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, 8B and 8C, the State must The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. specifically identify and address the For the six remaining uncorrected FFY 2005 findings, the State reported that noncompliance identified in this table these LLAs/EIS programs completed approved CAPs and the State under those indicators. conducted follow-up on-site technical assistance and data verification visits to each LLA. The State also reported that, following the State's on-site visit, each LLA is required to develop a revised CAP that must both address the underlying reasons for noncompliance, and include monthly reporting and provisions for further revision if after 3 months, the LLA's data do not reflect progress. As noted above, the State reported under Indicators 7 and 8 that LLAs/EIS programs had corrected during FFY 2006 the remaining outstanding findings identified by the State during FFY 2004. 10. Percent of signed written The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP looks forward to reviewing the OSEP accepts those revisions. complaints with reports issued that State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due were resolved within 60-day February 1, 2009. The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints timeline or a timeline extended for during the FFY 2006 reporting period. exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint. [Compliance Indicator] 11. Percent of fully adjudicated due The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP looks forward to reviewing the process hearing requests that were OSEP accepts those revisions. State's data in the FFY 2007 APR. due fully adjudicated within the February 1, 2009. The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests applicable timeline. during the FFY 2006 reporting period. [Compliance Indicator] 12. Percent of hearing requests that Not applicable. This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution the Part B due process procedures. session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator]

## Washington Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<ul><li>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.</li><li>[Results Indicator]</li></ul>	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State reported that no mediations were held during the FFY 2006 reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
<ul><li>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</li><li>[Compliance Indicator]</li></ul>	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to ensure compliance with Part C's data reporting requirements under IDEA sections 616 and 618 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.