Vermont Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
 Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator] 	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 92.34%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 86.4%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that two of two findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, data demonstrating correction of the remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1). The State reported that two of the three remaining findings were corrected, and for the uncorrected finding, it imposed sanctions and finally awarded the contract to a different EIS provider agency as of July 2006. The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely service provision requirements was corrected in a timely manner. The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.[Results Indicator]	The State revised the targets for this indicator in its SPP to account for IFSP team decisions that services would be more appropriate in other settings, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State reported stakeholder involvement in its FFY 2006 APR, which included the revised targets for this indicator. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 96.17%. However, the State's FFY 2006 data under IDEA section 618 for this	The State's actual target data for provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments are at or greater than 95%. There is no expectation that an increase in that percentage is necessary. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and expects that the State is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams are

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues				OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	indicator are 95.29%. The State's data reflect a high level of performance for this indicator.The State met its revised FFY 2006 target of 94%.			making service setting decisions on an individualized basis and in compliance with 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and 303.344(d)(1)(ii).	
3. Percent of infants and toddlers	The State's FFY 2006 reported progress dat	a for this	indicator a	re:	The State reported the required progress
with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);B. Acquisition and use of	06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	data and improvement activities. The State must provide progress data with the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, and baseline data and targets with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.
knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication);	a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	0%	0%	0%	
and C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. [Results Indicator; New]	b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	5%	0%	5%	
	c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	45%	55%	45%	
	d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	35%	25%	40%	
	e. % of infant & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	15%	20%	10%	
	The State provided improvement activities f remaining years of the SPP.	for this inc	licator cov	ering the	
4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:	The State revised the targets for 4C in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State reported stakeholder involvement in its FFY 2006 APR, which included the revised targets for this indicator. The State's reported data for this indicator are:			OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.	
A. Know their rights;B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and		FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2006	

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues			OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
C. Help their children develop and		Data	Data	Target	
learn.	A. Know their rights.	80%	83%	80%	
[Results Indicator]	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.	85%	85%	85%	
	C. Help their children develop and learn.	88%	87%	85%	
	These data represent progress for 4A, rem represent slippage for 4C from the FFY 24		nged for 4	B, and	
	The State met its FFY 2006 targets.				
5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.			OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.	
A. Other States with similar	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for the	is indicato	or are 1.299	%.	
eligibility definitions; and	These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 1.1%.				
B. National data.[Results Indicator]	The State met its FFY 2006 target of .91%).			
6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:	The State revised the improvement activit and OSEP accepts those revisions.	ies for this	indicator	in its SPP	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.
A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for the data represent progress from the FFY 200			%. These	
B. National data.	The State met its FFY 2006 target of 3.25%.				
[Results Indicator]					
7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an	dlers with IFSPs for whom an 2005 data were 79.8%. The State reported updated data from FFY 2007 of 93%.				OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1,
initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.	The State did not meet its FFY 2006 targe	t of 100%			2008, data demonstrating correction of the remaining noncompliance identified in FFY
[Compliance Indicator]	The State reported that one of six findings FFY 2005 related to this indicator was con				2004 with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1),
	For the uncorrected noncompliance, the S	tate report	ed that it h	as conducted	and 303.342. The State reported that two of

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	administrative reviews of the programs and follow-up visits and in the case where the finding was due to personnel shortages, it increased the budget to address the program's personnel needs.	the remaining three findings were corrected, and for the one uncorrected finding, it imposed sanctions and finally awarded the contract to a different EIS provider agency as of July 2006.
		The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the 45-day timeline requirements was partially corrected. The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the uncorrected noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 was corrected.
		The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342, including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
 8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: A. IFSPs with transition steps and convinces 	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 93%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 92%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that the one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator was corrected in a timely manner.	OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, data demonstrating correction of the remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h). The State reported that the one remaining finding was corrected.
services; [Compliance Indicator]		The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the IFSP transition content requirements was corrected in a timely manner.

Vermont Part C FFY 2	2006 SPP/APR	Response Table
----------------------	--------------	-----------------------

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
 8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and [Compliance Indicator] 	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 94%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 79.5%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that four of seven findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 were corrected in a timely manner. For the remaining findings of noncompliance, the State reported that it provided intensive technical assistance, including regional on-site visits with Part C staff, EIS providers, and LEAs.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) was partially corrected. The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the uncorrected noncompliance was corrected. The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
 8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B. 	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 91%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 83%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that two of five findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 were corrected in a timely manner. For the remaining findings of noncompliance, one of which included an uncorrected finding from FFY 2004, the State reported that it provided training and technical assistance, which included development of corrective action plans. The State reported that it is also providing intensive follow up with the regional providers and	OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, data demonstrating correction of the remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)). The State reported that the one remaining finding from FFY 2004 was not corrected,

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
[Compliance Indicator]	LEAs.	but that the regional provider had demonstrated improvement from 73.7% in FFY 2005 to 88% in FFY 2006. The State reported that it is conducting quarterly site visits to this regional provider.
		The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely transition conference requirements was partially corrected. The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due in February 2009, that the uncorrected noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 and the one remaining finding from FFY 2004 were corrected.
		The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
 9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification. [Compliance Indicator] 	The State revised its FFY 2005 data and improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 63%. These data represent slippage from the revised FFY 2005 data of 70%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. For the remaining findings of noncompliance, the State reported that it provided intensive targeted technical assistance, on-site program visits, administrative reviews of policy and procedures, a budget increase, and a change in one EIS provider agency.	OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, data demonstrating correction of any remaining noncompliance from FFY 2004. The State provided the required data as noted above in Indicators 1, 7, 8A and 8C. The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that it has corrected the remaining noncompliance identified in Indicator 9 from FFY 2005.

Vermont Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State timely corrected noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 (2006-2007) under this indicator in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501.
		In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, 8B, and 8C, the State must specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.
 10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint. [Compliance Indicator] 	The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
 11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline. [Compliance Indicator] 	The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
 12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator] 	The State reported that no resolutions sessions were held during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.

Vermont Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.[Results Indicator]	The State reported that no mediations were held during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.[Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.