

South Dakota Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																				
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1).</p>																				
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 98%. The State's data reflect a high level of performance for this indicator.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2005 data was 96.8%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 96.6%.</p>	<p>The State's actual target data for provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments are at or greater than 95%. There is no expectation that an increase in that percentage is necessary. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and expects that the State is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams are making service setting decisions on an individualized basis and in compliance with 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and 303.344(d)(1)(ii).</p>																				
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported progress data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 915 1419 1497"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 915 1062 1089">06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data</th> <th data-bbox="1062 915 1178 1089">Social Emotional</th> <th data-bbox="1178 915 1297 1089">Knowledge & Skills</th> <th data-bbox="1297 915 1419 1089">Appropriate Behavior</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1089 1062 1159">a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1062 1089 1178 1159">12.50%</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1178 1089 1297 1159">21.88%</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1297 1089 1419 1159">3.13%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1159 1062 1292">b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1062 1159 1178 1292">0%</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1178 1159 1297 1292">0%</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1297 1159 1419 1292">0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1292 1062 1393">c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1062 1292 1178 1393">2.08%</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1178 1292 1297 1393">11.46%</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1297 1292 1419 1393">2.08%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1393 1062 1497">d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1062 1393 1178 1497">5.21%</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1178 1393 1297 1497">16.67%</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1297 1393 1419 1497">1.04%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	12.50%	21.88%	3.13%	b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	0%	0%	0%	c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	2.08%	11.46%	2.08%	d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	5.21%	16.67%	1.04%	<p>The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must provide progress data with the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, and baseline data and targets with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior																			
a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	12.50%	21.88%	3.13%																			
b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	0%	0%	0%																			
c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	2.08%	11.46%	2.08%																			
d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	5.21%	16.67%	1.04%																			

South Dakota Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues				OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																
	e. % of infant & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	80.21%	50.00%	93.75%																	
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="550 500 1419 818"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>FFY 2005 Data</th> <th>FFY 2006 Data</th> <th>FFY 2006 Target</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. Know their rights.</td> <td>96%</td> <td>93.9%</td> <td>95.8%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.</td> <td>89%</td> <td>89.4%</td> <td>89.4%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. Help their children develop and learn.</td> <td>89%</td> <td>89.3%</td> <td>89.2%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>These data represent progress in 4B and 4C from the FFY 2005 data. These data represent slippage in 4A from the FFY 2005 data. The State met its FFY 2006 targets for 4B and 4C. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target for 4A.</p>					FFY 2005 Data	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2006 Target	A. Know their rights.	96%	93.9%	95.8%	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.	89%	89.4%	89.4%	C. Help their children develop and learn.	89%	89.3%	89.2%	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>
	FFY 2005 Data	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2006 Target																		
A. Know their rights.	96%	93.9%	95.8%																		
B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.	89%	89.4%	89.4%																		
C. Help their children develop and learn.	89%	89.3%	89.2%																		
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 1.21%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of .82%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of .93%.</p>				<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>																
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 2.97%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 2.91%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 2.86%.</p>				<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>																

South Dakota Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>B. National data. [Results Indicator]</p>		
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 97.3%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that the one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator was corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342 was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: A. IFSPs with transition steps and services; [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h).</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).</p>

South Dakota Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 96.5%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 94.6%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that the one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator was corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in timely correcting noncompliance identified under this indicator in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501.</p> <p>In responding to Indicator 8C in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State must specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under that indicator.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints during the reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests during the reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1,</p>

South Dakota Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline. [Compliance Indicator]		2009.
12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator]	The State reported that no resolutions sessions were held during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]	The State reported that no mediations were held during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100% for timeliness and 100% for accuracy. However, OSEP's calculation of the data for this indicator are 89.2%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the timely and accurate data requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.