The State has not reported to the public on the FFY 2005 performance of each early intervention services (EIS) program located in the State on the targets in the SPP, as required by IDEA section 616(b)(2)(C)(ii)(l) and 642.

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 92%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 95.7%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State did not respond to the request in Indicator 9 of OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table that the State provide updated correction information for the findings the State identified in its March 30, 2007 submission under its Compliance Agreement with the Department, which included uncorrected findings made in FFY 2004 and 2005 on the timely service provision requirements of this indicator.	OSEP cannot determine whether noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 and FFY 2005 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was corrected. The State must report, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that this noncompliance was corrected in a timely manner, or if not corrected in a timely manner, when the noncompliance was corrected. The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children. [Results Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 88%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 86%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 96%.	OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:	The State's FFY 2006 reported progress data for this indicator are:	The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must provide progress data with the

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP	Revision	Issues		OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships); B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication);	06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, and baseline data and targets with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.
and C. Use of appropriate behaviors to	a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	0%	0%	0%	
meet their needs. [Results Indicator]	b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	18%	9%	27%	
	c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	27%	27%	27%	
	d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	46%	55%	46%	
	e. % of infant & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	9%	9%	9%	
	The State provided improvement activities fremaining years of the SPP.	or this inc	dicator cov	vering the	
4. Percent of families participating	The State's reported data for this indicator a	ire:			OSEP looks forward to the State's data
in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family: A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and		FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2006	demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
	A. Know their rights.	Data 74%	Data 76%	Target 79%	The State did not submit the actual
	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.	70%	69%	75%	numbers used in its calculation for this indicator and the State must provide the required information in the FFY 2007
learn. [Results Indicator]	C. Help their children develop and learn.	86%	85%	91%	APR, due February 1, 2009.

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	The State did not meet its FFY 2006 targets for 4A, 4B and 4C.	
	The State did not provide the actual numbers used in its calculations for 4A, 4B and 4C.	
5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are .82%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of .66%. The State did not meet	OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in
A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and	its FFY 2006 target of .90%.	performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
B. National data.		
[Results Indicator]		
6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 1.98%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 1.87%. The State did not meet	OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and	its FFY 2006 target of 2.00%.	
B. National data.		
[Results Indicator]		
7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 77%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 97.9%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2006 APR,
	The State did not account for untimely initial IFSP meetings (including any required initial evaluations and assessments).	due February 1, 2008, the data and information demonstrating correction of outstanding findings in Regions 2 and 6
	The State did not provide, as required by OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 APR response table, the data and information demonstrating correction of outstanding findings in Regions 2 and 6 regarding the comprehensive evaluation requirements in 34 CFR §303.322(a)(1) and (c)(3)(ii).	regarding the comprehensive evaluation requirements in 34 CFR §303.322(a)(1) and (c)(3)(ii). The State provided no correction data regarding these regions or any other regions. The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that any uncorrected noncompliance from FFY 2005 was corrected.

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §\$303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342, including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: A. IFSPs with transition steps and services; [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 88%. OSEP was unable to determine whether there was progress or slippage because the State did not report valid and reliable data for FFY 2005. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP could not determine whether noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) was corrected in a timely manner. The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that any FFY 2005 noncompliance was corrected in a timely manner, or if not corrected in a timely manner, when the noncompliance was corrected.
		The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 100%. The State met its FFY	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:	2006 target of 100%.	requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).
B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and		
[Compliance Indicator]		
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 90%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 93%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP could not determine whether noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)) was corrected in a timely manner. The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that this noncompliance was corrected in a timely manner, or if not corrected in a timely manner, when the noncompliance was corrected. The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due
		February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as	There are no FFY 2006 data for this indicator. The State reported that it made no findings of noncompliance in FFY 2005. However, OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table	The State did not submit timely correction data and the State must provide the required data in the FFY 2007 APR, due

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
possible but in no case later than one year from identification. [Compliance Indicator]	required the State to provide updated correction information for the findings the State identified in its March 30, 2007 submission under its Compliance Agreement with the Department. The March 2007 submission provided a chronological list of programs monitored, a list of findings (including findings made in FFY 2004, FFY 2005 and FFY 2006), and some correction information and explanation of uncorrected findings. This submission also indicated that some findings were outstanding, including: (1) the 45-day timeline requirements in Indicator 7 (from findings made as early as August 2004); and (2) other findings such as the ones referenced in Indicator 7 above regarding comprehensive evaluations.	February 1, 2009. In responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, and 8C in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State must specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.
	In addition, the State reported complaints in FFY 2005 and reported other data in FFY 2005 that might indicate bases for FFY 2005 findings of noncompliance.	
	OSEP is unable to determine whether the FFYs 2004 and 2005 findings that the State reported in its State's March 2007 submission were corrected.	
	Given the State's lack of any timely correction data in the State's FFYs 2004 SPP, and the FFY 2005 and 2006 APRs, OSEP cannot determine whether and how the State is monitoring to identify and correct noncompliance with Part C requirements and cannot evaluate the State's performance in ensuring timely correction.	
10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 83%. These data are based on six complaints. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 67%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the timely complaint resolution requirements in 34 CFR §§303.510 through 303.512.
11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.	The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests during the FFY 2006 reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
[Compliance Indicator]		

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).	Not applicable.	This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted the Part B due process procedures.	
[Results Indicator]			
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]	The State reported that no mediations were held during the FFY 2006 reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 97%. However, OSEP's calculation of the data for this indicator is 89.9%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the timely and accurate data requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.	