While the State has publicly reported on the performance of each local program located in the State on the targets required by IDEA section 616(b)(2)(C)(ii)(I), those reports do not contain the required information. Specifically, data reported under Indicator 2 is inconsistent with SPP/APR data and the data reported under Indicators 5 and 6 present the percent of the total number of infants and toddlers served in the State that each EIS program serves.

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues				OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.				The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the remaining finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 related to the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was corrected.
intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 81.98%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 64.81%.  The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.				
[Compliance Indicator]					
	The State did not account for untimely receipt of services.				
	The State reported that six of seven findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 were corrected. For the remaining finding, the State indicated that it is working with the program to develop a trigger for meeting the timeliness standard and is requiring supervisors to conduct quarterly record reviews and other activities.  The State reported that it did not make any findings related to Indicator 1 in FFY 2005.				The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.			OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance	
early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 88.94%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 91.41%.			in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	
[Results Indicator]	The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 95%.				
<ul> <li>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</li> <li>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</li> <li>B. Acquisition and use of</li> </ul>	The State's FFY 2006 reported progress data for this indicator are:				The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must
	06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	provide progress data with the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, and baseline data and targets with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.
knowledge and skills (including	a. % of infant & toddlers who did not	3%	5%	5%	

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues			OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
early language/	improve functioning.				
communication); and C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.  [Results Indicator; New]	b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same age peers.	21%	18%	28%	
[Results indicator, New]	c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	21%	22%	19%	
	d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same aged peers.	23%	25%	28%	
	e. % of infant & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	32%	30%	20%	
	The State provided improvement activities remaining years of the SPP.	for this ind	licator cov	ering the	
4. Percent of families participating	The State's reported data for this indicator are:			OSEP looks forward to the State's data	
in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:		FFY 2005 Data	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2006 Target	demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
<ul><li>A. Know their rights;</li><li>B. Effectively communicate their</li></ul>	A. Know their rights.	82.9%	87.89%	85.5%	
children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.	88.5%	91.4%	91.5%	
learn. [Results Indicator]	C. Help their children develop and learn.	89.2%	93.9%	92.5%	
	These data represent progress from the FFY. The State met its FFY 2006 targets for 4A a target for 4B.			eet its	
<ul><li>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</li><li>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</li></ul>	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 2.04%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 1.86%.  The State met its FFY 2006 target of 1.75%.			OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.	

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
B. National data. [Results Indicator]			
<ul><li>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</li><li>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</li><li>B. National data.</li><li>[Results Indicator]</li></ul>	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 4.39%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 4.09%.  The State met its FFY 2006 target of 3.60%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.	
7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 79.73%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 71.70%.  The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.  The State reported that three of six findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 were corrected in a timely manner. The State also reported that six of seven findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 were corrected. For the remaining findings, the State reported on the follow up activities being taken by each provider.	The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 and FFY 2005 with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342 was corrected.  The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342, including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.	
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.  The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 99%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 79%.  The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.  The State reported that two of two findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 were corrected in a timely manner and that the remaining FFY	OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, the actual numbers used in the calculation of the percentage for the indicator. The State provided this data.  OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data	

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
[Compliance Indicator]	2004 finding was also corrected.	demonstrating that it is in compliance with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.  The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 96%.  The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.  The State reported that two of two findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 and the two FFY 2004 findings were corrected in a timely manner.	OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, the actual numbers used in the calculation of the percentage for the indicator. The State provided this data.  OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the LEA notification requirement in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B. [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.  The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 91%.  The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.  The State reported that the one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 was corrected in a timely manner and the remaining FFY 2004 finding was also corrected.	OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, the actual numbers used in the calculation of the percentage for the indicator. The State provided this data.  OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)).
9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 72.7%. These data represent progress from the State's revised FFY 2005 data of 52.4%. The State reported that 8 of 11 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 were corrected in a timely manner.  The State revised its FFY 2006 APR to indicate that "it is understood by	As required by OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table, the State clarified its correction data for findings identified in FFY 2004 and FFY 2005. The State submitted data indicating there were 21 findings of noncompliance in FFY 2004, 11

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
one year from identification. [Compliance Indicator]	the state and by local providers that the requirement for compliance indicators is 100% for 100% of the children and this is our target." However, it is unclear whether the State is ensuring the correction of noncompliance, notwithstanding the extent of the noncompliance or the amount of improvement. While the State may take into account the extent of the noncompliance in determining what corrective action is needed, the State must ensure the correction of all noncompliance.	were corrected in a timely manner, and 8 were subsequently corrected. The State also reported that 8 of 11 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 were corrected in a timely manner. The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 and FFY 2005 was corrected	
		In addition, the State must clarify, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the State ensures the correction of noncompliance, notwithstanding the extent of the noncompliance or the amount of improvement.	
		The State must also review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State timely corrected noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 (2006-2007) under this indicator in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501.	
		Further, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, and 8A, the State must specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.	
10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.	The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	
[Compliance Indicator]			

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	
12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).  [Results Indicator]	Not Applicable.	This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted the Part B due process procedures.	
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.  [Results Indicator]	The State reported that no mediations were held during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely and accurate data requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.	