Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues			OSEP Analysis/Next Steps			
1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 99%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that the one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY				The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was corrected in a timely manner.		
	2005 was corrected in a timely manner.				OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §\$303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1).		
2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 85%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 81%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 67%.			OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.			
developing children. [Results Indicator]							
3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved: A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships); B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. [Results Indicator; New]	The State's FFY 2006 reported progress data for this indicator are:				The State reported the required progress data		
	06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	and improvement activities. The State must provide progress data with the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, and baseline data and targets with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.		
	a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	9.8%	4.5%	6.8%			
	b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	44.3%	29.3%	36.1%			
	c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	12.8%	29.3%	15.8%			
	d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	9.8%	15.8%	12.0%			
	e. % of infant & toddlers who	23.3%	21.1%	29.3%			

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues				OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.				
	The State provided improvement activities for this indicator covering the remaining years of the SPP.				
4. Percent of families participating	The State's reported data for this indicator are:				OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to
in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family: A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn. [Results Indicator]	A. Know their rights. B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. C. Help their children develop and learn. These data represent progress from the Fl. The State met its FFY 2006 targets.	FFY 2005 Data 65% 58% 71%	FFY 2006 Data 73% 71% 81%	FFY 2006 Target 65% 58% 71%	improve performance.
5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to: A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data. [Results Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data this indicator are 0.67%. The FFY 2005 data were 0.74%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 0.60%.			OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.	
6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to: A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data. [Results Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 1.80%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 1.78%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 1.67%.			OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.	
7. Percent of eligible infants and	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for the	nis indicato	r are 99.3%	6. The FFY	The State reported that noncompliance

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps		
toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline. [Compliance Indicator]	2005 data were 99.4%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that eight of eight findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 were corrected in a timely manner.	identified in FFY 2005 with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§ 303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342 was corrected in a timely manner. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§ 303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342, including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.		
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: A. IFSPs with transition steps and services; [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 94%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that four of four findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 were corrected in a timely manner.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) was corrected in a timely manner. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h).		
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).		

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 87%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that seven of eight findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 were corrected in a timely manner and the State reported follow-up correction was conducted in FFY 2006 on the remaining finding.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)) was corrected. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)).
9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 98.63%. The FFY 2005 data were 99%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that 144 of 146 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 were corrected in a timely manner and the remaining two findings were corrected by December 2007.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that the State timely corrected noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 (2006-2007) under this indicator in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501. In addition, in responding to Indicator 7, the State must specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under that indicator.
10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint. [Compliance Indicator]	The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the	The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearings requests during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
applicable timeline.		
[Compliance Indicator]		
12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).	The State reported that no resolution sessions were held during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
[Results Indicator]		
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]	The State reported that no mediations were held during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the language of the targets and the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the Part C's data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.