Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State submitted in its FFY 2006 APR its FFY 2006 baseline data and revised improvement activities for this indicator to include in addition to its SPP activities those activities the State is required to implement under the Compliance Agreement, and OSEP accepts these data and revised SPP improvement activities.	The State must revise its SPP to reflect its FFY 2006 baseline data and revised improvement activities, which now include the State's activities required under its Compliance Agreement.
	The State id not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.  The timely service provision requirements of this indicator are the subject of the Department's three-year November 8, 2006 Compliance Agreement with the Ohio Department of Health (ODH). The Compliance Agreement requires ODH to ensure compliance with specific Part C requirements, including the requirements under Indicators 1, 7, 8A, 8C and 9, by November 2009. Under the Compliance Agreement, ODH submitted progress reports most recently on October 30, 2007, December 31, 2007, and March 21, 2008. OSEP will respond separately to these progress reports. However, data from these progress reports are referenced under the appropriate relevant indicators in this FFY 2006 APR response chart.  The State provided updated data in the State's December 31, 2007 progress report indicating that the State conducted its pilot monitoring of four counties and found two counties in noncompliance with the requirements of this indicator. The State also reported in its December 31, 2007 progress report that it conducted a data review of all 88 counties and found an additional 61 counties in noncompliance with the requirements of this indicator. The State indicated it issued findings to all 63 counties in November 2007 and that correction is due no later than November 2008.	In response to OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2007 APR response table and as required under the Compliance Agreement, the State reported in its December 31, 2007 progress report, monitoring data from its pilot monitoring of four counties.  The State must submit, in the State's December 31, 2008 progress report and with the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, correction data for the 63 counties that the State identified as being in noncompliance in November 2007 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §\$303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1).
2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.  [Results Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.  The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 86%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 84%.  The State met its FFY 2006 target of 78%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP	Revision	Issues		OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<ul><li>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</li><li>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</li><li>B. Acquisition and use of</li></ul>				The State reported the required progress data	
		Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	and improvement activities. The State must provide progress data with the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009; and baseline data, and targets with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.
knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication);	a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	2%	2%	2%	
and C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.  [Results Indicator]	b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	22%	28%	24%	
	c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	14%	17%	17%	
	d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	17%	17%	23%	
	e. % of infant & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	45%	36%	35%	
	The State provided improvement activities fremaining years of the SPP.	or this ind	licator cov	ering the	

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues			OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
4. Percent of families participating	The State's reported data for this indicator				OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to
in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:		FFY 2005 Data	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2006 Target	improve performance.
A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their	A. Know their rights.	90.5%	95%	91%	
children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.	91.4%	95%	91%	
learn. [Results Indicator]	C. Help their children develop and learn.	90.5%	93%	91%	
	These data represent progress from the FF The State met its FFY 2006 targets for 4A		•	B and 4C.	
5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for the represent progress from the FFY 2005 data		are 1.43%	. These data	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.
A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and	The State met its FFY 2006 target of 1.1%				
B. National data.					
[Results Indicator]					
6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for the represent progress from the FFY 2005 data		are 2.64 %	6. These data	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.
A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and	The State met its FFY 2006 target of 2.4 %	Ď.			
B. National data.					
[Results Indicator]					

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.	The State submitted in its FFY 2006 APR its FFY 2006 baseline data and revised improvement activities for this indicator to include in addition to its SPP activities those activities the State is required to implement under the Compliance Agreement, and OSEP accepts these data and revised SPP improvement activities.	The State must revise its SPP to reflect its FFY 2006 baseline data and revised improvement activities, which now include the State's activities required under its Compliance Agreement.
[Compliance Indicator]	The State 's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 74%.  The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.  The 45-day timeline requirements of this indicator are the subject of the Department's Compliance Agreement with ODH, which requires the State to demonstrate compliance by November 2009. OSEP will respond separately to the State's progress reports under the Compliance Agreement, but includes in this indicator relevant updated data from the progress reports.  The State provided updated data in the State's December 31, 2007 progress report indicating that the State conducted its pilot monitoring of four counties and found one county in noncompliance with the requirements of this indicator. The State also reported in its December 31, 2007 progress report that it conducted a data review of all 88 counties and found an additional 63 counties in noncompliance with the requirements of this indicator. The State indicated it issued findings to all 64 counties in November 2007 and that correction is due no later than November 2008.	In response to OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2007 APR response table and as required under the Compliance Agreement, the State reported in its December 31, 2007 progress report, monitoring data from its pilot monitoring of four counties.  The State must submit, in the State's December 31, 2008 progress report and with the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, correction data for the 63 counties that the State identified as being in noncompliance in November 2007 with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a).

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:  A. IFSPs with transition steps and services; [Compliance Indicator]	The State submitted in its FFY 2006 APR its FFY 2006 baseline data for this indicator and revised improvement activities to expressly include activities that are required under the Compliance Agreement and OSEP accepts these baseline data and revised SPP activities.  The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 94%.  The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.  The IFSP transition content requirements of this indicator are the subject of the Department's November 2006 Compliance Agreement with ODH. OSEP will respond separately to the State's progress reports under the Compliance Agreement, but includes in this indicator relevant updated data from the progress reports.  The State provided updated data in the State's December 31, 2007 progress report indicating that the State conducted its pilot monitoring of four counties and found one county in noncompliance with the IFSP transition content requirements of this indicator.	The State must revise its SPP to reflect its FFY 2006 baseline data and revised improvement activities.  In response to OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2007 APR response table and as required under the Compliance Agreement, the State reported in its December 31, 2007 progress report, monitoring data from its pilot monitoring of four counties.  The State must submit, in the State's December 31, 2008 progress report and with the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, correction data for the one county that the State identified as being in noncompliance in November 2007 with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h).

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:  B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 97%. These data represents slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 100%.  The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the February 1, 2008 APR clarification whether it has adopted an opt-out policy and exclude from its calculations (in both the numerator and denominator) for this Indicator 8B, but provide a numerical count of those children whose families elected to opt out.  The State reported that it has adopted an opt-out policy under IDEA section 637(a)(9), 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) and OSEP's 2004 Letter to Elder and reference to this policy is included in the ODH's interagency agreement with ODE. OSEP will review and respond separately to the interagency agreement. The State must submit its opt-out policy as part of Section II of the State's FFY 2008 Part C Application.  OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B. [Compliance Indicator]	The State submitted in its FFY 2006 APR revised improvement activities to expressly include activities that are required under the Compliance Agreement and OSEP accepts these revised SPP activities.  The timely transition conference requirements of this indicator are the subject of the Department's November 8, 2006 Compliance Agreement with ODH. Under the Compliance Agreement, ODH is not required to provide data on the requirements of this indicator until June 30, 2008.  The State provided updated preliminary data in its March 21, 2008 progress report under the Compliance Agreement, indicating statewide compliance for this Indicator at 94%, based on its preliminary data collection from all 88 counties.	The State must revise its SPP to reflect its revised improvement activities, which now include the State's activities required under its Compliance Agreement.  Under the Compliance Agreement Work plan, the State is required to submit in its June 30, 2008 progress report revised statewide compliance percentage and a list of counties for which ODH has issued findings and corrective action plans.  The State must submit data required in its progress reports under the Compliance Agreement, and with the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, compliance data and updated correction data for any counties that the State identified as being in noncompliance with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)).

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State submitted in its FFY 2006 APR revised improvement activities and expressly included activities that are required under the Compliance Agreement and OSEP accepts these revised SPP activities.  The requirements of this Indicator as well as Indicators 1, 7, 8A and 8C are the subject of the Department's November 2006 Compliance Agreement with ODH. Under this Compliance Agreement, ODH was required to conduct its initial monitoring of four pilot counties and issue reports by November 2007.  The State's December 31, 2007 progress report confirmed that ODH conducted its initial monitoring of four pilot counties. It also indicated that, based on this pilot monitoring, ODH identified findings of noncompliance in two counties related to Indicator 1 (timely service provision), in one county related to Indicator 8A (IFSP transition content), and in one county related to Indicator 7 (45-day timeline). ODH reported that it notified each of these counties of the findings of noncompliance in writing in November 2007 and that correction is due no later than November 2008.  The State's December 31, 2007 progress report further indicated that the ODH made additional findings of noncompliance based on its review of all 88 counties through ODH's data validation/verification system related to Indicator 1 (the timely provision of services) and Indicator 7 (45-day timeline). ODH notified these counties in writing in November 2007 and that correction is due no later than November, 2008.	The State must revise its SPP to reflect its revised improvement activities, which include the State's activities required under its Compliance Agreement.  In response to OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2007 APR response table and as required under the Compliance Agreement, the State reported in its December 31, 2007 progress report, monitoring data from its pilot monitoring of four counties.  The State must submit the data and information required in its progress reports under the Compliance Agreement, and with the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, updated correction data for all counties that the State identified as being in noncompliance in November 2007 as well as the status of correction for any other findings made through December 31, 2008.
10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data are based on three complaints. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 100%.  The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely complaint resolution requirements in 34 CFR §§303.510 through 303.512.
11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests during the FFY 2006 reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).  [Results Indicator]	Not applicable.	This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted the Part B due process procedures.
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.  [Results Indicator]	The Sate reported that three out of three mediations held resulted in mediation agreements. The State reported fewer than 10 mediation requests in FFY 2006. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which 10 or more mediations are conducted.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%.  The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the Part C's data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.