Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. Nevada has only five EIS programs in the State. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 59.2% based on data from three of its EIS programs. OSEP was unable to determine progress or slippage because data for one of the three EIS programs were included in the FFY 2006 APR but not included in the FFY 2005 APR. The State did not meet its target of 100%. The State did not account for untimely services for two of the three EIS programs for which it provided FFY 2006 data but reported that it had put in place mechanisms to collect that information for future submissions. The State reported that one EIS program, NEIS-Northwest, had not corrected the finding of noncompliance related to this indicator identified in FFYs 2004 and 2005 in a timely manner. For this uncorrected finding of noncompliance, the State reported that, in December 2006, it conducted a focused review on this EIS program to determine the underlying causes of the noncompliance (which included an approximately 30% increase in referrals and personnel shortages) and has required a follow-up corrective action plan.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFYs 2004 and 2005 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was not corrected. The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the uncorrected noncompliance was corrected. The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the timely service provision requirements, including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children. [Results Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 99.61%. The State's data reflect a high level of performance for this indicator. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 96%.	The State's actual target data for provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments are at or greater than 95%. There is no expectation that an increase in that percentage is necessary. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and expects that the State is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams are making service setting decisions on an individualized basis and in compliance with 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and 303.344(d)(1)(ii).

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues			OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate	The State's FFY 2006 reported progress dat			The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must	
improved: A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships); B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. [Results Indicator; New]	06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	provide progress data with the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009; and baseline data, targets, and improvement activities with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.
	a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	0%	0%	0%	
	b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	29%	43%	14%	
	c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	29%	29%	29%	
	d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	43%	29%	43%	
	e. % of infant & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	0%	0%	14%	
	The State provided improvement activities to remaining years of the SPP (through FFY 2)		licator cov	ering the	
4. Percent of families participating	The State's reported data for this indicator a	re:			OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to
in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:		FFY 2005 Data	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2006 Target	improve performance.
A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their	A. Know their rights.	91%	94.29%	91%	
children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.	90%	89.92%	90%	
learn. [Results Indicator; New]	C. Help their children develop and learn.	87%	91.32%	87%	
EFY 2006 CDD/ADD Degrange Tolde	N				Page 2 of 6

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
	These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data for 4A, 4B and 4C.		
	The State met its FFY 2006 targets for 4A, 4B and 4C.		
5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.	
A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 0.67%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 0.47%.		
B. National data.	The State met its FFY 2006 target of 0.6%.		
[Results Indicator]			
6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 1.36%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 1.36%.	OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance	
A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and	The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 1.56%.	in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	
B. National data.			
[Results Indicator]			
7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline. [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the 45-day	
	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 92.5%, based on data from all five EIS programs. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 67.1%. The State also provided updated data of 96.3% for	timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342 was corrected in a timely manner. The State must review its improvement	
	the fourth quarter of FFY 2006.		
	The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide	
	The State reported that three of three findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the 45-day timeline, including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.	
	The Special Conditions on the State's FFY 2007 Part C grant award required the State to provide data demonstrating correction of noncompliance with the requirements of this indicator in three EIS programs: NEIS-Northwest, NEIS-Northeast and ESSN. In the State's FFY 2006 APR, the State reported correction by NEIS-Northwest and NEIS-Northeast. OSEP looks forward to receiving and reviewing data regarding correction by ESSN in the		

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues State's June 1, 2008 progress report under its FFY 2007 Special Conditions.	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: A. IFSPs with transition steps and services; [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 89.7%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 85.71%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that one of two findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 was corrected in a timely manner and that the remaining FFY 2005 finding was corrected by August 2007.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) was corrected. The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 73.4%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 100%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 91.6%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 71.4%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that all findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)) was corrected in a timely manner. The State must review its improvement

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B. [Compliance Indicator]	related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the with the timely transition conference requirements, including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification. [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 82% (or timely correction of 9 of 11 FFY 2005 findings). These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 37.5%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. For the remaining two uncorrected FFY 2005 findings of noncompliance, the State reported that one FFY 2005 finding was corrected by August 2007 (see Indicator 8A above) and that, for the remaining one uncorrected FFY 2005 finding, the lead agency conducted additional program-specific follow-up activities as described under Indicator 1.	The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the State has corrected the one remaining finding of noncompliance identified in Indicators 1 and 9 from FFY 2005. The State must review its improvement activities and revise, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State timely corrected noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 under this indicator in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501. In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, 8B, and 8C, the State must specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.
10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data are based on one complaint. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely complaint resolution requirements in 34 CFR §§303.510 through 303.512.
11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were	The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1,

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.	during the FFY 2006 reporting period.	2009.
[Compliance Indicator]		
12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator]	The State reported that no resolution sessions were held during the FFY 2006 reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]	The State reported that no mediations were held during the FFY 2006 reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with Part C's data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.