

New Jersey Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Status Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps								
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the FFY 2004 baseline data for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts that revision.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 93.2%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 82.3%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that five of five findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>								
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 99.29%.</p> <p>The State’s data reflect a high level of performance for this indicator.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 99.20%.</p>	<p>The State’s actual target data for provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments are at or greater than 95%. There is no expectation that an increase in that percentage is necessary. OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts to improve performance and expects that the State is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams are making service setting decisions on an individualized basis and in compliance with 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and 303.344(d)(1)(ii).</p>								
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2006 reported progress data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="562 1284 1373 1524"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="562 1284 1073 1458">06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data</th> <th data-bbox="1073 1284 1171 1458">Social Emotional</th> <th data-bbox="1171 1284 1276 1458">Knowledge & Skills</th> <th data-bbox="1276 1284 1373 1458">Appropriate Behavior</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="562 1458 1073 1524">a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.</td> <td data-bbox="1073 1458 1171 1524">5%</td> <td data-bbox="1171 1458 1276 1524">2%</td> <td data-bbox="1276 1458 1373 1524">0%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	5%	2%	0%	<p>The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must provide progress data with the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, and baseline data and targets with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior							
a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	5%	2%	0%							

New Jersey Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Status Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues				OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.</p>	<p>6%</p>	<p>3%</p>	<p>2%</p>	
	<p>c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.</p>	<p>5%</p>	<p>25%</p>	<p>19%</p>	
	<p>d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.</p>	<p>3%</p>	<p>27%</p>	<p>16%</p>	
	<p>e. % of infant & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.</p>	<p>81%</p>	<p>43%</p>	<p>63%</p>	
	<p>The State provided improvement activities for this indicator covering the remaining years of the SPP.</p>				
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family: A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's reported data for this indicator are:</p>				<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>
		<p>FFY 2005 Data</p>	<p>FFY 2006 Data</p>	<p>FFY 2006 Target</p>	
	<p>A. Know their rights.</p>	<p>88.5%</p>	<p>59.9%</p>	<p>90%</p>	
	<p>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.</p>	<p>91.7%</p>	<p>49.7%</p>	<p>92.5%</p>	
	<p>C. Help their children develop and learn.</p>	<p>92.7%</p>	<p>70.4%</p>	<p>93%</p>	
	<p>OSEP was unable to determine whether there was progress or slippage because the State changed its survey and method of analysis. The State did not change its baseline and targets.</p>				
	<p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 targets.</p>				
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to: A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are .63%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of .56%.</p>				<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
	<p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of .62%.</p>				

New Jersey Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Status Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>B. National data. [Results Indicator]</p>		
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to: A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 2.8%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 2.53%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 2.31%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 95.8%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 86.2%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that three of five findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 were corrected in a timely manner. For the uncorrected noncompliance, the State reported that it designated the two counties as at-risk grantees. The counties were also required to submit status reports on efforts to achieve compliance.</p> <p>The State provided updated data that one of the two remaining findings of noncompliance was corrected prior to the State's submission of its FFY 2006 APR.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342 was partially corrected. The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the uncorrected noncompliance was corrected.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342, including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>

New Jersey Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Status Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 96.5%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 95.2%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that seven of seven findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 98.4%. The FFY 2005 data were 98.9%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that two of two findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 95.2%. The FFY 2005 data were 96%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that four of four findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007</p>

New Jersey Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Status Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
[Compliance Indicator]		APR, due February 1, 2009, the State’s data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 91.3%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 96.3%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that 21 of 23 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 were corrected in a timely manner. For the uncorrected noncompliance, the State reported that it designated the two counties as at-risk grantees. The counties were also required to submit status reports on efforts to achieve compliance.</p> <p>The State provided updated data that one of the two remaining findings of noncompliance was corrected prior to the State’s submission of its FFY 2006 APR.</p>	<p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the State has corrected the remaining noncompliance identified in Indicator 9 from FFY 2005.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State timely corrected noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 (2006-2007) under this indicator in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501.</p> <p>In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, 8B, and 8C, the State must specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data are based on one complaint.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts in achieving compliance with the timely complaint resolution requirements in 34 CFR §§303.510 through 303.512.</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the</p>	<p>The State reported that there were no fully adjudicated hearings in FFY 2006.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State’s data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1,</p>

New Jersey Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Status Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
applicable timeline. [Compliance Indicator]		2009.
12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator]	Not applicable.	This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted the Part B due process procedures.
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]	The State reported that two of three mediations held resulted in mediation agreements. One mediation request was withdrawn. The State reported fewer than ten mediations in FFY 2006. The State is not required to provide or meet its targets or provide improvement activities until any FFY in which ten or more mediations were held.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the FFY 2004 baseline data for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts this revision. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely and accurate data requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.