Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
 Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator] 	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 17%. The State reported that these data are not valid or reliable because its EIS programs misunderstood the State's revised definition of timely service provision in documenting data for this indicator. Therefore, OSEP could not determine whether there was progress or slippage or whether the State met its FFY 2006 target. The State reported that it corrected the mistake and provided updated data for this indicator of 97%, as of November 30, 2007. The State reported that three of three findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State, in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, to report on the correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2003 and FFY 2004 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1). The State reported that this noncompliance was corrected. The State also reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely service provision requirements was corrected in a timely manner. The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide valid and reliable data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.[Results Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 99.8%. The State's data reflect a high level of performance for this indicator. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 99%.	The State's actual target data for provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments are at or greater than 95%. There is no expectation that an increase in that percentage is necessary. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and expects that the State is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams are making service setting decisions on an individualized

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues				OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
						basis and in compliance with 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and 303.344(d)(1)(ii).
3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);B. Acquisition and use of	The State's FFY 2006 reported progress data for this indicator are:				The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The	
	06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progre	ss Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	State must provide progress data with the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, and baseline data and targets with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.
knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication);	a. % of infant & toddlers who did not impr functioning.	rove	0%	0%	0%	
and C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	b. % of infant & toddlers who improved bu sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	ıt not	0%	0%	0%	
[Results Indicator]	c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach		0%	50%	0%	
	d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to aged peers.		50%	50%	75%	
	e. % of infant & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same- peers.	aged	50%	0%	25%	
	The State provided improvement activities f remaining years of the SPP.	or this in	dicator	covering	g the	
4. Percent of families participating	The State's reported data for this indicator a	re:				OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to
in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:		FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FF 200	6	improve performance.
A. Know their rights;B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; andC. Help their children develop and	A. Know their rights.	Data 64%	Data	Tar	get 5%	
	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.	85%	92%		5%	
learn. [Results Indicator]	C. Help their children develop and learn.	87%	93%	6 8	3%	

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
	These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data.		
	The State met its FFY 2006 targets.		
5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.	
A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 1.57%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 1.38%.		
B. National data.	The State met its FFY 2006 target of 1.3%.		
[Results Indicator]			
6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.	
A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 3.64%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 2.96%.		
B. National data.	The State met its FFY 2006 target of 2.92%.		
[Results Indicator]			
7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.	OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the	
	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 90%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 88%.	State, in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, to report on the correction of noncompliance identified in	
timeline.	The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	FFY 2004 with 45-day timeline	
[Compliance Indicator]	The State reported that three of three findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342. The State reported that this noncompliance was corrected.	
		The State also reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the 45-day timeline requirements was corrected in a timely manner.	
		The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to	

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342, including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
 8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: A. IFSPs with transition steps and services; [Compliance Indicator] 	 The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 99%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator was corrected in a timely manner. 	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) was corrected in a timely manner. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h).
 8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and [Compliance Indicator] 	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 99%. The FFY 2005 data were 99.6%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to clarify in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, whether the State had adopted an opt-out policy under IDEA section 637(a)(9), 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) and OSEP's 2004 <i>Letter to Elder</i> . The State reported that it has adopted an opt- out policy under OSEP's 2004 <i>Letter to Elder</i> and will submit the policy as part of Section II of the State's FFY 2008 Part C Application. At that time, OSEP will review the State's policy and respond to it in a separate document.
		looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 APR.
 8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B. [Compliance Indicator] 	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 93%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 69%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that 15 of 16 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 were corrected in a timely manner. For the uncorrected noncompliance, the State reported that it is providing intensive monitoring and targeted technical assistance to ensure that compliance will be achieved no later than June 2008.	OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State, in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, to report on the correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)). The State reported that this noncompliance was corrected. The State also reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely transition conference requirements was partially corrected. The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the uncorrected noncompliance was corrected. The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
8	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 96.15%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 71%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that 25 of 26 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 were corrected in a timely manner. For the uncorrected noncompliance, the State reported that it is providing intensive monitoring and targeted technical assistance to ensure that compliance will be achieved no later than June 2008.	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008: (1) confirmation that its policies and procedures ensure that noncompliance is corrected no later than one year from the date the State identified the noncompliance; and (2) correction of remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2003 and FFY 2004. The State reported that its correction policies and procedures include the one-year timeline requirement and that the remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2003 and FFY 2004 was corrected. The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the State has corrected the remaining noncompliance identified in Indicator 9 from FFY 2005. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that the State timely corrected noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 (2006-2007) under this indicator in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501. In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8B, and 8C, the State must specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.	The State reported that it received one written complaint that was subsequently withdrawn.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	
[Compliance Indicator]			
11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.	The State reported that there were no due process hearings that were fully adjudicated.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	
[Compliance Indicator]			
 12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator] 	Not applicable.	This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted the Part B due process procedures.	
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.[Results Indicator]	The State reported that no mediations were held during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.[Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 96.6%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the timely and accurate data requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618 and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.	