Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 92%. These data represent progress from the revised FFY 2005 data of 73%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that eight of 14 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner. For the uncorrected noncompliance, the State reported that it issued a corrective action plan with specific steps and strategies, requiring intensive progress reporting to the lead agency. The lead agency will provide focused technical assistance and conduct on-site visits to collect and validate data as well as ensure progress is being made.	OSEP could not determine if the State corrected the remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 related to the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1). The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely service provision requirements was partially corrected. The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the uncorrected noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 and FFY 2005 was corrected. The State must also review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the timely service provision requirements, including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children. [Results Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 98.5%. The State's data reflect a high level of performance for this indicator. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 96.5%.	The State's actual target data for provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments are at or greater than 95%. There is no expectation that an increase in that percentage is necessary. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and expects that the State is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams are making service setting decisions on an individualized basis and in compliance with 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and 303.344(d)(1)(ii).
3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate	The State's FFY 2006 reported progress data for this indicator are:	The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues		OSEP Analysis/Next Steps		
improved:					provide progress data with the FFY 2007
A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships); B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication);	06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	APR, due February 1, 2009, and baseline data and targets with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.
and C. Use of appropriate behaviors to	a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	2%	1%	1%	
meet their needs. [Results Indicator; New]	b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	16%	19%	18%	
	c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	16%	22%	17%	
	d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	29%	41%	41%	
	e. % of infant & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	37%	18%	23%	
	The State provided improvement activities in SPP for this indicator.	for the ren	naining yea	ars of the	
4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early	and OSEP accepts those revisions.			n its SPP	OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance
intervention services have helped the family:				in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	
A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their		FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2006	
children's needs; and		Data	Data	Target	
C. Help their children develop and learn.	A. Know their rights.	89.1%	70%	89%	
[Results Indicator]	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.	82.8%	69%	83%	
[]	C. Help their children develop and	91.2%	80%	89%	

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
	learn.		
	OSEP was unable to determine whether there was progress or slippage because the State changed the survey that it used to collect the data for this indicator. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 targets.		
5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.	OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	
A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are .84%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of .78%.		
B. National data.	The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 1.0%.		
[Results Indicator]			
6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to: A. Other States with similar	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 2.03%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 1.85%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.	
eligibility definitions; and			
B. National data.	The State met its FFY 2006 target of 1.95%.		
[Results Indicator]			
7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the 45-day	
	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 98.9%.	timeline requirements in 34 CFR §\$303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342 was corrected.	
	These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 97%.		
[Compliance Indicator]	The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and look	
	The State reported that 17 of 18 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner. The State reported that the remaining finding was subsequently corrected.	forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with t 45-day timeline requirements, including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR	

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: A. IFSPs with transition steps and services; [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 99.26%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 90%. The State reported that 14 of 14 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) was corrected in a timely manner. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the IFSP transition content requirements, including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 96%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 88%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that 13 of 13 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) was corrected in a timely manner. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the LEA notification requirements, including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: C. Transition conference, if child	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 99.2%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 81%. The State reported that nine of nine findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner. The Special Conditions under the State's FFY 2007 Part C grant award	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)) was corrected in a timely manner. The State's Special Conditions Progress Report submitted in the FFY 2006 APR

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
potentially eligible for Part B. [Compliance Indicator]	required the State to provide data regarding the status of correction of noncompliance in the Durham and Concord CDSAs. The State reported FFY 2006 data showing 100% compliance for both CDSAs.	provided data demonstrating correction of noncompliance in the Durham and Concord CDSAs regarding timely transition planning conferences. The State has demonstrated that it met the FFY 2007 Special Conditions; therefore, OSEP is removing those Special Conditions. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the timely transition conference requirements, including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification. [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 90%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 43%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. For the uncorrected noncompliance, the State reported that it issued a corrective action plan with specific steps and strategies, requiring intensive progress reporting to the lead agency. The lead agency will provide focused technical assistance and conduct on-site visits to collect and validate data as well as ensure progress is being made.	OSEP could not determine if the State corrected the remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2004. The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the State has corrected the remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 and FFY 2005. The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009 data demonstrating that the State timely corrected noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 (2006-2007) under this indicator in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501. In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, and 8A, 8B, and 8C, the State must specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		those indicators.
10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint. [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data are based on one complaint. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely complaint resolution requirements in 34 CFR §§303.510 through 303.512.
11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline. [Compliance Indicator]	The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator]	Not applicable.	This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted the Part B due process procedures.
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]	The State reported that no mediations were held during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely and accurate data requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.

North Carolina Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table	