

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

OFFICE OF SPECIAL EDUCATION AND REHABILITATIVE SERVICES

dul c all

Honorable Ed Thompson State Health Officer Mississippi State Department of Health P.O. Box 1700 570 East Woodrow Wilson Boulevard Jackson, MS 39215-1700

Dear Dr. Thompson:

Thank you for the timely submission of Mississippi's FFY 2006 Annual Performance Report (APR) and revised State Performance Plan (SPP) under Part C of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), as amended in 2004. We also acknowledge the revisions to Mississippi's SPP and APR received on April 14, 2008. We appreciate the State's efforts in preparing these documents.

The Department has determined that, under IDEA sections 616(d) and 642, Mississippi needs assistance in meeting the requirements of Part C of the IDEA. The Department's determination is based on the totality of the State's data and information including the State's FFY 2006 APR and revised SPP, other State-reported data, information obtained through on-site verification and focused monitoring visits, and other publicly available information. See the enclosure entitled "How the Department Made Determinations under Section 616(d) and 642 of the IDEA in 2008" for further details.

The requirements of Indicators 1, 7, and 9 are the subject of Special Conditions on Mississippi's Part C FFY 2007 grant award. Specific factors affecting OSEP's determination of needs assistance for Mississippi for FFY 2006 include: (1) for Indicator 1, the State reported 77% compliance with the timely service provision requirements; (2) for Indicator 3, the State did not provide progress data but reported a plan to collect those data; (3) for Indicator 7, the State reported 88% compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements; (4) for Indicator 8A, the State reported 90% compliance with IFSP transition content requirements with progress from 83%; (5) for Indicator 8B, the State reported 91% compliance with the LEA notification requirements with progress from 66%; and (6) for Indicator 8C, the State reported 79% compliance with the timely transition conference requirements with progress from 45%. In addition, for Indicator 9, Mississippi reported in its FFY 2006 APR that it timely corrected two of eleven findings of noncompliance (based on findings identified in FFY 2006), which represent progress from the State's FFY 2005 APR in which it reported no data for this indicator. For these reasons, we were unable to determine that Mississippi met requirements for FFY 2006 under IDEA sections 616(d) and 642. OSEP will respond in Mississippi's FFY 2008 Part C grant award letter regarding the State's progress under its FFY 2007 Part C grant award Special Conditions regarding Indicators 1, 7 and 9 that reflect Part C's timely service provision, 45-day timeline, and timely correction of noncompliance requirements. We hope that your State will demonstrate in next year's APR that it meets requirements.

> 400 MARYLAND AVE., S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20202 www.bd.gov

The enclosed table provides OSEP's analysis of the State's FFY 2006 APR and revised SPP and identifies, by indicator, OSEP's review of any revisions made by the State to its targets, improvement activities (timelines and resources) and baseline data in the State's SPP. It also identifies, by indicator, the State's status in meeting its targets, whether the State's data reflect progress or slippage, and whether the State corrected noncompliance and provided valid and reliable data.

The State's determination for the FFY 2005 APR also was needs assistance. In accordance with section 616(e) of the IDEA, if a State is determined to need assistance for two consecutive years, the Secretary must take one or more of the following actions: (1) Advise the State of available sources of technical assistance that may help the State address the areas in which the State needs assistance; (2) Direct the use of State-level funds on the area or areas in which the State needs assistance; or (3) Identify the State as a high-risk grantee and impose special conditions on the State's Part C grant award. Pursuant to these requirements, the Secretary is advising the State of available sources of technical assistance related to Indicator 1, services in a timely manner; Indicator 3, early childhood outcomes; Indicator 7, 45-day timeline; Indicator 8, early childhood transition; and Indicator 9, timely correction of noncompliance. A list of sources of technical assistance related to the SPP/APR indicators is available by clicking on the "Technical Assistance Related to Determinations" box on the opening page of the SPP/APR Planning Calendar website at http://spp-apr-calendar.rrfcnetwork.org/. You will be directed to a list of indicators. Click on specific indicators for a list of centers, documents, web seminars and other sources of relevant technical assistance for that indicator. For the indicators listed above, your State must report with its FFY 2007 APR submission (due February 1, 2009) on: (1) the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance and (2) what actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance. The extent to which your State takes advantage of available technical assistance for these indicators may affect the actions we take under sections 616 and 642 should your State not be identified as meets requirements next year. We encourage States to take advantage of available sources of technical assistance in other areas as well, particularly if the State is reporting low compliance data for an indicator.

As required by sections 616(e)(7) and 642, the State must notify the public that the Secretary of Education has taken the above enforcement action. This notification must be sufficient to notify the public within the State and may include such mechanisms as posting on the agency's website, distribution through the media and distribution through public agencies.

As you know, your State must report annually to the public on the performance of each early intervention service (EIS) program located in the State on the targets in the SPP under IDEA sections 616(b)(2)(C)(ii)(l) and 642. In addition, your State must review EIS program performance against targets in the State's SPP, determine if each EIS program meets the requirements of the IDEA and inform each EIS program of its determination. For further information regarding these requirements, see the SPP/APR Calendar at http://spp-apr-calendar.rrfcnetwork.org/. Finally, if you included revisions to baseline, targets or improvement activities in your APR submission, and OSEP accepted those revisions, please ensure that you update your SPP accordingly and that the updated SPP is made available to the public.

Page 3 - Lead Agency Director

OSEP is committed to supporting Mississippi's efforts to improve results for infants and toddlers with disabilities and their families and looks forward to working with your State over the next year. If you have any questions, would like to discuss this further, or want to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call Rhonda Spence, your OSEP State Contact, at 202-245-7382.

Sincerely,

William W. Knudsen

Acting Director

Office of Special Education Programs

Enclosures

cc: Part C Coordinator