Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 77%. OSEP could not determine whether there was progress or slippage because the State's FFY 2005 data did not reflect the measurement for this indicator. The State did not meet its target of 100%. The State provided updated data in the February 1, 2007 Special Conditions report under its FFY 2007 Part C grant award demonstrating 84% compliance for the period of July 1, 2007 through December 31, 2007.	OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, data that measure the timely initiation of EI services on initial IFSPs and any new additional EI services on subsequent IFSPs. The State provided FFY 2006 data that reflect that measurement. The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §\$303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR. Mississippi's FFY 2007 Part C grant award letter included Special Conditions on that award and required the State to submit two progress reports, the first with the State's February 1, 2008 APR and the second by June 1, 2008 with data demonstrating compliance with the timely services provision requirements in 34 CFR §303.342(e). The State provided its February 1, 2008 report under these Special Conditions. OSEP will respond separately to the State's June 1, 2008 Special Conditions progress report.
2. Percent of infants and toddlers	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and	The State's actual target data for provision

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues			OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children. [Results Indicator]	OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 97%. The State's data reflect a high level of performance for this indicator. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 94%.			of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments are at or greater than 95%. There is no expectation that an increase in that percentage is necessary. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and expects that the State is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams are making service setting decisions on an individualized basis and in compliance with 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and 303.344(d)(1)(ii).	
3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved: A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships); B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. [Results Indicator; New]	The State provided revised FFY 2005 entry data for this indicator, but did not report progress data for FFY 2006. The State provided improvement activities for this indicator covering the remaining years of the SPP.			The State reported the required improvement activities and did not report the required progress data. The State must provide progress data with the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, and baseline data and targets with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.	
4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.			OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.	
the family: A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn. [Results Indicator]	A. Know their rights. B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.	FFY 2005 Data 79.77% 80.69%	FFY 2006 Data 84% 87%	FFY 2006 Target 83% 84%	The State reported that the data for this indicator were collected from a response group that was not representative of the population. In the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State must continue to address the representativeness of its response group.

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	C. Help their children develop and learn. 81.61% 88% 85%	
	These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data. The State met its targets.	
5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to: A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data. [Results Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 data for this indicator are 0.50%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 0.47%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 0.55%.	OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to: A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data. [Results Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 data for this indicator are 1.21%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 1.34%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 1.53%.	OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline. [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 88%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 88%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State provided updated data in the February 1, 2007 Special Conditions report under its FFY 2007 Part C grant award demonstrating 96% compliance for the period July 1, 2007 through December 31, 2007.	The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §\$303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342, including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR. Mississippi's FFY 2007 Part C grant award letter included Special Conditions on that award and required the State to

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		the State's February 1, 2008 APR, and the second by June 1, 2008 with data demonstrating compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §\$303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a). The State provided its February 1, 2008 report under these Special Conditions. OSEP will respond separately to the State's June 1, 2008 Special Conditions progress report.
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: A. IFSPs with transition steps and services; [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 90%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 83%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 91%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 66%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.	The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate,

child's transition to preschool and	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 79%. These data epresent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 45%. The State did not meet its	to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due
	FFY 2006 target of 100%.	February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
(including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification. [Compliance Indicator] Spread of the complete of the co	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP ccepts those revisions. The State reported in its FFY 2006 APR timely correction of two of 11 FFY 2005 findings. However, as explained below, these data are not valid or eliable, because the State did not issue its first monitoring report until January 2007. The timely correction requirements of this indicator are the subject of special Conditions on Mississippi's Part C grant awards for FFY 2006 and 2FY 2007. DSEP's March 8, 2008 verification letter concluded that while it appears that MSDH has a general supervision system that is reasonably designed to dentify noncompliance, OSEP was unable to determine whether MSDH's general supervision system is effective in ensuring correction of concompliance because the State was not yet able to report correction data from its initial monitoring reports. As noted above, the State reported in its FFY 2006 APR that it had made 11 indings in FFY 2005 under Indicators 1, 5 and 7 and timely corrected two of these findings. However, OSEP's March 8, 2008 verification letter confirmed that the State did not begin issuing monitoring reports for its nine EIS health districts for the on-site monitoring visits the State conducted during FFY 2005 and FFY 2006 until January 2007. The State issued seven monitoring reports in January 2007, one in February 2007, and the final one in March 2007; orrection data is not due to the State until February 2008.	OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, updated data on the status of the correction of the 19 findings of noncompliance referenced in its FFY 2005 APR. The State reported that two findings were corrected in a timely manner. The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the State has corrected the remaining findings of noncompliance. The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501. In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, 8B and 8C, the State must specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators. Mississippi's FFY 2007 Part C grant

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		award letter included Special Conditions on that award and required the State to submit two progress reports, the first with the State's February 1, 2008 APR indicating the status of the correction of the 19 findings of noncompliance the State identified when it monitored each of the nine health districts in calendar year 2006 and its strategies to address noncompliance. The State provided its February 1, 2008 report under these Special Conditions.
		The State is required in its Special Conditions progress report due June 1, 2008, to provide an update on the status of correction of the then-outstanding findings of noncompliance from the monitoring reports issued by the State in January, February and March, 2007, including information on the corrective actions the State implemented to correct any remaining noncompliance, and any program-specific follow-up enforcement actions or sanctions. OSEP will respond separately to the State's June 1, 2008 Special Conditions progress report.
10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%, based on seven complaints. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely complaint resolution requirements in 34 CFR §§303.510 through 303.512.
11. Percent of fully adjudicated due	The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.	during the FFY 2006 reporting period.	State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
[Compliance Indicator]		
12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).	Not applicable.	Not applicable, because the State has not adopted Part B due process procedures.
[Results Indicator]		
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]	The State reported that no mediations were held during the FFY 2006 reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 82%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the timely and accurate data requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618 and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.