

Minnesota Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 98.8%. OSEP was unable to determine whether there was progress or slippage because the State's FFY 2005 data did not reflect the correct measurement.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that two of two findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State's timely standard for this indicator is that Part C services are initiated "not more than 30 calendar days following the initial IFSP team meeting." OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to confirm that the IFSP meeting date (which is when the period begins to run) is when a parent consents to the provision of early intervention services. The State confirmed that the initial IFSP meeting date is used for data reporting purposes for this indicator.</p> <p>The State reported that prior noncompliance regarding the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was corrected in a timely manner</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 92.3%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 90.3%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 90.0%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported progress data for this indicator are:</p>	<p>The State reported the required progress data</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues				OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																								
<p>with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="533 196 1060 370">06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data</th> <th data-bbox="1060 196 1180 370">Social Emotional</th> <th data-bbox="1180 196 1299 370">Knowledge & Skills</th> <th data-bbox="1299 196 1451 370">Appropriate Behavior</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="533 370 1060 440">a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.</td> <td data-bbox="1060 370 1180 440">1.42%</td> <td data-bbox="1180 370 1299 440">1.42%</td> <td data-bbox="1299 370 1451 440">1.14%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="533 440 1060 574">b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td data-bbox="1060 440 1180 574">28.13%</td> <td data-bbox="1180 440 1299 574">25.21%</td> <td data-bbox="1299 440 1451 574">20.57%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="533 574 1060 678">c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.</td> <td data-bbox="1060 574 1180 678">26.70%</td> <td data-bbox="1180 574 1299 678">34.28%</td> <td data-bbox="1299 574 1451 678">20.57%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="533 678 1060 782">d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td data-bbox="1060 678 1180 782">20.45%</td> <td data-bbox="1180 678 1299 782">20.96%</td> <td data-bbox="1299 678 1451 782">24.57%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="533 782 1060 886">e. % of infant & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td data-bbox="1060 782 1180 886">23.30%</td> <td data-bbox="1180 782 1299 886">18.13%</td> <td data-bbox="1299 782 1451 886">33.14%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The State provided improvement activities for the remaining years of the SPP.</p>				06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	1.42%	1.42%	1.14%	b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	28.13%	25.21%	20.57%	c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	26.70%	34.28%	20.57%	d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	20.45%	20.96%	24.57%	e. % of infant & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	23.30%	18.13%	33.14%	<p>and improvement activities. The State must provide progress data with the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, and baseline data and targets with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior																										
a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	1.42%	1.42%	1.14%																										
b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	28.13%	25.21%	20.57%																										
c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	26.70%	34.28%	20.57%																										
d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	20.45%	20.96%	24.57%																										
e. % of infant & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	23.30%	18.13%	33.14%																										
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights;</p> <p>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and</p> <p>C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="533 1130 1060 1252"></th> <th data-bbox="1060 1130 1180 1252">FFY 2005 Data</th> <th data-bbox="1180 1130 1299 1252">FFY 2006 Data</th> <th data-bbox="1299 1130 1451 1252">FFY 2006 Target</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="533 1252 1060 1292">A. Know their rights.</td> <td data-bbox="1060 1252 1180 1292">74.2%</td> <td data-bbox="1180 1252 1299 1292">75%</td> <td data-bbox="1299 1252 1451 1292">75%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="533 1292 1060 1370">B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.</td> <td data-bbox="1060 1292 1180 1370">82.1%</td> <td data-bbox="1180 1292 1299 1370">87%</td> <td data-bbox="1299 1292 1451 1370">83%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="533 1370 1060 1448">C. Help their children develop and learn.</td> <td data-bbox="1060 1370 1180 1448">86.6%</td> <td data-bbox="1180 1370 1299 1448">90%</td> <td data-bbox="1299 1370 1451 1448">87%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					FFY 2005 Data	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2006 Target	A. Know their rights.	74.2%	75%	75%	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.	82.1%	87%	83%	C. Help their children develop and learn.	86.6%	90%	87%	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>								
	FFY 2005 Data	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2006 Target																										
A. Know their rights.	74.2%	75%	75%																										
B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.	82.1%	87%	83%																										
C. Help their children develop and learn.	86.6%	90%	87%																										

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	<p>These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data for 4A, 4B and 4C.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 targets for 4A, 4B and 4C.</p>	
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are .63%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of .46%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of .55%.</p>	<p>OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the February 1, 2008 APR actions that it took during the FFY 2006 reporting period (i.e., July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007) to ensure that eligibility decisions were made using criteria that were consistent with IDEA section 632(5)(A) and 34 CFR §§303.16(a) and 303.322(b)(1) and (c)(3)(ii). The State provided the required information.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 1.70%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 1.56%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 1.70%.</p>	<p>OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the February 1, 2008 APR actions that it took during the FFY 2006 reporting period (i.e., July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007) to ensure that eligibility decisions were made using criteria that were consistent with IDEA section 632(5)(A) and 34 CFR §§303.16(a) and 303.322(b)(1) and (c)(3)(ii). The State provided the required information.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 86.3%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 83.4%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that two of four findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 were corrected in a timely manner and that the remaining two findings were subsequently corrected.</p>	<p>The State reported that prior noncompliance regarding 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) was corrected.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		with the 45-day timeline requirements, including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 87%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 80%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p>	<p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the IFSP transition content requirements, including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to ensure compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 50%. The State reported its FFY 2006 data on all children transitioning from Part C, not just those potentially eligible for Part B. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 30%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that its one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY</p>	<p>The State reported that prior noncompliance regarding 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)) was corrected.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data consistent with the measurement for this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
[Compliance Indicator]	2005 related to this indicator was corrected in a timely manner.	compliance with the timely transition conference requirements, including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised its baseline data for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts the revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 96.6% (or timely correction of 28 of 29 findings). These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 revised baseline data of 91.3%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>For the one uncorrected finding of noncompliance, the State reported that it is tracking noncompliance through the Access Monitoring Database. The State reported that any failure to meet the one-year timeline will be a factor utilized by the State in its determination status for EIS programs.</p>	<p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the State has corrected the remaining finding of noncompliance identified in Indicator 9 from FFY 2005.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007, APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that the State timely corrected noncompliance identified under this indicator in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501.</p> <p>In responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, and 8C in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State must specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data are based on one complaint. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely complaint resolution requirements in 34 CFR §§303.510 through 303.512.</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests during the FFY 2006 reporting period.</p>	<p>The State did not receive any hearing requests during the reporting period.</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that no resolution sessions were held during the FFY 2006 reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that no mediations were held during the FFY 2006 reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 98%. However OSEP's calculation of the data for this indicator is 100%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to ensure compliance with Part C's data reporting requirements under IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.</p>