While the State has publicly reported on the performance of each local program located in the State on the targets in the State's performance plan as required by IDEA sections 616(b)(2)(C)(ii)(I) and 642, those reports do not contain the required information. Specifically, the State did not include, with its public reporting on local performance, the State's FFY 2005 targets for Indicators 5 and 6.

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues			OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 95.4%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 91%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.			OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.	
2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children. [Results Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target.	of 89%.	are 85%. '	These data	OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
3. Percent of infants and toddlers	The State's FFY 2006 reported progress data for this indicator are:			The State reported the required progress data	
with IFSPs who demonstrate improved: A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships); B. Acquisition and use of	06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	and improvement activities. The State must provide progress data with the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, and baseline data and targets with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.
knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication);	a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	15	31	15	
and C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. [Results Indicator]	b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	31	38	15	
[results indicator]	c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but	23	15	8	

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues				OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	did not reach it. d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	15	0	31	
	e. % of infant & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	15	15	31	
	The State provided improvement activities for this indicator covering the remaining years of the SPP.				
4. Percent of families participating	The State's reported data for this indicator a	are:			OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to
in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:		FFY 2005 Data	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2006 Target	improve performance and looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their	A. Know their rights.	83.9%	76%	86%	
children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.	82.9%	85%	86%	
learn. [Results Indicator]	C. Help their children develop and learn.	82.9%	88%	86%	
These data represent progress for Indicators 4B and 4C, and slip Indicator 4A, from the FFY 2005 data. The State met its FFY 2006 target for Indicator 4C, and did not FFY 2006 targets for Indicators 4A and 4B.					
5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to: A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data. [Results Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are .64%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data under IDEA section 618 of .65%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of .80%.			OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	
6. Percent of infants and toddlers	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this	indicator	are 2.51%	6. These	OSEP looks forward to the State's data

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:	data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 2.89%	demonstrating improvement in performance	
A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and	The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 2.91%.	in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	
B. National data.			
[Results Indicator]			
7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 91%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 94.4%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to demonstrate in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the State is in compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342, including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.	
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: A. IFSPs with transition steps and services; [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 69%. OSEP was unable to determine whether there was progress or slippage because the State did not submit data in FFY 2005. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to demonstrate in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the State is in compliance with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.	
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).	

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
including:		
B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and		
[Compliance Indicator]		
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 87%. OSEP was unable to determine whether there was progress or slippage because the State did not provide FFY 2005 data. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to demonstrate in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the State is in compliance with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification. [Compliance Indicator]	The State described the steps that it has taken to make findings of noncompliance in FFY 2007, and steps that it has taken to follow up on previously identified noncompliance, but did not provide actual target data for FFY 2006.	OSEP's February 19, 2008 Maine verification letter required the State to provide, within 60 days from the date of that letter, a written assurance that it has removed any specific percentage threshold for identification and correction of Part C and Part B noncompliance in CDS sites and that it has informed all CDS sites in the State of the revocation of its threshold. OSEP has reviewed the information submitted in the FFY 2006 APR and the documentation received from the State on April 3, 2008, and concluded that the State has provided the required assurance.
		The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide the following correction data. As explained in OSEP's February 19, 2008 verification visit

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		letter, with its Part C FFY 2007 APR, due on February 1, 2009, in addition to reporting, as required, on the correction of any findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 and corrected in FFY 2007, MDOE must report on: (1) the correction of the previously identified noncompliance that it followed up on in its September 2007 letters; and (2) the correction of noncompliance identified in the monitoring reports MDOE issued to CDS sites on July 9, 2007, November 15, 2007 and December 7, 2007.
		In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, and 8C, the State must specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.
10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.	The State reported that it received one signed written complaint during the reporting period which was resolved in mediation.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
[Compliance Indicator]		
11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline. [Compliance Indicator]	The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
[Compliance maleator]		
12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process	The State reported that no resolution sessions were held during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator]		
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]	The State reported that no mediations were held during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 90.1%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the timely and accurate data requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.