Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues				OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<ol> <li>Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</li> <li>[Compliance Indicator]</li> </ol>	The State revised the improvement activitie and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this represent progress from the FFY 2005 data The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of The State reported that seven of seven findi in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were c	indicator of 96%. of 100%. ngs of non	are 99%.	These data	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was corrected in a timely manner. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
<ul><li>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.</li><li>[Results Indicator]</li></ul>	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 89.6%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 89%.			OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.	
3. Percent of infants and	The State's FFY 2006 reported progress data for this indicator are:				The State reported the required progress
<ul><li>toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</li><li>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</li></ul>	06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	data and improvement activities. The State must provide progress data with the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, and baseline data and targets with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.
B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and	<ul> <li>a. % of infant &amp; toddlers who did not improve functioning.</li> <li>b. % of infant &amp; toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to</li> </ul>	1.5% 8.0%	0.4%	0.5% 11.9%	
C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	functioning comparable to same-aged peers. c. % of infant & toddlers who improved	3.1%	15.7%	2.5%	
[Results Indicator; New]	to a level nearer to same-aged peers but	3.1%	13.7%	2.3%	

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues			OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
	did not reach it. d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	16.4%	46.8%	20.9%	
	e. % of infant & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	71.0%	21.1%	64.1%	
	The State provided improvement activities for this indicator covering the remaining years of the SPP through FFY 2010.				
4. Percent of families	The State's reported data for this indicator are:				OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR
<ul> <li>participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</li> <li>A. Know their rights;</li> <li>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and</li> <li>C. Help their children develop and learn.</li> <li>[Results Indicator; New]</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. Know their rights.</li> <li>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.</li> <li>C. Help their children develop and learn.</li> <li>These data represent progress for 4A and 41 FFY 2005 data.</li> <li>The State met its FFY 2006 targets for 4A a 2006 target for 4C.</li> </ul>				response table required the State to include in the February 1, 2008 APR the extent to which parent survey responses were representative of the State's population. The State reported that its FFY 2006 data for this indicator were collected from a response group that was not representative of the population. The State described improvement activities that included the analysis of local data, involvement of local stakeholders and participation of State staff to improve the representativeness of its response group. In the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State must include a description of its efforts to ensure that its FFY 2007 data are based on a response group that is representative of the State's
					<ul><li>population.</li><li>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</li></ul>
5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.			OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.	

## Maryland Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 1.34%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 1.24%.	
B. National data.	The State met its FFY 2006 target of 1.34%.	
[Results Indicator]		
6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.
A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 3.03%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 2.88%.	
B. National data.	The State met its FFY 2006 target of 2.88%.	
[Results Indicator]		
<ul> <li>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</li> <li>[Compliance Indicator]</li> </ul>	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 93%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 92%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that seven of seven findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) was corrected in a timely manner. The State must review its improvement activities and revise, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 99%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 97.6%. The State reported that three of three findings of noncompliance identified	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) was corrected in a timely manner. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
including: A. IFSPs with transition steps and services; [Compliance Indicator]	in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's demonstration that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
<ul> <li>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</li> <li>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and [Compliance Indicator]</li> </ul>	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 99.7%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 98.9%. The State reported that three of three findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) was corrected in a timely manner. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstration that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
<ul> <li>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</li> <li>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</li> <li>[Compliance Indicator]</li> </ul>	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 94.7%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 92%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that twelve of twelve findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)) was corrected in a timely manner. The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)), including reporting correction of the noncompliance

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
		identified in the FFY 2006 APR.	
<ul> <li>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</li> <li>[Compliance Indicator]</li> </ul>	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 98%. The State met its target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in timely correcting noncompliance identified under this indicator in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501. In responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, 8B and 8C in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State must specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.	
<ul> <li>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</li> <li>[Compliance Indicator]</li> </ul>	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data are based on one complaint. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely complaint resolution requirements in 34 CFR §§303.510 through 303.512.	
<ul><li>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</li><li>[Compliance Indicator]</li></ul>	The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests during the FFY 2006 reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	
<ul> <li>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).</li> <li>[Results Indicator]</li> </ul>	Not applicable.	This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted the Part B due process procedures.	

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.	The State reported that no mediations were held during the FFY 2006 reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to the reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
[Results Indicator]		
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with IDEA sections, 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.
[Compliance Indicator]		