

Louisiana Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 85%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 50%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that eight of 17 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 were corrected in a timely manner. For the uncorrected nine FFY 2005 findings of noncompliance, the State reported that it provided training to local programs on the definition of timely services; developed corrective action plans and follow-up activities; provided targeted technical assistance; instituted periodic reporting of service coordinator caseloads; and conducted a data review of IFSPs and billing for timeliness.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was partially corrected. The State must report, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, on the correction of the uncorrected nine FFY 2005 findings of noncompliance.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 99%. The State's data reflect a high level of performance for this indicator.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 98%.</p>	<p>The State's actual target data for provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments are at or greater than 95%. There is no expectation that an increase in that percentage is necessary. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and expects that the State is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams are making service setting decisions on an individualized basis and in compliance with 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and 303.344(d)(1)(ii).</p>
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported progress data for this indicator are:</p>	<p>The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must provide progress data with the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, and baseline</p>

Louisiana Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues				OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>(including social relationships); B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. [Results Indicator; New]</p>	<p align="center">06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data</p>	<p align="center">Social Emotional</p>	<p align="center">Knowledge & Skills</p>	<p align="center">Appropriate Behavior</p>	<p>data and targets with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
	<p>a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.</p>	<p align="center">19.7%</p>	<p align="center">10.76 %</p>	<p align="center">10.76%</p>	
	<p>b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.</p>	<p align="center">4.9%</p>	<p align="center">1.35%</p>	<p align="center">5.38%</p>	
	<p>c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.</p>	<p align="center">15.2%</p>	<p align="center">6.7%</p>	<p align="center">14.3%</p>	
	<p>d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.</p>	<p align="center">0.4%</p>	<p align="center">0%</p>	<p align="center">0.4%</p>	
	<p>e. % of infant & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.</p>	<p align="center">59.6%</p>	<p align="center">81.2%</p>	<p align="center">69.05%</p>	
	<p>The State provided improvement activities for this indicator covering the remaining years of the SPP.</p>				
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family: A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's reported data for this indicator are:</p>				<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009. OSEP could not determine if the State used sampling to collect data for this indicator. If it did, it is important that the State have an approved sampling plan to ensure that data are valid and reliable. If the State intends to collect data for this indicator through sampling, it must submit its sampling methodology for this indicator as soon as</p>
		<p align="center">FFY 2005 Data</p>	<p align="center">FFY 2006 Data</p>	<p align="center">FFY 2006 Target</p>	
	<p>A. Know their rights.</p>	<p align="center">73%</p>	<p align="center">78%</p>	<p align="center">74%</p>	
	<p>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.</p>	<p align="center">71%</p>	<p align="center">84%</p>	<p align="center">72%</p>	
	<p>C. Help their children develop and learn.</p>	<p align="center">85%</p>	<p align="center">81%</p>	<p align="center">86%</p>	

Louisiana Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	<p>These data represent progress for Indicators 4A and 4B and slippage for Indicator 4C from the FFY 2005 data.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 targets for Indicators 4A and 4B and did not meet its target for Indicator 4C.</p>	<p>possible in order to ensure that its FFY 2007 data, due to OSEP on February 1, 2009, will be valid and reliable. If the State does not sample, but rather gathers census data, the State must inform OSEP and also revise its SPP to include this clarification.</p>
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are .85%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 1.76%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 1.25%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 1.27%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 1.76%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 2.45%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 91%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 95.02%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that 38 of 45 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner. For the uncorrected seven FFY 2005 findings of noncompliance, the State reported that it reviews a monthly self assessment report from each agency; provides targeted technical assistance to each agency to identify and address problems with timelines; and includes individual program performance as part of reviews of Requests for Proposals for new service</p>	<p>In response to OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table, the State reported that it does not track documented exceptional family circumstances due to limitations in its data system.</p> <p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) was partially corrected. The State must report, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, on the correction of the seven uncorrected FFY 2005 findings of</p>

Louisiana Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	contracts.	noncompliance. The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 93%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 86%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that eight of eight findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State did not provide the actual numbers used in its calculation. The State reported that “a monthly data report of all active children at least age 2 years, 2 months through 3 years is sent to the Louisiana Department</p>	<p>The State did not submit the actual numbers used in the calculation and the State must provide the required information in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009. In addition, in the FFY 2007 APR, the State must include a copy of the relevant portions of its interagency agreement with LDE, its policies or procedures that it uses to ensure that its provision to LDE of a list of names of</p>

Louisiana Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>of Education (LDE).” The State also reported that “the numbers sent each month vary as the ages of the children change monthly but average 1850 names per month.” The lead agency, LDHHS, reported that upon receipt, LDE disaggregates the numbers and names on the list and sends the list of names of children that reside in a particular LEA to that appropriate LEA.</p> <p>The State reported that five of six findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 were corrected in a timely manner. For the uncorrected finding of noncompliance, the State reported that it removed the responsibility for LEA notification from the local level to the central office. The State reports that the noncompliance was resolved by this change in the LEA notification process.</p>	<p>children in Part C who will shortly reach age three results in the required timely notification to the LEA for the area in which each eligible Part C child resides.</p> <p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) was partially corrected, and described how, for the remaining uncorrected FFY 2005 finding, it had revised its LEA notification process to shift responsibility for compliance with those requirements from the EIS program to the Lead Agency.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts in achieving compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 85%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 96%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that four of seven findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 were corrected in a timely manner. For the uncorrected three findings of noncompliance, the State reported that it conducted training with local agencies; developed corrective action plans and follow-up activities; and recommended an increase in activities that support teaming for information sharing.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)) was partially corrected. The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, correction of the uncorrected three FFY 2005 findings of noncompliance.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in</p>

Louisiana Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 81.6% (or timely correction of 102 of 125 findings). These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 92%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>For the 23 uncorrected FFY 2005 findings of noncompliance, the State reported that it conducted training; developed corrective action plans and follow-up activities; provided targeted technical assistance; and instituted monthly data reviews of local agency self assessments, IFSPs, and service coordinator caseloads.</p>	<p>the FFY 2006 APR.</p> <p>OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, the status of timely correction of FFY 2005 noncompliance findings disaggregated by APR indicator. The State submitted the required information.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the State has corrected the remaining 23 findings of noncompliance identified in Indicator 9 from FFY 2005, which includes 16 findings under Indicators 1, 7 and 8C.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State timely corrected noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 (2006-2007) under this indicator in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501.</p> <p>In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, and 8C, the State must specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data are based on seven complaints. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 69%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely complaint resolution requirements in 34 CFR §§303.510 through 303.512.</p>

Louisiana Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
[Compliance Indicator]	The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests during the FFY 2006 reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>	<p>This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted the Part B due process procedures.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State reported that no mediations were held during the FFY 2006 reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 97.8%. However, OSEP's calculation of the data for this indicator is 93.3 %.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, a specific percentage that reflected the extent to which State-reported data (under IDEA sections 616 and 618) are timely and accurate, and the State's explanation of its calculation. The State submitted the required information.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in</p>

Louisiana Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		compliance with the Part C data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.