

**Kansas Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 98.9%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 93.6%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that four of four findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner and that the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR also has already been corrected.</p>	<p>OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, the specific numbers for the calculation of the data for this indicator and the State provided the required information.</p> <p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 and in FFY 2006 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1).</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 98.4%. These data reflect a high level of performance for this indicator.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 95%.</p>	<p>The State's actual target data for provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments are at or greater than 95%. There is no expectation that an increase in that percentage is necessary. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and expects that the State is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams are making service setting decisions on an individualized basis and in compliance with 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and 303.344(d)(1)(ii).</p>

**Kansas Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																								
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported progress data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 297 1419 980"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 297 1062 472">06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data</th> <th data-bbox="1062 297 1180 472">Social Emotional</th> <th data-bbox="1180 297 1297 472">Knowledge &amp; Skills</th> <th data-bbox="1297 297 1419 472">Appropriate Behavior</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 472 1062 540">a. % of infants &amp; toddlers who did not improve functioning.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1062 472 1180 540">3.33%</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1180 472 1297 540">3.56%</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1297 472 1419 540">2.61%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 540 1062 675">b. % of infants &amp; toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1062 540 1180 675">14.25%</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1180 540 1297 675">16.15%</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1297 540 1419 675">13.30%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 675 1062 776">c. % of infants &amp; toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1062 675 1180 776">17.34%</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1180 675 1297 776">19.24%</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1297 675 1419 776">13.78%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 776 1062 876">d. % of infants &amp; toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1062 776 1180 876">31.59%</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1180 776 1297 876">36.58%</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1297 776 1419 876">37.29%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 876 1062 980">e. % of infants and toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-age peers.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1062 876 1180 980">33.49%</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1180 876 1297 980">24.47%</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1297 876 1419 980">33.02%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The State provided improvement activities for this indicator that cover the remaining years of the SPP.</p>	06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	3.33%	3.56%	2.61%	b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	14.25%	16.15%	13.30%	c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	17.34%	19.24%	13.78%	d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	31.59%	36.58%	37.29%	e. % of infants and toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-age peers.	33.49%	24.47%	33.02%	<p>The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must provide progress data with the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, and baseline data and targets with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior																							
a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	3.33%	3.56%	2.61%																							
b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	14.25%	16.15%	13.30%																							
c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	17.34%	19.24%	13.78%																							
d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	31.59%	36.58%	37.29%																							
e. % of infants and toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-age peers.	33.49%	24.47%	33.02%																							
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights;</p> <p>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and</p> <p>C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised its FFY 2005 baseline data for 4C in its SPP and OSEP accepts that revision.</p> <p>The State's reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 1227 1419 1508"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 1227 1062 1349"></th> <th data-bbox="1062 1227 1180 1349">FFY 2005 Data</th> <th data-bbox="1180 1227 1297 1349">FFY 2006 Data</th> <th data-bbox="1297 1227 1419 1349">FFY 2006 Target</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1349 1062 1390">A. Know their rights.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1062 1349 1180 1390">55.3%</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1180 1349 1297 1390">57.8%</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1297 1349 1419 1390">70%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1390 1062 1468">B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1062 1390 1180 1468">93.8%</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1180 1390 1297 1468">97.2%</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1297 1390 1419 1468">95%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1468 1062 1508">C. Help their children develop and</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1062 1468 1180 1508">96.4%</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1180 1468 1297 1508">97.4%</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1297 1468 1419 1508">97%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		FFY 2005 Data	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2006 Target	A. Know their rights.	55.3%	57.8%	70%	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.	93.8%	97.2%	95%	C. Help their children develop and	96.4%	97.4%	97%	<p>OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to clarify in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, which of two data sets submitted in the FFY 2005 APR is the baseline for 4C. The State provided the required information.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>								
	FFY 2005 Data	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2006 Target																							
A. Know their rights.	55.3%	57.8%	70%																							
B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.	93.8%	97.2%	95%																							
C. Help their children develop and	96.4%	97.4%	97%																							

**Kansas Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps				
	<table border="1" data-bbox="548 237 1419 277"> <tr> <td>learn.</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>These data represent progress from the State's FFY 2005 data. The State met its targets for 4B and 4C and did not meet its target for 4A.</p>	learn.				
learn.						
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 1.43%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 1.21%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 1.30%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>				
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 2.63%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 2.52%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 2.8%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>				
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 99.1%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 97.3%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that five of five findings of noncompliance related to this indicator identified in FFY 2005 were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the 45-day timeline in requirements 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1) and 303.342 was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1) and 303.342, including reporting correction of noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>				

**Kansas Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from FFY 2005 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State did not provide the actual numbers used in the calculation.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h).</p> <p>The State must provide the actual numbers used in the calculation of its reported data for this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State did not provide the actual numbers used in the calculation.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).</p> <p>The State must provide the actual numbers used in the calculation of its reported data for this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State did not provide the actual numbers used in the calculation.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i)(as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)).</p> <p>The State must provide the actual numbers used in the calculation of its reported data for this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>

**Kansas Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 91.3%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in timely correcting noncompliance identified under this indicator in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501, and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it continues to be in compliance with these requirements.</p> <p>In addition, in responding to Indicator 7, the State must specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under that indicator.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints during the reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests during the reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that no resolution sessions were held during the reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>

**Kansas Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that no mediations were held during the reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely and accurate data requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.</p>