Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<ol> <li>Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</li> <li>[Compliance Indicator]</li> </ol>	The State revised its targets for this indicator to include its definition of timely service provision in its SPP, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 98%. OSEP was unable to determine whether there was progress or slippage because the State's FFY 2005 data did not reflect the measurement for this indicator. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that five of seven findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 were corrected in a timely manner. For the uncorrected noncompliance, the State reported that it required the two EIS clusters to develop corrective action plans that included tracking tools to ensure the timely provision of services and that focused monitoring team leaders provided technical assistance and conducted progress monitoring.	OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, FFY 2006 data that reflect the measurement for this indicator (timeliness of EI services identified on the initial IFSP and new additional EI services identified on subsequent IFSPs). The State reported that its FFY 2006 data for this indicator reflect that measurement. The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was partially corrected. The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the uncorrected noncompliance was corrected. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
<ol> <li>Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.</li> <li>[Results Indicator]</li> </ol>	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 97.4%. The State's data reflect a high level of performance for this indicator. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 94%.	The State's actual target data for provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments are at or greater than 95%. There is no expectation that an increase in that percentage is necessary. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and expects that the State is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams are making service setting decisions on an individualized basis

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues			OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
					and in compliance with 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and 303.344(d)(1)(ii).
<ul> <li>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</li> <li>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</li> <li>B. Acquisition and use of</li> </ul>	The State's FFY 2006 reported progress data for this indicator are:			The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must	
	06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	APR, due February 1, 2009, and baseline data and targets with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.
knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication);	a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	6%	6%	6%	
and C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. [Results Indicator; New]	<ul> <li>b. % of infant &amp; toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.</li> </ul>	12%	14%	12%	
	c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	15%	18%	14%	
	d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	20%	26%	23%	
	e. % of infant & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	47%	36%	45%	
	The State provided improvement activities remaining years of the SPP.	for this inc	licator cov	vering the	
4. Percent of families participating	The State's reported data for this indicator a	are:			OSEP looks forward to the State's data
<ul><li>in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</li><li>A. Know their rights;</li><li>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and</li><li>C. Help their children develop and</li></ul>		FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2006	demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
	A. Know their rights.	Data 99.9%	Data 96.5%	Target 99%	
	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.	99.9%	98.7%	99%	

## Indiana Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
learn. [Results Indicator]	C. Help their children develop and learn.95.5%94.3%99%These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data.The State did not meet its FFY 2006 targets.	
<ul><li>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</li><li>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</li><li>B. National data.</li><li>[Results Indicator]</li></ul>	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 1.39%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 1.62%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 1.40%.	OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
<ul><li>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</li><li>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</li><li>B. National data. [Results Indicator]</li></ul>	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 3.66%. The FFY 2005 data were 4.04% The State met its FFY 2006 target of 3.3%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.
<ul> <li>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</li> <li>[Compliance Indicator]</li> </ul>	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 99.53%. The FFY 2005 data were 99.62%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that it did not make any findings of noncompliance for this indicator in FFY 2005.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342 (a), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h).

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
other appropriate community services by their third birthday	data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 100%.	
including:	The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	
A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;		
[Compliance Indicator]		
<ul> <li>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</li> <li>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and [Compliance Indicator]</li> </ul>	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to clarify in the February 1, 2008 APR whether the State had adopted an opt-out policy under IDEA section 637(a)(9), 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1), and OSEP's 2004 <i>Letter to Elder</i> . The State confirmed that it has not adopted such a policy and that it does not require parental consent for LEA notification. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).
<ul> <li>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</li> <li>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</li> <li>[Compliance Indicator]</li> </ul>	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 99%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 96%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that three of three findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)) was corrected in a timely manner. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i)(as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<ul> <li>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</li> <li>[Compliance Indicator]</li> </ul>	The State revised its FFY 2005 data to reflect the measurement for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts that revision. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 85.7%. These data represent progress from the State's revised FFY 2005 data of 72%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. For the uncorrected noncompliance, the State reported that it required the two EIS clusters to develop corrective action plans that included tracking tools to ensure the timely provision of services and that focused monitoring team leaders provided technical assistance and conducted progress monitoring.	APR. As required by OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table, the State provided FFY 2006 data that reflect the measurement for this indicator. The State also revised its FFY 2005 data to reflect that measurement by providing the percentage of State-identified noncompliance from FFY 2004 timely corrected in FFY 2005. The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the State has corrected the remaining noncompliance identified in Indicator 9 from the FFY 2006 data and the revised FFY 2005 data. The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State timely corrected noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 (2006-2007) under this indicator in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501. In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, and 8C the State must specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.
10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.	The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators [Compliance Indicator]	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<ul><li>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</li><li>[Compliance Indicator]</li></ul>	The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
<ul> <li>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).</li> <li>[Results Indicator]</li> </ul>	Not applicable.	This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted the Part B due process procedures.
<ul><li>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.</li><li>[Results Indicator]</li></ul>	The State reported that no mediations were held during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
<ul><li>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</li><li>[Compliance Indicator]</li></ul>	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.