with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this OSEP was unable to determine whether ther because the State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator. The State did not meet its The State reported that six of six findings of 2005 related to this indicator were corrected	indicator are was progred id not reflection FFY 2006	re 96.5%. ress or sli ect the me target of	ppage, easuremen 100%.	ıt	OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, the correct target and measurement for this indicator. The State submitted the required information. The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR
						\$\$303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was corrected in a timely manner. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR \$\$303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 89.26%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 88.8%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 87%.			OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, the actual numbers for this indicator. The State submitted the required information. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.		
3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate	The State's FFY 2006 reported progress data for this indicator are:				The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must	
improved: A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships); B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including	06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data a. % of infant & toddlers who did not	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior		provide progress data with the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009; and baseline dat and targets with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.

Illinois Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table					
Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPF	Revision	Issues		OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
early language/ communication);	improve functioning.				
and	b. % of infant & toddlers who improved	12.3%	16.2%	14.0%	
C. Use of appropriate behaviors to	but not sufficient to move nearer to				
meet their needs.	functioning comparable to same-aged				
[Results Indicator; New]	peers.	10.20/	20.00/	21.00/	
	c. % of infant & toddlers who improved	18.3%	28.0%	21.9%	
	to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.				
	d. % of infant & toddlers who improved	22.9%	38.6%	39.5%	
	functioning to reach a level comparable	22.770	30.070	37.370	
	to same-aged peers.				
	e. % of infant & toddlers who	40.8%	13.3%	20.1%	
	maintained functioning at a level				
	comparable to same-aged peers.				
	The State provided improvement activities remaining years of the SPP.	for this inc	licator cov	vering the	
4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.				OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.
intervention services have helped	The State's reported data for this indicator are:				improve performance.
the family:	The State 3 reported data for this indicator a		T		
A. Know their rights;					
B. Effectively communicate their					
children's needs; and		FFY	FFY	FFY	
C. Help their children develop and		2005	2006	2006	
learn.		Data	Data	Target	
[Results Indicator]	A. Know their rights.	76.8%	82.0%	76%	
	B. Effectively communicate their	86.5%	90.3%	86%	
	children's needs.				
	C. Help their children develop and	90.2%	93.3%	90%	
	learn.				
	These data represent progress from the FFY	2005 dat	- a	-	
		2005 uai	u.		
	The State met its FFY 2006 targets.				
5. Percent of infants and toddlers	The State revised the targets for this indicat	or in its S	PP and OS	EP accepts	OSEP looks forward to the State's data
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to: A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data. [Results Indicator]	those revisions. While the State's new targets are less rigorous than its previous ones for FFYs 2007 through FFY 2010, its targets are still above its FFY 2004 baseline data of 1.09%. In addition, the State indicated that its revised targets for FFYs 2007 through FFY 2010 were set in consultation with its EI Council and other stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on these revised targets. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 1.17%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 1.07%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 1.33%.	demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to: A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data. [Results Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 3.11%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data under IDEA section 618 of 3.0%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 3.24%.	OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, child count data that are consistent with its 618 data. The State submitted the required information. OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 99%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 98.67%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported it did not make any findings during FFY 2005 under this indicator.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 71.8%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that 20 of 24 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) was partially corrected. The State must report, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, on the correction of the four uncorrected FFY 2005

Monitoring Priorities and Status of ABB Data/SBB Davision James OSEB Analysis/New Stans					
Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps			
A. IFSPs with transition steps and services; [Compliance Indicator]	2005 were corrected in a timely manner. For the uncorrected four findings of noncompliance, the State reported that it held monthly status meetings with Child and Family Connections (CFCs); offered technical assistance; conducted follow-up monitoring visits; and provided feedback to staff on using the Cornerstone database to identify and address noncompliance related to transition.	findings of noncompliance. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h).			
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 93.4%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 78.5%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that ten of 23 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 were corrected in a timely manner. For the 13 uncorrected findings of noncompliance, the State reported that it held monthly status meetings with CFCs; offered technical assistance; conducted follow-up monitoring visits; and provided feedback to staff on using the Cornerstone database to identify and address noncompliance related to transition.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) was partially corrected. The State must report, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, correction of the 13 uncorrected FFY 2005 findings of noncompliance. The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.			
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B. [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 96.1%. However, OSEP recalculated the data to be 96.71% (when documented exceptional family circumstances are included in both the numerator and denominator). These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 77.8%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that 14 of 21 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 were corrected in a timely manner. For the uncorrected seven findings of noncompliance, the State reported that it held monthly status meetings with CFCs, offered technical assistance, conducted follow-up monitoring visits,	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) was partially corrected. The State must report, in the FFY 2007 APR due February 1, 2009, on correction of the seven uncorrected findings of noncompliance. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as			

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	and provided feedback to staff on using the Cornerstone database to identify and address noncompliance related to transition.	modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification. [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 67.8% (or timely correction of 63 of 93 FFY 2005 findings). These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 51%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. For the uncorrected 30 FFY 2005 findings of noncompliance, the State reported that its CFCs submitted corrective action plans for approval and that the State will review areas of noncompliance when it conducts its next CFC monitoring visit to determine if correction has been achieved.	The State must report, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the State has corrected the remaining 30 findings of noncompliance identified in Indicator 9 from FFY 2005, which includes 24 findings reported under Indicators 8A, 8B and 8C. The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State timely corrected noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 under this indicator in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501. In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 8B, and 8C, the State must specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.
10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely complaint resolution requirements in 34 CFR §§303.510 through 303.512.
11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data are based on one due process hearing. The State did not receive any hearing requests during the FFY 2005 reporting period.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely due process hearing resolution requirements in 34

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
applicable timeline.	The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	CFR §§303.420 and 303.423(b).
[Compliance Indicator]		
12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator]	The State reported that no resolution sessions were held during the FFY 2006 reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]	The State reported that two of two mediations held during FFY 2006 resulted in mediation agreements. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, a specific percentage that reflected the timeliness and accuracy of its FFY 2006 data under IDEA section 618 and SPP/APR under IDEA section 616 and demonstrated compliance with the requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618 and 642 and 34 CFR §§303.176 and 303.540. The State submitted the required information. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the Part C data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618 and 642 and 34 CFR §303.540.