Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues				OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.			OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1).	
2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children. [Results Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 97.61%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 96%. The State's data reflect a high level of performance for this indicator. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 96.2%.			OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance. The State's actual target data for provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments are at or greater than 95%. There is no expectation that an increase in that percentage is necessary. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and expects that the State is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams are making service setting decisions on an individualized basis and in compliance with 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and 303.344(d)(1)(ii).	
3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved: A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships); B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. [Results Indicator; New]	The State's FFY 2006 reported progress date of the State's FFY 2006 repo	Social Support Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must provide progress data with the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, and baseline data and targets with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.
	 a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning. b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers. c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it. d. % of infant & toddlers who improved 	3.57% 14.29% 14.29%	7.14% 21.43% 17.86%	3.57% 25% 14.29%	

Iowa Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table					
Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues				OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.				
	e. % of infant & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	50%	21.43%	39.29%	
	The State provided improvement activities for this indicator covering the remaining years of the SPP.				
4. Percent of families participating					OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to
in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped		FFY	FFY	FFY	improve performance.
the family:		2005	2006	2006	
		Data	Data	Target	
A. Know their rights;	A. Know their rights.	89.9%	96.4%	90%	
B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.	89.2%	95.9%	89.5%	
learn. [Results Indicator]	C. Help their children develop and learn.	90.5%	95.8%	91%	
	These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data. The State met each of its FFY 2006 targets for 4A, 4B and 4C.				
5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 1.42%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 1.22%.			OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.	
A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and	The State met its FFY 2006 target of 1.1%.				
B. National data.					
[Results Indicator]					
6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 2.52%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 2.33%.			OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.	
A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and	The State met its FFY 2006 target of 2.1%.				
B. National data.					

Monitoring Priorities and States of A.D.D. Detailed A.D.D. Detailed A.D.D. Detailed A.D.D. Detailed A.D.D.D. Detailed A.D.D.D. Detailed A.D.D.D. Detailed A.D.D.D. Detailed A.D.D.D. Detailed A.D.D.D. Detailed A.D.D.D.D.D.D.D.D.D.D.D.D.D.D.D.D.D.D.D					
Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps			
[Results Indicator]					
7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 90.9%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 87%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that all findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) was corrected in a timely manner. The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.			
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: A. IFSPs with transition steps and services; [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 94%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 87%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that all findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) was corrected in a timely manner. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.			
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 96%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that all findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) was corrected in a timely manner. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in			

Iowa

Monitoring Priorities and	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
Indicators including: B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and [Compliance Indicator]		achieving compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 91%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 87%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that all findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely transition conference requirements 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)) was corrected in a timely manner. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in timely correcting noncompliance identified under this indicator in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501. In responding to Indicators 7, 8A, and 8C in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State must specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under that indicator.
10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.	The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints during the FFY 2006 reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.

Monitoring Priorities and	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
Indicators	Status of AT K Data/STT Revision Issues	OSEI Analysis/Next Steps	
[Compliance Indicator]			
11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.	The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests during the FFY 2006 reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	
[Compliance Indicator]			
12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).	Not applicable.	This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted the Part B due process procedures.	
[Results Indicator]			
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]	The State reported that no mediations were requested or held during the FFY 2006 reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to ensure compliance with IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.	