OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this epresent progress from the FFY 2005 data of The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of The State reported that one of six findings of 005 was corrected in a timely manner. For oncompliance, the State reported that it reported	indicator a of 69%. of 100%. f noncomp the uncor juired corr	are 71%. T bliance ide rected five ective acti	These data Intified in FF of findings of on plans and	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was partially corrected. The State must report, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, on the correction for its five uncorrected FFY 2005 findings of noncompliance. The State must review its improvement
The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 71%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 69%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that one of six findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 was corrected in a timely manner. For the uncorrected five findings of noncompliance, the State reported that it required corrective action plans and monthly data reporting, and it conducted quarterly on-site file reviews.				activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
s SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.			
 The State's FFY 2006 reported progress dat 06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data (Children with Developmental Delays and/or Biological Risks) a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning. 	a for this i Social Emotional 0%	ndicator an Knowledge & Skills 0%	%0 Appropriate	The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must provide progress data with the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009; and baseline data, and targets with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.
a.	 SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. e State's FFY 2006 reported data for this present progress from the FFY 2005 data of e State met its FFY 2006 target of 74.5% e State's FFY 2006 reported progress dat 06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data (Children with Developmental Delays and/or Biological Risks) % of infant & toddlers who did not 	SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. e State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator a present progress from the FFY 2005 data of 81.1%. e State met its FFY 2006 target of 74.5%. e State's FFY 2006 reported progress data for this in the state's FFY 2006 reported progress data for thi	SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. e State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 89.1%. oresent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 81.1%. e State met its FFY 2006 target of 74.5%. e State's FFY 2006 reported progress data for this indicator ar 06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data (Children with Developmental Delays and/or Biological Risks) Image: Comparison of the state of t	e State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 89.1%. These data bresent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 81.1%. e State met its FFY 2006 target of 74.5%. e State's FFY 2006 reported progress data for this indicator are: 06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data (Children with Developmental Delays and/or Biological Risks) - % of infant & toddlers who did not 0% 0% 0%

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues				OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.[Results Indicator; New]	b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	5%	8%	10%	
	c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	7%	7%	3%	
	d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	24%	31%	43%	
	e. % of infant & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	64%	54%	44%	
	06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data (Children with Environmental Risks)	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	
	a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	0%	0%	0%	
	b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	0%	0%	0%	
	c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	0%	0%	0%	
	d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	50%	25%	0%	
	e. % of infant & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	50%	75%	100%	
	The State provided improvement activities fremaining years of the SPP (through FFY 20		licator cov	ering the	

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues				OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:	The State revised the baseline, targets, and improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's reported data for this indicator are:				In response to OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table the State provided progress data from the FFY 2006 reporting period. The State also reported that
the family: A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn. [Results Indicator]	 A. Know their rights. B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. C. Help their children develop and learn. The State met its FFY 2006 targets for Inc. 	FFY 2005 Data 78% 74% 89%	FFY 2006 Data 91% 93% 93%	FFY 2006 Target 78% 74% 89%	 it revised its survey instrument in FFY 2006 for this indicator and submitted a copy of the new survey instrument. In its description of its FFY 2006 data, the State provided disaggregated data on survey response rates by agency, but did not address whether the survey response group was representative of the population served in the State. In the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State must address whether its FFY 2007 data are based on a survey response group that is representative of the State's population. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.
5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; andB. National data. [Results Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 6.98%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 5.44%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 7.1%.			OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	
6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; andB. National data.[Results Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for the represent progress from the FFY 2005 date. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 7.3%	a of 6.71%		o. These data	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
 7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline. [Compliance Indicator] 	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 98%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 98%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that eight of eight findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) was corrected in a timely manner. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a).
 8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: A. IFSPs with transition steps and services; [Compliance Indicator] 	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 99%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 86%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that three of three findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) was corrected in a timely manner. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h).
 8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and [Compliance Indicator] 	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 94%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that FFY 2005 monitoring resulted in no findings for this indicator.	In response to OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table the State reported that it has adopted an opt-out policy under OSEP's 2004 <i>Letter to Elder</i> . The State excluded from its calculations for this indicator, but provided a numerical count of those children whose parents elected to opt out of LEA notification. The State must submit its opt-out policy as part of Section II of the State's FFY 2008 Part C Application. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the LEA

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
		notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).	
 8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B. [Compliance Indicator] 	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 96%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 94%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that FFY 2005 monitoring resulted in no findings for this indicator.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)).	
 9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification. [Compliance Indicator] 	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 94% (timely correction of 77 of 82 FFY 2005 findings). OSEP cannot determine progress or slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 97%, because the State's FFY 2005 data were based on child-specific correction (and not by program finding). For the remaining five uncorrected findings of noncompliance, the State reported that it required corrective action plans and monthly data reporting, and it conducted quarterly on-site file reviews of EIS programs. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State timely corrected noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 (2006-2007) under this indicator in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501. In addition, the State must specifically identify and address the FFY 2005 and FFY 2006 noncompliance identified in this table under Indicator 1.	
 10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint. [Compliance Indicator] 	The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints during the FFY 2006 reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.[Compliance Indicator]	The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests during the FFY 2006 reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
 12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator] 	Not applicable.	This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted the Part B due process procedures.
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.[Results Indicator]	The State reported that no mediations were held during the FFY 2006 reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with Part C's data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §303.540.
[Compliance Indicator]		