Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues			OSEP Analysis/Next Steps		
Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activitie OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this 2005 data were 81.28%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target. The State reported that neither of the two fi in FFY 2005 was corrected in a timely man noncompliance, the State reported that it his pathologists, conducted focused monitoring.	of 100%. Indicator a of 100%. Indings of notes. For the threed addition	are 81.79% noncompli ne uncorre nal speech	%. The FFY ance identified cted n/language	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 and FFY 2005 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was not corrected. The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the uncorrected noncompliance was corrected. The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.	
2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children. [Results Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 87.89%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 85.12%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 83.4%.			OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.		
3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved: A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships); B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and C. Use of appropriate behaviors to	The State's FFY 2006 reported progress data for this indicator are:				The State reported the required progress data	
	06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	and improvement activities. The State must provide progress data with the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, and baseline data and targets with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.	
	a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	1.92%	0%	0%		

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SP	P Revision	Issues		OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
meet their needs. [Results Indicator; New]	b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	44.23%	38.46%	32.69%	
	c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	11.54%	23.08%	23.08%	
	d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	15.38%	21.15%	25%	
	e. % of infant & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	26.92%	17.31%	19.23%	
	The State provided improvement activities remaining years of the SPP.	for this inc	licator cov	vering the	
4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's reported data for this indicator are:			OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due	
A. Know their rights;		FFY	FFY	FFY	February 1, 2009.
B. Effectively communicate their		2005	2006	2006	
children's needs; and		Data	Data	Target	
C. Help their children develop and	A. Know their rights	45.3%	46.3%	46.3%	
learn. [Results Indicator]	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs	54.4%	49%	54.9%	
	C. Help their children develop and learn.	53.3%	55.9%	54.3%	
	These data represent progress for 4A and 4 FFY 2005 data.	C and slip	page for 41	B from the	
	The State met its FFY 2006 targets for 4A 2005 target for 4B.	and 4C and	d did not n	neet its FFY	

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.	OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are .99%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of .98%.	
B. National data.	The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 1.32%.	
[Results Indicator]		
6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.	OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 2.66%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 2.94%.	
B. National data.	The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 3.12%.	
[Results Indicator]		
7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 95.72%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 89.9%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that two of two findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §\$303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342 was corrected in a timely manner. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §\$303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342 including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 92%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 85%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) was corrected in a timely manner. The State must review its improvement

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
including: A. IFSPs with transition steps and services; [Compliance Indicator]	The State reported that two of two findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.	
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).	
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B. [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 90%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 75%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that one of two findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 was corrected in a timely manner. For the uncorrected noncompliance, the State reported that it hired additional service coordinators, conducted focused monitoring and ongoing supervision and chart reviews at the program level, and provided targeted technical assistance. In its February 1, 2008 APR, for its progress report under the special conditions on its FFY 2007 grant award, the State reported data from its monitoring review in March and April 2007 that demonstrated 88% compliance for CDW Northern Health Services. As stated in Enclosure B to its FFY 2007 grant award letter, dated July 2, 2007, the State is required to	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)) was partially corrected. The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the uncorrected noncompliance was corrected. The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in	

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
	submit its second progress report by June 1, 2008. OSEP will respond to that progress report separately.	the FFY 2006 APR.	
9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification. [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 70%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 62.5%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. For the uncorrected noncompliance, the State reported that it routinely uses focused monitoring, technical assistance and revised contracts.	The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the State has corrected the remaining noncompliance identified in Indicator 9 from FFY 2005. The State must also review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State timely corrected noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 (2006-2007) under this indicator in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501. In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, and 8C, the State must specifically identify and address the noncompliance	
10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint. [Compliance Indicator]	The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	
11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline. [Compliance Indicator]	The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).	Not applicable.	This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted the Part B due process procedures.
[Results Indicator]		
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]	The State reported that no mediations were held during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2006 targets of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.