Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised its FFY 2005 data and established this as baseline for this indicator in its SPP. OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 97%. The State's FFY 2005 data were 97.4%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that 40 out of 42 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 were corrected in a timely manner and that all remaining findings were corrected by March 2007.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was corrected. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements of this indicator, including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children. [Results Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 99%. The State's data reflect a high level of performance for this indicator. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 95%.	The State met its target and OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance. The State's actual target data for provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments are at or greater than 95%. There is no expectation that an increase in that percentage is necessary. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and expects that the State is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams are making service setting decisions on an individualized basis and in compliance with 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and 303.344(d)(1)(ii).

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues			OSEP Analysis/Next Steps		
3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved: A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships); B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. [Results Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported progress dat	a for this i	indicator a	re:	The State reported the required progress	
	06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	data and improvement activities. The State must provide progress data with the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009; and baseline data and targets with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.	
	a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	1%	1%	1%		
	b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	4%	3%	5%		
	c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	40%	38%	35%		
	d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	43%	49%	54%		
	e. % of infant & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	12%	8%	6%		
	The State provided improvement activities fremaining years of the SPP.	for this inc	licator cov	ering the		

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues			OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:	The State revised the targets and improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's reported data for this indicator are:			OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the EEV	
A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn. [Results Indicator]	A. Know their rights. B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. C. Help their children develop and learn. These data represent progress from the FI The State met its FFY 2006 targets for 42 target for 4C. While the State provided actual numbers samples, it did not provide the actual num respondents under this Indicator.	A and 4B and based upon	d did not a	sentative	improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; andB. National data.[Results Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 1.23%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of .93%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 1.05%.			OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.	
6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to: A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data. [Results Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for the data represent progress from the FFY 200. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 3.10)5 data of 3.		6. These	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline. [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 97%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 95%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that 48 out of 54 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 were corrected in a timely manner and that all remaining findings were corrected by December 2007.	As required by OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table, the State reported that the one finding of outstanding noncompliance reported in the FFY 2005 APR was corrected as of September 30, 2006. The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1) and 303.342 was corrected. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the timely service provision requirements of this indicator, including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: A. IFSPs with transition steps and services; [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 99.9%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 99.9%. The State reported that 16 out of 17 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 were corrected in a timely manner and that all remaining findings were corrected by March 2007.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) was corrected. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h).

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 99.4%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 98%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that 19 out of 19 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)) was corrected in a timely manner.
C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B. [Compliance Indicator]		OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the timely transition conference requirements of this indicator, including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification. [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 93%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 93%. The State reported that 159 out of 171 findings were corrected in a timely manner and that all remaining findings of noncompliance were corrected by December 2007. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State timely corrected noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 (2006-2007) under this indicator in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501. In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, and 8C, the State must specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.
10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data are based on four complaints and remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely complaint resolution requirements in 34 CFR §§303.510 through 303.512.
11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data are based on one hearing and remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely due process hearing resolution requirements in 34 CFR §§303.420 and 303.423(b).

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).	Not applicable.	This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted the Part B due process procedures.
[Results Indicator]		
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]	The State reported that no mediations were held during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 93.7%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the timely and accurate data requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.