Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues			OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activitie and OSEP accepts these revisions.  The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of the state did not meet its FFY 2006 target of target of the state did not meet its FFY 2006 target of target of target of target of	indicator of 87%.			The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to demonstrate in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the State is in compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.  OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely service provision requirements in the 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1).
2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.  [Results Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.  The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 96.39%. The State's data reflect a high level of performance for this indicator.  The State met its FFY 2006 target of 90%.			The State met its target and OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.	
3. Percent of infants and toddlers	The State's FFY 2006 reported progress data for this indicator are:			The State reported the required progress	
with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:  A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);  B. Acquisition and use of	06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	data and improvement activities. The State must provide progress data with the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, and baseline data and targets with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.
knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	3.5%	0%	0%	
[Results Indicator; New]	Color				Page 1 of 6

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues				OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	3.5%	7%	0%	
	c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	3.5%	0%	3.5%	
	d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	0%	3.5%	0%	
	e. % of infant & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	25%	89.5%	96.5%	
	The State provided improvement activities tremaining years of the SPP.	for this inc	dicator cov	vering the	
4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:	The State established the baseline for Indicator 4B in this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts this revision.  The State's reported data for this indicator are:			OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.	
A. Know their rights;		FFY	FFY	FFY	
B. Effectively communicate their		2005	2006	2006	
children's needs; and		Data	Data	Target	
C. Help their children develop and learn.	A. Know their rights.	86%	88%	73%	
[Results Indicator	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.	No data	94%	94%	
	C. Help their children develop and learn.	96%	96%	73%	
	These data represent progress for 4A, and remained unchanged for 4C, from the FFY 2005 data. OSEP was unable to determine whether there was progress or slippage for 4B because the State did not submit data for 4B in its FFY 2005 APR.				
	The State met its FFY 2006 targets.				

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.	OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance	
A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are .73%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of .74%.	in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	
B. National data.	The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of .80 %.		
[Results Indicator]			
6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.	OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	
A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 1.92%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 1.85%.		
B. National data.	The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 2%.		
[Results Indicator]			
7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.  The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 90%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 79%.	The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to demonstrate in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the State is in	
[Compliance Indicator]	The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.  The State reported that the two findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 for this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342, including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.	
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: A. IFSPs with transition steps and	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.  The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 97%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 89%.  The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.  The State reported that the one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including reporting correction of the	

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
services;	2005 for this indicator was corrected in a timely manner.	noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
[Compliance Indicator]		APR.
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: B. Notification to LEA, if child	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.  The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 98%.  OSEP was unable to determine progress because the State did not submit data for this indicator in FFY 2005.  The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified
potentially eligible for Part B; and	2000 timger of 100/00	in the FFY 2006 APR.
[Compliance Indicator]		
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B. [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.  The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 83.06%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 89%.  The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.  The State reported that the one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 for this indicator was corrected in a timely manner.	The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to demonstrate in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, that the State is in compliance with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised its improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.  The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%.  The State met its FY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in timely correcting noncompliance identified under this indicator in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501, and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it continues to be in compliance with these requirements.  In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7,

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
		8A, 8B, and 8C the State must specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.	
10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.  The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	
11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.  The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	
12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).  [Results Indicator]	Not applicable.	This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted the Part B due process procedures.	
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.  [Results Indicator]	The State reported that no mediations were held during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.  The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%.	As required by OSEP's FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table, the State included, in its FFY 2006 APR, the required data for Indicators 3 and 8, and used the required	

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
accurate.	The State met its target of 100%.	measurement for Indicator 9.
[Compliance Indicator]		OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely and accurate data requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618 and 642 and 34 CFR §\$76.720 and 303.540.