Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues			OSEP Analysis/Next Steps		
Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data. The State did not meet it FFY 2006 target of the State reported that 10 of 11 findings of FFY 2005 related to this indicator were conthe remaining finding was subsequently conday period.	s indicator of 94.9%. of 100%. noncomplerected in a	are 77%.	These data tified in unner and	As required by OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table, the State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §\$303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was timely corrected. The State reported that its FFY 2005 findings were also corrected. The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements at 34 CFR §\$303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.	
2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children. [Results Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 52.72%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 62.95%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 64%.			OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.		
3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved: A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships); B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and C. Use of appropriate behaviors to	The State's FFY 2006 reported progress data for this indicator are:				The State reported the required progress data	
	06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	and improvement activities. The State must provide progress data with the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, and baseline data and targets with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.	
	a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	17%	14%	14%		

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues			OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
meet their needs. [Results Indicator; New]	b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	17%	19%	19%	
	c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	29%	27%	25%	
	d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	29%	31%	27%	
	e. % of infant & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	8%	8%	15%	
	The State provided improvement activities remaining years of the SPP.	for this ind	licator cov	vering the	
4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's reported data for this indicator are:			OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due	
A. Know their rights;		FFY	FFY	FFY	February 1, 2009.
B. Effectively communicate their		2005	2006	2006	In its description of its FFY 2006 data, the
children's needs; and		Data	Data	Target	State did not address whether the response
C. Help their children develop and	A. Know their rights.	71.73%	59%	73%	group was representative of the population.
learn. [Results Indicator] B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. C. Help their children develop and learn. 79.41%	70%	63%	In the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State must address whether its FFY 2007 data are representative.		
	.	79.41%	71%	81%	data are representative.
	These data represent progress for 4B and slippage for 4A and 4C from the FFY 2005 data.				
	The State met its FFY 2006 target for 4B and did not meet its targets for 4A and 4C.				
5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 1.02%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of .39%.			OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.	

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data. [Results Indicator]	The State met its FFY 2006 target of .42%.	
6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; andB. National data.	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 2.75%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 2.25%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 2.25%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.
7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline. [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 84%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 75.80%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that 10 of 11 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner and the remaining finding was subsequently corrected within an additional 90-day period.	As required by OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table, the State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 with the 45-day timeline requirements at 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342 was timely corrected. The State reported that its FFY 2005 findings were also corrected. The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342, including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 99%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 54%.	As required by OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table, the State reported that its FFY 2006 data reflect the measurement for this indicator (the number of children exiting Part C who have an IFSP with transition steps and services divided by

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
including: A. IFSPs with transition steps and services; [Compliance Indicator]	The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that 10 of 10 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	the number of children exiting Part C times 100) and confirmed that it applied that measurement to its revised FFY 2004 baseline data and its FFY 2005 data. The State also reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 and FFY 2005 with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) was timely corrected. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 96.4%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 79%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that 10 of 10 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	As required by OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table, the State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 and FFY 2005 with the LEA notification requirements at 34 CFR \$303.148(b)(1) was timely corrected. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR \$303.148(b)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 44%. These data	As required by OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table, the State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 and in FFY 2005 with the timely

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B. [Compliance Indicator]	represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 87%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. The State reported that 9 of 9 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)) was timely corrected. The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification. [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. OSEP's calculation of the State's FFY 2006 data for this indicator is 91.8%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 41%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%. For the uncorrected noncompliance, the State reported that it provided technical assistance and required a corrective action plan.	As required by OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table, the State reported that its FFY 2005 data of 41% were based on noncompliance identified in 2004-2005 and corrected within the one year timeline, and the noncompliance identified in 2004-2005 has been corrected. However, the State must clarify in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the difference in the 60 findings for FFY 2004 that the State reported in its FFY 2005 APR and the 31 findings for this same period (2004 – 2005) that the State reported in its FFY 2006 APR, and provide updated correction data for any remaining findings. The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501.

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
		In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, 8B and 8C, the State must specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.	
10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%, based on ten complaints. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely complaint resolution requirements in 34 CFR §§303.510 through 303.512.	
11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline. [Compliance Indicator]	The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	
12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator]	The State reported that no resolution sessions were held during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]	The State reported that no mediations were held during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 88%. However, OSEP's calculation of the data for this indicator is 94.3%.	The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in	

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
[Compliance Indicator]	The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	compliance with the timely and accurate data requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.