Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues			OSEP Analysis/Next Steps		
Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 <sup>1</sup> reported data for this represent progress from the FFY 2005 data. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of the State reported that two of two findings FFY 2005 related to this indicator were compared to the state of the sta	of 96.6%. of 100%. of noncom	pliance id	lentified in		The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was corrected in a timely manner.  OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.  [Results Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 89.5%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 87.4%.  The State met its FFY 2006 target of 88.5%.			OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.		
3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:	The State's FFY 2006 reported progress data for this indicator are:					The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must provide progress data with the FFY 2007
A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships); B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication);	06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior		APR, due February 1, 2009, and baseline data and targets with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.
,,,,,,,,	a. % of infant & toddlers who did not	21%	20%	13%		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The State's FFY 2006 APR reported data based on the State Fiscal Year (SFY) 2007 (the period from October 1, 2006 through September 30, 2007 as its FFY 2005 data. OSEP's use of "FFY 2006 data" or "FFY 2006 targets" in this chart reflects the SFY 2007 data or targets in the State's FFY 2006 APR. Similarly, references to "FFY 2005 data" reflect the SFY 2006 data.

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPF	Revision	Issues		OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
and	improve functioning.				
C. Use of appropriate behaviors to	b. % of infant & toddlers who improved	3%	8%	5%	
meet their needs.	but not sufficient to move nearer to				
[Results Indicator; New]	functioning comparable to same-aged				
	peers.	100/	220/	200/	
	c. % of infant & toddlers who improved	18%	33%	20%	
	to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.				
	did not reach it.  d. % of infant & toddlers who improved	22%	30%	25%	
	functioning to reach a level comparable	22/0	3070	2570	
	to same-aged peers.				
	e. % of infant & toddlers who	36%	9%	37%	
	maintained functioning at a level				
	comparable to same-aged peers.				
4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:	The State provided improvement activities for this indicator covering the remaining years of the SPP.  The State added a new improvement activity for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts that revision.  The State's reported data for this indicator are:			OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due	
A. Know their rights;					February 1, 2009.
B. Effectively communicate their		FFY	FFY	FFY	
children's needs; and		2005	2006	2006	
C. Help their children develop and		Data	Data	Target	
learn.	A. Know their rights.	96.4%	94.5%	96.5%	
[Results Indicator]	B. Effectively communicate their	93.7%	95.4%	93.8%	
	children's needs.				
	C. Help their children develop and	98%	98%	98%	
	learn.				
		I.	1		
		C 4 4	1	. , ,	
	These data represent progress for 4B, slippa	ige for 4A	, and rema	ın unchanged	

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	for 4C.  The State met its FFY 2006 target for 4B and C. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target for 4A.	
5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:  A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and  B. National data.  [Results Indicator]	The State revised the targets and improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State revised the targets for SFY 2008-2011 (FFY 2007-2010) to be less rigorous than the targets previously included in the State's SPP. The State indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the revised targets.  The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are .46%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of .50%.  The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of .75%.	OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:  A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and  B. National data.  [Results Indicator]	The State revised the targets and improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State revised the targets for SFY 2007-2011 (FFY 2006-2010) to be less rigorous than the targets previously included in the State's SPP. The State indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the revised targets.  The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 1.37%. The FFY 2005 data were 1.39%.  The State met its revised FFY 2006 target of 1.37%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.
7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 98%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.  The State reported that two of two findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342 was corrected in a timely manner.  OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342.
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 98%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the IFSP transition

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps		
transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:  A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;  [Compliance Indicator]	The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.  The State reported that it made no FFY 2005 findings of noncompliance for this indicator.	content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h).		
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts that revision.  The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The FFY 2005 data were 99.5%. The State met its target of 100%.  The State reported that it made no FFY 2005 findings of noncompliance for this indicator.	OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to clarify in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, whether it had adopted an opt-out policy under IDEA section 637(a)(9), 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) and OSEP's 2004 Letter to Elder. The State reported that it has adopted an opt-out policy under OSEP's 2004 Letter to Elder. The State must submit its opt-out policy as part of Section II of the State's Part C Application.  OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).		
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:  C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 99%.  The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.  The State reported that two of two findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)) was corrected in a timely manner.  OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)).		

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
[Compliance Indicator]			
9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.  [Compliance Indicator]	remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 100%.  The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.  The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.		
		In addition, in responding to Indicator 1, the State must specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under that indicator.	
10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	
11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	
12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).	The State reported that no resolutions sessions were held during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
[Results Indicator]		
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.  [Results Indicator]	The State reported that no mediations were held during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.