Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues			OSEP Analysis/Next Steps			
Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities and OSEP accepts those revisions.  The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005  The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target  The State reported that one of two findings in FFY 2005 was corrected in a timely man was corrected prior to the submission of the	indicator a data of 849 of 100%. of noncomparer and the	re 84%. '6. bliance id remainin	These entified	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was corrected.  The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.		
2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.  [Results Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 96%. These data reflect a high level of performance for this indicator.  The State met its FFY 2006 target of 95%.			The State's actual target data for provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments are at or greater than 95%. There is no expectation that an increase in that percentage is necessary. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and expects that the State is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams are making service setting decisions on an individualized basis and in compliance with 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and 303.344(d)(1)(ii).			
3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate	The State's FFY 2006 reported progress data for this indicator are:				The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must		
improved:  A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);  B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including	06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data  a. % of infant & toddlers who did not	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	provide progress data with the FFY 2007 APR due February 1, 2009, and baseline data and targets with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.		
early language/ communication); and	improve functioning.						

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues			OSEP Analysis/Next Steps	
C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.  [Results Indicator; New]	b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	28.57%	14.29%	28.57%	
	c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	14.29%	28.57%	0.00%	
	d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	14.29%	28.57%	42.86%	
	e. % of infant & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	28.57%	28.57%	14.29%	
	The State provided improvement activities remaining years of the SPP.	for this inc	licator cov	ering the	
4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.  The State's reported data for this indicator are:			OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	
A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and		FFY 2005 Data	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2006 Target	
C. Help their children develop and	A. Know their rights.	94%	92%	98%	
learn.  [Results Indicator; New]	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.	97%	97%	99%	
	C. Help their children develop and learn.	98%	96%	100%	
	These data represent slippage for 4A and 4C and remain unchanged for 4B from the FFY 2005 data.				
	The State did not meet its FFY 2006 targets	S.			
5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.			OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.	
A. Other States with similar					

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
eligibility definitions; and	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 0.76%.	
B. National data.	These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 0.9%.	
[Results Indicator]	The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 1.0%.	
6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:  A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and  B. National data.  [Results Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.  The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 1.96%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 2.1%.  The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 2.2%.	OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.  The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 85.5%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 88%.  The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.  The State reported that three of three findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342 was corrected in a timely manner.  As required by OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table, the State provided updated data demonstrating that the remaining FFY 2004 finding of noncompliance with the 45-day timeline requirements was also corrected.  The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342, including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:  A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;  [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 88%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 94%.  The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.  The State reported that three of three findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) was corrected in a timely manner.  The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 80%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 86%.  The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.  The State reported that two of two findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) was corrected in a timely manner.  The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 83%.  These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 85%.  The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.  The State reported that two of two findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)) was corrected in a timely manner.

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
including:  C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.  [Compliance Indicator]		As required by OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table, the State provided updated data demonstrating that the remaining FFY 2003 finding of noncompliance with the timely transition conference requirements at one local agency (TCC) was also corrected.
		The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)), including reporting correction of noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.  The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 92%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 93.8%.  The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.  The State reported that 11 of 12 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 were corrected in a timely manner and that the remaining finding was corrected prior to the submission of the FFY 2006 APR.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that the State timely corrected noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 (2006-2007) under this indicator in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) 34 CFR §303.501. In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, 8B, and 8C the State must specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.
10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.	The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
[Compliance Indicator]		
11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).  [Results Indicator]	Not applicable.	This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted the Part B due process procedures.
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.  [Results Indicator]	The State reported that no mediations were held during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%.  The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.