

Rod & Debbie Parrish <rodndeb@earthlink.net>
12/22/2003 09:16:05 PM

Please respond to Rod & Debbie Parrish <rodndeb@earthlink.net>

Record Type: Record

To: Mabel E. Echols OMB_Peer_Review/OMB/EOP@EOP

cc:

Subject: Proposed bulletin on peer review

Dear Director,

It is my personal and professional opinion that the provisions in the proposed OMB bulletin on "Peer Review and Information Quality" are without merit. As a tax-paying, voting, and informed citizen, I urge you to rescind the proposed bulletin and to rethink the issue.

Peer review is essential to good science, and to the publication of the results of good science. Peer review as a policy tool to clog administrative processes and to slow the objective evaluation of matters of public interest is a red herring. You should gauge the good to be gained by the proposed changes against the harm that will result from what is apparently a politically driven effort by some in your office.

Rod Parrish
Scientist, veteran, administrator, citizen