



Bureau of Justice Statistics Special Report

October 2008, NCJ 223851

Civil Justice Survey of State Courts, 2005

Civil Bench and Jury Trials in State Courts, 2005

by Lynn Langton, M.A. and Thomas H. Cohen, Ph.D.
BJS Statisticians

State courts of general jurisdiction disposed of approximately 26,950 general civil cases—tort, contract, and real property—through a jury or bench trial in 2005. These trials were a small percentage of the reported 7.4 million civil claims filed in all unified and general jurisdiction state courts nationwide.¹ Among jurisdictions that provided totals for both trial and non-trial general civil dispositions in 2005, trials collectively accounted for about 3% of all tort, contract, and real property dispositions in general jurisdiction courts.

Civil bench and jury trials are rare but important events. Records from civil trials are the primary source of information on civil cases in general. The terms of settlement agreements and other key information for civil cases resolved prior to trial may not be reported to the court or may not be publicly available.

The Civil Justice Survey of State Courts (CJSSC) examines tort, contract, and real property trials disposed of in general jurisdiction courts. It provides information such as the types of litigants involved in trials, who wins in civil trials, compensatory award amounts, punitive damages, and case processing times. The 2005 CJSSC was the first time that the series examined general civil trials concluded in a national sample of urban, suburban, and rural jurisdictions. Prior iterations of the CJSSC focused on general civil trial litigation in the nation's 75 most populous counties.²

¹Approximately 7.4 million civil claims were filed in general jurisdiction and unified jurisdiction courts in 2005, with 4.5 million of those claims filed in courts of general jurisdiction and 2.9 million civil cases filed in states with a unified court structure. See LaFountain, R., Schauffler, R., Strickland, S., Raftery, W., & Bromage, C. *Examining the Work of State Courts, 2006: A National Perspective from the Court Statistics Project* (National Center for State Courts 2007).

²The 1992, 1996 and 2001 CJSSC reports are available at <<http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/civil.htm#publications>>.

Median compensatory and punitive final awards for plaintiff winners in select trial cases




Figure 1

Major findings from the 2005 Civil Justice Survey of State Courts include—

- A jury decided almost 70% of the approximately 26,950 general civil trials disposed of in 2005.
- About 60% of the general civil trials included in the survey involved a tort claim and about a third involved contractual issues.
- Plaintiffs won in almost 60% of trials overall.
- The median damage award for plaintiffs who won monetary damages in general civil trials was \$28,000 (figure 1).
- Punitive damages were awarded to 5% of plaintiff winners in general civil trials in 2005.
- In the nation's 75 most populous counties, the number of general civil cases disposed of by jury or bench trial declined by about 50% from 1992 to 2005.

Detailed information is available in appendix tables in the online version of this report on the BJS Website at <<http://www.usdoj.gov/bjs/pub/pdf/cbjtsc05.pdf>>.

Motor vehicle accident cases accounted for over a third of civil trials in 2005

The majority (61%) of the nation's civil cases disposed of by trial involved a tort claim, in which the plaintiff(s) alleged injury, loss, or damage from the negligent or intentional acts of the defendant(s) (table 1). Contract cases, concerning an alleged breach of a contractual agreement, accounted for 33% of all civil trials in state courts in 2005. Real property cases, involving disputes over land ownership, accounted for 6%.

The most common types of civil cases disposed of by trial were motor vehicle accident cases (35%), followed by seller plaintiff cases involving payments owed for the provision of goods or services (11%). Buyer plaintiff cases, in which the purchasers of goods or services sought the return of money, accounted for 10% of all civil cases disposed of by trial in 2005. Medical malpractice cases, involving the allegation of harm caused by a doctor, dentist, or other health care provider, accounted for 9%, and premises liability cases, concerning an alleged harm from inadequately maintained or dangerous property, accounted for 7% of the civil trials. Employment discrimination and product liability cases each accounted for less than 2% of all civil trials in 2005.

Nine of every 10 tort trials resolved by juries in 2005

Civil trials involving tort claims of personal injury or damaged property were most often heard before a jury (90%), rather than a judge (10%). Medical malpractice (99%), alleged illness or harm due to asbestos (96%) or some other product (93%), premises liability (94%), and motor vehicle accident (92%) cases were among the most likely tort claims to be tried by a jury in 2005.

Judges decided a greater percentage of business-related civil trials—contract (64%) and real property (74%) cases—than juries. Litigants waived their rights to a jury trial and had their cases decided by a judge in more than 80% of contract cases involving seller plaintiff, mortgage foreclosure, rental lease agreement, and subrogation issues. In the category employment discrimination, the majority (91%) of contract trials were decided by a jury.

Table 1. Civil trials in state courts, by case type, 2005

Case type	Total trials*		
	Number	Percent of total trials	Percent disposed through jury trial
All cases	26,948	100.0%	68.3%
Tort cases	16,397	60.8%	90.0%
Motor vehicle	9,431	35.0	92.1
Medical malpractice	2,449	9.1	98.7
Premises liability	1,863	6.9	93.8
Intentional tort	725	2.7	78.3
Other or unknown tort	664	2.5	71.6
Conversion	378	1.4	46.3
Product liability	354	1.3	93.5
Asbestos	87	0.3	95.5
Other	268	1.0	92.7
Slander/libel	187	0.7	64.2
Professional malpractice	150	0.6	59.9
Animal attack	138	0.5	80.6
False arrest, imprisonment	58	0.2	63.9
Contract cases	8,917	33.1%	36.0%
Seller plaintiff	2,883	10.7	16.6
Buyer plaintiff	2,591	9.6	44.1
Fraud	1,114	4.1	50.2
Rental/lease	605	2.2	19.2
Other employment dispute	558	2.1	62.9
Employment discrimination	319	1.2	91.2
Mortgage foreclosure	249	0.9	3.5
Other or unknown contract	245	0.9	52.2
Tortious interference	152	0.6	61.7
Partnership dispute	119	0.4	32.3
Subrogation	82	0.3	7.4
Real property cases	1,633	6.1%	26.4%
Title or boundary dispute	963	3.6	15.0
Eminent domain	542	2.0	50.7
Other or unknown real property	129	0.5	9.0

Note: Detail may not sum to 100% because of rounding.

*Trial cases include all bench and jury trials, trials with a directed verdict, judgments notwithstanding the verdict, and jury trials for defaulted defendants. See *Methodology* for case type definitions.

Judges hear business litigation more often than juries

Civil cases tried before juries and judges in state courts differed in terms of the litigants, plaintiff win rates, damage awards, and case processing times (table 2). Bench trials (57%) had a higher percentage of business litigants than jury trials (39%) and were likely to be decided in less time than jury trials. Judges were more likely than juries to find for plaintiffs. Plaintiffs won in 68% of bench trials, compared to about 54% of jury trials.

The median damage awards in 2005 were statistically similar for both jury and bench trials overall. Contract cases tried before a jury (\$74,000), however, had significantly higher median final awards than contract cases decided by a judge (\$25,000).

Table 2. Bench and jury trials in state courts, by selected characteristics, 2005

	Jury	Bench
How many civil trials were decided by a jury or judge?	18,404	8,543*
Who were the litigants?^a		
Individual vs. individual	45.5%	33.7%*
Individual vs. business	30.4	22.2 [†]
Business vs. individual	1.8	17.9 [†]
Business vs. business	6.4	16.8 [†]
Who won?^b		
Plaintiffs overall	54.4%	68.0%*
Plaintiffs in torts	52.8	60.8
Plaintiffs in contracts	61.6	70.1 [†]
What was the median final award?^c		
In all cases	\$30,500	\$24,000
In tort cases	24,300	21,100
In contract cases	74,000	25,000*
What percent of prevailing plaintiffs were awarded \$1 million or more?		
In all cases	6.3%	1.6%*
In tort cases	5.7	3.7
In contract cases	8.7	1.0*
What percent of plaintiff winners seeking punitive damages were awarded punitive damages?^d	34.0%	19.6%
What percent of cases were terminated within two years?^e	56.9%	76.0%*

*Jury-bench difference is significant at the 95% confidence level.

^aData on litigant pairings were available for 99.8% of jury and 99.7% of bench trials. Bench and jury percentages do not add to 100% due to the exclusion of hospital and government litigants.

^bData on plaintiff winners were not applicable to real property trials. Data were available for 99.7% of tort and contract jury trials and 99.4% of tort and contract bench trials.

^cThere were 9,376 jury and 4,794 bench trials in which the plaintiff won an award. Median award amounts were calculated for plaintiff winners in tort and contract cases.

^dIncludes only the 1,824 plaintiff winners who sought punitive damages. Data were available for 96.6% of trials.

^eCase processing data were available for 99.9% of jury and 99.5% of bench trials.

Individuals accounted for the majority of plaintiffs in tort trials; businesses were more heavily represented as plaintiffs in contract disputes

In 2005, individuals accounted for the largest percentage of plaintiffs (81%) and defendants (50%) in civil trials (table 3). This held true in both tort and real property trials. For contract trials, the majority of defendants were businesses (58%). Also in contract trials, a larger percentage of plaintiffs were businesses (43%) than in tort (4%) or real property (9%) cases.

Real property cases involved the highest percentage of government plaintiffs (26%) and defendants (11%). A hospital or medical company was the plaintiff in less than 1% and the defendant in less than 6% of all civil bench and jury trials in 2005.

Table 3. Primary litigants in civil trials in state courts, by case type, 2005

Litigant by case type ^a	Total	Primary litigant			
		Individual	Business ^b	Government ^c	Hospital ^d
All cases					
Plaintiff	100%	80.5	17.3	2.1	0.1
Defendant	100%	50.1	38.1	6.0	5.8
Tort					
Plaintiff	100%	95.8	4.0	0.1	/
Defendant	100%	55.0	29.3	7.0	8.8
Contract					
Plaintiff	100%	55.0	43.3	1.3	0.3
Defendant	100%	36.9	58.4	3.3	1.4
Real property					
Plaintiff	100%	64.6	9.4	25.9	/
Defendant	100%	73.8	15.7	10.5	/

Note: Plaintiff or defendant type for each case is whichever type appears first in this list: 1. hospital/medical company, 2. governmental agencies, 3. corporate/business, and 4. individuals. A case with a hospital defendant was categorized as such even if a business, individual, or government was also a defendant in the case.
/No cases reported.

^a0.1% of plaintiff types and 0.2% of defendant types are unknown.

^bIncludes insurance companies and other businesses not involved in the medical industry.

^cIncludes government organizations and law enforcement agencies.

^dIncludes any organization or medical practice that provides health care and dental treatment. Individual doctors are counted as individuals.

Seventy percent of civil trials involved individuals suing other individuals or businesses; 40% of trials involved one plaintiff and one defendant

The most common civil trials involved an individual suing either another individual (42%) or a business (28%) (table 4). Businesses sued other businesses in about 10% of all civil trials. In 2% of all civil trials, a government entity initiated the lawsuit.

Excluding class action lawsuits, almost 86,000 litigants were involved in general civil trials in 2005. Forty percent (10,800) of all civil trials disposed of in state courts in 2005 involved one plaintiff and one defendant. Almost half (47%) of all civil bench and jury trials in 2005 had multiple defendants, and more than a quarter (29%) had multiple plaintiffs (not shown in a table).

Table 4. Civil trials in state courts, by litigant pairings, 2005

Litigants	Number of total trials ^a
All trials	26,984
Individual versus—	
Individual	11,224
Business	7,472
Hospital	1,546
Government	1,383
Business versus—	
Individual	1,852
Business	2,604
Hospital	9
Government	198
Hospital versus^b—	
Individual	13
Business	16
Hospital	7
Government versus^b—	
Individual	384
Business	151
Government	22

^aLitigant data were available for 99.7% of trials.

^bThere were no reported cases in which a hospital/medical company filed against the government or in which the government filed against a hospital/medical company.

Plaintiffs won in the majority of tort and contract trials

Plaintiffs won in more than half (56%) of all general civil trials concluded in state courts (table 5). In 2005, a higher percentage of plaintiffs won in contract (66%) than in tort (52%) cases.

Among tort trials, plaintiffs were most likely to win in cases involving an animal attack (75%), followed by motor vehicle accident (64%), asbestos (55%), and intentional tort (52%) cases. Plaintiffs had the lowest percentage of wins in medical malpractice trials (23%), product liability trials that did not involve asbestos (20%), and false arrest or imprisonment trials (16%), compared to plaintiffs in other tort cases.

In contract cases, plaintiffs won in the majority of trials for all case types except subrogation (28%), which involves an insurance company seeking to recover the amount paid on behalf of a client. Mortgage foreclosure cases, in which the plaintiff was either a mortgage company or other financial lending institution, had the highest percentage of plaintiff winners (89%) of all tort and contract cases in 2005.

Table 5. Percent of plaintiff winners in civil trials in state courts, by case type, 2005

Case type	Total trials	
	Number	Plaintiff winners*
All cases	23,445	56.4%
Tort cases	15,428	51.6%
Animal attack	125	75.2
Motor vehicle	8,844	64.3
Product liability (asbestos)	82	54.9
Intentional tort	609	51.6
Conversion	296	48.3
Other or unknown tort	606	41.1
Slander/libel	175	39.4
Professional malpractice	143	39.2
Premises liability	1,827	38.4
Medical malpractice	2,397	22.7
Product liability (other)	265	19.6
False arrest, imprisonment	58	15.5
Contract cases	8,016	65.6%
Mortgage foreclosure	245	89.4
Seller plaintiff	2,610	74.6
Partnership dispute	102	65.7
Rental/lease	531	62.5
Buyer plaintiff	2,252	62.3
Employment discrimination	307	60.9
Tortious interference	146	60.3
Other or unknown contract	214	59.3
Fraud	1,041	59.1
Other employment dispute	519	50.9
Subrogation	51	27.5

Note: Data on plaintiff winners were not applicable to real property cases. Data on plaintiff winners were available for 99.6% of all tort and contract trials.

*Includes cases in which both the plaintiff and defendant won damages and the plaintiff award amount was greater than the defendant award amount. Excludes the 1,884 bifurcated trials in which the plaintiff litigated only the damage claim.

Over 60% of plaintiff winners were granted final awards of \$50,000 or less

Plaintiff winners in civil bench and jury trials were awarded an estimated sum of \$6 billion in compensatory and punitive damages in 2005 (not shown in a table). Among the 14,000 plaintiffs awarded monetary damages, the median final award amount was \$28,000 (table 6). Contract cases in general had higher median awards (\$35,000) than tort cases (\$24,000).

Almost two-thirds (62%) of all plaintiff award winners were awarded \$50,000 or less. A small percentage (about 4%) of all plaintiff award winners were awarded \$1 million or more. Plaintiff winners in asbestos cases tended to win the highest award amounts. The median final award in asbestos

cases was almost \$700,000. More than three-quarters of all award amounts in asbestos cases were greater than \$250,000.

Cases with median final awards over \$150,000 included other product liability (\$500,000), medical malpractice (\$400,000), false arrest or imprisonment (\$259,000), employment discrimination (\$175,000), and tortious interference (\$169,000).

Motor vehicle accident cases accounted for more than 40% of all plaintiff award winners in 2005. The median award in motor vehicle accident cases was \$15,000. Forty percent of plaintiff winners in motor vehicle accident trials were awarded \$10,000 or less.

Table 6. Plaintiff award winners in civil trials in state courts, by case type, 2005

Case type	Number of trials with plaintiff winner ^a	Median final award amount ^b	Percent of plaintiff winners with final awards				
			<\$10,000	\$10,001-\$50,000	\$50,001-\$250,000	\$250,001-\$1 million	>\$1 million
All cases	14,170	\$28,000	28.7%	33.0%	24.1%	9.9%	4.4%
Tort cases	8,455	\$24,000	32.7%	30.6%	21.3%	10.4%	5.0%
Product liability	99	567,000	4.0	17.2	13.1	35.4	30.3
Asbestos	47	682,000	2.1	0.0	19.1	53.2	25.5
Other	52	500,000	5.8	32.7	7.7	17.3	36.5
Medical malpractice	584	400,000	0.7	5.3	29.1	43.8	21.1
False arrest, imprisonment	8	259,000	37.5	0.0	12.5	12.5	37.5
Professional malpractice	63	129,000	3.2	31.7	22.2	28.6	14.3
Premises liability	666	98,000	5.6	30.9	32.9	23.3	7.4
Other or unknown tort	305	83,000	36.1	8.9	27.2	12.5	15.4
Intentional tort	429	38,000	28.7	23.8	36.1	7.0	4.4
Conversion	148	27,000	24.3	31.8	37.2	4.1	2.7
Slander/libel	80	24,000	22.5	40.0	2.5	22.5	12.5
Animal attack	107	21,000	37.4	32.7	29.0	0.9	0.0
Motor vehicle	5,964	15,000	40.0	34.8	17.7	5.4	2.1
Contract cases	5,715	\$35,000	22.7%	36.5%	28.3%	9.1%	3.5%
Employment discrimination	183	175,000	3.3	7.1	70.5	14.8	4.4
Tortious interference	90	169,000	8.9	12.2	34.4	24.4	20.0
Partnership dispute	82	120,000	7.3	24.4	41.5	22.0	4.9
Mortgage foreclosure	222	78,000	1.4	15.3	71.6	10.4	1.4
Fraud	660	75,000	16.1	24.1	34.1	18.3	7.4
Other employment dispute	282	45,000	8.9	45.7	26.6	13.1	5.7
Rental/lease	293	35,000	25.6	38.2	27.3	7.8	1.0
Subrogation	44	30,000	25.0	70.5	4.5	0.0	0.0
Other or unknown contract	134	30,000	21.6	29.9	20.9	11.2	16.4
Seller plaintiff	2,177	27,000	23.0	45.2	24.9	4.9	2.0
Buyer plaintiff	1,549	17,000	34.2	35.6	19.9	8.2	2.1

Note: Data on plaintiff winners were not applicable to real property cases.

^aExcludes bifurcated trials in which the plaintiff won on the liability only claim. Includes cases in which both the plaintiff and defendant won damages and the plaintiff award amount was larger. Also includes damages only trials in which the plaintiff was awarded a greater damage amount. Number of plaintiffs awarded damages may be different from the number of plaintiffs who successfully litigated the case. This difference is primarily due to the exclusion of plaintiff winners who receive no award because of award reductions and to the inclusion of bifurcated damage trials.

^bAward data rounded to the nearest thousand. Median amounts calculated for compensatory plus punitive damage awards, after adjustments for contributory negligence, prior settlement, high/low agreement and damage caps but prior to post-trial activity and appeals.

Punitive damages were awarded to 5% of plaintiff winners in general civil trials in 2005

Punitive damages are awarded to punish and deter the defendant. Punitive damages were sought in 13% of the approximately 14,000 general civil trials with plaintiff winners in 2005 (table 7). Plaintiffs were awarded punitive damages in 700 of the 14,000 trials (5%).

The median overall punitive damage amount awarded to plaintiff winners was \$64,000. About a quarter (27%) of the punitive damage awards in 2005 were over \$250,000 and 13% were \$1 million or more. Of the approximately 450 contract cases in which punitive damages were awarded, plaintiffs were awarded punitive amounts of \$250,000 or more in 40% of the trials.

Tortious interference (\$6,900,000) and medical malpractice (\$2,800,000) cases had among the highest median punitive damage awards for specific contract and tort case types. During 2005, there were less than 20 of each case type in which punitive damages were awarded. Among the case types in which punitive damages were awarded most frequently—intentional torts, fraud, and buyer plaintiff cases—the median punitive damage awards were \$100,000 or less.

Largest damage award was \$172 million

Of the civil trials sampled in state courts nationwide in 2005, the largest damage award was granted to approximately 116,000 California employees who brought a class-action lawsuit against a large retail corporation.

The lawsuit was originally filed in 2001 by several former employees and was expanded to cover California employees working for the retailer between 2001 and 2005. The employees claimed that the retailer had violated a California state law requiring that employees working six hours or more be given a 30-minute, unpaid lunch break. Under the law, if an employee was not permitted the break, the company was required to pay a full hour's wages in compensation. The employees maintained that they were owed more than \$66 million plus interest.

After four months of testimony and three days of deliberation, an Alameda County jury awarded the plaintiffs \$57 million in general damages and \$115 million in punitive damages.

Table 7. Plaintiff winners who sought and were awarded punitive damages in civil trials, by selected case types, 2005

Case type	Number of plaintiffs who sought punitive damages ^a	Punitive damages awarded ^b		Number of cases with punitive damages	
		Number	Median amount	Over \$250,000	\$1 million or more
All cases	1,823	700	\$64,000	191	93
Tort cases^c	822	254	\$55,000	59	43
Medical malpractice	56	6	2,835,000	5	5
Intentional tort	141	126	81,000	13	4
Conversion	31	12	50,000	5	2
Slander/libel	38	24	13,000	9	6
Motor vehicle	417	67	7,500	9	8
Animal attack	23	0	/	/	/
Contract cases^c	1,001	446	\$69,000	132	50
Tortious interference	42	18	6,888,000	12	11
Employment discrimination	84	10	115,000	1	1
Fraud	259	151	100,000	67	7
Seller plaintiff	88	14	86,000	2	0
Buyer plaintiff	372	138	53,000	20	3
Other employment disputes	93	86	10,000	12	10

/No cases reported.

^aData on punitive damages sought are available for 99.9% of total trial cases with a plaintiff winner.

^bData on punitive damages awarded are available for 97.5% of total trial cases with a plaintiff winner. Median amounts are reported prior to adjustments, post-trial activity, or appeals and are rounded to the nearest thousand.

^cSpecific case types will not sum to tort and contract totals because not all case types are shown in the table.

Punitive damages exceeded compensatory awards in over half of the cases in which they were awarded

In a number of cases since 1996 the United States Supreme Court has examined the issue of what constitutes a *grossly excessive*³ ratio between plaintiff compensatory and punitive damage award amounts. In 2003, the Supreme Court opined that “few awards exceeding a single-digit ratio between punitive and compensatory damages...will satisfy due process.”⁴

In 2005, punitive damages exceeded compensatory awards in 37% of tort and 62% of contract trials (table 8). Punitive awards were at least four times greater than compensatory awards in 26% of all applicable trials. In 17% of applicable trials, punitive awards exceeded compensatory awards by a ratio of 10 to 1 or greater (not shown in table).

Damage awards were adjusted in about 16% of all civil trials

The initial compensatory and punitive damage amounts awarded to plaintiff winners were adjusted prior to trial end in about 16% of all trials (not shown in a table). Of the approximately 2,300 adjusted awards, over half (56%) were reduced due to findings of contributory negligence on the part of the plaintiff. A small percentage (about 1%) were adjusted because of damage caps. Thirty percent of the adjustments were due to miscalculation of cost, payment of additional fees, set-off claims, collateral source reductions, and other reasons.

³In *BMW of North America, Inc. v. Gore*, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled that the punitive damages of more than 500 times the amount of compensatory damages was *grossly excessive* and unconstitutional (517 U.S. 559, May 20, 1996).

⁴*State Farm Automobile Insurance Company v. Campbell* (123 S.Ct. 1513:1524, April 7, 2003).

Class action lawsuits

A case must meet the following criteria if it is to be class action certified:

- The case must involve plaintiffs so numerous that it would be impractical to bring them all before court;
- The case must have a named representative(s) who can fairly represent all members of the class;
- The case must have class members with a well defined common interest in the questions of law or fact to be resolved (Black's Law Dictionary).

Within the jurisdictions included in the 2005 Civil Justice Survey of State Courts, 14 trials were identified as being class action certified. Over half (8) of the trials occurred in Philadelphia County, Pennsylvania.

Class action cases are unique in that they tend to take longer to process than regular civil trials. In 2005, the 14 class action trials lasted 17 days on average. The total time from filing to disposition averaged 3.5 years.

Of the 14 class action certified trials in 2005, ten were heard before a jury. Nine of the 14 involved a product liability claim: three were asbestos cases, four involved a well-known prescription drug combination, one was a non-prescription drug case, and one involved a defective automobile part. Another tort case involved a class of neighbors alleging discomfort as a result of a nearby factory's release of a chemical into the air. There were also four contract cases that were class action certified.

The defendants in all 14 class action certified cases were businesses. The plaintiffs prevailed in ten of the 14 class action trials. The median final award in the ten trials was \$1 million.

Table 8. Award amounts for plaintiffs who were awarded punitive damages in civil trials in state courts, by case type, 2005

	Cases with plaintiff winner awarded punitive damages	Sum total of damage award amounts (in thousands)			Percent of punitive damage cases with punitive awards-		
		Total*	Punitive	Compensatory*	Greater than compensatory damage awards	At least 2 times greater than compensatory awards	At least 4 times greater than compensatory awards
All cases	700	\$2,170,338	\$1,231,764	\$914,371	52.8%	38.2%	26.1%
Tort cases	254	\$802,227	\$335,550	\$454,781	37.4%	20.8%	8.3%
Contract cases	446	1,368,111	896,214	459,589	61.7	48.2	36.1

Note: Punitive and compensatory damage data will not sum to total because costs, fees, and interest have been excluded.

*Compensatory and total award damages do not include post-trial activity or appeals. Total award damage amounts include adjustments for contributory negligence, damage caps, high/low agreements and prior settlements. Compensatory amounts are adjusted to reflect award amounts after any counterclaim damage awards have been subtracted.

Jury trials lasted two days longer on average than bench trials

In 2005, jury trials for general civil cases lasted almost four days on average (table 9). Bench trials lasted almost two days. Thirteen percent of jury trials and 70% of bench trials were completed within one day (not shown in a table). Among bench and jury trials, contract cases lasted slightly longer on average than both tort and real property cases.

Asbestos jury trials tended to take the most time, averaging 13 days in trial. Although less than 10% of employment discrimination, medical malpractice, and other product liability cases were bench trials, these cases took the longest for judges to hear and dispose (about three days). The longest trial recorded in the 2005 CJSSC sample was a premises liability case, in which the trial lasted for 69 days (not shown in a table).

Majority of bench and jury trials were disposed within two years of filing

Cases heard before a jury took more time from filing of the complaint to rendering of the verdict than those heard before a judge. On average, the processing of a case required an additional half year for a jury trial (26 months), compared to a bench trial (20 months). About three-quarters (76%) of bench trials and more than half (57%) of jury trials were disposed of within two years of filing.

Overall, there was little difference in the average number of months needed for tort and contract case processing. Real property jury trials took about four months longer from filing to verdict than tort and contract jury trials. Real property bench trials took two months less time on average to process, compared to other general civil bench trials. Among jury trials, mortgage foreclosure (47 months), false arrest and imprisonment (40 months), and tortious interference (36 months) cases took the longest to process on average. Partnership dispute cases averaged the longest time from filing to bench trial judgment (43 months) (not shown in a table).

Litigants filed notices of appeal in nearly 1 in 5 civil trials

Litigants who seek to overturn or modify a verdict or judgment that they believe does not comply with state law have the option of filing a notice of appeal. Appeals were filed with the trial court in 17% of general civil trials concluded in 2005 (not shown in a table).

The rate of appeal for civil bench and jury trials varied depending on the case outcome. Plaintiffs filed appeals in 5% of general civil trials in which they prevailed, and in 15% of civil trials in which they did not win any monetary award (not shown in a table). Defendants gave trial court notice of appeal in 12% of civil trials with a plaintiff winner, and in 2% of trials in which the plaintiff did not receive an award.

Table 9. Case processing time and days in trial, by case and trial type, 2005

Case Type	Mean days in trial		Mean months from filing to disposition*	
	Jury	Bench	Jury	Bench
All cases	3.9	1.7	26.1	20.3
Tort cases	3.7	1.5	26.0	20.6
Contract cases	4.8	1.7	26.2	20.6
Real property cases	2.9	1.6	30.4	18.8

Note: Data on the number of days in trial were available for 94% of jury trials and 96% of bench trials. Data on the number of months from complaint filing to case disposition were available for 99.9% of jury trials and 99.5% of bench trials.

*Disposition refers to the date the verdict was rendered for jury trials and the date the decision was announced for bench trials.

In the nation's 75 most populous counties, the total number of civil trials declined by over 50% from 1992 to 2005

Prior to the 2005 CJSSC, BJS funded three surveys that examined general civil trial litigation. The surveys focused on general civil trials concluded in a sample of the nation's 75 most populous counties in 1992, 1996, and 2001.⁵

For the purpose of discussing trends in this report, this section focuses on civil trial litigation in the nation's 75 most populous counties rather than on the national sample examined in 2005. The trends analyses has been restricted to the nation's 75 most populous counties as data from previous BJS civil justice surveys cannot be used to generate national level estimates.

In the nation's 75 most populous counties, the number of civil trials decreased 52%, from 22,451 in 1992 to 10,813 in 2005 (table 10). Tort cases decreased the least (40%), while real property (77%) and contract (63%) cases registered the largest declines. Among tort cases, product and premises liability experienced the sharpest declines, while decreases in medical malpractice cases were not statistically significant from 1992 to 2005. In terms of contract trial litigation, seller plaintiff cases saw the largest declines (73%) and the number of fraud and buyer plaintiff cases dropped by about 50%.

Data from the two most recent BJS civil trial surveys showed stabilization in the number of general civil trials. From 2001 to 2005, the number of general civil trials concluded in the nation's 75 most populous counties declined by 9%, a decrease that was not statistically significant.

⁵For a discussion of findings and methodologies for the earlier BJS studies on general civil trials, see *Civil Jury Cases and Verdicts in Large Counties, 1992* (NCJ 154346), *Civil Trial Cases and Verdicts in Large Counties, 1996* (NCJ 173426), and *Civil Trial Cases and Verdicts in Large Counties, 2001* (NCJ 202803) at <<http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/civil.htm>>.

Although the number of product liability trials declined from 1992 to 2005, a comparison of the two most recent surveys (2001 and 2005) showed a 42% increase in those trials.

In terms of incoming caseload filings, the Court Statistics Project of the National Center for State Courts (NCSC) reported that the number of civil cases filed in state courts increased by 5% in the unified/general jurisdiction courts of 43 states from 1996 to 2005. NCSC also reported a 21% decline in tort filings in 30 states and a 25% increase in contract filings in 13 states from 1996 to 2005.⁶

Percentage of plaintiffs prevailing in general civil trials remained stable in the nation's 75 most populous counties

The percentage of plaintiff winners in general civil trials concluded in the nation's 75 most populous counties remained relatively stable between 1992 and 2005. On average, plaintiffs won in about 53% of trials concluded during this period (not shown in a table). Overall plaintiff win rates ranged from 52% in 1992 and 1996 to 55% in 2001. In 2005, plaintiffs prevailed in 53% of civil trials. Among tort cases, about half the plaintiffs prevailed at trial from 1992 (47%) through 2005 (48%). The percentage of plaintiff winners in contract trials varied from 57% in 1992 to 65% in 2001. The plaintiff win rate for contract trials concluded in 2005 was 63%.

⁶LaFountain, R., Schauffler, R., Strickland, S., Raftery, W., & Bromage, C. *Examining the Work of State Courts, 2006: A National Perspective from the Court Statistics Project* (National Center for State Courts 2007).

Trials accounted for 3% of all general civil dispositions

Seventy-nine of the surveyed jurisdictions were able to provide counts of both trial and non-trial dispositions in 2005. Non-trial dispositions include cases dismissed for want of prosecution, granted default or summary judgments, settled or withdrawn prior to trial, settled through mediation or another method of alternative dispute resolution, or transferred to another court.

Among the 79 jurisdictions that could provide the total number of general civil dispositions, the rate of cases disposed through trial was just under 3%. Among the various case types, the highest percentage of cases disposed through trial were real property cases (5%), followed by tort (3%) and contract (2%) cases.

	Total dispositions	Trial dispositions	Trial dispositions as a percent of total dispositions
All cases	306,978	8,503	2.8%
Tort cases	122,892	4,091	3.3
Contract cases	154,573	3,458	2.2
Real property cases	16,758	769	4.6

Note: The number of tort, contract, and real property dispositions will not sum to totals because some jurisdictions could only provide total disposition numbers and were unable to divide the numbers by case category.

Table 10. Civil trials in state courts in the nation's 75 most populous counties, by selected case types, 1992, 1996, 2001, and 2005

Case type	Number of civil trials				Percent change in number of trials	
	1992	1996	2001	2005	1992-2005	2001-2005
All cases	22,451	15,638	11,908	10,813	-51.8%*	-9%
Tort cases^a	11,660	10,278	7,948	7,038	-39.6%*	-12%
Automobile	4,980	4,994	4,235	3,545	-28.8*	-16*
Premises liability	2,648	2,232	1,268	1,067	-59.7*	-16*
Product liability	657	421	158	225	-65.8*	42*
Medical malpractice	1,347	1,201	1,156	1,219	-9.5	6
Contract cases^a	9,477	4,850	3,698	3,474	-63.3%*	-6%
Fraud	1,116	668	625	591	-47.1*	-6
Seller plaintiff	4,063	1,637	1,208	1,101	-72.9*	-9
Buyer plaintiff	1,557	832	793	760	-51.2*	-4
Employment	468	621	453	422	-9.8	-7
Real property cases	1,315	510	262	301	-77.1%*	15%

Note: The number of trials includes all bench and jury trials concluded in a sample of the nation's 75 most populous counties. The 2005 numbers include information on only those trials concluded in a sample of the nation's 75 most populous counties and not the national sample. Since trial data from the 1992, 1996, and 2001 civil trial surveys were from the nation's 75 most populous counties, the 2005 civil trial numbers were restricted for comparability purposes. Data sources: Civil Justice Survey of State Courts, 1992 (ICPSR 6587), 1996 (ICPSR 2883), 2001 (ICPSR 3957). Data can be obtained from the University of Michigan Inter-University Consortium for Political and Social Research (ICPSR).

*Difference is significant at the 95% confidence level.

^aSpecific case types will not sum to tort and contract totals because not all case types are shown in the table.

Since 1992, the overall median jury awards declined by 40%

When adjusted for inflation, the median damages awarded in general civil jury trials declined from \$72,000 in 1992 to \$43,000 in 2005, a decrease of 40% (table 11). For tort jury trials, the median damages declined by about 50% from \$71,000 to \$33,000 during the 1992 to 2005 period. The reduction in jury awards for tort trials can be attributed mostly to the decline in median damage awards in automobile accident trial litigation. From 1992 to 2005, the median awards in automobile accident trials declined by almost 60%, from \$41,000 to \$17,000. Since automobile accident cases accounted for approximately 64% of tort jury trials with plaintiff winners, these cases drove the overall tort award trend (not shown in a table).

In comparison to automobile accident cases, several tort case categories had marked increases in their median jury awards. This trend was apparent in product liability jury trials in which the median award amounts were about 5 times

higher in 2005 (\$749,000) than they were in 1992 (\$154,000). For medical malpractice trials, the median damage awards were nearly 2.5 times higher in 2005 (\$682,000) than they were in 1992 (\$280,000).

The years 2001 and 2005 were marked by stability in the median damage awards for general civil jury trials. Overall, the median damage awards increased by almost 5% but this increase was not statistically significant. One exception to the stabilization trend was medical malpractice trials. For medical malpractice trials, the median damage awards increased by 44% during this period.

Punitive damages awarded infrequently in civil jury trials in the nation's 75 most populous counties

The percentage of jury trials in which the plaintiff winners received punitive damages remained stable, ranging from 4% in 1996 to 6% in 1992 and 2001 (not shown in a table). In 2005, plaintiff winners were awarded punitive damages in about 5% of general civil jury trials concluded in the nation's 75 most populous counties.

Table 11. Jury trial awards in state courts in the nation's 75 most populous counties, by selected case types, 1992, 1996, 2001, and 2005

Case type	Median jury award amounts adjusted for inflation ^a				Percent change in median award amounts	
	1992	1996	2001	2005	1992 - 2005	2001 - 2005
All cases	\$72,000	\$44,000	\$41,000	\$43,000	-40.3%*	4.9%
Tort cases^b	\$71,000	\$37,000	\$31,000	\$33,000	-53.5%*	6.5%
Automobile	41,000	22,000	18,000	17,000	-58.5*	-5.6
Premises liability	81,000	70,000	67,000	94,000	16.0	40.3
Product liability	154,000	409,000	597,000	749,000	386.9*	25.5
Medical malpractice	280,000	315,000	474,000	682,000	143.6*	43.9*
Contract cases^b	\$77,000	\$99,000	\$90,000	\$92,000	19.5%	2.2%
Fraud	98,000	98,000	96,000	106,000	6.2	10.4
Seller plaintiff	48,000	76,000	75,000	84,000	75.0*	12.0
Buyer plaintiff	61,000	61,000	69,000	61,000	0.0	-11.6
Employment	196,000	256,000	140,000	114,000	-41.8*	-18.6

Note: The 2005 numbers include information on only those trials concluded in a sample of the nation's 75 most populous counties and not the national sample. Since trial data from the 1992, 1996, and 2001 civil trial surveys were from the nation's 75 most populous counties, the 2005 civil trial numbers were restricted for comparability purposes. Because award data were available for jury trials in 1992 and not bench trials, table 11 includes only jury trial award data. Trials with a directed verdict, judgement notwithstanding the verdict, and jury trials with defaulted defendants were excluded.

*Difference is significant at the 95% confidence level.

^aMedian jury awards adjusted for inflation in 2005 dollars and rounded to the nearest thousand.

^bSpecific case types will not sum to tort and contract totals because not all case types are shown in the table.

Methodology

Collection of data on civil bench and jury trials

The Civil Justice Survey of State Courts (CJSSC) examines tort, contract, and real property trials disposed of in general jurisdiction courts. The 2005 CJSSC contained two sampling frames. First, the sample was designed so that inferences could be made about general civil trials litigated in the nation's 75 most populous counties. The 75 most populous counties design was maintained in order to compute trends in civil trial litigation.

The sample design for the 75 most populous counties sample was the same as the ones used for the 2001, 1996, and 1992 BJS civil trial studies. The sample is a stratified sample with 46 of the 75 most populous counties selected.

In addition to sampling civil trial litigation in the nation's 75 most populous counties, a sample of non-metropolitan counties, from which to estimate the civil trial litigation in counties outside the 75 most populous, was developed.

The sample of civil trial litigation outside the nation's 75 most populous counties was constructed by first forming 2,518 primary sampling units (PSUs) from 3,066 counties—3,141 U.S. counties total minus the 75 counties from the 2001 CJSSC.

The 2,518 PSUs were stratified into 50 strata according to census region, levels of urbanization, and population size which was based on the square root of the estimated 2004 population in each of these PSUs.

From the 50 strata, a total of 100 PSUs containing 110 counties were selected for the supplemental sample of counties outside the nation's 75 most populous. Hence, a total of 156 counties, 46 representing the nation's 75 most populous, and 110 representing the remainder of the nation were used for the sample.

The second stage of the sample design involved generating lists of cases that would be coded. Each participating jurisdiction was asked to identify a list of cases that had been disposed of by jury trial or bench trial between January 1, 2005, and December 31, 2005. Trial cases were to meet the definitional criteria for jury and bench trials developed by the National Center for State Courts. Civil trials that occurred in federal district courts and civil trials in state courts of limited jurisdiction were excluded from the sample.

For the sample of civil trials occurring in the nation's 75 most populous counties, data on 5,613 civil jury trials and 2,069 civil bench trials met the study criteria. When these trials are weighted to the nation's 75 most populous counties, they represent 10,813 general civil bench and jury trials.

For the sample of civil trials occurring outside the nation's 75 most populous counties, data on 814 civil jury trials and 376 civil bench trials met the study criteria. When these

trials are weighted, they represent 16,135 general civil bench and jury trials disposed in counties outside the nation's 75 most populous counties.

Collection of counts of trial and non-trial dispositions

In conjunction with collecting detailed case level information on general civil trials, the counties participating in this survey were asked to complete a matrix that contained information on all general civil cases disposed in 2005. Frequency counts were obtained for trial and non-trial dispositions in these counties. The non-trial dispositions included cases dismissed for want of prosecution, granted default or summary judgments, settled or withdrawn prior to trial, settled through mediation or another method of alternative dispute resolution, or transferred to another court. This secondary data collection was used to gather disposition outcomes in trial and non-trial cases by plaintiff claim type.

Information on all civil dispositions was obtained from a variety of sources including state administrative court offices, annual reports, or the actual trial courts. Some trial courts were able to provide specific information on the exact types of non-trial dispositions including the number of cases concluded by summary judgment, dismissal, settlement, etc. Other courts could not provide a detailed breakdown of manner of disposition types. Forty-three courts were unable to provide any data on counts of general civil trial and non-trial dispositions.

Definitions of disposition types⁷

Jury trial: A trial held before and decided by a group of laypersons selected according to the law presided over by a judge culminating in a verdict for the plaintiff(s) and/or defendant(s). Unless noted, the term jury trial includes trials with a directed verdict, judgment notwithstanding the verdict (JNOV), and jury trials for defaulted defendants.

Bench trial (nonjury trial): A trial held in the absence of a jury and decided by a judge culminating in a judgment for the plaintiff(s) or defendant(s).

Definitions of civil case types⁷

Torts: Claims arising from personal injury or property damage caused by negligent or intentional acts of another person or business.

Contracts: Cases that include all allegations of breach of contract.

Real property: Cases concerning ownership or division of real property (excluding mortgage foreclosures which are included under contracts).

⁷Definitions were developed by the National Center for State Courts (NCSC) through consultation with NCSC staff attorneys, law professors, and from Black's Law Dictionary.



Washington, DC 20531

Official Business
Penalty for Private Use \$300

The Bureau of Justice Statistics is the statistical agency of the U.S. Department of Justice. Michael D. Sinclair is Acting Director.

This BJS Bulletin presents the first release of findings in a series of reports from the Civil Justice Survey of State Courts, 2005.

Lynn Langton and Thomas H. Cohen wrote this Special Report. Sean P. Rosenmerkel verified the report.

Georgette Walsh edited the report, Tina Dorsey produced the report, and Jayne Robinson prepared the report for final printing, under the supervision of Doris J. James.

Data design and collection was conducted by the National Center for State Courts (NCSC); Dr. Nicole L. Waters was the project director and provided comments. Shauna M. Strickland, Paula L. Hannaford-Agor, Cynthia G. Lee and Chantal G. Bromage of the NCSC also provided comments. James L. Green, M.A., Senior Statistician at Westat, designed the sample used for this data collection.

October 2008 NCJ 223851

This report in portable document format (includes five appendix tables) and in ASCII and its related statistical data and tables are available at the BJS World Wide Web Internet site: <<http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/abstract/cbjtsc05.htm>>.

Office of Justice Programs

Innovation • Partnerships • Safer Neighborhoods
<http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov>

Appendix table 1. Standard errors and confidence intervals for civil trials, by selected characteristics, 2005 Civil Justice Survey of State Courts

	Estimate*	One standard error	95%-confidence interval	
			Lower	Upper
Number of civil trials				
All cases	26,948	1,453	24,054	29,841
Tort	16,397	896	14,613	18,182
Contract	8,917	648	7,628	10,207
Real property	1,633	260	1,115	2,151
Number decided by a—				
Jury trial	18,404	950	16,513	20,296
Bench trial	8,543	817	6,916	10,171
Percent of trials with a plaintiff winner				
All cases	56.4%	1.3%	53.8%	59.1%
Torts	51.6	1.8	48.1	55.2
Contracts	65.6	1.7	62.3	69.0
Percent of trials with plaintiff type				
Individual	80.5%	1.1%	78.3%	82.7%
Business	17.3	1.0	15.4	19.3
Percent of trials with defendant type				
Individual	50.1%	1.5%	47.1%	53.2%
Business	38.1	1.2	35.6	40.6
Median final award to plaintiff winner				
All cases	\$27,998	\$2,281	\$23,185	\$34,417
Torts	23,597	2,807	18,819	29,996
Contracts	35,177	3,739	27,919	42,808
Median punitive award to plaintiff winner				
All cases	\$61,705	\$17,532	\$28,014	\$97,821
Torts	52,814	18,582	23,493	97,483
Contracts	68,104	42,146	25,052	192,863
Mean months from filing to final verdict				
All bench trials	20.3	0.8	18.8	21.8
All jury trials	26.1	0.8	24.6	27.7
Mean days in trial				
All bench trials	1.7	0.1	1.5	1.8
All jury trials	3.9	0.1	3.6	4.2

Note: Standard errors were calculated by using a replication method (i.e., jackknife, specifically JKN) available in WESTVAR PC.

*Estimates may vary slightly from those reported in the report due to the use of WESTVAR PC instead of SPSS for sample estimation.

Appendix table 2. Standard errors and confidence intervals for civil trials, by case type, 2005 Civil Justice Survey of State Courts

	Estimate*	One standard error	95%-confidence interval	
			Lower	Upper
Number of civil trials	26,948	1,453	24,054	29,841
Tort cases	16,397	896	14,613	18,182
Motor vehicle	9,430	622	8,193	10,668
Premises liability	1,863	130	1,604	2,123
Product liability				
Asbestos	87	21	46	128
Other	268	41	186	349
Intentional tort	725	112	501	948
Medical malpractice	2,449	264	1,924	2,974
Professional malpractice	150	25	100	201
Slander/libel	187	35	116	257
Animal attack	138	33	72	205
Conversion	378	108	163	593
False arrest, imprisonment	58	20	19	97
Other or unknown tort	664	94	476	852
Contract cases	8,917	648	7,628	10,207
Fraud	1,114	91	933	1,295
Seller plaintiff	2,883	281	2,323	3,442
Buyer plaintiff	2,591	311	1,972	3,211
Mortgage foreclosure	249	115	21	477
Employment discrimination	319	60	199	439
Other employment dispute	558	75	409	707
Rental/lease	605	160	287	924
Tortious interference	152	27	98	207
Partnership dispute	119	31	57	182
Subrogation	82	52	142	349
Other or unknown contract	245	40	2	161
Real property cases	1,633	260	1,115	2,151
Eminent domain	542	174	195	888
Title or boundary dispute	963	157	650	1,275
Other or unknown real property	129	44	42	216

Note: Standard errors were calculated by using a replication method (i.e., jackknife, specifically JKN) available in WESTVAR PC.

*Estimates may vary slightly from those reported in table 1 due to the use of WESTVAR PC instead of SPSS for sample estimation.

Appendix table 3. Total number of general civil cases disposed of by bench and jury trial, by sampled counties, 2005

County	Primary city/county seat	2005 population estimate	Total number of cases disposed of by bench and jury trials	Number of trials by case type		
				Tort	Contract	Real property
Sample of 75 most populous counties						
Maricopa, AZ	Phoenix	3,646,569	256	164	75	17
Pima, AZ	Tucson	927,084	79	55	20	4
Alameda, CA	Oakland	1,446,608	176	105	62	9
Contra Costa, CA	Martinez	1,005,106	29	12	13	4
Fresno, CA	Fresno	873,364	52	30	21	1
Los Angeles, CA	Los Angeles	9,891,484	383	138	218	27
Orange, CA	Santa Ana	2,982,262	273	105	149	19
San Bernardino, CA	San Bernardino	1,953,229	72	58	12	2
San Francisco, CA	San Francisco	751,461	121	79	37	5
Santa Clara, CA	San Jose	1,698,642	54	32	20	2
Ventura, CA	Ventura	790,868	78	39	33	6
Fairfield, CT	Bridgeport	895,169	72	55	16	1
Hartford, CT	Hartford	872,242	79	53	22	4
Dade, FL	Miami	2,356,378	216	176	38	2
Orange, FL	Orlando	1,030,456	71	50	19	2
Palm Beach, FL	West Palm Beach	1,259,234	116	77	37	2
Fulton, GA- Superior Court	Atlanta	934,242	40	17	19	4
Honolulu, HI	Honolulu	902,034	18	12	6	0
Cook, IL	Chicago	5,299,071	686	498	183	5
DuPage, IL	Wheaton	927,309	82	58	23	1
Marion, IN- Superior Court	Indianapolis	39,733	128	88	38	2
Jefferson, KY	Louisville	701,062	113	76	35	2
Essex, MA	Salem	730,922	57	40	15	2
Middlesex, MA	Cambridge	1,465,097	109	77	26	6
Suffolk, MA	Boston	707,170	130	90	36	4
Worcester, MA	Worcester	777,238	73	52	17	4
Oakland, MI	Pontiac	1,208,822	152	90	57	5
Wayne, MI	Detroit	2,027,238	187	104	68	15
Hennepin, MN	Minneapolis	1,124,933	182	121	57	4
St. Louis, MO	St. Louis	1,001,281	143	94	47	2
Mecklenburg, NC	Charlotte	802,400	42	18	20	4
Bergen, NJ	Hackensack	893,935	161	103	55	3
Essex, NJ	Newark	783,188	135	100	33	2
Middlesex, NJ	New Brunswick	784,437	221	171	49	1
New York, NY	New York	1,606,275	359	267	92	0
Cuyahoga, OH	Cleveland	1,327,494	235	172	60	3
Franklin, OH	Columbus	1,101,432	135	89	46	0
Allegheny, PA	Pittsburgh	1,234,319	221	122	97	2
Philadelphia, PA	Philadelphia	1,460,301	612	539	71	2
Bexar, TX	San Antonio	1,515,820	76	56	19	1
Dallas, TX	Dallas	2,303,568	204	138	61	5
El Paso, TX	El Paso	712,422	40	20	20	0
Harris, TX	Houston	3,750,573	518	284	226	8
Fairfax, VA	Fairfax	1,005,616	166	105	61	0
King, WA	Seattle	1,805,028	199	119	64	16
Milwaukee, WI	Milwaukee	949,511	131	90	38	3
Sample of counties outside of 75 most populous*						
Madison, AL	Huntsville	299,662	36	24	12	0
Marshall, AL	Guntersville	85,050	5	0	4	1
Montgomery, AL	Montgomery	222,302	25	19	5	1
Garland, AR	Hot Springs	93,278	5	5	0	0
Polk, AR	Mena	20,024	2	2	0	0
Yuma, AZ	Yuma	180,575	2	2	0	0
Marin, CA	San Rafael	245,272	13	8	5	0
Plumas, CA	Quincy	20,990	1	0	1	0
Santa Barbara, CA	Santa Barbara	402,740	24	11	10	3
Teller, CO	Cripple Creek	21,318	1	1	0	0
Middlesex, CT	Middlesex	162,123	43	9	26	8

Appendix table 3. Total number of general civil cases disposed of by bench and jury trial, by sampled counties, 2005 (cont.)

County	Primary city/county seat	2005 population estimate	Total number of cases disposed of by bench and jury trials	Number of trials by case type		
				Tort	Contract	Real property
Sample of counties outside of 75 most populous* (cont.)						
Gulf, FL	Port St. Joe	13,989	1	0	1	0
Volusia, FL	Deland	486,369	43	28	14	1
Bacon, GA	Alma	10,292	1	0	0	1
DeKalb, GA- Superior Court	Decatur	719,412	10	7	3	0
DeKalb, GA- State Court	Decatur	719,412	41	39	2	0
Douglas, GA	Douglasville	112,442	5	3	2	0
Fulton, GA- State Court	Atlanta	934,242	44	34	10	0
Polk, GA	Cedartown	40,294	3	0	0	3
Stephens, GA	Toccoa	25,070	1	1	0	0
Butte, ID	Arco	2,788	1	0	1	0
Kootenai, ID	Coeur d'Alene	126,843	13	6	5	2
Marion, IN- Circuit Court	Marion	868,735	8	5	3	0
Noble, IN- Circuit Court	Albion	47,304	1	0	1	0
Orange, IN- Circuit Court	Paoli	19,581	10	3	7	0
Orange, IN- Superior Court	Paoli	19,581	1	0	1	0
Des Moines, IA	Burlington	40,668	4	1	3	0
Cowley, KS	Winfield	34,508	3	3	0	0
Kenton, KY	Independence	153,521	7	2	5	0
Vernon Parish, LA	Leesville	50,296	3	3	0	0
Aroostook, ME	Houlton	72,359	4	1	3	0
York, ME	Alfred	201,011	21	12	8	1
Dorchester, MD	Cambridge	31,140	4	0	4	0
Antrim, MI	Bellaire	24,249	1	0	0	1
Ionia, MI	Ionia	63,891	1	0	0	1
Ramsey, MN	St. Paul	498,369	65	37	26	2
Scott, MN	Shakopee	118,629	12	5	7	0
Sherburne, MN	Elk River	868,735	4	2	2	0
Boone, MO	Columbia	146,430	10	7	2	1
Buchanan, MO	St. Joseph	85,259	16	8	3	5
Greene, MO	Springfield	254,698	23	22	1	0
Harrison, MO	Bethany	8,774	2	1	1	0
Maries, MO	Vienna	9,000	2	1	0	1
Mercer, MO	Princeton	3,522	1	0	1	0
Phelps, MO	Rolla	42,040	2	1	0	1
St. Charles, MO	St. Charles	327,679	42	18	17	7
Covington, MS	Collins	20,056	1	1	0	0
Lauderdale, MS	Meridian	77,168	4	4	0	0
Flathead, MT	Kalispell	82,601	5	3	1	1
Lewis & Clark, MT	Helena	58,150	5	2	2	1
Sanders, MT	Thompson Falls	10,744	1	1	0	0
Cass, NE	Plattsmouth	25,394	7	1	3	3
Union, NJ	Elizabeth	525,502	60	41	19	0
Bernalillo, NM	Albuquerque	634,872	38	22	14	2
Delaware, NY	Delhi	46,884	2	2	0	0
Dutchess, NY	Poughkeepsie	292,018	35	30	5	0
Genesee, NY	Batavia	58,795	6	5	1	0
Madison, NY	Wampsville	69,595	7	4	3	0
Stokes, NC	Danbury	45,418	1	1	0	0
Randolph, NC	Asheboro	136,938	8	4	1	3
Clermont, OH	Batavia	188,955	19	15	3	1
Lucas, OH	Toledo	446,970	73	59	13	1
Morrow, OH	Mount Gilead	34,053	5	3	2	0
Seneca, OH	Tiffin	57,289	2	1	1	0

Appendix table 3. Total number of general civil cases disposed of by bench and jury trial, by sampled counties, 2005 (cont.)

County	Primary city/county seat	2005 population estimate	Total number of cases disposed of by bench and jury trials	Number of trials by case type		
				Tort	Contract	Real property
Sample of counties outside of 75 most populous* (cont.)						
Garvin, OK	Pauls Valley	26,889	5	1	4	0
Grady, OK	Chickasha	49,007	4	2	1	1
Blair, PA	Hollidaysburg	125,963	10	3	6	1
Franklin, PA	Chambersburg	137,028	9	6	3	0
Pike, PA	Milford	55,419	4	1	2	1
Providence, RI	Providence	634,872	35	29	6	0
Oconee, SC	Walhalla	69,302	7	5	2	0
Richland, SC	Columbia	345,167	38	31	7	0
Cannon, TN	Woodbury	13,156	1	1	0	0
Carter, TN	Elizabethton	58,684	7	3	2	2
Fentress, TN	Jamestown	17,037	2	2	0	0
Putnam, TN	Cookeville	67,102	3	2	1	0
Scott, TN	Huntsville	21,699	4	3	1	0
Sullivan, TN	Blountville	152,092	4	3	1	0
Washington, TN	Jonesborough	112,724	8	3	5	0
Denton, TX	Denton	556,479	23	9	14	0
Galveston, TX	Galveston	275,229	29	18	11	0
Hood, TX	Granbury	46,861	8	2	5	1
Orange, TX	Orange	83,619	11	9	1	1
Smith, TX	Tyler	190,336	8	6	2	0
Somervell, TX	Glen Rose	7,434	1	0	0	1
Tom Green, TX	San Angelo	105,063	6	5	1	0
Accomack, VA	Accomac	38,743	3	0	3	0
Chesapeake, VA	Chesapeake	216,644	38	26	12	0
Giles, VA	Pearisburg	17,002	1	1	0	0
Louisa, VA	Louisa	29,866	5	3	1	1
Suffolk, VA	Suffolk	77,951	6	4	2	0
Grant, WA	Ephrata	79,903	6	2	4	0
Mason, WA	Shelton	53,294	5	0	1	4
Berkeley, WV	Martinsburg	92,608	10	7	3	0
Racine, WI	Racine	193,841	43	27	14	2

Note: Population estimates obtained through U.S. Census Bureau, from July 1, 2005.

* The following counties were included in the sample of 156 but reported no civil trials disposed of in 2005: Jackson, GA; Jefferson, ID; Randolph, IL; Montgomery, IA; Manistee, MI; Dodge, MN; Dent, MO; Teton, MT; Thurston, NE; Wayne, NE; Madison, NC; Roberts, SD; Pickett, TN; Foard, TX; Irion, TX; Schleicher, TX; Wilbarger, TX; Skamania, WA; and Mason, WV.

Appendix table 4: Median final damage awards for plaintiff winners in jury and bench trials, by sampled counties, 2005

County	Jury trials			Bench trials		
	Total number of trials	Number of plaintiff winners ^a	Median final award ^b	Total number of trials	Number of plaintiff winners ^a	Median final award ^b
Sample of 75 most populous counties						
Maricopa, AZ	202	93	\$40,000	54	24	\$19,000
Pima, AZ	59	36	44,000	20	10	36,000
Alameda, CA	117	62	34,000	59	36	58,000
Contra Costa, CA	18	5	178,000	11	5	50,000
Fresno, CA	39	26	60,000	13	9	41,000
Los Angeles, CA	212	101	245,000	171	88	73,000
Orange, CA	134	55	122,000	139	74	75,000
San Bernardino, CA	69	38	46,000	3	2	125,000
San Francisco, CA	100	58	69,000	21	6	148,000
Santa Clara, CA	44	20	38,000	10	5	71,000
Ventura, CA	41	14	75,000	37	21	56,000
Fairfield, CT	52	33	30,000	20	15	18,000
Hartford, CT	51	33	21,000	28	11	52,000
Dade, FL	211	130	106,000	5	4	51,000
Orange, FL	65	32	46,000	6	5	59,000
Palm Beach, FL	94	57	116,000	22	16	111,000
Fulton, GA - Superior Court	39	22	38,000	1	0	^
Honolulu, HI	10	4	61,000	8	6	53,000
Cook, IL	520	239	75,000	166	126	59,000
DuPage, IL	66	43	6,000	16	10	10,000
Marion, IN -Superior Court	82	49	15,000	46	36	13,000
Jefferson, KY	89	35	41,000	24	10	9,000
Essex, MA	53	14	66,000	4	2	133,000
Middlesex, MA	97	22	65,000	12	5	80,000
Suffolk, MA	110	30	90,000	20	8	210,000
Worcester, MA	61	13	56,000	12	5	34,000
Oakland, MI	119	57	134,000	33	19	42,000
Wayne, MI	149	52	91,000	38	20	50,000
Hennepin, MN	134	70	29,000	48	27	21,000
St. Louis, MO	117	66	14,000	26	16	91,000
Mecklenburg, NC	30	20	12,000	12	9	23,000
Bergen, NJ	121	38	61,000	40	19	18,000
Essex, NJ	109	48	84,000	26	13	19,000
Middlesex, NJ	193	63	77,000	28	18	30,000
New York, NY	301	132	192,000	58	36	128,000
Cuyahoga, OH	203	101	18,000	32	23	20,000
Franklin, OH	101	72	15,000	34	24	26,000
Allegheny, PA	127	44	25,000	94	72	9,000
Philadelphia, PA	379	193	59,000	233	165	15,000
Bexar, TX	63	30	33,000	13	3	27,000
Dallas, TX	149	55	19,000	55	30	43,000
El Paso, TX	21	10	202,000	19	17	28,000
Harris, TX	324	138	45,000	194	123	56,000
Fairfax, VA	124	69	20,000	42	33	31,000
King, WA	118	81	29,000	81	46	55,000
Milwaukee, WI	96	61	22,000	35	18	11,000
Sample of counties outside of 75 most populous^c						
Madison, AL	21	12	15,000	15	9	18,000
Marshall, AL	2	2	15000*	3	0	^
Montgomery, AL	21	9	30,000	4	2	38,000
Garland, AR	5	4	13,000	0	0	^
Polk, AR	2	1	9,000*	0	0	^
Yuma, AZ	2	1	23,000*	0	0	^
Marin, CA	7	4	215,000	6	3	30,000
Plumas, CA	1	0	^	0	0	^
Santa Barbara, CA	13	7	49,000	11	4	18,000
Teller, CO	1	1	394,000*	0	0	^
Middlesex, CT	5	2	848,000	38	17	14,000

Appendix table 4: Median final damage awards for plaintiff winners in jury and bench trials, by sampled counties, 2005 (cont.)

County	Jury trials			Bench trials		
	Total number of trials	Number of plaintiff winners ^a	Median final award ^b	Total number of trials	Number of plaintiff winners ^a	Median final award ^b
Sample of counties outside of 75 most populous^c (cont.)						
Gulf, FL	0	0	^	1	1	0
Volusia, FL	25	18	250,000	18	13	36,000
Bacon, GA	1	0	^	0	0	^
DeKalb, GA - Superior Court	9	5	9,000	1	1	16,000
DeKalb, GA - State Court	41	28	14,000	0	0	^
Douglas, GA	5	2	49,000	0	0	^
Fulton, GA - State Court	39	30	15,000	5	5	28,000
Polk, GA	2	0	^	1	0	^
Stephens, GA	1	1	48,000*	0	0	^
Butte, ID	1	0	^	0	0	^
Kootenai, ID	9	5	125,000	4	1	17,000*
Marion, IN- Circuit Court	7	4	28,000	1	0	^
Noble, IN- Circuit Court	0	0	^	1	1	99,000*
Orange, IN- Circuit Court	4	0	^	6	4	4,000
Orange, IN- Superior Court	0	0	^	1	1	1,000*
Des Moines, IA	3	2	50,000	1	1	34,000*
Cowley, KS	3	3	2,000	0	0	^
Kenton, KY	4	3	100,000	3	2	11,000
Vernon Parish, LA	0	0	^	3	3	41,000
Aroostook, ME	0	0	^	4	1	7,000*
York, ME	10	7	53,000	11	8	17,000
Dorchester, MD	2	1	15,000*	2	1	8,000*
Antrim, MI	0	0	^	1	0	^
Ionia, MI	0	0	^	1	0	^
Ramsey, MN	41	28	52,000	24	14	16,000
Scott, MN	7	6	68,000	5	4	70,000
Sherburne, MN	2	2	398,000*	2	1	12,000*
Boone, MO	9	4	111,000	1	1	90,000*
Buchanan, MO	8	5	15,000	8	3	37,000
Greene, MO	22	18	90,000	1	0	^
Harrison, MO	1	0	^	1	1	73,000*
Maries, MO	2	0	^	0	0	^
Mercer, MO	1	0	^	0	0	^
Phelps, MO	2	1	1,000*	0	0	^
St. Charles, MO	24	16	10,000	18	11	28,000
Covington, MS	1	1	400,000*	0	0	^
Lauderdale, MS	3	2	206,000	1	0	^
Flathead, MT	5	3	15,000	0	0	^
Lewis & Clark, MT	2	1	7,000*	3	1	8,000*
Sanders, MT	1	1	8,000*	0	0	^
Cass, NE	1	0	^	6	2	8,000
Union, NJ	45	20	72,000	15	10	17,000
Bernalillo, NM	25	8	76,000	13	8	23,000
Delaware, NY	2	0	^	0	0	^
Dutchess, NY	30	4	542,000	5	3	129,000
Genesee, NY	5	3	25,000	1	0	^
Madison, NY	6	1	1,412,000*	1	1	27,000*
Randolph, NC	4	2	31,000	4	2	9,000
Stokes, NC	1	1	1*	0	0	^
Clermont, OH	16	12	20,000	3	3	22,000
Lucas, OH	58	30	23,000	15	11	25,000
Morrow, OH	4	3	20,000	1	1	336,000*
Seneca, OH	2	2	13,000	0	0	^

Appendix table 4: Median final damage awards for plaintiff winners in jury and bench trials, by sampled counties, 2005 (cont.)

County	Jury trials			Bench trials		
	Total number of trials	Number of plaintiff winners ^a	Median final award ^b	Total number of trials	Number of plaintiff winners ^a	Median final award ^b
Sample of counties outside of 75 most populous^c (cont.)						
Garvin, OK	0	0	^	5	5	39,000
Grady, OK	0	0	^	4	2	41,000
Blair, PA	3	2	1,920,000	7	3	29,000
Franklin, PA	6	1	41,000*	3	2	11,000
Pike, PA	1	0	^	3	1	78,000*
Providence, RI	30	13	22,000	5	4	68,000
Oconee, SC	5	2	49,000	2	1	7,000*
Richland, SC	37	21	16,000	1	0	^
Cannon, TN	1	1	5,000*	0	0	^
Carter, TN	4	2	3,555,000	3	2	1,000
Fentress, TN	2	2	33,000	0	0	^
Putnam, TN	1	1	6,000*	2	2	24,000
Scott, TN	4	3	6,000	0	0	^
Sullivan, TN	3	2	27,000	1	1	33,000
Washington, TN	2	1	44,000*	6	5	3,000
Denton, TX	11	2	382,000	12	8	27,000
Galveston, TX	27	12	33,000	2	2	64,000
Hood, TX	4	2	284,000	4	2	7,000
Orange, TX	10	5	11,000	1	0	^
Smith, TX	6	2	279,000	2	1	22,000*
Somervell, TX	1	0	^	0	0	^
Tom Green, TX	6	3	5,000	0	0	^
Accomack, VA	0	0	^	3	2	17,000
Chesapeake, VA	28	18	9,000	10	5	22,000
Giles, VA	1	1	125,000*	0	0	^
Louisa, VA	3	3	200,000	2	1	22,000*
Suffolk, VA	5	4	75,000	1	1	160,000*
Mason, WA	1	1	245,000*	4	0	^
Grant, WA	1	1	2,000*	5	1	187,000*
Berkeley, WV	10	8	50,000	0	0	^
Racine, WI	25	17	15,000	18	13	11,000

^a Not applicable.

^b Not a median but the actual awarded amount.

^c Includes tort and contract cases only. Plaintiff win data was not applicable to the 202 real property cases.

^b Median final award amount was calculated prior to any post-trial adjustments or appeals. The final award includes monetary amounts awarded to plaintiff winners for compensatory and punitive damages, costs and fees, and interest. Real property cases were not included. Awards less than \$1,000 were not rounded.

^c The following counties were included in the sample of 156 but reported no civil trials disposed of in 2005: Jackson, GA; Jefferson, ID; Randolph, IL; Montgomery, IA; Manistee, MI; Dodge, MN; Dent, MO; Teton, MT; Thurston, NE; Wayne, NE; Madison, NC; Roberts, SD; Pickett, TN; Foard, TX; Irion, TX; Schleicher, TX; Wilbarger, TX; Skamania, WA; and Mason, WV.