# Regulatory Background

Edwin Rock, MD, PhD

Medical Officer

Office of Oncology Drug Products
Food and Drug Administration

## **Outline**

Requirements for Drug Approval

Cancer Approval Endpoints

Primary Brain Cancer Approvals

Questions to Panel

# Requirements for Drug Approval

Labeling 1906 Pure Food

& Drug Act

Safety 1938 Food, Drug,

& Cosmetic Act (FDC)

Efficacy 1962 FDC Amendments

## **Endpoints Supporting Approval**

- Regular approval ~ Clinical benefit
  - Longer life
  - Favorable effect on valid measure of how patient feels or functions
  - Favorable effect on accepted surrogate
- Accelerated approval ~ Surrogate
  - reasonably likely to predict clinical benefit

# **Accelerated Approval**

- Serious or <u>life-threatening</u> disease
- Improvement over available therapy
- Surrogate endpoint <u>reasonably likely</u> to predict clinical benefit
- Requires <u>confirmation</u> of benefit

# **Oncology Surrogates**

### For Regular Approval

<u>Disease</u>

Durable complete response

Acute leukemias

Partial response (PR)

**Advanced breast** 

Disease free survival (PRS)

Adjuvant breast

### For Accelerated Approval

Response rate with duration

Solid tumors

## Survival

### Time from randomization to death

- Strengths
  - Unambiguous, daily assessment
  - Not subject to investigator interpretation
- Limitations
  - Requires large sample size, long follow-up
  - Cross-over therapy may wash out effect
- Trial design considerations
  - Randomized trials required to show an effect
  - Likelihood and magnitude of survival benefit

# Radiographic Response Rate

#### Strength

 Treatment "entirely" responsible for tumor reduction (contrast survival or PFS w/ natural history component)

#### Limitations

- Need for response duration component
- Issues: CR's vs. PR's vs. SD; burden of disease

#### Trial design considerations

- Only endpoint reliably assessed in single arm trial
- Prospectively identified, acceptable response criteria
- Complemented by symptom improvement

## Progression-Free Survival

Time from randomization to progressive disease or death

- Strengths
  - Smaller size & shorter follow-up than for survival
  - Differences not obscured by secondary therapy
  - Deaths included in events
- Limitation
  - Greater potential for bias than with survival endpoint
- Trial design considerations
  - Randomized, blinded trials required to show an effect
  - Must evaluate at baseline and regularly on follow-up:
     all patients with same tool / schedule / sites of disease

# Symptom Palliation

- Strength
  - Patient's perspective
- Weaknesses
  - Missing data confound interpretation
  - Multiple endpoints affect statistical power and plan
  - Unblinded assessments invite observer bias
- Trial design considerations
  - Randomized, blinded trials required to show an effect
  - Requires hypothesis driven, valid instrument
  - Associated tumor response supportive of clinical benefit

## **Brain Cancer Approvals**

<u>Drug</u> <u>Year</u> <u>Endpoint</u>

Nitrosoureas 1970's Response rate

Gliadel wafer 1996 Survival

Temozolamide 1999 Durable CR 2005 Survival

## Temodar (temozolamide) Indications

- Anaplastic astrocytoma after nitrosurea & procarbazine
  - Basis of accelerated approval:
    - Single-arm trial (54 patients); 22% response rate; 5 CR's, 7 PR's
    - Median response duration 50 weeks, CR duration 64 weeks
- Newly diagnosed glioblastoma multiforme
  - Basis of approval (and conversion of above accelerated approval):
    - EORTC randomized trial (573 patients)
    - Standard fractionated XRT versus XRT with daily Temodar (75 mg/m²) during XRT followed by 6 cycles maintenance Temodar over 6 months
    - Median survival 14.6 months (T + XRT) vs. 12.1 months (XRT alone)
    - Hazard ratio 0.63 (95% CI 0.52-0.75); log-rank, P < 0.0001</li>

### Gliadel Wafer Indications

- Recurrent glioblastoma multiforme as adjunct to surgery
  - Basis of approval:
    - Randomized, placebo-controlled trial of Gliadel vs. placebo implants in 222 glioma patients who progressed following surgery and radiation
    - Median survival 7.4 months (Gliadel) vs. 5.5 months (placebo)
    - Of 143 patients with GBM, median survival 6.4 vs. 4.6 months
- Newly diagnosed high-grade malignant glioma as an adjunct to surgery and radiation
  - Basis of approval:
    - Randomized, placebo-controlled trial in 240 patients with newlydiagnosed, high-grade glioma undergoing resection craniotomy
    - Median survival 13.9 months (Gliadel) vs. 11.6 months (placebo)
    - Hazard ratio 0.73 (95% Cl 0.56-0.95); log-rank, P < 0.05</li>

# Workshop Agenda

- Potential Endpoints
  - Imaging Based
  - Patient Reported

### Questions

**Analytic Validity** 

Clinical Relevance

- General Discussion
  - Utility of individual endpoints?
  - Utility of composite endpoints?
  - Endpoint development?

# Acknowledgements

- AACR
  - Mark Mendenhall
  - Eleanor Mayfield
- FDA
  - Linda Burbank
  - Dianne Spillman