CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

Application Number 020707

PATENT INFORMATION

13 PATENT INFORMATION

SKELID® (tiludronate disodium) is covered by the following US patents. Sanofi Winthrop, Inc. believes that these patents would be infringed if a person not licensed by the owner engaged in the manufacture, use or sale of the drug composition described in this application:

United States Patent Number	Expiration Date
4,876,248	24 October 2006
4,980,171	06 April 2009

14 PATENT CERTIFICATION

SKELID® (tiludronate disodium) is covered by US patents 4,876,248 and 4,980,171.

CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

Application Number 020707

EXCLUSIVITY SUMMARY

EXCLUSIVITY SUMMARY for NDA # 20-707 SUPPL # _____

Trade Name Skelid **Generic Name** tiludronate disodium

Applicant Name Sanofi Winthrop **HFD-**_____

Approval Date _____

PART I IS AN EXCLUSIVITY DETERMINATION NEEDED?

1. An exclusivity determination will be made for all original applications, but only for certain supplements. Complete Parts II and III of this Exclusivity Summary only if you answer "yes" to one or more of the following questions about the submission.

   a) Is it an original NDA?

   YES /✓/ NO /___/

   b) Is it an effectiveness supplement?

   YES /___/ NO /___/

   If yes, what type? (SE1, SE2, etc.) _____

   c) Did it require the review of clinical data other than to support a safety claim or change in labeling related to safety? (If it required review only of bioavailability or bioequivalence data, answer "no.")

   YES /✓/ NO /___/

   If your answer is "no" because you believe the study is a bioavailability study and, therefore, not eligible for exclusivity, EXPLAIN why it is a bioavailability study, including your reasons for disagreeing with any arguments made by the applicant that the study was not simply a bioavailability study.

   _____

   _____

   If it is a supplement requiring the review of clinical data but it is not an effectiveness supplement, describe the change or claim that is supported by the clinical data:

   _____

   _____

d) Did the applicant request exclusivity?

YES /___/ NO /✓/

If the answer to (d) is "yes," how many years of exclusivity did the applicant request?

_____

IF YOU HAVE ANSWERED "NO" TO ALL OF THE ABOVE QUESTIONS, GO DIRECTLY TO THE SIGNATURE BLOCKS ON PAGE 8.

2. Has a product with the same active ingredient(s), dosage form, strength, route of administration, and dosing schedule previously been approved by FDA for the same use?

YES /___/ NO /✓/

If yes, NDA # _____ Drug Name _____

IF THE ANSWER TO QUESTION 2 IS "YES," GO DIRECTLY TO THE SIGNATURE BLOCKS ON PAGE 8.

3. Is this drug product or indication a DESI upgrade?

YES /___/ NO /✓/

IF THE ANSWER TO QUESTION 3 IS "YES," GO DIRECTLY TO THE SIGNATURE BLOCKS ON PAGE 8 (even if a study was required for the upgrade).

PART II FIVE-YEAR EXCLUSIVITY FOR NEW CHEMICAL ENTITIES
(Answer either #1 or #2, as appropriate)

1. Single active ingredient product.

Has FDA previously approved under section 505 of the Act any drug product containing the same active moiety as the drug under consideration? Answer "yes" if the active moiety (including other esterified forms, salts, complexes, chelates or clathrates) has been previously approved, but this particular form of the active moiety, e.g., this particular ester or salt (including salts with hydrogen or coordination bonding) or other non-covalent derivative (such as a complex, chelate, or clathrate) has not been approved. Answer "no" if the compound requires metabolic conversion (other than deesterification of an esterified form of the drug) to produce an already approved active moiety.

YES /___/ NO /✓/

If "yes," identify the approved drug product(s) containing the active moiety, and, if known, the NDA #(s).

NDA # _____ _____

NDA # _____ _____

NDA # _____ _____

2. Combination product.

If the product contains more than one active moiety (as defined in Part II, #1), has FDA previously approved an application under section 505 containing any one of the active moieties in the drug product? If, for example, the combination contains one never-before-approved active moiety and one previously approved active moiety, answer "yes." (An active moiety that is marketed under an OTC monograph, but that was never approved under an NDA, is considered not previously approved.)

YES /___/ NO /___/

If "yes," identify the approved drug product(s) containing the active moiety, and, if known, the NDA #(s).

NDA # _____ _____

NDA # _____ _____

NDA # _____ _____

IF THE ANSWER TO QUESTION 1 OR 2 UNDER PART II IS "NO," GO DIRECTLY TO THE SIGNATURE BLOCKS ON PAGE 8. IF "YES," GO TO PART III.

PART III **THREE-YEAR EXCLUSIVITY FOR NDA'S AND SUPPLEMENTS**

To qualify for three years of exclusivity, an application or supplement must contain "reports of new clinical investigations (other than bioavailability studies) essential to the approval of the application and conducted or sponsored by the applicant." This section should be completed only if the answer to PART II, Question 1 or 2, was "yes."

1. Does the application contain reports of clinical investigations? (The Agency interprets "clinical investigations" to mean investigations conducted on humans other than bioavailability studies.) If the application contains clinical investigations only by virtue of a right of reference to clinical investigations in another application, answer "yes," then skip to question 3(a). If the answer to 3(a) is "yes" for any investigation referred to in another application, do not complete remainder of summary for that investigation.

YES /___/ NO /___/

IF "NO," GO DIRECTLY TO THE SIGNATURE BLOCKS ON PAGE 8.

2. A clinical investigation is "essential to the approval" if the Agency could not have approved the application or supplement without relying on that investigation. Thus, the investigation is not essential to the approval if 1) no clinical investigation is necessary to support the supplement or application in light of previously approved applications (i.e., information other than clinical trials, such as bioavailability data, would be sufficient to provide a basis for approval as an ANDA or 505(b)(2) application because of what is already known about a previously approved product), or 2) there are published reports of studies (other than those conducted or sponsored by the applicant) or other publicly available data that independently would have been sufficient to support approval of the application, without reference to the clinical investigation submitted in the application.

   For the purposes of this section, studies comparing two products with the same ingredient(s) are considered to be bioavailability studies.

   (a) In light of previously approved applications, is a clinical investigation (either conducted by the applicant or available from some other source, including the published literature) necessary to support approval of the application or supplement?

YES /___/ NO /___/

If "no," state the basis for your conclusion that a clinical trial is not necessary for approval **AND GO DIRECTLY TO SIGNATURE BLOCK ON PAGE 8:**

_____

_____

(b) Did the applicant submit a list of published studies relevant to the safety and effectiveness of this drug product and a statement that the publicly available data would not independently support approval of the application?

YES /___/ NO /___/

(1) If the answer to 2(b) is "yes," do you personally know of any reason to disagree with the applicant's conclusion? If not applicable, answer NO.

YES /___/ NO /___/

If yes, explain: _____

_____

(2) If the answer to 2(b) is "no," are you aware of published studies not conducted or sponsored by the applicant or other publicly available data that could independently demonstrate the safety and effectiveness of this drug product?

YES /___/ NO /___/

If yes, explain: _____

_____

(c) If the answers to (b)(1) and (b)(2) were both "no," identify the clinical investigations submitted in the application that are essential to the approval:

Investigation #1, Study # _____

Investigation #2, Study # _____

Investigation #3, Study # _____

3. In addition to being essential, investigations must be "new" to support exclusivity. The agency interprets "new clinical investigation" to mean an investigation that 1) has not been relied on by the agency to demonstrate the effectiveness of a previously approved drug for any indication and 2) does not duplicate the results of another investigation that was relied on by the agency to demonstrate the effectiveness of a previously approved drug product, i.e., does not redemonstrate something the agency considers to have been demonstrated in an already approved application.

a) For each investigation identified as "essential to the approval," has the investigation been relied on by the agency to demonstrate the effectiveness of a previously approved drug product? (If the investigation was relied on only to support the safety of a previously approved drug, answer "no.")

Investigation #1 YES /___/ NO /___/

Investigation #2 YES /___/ NO /___/

Investigation #3 YES /___/ NO /___/

If you have answered "yes" for one or more investigations, identify each such investigation and the NDA in which each was relied upon:

NDA # _____ Study # _____

NDA # _____ Study # _____

NDA # _____ Study # _____

b) For each investigation identified as "essential to the approval," does the investigation duplicate the results of another investigation that was relied on by the agency to support the effectiveness of a previously approved drug product?

Investigation #1 YES /___/ NO /___/

Investigation #2 YES /___/ NO /___/

Investigation #3 YES /___/ NO /___/

If you have answered "yes" for one or more investigations, identify the NDA in which a similar investigation was relied on:

NDA # _____ Study # _____

NDA # _____ Study # _____

NDA # _____ Study # _____

c) If the answers to 3(a) and 3(b) are no, identify each "new" investigation in the application or supplement that is essential to the approval (i.e., the investigations listed in #2(c), less any that are not "new"):

Investigation #__, Study # _____

Investigation #__, Study # _____

Investigation #__, Study # _____

4. To be eligible for exclusivity, a new investigation that is essential to approval must also have been conducted or sponsored by the applicant. An investigation was "conducted or sponsored by" the applicant if, before or during the conduct of the investigation, 1) the applicant was the sponsor of the IND named in the form FDA 1571 filed with the Agency, or 2) the applicant (or its predecessor in interest) provided substantial support for the study. Ordinarily, substantial support will mean providing 50 percent or more of the cost of the study.

a) For each investigation identified in response to question 3(c): if the investigation was carried out under an IND, was the applicant identified on the FDA 1571 as the sponsor?

Investigation #1

IND # _____ YES /___/ NO /___/ Explain: _____

_____

Investigation #2

IND # _____ YES /___/ NO /___/ Explain: _____

_____

(b) For each investigation not carried out under an IND or for which the applicant was not identified as the sponsor, did the applicant certify that it or the applicant's predecessor in interest provided substantial support for the study?

Investigation #1

YES /___/ Explain _____ NO /___/ Explain _____

_____ _____

_____ _____

Investigation #2	
YES /___/ Explain _____	NO /___/ Explain _____
_____	_____
_____	_____

(c) Notwithstanding an answer of "yes" to (a) or (b), are there other reasons to believe that the applicant should not be credited with having "conducted or sponsored" the study? (Purchased studies may not be used as the basis for exclusivity. However, if all rights to the drug are purchased (not just studies on the drug), the applicant may be considered to have sponsored or conducted the studies sponsored or conducted by its predecessor in interest.)

YES /___/ NO /___/

If yes, explain: _____

_____

_____

_____

Signature
Title: CSO

1/25/97
Date

Signature of Division Director

2/10/97
Date

cc: Original NDA Division File HFD-85 Mary Ann Holovac

15 DEBARMENT CERTIFICATION

Sanofi Winthrop, Inc., certifies that it did not and will not use in any capacity the services of any person debarred under subsection (a) or (b) [section 306(a) or (b)], in connection with this application.

CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

Application Number 020707

PEDIATRIC STUDIES

DRUG STUDIES IN PEDIATRIC PATIENTS
(To be completed for all NME's recommended for approval)

NDA # 20-707 Trade (generic) names Skelid (tiludronate disodium)

Check any of the following that apply and explain, as necessary, on the next page:

____ 1. A proposed claim in the draft labeling is directed toward a specific pediatric illness. The application contains adequate and well-controlled studies in pediatric patients to support that claim.

____ 2. The draft labeling includes pediatric dosing information that is not based on adequate and well-controlled studies in children. The application contains a request under 21 CFR 210.58 or 314.126(c) for waiver of the requirement at 21 CFR 201.57(f) for A&WC studies in children.

____ a. The application contains data showing that the course of the disease and the effects of the drug are sufficiently similar in adults and children to permit extrapolation of the data from adults to children. The waiver request should be granted and a statement to that effect is included in the action letter.

____ b. The information included in the application does not adequately support the waiver request. The request should not be granted and a statement to that effect is included in the action letter. (Complete #3 or #4 below as appropriate.)

____ 3. Pediatric studies (e.g., dose-finding, pharmacokinetic, adverse reaction, adequate and well-controlled for safety and efficacy) should be done after approval. The drug product has some potential for use in children, but there is no reason to expect early widespread pediatric use (because, for example, alternative drugs are available or the condition is uncommon in children).

____ a. The applicant has committed to doing such studies as will be required.

____ (1) Studies are ongoing.
____ (2) Protocols have been submitted and approved.
____ (3) Protocols have been submitted and are under review.
____ (4) If no protocol has been submitted, on the next page explain the status of discussions.

____ b. If the sponsor is not willing to do pediatric studies, attach copies of FDA's written request that such studies be done and of the sponsor's written response to that request.

✓ 4. Pediatric studies do not need to be encouraged because the drug product has little potential for use in children.

____ 5. If none of the above apply, explain.

Explain, as necessary, the foregoing items: _____

Signature of Preparer

1/25/97
Date

cc: Orig NDA
HFD-___/Div File
NDA Action Package

Memorandum
February 13,1997
To: NDA 20-707 Skelid (tiludronate disodium) 200 mg tablets
From: Solomon Sobel M.D. Director,Division of Metabolic and Endocrine Drug Products SS 2/13/97
Subject: Approval of the NDA

Skelid is a bisphosphonate that is a new molecular entity. The sponsor has submitted this NDA with the requested indication for the treatment of Paget's disease (osteitis deformans) of bone.

The Division recommends approval of this drug.
To be noted is the dosing instructions which permits taking the drug 2 hours before and after meals despite the fact that the optimal bioavailability is seen when the dosing is at least 4 hours before or after meals.
The 2 hour instruction is based on the clinical studies in which dosing at was at 2 hours before or after meals.

Rcommendation : Approval of NDA 20-707 Skelid.

Solomon Sobel

CC: Orig NDA 20-707
HFD-510(2)/Div. Files
HFD-510/SSobel/GTroendle/SDutta/RHedin