### OncoLogic<sup>™</sup> - The Cancer Expert System – An Overview

- Expert System
- Mimic the thinking and reasoning of human experts using knowledge based rules for chemical classes to predict cancer concern
  - Assigns a baseline concern level ranging from low to high
  - Evaluates how substituents on the chemical may affect carcinogenicity
    - Concern level changes accordingly

# **Concern Levels**

OncoLogic Concern	Definition
Low	Unlikely to be carcinogenic
Marginal	Likely to have equivocal carcinogenic activity
Low – Moderate	Likely to be weakly carcinogenic
Moderate	Likely to be a moderately active carcinogen
Moderate – High	Highly likely to be a moderately active carcinogen
High	Highly likely to be a potent carcinogen

#### Critical Factors for SAR Consideration

- Electronic and Steric Factors
  - Resonance stabilization, Steric hindrance
- Metabolic Factors
  - Blocking of detoxification, Enhancement of activation
- Mechanistic Factors
  - Electrophilic vs. receptor- mediated
  - Multistage process
- Physicochemical Factors

#### Examples of How "Knowledge Rules" Can be Used in Chemical Design



**OncoLogic Cancer Concern = High** 



Example	Action	Effect on Cancer Concern/Justification
H <sub>2</sub> N NH <sub>2</sub>	Introduce bulky substituent(s) <u>ortho</u> to amino / amine- generating group(s).	
	Introduce bulky N- substituent(s) to amino / amine- generating group(s).	



Example	Action	Effect on Cancer Concern/Justification
H <sub>2</sub> N NH <sub>2</sub>	Introduce bulky substituent(s) <u>ortho</u> to amino / amine- generating group(s).	Provide steric hindrance to inhibit bioactivation.
		Concern = Marginal
	Introduce bulky N- substituent(s) to amino / amine- generating group(s).	Make it a poor substrate for the bioactivation enzymes. Concern = Marginal



Example	Action	Effect on Cancer Concern/Justification
$H_2N$ $NH_2$	Introduce bulky groups <u>ortho</u> to intercyclic linkages.	



Example	Action	Effect on Cancer Concern/Justification
$H_2N$ $\bigvee$ $NH_2$	Introduce bulky groups <u>ortho</u> to intercyclic linkages.	Distort the planarity of the molecule making it less accessible and a poorer substrate for the bioactivation enzymes.



Example	Action	Effect on Cancer Concern/Justification
$H_2N$ $ NH_2$	Replace electron- conducting intercyclic linkages by electron- insulating intercyclic linkages.	



Example	Action	Effect on Cancer Concern/Justification
$H_2N$ $  NH_2$	Replace electron- conducting intercyclic linkages by electron- insulating intercyclic linkages.	<ol> <li>Reduce length of conjugation path and thus the force of conjugation, which facilitates departure of acyloxy anion.</li> <li>Less resonance stabilization of electrophilic nitrenium ion.</li> <li>Concern = Marginal</li> </ol>



Example	Action	Effect on Cancer Concern/Justification
$H_2N$ $NH_2$ $NH_2$ $SO_3$	Ring substitution with hydrophilic groups (e.g., sulfonic acid); especially at ring(s) bearing amino / amine-generating group(s).	



Example	Action	Effect on Cancer Concern/Justification
$H_2N$ $N$ $NH_2$ $NH_2$ $SO_3$	Ring substitution with hydrophilic groups (e.g., sulfonic acid); especially at ring(s) bearing amino / amine-generating group(s).	Render molecule more water-soluble thus reducing absorption and accelerating excretion. Concern Level = Low

#### Major Data Sources Used to Develop Cancer Knowledge Rules

- Chemical Induction of Cancer monograph series
- IARC monograph series
- NCI/NTP technical reports
- Survey of compounds which have been tested for carcinogenic activity, PHS Publ. 149
- Non-classified EPA submission data from various EPA program offices

#### OncoLogic<sup>™</sup> Prediction vs. NTP Bioassays Aromatic Amines and Related Compounds

NTP	Chemical	Bioa	<b>Bioassay Results</b>		
#		Rat	Mous	"Call	Oncologic
			е	"	Evaluation
24	4,4'-Diamino-2,2'-stilbene	N/N	N/N		L
	disulfonic acid				
42	p-Nitroaniline	NT	E/N	Eq	mar
26	p-Nitrobenzoic acid	N/S	N/N	+	mar
9	p-Nitrophenol	NT	N/N		LM
33	4-Hydroxyacetanilide	N/E	N/N	Eq	LM
32	2,4-Diaminophenol	N/N	S/N	+	Μ
	dihydrochloride				
40	3,3'-Dimethylbenzidine	C/C	NT	+	HM
43	o-Nitroanisole	C/C	C/C	+	HM

- C = Clear evidence of carcinogenicity
- S = Some evidence of carcinogenicity
- N = No evidence of carcinogenicity
- NT = Not tested
- + = At least one test = C or S
- Eq = No C or S, and E must appear at least once
  - = No C, S, or E

#### **Conclusion from NTP Predictive Exercises**

- Most of the best performers are predictive systems that incorporate human expert judgment and biological information
- OncoLogic was one of the best performers among more than 15 methods

### **OncoLogic<sup>™</sup> - Benefits**

- Allow non-experts to reach scientifically supportable conclusions
- Expedites the decision making process
- Allows sharing of knowledge
- Reduces/eliminates error and inconsistency
- Formalize knowledge rules for cancer hazard identification (SAT-style)

### **OncoLogic™ - Benefits (Cont.)**

- Provide guidance to industries on elements of concern for developing safer chemicals
- Bridge expertise of chemists and toxicologists for most effective hazard evaluation

# Running OncoLogic<sup>™</sup>

- Two methods to predict carcinogenicity
  - SAR Analysis
    - Knowledge rules
  - Functional Analysis
    - Uses results of specific mechanistic/noncancer studies



# **SAR Analysis**

#### Four modules

- Organics
- Metals
- Polymers
- Fibers
- Different method used to evaluate each type

Organics

- Enter information on chemical identity
- Choose appropriate chemical class
- Enter chemical name, CAS#, or chemical structure

- Select chemical class
  - 48 total
  - Description in Manual
  - Hit "F1" to view sample structures

 Absence of structure in OncoLogic provides suggestive, but not definitive, evidence of low cancer concern

**Acylating Agents** Acyl and Benzoyl Halides Acrulamides Acrylates and Related Compounds Aflatoxins and Microbial Toxins Aldehudes Aliphatic Azo and Azoxy Compounds Alkanesulfonoxy Esters Alkenylbenzenes Alkyl Sulfates and Alkyl Alkanesulfonates Anhydride Compounds Aromatic Amines Arylazo Compounds Aryldiazonium Salts C-Nitroso Compounds and Oximes Carbamates Carbamyl Halides Coumarins Dicarbonuls Direct-Acting Alkylating Agents Direct-Acting Arylating Agents Epoxides Ethyleneimines **Furocoumarins** alpha-Haloalkylamines alpha-/beta-Haloethers Halogenated Aromatic Hydrocarbons Halogenated Cycloalkanes and Cycloalkenes Select the appropriate class.—

#### Pick Correct Backbone Structure if Provided



Add	Edit	Detail	Clear	Help	eXit
					Evaluate Compound Return to Drawing Exit Without Evaluating
			C <sub>n</sub> —0	$D - C - C = \overline{C}$	
Build th Select '	e compou eXit' wh	nd by sele en finishe	cting item d.	is from the 'Add'	menu option. <f1>=Help</f1>

#### **Perform evaluation**



### OncoLogic<sup>™</sup> Justification for Organics Module

**OncoLogic Justification Report** 

**CODE NUMBER: Isodecyl Acrylate Example** 

**SUBSTANCE ID: 1330-61-6** 

The final level of carcinogenicity concern for this acrylate when the anticipated route of exposure is inhalation or injection is MARGINAL.

JUSTIFICATION:

An acrylate is a potential alkylating agent which may bind, via Michael addition, to key macromolecules to initiate/exert carcinogenic action. The alkylating activity of acrylates can be substantially inhibited by substitution at the double bond, particularly by bulky or hydrophilic groups.....

# **Other Chemicals**

 In addition to SAR analysis, OncoLogic includes evaluations of approximately 90 specific chemicals that do not fit into any OncoLogic class

Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Heterocyclic Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Homocyclic Siloxanes, Siloxenes, and Silanols Sulfones, Reactive Sulfur Mustards Sultones Thiocarbamates Thiocarbamates Thiocarbonyls Triazenes Urea Compounds Other

# **Other Chemicals (Cont.)**

#### Locate chemical by CAS number or by name

#### Running OncoLogic<sup>™</sup> Metals Module

- Similar to running the organics module
- Pick the metal to be evaluated
  - OncoLogic<sup>™</sup> will then either ask a series of questions needed to evaluate the chemical or provide a database of related compounds

	METAL SELECTION MENU
Actinium(Ac)	Molybdenum(Mo)
Aluminum(Al)	Neodymium (Nd)
Americium(Am)	Neptunium(Np)
Antimony(Sb)	Nickel(Ni)
Arsenic(As)	Niobium(Nb)
Barium(Ba)	Nobelium(No)
Berkelium(Bk)	Osmium(Os)
Beryllium(Be)	Palladium(Pd)
Bismuth(Bi)	Platinum(Pt)

#### Information Needed to Run the Metals Module

- Nature/form of the metal / metalloid
  - Organometal, metal powder
- Type of chemical bonding (e.g., organic, ionic)
- Dissociability / solubility
  - Valence / oxidation state
- Crystalline or amorphous
- Exposure scenario
- Breakdown products (e.g., organic moieties)

#### Running OncoLogic<sup>™</sup> Polymers Module

- Polymer must consist of covalently linked repeating units and have a number average molecular weight >1000
- OncoLogic<sup>™</sup> asks a series of questions designed to aid in evaluation of carcinogenicity of the polymer

# Information Needed to Run the **Polymers Module**

- Percentage of polymer with MW <500 and <1000</li>
- Percent of residual monomer
- Identification of Reactive Functional Group(s)
- Solubility
- Special features
  - Polysulfation, "water-swellability"
- Exposure route
- Breakdown products (e.g., hydrolysis)

# **Fibers Module**

Evaluations are based on physical dimensions and physicochemical properties

**Physical dimensions** 

Diameter, length, aspect ratio

#### **Physicochemical properties**

High density charge, flexibility, durability, biodegradability, smooth and defect-free surface, longitudinal splitting potential Presence of high MW polymer, low MW organic

moiety, metals/metalloids

# Fibers Module (Cont.)

Relevant manufacturing / processing / use information

Crystallization, thermal extrusion, naturally occurring, unknown method

# The Functional Arm of OncoLogic<sup>™</sup>



#### Functional Arm (Cont.)

- OncoLogic<sup>™</sup> can use results from some shorterterm tests to support a cancer concern.
- Results indicate whether chemical may be an initiator, promoter, or progressor

#### Use of Non-Cancer Data: Functional Arm (Cont.)

- Functional Arm predicts whether the chemical is likely to be a tumor initiator, promoter, and/or progressor
  - Possible relevance or contribution to the carcinogenesis process is indicated in the figure below



### OncoLogic<sup>™</sup> Interpreting Results

OncoLogic Concern	SF Concern	Definition	Proceed to Risk Screen?
Low	Low	Unlikely to be carcinogenic	No
Marginal	Further Research Needed	Likely to have equivocal carcinogenic activity	Additional information is needed
Low – Moderate		Likely to be weakly carcinogenic	Yes
Moderate	Moderate	Likely to be a moderately active carcinogen	Yes
Moderate – High	High	Highly likely to be a moderately active carcinogen	Yes
High		Highly likely to be a potent carcinogen	Yes

## Major References on OncoLogic<sup>™</sup>

Woo, Y.-T., Lai, D.Y., Argus, M.F. and Arcos, J.C. Development of Structure Activity Relationship Rules for Predicting Carcinogenic Potential of Chemicals. <u>Toxico. Lett</u>.79: 219-228, 1995.

Woo, Y.-T., Lai, D.Y., Argus, M.F. and Arcos, J.C. Carcinogenicity of Organophosphorous Pesticides/Compounds: An analysis of their Structure Activity Relationships. <u>Environ.</u> <u>Carcino. & Ecotox. Revs.</u> C14(1), 1-42, 1996.

Lai, D.Y., Woo, Y,-T., Argus, M.F. and Arcos, J.C.: Cancer Risk Reduction Through Mechanism-based Molecular Design of Chemicals. <u>In</u>:"Designing Safer Chemicals" (S. DeVito and R. Garrett, eds.), American Chemical Society Symposium series No. 640, Am. Chem. Soc. Washington, DC. Chp 3, pp.62-73, 1996.

Woo, Y.-T. et al.: Mechanism-Based Structure-Activity Relationship Analysis of Carcinogenic Potential of 30 NTP Test Chemicals. <u>Environ. Carcino. & Ecotox. Revs.</u> C15(2), 139-160, 1997.

### Major References (Cont.)

Woo, Y., Lai, D., Argus, M.F., and Arcos, J.C.: An Integrative Approach of Combining Mechanistically Complementary Shortterm Predictive Tests as a Basis for Assessing the Carcinogenic Potential of Chemicals. <u>Environ. Carcino. & Ecotoxicol. Revs.</u> C16(2), 101-122, 1998.

Woo, Y.-T., and Lai, D.Y. : Mechanism of Action of Chemical Carcinogens and their Role in Structure Activity Relationships (SAR) Analysis and Risk Assessment. In: *Quantitative Structure-Activity Relationship (QSAR) Models of Mutagens and Carcinogens.* R. Benigni, ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL., pp. 41-80, 2003

Benigni, R., and Zito, R.: The second NTP comparative exercise on the prediction of rodent carcinogenicity: definitive results. <u>Mutatation Research</u> 566, 49-63, 2004