

to: FDA Commissioner
Docket's Management Branch (HFA-305)
Food and Drug Administration
5630 Fishers Lane, Room 1061
Rockville, MD 20852

From: William Schnippert
188 Christian Hollow Rd
Pine City, N.Y. 14871

date: March 1, 2001

re: G.E. foods

Dear Commissioner:

I think G.E. foods should be tested for safety.
I think G.E. foods should be tested the same as a new drug.
The people who died or who are disabled by the vitamins that
was produced by G.E. bacteria would be alive today if the
product had been safety tested.

I think G.E. foods should be tested for environmental
safety as well as food safety. If Bt corn had been environmentally
safety tested, the monarch butterfly would not be at risk
today. What is all that Bt toxin doing in the soil/water?

I think you have the labeling backward. G.E. foods
should be labeled clearly on the front label. Then
the non-G.E. foods would not have to be labeled. To make
the non-G.E. foods label as such, is as backward as forcing
the organic farmer to be responsible for keeping G.E. pollen
out of his crops.

All of the safety testing, labeling hearings, proposed
approvals/disapprovals should be public information —
post it on a web site. A letter notifying FDA that
~~a~~ G.E. food is being marketed is not testing or labeling

I don't trust the corporations making decisions
about my food! The corporate charter is for profit.
Please fix the new rules, sincerely, William Schnippert

P.S. I'm not sure this will
get to you by March 31st
so I will try to get to a
computer and figure out how
to e-mail you gl.

931 '01 MAR -6 10:33

P.S. This whole
G.E. food mess reminds
me of what we were
told about pesticides
in the 50's. "of course
DDT is safe, the government
approved it" — BEANS!
Most of that was guesswork,
some was outright lies. ~~gl.~~

00N 1396

C 1537