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## Introduction

## Chapter Overview

In response to increasing interest in both the policy and research communities about the role of science and technology (S\&T) in state and regional economic development, a new experimental chapter devoted to the subject was introduced in the 2004 edition of Science and Engineering Indicators. This chapter has been expanded in the 2006 edition from the original 24 state indicators to 42 .

The chapter focuses on the performance of individual states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico. Although data for Puerto Rico are reported whenever available, they frequently were collected by a different source, making it unclear whether the methodology used for data collection and analysis is comparable with that used for the states. For this reason, Puerto Rico was neither ranked with the states nor assigned a quartile value that could be displayed on the maps. Including data for U.S. territories and protectorates, such as American Samoa, Guam, Northern Mariana Islands, and Virgin Islands, was considered; however, data for these areas were available only on a sporadic basis and for fewer than one-quarter of the indicators, so they were not included.

These indicators are designed to present information about various aspects of state $\mathrm{S} \& \mathrm{~T}$ infrastructure and to stimulate discussion about appropriate uses of state-level S\&T indicators. The data used to calculate the indicators were gathered from both public and private sources. Whenever possible, data covering a 10 -year span are provided to identify meaningful trends. However, because consistent data were not always available for the 10-year period, data for certain indicators are given only for the years in which comparisons are appropriate.

Ready access to accurate and timely information is an important tool for formulating effective S\&T policies at the state level. By studying the programs and performance of their peers, state policymakers may be able to better assess and enhance their own programs and performance. The tables are intended to give the user a convenient listing of some of the quantitative data that may be relevant to technologybased economic development. In addition to describing the behavior of an indicator, the "Findings" section frequently presents an interpretation of the behavior's relevance and meaning. The interpretation is sometimes speculative, with the objective of motivating further thought and discussion.

## Types of Indicators

Forty-two indicators are included in this chapter and grouped into the following areas:
t Elementary and secondary education
t Higher education
t Workforce
t Financial research and development inputs

## t Research and development outputs

t S\&T in the economy
The first two areas address state educational attainment. In this edition of Indicators, emphasis has been increased on the science and mathematics skills students develop at the elementary and middle school levels. Student achievement is expressed in terms of performance, which refers to the average state score on a standardized test, and proficiency, which is expressed as the percentage of students who have achieved at least the expected level of competence on the standardized test. Other indicators in educational attainment focus on state spending, student costs, and undergraduate and graduate degrees in science and engineering.

Workforce indicators focus on the level of S\&E training in the employed labor force. These indicators reflect the higher education level of the labor force and the degree of specialization in S\&E disciplines and occupations.

Financial indicators address the sources and level of funding for $R \& D$. They show how much $R \& D$ is being performed relative to the size of a state's business base. Comparison of these indicators illustrates the extent to which R\&D is conducted by industrial or academic performers.

The final two sections provide measures of outputs. The first focuses on the work products of the academic community and includes the production of new doctorate holders, the publication of academic articles, and patent activity both from the academic community and from all sources in the state.

The second section of output indicators examines the robustness of a region's S\&T activity. These indicators include venture capital activity, Small Business Innovation Research awards, and high-technology business activity. Although data that adequately address both the quantity and quality of $\mathrm{R} \& D$ results are difficult to find, these indicators offer a reasonable information base.

## Data Sources and Considerations

Raw data for each indicator are presented in the tables. The first entry in each table represents the average value for the states. For most indicators, the state average was calculated by summing the values for the 50 states and the District of Columbia for both the numerator and the denominator and then dividing the two. Any alternate approach is indicated in the notes at the bottom of the table.

The values for most indicators are expressed as ratios or percentages to remove the effect of state size and facilitate comparison between large and small states or heavily and sparsely populated states. For example, an indicator of higher education achievement is not defined as the absolute number of degrees conferred in a state because sparsely populated states are neither likely to have nor need as extensive a higher education system as states with larger populations. Instead, the indicator is defined as the number of degrees per number of residents in the college-age cohort, which measures the intensity of educational services relative to the size of the resident population.

No official list of high-technology industries or sanctioned methodology to identify the most technology-intensive industries exists in the United States. The definition used here was developed by the U.S. Department of Commerce's Technology Administration in concert with the U.S. Department of Labor's Bureau of Labor Statistics. See "Technical Note: Defining High-Technology Industries."

## Key Elements for Indicators

Six key elements are provided for each indicator. The first element is a map that is color-coded to show in which quartile each state placed on that indicator for the latest year that data are available. This helps the reader quickly grasp geographic trends. The sample map below shows the outline of each state. On the indicator maps, the darkest color indicates states ranking in the first or highest quartile, and white indicates states ranking in the fourth or lowest quartile. Cross-hatching indicates states for which no data are available.

The second element is a quartiles table. States are listed alphabetically by quartile. The range of indicator values for that quartile is shown at the top of the column. Ties at quar-
tile breaks were resolved by moving the tied states into one quartile. All of the indicators are broad measures, and several rely on sample estimates that have a margin of error. Small differences in state values generally carry little useful information.

The third element, at the bottom of the map box, is a short citation for the data source. The full citation appears under the table on the facing page.

The fourth element, in a shaded box on the lower left side of the page, is a summary of findings that includes the national average and comments on trends and patterns for the particular indicator. Although most of the findings are directly related to the data, some represent interpretations that are meant to stimulate further investigation and discussion.

The fifth element, on the lower right side of the page, is a description of the indicator, a brief note about the nature of the data, and other information pertaining to the data.

The final element is the data table that appears on the facing page. Up to 3 years of data and the calculated values of the indicator are presented for each state, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico. Puerto Rico is included in the data table only when data are available.

## U.S. Map and List of Abbreviations


AK ........ Alaska
AL ...... Alabama
AR ....... Arkansas
AZ ...... Arizona
CA...... California
CO ...... Colorado
CT...... Connecticut
DC ...... District of Columbia
DE ....... Delaware
FL ....... Florida
GA ...... Georgia
HI .......... Hawaii
IA ........Iowa
ID ........Idaho
IL........Illinois
IN ........Indiana
KS .......Kansas
KY .......Kentucky
LA .......Louisiana
MA ......Massachusetts
MD......Maryland
ME .........Maine
MI .......Michigan
MN.......Minnesota
MO ......Missouri
MS.......Mississippi
MT .......Montana
NC .......North Carolina
ND ....... North Dakota
NE.......Nebraska
NH .......New Hampshire
NJ ......... New J ersey
NM ...... New Mexico
NV ....... Nevada
NY ....... New York
OH....... Ohio
OK ....... Oklahoma
OR....... Oregon
PA....... Pennsylvania
RI........ Rhode Island
SC ....... South Carolina
SD ........South Dakota
TN .......Tennessee
TX.......Texas
UT .......Utah
VA.......Virginia
VT........Vermont
WA.......Washington
WI......Wisconsin
WV......West Virginia
WY ......Wyoming

## Fourth Grade Mathematics Performance

Figure 8-1
Fourth grade mathematics performance: 2003


| 1st quartile (243-238) | 2nd quartile (237-236) | 3rd quartile (235-230) | 4th quartile (229-205) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Connecticut | Delaware | Alaska | Alabama |
| Indiana | Michigan | Colorado | Arizona |
| lowa | Montana | Florida | Arkansas |
| Kansas | Nebraska | Georgia | California |
| Maine | New York | Idaho | District of Columbia |
| Massachusetts | Oregon | Hawaii |  |
| Minnesota | Pennsylvania | Maryland | Kentucky |
| New Hampshire | South Carolina | Rissouri | Louisiana |
| New J ersey | South Dakota | Utah | Mississippi |
| North Carolina | Wexas | Nevada |  |
| North Dakota | Wisconsin |  | New Mexico |
| Ohio |  | Oklahoma |  |
| Vermont |  |  | Tennessee |
| Virginia |  |  |  |
| Washington |  |  |  |
| Wyoming |  |  |  |
| SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress. See table 8-1. |  |  |  |

## Findings

- Nationwide, fourth grade students in public schools showed improvement in mathematics mastery as average scale scores for testing with accommodations rose from 222 in 1996 and 224 in 2000 to 234 in 2003.
- Within the limits of statistical significance, 24 states exceeded the 2003 national average mathematics score, 11 had average scores, and 15 fell below the national average.
- All states for which 2000 and 2003 mathematics scores were obtained showed increases in 2003 when the results of testing with accommodations were compared.
- Gains in scores between 2000 and 2003 were detected throughout the entire student sample at all levels of performance.

Mathematics achievement at the fourth grade level lays the foundation for future mathematics education. The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is a federally authorized ongoing assessment of student performance in various subjects on a state and national scale. All 50 states and the District of Columbia participated in the 2003 assessment of fourth grade achievement in mathematics. This indicator reports the average score in mathematics for fourth grade students in public schools across each state.

National and state results are reported for only public school students. Beginning in 2002, NAEP obtained the national sample by aggregating the samples from each state rather than
by selecting an independent national sample. In 1996, NAEP started permitting students with disabilities or limited English proficiency to use certain accommodations (e.g., extended time, small-group testing). National data with and without accommodations were published beginning in 1996, but state-level data with accommodations were not published until 2000. In math, only accommodations-permitted data are available at the state level for 2003. These data are not comparable with data from students who were not permitted accommodations.

Student performance is described in terms of average scores on a scale from 0 to 500 .

Table 8-1
Fourth grade mathematics performance, by state: 1996, 2000, and 2003 (Score)

| State | $1996^{\text {a }}$ | $2000^{\text {a }}$ | 2000 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| National average............................ | 222 | 226 | 224 | 234 |
| Alabama.. | 212 | 218 | 217 | 223 |
| Alaska . | 224 | NA | NA | 233 |
| Arizona..................................... | 218 | 219 | 219 | 229 |
| Arkansas . | 216 | 217 | 216 | 229 |
| California... | 209 | 214 | 213 | 227 |
| Colorado. | 226 | NA | NA | 235 |
| Connecticut | 232 | 234 | 234 | 241 |
| Delaware. | 215 | NA | NA | 236 |
| District of Columbia | 187 | 193 | 192 | 205 |
| Florida ... | 216 | NA | NA | 234 |
| Georgia | 215 | 220 | 219 | 230 |
| Hawaii. | 215 | 216 | 216 | 227 |
| Idaho... | NA | 227 | 224 | 235 |
| Illinois.. | NA | 225 | 223 | 233 |
| Indiana ..................................... | 229 | 234 | 233 | 238 |
| Iowa ........................................ | 229 | 233 | 231 | 238 |
| Kansas .. | NA | 232 | 232 | 242 |
| Kentucky.. | 220 | 221 | 219 | 229 |
| Louisiana.. | 209 | 218 | 218 | 226 |
| Maine . | 232 | 231 | 230 | 238 |
| Maryland | 221 | 222 | 222 | 233 |
| Massachusetts. | 229 | 235 | 233 | 242 |
| Michigan . | 226 | 231 | 229 | 236 |
| Minnesota | 232 | 235 | 234 | 242 |
| Mississippi.. | 208 | 211 | 211 | 223 |
| Missouri .. | 225 | 229 | 228 | 235 |
| Montana................................... | 228 | 230 | 228 | 236 |
| Nebraska.. | 228 | 226 | 225 | 236 |
| Nevada... | 218 | 220 | 220 | 228 |
| New Hampshire .......................... | NA | NA | NA | 243 |
| New J ersey ............................... | 227 | NA | NA | 239 |
| New Mexico. | 214 | 214 | 213 | 223 |
| New York.. | 223 | 227 | 225 | 236 |
| North Carolina.. | 224 | 232 | 230 | 242 |
| North Dakota | 231 | 231 | 230 | 238 |
| Ohio .... | NA | 231 | 230 | 238 |
| Oklahoma................................. | NA | 225 | 224 | 229 |
| Oregon.. | 223 | 227 | 224 | 236 |
| Pennsylvania.. | 226 | NA | NA | 236 |
| Rhode Island. | 220 | 225 | 224 | 230 |
| South Carolina | 213 | 220 | 220 | 236 |
| South Dakota. | NA | NA | NA | 237 |
| Tennessee................................. | 219 | 220 | 220 | 228 |
| Texas.. | 229 | 233 | 231 | 237 |
| Utah . | 227 | 227 | 227 | 235 |
| Vermont. | 225 | 232 | 232 | 242 |
| Virginia .. | 223 | 230 | 230 | 239 |
| Washington............................... | 225 | NA | NA | 238 |
| West Virginia .............................. | 223 | 225 | 223 | 231 |
| Wisconsin ................................ | 231 | NA | NA | 237 |
| Wyoming................................... | 223 | 229 | 229 | 241 |

NA = not available
${ }^{a}$ Accommodations not permitted.
NOTES: National average is reported value in National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) reports. NAEP grade 4 mathematics scores are for public schools only. Comparative performance results may be affected by changes in exclusion rates for students with disabilities and limited English proficiency students in NAEP samples. In addition to allowing for accommodations, the accommodations-permitted results for national public schools (2000 and 2003) differ slightly from previous years' results and from previously reported results for 2000 because of changes in sample weighting procedures.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress, various years.

## Fourth Grade Mathematics Proficiency

Figure 8-2
Fourth grade mathematics proficiency: 2003


| 1st quartile (43\%-36\%) | 2nd quartile (35\%-32\%) | 3rd quartile (31\%-25\%) | (th quartile (24\%-7\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Connecticut | Colorado | Alaska | Alabama |
| lowa | Illinois | Arizona | District of Columbia |
| Kansas | Indiana | Arkansas | Hawaii |
| Massachusetts | Maine | California | Kentucky |
| Minnesota | Michigan | Delaware | Louisiana |
| New Hampshire | Nebraska | Florida | Mississippi |
| New Jersey | New York | Georgia | Nevada |
| North Carolina | North Dakota | Idaho | New Mexico |
| Ohio | Oregon | Maryland | Oklahoma |
| Pennsylvania | South Carolina | Missouri | Tennessee |
| Vermont | South Dakota | Rhode Island | West Virginia |
| Virginia | Texas | Utah |  |
| Washington | Wisconsin |  |  |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress. See table 8-2.

## Findings

- In 2003, the nationwide percentage of fourth grade public school students who performed at or above the proficient level in mathematics was $31 \%$, which represented a significant increase from $22 \%$ in 2000 and $19 \%$ in 1996 based on testing with accommodations.
- The proportion of fourth graders reaching the proficient achievement level was $43 \%$ for whites, $10 \%$ for blacks, $16 \%$ for Hispanics, 48\% for Asians/Pacific Islanders, and 17\% for American Indians/Alaska Natives.
- Gender differences in mathematics proficiency were observed among fourth grade students; $34 \%$ of males reached the proficient level compared with 29\% of females.

This indicator provides a measure of the extent to which a state's fourth grade students in public schools have achieved proficiency in mathematics. High values show that a high percentage of a state's fourth graders have demonstrated a solid foundation for adult mathematics competency. Such competency is an important characteristic of a state's future workforce.

Proficiency in mathematics is based on achievement level in the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) 2003 Mathematics Assessment. Achievement levels represent performance standards set by the Na tional Assessment Governing Board to provide a context for interpreting student performance on NAEP.

The basic level (scores of 214-248) denotes partial mastery of prerequisite knowledge and skills that are fundamental for proficient work at the fourth grade level. The proficient level (249-281) represents solid academic performance at the fourth grade level. Students who reach this level have demonstrated competency over challenging subject matter, including subject-matter knowledge, application of such knowledge to real-world situations, and analytical skills appropriate to the subject matter. The advanced level (282-500) signifies superior performance. Approximately 190,100 fourth grade students participated in the NAEP assessment.

Table 8-2
Fourth grade mathematics proficiency, by state: 1996, 2000, and 2003
(Percent)

| State | 1996 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $2000^{\text {a }}$ | 2000 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| National average............................ | 20 | 25 | 22 | 31 |
| Alabama................................... | 11 | 14 | 13 | 19 |
| Alaska ...................................... | 21 | NA | NA | 30 |
| Arizona..................................... | 15 | 17 | 16 | 25 |
| Arkansas | 13 | 13 | 14 | 26 |
| California................................... | 11 | 15 | 13 | 25 |
| Colorado | 22 | NA | NA | 34 |
| Connecticut .............................. | 31 | 32 | 31 | 41 |
| Delaware. | 16 | NA | NA | 31 |
| District of Columbia ..................... | 5 | 6 | 5 | 7 |
| Florida ..................................... | 15 | NA | NA | 31 |
| Georgia . | 13 | 18 | 17 | 27 |
| Hawaii ...................................... | 16 | 14 | 14 | 23 |
| Idaho.. | NA | 21 | 20 | 31 |
| Illinois ..................................... | NA | 21 | 20 | 32 |
| Indiana | 24 | 31 | 30 | 35 |
| Iowa ........................................ | 22 | 28 | 26 | 36 |
| Kansas | NA | 30 | 29 | 41 |
| Kentucky.. | 16 | 17 | 17 | 22 |
| Louisiana.. | 8 | 14 | 14 | 21 |
| Maine .. | 27 | 25 | 23 | 34 |
| Maryland | 22 | 22 | 21 | 31 |
| Massachusetts. | 24 | 33 | 31 | 41 |
| Michigan | 23 | 29 | 28 | 34 |
| Minnesota | 29 | 34 | 33 | 42 |
| Mississippi ................................ | 8 | 9 | 9 | 17 |
| Missouri. | 20 | 23 | 23 | 30 |
| Montana. | 22 | 25 | 24 | 31 |
| Nebraska.. | 24 | 24 | 24 | 34 |
| Nevada.. | 14 | 16 | 16 | 23 |
| New Hampshire .......................... | NA | NA | NA | 43 |
| New J ersey ................................ | 25 | NA | NA | 39 |
| New Mexico .............................. | 13 | 12 | 12 | 17 |
| New York. | 20 | 22 | 21 | 33 |
| North Carolina............................ | 21 | 28 | 25 | 41 |
| North Dakota | 24 | 25 | 25 | 34 |
| Ohio ..... | NA | 26 | 25 | 36 |
| Oklahoma.. | NA | 16 | 16 | 23 |
| Oregon................................... | 21 | 23 | 23 | 33 |
| Pennsylvania. | 20 | NA | NA | 36 |
| Rhode Island.. | 17 | 23 | 22 | 28 |
| South Carolina ............................ | 12 | 18 | 18 | 32 |
| South Dakota ............................. | NA | NA | NA | 34 |
| Tennessee ................................. | 17 | 18 | 18 | 24 |
| Texas........................................ | 25 | 27 | 25 | 33 |
| Utah ......................................... | 23 | 24 | 23 | 31 |
| Vermont.................................... | 23 | 29 | 29 | 42 |
| Virginia ...................................... | 19 | 25 | 24 | 36 |
| Washington ............................... | 21 | NA | NA | 36 |
| West Virginia .............................. | 19 | 18 | 17 | 24 |
| Wisconsin ................................. | 27 | NA | NA | 35 |
| Wyoming................................... | 19 | 25 | 25 | 39 |

NA = not available
${ }^{a}$ Accommodations not permitted.
NOTES: National average is reported value in National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) reports. NAEP grade 4 mathematics scores are for public schools only. Comparative performance results may be affected by changes in exclusion rates for students with disabilities and limited English proficiency students in NAEP samples. In addition to allowing for accommodations, accommodations-permitted results for national public schools (2000 and 2003) differ slightly from previous years' results and from previously reported results for 2000 because of changes in sample weighting procedures.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress, various years.

## Fourth Grade Science Performance

Figure 8-3
Fourth grade science performance: $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$


| 1st quartile (161-156) | 2nd quartile (155-150) | 3rd quartile (149-143) | 4th quartile (142-129) | No data |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Connecticut | Idaho | Alabama | Alaska |  |
| lowa | Illinois | Arkansas | Arizona | Colorado |
| Maine | Indiana | Maryland | California | Georgia |
| Massachusetts | Kentucky | New York | Hawaii | District of Columbia |
| Minnesota | Michigan | North Carolina | Louisiana | Florida |
| Missouri | Nebraska | Oregon | Kansas |  |
| Montana | Ohio | Rhode Island | Mississippi | New Hampshire |
| North Dakota | OKlahoma | Tennessee | Nevada | New Jersey |
| Vermont | Texas | New Mexico | Pennsylvania |  |
| Wyoming | West Virginia | South Carolina | South Dakota | Washington |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress. See table 8-3.

## Findings

- Nationally, fourth graders in public schools had an average score of 148 in both the 1996 and 2000 science assessments when accommodations were not permitted.
- State-level data are available only for 2000 when 11 states and the District of Columbia did not meet minimum participation guidelines.
- Within the limits of statistical significance, 18 states exceeded the 2000 national average science score, 11 had average scores, and 10 fell below the national average.
- Between the 1996 and 2000 assessments, the average scale scores for various percentiles of student performance remained unchanged.

Science achievement at the fourth grade level lays the foundation for future science education. The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is a federally authorized ongoing assessment of student performance in various subjects on a state and national scale. State participation is optional. NAEP does not compute scores for states that do not meet the minimum guidelines for the percentage of students or schools participating. This indicator reports the average scores in science for fourth grade students in public schools across each state.

For the fourth grade, a national sample and separate state-by-state
samples were used. Both national and state results are reported only for public school students. In 1996, NAEP started permitting students with disabilities or limited English proficiency to use certain accommodations (e.g., extended time, small-group testing). At grade 4, the accommodations-permitted average score was one point lower than the ac-commodations-not-permitted average score for national data in 2000. The differences in state-level data were not statistically significant.

The NAEP science scale ranges from 0 to 300 .

Table 8-3
Fourth grade science performance, by state: 2000 (Score)

| State | $2000^{\text {a }}$ | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| National average............................ | 148 | 147 |
| Alabama. | 143 | 143 |
| Alaska ...................................... | NA | NA |
| Arizona. | 141 | 140 |
| Arkansas | 144 | 145 |
| California. | 131 | 129 |
| Colorado. | NA | NA |
| Connecticut | 156 | 156 |
| Delaware | NA | NA |
| District of Columbia ... | NA | NA |
| Florida ... | NA | NA |
| Georgia | 143 | 142 |
| Hawaii. | 136 | 136 |
| Idaho.. | 153 | 152 |
| Illinois .. | 151 | 150 |
| Indiana | 155 | 154 |
| lowa. | 160 | 159 |
| Kansas | NA | NA |
| Kentucky... | 152 | 152 |
| Louisiana. | 139 | 139 |
| Maine ... | 161 | 161 |
| Maryland | 146 | 145 |
| Massachusetts. | 162 | 161 |
| Michigan .. | 154 | 152 |
| Minnesota | 157 | 157 |
| Mississippi. | 133 | 133 |
| Missouri | 156 | 157 |
| Montana. | 160 | 160 |
| Nebraska.. | 150 | 150 |
| Nevada.. | 142 | 142 |
| New Hampshire | NA | NA |
| New J ersey .. | NA | NA |
| New Mexico.. | 138 | 140 |
| New York.. | 149 | 148 |
| North Carolina.. | 148 | 147 |
| North Dakota ........................... | 160 | 160 |
| Ohio ....... | 154 | 155 |
| Oklahoma.. | 152 | 151 |
| Oregon.. | 150 | 148 |
| Pennsylvania. | NA | NA |
| Rhode Island. | 148 | 148 |
| South Carolina | 141 | 140 |
| South Dakota ............................. | NA | NA |
| Tennessee... | 147 | 145 |
| Texas... | 147 | 145 |
| Utah ..... | 155 | 154 |
| Vermont. | 159 | 160 |
| Virginia | 156 | 155 |
| Washington ............................... | NA | NA |
| West Virginia .............................. | 150 | 149 |
| Wisconsin ................................. | NA | NA |
| Wyoming.................................. | 158 | 156 |

$N A=$ not available (did not meet minimum participation guidelines)
${ }^{a}$ Accommodations not permitted.
NOTES: National average is reported value in National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) report. NAEP grade 4 science scores are for public schools only. California, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Maine, Michigan, Minnesota, Montana, New York, Ohio, Oregon, and Vermont met minimum participation guidelines but did not meet one or more guidelines for school participation.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress.
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## Fourth Grade Science Proficiency

Figure 8-4
Fourth grade science proficiency: $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$


| 1st quartile (42\%-32\%) | 2nd quartile (31\%-26\%) | 3rd quartile (25\%-23\%) | 4th quartile (22\%-13\%) | No data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Connecticut | Idaho | Arkansas | Alabama | Alaska |
| Indiana | Illinois | Georgia | Arizona | Colorado |
| Iowa | Kentucky | Maryland | California | Delaware |
| Maine | Nebraska | New York | Hawaii | District of Columbia |
| Massachusetts | Ohio | North Carolina | Louisiana | Florida |
| Michigan | Oklahoma | Rhode Island | Mississippi | Kansas |
| Minnesota | Oregon | Tennessee | Nevada | New Hampshire |
| Missouri | Utah | Texas | New Mexico | New J ersey |
| Montana | Wyoming | West Virginia | South Carolina | Pennsylvania |
| North Dakota |  |  |  | South Dakota |
| Vermont |  |  |  | Washington |
| Virginia |  |  |  | Wisconsin |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress. See table 8-4.

## Findings

- The nationwide percentage of fourth grade public school students who performed at or above the proficient level in science was $28 \%$ in 2000 and $27 \%$ in 1996 in testing without accommodations.
- The proportion of fourth graders reaching the proficient achievement level in science was 38\% for whites, 7\% for blacks, 11\% for Hispanics, and 19\% for American Indians/Alaska Natives in 2000. Data for Asians/Pacific Islanders were not reported.
- Gender differences in science proficiency were observed among fourth grade students; 33\% of males reached the proficient level compared with 26\% of females.

This indicator provides a measure of the extent to which a state's fourth grade students in public schools have achieved proficiency in science. High values show that a high percentage of a state's fourth grade students have demonstrated a solid foundation for adult science competency. Such competency is an important characteristic of a state's future workforce.

Proficiency in science is based on achievement level in the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) 2000 Science Assessment. Achievement levels represent performance standards set by the National Assessment Governing Board to provide a context for interpreting student performance on NAEP.

The basic level (138-169) denotes partial mastery of prerequisite knowl-
edge and skills that are fundamental for proficient work at the fourth grade level. The proficient level (170-204) represents solid academic performance at the fourth grade level. Students who reach this level have demonstrated competency over challenging subject matter, including subject-matter knowledge, application of such knowledge to real-world situations, and analytical skills appropriate to the subject matter. The advanced level (205-300) signifies superior performance in science.

A National Academy of Sciences panel evaluated the process used to establish the achievement levels for the science assessment and urged that they be considered developmental and interpreted with caution.

Table 8-4
Fourth grade science proficiency, by state: 2000 (Percent)

| State | $2000^{\text {a }}$ | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| National average | 28 | 27 |
| Alabama. | 22 | 22 |
| Alaska | NA | NA |
| Arizona. | 22 | 22 |
| Arkansas | 24 | 23 |


| Arkansas .................................................................................................... | 24 | 13 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| California..... |  |  |


| Colorado | NA | NA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Connecticut ............................... | 35 | 35 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Delaware .................................... NA |  |  |

District of Columbia ........................ NA NA N NA
Florida .................................. NA NA

| Georgia ......................................... | 23 | 23 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hawaii .............................................................. | 16 | 16 |


| Idaho ......................................... | 30 | 29 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Illinois .............................................................. | 31 | 31 |


| Illinois ..................................................................................... 32 | 31 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Indiana ........ | 32 |

lowa ............................................ 37 36
Kansas.............................................NA NA
Louisiana............................................... 19.18
Maine ......................................... 38 37
Maryland ...................................... 26.24
Massachusetts............................... 43 42
Michigan ..................................... 33 32

Minnesota ...................................... 35 34
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Mississippi ................................... } & 14 & 13 \\ \text { Missouri .................................... } & 35 & 34\end{array}$
Montana......................................... 3736
Nebraska............................................. 26 26
Nevada.......................................... 1919
New Hampshire ............................ NA NA
New J ersey .........................................NA NA

North Carolina................................. 2423
North Dakota ................................ 38 36
Ohio ............................................ 31 31
Oklahoma..................................... 26 26

Rhode Island................................. 27 25
South Carolina ............................... 2120

South Dakota............................... NA NA
Tennessee..................................... 26 24
Texas............................................ 24 23
Utah ............................................. 32 31
Vermont......................................... 39 38
Virginia ......................................... 33
Washington.................................. NA NA
West Virginia ...................................... 25 24

| Wisconsin | NA | NA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wyoming. | 33 | 31 |

$N A=$ not available (did not meet minimum participation guidelines)
${ }^{\text {a Accommodations not permitted. }}$
NOTES: National average is reported value in National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) report. NAEP grade 4 science scores are for public schools only. California, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, M aine, Michigan, Minnesota, Montana, New York, Ohio, Oregon, and Vermont met minimum participation guidelines but did not meet one or more guidelines for school participation.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress.
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## Eighth Grade Mathematics Performance

Figure 8-5
Eighth grade mathematics performance: 2003


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress. See table 8-5.

## Findings

- Nationwide, eighth grade students in public schools showed increases in mathematics mastery as average scale scores for the accommodations-permitted sample rose from 269 in 1996 and 272 in 2000 to 276 in 2003.
- Within the limits of statistical significance, 28 states exceeded the 2003 national average mathematics score, 7 had average scores, and 15 fell below the national average.
- Gains in score between 2000 and 2003 occurred throughout the entire student sample at all levels of performance. They ranged from 3 scale points for students who performed at the 90th percentile to 7 scale points for students who performed at the 10th percentile.

Mathematics achievement at the eighth grade level indicates how prepared students are to undertake high school mathematics studies and acquire key skills needed for careers in science and technology. The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), a federally authorized ongoing assessment of student performance in various subjects on a state and national scale, assessed eighth grade achievement in mathematics in 2003. All 50 states participated.

National and state results are based on only public school students. Beginning in 2002, NAEP obtained the national sample by aggregating state samples rather than by selecting an independent
national sample. Since 1996, NAEP permitted students with disabilities or limited English proficiency to use certain accommodations (e.g., extended time, small-group testing). National-level data with and without accommodations were published beginning in 1996, but state-level data with accommodations were not published until 2000. In math, only accommodations-permitted data are available at the state level for 2003. These data are not comparable with data from students who were not permitted accommodations.

Student performance is described in terms of average scores on a scale from 0 to 500 .

Table 8-5
Eighth grade mathematics performance, by state: 1996, 2000, and 2003 (Score)

| State | $1996{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $2000^{\text {a }}$ | 2000 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| National average............................ | 271 | 274 | 272 | 276 |
| Alabama................................... | 257 | 262 | 264 | 262 |
| Alaska ..................................... | 278 | NA | NA | 279 |
| Arizona ................................... | 268 | 271 | 269 | 271 |
| Arkansas | 262 | 261 | 257 | 266 |
| California. | 263 | 262 | 260 | 267 |
| Colorado. | 276 | NA | NA | 283 |
| Connecticut | 280 | 282 | 281 | 284 |
| Delaware. | 267 | NA | NA | 277 |
| District of Columbia .. | 233 | 234 | 235 | 243 |
| Florida.. | 264 | NA | NA | 271 |
| Georgia .................................... | 262 | 266 | 265 | 270 |
| Hawaii. | 262 | 263 | 262 | 266 |
| Idaho. | NA | 278 | 277 | 280 |
| Illinois. | NA | 277 | 275 | 277 |
| Indiana | 276 | 283 | 281 | 281 |
| lowa. | 284 | NA | NA | 284 |
| Kansas | NA | 284 | 283 | 284 |
| Kentucky. | 267 | 272 | 270 | 274 |
| Louisiana.. | 252 | 259 | 259 | 266 |
| Maine . | 284 | 284 | 281 | 282 |
| Maryland | 270 | 276 | 272 | 278 |
| Massachusetts.. | 278 | 283 | 279 | 287 |
| Michigan ................................... | 277 | 278 | 277 | 276 |
| Minnesota ................................. | 284 | 288 | 287 | 291 |
| M ississippi. | 250 | 254 | 254 | 261 |
| M issouri | 273 | 274 | 271 | 279 |
| Montana. | 283 | 287 | 285 | 286 |
| Nebraska................................... | 283 | 281 | 280 | 282 |
| Nevada... | NA | 268 | 265 | 268 |
| New Hampshire .......................... | NA | NA | NA | 286 |
| New J ersey .............................. | NA | NA | NA | 281 |
| New Mexico ... | 262 | 260 | 259 | 263 |
| New York.. | 270 | 276 | 271 | 280 |
| North Carolina............................ | 268 | 280 | 276 | 281 |
| North Dakota ............................. | 284 | 283 | 282 | 287 |
| Ohio ....... | NA | 283 | 281 | 282 |
| Oklahoma................................ | NA | 272 | 270 | 272 |
| Oregon... | 276 | 281 | 280 | 281 |
| Pennsylvania.. | NA | NA | NA | 279 |
| Rhode Island.. | 269 | 273 | 269 | 272 |
| South Carolina . | 261 | 266 | 265 | 277 |
| South Dakota ............................. | NA | NA | NA | 285 |
| Tennessee................................. | 263 | 263 | 262 | 268 |
| Texas. | 270 | 275 | 273 | 277 |
| Utah ... | 277 | 275 | 274 | 281 |
| Vermont. | 279 | 283 | 281 | 286 |
| Virginia ..................................... | 270 | 277 | 275 | 282 |
| Washington............................... | 276 | NA | NA | 281 |
| West Virginia .............................. | 265 | 271 | 266 | 271 |
| Wisconsin ................................. | 283 | NA | NA | 284 |
| Wyoming .................................. | 275 | 277 | 276 | 284 |

## NA = not available

${ }^{a}$ Accommodations not permitted.
NOTES: National average is reported value in National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) reports. NAEP grade 8 mathematics scores are for public schools only. Comparative performance results may be affected by changes in exclusion rates for students with disabilities and limited English proficiency students in NAEP samples. In addition to allowing for accommodations, accommodations-permitted results for national public schools (2000 and 2003) differ slightly from previous years' results and from previously reported results for 2000 because of changes in sample weighting procedures.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress, various years.

## Eighth Grade Mathematics Proficiency

Figure 8-6
Eighth grade mathematics proficiency: 2003


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress. See table 8-6.

## Findings

- In 2003, the nationwide percentage of eighth grade public school students who performed at or above the proficient level in mathematics was $27 \%$, an increase from $25 \%$ in 2000 and $22 \%$ in 1996 based on testing with accommodations.
- The proportion of eighth grade students who reached the proficient achievement level was $35 \%$ for whites, $7 \%$ for blacks, 10\% for Hispanics, 41\% for Asians/Pacific Islanders, and 9\% for American Indians/ Alaska Natives
- Gender differences in mathematics proficiency were smaller in the eighth grade (3\%) than in the fourth grade (5\%). Among eighth grade students, $29 \%$ of males reached the proficient level in mathematics compared with $26 \%$ of females.

This indicator provides a measure of the extent to which a state's eighth grade students in public schools have achieved proficiency in mathematics. High values show that a high percentage of a state's eighth graders have demonstrated the ability to undertake the study of high school mathematics, a prerequisite to the further study of science and engineering and a necessary life skill.

Proficiency in mathematics is based on achievement level in the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) 2003 Mathematics Assessment. Achievement levels represent performance standards set by the National Assessment Governing Board to provide a context for interpreting student performance on NAEP.

The basic level (262-298) denotes partial mastery of prerequisite knowledge and skills that are fundamental for proficient work at the eighth grade level. The proficient level (299-332) represents solid academic performance at the eighth grade level. Students who reach this level have demonstrated competency over challenging subject matter, including subject-matter knowledge, application of such knowledge to real-world situations, and analytical skills appropriate to the subject matter. The advanced level (333-500) signifies superior performance. Approximately 153,200 eighth graders participated in the NAEP assessment.

Table 8-6
Eighth grade mathematics proficiency, by state: 1996, 2000, and 2003
(Percent)

| State | $1996{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $2000^{\text {a }}$ | 2000 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| National average............................ | 23 | 26 | 25 | 27 |
| Alabama.................................... | 12 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| Alaska ...................................... | 30 | NA | NA | 30 |
| Arizona..................................... | 18 | 21 | 20 | 21 |
| Arkansas | 13 | 14 | 13 | 19 |
| C alifornia................................. | 17 | 18 | 17 | 22 |
| Colorado ................................... | 25 | NA | NA | 34 |
| Connecticut | 31 | 34 | 33 | 35 |
| Delaware. | 19 | NA | NA | 26 |
| District of Columbia ..................... | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Florida ... | 17 | NA | NA | 23 |
| Georgia | 16 | 19 | 19 | 22 |
| Hawaii .................................... | 16 | 16 | 16 | 17 |
| Idaho ........................................ | NA | 27 | 26 | 28 |
| Illinois.. | NA | 27 | 26 | 29 |
| Indiana | 24 | 31 | 29 | 31 |
| lowa. | 31 | NA | NA | 33 |
| Kansas | NA | 34 | 34 | 34 |
| Kentucky... | 16 | 21 | 20 | 24 |
| Louisiana.. | 7 | 12 | 11 | 17 |
| Maine . | 31 | 32 | 30 | 29 |
| Maryland | 24 | 29 | 27 | 30 |
| Massachusetts. | 28 | 32 | 30 | 38 |
| Michigan ................................... | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 |
| Minnesota | 34 | 40 | 39 | 44 |
| Mississippi. | 7 | 8 | 9 | 12 |
| Missouri | 22 | 22 | 21 | 28 |
| Montana. | 32 | 37 | 36 | 35 |
| Nebraska. | 31 | 31 | 30 | 32 |
| Nevada... | NA | 20 | 18 | 20 |
| New Hampshire | NA | NA | NA | 35 |
| New J ersey. | NA | NA | NA | 33 |
| New Mexico. | 14 | 13 | 12 | 15 |
| New York................................... | 22 | 26 | 24 | 32 |
| North Carolina. | 20 | 30 | 27 | 32 |
| North Dakota | 33 | 31 | 30 | 36 |
| Ohio | NA | 31 | 30 | 30 |
| Oklahoma. | NA | 19 | 18 | 20 |
| Oregon... | 26 | 32 | 31 | 32 |
| Pennsylvania. | NA | NA | NA | 30 |
| Rhode Island. | 20 | 24 | 22 | 24 |
| South Carolina | 14 | 18 | 17 | 26 |
| South Dakota ............................. | NA | NA | NA | 35 |
| Tennessee. | 15 | 17 | 16 | 21 |
| Texas. | 21 | 24 | 24 | 25 |
| Utah | 24 | 26 | 25 | 31 |
| Vermont. | 27 | 32 | 31 | 35 |
| Virginia . | 21 | 26 | 25 | 31 |
| Washington. | 26 | NA | NA | 32 |
| West Virginia | 14 | 18 | 17 | 20 |
| Wisconsin ............................... | 32 | NA | NA | 35 |
| Wyoming................................... | 22 | 25 | 23 | 32 |

NA = not available
${ }^{a}$ Accommodations not permitted.
NOTES: National average is reported value in National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) reports. NAEP grade 8 mathematics scores are for public schools only. Comparative performance results may be affected by changes in exclusion rates for students with disabilities and limited English proficiency students in NAEP samples. In addition to allowing for accommodations, accommodations-permitted results for national public schools (2000 and 2003) differ slightly from previous years' results and from previously reported results for 2000 because of changes in sample weighting procedures.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress, various years.

## Eighth Grade Science Performance

Figure 8-7
Eighth grade science performance: 2000


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress. See table 8-7.

## Findings

- Nationally, eighth grade students in public schools had an average score of 149 in the 2000 science assessment, which is not statistically different from the 1996 average science score of 148 . Both scores represent samples in which accommodations were not permitted.
- Within the limits of statistical significance, 16 states exceeded the 2000 national average science score, 11 had average scores, and 11 fell below the national average.
- A statistically significant increase was observed in the scale score of the 90th percentile of the national sample, which indicates that the top performing students improved between the 1996 and 2000 assessments. Scale scores for the remaining students were not significantly different in the two assessments.

Science achievement at the eighth grade level is important because it represents how prepared students are to undertake high school courses in biology, chemistry, and physics. This indicator measures the knowledge of a state's eighth grade students in science.

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is a federally authorized ongoing assessment of student achievement in various subjects on a state and national scale. State participation is optional. NAEP does not compute scores for states that do not meet the minimum guidelines for the percentage of students or schools participating. For the eighth grade, a
national sample and separate state-bystate samples were conducted. Both national and state results are reported only for public school students. Since 1996, NAEP permitted students with disabilities or limited English proficiency to use certain accommodations (e.g., extended time, small-group testing). At grade 8, the accommodationspermitted average score was identical to the accommodations-not-permitted average score for national data. The differences in state-level data were not statistically significant.

The NAEP science scale ranges from 0 to 300 .

Table 8-7
Eighth grade science performance, by state: 1996 and 2000 (Score)

| State | $1996{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $2000^{\text {a }}$ | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| National average............................ | 148 | 149 | 149 |
| Alabama ................................... | 139 | 141 | 143 |
| Alaska ...................................... | NA | NA | NA |
| Arizona ..................................... | 145 | 146 | 145 |
| Arkansas. | 144 | 143 | 142 |
| California. | 138 | 132 | 129 |
| Colorado. | NA | NA | NA |
| Connecticut ............................... | 155 | 154 | 153 |
| Delaware. | NA | NA | NA |
| District of Columbia ..................... | NA | NA | NA |
| Florida ...................................... | NA | NA | NA |
| Georgia | 142 | 144 | 142 |
| Hawaii ...................................... | 135 | 132 | 130 |
| Idaho. | NA | 159 | 158 |
| Illinois. | NA | 150 | 148 |
| Indiana | 153 | 156 | 154 |
| lowa. | NA | NA | NA |
| Kansas | NA | NA | NA |
| Kentucky... | 147 | 152 | 150 |
| Louisiana. | 132 | 136 | 134 |
| Maine . | 163 | 160 | 158 |
| Maryland | 145 | 149 | 146 |
| Massachusetts. | 157 | 161 | 158 |
| Michigan .. | 153 | 156 | 155 |
| Minnesota | 159 | 160 | 159 |
| Mississippi. | 133 | 134 | 134 |
| Missouri | 151 | 156 | 154 |
| Montana. | 162 | 165 | 164 |
| Nebraska. | 157 | 157 | 158 |
| Nevada. | NA | 143 | 141 |
| New Hampshire .......................... | NA | NA | NA |
| New J ersey .... | NA | NA | NA |
| New Mexico.. | 141 | 140 | 139 |
| New York.. | 146 | 149 | 145 |
| North Carolina.. | 147 | 147 | 145 |
| North Dakota ............................. | 162 | 161 | 159 |
| Ohio ... | NA | 161 | 159 |
| Oklahoma.. | NA | 149 | 149 |
| Oregon ...................................... | 155 | 154 | 154 |
| Pennsylvania... | NA | NA | NA |
| Rhode Island.. | 149 | 150 | 148 |
| South Carolina . | 139 | 142 | 140 |
| South Dakota. | NA | NA | NA |
| Tennessee................................. | 143 | 146 | 145 |
| Texas.. | 145 | 144 | 143 |
| Utah .. | 156 | 155 | 154 |
| Vermont. | 157 | 161 | 159 |
| Virginia | 149 | 152 | 151 |
| Washington ............................... | NA | NA | NA |
| West Virginia .............................. | 147 | 150 | 146 |
| Wisconsin ................................. | NA | NA | NA |
| Wyoming................................ | 158 | 158 | 156 |

NA = not available (did not meet minimum participation guidelines)
${ }^{\text {a Accommodations not permitted. }}$
NOTES: National average is reported value in National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) reports. NAEP grade 8 science scores are for public schools only. In 2000,
Arizona, C alifornia, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Maine, Michigan, Minnesota, Montana, New
York, Oregon, and Vermont met the minimum participation guidelines but did not satisfy one or more school participation rate guidelines for school sample(s).
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics,
National Assessment of Educational Progress, various years.

## Eighth Grade Science Proficiency

Figure 8-8
Eighth grade science proficiency: $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$


| 1st quartile (44\%-35\%) | 2nd quartile (34\%-28\%) | 3rd quartile (27\%-23\%) | 4th quartile (22\%-14\%) | No data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Connecticut | Illinois | Alabama | Arkansas | Alaska |
| Idaho | Indiana | Arizona | California | Colorado |
| Maine | Kentucky | Georgia | Hawaii | Delaware |
| Massachusetts | Missouri | Maryland | Louisiana | District of Columbia |
| Michigan | New York | North Carolina | Mississippi | Florida |
| Minnesota | Oregon | Oklahoma | Nevada | Iowa |
| Montana | Utah | Rhode Island | New Mexico | Kansas |
| Nebraska | Virginia | Tennessee | South Carolina | New Hampshire |
| North Dakota | Wyoming | Texas |  | New J ersey |
| Ohio |  | West Virginia |  | Pennsylvania |
| Vermont |  |  |  | South Dakota |
|  |  |  |  | Washington |
|  |  |  |  | Wisconsin |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress. See table 8-8.

## Findings

- In 2000, the nationwide percentage of eighth grade public school students who performed at or above the proficient level in science was $30 \%$, an increase from $27 \%$ in 1996 in testing without accommodations
- In 2000, the percentage of public school students who performed at the proficient level in science was slightly higher in the eighth grade (30\%) than in the fourth grade ( $28 \%$ ).
- The proportion of eighth grade students who reached the proficient achievement level was 41\% for whites, 7\% for blacks, 12\% for Hispanics, 37\% for Asians/Pacific Islanders, and 14\% for American Indians/ Alaska Natives.
- Sex differences in science proficiency were larger in the eighth grade (9\%) than in the fourth grade (7\%).

This indicator provides a measure of the extent to which a state's eighth grade students in public schools have achieved proficiency in science. High values show that a high percentage of a state's eighth grade students have demonstrated the ability to undertake the study of high school science, a prerequisite to the further study of science and engineering and a necessary life skill.

Proficiency in science is based on achievement level in the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) 2000 Science Assessment. Achievement levels represent performance standards set by the National Assessment Governing Board to provide a context for interpreting student performance on NAEP.

The basic level (143-169) denotes partial mastery of prerequisite knowledge and skills that are fundamental for proficient work at the eighth grade level. The proficient level (170-207) represents solid academic performance at the eighth grade level. Students who reach this level have demonstrated competency over challenging subject matter, including subject-matter knowledge, application of such knowledge to real-world situations, and analytical skills appropriate to the subject matter. The advanced level (208-300) signifies superior performance in science.

A National Academy of Sciences panel evaluated the process used to establish the achievement levels for the science assessment and urged that they be considered developmental and interpreted with caution.

Table 8-8
Eighth grade science proficiency, by state: 1996 and 2000 (Percent)

| State | $1996{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $2000^{\text {a }}$ | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| National average........................ | 27 | 30 | 30 |
| Alabama .................................... | 18 | 22 | 23 |
| Alaska ..................................... | NA | NA | NA |
| Arizona..................................... | 23 | 24 | 23 |
| Arkansas | 22 | 23 | 22 |
| California................................... | 20 | 15 | 14 |
| Colorado .. | NA | NA | NA |
| Connecticut | 36 | 35 | 35 |
| Delaware.. | NA | NA | NA |
| District of Columbia ..................... | NA | NA | NA |
| Florida ... | NA | NA | NA |
| Georgia .................................... | 21 | 23 | 23 |
| Hawaii .... | 15 | 15 | 14 |
| Idaho. | NA | 38 | 37 |
| Illinois. | NA | 30 | 29 |
| Indiana. | 30 | 35 | 33 |
| lowa. | NA | NA | NA |
| Kansas. | NA | NA | NA |
| Kentucky... | 23 | 29 | 28 |
| Louisiana.. | 13 | 18 | 18 |
| Maine ... | 41 | 37 | 35 |
| Maryland | 25 | 28 | 27 |
| Massachusetts. | 37 | 42 | 39 |
| Michigan .. | 32 | 37 | 35 |
| Minnesota | 37 | 42 | 41 |
| Mississippi.. | 12 | 15 | 15 |
| Missouri. | 28 | 36 | 33 |
| Montana.. | 41 | 46 | 44 |
| Nebraska.. | 35 | 36 | 38 |
| Nevada.... | NA | 23 | 22 |
| New Hampshire .......................... | NA | NA | NA |
| New J ersey. | NA | NA | NA |
| New Mexico. | 19 | 20 | 20 |
| New York. | 27 | 30 | 28 |
| North Carolina. | 24 | 27 | 25 |
| North Dakota | 41 | 40 | 38 |
| Ohio | NA | 41 | 39 |
| Oklahoma.. | NA | 26 | 25 |
| Oregon...... | 32 | 33 | 34 |
| Pennsylvania. | NA | NA | NA |
| Rhode Island. | 26 | 29 | 27 |
| South Carolina | 17 | 20 | 20 |
| South Dakota. | NA | NA | NA |
| Tennessee. | 22 | 25 | 24 |
| Texas.. | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| Utah . | 32 | 34 | 34 |
| Vermont. | 34 | 40 | 39 |
| Virginia ...................................... | 27 | 31 | 29 |
| Washington............................... | NA | NA | NA |
| West Virginia | 21 | 26 | 24 |
| Wisconsin ................................. | NA | NA | NA |
| Wyoming................................... | 34 | 36 | 34 |

NA = not available (did not meet minimum participation guidelines)
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Accommodations not permitted.
NOTES: National average is reported value in National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) reports. NAEP grade 8 science scores are for public schools only. In 2000, Arizona, California, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Maine, Michigan, Minnesota, Montana, New York, Oregon, and Vermont met the minimum participation guidelines but did not satisfy one or more school participation rate guidelines for school sample(s).
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress, various years.

## Elementary and Secondary Public School C urrent Expenditures as Share of Gross State Product

Figure 8-9
Elementary and secondary public school current expenditures as share of gross state product: 2003


| 1st quartile (5.09\%-3.97\%) | 2nd quartile (3.92\%-3.57\%) | 3rd quartile (3.56\%-3.21\%) | 4th quartile (3.19\%-1.28\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alaska | Arkansas | Alabama | Colorado |
| Maine | Connecticut | Arizona | Celaware |
| Michigan | Georgia | California | District of Columbia |
| Mississippi | Idaho | Kentucky | Florida |
| Montana | Indiana | Maisiana | Hawaii |
| New Jersey | Iowa | Massachusetts | Nevada |
| New Mexico | Kansas | Minnesota | North Carolina |
| New York | Maryland | Missouri | South Dakota |
| Ohio | New Hampshire | Nebraska | Tennessee |
| Rhode Island | Oklahoma | North Dakota | Utah |
| Vermont | Pregon | Virginia |  |
| West Virginia | Pennsylvania | Wyoming | Washington |

SOURCES: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), NCES Common Core of Data, National Public Education Financial Survey; and U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Gross State Product data. See table 8-9.

## Findings

- The 2003 national average for spending on elementary and secondary education was $3.55 \%$ of gross domestic product, an increase from 3.37\% in 1994.
- Among individual states, it ranged from $2.23 \%$ to $5.09 \%$ of GSP.
- States spending the highest percentage of their GSP on elementary and secondary education tended to have relatively small student populations (100,000-300,000 students), indicating that some level of state spending may be required regardless of the size of the student population or the GSP.
- Actual spending for elementary and secondary current expenditures as a share of GSP decreased in 17 states during the 1994-2003 period.

The priority that state residents place on their elementary and secondary schools is reflected in the percentage of a state's wealth spent for these purposes. Nationally, state support represented the largest source of revenue for elementary and secondary education: $49 \%$ in 2002-03; local sources made up 43\%; and the remaining $8 \%$ came from the federal government. In this indicator, current expenditures for public education in prekindergarten through grade 12 are reported as a share of gross state product (GSP).

In school year 2002-03, current expenditures (excluding capital projects and interest on debt) totaled ap-
proximately $\$ 388$ billion, or $88 \%$ of the $\$ 440$ billion in total spending for public education in prekindergarten through grade 12.

Financial data on public elementary and secondary education are reported by the National Center for Educational Statistics (NCES), U.S. Department of Education. The data are part of the National Public Education Financial Survey and are included in the Common Core of Data, a comprehensive annual national statistical database covering all 94,000 public elementary and secondary schools. Current expenditures are expressed in actual dollars. The year is the latter date of the academic year.

Table 8-9
Elementary and secondary public school current expenditures as share of gross state product, by state: 1994, 1999, and 2003

| State | Public school expenditures (\$ thousands) |  |  | GSP (\$ millions) |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { School } \\ & \text { expenditures/ } \\ & \text { GSP (\%) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1994 | 1999 | 2003 | 1994 | 1999 | 2003 | 1994 | 1999 | 2003 |
| United States | 231,542,764 | 302,876,294 | 387,592,494 | 6,865,515 | 9,201,138 | 10,923,851 | 3.37 | 3.29 | 3.55 |
| Alabama. | 2,809,713 | 3,880,188 | 4,657,643 | 88,581 | 111,777 | 130,792 | 3.17 | 3.47 | 3.56 |
| Alaska | 1,002,515 | 1,137,610 | 1,326,226 | 23,110 | 24,621 | 31,704 | 4.34 | 4.62 | 4.18 |
| Arizona | 2,911,304 | 3,963,455 | 5,891,105 | 95,292 | 147,871 | 183,272 | 3.06 | 2.68 | 3.21 |
| Arkansas | 1,782,645 | 2,241,244 | 2,923,401 | 50,179 | 65,174 | 74,540 | 3.55 | 3.44 | 3.92 |
| California. | 25,140,639 | 34,379,878 | 47,983,402 | 862,481 | 1,183,578 | 1,438,134 | 2.91 | 2.90 | 3.34 |
| Colorado | 2,954,793 | 4,140,699 | 5,551,506 | 100,434 | 156,603 | 188,397 | 2.94 | 2.64 | 2.95 |
| Connecticut | 3,943,891 | 5,075,580 | 6,302,988 | 111,171 | 150,713 | 174,085 | 3.55 | 3.37 | 3.62 |
| Delaware. | 643,915 | 872,786 | 1,127,745 | 25,128 | 39,752 | 50,486 | 2.56 | 2.20 | 2.23 |
| District of Columbia ... | 713,427 | 693,712 | 902,318 | 46,842 | 56,082 | 70,668 | 1.52 | 1.24 | 1.28 |
| Florida. | 10,331,896 | 13,534,374 | 16,355,123 | 322,073 | 442,476 | 553,709 | 3.21 | 3.06 | 2.95 |
| Georgia | 5,643,843 | 8,537,177 | 11,630,576 | 184,256 | 277,324 | 321,199 | 3.06 | 3.08 | 3.62 |
| Hawaii.. | 998,143 | 1,143,713 | 1,489,092 | 36,256 | 38,702 | 46,671 | 2.75 | 2.96 | 3.19 |
| Idaho. | 859,088 | 1,239,755 | 1,511,862 | 24,817 | 32,846 | 40,358 | 3.46 | 3.77 | 3.75 |
| Illinois | 10,076,889 | 13,602,965 | 17,271,301 | 343,363 | 443,718 | 499,731 | 2.93 | 3.07 | 3.46 |
| Indiana | 5,064,685 | 6,697,468 | 8,088,684 | 141,157 | 185,925 | 213,342 | 3.59 | 3.60 | 3.79 |
| Iowa | 2,527,434 | 3,110,585 | 3,652,022 | 69,150 | 86,531 | 102,400 | 3.66 | 3.59 | 3.57 |
| Kansas | 2,325,247 | 2,841,147 | 3,510,675 | 61,805 | 79,159 | 93,263 | 3.76 | 3.59 | 3.76 |
| Kentucky.. | 2,952,119 | 3,696,331 | 4,401,627 | 86,283 | 114,423 | 128,315 | 3.42 | 3.23 | 3.43 |
| Louisiana. | 3,309,018 | 4,264,981 | 5,056,583 | 101,943 | 125,413 | 144,321 | 3.25 | 3.40 | 3.50 |
| Maine . | 1,208,411 | 1,510,024 | 1,909,268 | 26,204 | 33,519 | 40,829 | 4.61 | 4.50 | 4.68 |
| Maryland | 4,783,023 | 6,165,934 | 7,933,055 | 132,052 | 171,046 | 213,073 | 3.62 | 3.60 | 3.72 |
| Massachusetts | 5,637,337 | 7,948,502 | 10,281,820 | 185,335 | 254,042 | 297,113 | 3.04 | 3.13 | 3.46 |
| Michigan . | 9,816,830 | 12,785,480 | 15,674,698 | 246,064 | 326,731 | 359,440 | 3.99 | 3.91 | 4.36 |
| Minnesota | 4,328,093 | 5,836,186 | 6,867,403 | 124,733 | 173,303 | 210,184 | 3.47 | 3.37 | 3.27 |
| Mississippi. | 1,725,386 | 2,293,188 | 2,853,531 | 50,642 | 62,934 | 71,872 | 3.41 | 3.64 | 3.97 |
| Missouri. | 3,981,614 | 5,348,366 | 6,793,957 | 128,473 | 168,999 | 193,828 | 3.10 | 3.16 | 3.51 |
| Montana... | 822,015 | 955,695 | 1,124,291 | 16,961 | 20,420 | 25,584 | 4.85 | 4.68 | 4.39 |
| Nebraska. | 1,513,971 | 1,821,310 | 2,304,223 | 42,838 | 53,612 | 65,399 | 3.53 | 3.40 | 3.52 |
| Nevada... | 1,099,685 | 1,738,009 | 2,251,044 | 44,855 | 69,470 | 89,711 | 2.45 | 2.50 | 2.51 |
| New Hampshire | 1,007,129 | 1,316,946 | 1,781,594 | 29,456 | 40,230 | 48,202 | 3.42 | 3.27 | 3.70 |
| New J ersey ... | 10,448,096 | 12,874,579 | 17,185,966 | 254,546 | 326,106 | 394,040 | 4.10 | 3.95 | 4.36 |
| New Mexico. | 1,323,459 | 1,788,382 | 2,281,608 | 41,143 | 49,258 | 57,078 | 3.22 | 3.63 | 4.00 |
| New York.... | 22,059,949 | 26,885,444 | 34,546,965 | 569,398 | 725,709 | 838,035 | 3.87 | 3.70 | 4.12 |
| North Carolina | 5,145,416 | 7,097,882 | 8,766,968 | 179,574 | 257,604 | 315,456 | 2.87 | 2.76 | 2.78 |
| North Dakota | 522,377 | 625,428 | 716,007 | 14,036 | 17,168 | 21,597 | 3.72 | 3.64 | 3.32 |
| Ohio | 9,612,678 | 12,138,937 | 15,868,494 | 278,508 | 360,109 | 398,918 | 3.45 | 3.37 | 3.98 |
| Oklahoma. | 2,680,113 | 3,332,697 | 3,804,570 | 67,137 | 83,896 | 101,168 | 3.99 | 3.97 | 3.76 |
| Oregon.. | 2,852,723 | 3,706,044 | 4,150,747 | 74,435 | 104,620 | 119,973 | 3.83 | 3.54 | 3.46 |
| Pennsylvania.. | 11,236,417 | 13,532,211 | 16,344,439 | 298,329 | 377,019 | 443,709 | 3.77 | 3.59 | 3.68 |
| Rhode Island. | 990,094 | 1,283,859 | 1,647,587 | 24,375 | 31,019 | 39,363 | 4.06 | 4.14 | 4.19 |
| South Carolina | 2,790,878 | 3,759,042 | 4,888,250 | 81,033 | 109,231 | 127,963 | 3.44 | 3.44 | 3.82 |
| South Dakota.. | 584,894 | 696,785 | 851,429 | 17,014 | 21,681 | 27,337 | 3.44 | 3.21 | 3.11 |
| Tennessee... | 3,305,579 | 4,638,924 | 5,674,773 | 128,905 | 169,373 | 203,071 | 2.56 | 2.74 | 2.79 |
| Texas. | 16,193,722 | 22,430,153 | 30,399,603 | 478,143 | 667,644 | 821,943 | 3.39 | 3.36 | 3.70 |
| Utah .. | 1,511,205 | 2,025,714 | 2,366,897 | 42,218 | 64,143 | 76,674 | 3.58 | 3.16 | 3.09 |
| Vermont. | 643,828 | 792,664 | 1,045,213 | 13,717 | 16,726 | 20,544 | 4.69 | 4.74 | 5.09 |
| Virginia | 5,441,384 | 7,137,419 | 9,208,329 | 177,008 | 241,909 | 304,116 | 3.07 | 2.95 | 3.03 |
| Washington. | 4,892,690 | 6,098,008 | 7,359,566 | 146,726 | 214,223 | 245,143 | 3.33 | 2.85 | 3.00 |
| West Virginia . | 1,663,868 | 1,986,562 | 2,349,833 | 34,855 | 41,306 | 46,726 | 4.77 | 4.81 | 5.03 |
| Wisconsin .. | 5,170,343 | 6,620,653 | 7,934,755 | 128,394 | 169,338 | 198,096 | 4.03 | 3.91 | 4.01 |
| Wyoming..... | 558,353 | 651,622 | 791,732 | 14,087 | 16,062 | 22,279 | 3.96 | 4.06 | 3.55 |
| Puerto Rico.................. | 1,360,762 | 2,024,499 | 2,541,385 | 39,691 | 57,841 | 74,362 | 3.43 | 3.50 | 3.42 |

GSP = gross state product
NOTES: Public school expenditures for Missouri, Tennessee, and Washington for 2003 are affected by redistribution of reported values to correct for missing data items. GSP is reported in current dollars.
SOURCES: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), NCES Common Core of Data, National Public Education Financial Survey; U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Gross State Product data; and Government of Puerto Rico, Office of the Governor.

## Current Expenditures per Pupil for Elementary and Secondary Public Schools

Figure 8-10
Current expenditures per pupil for elementary and secondary public schools: 2003


SOURCES: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, NCES Common Core of Data, State Nonfiscal Survey of Public Elementary/Secondary Education and National Public Education Financial Survey. See table 8-10.

## Findings

- Expenditures per student in public schools rose during the late 1980 s, remained stable during the first part of the 1990s, then rose again in the late 1990s.
- In academic year 2002-03, expenditures for public education totaled approximately $\$ 388$ billion, a $5.2 \%$ increase over the previous year.
- Instructional costs accounted for $61 \%$, support services were $35 \%$, and noninstructional costs accounted for $4 \%$ of 2002-03 expenditures for elementary and secondary schools.
- A direct correlation between spending and academic performance cannot be made because several states that ranked in the lower two quartiles of this indicator ranked in the upper quartiles of the NAEP indicators.

Investment in education at the elementary and secondary levels is important in creating a well-educated populace and preparing individual students for their careers. One measure used to compare states' investment in elementary and secondary education is current expenditures per student at the elementary and secondary levels. Current expenditures per pupil include three major components: instructional costs, support services, and noninstructional costs. Current expenditures do not include longer-term financing, building
construction, and the costs of programs outside the scope of preschool to grade 12 , such as adult education, community colleges, and community services.

Current expenditures per pupil are calculated by dividing the total current expenditures for prekindergarten through grade 12 for the entire academic year by the number of pupils enrolled in those grades during the fall of the academic year. All figures represent actual spending and have not been adjusted for inflation. The year is the latter date of the academic year.

Table 8-10
Current expenditures per pupil for elementary and secondary public schools, by state: 1994, 1999, and 2003

|  | Public school expenditures (\$ thousands) |  |  | Student enrollment |  |  | Per-pupil expenditures (\$) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | 1994 | 1999 | 2003 | 1994 | 1999 | 2003 | 1994 | 1999 | 2003 |
| United States | 231,542,764 | 302,876,294 | 387,592,494 | 43,464,916 | 46,538,585 | 48,201,032 | 5,327 | 6,508 | 8,041 |
| Alabama | 2,809,713 | 3,880,188 | 4,657,643 | 734,288 | 747,980 | 739,366 | 3,826 | 5,188 | 6,300 |
| Alaska | 1,002,515 | 1,137,610 | 1,326,226 | 125,948 | 135,373 | 134,364 | 7,960 | 8,404 | 9,870 |
| Arizona. | 2,911,304 | 3,963,455 | 5,891,105 | 709,453 | 848,262 | 937,755 | 4,104 | 4,672 | 6,282 |
| Arkansas | 1,782,645 | 2,241,244 | 2,923,401 | 444,271 | 452,256 | 450,985 | 4,013 | 4,956 | 6,482 |
| California | 25,140,639 | 34,379,878 | 47,983,402 | 5,327,231 | 5,926,037 | 6,353,667 | 4,719 | 5,801 | 7,552 |
| Colorado | 2,954,793 | 4,140,699 | 5,551,506 | 625,062 | 699,135 | 751,862 | 4,727 | 5,923 | 7,384 |
| Connecticut | 3,943,891 | 5,075,580 | 6,302,988 | 496,298 | 544,698 | 570,023 | 7,947 | 9,318 | 11,057 |
| Delaware | 643,915 | 872,786 | 1,127,745 | 105,547 | 113,262 | 116,342 | 6,101 | 7,706 | 9,693 |
| District of Columbia ... | 713,427 | 693,712 | 902,318 | 80,678 | 71,889 | 76,166 | 8,843 | 9,650 | 11,847 |
| Florida. | 10,331,896 | 13,534,374 | 16,355,123 | 2,040,763 | 2,337,633 | 2,539,929 | 5,063 | 5,790 | 6,439 |
| Georgia | 5,643,843 | 8,537,177 | 11,630,576 | 1,235,304 | 1,401,291 | 1,496,012 | 4,569 | 6,092 | 7,774 |
| Hawaii | 998,143 | 1,143,713 | 1,489,092 | 180,410 | 188,069 | 183,829 | 5,533 | 6,081 | 8,100 |
| Idaho. | 859,088 | 1,239,755 | 1,511,862 | 236,774 | 244,722 | 248,604 | 3,628 | 5,066 | 6,081 |
| Illinois | 10,076,889 | 13,602,965 | 17,271,301 | 1,893,078 | 2,011,530 | 2,084,187 | 5,323 | 6,762 | 8,287 |
| Indiana | 5,064,685 | 6,697,468 | 8,088,684 | 965,633 | 989,001 | 1,003,875 | 5,245 | 6,772 | 8,057 |
| lowa. | 2,527,434 | 3,110,585 | 3,652,022 | 498,519 | 498,214 | 482,210 | 5,070 | 6,243 | 7,574 |
| Kansas | 2,325,247 | 2,841,147 | 3,510,675 | 457,614 | 472,353 | 470,957 | 5,081 | 6,015 | 7,454 |
| Kentucky. | 2,952,119 | 3,696,331 | 4,401,627 | 655,265 | 655,687 | 660,782 | 4,505 | 5,637 | 6,661 |
| Louisiana | 3,309,018 | 4,264,981 | 5,056,583 | 800,560 | 768,734 | 730,464 | 4,133 | 5,548 | 6,922 |
| Maine | 1,208,411 | 1,510,024 | 1,909,268 | 216,995 | 211,051 | 204,337 | 5,569 | 7,155 | 9,344 |
| Maryland | 4,783,023 | 6,165,934 | 7,933,055 | 772,638 | 841,671 | 866,743 | 6,191 | 7,326 | 9,153 |
| Massachusetts | 5,637,337 | 7,948,502 | 10,281,820 | 877,726 | 962,317 | 982,989 | 6,423 | 8,260 | 10,460 |
| Michigan | 9,816,830 | 12,785,480 | 15,674,698 | 1,599,377 | 1,720,287 | 1,785,160 | 6,138 | 7,432 | 8,781 |
| Minnesota | 4,328,093 | 5,836,186 | 6,867,403 | 810,233 | 856,455 | 846,891 | 5,342 | 6,814 | 8,109 |
| Mississippi | 1,725,386 | 2,293,188 | 2,853,531 | 505,907 | 502,379 | 492,645 | 3,410 | 4,565 | 5,792 |
| Missouri | 3,981,614 | 5,348,366 | 6,793,957 | 866,378 | 913,494 | 924,445 | 4,596 | 5,855 | 7,349 |
| Montana. | 822,015 | 955,695 | 1,124,291 | 163,009 | 159,988 | 149,995 | 5,043 | 5,974 | 7,496 |
| Nebraska | 1,513,971 | 1,821,310 | 2,304,223 | 285,097 | 291,140 | 285,402 | 5,310 | 6,256 | 8,074 |
| Nevada. | 1,099,685 | 1,738,009 | 2,251,044 | 235,800 | 311,061 | 369,498 | 4,664 | 5,587 | 6,092 |
| New Hampshire | 1,007,129 | 1,316,946 | 1,781,594 | 185,360 | 204,713 | 207,671 | 5,433 | 6,433 | 8,579 |
| New J ersey | 10,448,096 | 12,874,579 | 17,185,966 | 1,151,307 | 1,268,996 | 1,367,438 | 9,075 | 10,145 | 12,568 |
| New Mexico. | 1,323,459 | 1,788,382 | 2,281,608 | 322,292 | 328,753 | 320,234 | 4,106 | 5,440 | 7,125 |
| New York. | 22,059,949 | 26,885,444 | 34,546,965 | 2,733,813 | 2,877,143 | 2,888,233 | 8,069 | 9,344 | 11,961 |
| North Carolina | 5,145,416 | 7,097,882 | 8,766,968 | 1,133,231 | 1,254,821 | 1,335,954 | 4,540 | 5,656 | 6,562 |
| North Dakota | 522,377 | 625,428 | 716,007 | 119,127 | 114,927 | 104,225 | 4,385 | 5,442 | 6,870 |
| Ohio | 9,612,678 | 12,138,937 | 15,868,494 | 1,807,319 | 1,842,163 | 1,838,285 | 5,319 | 6,590 | 8,632 |
| Oklahoma. | 2,680,113 | 3,332,697 | 3,804,570 | 604,076 | 628,492 | 624,548 | 4,437 | 5,303 | 6,092 |
| Oregon. | 2,852,723 | 3,706,044 | 4,150,747 | 516,611 | 542,809 | 554,071 | 5,522 | 6,828 | 7,491 |
| Pennsylvania | 11,236,417 | 13,532,211 | 16,344,439 | 1,744,082 | 1,816,414 | 1,816,747 | 6,443 | 7,450 | 8,997 |
| Rhode Island. | 990,094 | 1,283,859 | 1,647,587 | 145,676 | 154,785 | 159,205 | 6,797 | 8,294 | 10,349 |
| South Carolina | 2,790,878 | 3,759,042 | 4,888,250 | 643,696 | 664,600 | 694,389 | 4,336 | 5,656 | 7,040 |
| South Dakota. | 584,894 | 696,785 | 851,429 | 142,825 | 132,495 | 130,048 | 4,095 | 5,259 | 6,547 |
| Tennessee. | 3,305,579 | 4,638,924 | 5,674,773 | 866,557 | 905,454 | 927,608 | 3,815 | 5,123 | 6,118 |
| Texas. | 16,193,722 | 22,430,153 | 30,399,603 | 3,608,262 | 3,945,367 | 4,259,823 | 4,488 | 5,685 | 7,136 |
| Utah | 1,511,205 | 2,025,714 | 2,366,897 | 471,365 | 481,176 | 489,262 | 3,206 | 4,210 | 4,838 |
| Vermont. | 643,828 | 792,664 | 1,045,213 | 102,755 | 105,120 | 99,978 | 6,266 | 7,541 | 10,454 |
| Virginia | 5,441,384 | 7,137,419 | 9,208,329 | 1,045,471 | 1,124,022 | 1,177,229 | 5,205 | 6,350 | 7,822 |
| Washington. | 4,892,690 | 6,098,008 | 7,359,566 | 915,952 | 998,053 | 1,014,798 | 5,342 | 6,110 | 7,252 |
| West Virginia | 1,663,868 | 1,986,562 | 2,349,833 | 314,383 | 297,530 | 282,455 | 5,292 | 6,677 | 8,319 |
| Wisconsin ... | 5,170,343 | 6,620,653 | 7,934,755 | 844,001 | 879,542 | 881,231 | 6,126 | 7,527 | 9,004 |
| Wyoming..... | 558,353 | 651,622 | 791,732 | 100,899 | 95,241 | 88,116 | 5,534 | 6,842 | 8,985 |
| Puerto Rico... | 1,360,762 | 2,024,499 | 2,541,385 | 631,460 | 613,862 | 596,502 | 2,155 | 3,298 | 4,260 |

NOTES: Public school expenditures for Missouri, Tennessee, and Washington for 2003 are affected by redistribution of reported values to correct for missing data items. Both the District of Columbia and Hawaii have only one school district each; therefore, their data for 2003 are not comparable to other states.

SOURCES: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), NCES Common Core of Data, State Nonfiscal Survey of Public Elementary/Secondary Education; and National Public Education Financial Survey.

## Share of Public High School Students Taking Advanced Placement Exams

Figure 8-11
Share of public high school students taking Advanced Placement Exams: 2004


| 1st quartile (33.5\%-21.5\%) | 2nd quartile (21.3\%-16.7\%) | 3rd quartile (16.4\%-13.0\%) | 4th quartile (12.9\%-5.0\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| California | Alaska | Arkansas | Alabama |
| Colorado | Delaware | Hawaii | Arizona |
| Connecticut | Illinois | Indiana | Kentucky |
| District of Columbia | Maine | Minnesota | Iowa |
| Florida | Michigan | Montana | Kansas |
| Georgia | Nevada | New Hampshire | Louisiana |
| Maryland | New J ersey | Ohio | Mississippi |
| Massachusetts | New Mexico | Oregon | Missouri |
| New York | Oklahoma | Pennsylvania | Nebraska |
| North Carolina | South Carolina | South Dakota | North Dakota |
| Texas | Vermont | Tennessee | Rhode Island |
| Utah | Washington | West Virginia | Wyoming |

SOURCE: College Board, Advanced Placement Report to the Nation: 2005. See table 8-11.

## Findings

- Nationwide, the percentage of public school students who took an AP Exam rose from $15.9 \%$ of the class of 2000 to $20.9 \%$ of the class of 2004.
- The percentage of public school students taking an AP Exam varied greatly among states and ranged from 5.0\% to 33.5\% of the class of 2004, with 15 states exceeding the national average.
- Values were higher for all states in 2004 than in 2000. Florida and Maryland showed the largest increases; class of 2004 members in the two states exceeded the performance of class of 2000 participants by 9 or more percentage points.
- The ratio of the percentage of public school students who took an AP Exam to the percentage who achieved a grade of 3 or higher was consistent across many of the states, which may indicate a consistent degree of rigor in the AP curriculum.

More than 1.1 million students took nearly 1.9 million Advanced Placement (AP) Exams in 2004. Generally, students who take AP Exams have completed a rigorous course of study in a specific subject area in high school with the expectation of obtaining college credit or advanced placement. AP Exams were taken most frequently in U.S. history, English literature and composition, English language and composition, calculus AB , and U.S. government and politics.

In the 50 states and the District of Columbia, 14,144 schools-about $40 \%$ of the schools that provide secondary education-participated in the AP program. Approximately $79 \%$ were public schools. The schools offered students an average of seven different AP courses. High school students' participation in AP Exams is likely to reflect the access they had to AP courses and their willingness to undertake the more rigorous curriculum.

## Table 8-11

Share of public high school students taking Advanced Placement Exams, by state: 2000 and 2004 (Percent)

| State | 2000 | 2004 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| National average............................ | 15.9 | 20.9 |
| Alabama. | 7.2 | 8.8 |


| Alabama................................................................................... | 15.4 | 8.8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Alaska ............. | 16.7 |  |

Arizona.......................................... 11.3 12.9
Arkansas .......................................... 8.1 13.0

| California.................................................................................. | 22.2 | 28.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Colorado .......... | 25.3 |  |

Connecticut ............................................. $19.1 \quad 24.6$

| Delaware ................................................................. | 17.3 | 19.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| District of Columbia ........... | 23.1 |  |


| Florida ......................................... | 22.7 | 33.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Georgia | 17.2 | 21.5 |

Hawaii ...................................................................... 10.6 14.8


| Indiana | 11.9 | 15.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| lowa | 6.9 | 10.0 |
|  |  | 9.2 |


| Kansas ............................................................................... | 10.6 | 9.2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Kentucky............ |  |  |


| Louisiana....................................................................................... | 14.8 | 5.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Maine......... | 19.9 |  |

Maryland ............................................................... $20.2 \quad 29.2$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Massachusetts........................................................................ } & 13.9 & 25.3 \\ \text { Michigan ............ } & 16.8\end{array}$

| Minnesota | 13.4 | 16.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mississippi. | 5.6 | 7.0 |

Missouri ........................................ 5.5 8.1
Montana........................................ 10.1 13.0

| Nebraska....................................... | 5.0 | 6.3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Nevada................................. | 15.1 | 19.8 |

New Hampshire ............................ 13.3 16.0
New J ersey ................................... 17.9 21.3
New Mexico .................................... 11.1 17.0
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { New York...................................... } & 27.3 & 32.4 \\ \text { North Carolina................................ } & 19.7 & 26.9\end{array}$

| North Dakota ..................................................................................... | 11.3 | 8.4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Ohio .......... |  |  |
| Ok. |  |  |


| Oklahoma..................................... | 9.5 | 17.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Or |  |  |

Pennsylvania............................................. 12.4 14.9

| Rhode Island .............................................................. | 17.7 | 12.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| South Carolina ............ | 19.2 |  |

South Dakota ................................ 9.6 13.5
Tennessee..................................... 10.4 13.6
Texas............................................ 16.6 23.2
Utah ............................................. 24.5 27.6

Vermont........................................ 16.6 21.2
Virginia ......................................... 25.0 28.1
Washington........................................ 11.5 18.5
West Virginia ................................... 8.4 13.0
Wisconsin ...................................... 15.2 20.0
Wyoming....................................... $6.1 \quad 11.2$

[^0]
## Share of Public High School Students Scoring 3 or Higher on at Least One Advanced Placement Exam

Figure 8-12
Share of public high school students scoring 3 or higher on at least one Advanced Placement Exam: 2004


| 1st quartile (21.2\%-13.7\%) | 2nd quartile (13.3\%-10.1\%) | 3rd quartile (9.4\%-7.7\%) | 4th quartile (6.7\%-2.5\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California | Alaska | Arizona | Alabama |
| Colorado | Delaware | District of Columbia | Arkansas |
| Connecticut | Georgia | Hawaii | Iowa |
| Florida | Illinois | Idaho | Kansas |
| Maryland | Maine | Indiana | Louisiana |
| Massachusetts | Michigan | Kentucky | Mississippi |
| New J ersey | Minnesota | Montana | Missouri |
| New York | Nevada | New Mexico | Nebraska |
| North Carolina | New Hampshire | Ohio | North Dakota |
| Utah | Pennsylvania | Oklahoma | West Virginia |
| Vermont | South Carolina | Oregon | Wyoming |
| Virginia | Texas | Rhode Island |  |
| Wisconsin | Washington | South Dakota Tennessee |  |

SOURCE: College Board, Advanced Placement Report to the Nation: 2005. See table 8-12.

## Findings

- Nationally, $13.2 \%$ of public school students in the class of 2004 demonstrated the ability to do college level work by obtaining a score of 3 or higher on at least one AP Exam, compared with $10.2 \%$ of the class of 2000 .
- Values for public school students in individual states for the class of 2004 ranged from a low of $2.5 \%$ to a high of $21.2 \%$. Fourteen states exceeded the national average.
- Values were higher for all states in 2004 than in 2000. Florida and Maryland showed the largest increases; class of 2004 members in the two states exceeded the performance of class of 2000 participants by more than 5 percentage points.

High school students can demonstrate their ability to master collegelevel material through their performance on Advanced Placement (AP) Exams that cover specific subject areas. A total of 34 different AP Exams are offered each spring by the College Board. The exams are scored on a scale of 1 to 5 , with 3 representing a range of work equivalent to midlevel B to midlevel C performance in college. Many colleges and universities grant college credit or advanced placement for AP Exam grades of 3 or higher.

To prepare for the AP Exam in a subject area, most students enroll in
an AP class that employs a curriculum of high academic intensity. Scoring a 3 or higher indicates that the student has mastered the content of at least one such course of rigorous academic intensity at a level that would be acceptable in college. Performance on AP Exams is considered by many colleges and universities to be one of the best predictors of success in college. A high value on this indicator shows the extent to which the class of 2004 has been offered access to a rigorous curriculum and has successfully mastered the requirements.

Table 8-12
Share of public high school students scoring 3 or higher on at least one Advanced Placement Exam, by state: 2000 and 2004
(Percent)

| State | 2000 | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| National average | 10.2 | 13.2 |
| Alabama. | 3.9 | 5.0 |


| Alaska ...................................................... | 10.1 | 10.8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Arizona |  |  |


| Arizona.......................................... | .. | 4.3 | 8.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Arkansas ................................ | 45.3 | 6.1 |  |
| California........................... | 15.0 | 18.7 |  |

Colorado .............................................................. 12.2 16.2

| Connecticut .......................................................................... | 7.6 | 17.6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Delaware............. |  |  |


| District of Columbia ........................ | 6.6 | 8.2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Florida ................................ | 13.5 | 19.2 |


| Georgia ................................................................................... | 5.8 | 12.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Hawaii .............. |  |  |
| 7.7 |  |  |


|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Idaho ........................................ | 6.5 | 8.1 |
| Illinois ....................................... | 9.9 | 13.3 |


| Indiana . | 6.0 | 7.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| lowa .. | 4.9 | 6.6 |
| Kans | 4.4 | 6.3 |


| Kentucky....................................... | 5.5 | 7.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Louisiana................................. | 1.9 | 2.5 |

Maine .................................................................. $10.1 \quad 12.8$

| Maryland ....................................................................... | 14.5 | 19.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Massachusetts............. | 18.1 |  |


| Michigan ......................................................................... | 8.1 | 10.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Minnesota ............ | 10.6 |  |


| Mississippi ........................................................................... | 3.7 | 2.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Missouri ............ | 5.3 |  |



| Nevada........................................................... | 9.1 | 12.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| New Hampshire | 9.2 | 10.9 |


| New Hampshire .............................. | 9.2 | 10.9 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| New J ersey ......................... | 12.9 | 15.5 |


| New Mexico .................................................................................... | 17.9 | 21.2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| New York......... |  |  |


| North Carolina............................................................ | 11.3 | 15.8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| North Dakota .............. |  |  |
| .7 |  |  |


| Ohio ............................................. | 7.1 | 9.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Oklahoma |  |  |


| Oklahoma........................................ | 5.4 | 8.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Oregon............................. | 7.1 | 8.8 |


| Pennsylvania ........................................ 8.3 | 10.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Rhode Island................................. | 6.9 | 7.8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| South Carolina .......................... | 10.0 | 11.2 |


| South Dakota ...................................................... | 5.9 | 8.3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |

Tennessee.......................................... 6.2 7.9
Texas............................................ 9.9 13.1
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Utah ............................................. } & 17.4 & 19.3 \\ \text { Vermont. } & 11.5 & 14.0\end{array}$
Virginia .................................................................... 15.9 17.7

| Washington ................................................................... | 4.6 | 11.6 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| West Virginia ............ | 6.4 |  |

Wisconsin .......................................... 10.5 13.7

Wyoming........................................... $3.8 \quad 6.7$
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## Bachelor's Degrees Conferred per 1,000 Individuals 18-24 Years Old

Figure 8-13
Bachelor's degrees conferred per 1,000 individuals 18-24 years old: 2003


## Findings

- In 2003, 1.34 million bachelor's degrees were conferred nationally in all fields, up from 1.17 million in 1993.
- Over the past decade, the number of bachelor's degrees awarded in the United States has remained essentially constant relative to the size of the 18-24-year-old population.
- Across the United States, approximately 46 bachelor's degrees were conferred per 1,000 18-24-year-olds, ranging from about 20 to 82 across the states; the District of Columbia exceeded 137 (an outlier reflecting a large concentration of academic institutions relative to the size of the resident population).

Earning a bachelor's degree gives people greater opportunities to work in higher-paying jobs than are generally available to those with less education; it also prepares them for advanced education. In addition, the capacity to produce degrees generates resources for the state. The ratio of bachelor's degrees awarded to a state's 18-24-yearold population is a broad measure of a state's relative success in producing degrees at this level. The 18-24-yearold cohort was chosen to approximate the age range of most students who are pursuing an undergraduate degree.

A high value for this indicator may suggest the successful provision of educational opportunity at this level. Student and graduate mobility after graduation, however, may make this indicator less meaningful in predicting the qualifications of a state's future workforce. The indicator's value may also be high when a higher education system draws a large percentage of out-of-state students, a situation that sometimes occurs in states with small resident populations and the District of Columbia.

Table 8-13
Bachelor's degrees conferred per 1,000 individuals 18-24 years old, by state: 1993, 1998, and 2003

| State | Bachelor's degrees |  |  | Population 18-24 years old |  |  | Degrees/1,000 individuals 18-24 years old |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1993 | 1998 | 2003 | 1993 | 1998 | 2003 | 1993 | 1998 | 2003 |
| United States | 1,165,168 | 1,185,030 | 1,342,686 | 25,739,925 | 25,476,201 | 28,900,513 | 45.3 | 46.5 | 46.5 |
| Alabama. | 20,525 | 20,318 | 20,336 | 454,770 | 438,019 | 453,710 | 45.1 | 46.4 | 44.8 |
| Alaska | 1,260 | 1,476 | 1,363 | 60,022 | 68,962 | 69,574 | 21.0 | 21.4 | 19.6 |
| Arizona | 15,809 | 21,746 | 27,862 | 395,208 | 444,734 | 552,538 | 40.0 | 48.9 | 50.4 |
| Arkansas | 8,449 | 9,222 | 10,591 | 246,273 | 250,431 | 276,347 | 34.3 | 36.8 | 38.3 |
| California | 110,876 | 109,097 | 130,593 | 3,170,388 | 3,171,047 | 3,569,122 | 35.0 | 34.4 | 36.6 |
| Colorado | 19,808 | 20,624 | 23,559 | 342,578 | 376,366 | 454,558 | 57.8 | 54.8 | 51.8 |
| Connecticut | 15,116 | 13,750 | 16,038 | 295,584 | 256,388 | 303,176 | 51.1 | 53.6 | 52.9 |
| Delaware ........................ | 4,067 | 4,383 | 5,123 | 70,787 | 67,054 | 81,585 | 57.5 | 65.4 | 62.8 |
| District of Columbia ......... | 8,095 | 7,973 | 8,834 | 64,384 | 43,865 | 64,273 | 125.7 | 181.8 | 137.4 |
| Florida | 43,202 | 48,304 | 55,544 | 1,180,537 | 1,209,003 | 1,493,632 | 36.6 | 40.0 | 37.2 |
| Georgia | 25,390 | 29,263 | 31,703 | 730,881 | 754,676 | 889,162 | 34.7 | 38.8 | 35.7 |
| Hawaii | 4,186 | 4,588 | 4,922 | 116,670 | 119,378 | 125,284 | 35.9 | 38.4 | 39.3 |
| Idaho | 3,923 | 4,602 | 5,974 | 114,637 | 139,586 | 153,101 | 34.2 | 33.0 | 39.0 |
| Illinois | 51,482 | 51,932 | 59,732 | 1,155,733 | 1,125,624 | 1,254,527 | 44.5 | 46.1 | 47.6 |
| Indiana | 31,453 | 30,985 | 35,251 | 602,192 | 572,453 | 634,269 | 52.2 | 54.1 | 55.6 |
| lowa | 17,598 | 17,510 | 19,839 | 279,421 | 276,610 | 316,933 | 63.0 | 63.3 | 62.6 |
| Kansas | 14,453 | 14,182 | 16,135 | 251,584 | 263,410 | 295,852 | 57.4 | 53.8 | 54.5 |
| Kentucky | 14,396 | 14,972 | 16,325 | 403,547 | 400,137 | 411,637 | 35.7 | 37.4 | 39.7 |
| Louisiana. | 17,825 | 18,532 | 21,064 | 461,025 | 473,066 | 500,616 | 38.7 | 39.2 | 42.1 |
| M aine | 5,976 | 5,442 | 6,143 | 118,437 | 110,125 | 120,783 | 50.5 | 49.4 | 50.9 |
| Maryland | 21,494 | 21,715 | 24,277 | 452,016 | 433,031 | 507,475 | 47.6 | 50.1 | 47.8 |
| Massachusetts | 42,747 | 40,676 | 44,612 | 599,360 | 505,584 | 596,934 | 71.3 | 80.5 | 74.7 |
| Michigan | 45,711 | 44,152 | 49,758 | 967,872 | 922,891 | 992,111 | 47.2 | 47.8 | 50.2 |
| Minnesota | 24,737 | 22,999 | 25,634 | 421,533 | 439,358 | 520,699 | 58.7 | 52.3 | 49.2 |
| Mississippi | 10,673 | 10,290 | 11,797 | 304,375 | 300,061 | 322,505 | 35.1 | 34.3 | 36.6 |
| Missouri . | 26,929 | 28,806 | 33,115 | 504,892 | 509,453 | 577,581 | 53.3 | 56.5 | 57.3 |
| Montana | 4,194 | 4,932 | 5,238 | 77,645 | 88,262 | 96,129 | 54.0 | 55.9 | 54.5 |
| Nebraska | 9,522 | 10,071 | 11,028 | 157,809 | 166,811 | 188,391 | 60.3 | 60.4 | 58.5 |
| Nevada. | 3,029 | 3,852 | 4,616 | 119,846 | 148,028 | 199,143 | 25.3 | 26.0 | 23.2 |
| New Hampshire | 7,524 | 7,297 | 7,572 | 103,606 | 95,661 | 119,503 | 72.6 | 76.3 | 63.4 |
| New J ersey ...... | 25,185 | 25,056 | 29,604 | 707,317 | 669,415 | 726,145 | 35.6 | 37.4 | 40.8 |
| New Mexico | 5,654 | 6,219 | 6,379 | 159,007 | 174,353 | 198,398 | 35.6 | 35.7 | 32.2 |
| New York. | 98,357 | 96,187 | 108,441 | 1,766,276 | 1,601,269 | 1,826,944 | 55.7 | 60.1 | 59.4 |
| North Carolina | 31,852 | 34,086 | 37,345 | 751,837 | 702,132 | 824,233 | 42.4 | 48.5 | 45.3 |
| North Dakota | 4,555 | 4,588 | 4,882 | 66,568 | 67,835 | 76,213 | 68.4 | 67.6 | 64.1 |
| Ohio | 51,651 | 49,244 | 55,020 | 1,105,197 | 1,056,810 | 1,119,732 | 46.7 | 46.6 | 49.1 |
| Oklahoma | 15,002 | 15,881 | 16,102 | 329,713 | 336,797 | 382,078 | 45.5 | 47.2 | 42.1 |
| Oregon. | 13,139 | 13,513 | 15,053 | 276,672 | 303,895 | 347,267 | 47.5 | 44.5 | 43.3 |
| Pennsylvania. | 65,125 | 63,501 | 72,787 | 1,149,074 | 1,022,583 | 1,180,592 | 56.7 | 62.1 | 61.7 |
| Rhode Island. | 9,396 | 8,323 | 9,389 | 104,444 | 83,023 | 114,254 | 90.0 | 100.2 | 82.2 |
| South Carolina | 15,199 | 15,034 | 18,299 | 404,863 | 385,887 | 426,854 | 37.5 | 39.0 | 42.9 |
| South Dakota | 4,387 | 4,476 | 4,460 | 70,155 | 76,172 | 85,043 | 62.5 | 58.8 | 52.4 |
| Tennessee. | 20,371 | 21,538 | 24,199 | 522,815 | 515,066 | 571,200 | 39.0 | 41.8 | 42.4 |
| Texas. | 67,598 | 71,755 | 82,507 | 1,907,830 | 2,038,563 | 2,351,723 | 35.4 | 35.2 | 35.1 |
| Utah | 12,728 | 16,405 | 18,338 | 225,001 | 290,363 | 313,689 | 56.6 | 56.5 | 58.5 |
| Vermont. | 4,707 | 4,441 | 4,510 | 58,910 | 52,029 | 63,895 | 79.9 | 85.4 | 70.6 |
| Virginia .... | 30,858 | 30,350 | 34,623 | 685,233 | 656,887 | 735,711 | 45.0 | 46.2 | 47.1 |
| Washington.. | 20,784 | 23,403 | 25,558 | 493,660 | 539,707 | 618,757 | 42.1 | 43.4 | 41.3 |
| West Virginia ................... | 8,606 | 8,290 | 9,335 | 191,056 | 182,025 | 174,583 | 45.0 | 45.5 | 53.5 |
| Wisconsin ...................... | 27,709 | 27,343 | 29,538 | 493,627 | 498,268 | 566,174 | 56.1 | 54.9 | 52.2 |
| Wyoming ....................... | 1,856 | 1,706 | 1,739 | 47,058 | 53,048 | 55,878 | 39.4 | 32.2 | 31.1 |
| Puerto Rico........................ | 13,756 | 13,932 | NA | NA | NA | 418,390 | NA | NA | NA |

NA = not available
SOURCES: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System, various years; and U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division.

## Bachelor's Degrees in Natural Sciences and Engineering Conferred per 1,000 Individuals 18-24 Years Old

Figure 8-14
Bachelor's degrees in natural sciences and engineering conferred per 1,000 individuals 18-24 years old: 2003


SOURCES: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System, various years; and U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division. See Table 8-14.

## Findings

- During the past decade, the value of this indicator increased across the nation as the number of NS\&E bachelor's degrees awarded increased by roughly $28 \%$, from nearly 177,000 in 1993 to nearly 226,000 in 2003, while the number of 18-24-year-olds increased by 12\%.
- In 2003, NS\&E bachelor's degrees accounted for nearly $17 \%$ of all bachelor's degrees, an increase from 15\% in 1993.
- The value of this indicator for the United States was 7.8 in 2003, ranging from 3.2 to 14.1 for individual states. However, the value for the District of Columbia exceeded 27 (an outlier reflecting a large concentration of academic institutions relative to the size of the resident population).
- State ratings were generally in the same quartile for this indicator as for the number of bachelor's degrees conferred per 1,000 18-24-year-olds.

Natural sciences and engineering (NS\&E) fields include physical, earth, ocean, atmospheric, biological, agricultural, and computer sciences; mathematics; and engineering. NS\&E fields differ from science and engineering fields because NS\&E fields do not include degrees in social sciences or psychology. The ratio of new NS\&E bachelor's degrees to the 18-24-yearold population indicates the degree to which a state prepares young people to enter the types of technology-intensive occupations that are fundamental to a knowledge-based, technology-driven economy. The capacity to produce NS\&E degrees also generates resources for the state. The 18-24-year-old cohort
was chosen to approximate the age range of most students who are pursuing an undergraduate degree.

A high value for this indicator may suggest relative success in providing a technical undergraduate education. Student and graduate mobility after graduation, however, may make this indicator less meaningful in predicting the qualifications of a state's future workforce. The indicator's value may also be high when a higher education system draws a large percentage of out-of-state students to study in NS\&E fields, a situation that sometimes occurs in states with small resident populations and the District of Columbia.

Table 8-14
Bachelor's degrees in natural sciences and engineering conferred per 1,000 individuals 18-24 years old, by state: 1993, 1998, and 2003

| State | NS\&E bachelor's degrees |  |  | Population 18-24 years old |  |  | Degrees/1,000 individuals 18-24 years old |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1993 | 1998 | 2003 | 1993 | 1998 | 2003 | 1993 | 1998 | 2003 |
| United States. | 177,288 | 202,470 | 225,874 | 25,739,925 | 25,476,201 | 28,900,513 | 6.89 | 7.95 | 7.82 |
| Alabama. | 3,447 | 3,601 | 3,244 | 454,770 | 438,019 | 453,710 | 7.58 | 8.22 | 7.15 |
| Alaska | 186 | 314 | 247 | 60,022 | 68,962 | 69,574 | 3.10 | 4.55 | 3.55 |
| Arizona. | 2,192 | 3,084 | 4,403 | 395,208 | 444,734 | 552,538 | 5.55 | 6.93 | 7.97 |
| Arkansas | 1,152 | 1,364 | 1,632 | 246,273 | 250,431 | 276,347 | 4.68 | 5.45 | 5.91 |
| California. | 19,329 | 21,808 | 24,610 | 3,170,388 | 3,171,047 | 3,569,122 | 6.10 | 6.88 | 6.90 |
| Colorado .. | 3,870 | 4,632 | 4,959 | 342,578 | 376,366 | 454,558 | 11.30 | 12.31 | 10.91 |
| Connecticut | 2,116 | 1,970 | 1,984 | 295,584 | 256,388 | 303,176 | 7.16 | 7.68 | 6.54 |
| Delaware.... | 590 | 679 | 704 | 70,787 | 67,054 | 81,585 | 8.33 | 10.13 | 8.63 |
| District of Columbia | 1,081 | 1,311 | 1,752 | 64,384 | 43,865 | 64,273 | 16.79 | 29.89 | 27.26 |
| Florida .. | 5,350 | 6,776 | 7,552 | 1,180,537 | 1,209,003 | 1,493,632 | 4.53 | 5.60 | 5.06 |
| Georgia | 3,761 | 4,963 | 6,049 | 730,881 | 754,676 | 889,162 | 5.15 | 6.58 | 6.80 |
| Hawaii. | 540 | 576 | 651 | 116,670 | 119,378 | 125,284 | 4.63 | 4.83 | 5.20 |
| Idaho | 681 | 896 | 1,104 | 114,637 | 139,586 | 153,101 | 5.94 | 6.42 | 7.21 |
| Illinois. | 7,611 | 8,434 | 10,043 | 1,155,733 | 1,125,624 | 1,254,527 | 6.59 | 7.49 | 8.01 |
| Indiana | 4,990 | 5,118 | 5,364 | 602,192 | 572,453 | 634,269 | 8.29 | 8.94 | 8.46 |
| lowa | 2,693 | 2,940 | 3,408 | 279,421 | 276,610 | 316,933 | 9.64 | 10.63 | 10.75 |
| Kansas . | 2,203 | 2,241 | 2,538 | 251,584 | 263,410 | 295,852 | 8.76 | 8.51 | 8.58 |
| Kentucky.. | 1,832 | 2,221 | 1,961 | 403,547 | 400,137 | 411,637 | 4.54 | 5.55 | 4.76 |
| Louisiana. | 2,485 | 3,319 | 3,550 | 461,025 | 473,066 | 500,616 | 5.39 | 7.02 | 7.09 |
| Maine . | 911 | 995 | 1,137 | 118,437 | 110,125 | 120,783 | 7.69 | 9.04 | 9.41 |
| M aryland ....................... | 3,793 | 4,364 | 5,278 | 452,016 | 433,031 | 507,475 | 8.39 | 10.08 | 10.40 |
| Massachusetts............... | 6,639 | 7,193 | 7,500 | 599,360 | 505,584 | 596,934 | 11.08 | 14.23 | 12.56 |
| Michigan .......... | 7,749 | 8,323 | 9,300 | 967,872 | 922,891 | 992,111 | 8.01 | 9.02 | 9.37 |
| Minnesota | 3,314 | 3,921 | 4,283 | 421,533 | 439,358 | 520,699 | 7.86 | 8.92 | 8.23 |
| Mississippi.. | 1,624 | 1,726 | 1,553 | 304,375 | 300,061 | 322,505 | 5.34 | 5.75 | 4.82 |
| Missouri .. | 3,737 | 4,565 | 5,358 | 504,892 | 509,453 | 577,581 | 7.40 | 8.96 | 9.28 |
| Montana. | 848 | 1,108 | 1,275 | 77,645 | 88,262 | 96,129 | 10.92 | 12.55 | 13.26 |
| Nebraska.. | 1,205 | 1,479 | 1,485 | 157,809 | 166,811 | 188,391 | 7.64 | 8.87 | 7.88 |
| Nevada......................... | 361 | 578 | 644 | 119,846 | 148,028 | 199,143 | 3.01 | 3.90 | 3.23 |
| New Hampshire .............. | 1,137 | 1,314 | 1,196 | 103,606 | 95,661 | 119,503 | 10.97 | 13.74 | 10.01 |
| New J ersey... | 3,927 | 4,806 | 5,605 | 707,317 | 669,415 | 726,145 | 5.55 | 7.18 | 7.72 |
| New Mexico.. | 1,041 | 1,147 | 1,197 | 159,007 | 174,353 | 198,398 | 6.55 | 6.58 | 6.03 |
| New York... | 13,430 | 13,856 | 17,094 | 1,766,276 | 1,601,269 | 1,826,944 | 7.60 | 8.65 | 9.36 |
| North Carolina. | 5,307 | 6,378 | 6,411 | 751,837 | 702,132 | 824,233 | 7.06 | 9.08 | 7.78 |
| North Dakota | 767 | 855 | 956 | 66,568 | 67,835 | 76,213 | 11.52 | 12.60 | 12.54 |
| Ohio. | 7,167 | 8,115 | 8,330 | 1,105,197 | 1,056,810 | 1,119,732 | 6.48 | 7.68 | 7.44 |
| Oklahoma.. | 2,026 | 2,348 | 2,230 | 329,713 | 336,797 | 382,078 | 6.14 | 6.97 | 5.84 |
| Oregon.. | 1,726 | 2,240 | 2,490 | 276,672 | 303,895 | 347,267 | 6.24 | 7.37 | 7.17 |
| Pennsylvania. | 10,582 | 11,323 | 13,521 | 1,149,074 | 1,022,583 | 1,180,592 | 9.21 | 11.07 | 11.45 |
| Rhode Island.................. | 1,088 | 1,202 | 1,615 | 104,444 | 83,023 | 114,254 | 10.42 | 14.48 | 14.14 |
| South Carolina ................ | 2,285 | 2,710 | 2,946 | 404,863 | 385,887 | 426,854 | 5.64 | 7.02 | 6.90 |
| South Dakota .................. | 839 | 976 | 961 | 70,155 | 76,172 | 85,043 | 11.96 | 12.81 | 11.30 |
| Tennessee...................... | 3,086 | 3,598 | 3,400 | 522,815 | 515,066 | 571,200 | 5.90 | 6.99 | 5.95 |
| Texas. | 9,973 | 11,641 | 12,988 | 1,907,830 | 2,038,563 | 2,351,723 | 5.23 | 5.71 | 5.52 |
| Utah . | 2,010 | 2,838 | 3,091 | 225,001 | 290,363 | 313,689 | 8.93 | 9.77 | 9.85 |
| Vermont. | 660 | 766 | 861 | 58,910 | 52,029 | 63,895 | 11.20 | 14.72 | 13.48 |
| Virginia | 5,046 | 5,474 | 5,846 | 685,233 | 656,887 | 735,711 | 7.36 | 8.33 | 7.95 |
| Washington .................... | 3,108 | 3,918 | 4,231 | 493,660 | 539,707 | 618,757 | 6.30 | 7.26 | 6.84 |
| West Virginia .................. | 1,045 | 1,197 | 1,451 | 191,056 | 182,025 | 174,583 | 5.47 | 6.58 | 8.31 |
| Wisconsin ...................... | 4,375 | 4,838 | 5,488 | 493,627 | 498,268 | 566,174 | 8.86 | 9.71 | 9.69 |
| Wyoming ......................... | 373 | 431 | 398 | 47,058 | 53,048 | 55,878 | 7.93 | 8.12 | 7.12 |
| Puerto Rico....................... | 2,137 | 2,841 | NA | NA | NA | 418,390 | NA | NA | NA |

NA = not available
NS\&E = natural sciences and engineering
SOURCES: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System, various years; and U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division.

## S\&E Degrees as Share of Higher Education Degrees Conferred

Figure 8-15
S\&E degrees as share of higher education degrees conferred: 2003


| 1st quartile (42.7\%-32.5\%) | 2nd quartile (32.0\%-29.1\%) | 3rd quartile (28.9\%-26.1\%) | 4th quartile (25.9\%-19.5\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| California | Alaska | Delaware | Alabama |
| Colorado | Connecticut | Florida | Arizona |
| District of Columbia | Georgia | Idaho | Arkansas |
| Maine | Hawaii | Illinois | Kentucky |
| Maryland | Iowa | Indiana | Mississippi |
| Massachusetts | New Hampshire | Kansas | Missouri |
| Montana | New Mexico | Louisiana | Nebraska |
| New Jersey | North Carolina | Minnesota | Nevada |
| Oregon | Pennsylvania | New York | Ohio |
| Utah | Rhode Island | North Dakota | Oklahoma |
| Vermont | South Dakota | South Carolina | Tennessee |
| Virginia | Washington | Texas | West Virginia |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System. See Table 8-15.

## Findings

- In 2003, more than 564,000 S\&E bachelor's, master's, and doctoral degrees were conferred nationwide; since 1993, S\&E degrees have represented about $30 \%$ of all higher education degrees.
- There is a significant difference in the emphasis that states place on technical higher education. In some states, nearly $40 \%$ of their degrees are awarded in S\&E fields; in others, fewer than $20 \%$ of their degrees are awarded in these fields.
- The District of Columbia has a high value of $43 \%$ because of the large S\&E graduate programs in political science and public administration at several of its academic institutions.

This indicator is a measure of the extent to which a state's higher education programs are concentrated in science and engineering fields. The indicator is expressed as the percentage of higher education degrees that were conferred in S\&E fields. High values for this indicator are from states that emphasize $S \& E$ fields in their higher education systems.

S\&E fields include physical, life, earth, ocean, atmospheric, computer, and social sciences; mathematics; engi-
neering; and psychology. For both S\&E degrees and higher education degrees conferred, bachelor's, master's, and doctoral degrees are included; associate's degrees are excluded. Geographic location refers to the location of the degreegranting institution and does not reflect the state where students permanently reside. The year is the latter date of the academic year. For example, data for 2003 represent degrees conferred during the 2002-03 academic year.

Table 8-15
S\&E degrees as share of higher education degrees conferred, by state: 1993, 1998, and 2003

| State | S\&E degrees |  |  | All higher education degrees |  |  | S\&E/higher education degrees (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1993 | 1998 | 2003 | 1993 | 1998 | 2003 | 1993 | 1998 | 2003 |
| United States | 473,414 | 506,827 | 564,444 | 1,576,838 | 1,661,105 | 1,897,322 | 30.0 | 30.5 | 29.7 |
| Alabama. | 6,676 | 6,872 | 6,919 | 26,567 | 27,205 | 29,363 | 25.1 | 25.3 | 23.6 |
| Alaska | 476 | 714 | 605 | 1,633 | 2,028 | 1,905 | 29.1 | 35.2 | 31.8 |
| Arizona. | 5,984 | 7,298 | 8,669 | 22,212 | 33,186 | 44,485 | 26.9 | 22.0 | 19.5 |
| Arkansas | 2,341 | 2,827 | 3,137 | 10,422 | 11,572 | 13,167 | 22.5 | 24.4 | 23.8 |
| California. | 56,919 | 58,687 | 68,369 | 153,400 | 153,238 | 182,805 | 37.1 | 38.3 | 37.4 |
| Colorado | 10,348 | 11,460 | 12,692 | 26,967 | 29,100 | 33,280 | 38.4 | 39.4 | 38.1 |
| Connecticut | 7,000 | 7,012 | 7,776 | 22,336 | 21,603 | 24,948 | 31.3 | 32.5 | 31.2 |
| Delaware. | 1,817 | 1,806 | 2,027 | 5,154 | 5,981 | 7,054 | 35.3 | 30.2 | 28.7 |
| District of Columbia ......... | 5,953 | 6,545 | 7,201 | 14,716 | 15,891 | 16,870 | 40.5 | 41.2 | 42.7 |
| Florida ........................... | 14,658 | 17,462 | 20,638 | 57,848 | 66,845 | 76,775 | 25.3 | 26.1 | 26.9 |
| Georgia | 9,126 | 11,470 | 13,427 | 34,247 | 40,778 | 44,271 | 26.6 | 28.1 | 30.3 |
| Hawaii. | 1,710 | 2,034 | 2,000 | 5,715 | 6,178 | 6,598 | 29.9 | 32.9 | 30.3 |
| Idaho. | 1,383 | 1,660 | 2,146 | 4,993 | 5,719 | 7,592 | 27.7 | 29.0 | 28.3 |
| Illinois. | 20,620 | 21,888 | 25,263 | 76,596 | 80,329 | 93,032 | 26.9 | 27.2 | 27.2 |
| Indiana | 11,799 | 11,498 | 12,186 | 39,441 | 40,026 | 45,871 | 29.9 | 28.7 | 26.6 |
| lowa.. | 6,155 | 6,394 | 7,060 | 21,798 | 21,747 | 24,284 | 28.2 | 29.4 | 29.1 |
| Kansas | 4,914 | 5,135 | 5,816 | 18,894 | 19,293 | 22,294 | 26.0 | 26.6 | 26.1 |
| Kentucky. | 4,420 | 5,034 | 5,062 | 18,919 | 20,155 | 22,308 | 23.4 | 25.0 | 22.7 |
| Louisiana. | 5,754 | 6,940 | 7,212 | 22,976 | 24,730 | 27,336 | 25.0 | 28.1 | 26.4 |
| Maine | 2,237 | 2,211 | 2,546 | 6,933 | 6,600 | 7,548 | 32.3 | 33.5 | 33.7 |
| Maryland | 10,683 | 11,778 | 13,985 | 30,463 | 32,918 | 37,229 | 35.1 | 35.8 | 37.6 |
| Massachusetts. | 20,745 | 22,001 | 24,047 | 64,238 | 66,924 | 73,802 | 32.3 | 32.9 | 32.6 |
| Michigan . | 18,024 | 18,615 | 21,001 | 62,168 | 63,482 | 74,158 | 29.0 | 29.3 | 28.3 |
| Minnesota | 8,755 | 9,358 | 9,873 | 30,741 | 31,068 | 35,094 | 28.5 | 30.1 | 28.1 |
| M ississippi ..................... | 3,400 | 3,376 | 3,311 | 13,648 | 14,046 | 15,554 | 24.9 | 24.0 | 21.3 |
| Missouri | 8,949 | 10,947 | 12,521 | 36,961 | 41,264 | 49,805 | 24.2 | 26.5 | 25.1 |
| Montana. | 1,541 | 2,073 | 2,279 | 5,007 | 5,852 | 6,292 | 30.8 | 35.4 | 36.2 |
| Nebraska....................... | 2,777 | 3,213 | 3,277 | 11,767 | 13,400 | 14,995 | 23.6 | 24.0 | 21.9 |
| Nevada.. | 910 | 1,372 | 1,520 | 3,913 | 5,037 | 5,994 | 23.3 | 27.2 | 25.4 |
| New Hampshire .............. | 2,890 | 3,037 | 3,050 | 9,589 | 9,514 | 9,848 | 30.1 | 31.9 | 31.0 |
| New J ersey .................... | 11,988 | 13,023 | 15,234 | 34,260 | 34,904 | 41,796 | 35.0 | 37.3 | 36.4 |
| New Mexico ................... | 2,544 | 2,669 | 2,628 | 8,039 | 8,910 | 8,985 | 31.6 | 30.0 | 29.2 |
| New York. | 43,020 | 42,658 | 49,108 | 144,939 | 146,141 | 170,122 | 29.7 | 29.2 | 28.9 |
| North Carolina. | 12,952 | 14,576 | 15,558 | 39,696 | 43,291 | 48,705 | 32.6 | 33.7 | 31.9 |
| North Dakota | 1,354 | 1,543 | 1,583 | 5,278 | 5,425 | 5,900 | 25.7 | 28.4 | 26.8 |
| Ohio. | 19,026 | 19,596 | 19,706 | 69,415 | 69,467 | 76,541 | 27.4 | 28.2 | 25.7 |
| Oklahoma. | 4,938 | 5,747 | 5,654 | 19,875 | 21,590 | 21,828 | 24.8 | 26.6 | 25.9 |
| Oregon.......................... | 5,779 | 6,297 | 6,869 | 17,324 | 18,128 | 21,022 | 33.4 | 34.7 | 32.7 |
| Pennsylvania.................. | 25,350 | 26,174 | 29,675 | 85,052 | 86,601 | 99,234 | 29.8 | 30.2 | 29.9 |
| Rhode Island................... | 3,065 | 2,933 | 3,446 | 11,735 | 10,500 | 11,691 | 26.1 | 27.9 | 29.5 |
| South C arolina ................. | 5,499 | 5,836 | 6,493 | 19,852 | 20,053 | 23,393 | 27.7 | 29.1 | 27.8 |
| South Dakota. | 1,677 | 1,962 | 1,796 | 5,352 | 5,484 | 5,605 | 31.3 | 35.8 | 32.0 |
| Tennessee.. | 7,173 | 8,080 | 8,359 | 26,091 | 29,254 | 32,993 | 27.5 | 27.6 | 25.3 |
| Texas. | 25,466 | 27,773 | 31,303 | 91,031 | 98,209 | 113,409 | 28.0 | 28.3 | 27.6 |
| Utah | 5,323 | 6,572 | 7,187 | 15,905 | 19,875 | 22,124 | 33.5 | 33.1 | 32.5 |
| Vermont......................... | 2,146 | 2,215 | 2,042 | 5,863 | 6,013 | 5,957 | 36.6 | 36.8 | 34.3 |
| Virginia .. | 14,834 | 14,786 | 16,379 | 41,181 | 42,110 | 46,845 | 36.0 | 35.1 | 35.0 |
| Washington.. | 8,639 | 9,847 | 10,852 | 27,973 | 31,360 | 33,890 | 30.9 | 31.4 | 32.0 |
| West Virginia .................. | 2,266 | 2,590 | 2,839 | 10,621 | 11,010 | 11,974 | 21.3 | 23.5 | 23.7 |
| Wisconsin ...................... | 10,589 | 10,328 | 11,299 | 34,846 | 34,914 | 38,539 | 30.4 | 29.6 | 29.3 |
| Wyoming....................... | 822 | 905 | 819 | 2,248 | 2,157 | 2,212 | 36.6 | 42.0 | 37.0 |
| Puerto Rico........................ | 3,675 | 4,425 | NA | 15,207 | 15,798 | NA | 24.2 | 28.0 | NA |

NA = not available
NOTES: S\&E degrees conferred include bachelor's, master's, and doctoral degrees. S\&E degrees include physical, computer, agricultural, biological,
earth, atmospheric, ocean, and social sciences; psychology; mathematics; and engineering. All degrees conferred include bachelor's, master's, and doctoral degrees.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System, various years.

## S\&E Graduate Students per 1,000 Individuals 25-34 Years Old

Figure 8-16
S\&E graduate students per 1,000 individuals 25-34 years old: 2003


| 1st quartile (70.33-13.93) | 2nd quartile (13.91-10.98) | 3rd quartile (10.79-8.85) | 4th quartile (8.84-4.65) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Colorado | California | Alabama | Arizona |
| Connecticut | Idaho | Alaska | Arkansas |
| Delaware | Illinois | Florida | Georgia |
| District of Columbia | Indiana | Louisiiana | Kentucky |
| lowa | Michigan | Minnesota | Maine |
| Kansas | Montana | Missouri | Mississippi |
| Maryland | Nebraska | New Hampshire | Nevada |
| Massachusetts | Ohio | New J ersey | Oregon |
| New Mexico | Rhode Island | North Carolina | South Carolina |
| New York | South Dakota | Texas | Tennessee |
| North Dakota | Utah | West Virginia | Vermont |
| Pennsylvania | Virginia | Washington |  |
| Wyoming |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| SOURCES: National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, Survey of Graduate Students and Postdoctorates in Science and |  |  |  |
| Engineering; and U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division. See table 8-16. |  |  |  |

## Findings

- The number of S\&E graduate students in the United States grew $8 \%$ over the last decade, rising from approximately 434,000 in 1993 to nearly 469,000 in 2003.
- Individual states showed varying levels of graduate level S\&E training, with $0.46 \%$ to $2.48 \%$ of their $25-34$-year-old population pursuing S\&E graduate studies.
- The District of Columbia is an outlier, with more than $7 \%$ of its 25-34-year-old population enrolled as S\&E graduate students, reflecting a large concentration of $S \& E$ graduate programs in political science and public administration and a small resident population.
- Maine and Vermont show different involvement in undergraduate- and graduate-level S\&E education as their rankings on these two indicators shift from the first to the fourth quartiles. These states emphasize undergraduate $S \& E$ education at the state level, and their students pursue graduate-level S\&E education regionally and nationally.

Graduate students in science and engineering fields are a source of the technical leaders of the future. The ratio of S\&E graduate students to a state's $25-34$-year-old population is a broad measure of a state's investment in producing high-level scientists and engineers. The 2534 -year-old cohort was chosen to approximate the age of most graduate students. This cohort includes U.S. citizens and noncitizens as well as graduate students who come from other states and countries.

Data on S\&E graduate students were collected by surveying all academic institutions in the United States that offer doctorate or master's degree programs in any science or engineering field, including physical, life, earth, ocean, atmospheric, computer, and social sciences; mathematics; engineering; and psychology. Graduate students who are enrolled in schools of nursing, public health, dentistry, veterinary medicine, and other health-related disciplines are not included.

Table 8-16
S\&E graduate students per 1,000 individuals 25-34 years old, by state: 1993, 1998, and 2003

| State | S\&E graduate students |  |  | Population 25-34 years old |  |  | S\&E graduate students/ 1,000 individuals 25-34 years old |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1993 | 1998 | 2003 | 1993 | 1998 | 2003 | 1993 | 1998 | 2003 |
| United States. | 433,630 | 402,268 | 468,837 | 41,797,082 | 38,743,134 | 39,872,598 | 10.37 | 10.38 | 11.76 |
| Alabama. | 5,820 | 5,118 | 5,859 | 637,081 | 621,468 | 595,804 | 9.14 | 8.24 | 9.83 |
| Alaska | 829 | 695 | 761 | 102,465 | 75,618 | 82,478 | 8.09 | 9.19 | 9.23 |
| Arizona... | 6,974 | 6,417 | 7,104 | 638,087 | 641,023 | 803,477 | 10.93 | 10.01 | 8.84 |
| Arkansas | 2,018 | 2,038 | 2,173 | 344,514 | 331,366 | 353,978 | 5.86 | 6.15 | 6.14 |
| California. | 54,281 | 51,615 | 63,595 | 5,650,931 | 5,203,609 | 5,296,858 | 9.61 | 9.92 | 12.01 |
| Colorado | 8,793 | 8,385 | 10,386 | 580,405 | 531,951 | 716,024 | 15.15 | 15.76 | 14.51 |
| Connecticut | 6,505 | 5,889 | 7,013 | 542,514 | 467,651 | 416,710 | 11.99 | 12.59 | 16.83 |
| Delaware... | 1,533 | 1,459 | 1,664 | 120,081 | 116,487 | 107,029 | 12.77 | 12.53 | 15.55 |
| District of Columbia ......... | 8,979 | 7,214 | 7,686 | 117,025 | 100,486 | 109,290 | 76.73 | 71.79 | 70.33 |
| Florida | 14,273 | 13,897 | 18,690 | 2,079,987 | 1,928,332 | 2,111,800 | 6.86 | 7.21 | 8.85 |
| Georgia | 8,677 | 8,466 | 9,907 | 1,196,457 | 1,211,587 | 1,349,465 | 7.25 | 6.99 | 7.34 |
| Hawaii. | 1,747 | 1,575 | 1,761 | 189,366 | 154,881 | 164,500 | 9.23 | 10.17 | 10.71 |
| Idaho.. | 1,479 | 1,474 | 1,979 | 149,057 | 151,433 | 179,230 | 9.92 | 9.73 | 11.04 |
| Illinois. | 22,573 | 21,822 | 23,866 | 1,926,485 | 1,743,624 | 1,805,301 | 11.72 | 12.52 | 13.22 |
| Indiana | 9,278 | 7,952 | 8,964 | 883,464 | 838,946 | 816,357 | 10.50 | 9.48 | 10.98 |
| Iowa. | 4,996 | 4,331 | 5,145 | 399,918 | 364,603 | 362,158 | 12.49 | 11.88 | 14.21 |
| Kansas | 4,960 | 5,645 | 6,326 | 388,218 | 348,681 | 352,433 | 12.78 | 16.19 | 17.95 |
| Kentucky.. | 3,640 | 3,442 | 4,478 | 583,619 | 549,127 | 562,218 | 6.24 | 6.27 | 7.96 |
| Louisiana. | 5,379 | 5,161 | 6,382 | 655,495 | 586,604 | 591,648 | 8.21 | 8.80 | 10.79 |
| Maine ... | 786 | 586 | 679 | 188,363 | 169,350 | 146,110 | 4.17 | 3.46 | 4.65 |
| Maryland | 9,124 | 9,160 | 10,667 | 882,453 | 789,089 | 720,652 | 10.34 | 11.61 | 14.80 |
| Massachusetts. | 19,991 | 19,597 | 22,016 | 1,061,596 | 979,008 | 888,560 | 18.83 | 20.02 | 24.78 |
| Michigan . | 15,982 | 14,405 | 16,937 | 1,498,084 | 1,393,047 | 1,312,899 | 10.67 | 10.34 | 12.90 |
| Minnesota | 7,035 | 6,662 | 7,205 | 738,253 | 647,066 | 673,520 | 9.53 | 10.30 | 10.70 |
| Mississippi | 2,635 | 2,943 | 2,511 | 381,258 | 380,593 | 382,352 | 6.91 | 7.73 | 6.57 |
| Missouri .... | 6,289 | 5,658 | 7,175 | 806,964 | 736,889 | 737,924 | 7.79 | 7.68 | 9.72 |
| Montana. | 1,319 | 1,225 | 1,446 | 108,799 | 95,376 | 103,918 | 12.12 | 12.84 | 13.91 |
| Nebraska.. | 2,843 | 2,252 | 2,784 | 237,918 | 211,365 | 225,838 | 11.95 | 10.65 | 12.33 |
| Nevada... | 1,406 | 1,461 | 1,868 | 241,481 | 251,140 | 343,535 | 5.82 | 5.82 | 5.44 |
| New Hampshire | 1,144 | 1,141 | 1,458 | 191,727 | 181,466 | 149,659 | 5.97 | 6.29 | 9.74 |
| New J ersey. | 11,312 | 10,316 | 11,959 | 1,292,830 | 1,137,612 | 1,116,460 | 8.75 | 9.07 | 10.71 |
| New Mexico. | 3,577 | 2,950 | 3,774 | 241,534 | 216,029 | 231,163 | 14.81 | 13.66 | 16.33 |
| New York... | 42,348 | 38,646 | 41,532 | 3,027,979 | 2,701,240 | 2,670,831 | 13.99 | 14.31 | 15.55 |
| North Carolina. | 9,290 | 9,820 | 11,543 | 1,132,784 | 1,129,041 | 1,227,593 | 8.20 | 8.70 | 9.40 |
| North Dakota | 920 | 958 | 1,534 | 93,082 | 80,870 | 77,532 | 9.88 | 11.85 | 19.79 |
| Ohio | 19,254 | 16,364 | 17,966 | 1,704,983 | 1,566,982 | 1,465,077 | 11.29 | 10.44 | 12.26 |
| Oklahoma. | 4,301 | 3,840 | 4,553 | 469,762 | 424,994 | 461,427 | 9.16 | 9.04 | 9.87 |
| Oregon... | 4,215 | 3,585 | 4,369 | 440,878 | 427,402 | 500,562 | 9.56 | 8.39 | 8.73 |
| Pennsylvania.. | 19,901 | 18,325 | 20,555 | 1,788,478 | 1,617,666 | 1,475,595 | 11.13 | 11.33 | 13.93 |
| Rhode Island. | 2,022 | 1,550 | 1,878 | 163,192 | 150,218 | 136,881 | 12.39 | 10.32 | 13.72 |
| South Carolina | 3,877 | 3,342 | 3,440 | 581,100 | 566,157 | 560,094 | 6.67 | 5.90 | 6.14 |
| South Dakota ................. | 947 | 829 | 1,000 | 100,108 | 87,577 | 90,400 | 9.46 | 9.47 | 11.06 |
| Tennessee..... | 6,474 | 5,891 | 6,646 | 797,271 | 787,562 | 820,123 | 8.12 | 7.48 | 8.10 |
| Texas.. | 29,886 | 26,525 | 32,820 | 2,992,253 | 2,811,983 | 3,284,470 | 9.99 | 9.43 | 9.99 |
| Utah ... | 4,127 | 3,729 | 4,710 | 284,718 | 291,726 | 380,431 | 14.50 | 12.78 | 12.38 |
| Vermont. | 669 | 610 | 613 | 87,896 | 84,759 | 70,529 | 7.61 | 7.20 | 8.69 |
| Virginia | 11,332 | 11,202 | 12,892 | 1,136,797 | 1,069,562 | 1,017,047 | 9.97 | 10.47 | 12.68 |
| Washington. | 6,057 | 5,813 | 6,689 | 850,276 | 802,313 | 854,311 | 7.12 | 7.25 | 7.83 |
| West Virginia . | 2,129 | 2,145 | 2,390 | 238,946 | 227,943 | 224,273 | 8.91 | 9.41 | 10.66 |
| Wisconsin. | 8,827 | 7,354 | 8,620 | 787,430 | 706,440 | 686,324 | 11.21 | 10.41 | 12.56 |
| Wyoming..... | 877 | 789 | 869 | 62,720 | 53,192 | 59,750 | 13.98 | 14.83 | 14.54 |
| Puerto Rico......................... | 2,004 | 2,464 | 3,366 | NA | NA | 543,455 | NA | NA | 6.19 |

NA = not available
SOURCES: National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, Survey of Graduate Students and Postdoctorates in Science and Engineering; and U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division.

## Advanced S\&E Degrees as Share of S\&E Degrees Conferred

Figure 8-17
Advanced S\&E degrees as share of S\&E degrees conferred: 2003


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System. See Table 8-17.

## Findings

- In 2003, nearly 132,000 advanced S\&E degrees were awarded nationwide; this total represented approximately $19 \%$ more than in 1993, but the share of advanced degrees remained stable at 23\% of all S\&E degrees conferred.
- Some states specialize in providing graduate-level technical training, with just over $30 \%$ of their S\&E graduates completing training at the master's or doctoral level; other states have much smaller graduate $S \& E$ programs, with values as low as $8 \%$.
- The District of Columbia is an outlier, with $42 \%$ reflecting large $S \& E$ graduate programs in political science and public administration at several of its academic institutions.
- States that emphasize advanced S\&E education are not necessarily the same states as those that emphasize undergraduate-level S\&E education; only about half of the states in the top two quartiles for intensity of advanced S\&E degree production would appear in the top two quartiles for a similar indicator showing intensity of S\&E bachelor's degree production.

This indicator shows the extent to which a state's higher education programs in science and engineering are concentrated at the graduate level. S\&E fields include physical, life, earth, ocean, atmospheric, computer, and social sciences; mathematics; engineering; and psychology. Advanced S\&E degrees include master's and doctoral degrees. All degrees include bachelor's, master's, and doctoral
degrees. Associate's degrees are excluded from this indicator.

The indicator value is obtained by dividing the number of advanced S\&E degrees by the total number of S\&E degrees awarded by the higher education institutions within the state. A high value shows that a state is significantly investing its S\&E training budget at the graduate level.

Table 8-17
Advanced S\&E degrees as share of S\&E degrees conferred, by state: 1993, 1998, and 2003

| State | Advanced S\&E degrees |  |  | All S\&E degrees |  |  | Advanced/ all S\&E degrees (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1993 | 1998 | 2003 | 1993 | 1998 | 2003 | 1993 | 1998 | 2003 |
| United States | 110,701 | 120,203 | 131,656 | 473,414 | 506,827 | 564,444 | 23.4 | 23.7 | 23.3 |
| Alabama. | 1,420 | 1,504 | 1,897 | 6,676 | 6,872 | 6,919 | 21.3 | 21.9 | 27.4 |
| Alaska | 135 | 235 | 194 | 476 | 714 | 605 | 28.4 | 32.9 | 32.1 |
| Arizona | 1,618 | 2,023 | 1,785 | 5,984 | 7,298 | 8,669 | 27.0 | 27.7 | 20.6 |
| Arkansas | 367 | 449 | 487 | 2,341 | 2,827 | 3,137 | 15.7 | 15.9 | 15.5 |
| California........................ | 14,445 | 14,166 | 15,796 | 56,919 | 58,687 | 68,369 | 25.4 | 24.1 | 23.1 |
| Colorado ........................ | 2,583 | 2,949 | 3,230 | 10,348 | 11,460 | 12,692 | 25.0 | 25.7 | 25.4 |
| Connecticut .................... | 1,668 | 1,793 | 2,078 | 7,000 | 7,012 | 7,776 | 23.8 | 25.6 | 26.7 |
| Delaware ........................ | 369 | 376 | 448 | 1,817 | 1,806 | 2,027 | 20.3 | 20.8 | 22.1 |
| District of Columbia ......... | 2,448 | 2,989 | 3,045 | 5,953 | 6,545 | 7,201 | 41.1 | 45.7 | 42.3 |
| Florida ........................... | 3,372 | 3,908 | 4,593 | 14,658 | 17,462 | 20,638 | 23.0 | 22.4 | 22.3 |
| Georgia .......................... | 2,078 | 2,532 | 3,081 | 9,126 | 11,470 | 13,427 | 22.8 | 22.1 | 22.9 |
| Hawaii ........................... | 428 | 532 | 473 | 1,710 | 2,034 | 2,000 | 25.0 | 26.2 | 23.7 |
| Idaho ............................. | 350 | 343 | 405 | 1,383 | 1,660 | 2,146 | 25.3 | 20.7 | 18.9 |
| Illinois ............................ | 5,782 | 6,390 | 7,691 | 20,620 | 21,888 | 25,263 | 28.0 | 29.2 | 30.4 |
| Indiana | 2,372 | 2,534 | 2,458 | 11,799 | 11,498 | 12,186 | 20.1 | 22.0 | 20.2 |
| Iowa .............................. | 1,097 | 1,211 | 1,127 | 6,155 | 6,394 | 7,060 | 17.8 | 18.9 | 16.0 |
| Kansas .......................... | 987 | 1,176 | 1,302 | 4,914 | 5,135 | 5,816 | 20.1 | 22.9 | 22.4 |
| Kentucky........................ | 827 | 954 | 1,067 | 4,420 | 5,034 | 5,062 | 18.7 | 19.0 | 21.1 |
| Louisiana........................ | 1,206 | 1,509 | 1,403 | 5,754 | 6,940 | 7,212 | 21.0 | 21.7 | 19.5 |
| M aine ............................ | 188 | 217 | 201 | 2,237 | 2,211 | 2,546 | 8.4 | 9.8 | 7.9 |
| M aryland ........................ | 2,969 | 3,431 | 4,096 | 10,683 | 11,778 | 13,985 | 27.8 | 29.1 | 29.3 |
| Massachusetts................ | 5,827 | 6,514 | 7,218 | 20,745 | 22,001 | 24,047 | 28.1 | 29.6 | 30.0 |
| Michigan ........................ | 4,189 | 4,823 | 5,696 | 18,024 | 18,615 | 21,001 | 23.2 | 25.9 | 27.1 |
| Minnesota ...................... | 1,390 | 1,679 | 1,809 | 8,755 | 9,358 | 9,873 | 15.9 | 17.9 | 18.3 |
| M ississippi ..................... | 714 | 664 | 712 | 3,400 | 3,376 | 3,311 | 21.0 | 19.7 | 21.5 |
| M issouri ......................... | 2,135 | 2,869 | 3,257 | 8,949 | 10,947 | 12,521 | 23.9 | 26.2 | 26.0 |
| Montana | 276 | 380 | 384 | 1,541 | 2,073 | 2,279 | 17.9 | 18.3 | 16.8 |
| Nebraska........................ | 551 | 626 | 706 | 2,777 | 3,213 | 3,277 | 19.8 | 19.5 | 21.5 |
| Nevada. | 210 | 341 | 370 | 910 | 1,372 | 1,520 | 23.1 | 24.9 | 24.3 |
| New Hampshire .............. | 417 | 406 | 472 | 2,890 | 3,037 | 3,050 | 14.4 | 13.4 | 15.5 |
| New J ersey .................... | 3,092 | 2,928 | 3,569 | 11,988 | 13,023 | 15,234 | 25.8 | 22.5 | 23.4 |
| New Mexico ................... | 799 | 801 | 721 | 2,544 | 2,669 | 2,628 | 31.4 | 30.0 | 27.4 |
| New York....................... | 11,202 | 10,753 | 12,372 | 43,020 | 42,658 | 49,108 | 26.0 | 25.2 | 25.2 |
| North Carolina................. | 2,117 | 2,454 | 2,898 | 12,952 | 14,576 | 15,558 | 16.3 | 16.8 | 18.6 |
| North Dakota ................. | 191 | 228 | 231 | 1,354 | 1,543 | 1,583 | 14.1 | 14.8 | 14.6 |
| Ohio .............................. | 5,030 | 5,281 | 4,625 | 19,026 | 19,596 | 19,706 | 26.4 | 26.9 | 23.5 |
| Oklahoma....................... | 1,334 | 1,756 | 1,793 | 4,938 | 5,747 | 5,654 | 27.0 | 30.6 | 31.7 |
| Oregon.......................... | 1,207 | 1,298 | 1,307 | 5,779 | 6,297 | 6,869 | 20.9 | 20.6 | 19.0 |
| Pennsylvania.................. | 5,326 | 5,489 | 6,134 | 25,350 | 26,174 | 29,675 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 20.7 |
| Rhode Island................... | 614 | 579 | 532 | 3,065 | 2,933 | 3,446 | 20.0 | 19.7 | 15.4 |
| South Carolina ................ | 920 | 1,007 | 1,032 | 5,499 | 5,836 | 6,493 | 16.7 | 17.3 | 15.9 |
| South Dakota .................. | 281 | 379 | 373 | 1,677 | 1,962 | 1,796 | 16.8 | 19.3 | 20.8 |
| Tennessee ...................... | 1,270 | 1,475 | 1,440 | 7,173 | 8,080 | 8,359 | 17.7 | 18.3 | 17.2 |
| Texas............................. | 6,434 | 7,445 | 8,080 | 25,466 | 27,773 | 31,303 | 25.3 | 26.8 | 25.8 |
| Utah .............................. | 1,013 | 1,006 | 1,060 | 5,323 | 6,572 | 7,187 | 19.0 | 15.3 | 14.7 |
| Vermont......................... | 330 | 457 | 181 | 2,146 | 2,215 | 2,042 | 15.4 | 20.6 | 8.9 |
| Virginia .......................... | 2,853 | 3,092 | 3,374 | 14,834 | 14,786 | 16,379 | 19.2 | 20.9 | 20.6 |
| Washington .................... | 1,899 | 1,777 | 1,953 | 8,639 | 9,847 | 10,852 | 22.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 |
| West Virginia ................... | 355 | 501 | 492 | 2,266 | 2,590 | 2,839 | 15.7 | 19.3 | 17.3 |
| Wisconsin ...................... | 1,803 | 1,712 | 1,809 | 10,589 | 10,328 | 11,299 | 17.0 | 16.6 | 16.0 |
| Wyoming....................... | 213 | 262 | 199 | 822 | 905 | 819 | 25.9 | 29.0 | 24.3 |
| Puerto Rico........................ | 415 | 536 | NA | 3,675 | 4,425 | NA | 11.3 | 12.1 | NA |

NA = not avaiablle
NOTES: All degrees include bachelor's, master's, and doctoral degrees; advanced degrees include only master's and doctoral degrees. S\&E degrees include physical, computer, agricultural, biological, earth, atmospheric, ocean, and social sciences; psychology; mathematics; and engineering.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System, various years.

## Average Undergraduate Charge at Public 4-Year Institutions

Figure 8-18
Average undergraduate charge at public 4-year institutions: 2004


| 1st quartile (\$15,109-\$12,208) | 2nd quartile (\$12,002-\$10,118) | 3rd quartile $\mathbf{( \$ 9 , 7 5 1 - \$ 8 , 6 0 4 )}$ | 4th quartile (\$8,547-\$7,494) | No data |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| California | Alaska | Alabama | District of Columbia |  |
| Connecticut | Arizona | Colorado | Arkansas | Idaho |
| Delaware | Illinois | Florida | Kentucky |  |
| Maryland | Indiana | Georgia | Louisiana |  |
| Massachusetts | Iowa | Hawaii | Mississippi |  |
| Michigan | Maine | Kansas | New Mexico |  |
| New Hampshire | Minnesota | Montana | North Dakota |  |
| New J ersey | Missouri | Nebraska | Oklahoma |  |
| Ohio | Nevada | North Carolina | South Dakota |  |
| Pennsylvania | New York | Tennessee | Utah | Wyoming |
| Rhode Island | Oregon | Texas |  |  |
| South Carolina | West Virginia |  |  |  |
| Vermont | Wirginia | Wasconsin |  |  |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System. See table 8-18.

## Findings

- During 2004, the total annual nominal charge for a full-time undergraduate student to attend a public 4-year institution averaged $\$ 10,720$ nationally, an increase of $9 \%$ from the previous year.
- Total annual nominal charges at a private 4 -year institution averaged $\$ 25,204$, an increase of 5\% from the previous year.
- State averages for public 4 -year institutions ranged from a low of $\$ 7,494$ to a high of \$15,109.
- Tuition and required fees averaged approximately $40 \%$ of the total charges at public 4 -year institutions, but individual states had different cost structures.

The average annual charge for an undergraduate student to attend a public 4-year academic institution is one indicator of how accessible higher education in science and engineering is to a state's less-affluent students. The annual charge includes standard in-state charges for tuition, required fees, room, and board for a full-time undergraduate student who is a resident of that state. These charges were weighted by the number of full-time undergraduates at public institutions. The total charge for all public 4-year institutions in the state was divided by the total number of full-
time undergraduates attending public 4year institutions in the state. The year is the latter date of the academic year. For example, data for 2004 represent costs for the 2003-04 academic year.

To improve the educational attainment of their residents, many states have chosen to reduce the charge to students by providing state subsidies or direct financial aid. Additional financial aid is provided by the federal government and by the academic institutions. The data in this indicator do not include any adjustment for financial aid that a student might receive.

Table 8-18
Average undergraduate charge at public 4-year institutions, by state: 1994, 1999, and 2004
(Dollars)

| State | 1994 | 1999 | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| National average. | 6,365 | 8,024 | 10,720 |
| Alabama. | 5,295 | 6,558 | 8,983 |
| Alaska | 5,978 | 8,403 | 10,118 |
| Arizona. | 5,463 | 6,985 | 10,140 |
| Arkansas | 5,296 | 6,172 | 8,349 |
| California. | 7,524 | 9,035 | 12,275 |
| Colorado .. | 6,190 | 7,840 | 9,751 |
| Connecticut | 7,915 | 9,902 | 12,772 |
| Delaware. | 7,790 | 9,515 | 12,496 |
| District of Columbia | NA | NA | NA |
| Florida. | 5,861 | 7,283 | 9,207 |
| Georgia | 5,063 | 7,457 | 9,090 |
| Hawaii. | 1,452 | 8,182 | 8,760 |
| Idaho. | 4,977 | 6,321 | 8,091 |
| Illinois. | 6,999 | 8,812 | 11,804 |
| Indiana | 6,640 | 8,584 | 11,637 |
| lowa. | 5,439 | 6,762 | 10,878 |
| Kansas | 5,137 | 6,236 | 8,604 |
| Kentucky.. | 5,027 | 6,222 | 8,521 |
| Louisiana. | 5,214 | 5,919 | 7,494 |
| Maine . | 7,503 | 8,926 | 11,010 |
| Maryland | 8,147 | 10,512 | 13,419 |
| Massachusetts. | 8,503 | 9,099 | 12,250 |
| Michigan | 7,668 | 9,205 | 12,208 |
| Minnesota | 5,929 | 7,561 | 10,845 |
| Mississippi. | 5,088 | 6,015 | 8,547 |
| Missouri. | 5,833 | 7,728 | 10,320 |
| Montana. | 5,668 | 7,054 | 9,348 |
| Nebraska.. | 4,925 | 6,482 | 9,620 |
| Nevada.. | 6,379 | 7,596 | 10,333 |
| New Hampshire | 7,801 | 10,532 | 13,852 |
| New J ersey.. | 8,251 | 10,977 | 15,109 |
| New Mexico. | 5,062 | 6,433 | 8,238 |
| New York. | 7,721 | 9,698 | 12,002 |
| North Carolina. | 4,706 | 6,525 | 8,805 |
| North Dakota | 5,253 | 6,615 | 8,028 |
| Ohio | 6,992 | 9,428 | 13,319 |
| Oklahoma.. | 4,027 | 5,740 | 7,901 |
| Oregon... | 6,630 | 8,755 | 11,626 |
| Pennsylvania. | 8,277 | 10,085 | 13,754 |
| Rhode Island. | 8,604 | 10,284 | 12,763 |
| South Carolina | 6,206 | 7,989 | 12,710 |
| South Dakota | 4,917 | 6,264 | 8,379 |
| Tennessee.. | 5,019 | 6,386 | 8,936 |
| Texas. | 4,934 | 6,756 | 9,202 |
| Utah | 5,125 | 6,196 | 7,865 |
| Vermont. | 10,054 | 12,238 | 14,766 |
| Virginia | 7,725 | 8,980 | 10,900 |
| Washington. | 6,476 | 7,985 | 11,353 |
| West Virginia | 5,687 | 6,755 | 8,751 |
| Wisconsin. | 5,249 | 6,730 | 9,066 |
| Wyoming................................... | 4,900 | 6,830 | 8,485 |

NA = not available
NOTES: National average is reported value in Digest of Education Statistics data tables. Data are for entire academic year and are average charges. Tuition and fees were weighted by number of full-time-equivalent undergraduates but are not adjusted to reflect student residency. Room and board are based on full-time students.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System, various years.

## State Expenditures on Student Aid per Full-Time Undergraduate Student

Figure 8-19
State expenditures on student aid per full-time undergraduate student: 2002


| 1st quartile (\$1,827-\$712) | 2nd quartile (\$682-\$424) | 3rd quartile (\$399-\$94) | 4th quartile (\$84-\$0) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California | Arkansas | Idaho | Alabama |
| Florida | Colorado | Kansas | Alaska |
| Georgia | Connecticut | Maine | Arizona |
| Illinois | Indiana | Michigan | Delaware |
| Kentucky | Iowa | Mississippi | District of Columbia |
| Louisiana | Maryland | Missouri | Hawaii |
| Minnesota | Massachusetts | Nebraska | Montana |
| New J ersey | Nevada | Oklahoma | New Hampshire |
| New Mexico | North Carolina | Oregon | North Dakota |
| New York | Ohio | Rhode Island | South Dakota |
| Pennsylvania | Texas | Tennessee | Utah |
| South Carolina | Vermont | West Virginia | Wyoming |
| Washington | Virginia | Wisconsin |  |

## Findings

- In the United States, the total amount of state financial aid from grants that were provided to undergraduates rose from nearly $\$ 2.8$ billion in 1995 to nearly $\$ 5.0$ billion in 2002, an average annual increase of $8.7 \%$. On a per-student basis, this represented an average annual increase of $7.1 \%$, rising from \$414 in 1995 to \$671 in 2002.
- The amount of financial assistance provided by the states and the District of Columbia varied greatly; 13 averaged less than $\$ 100$ per undergraduate student, and 6 provided more than $\$ 1,000$ per student.
- Most states showed rather small increases in the amount of state aid they provided to undergraduates between 1995 and 2002.

The cost of an undergraduate education can be reduced with financial assistance from the state, federal government, or academic institution. This indicator measures the amount of financial support from state grants that go to undergraduate students at both public and private institutions in the state. It is calculated by dividing the total state grant aid to undergraduates by the number of full-time undergraduates who are attending school in the state. A high value is one indicator of state efforts to provide access to higher education at a time of escalating undergraduate costs.

This indicator should be viewed relative to the level of tuition charged to
undergraduates in a state because some states have chosen to subsidize tuition for all students at public institutions rather than provide grants.

Total state grant expenditures for financial aid include both need-based and non-need-based grants. State assistance through subsidized or unsubsidized loans and awards to students at the graduate and first professional degree levels are not included. The number of undergraduate students represents the total full-time undergraduate enrollment in both public and private 4 -year institutions in the state. The year is the latter date of the academic year. For example, data for 2002 represent costs for the 2001-02 academic year.

Table 8-19
State expenditures on student aid per full-time undergraduate student, by state: 1995, 1999, and 2002

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

NOTE: Enrollment data are for 4-year degree-granting institutions that participated in Title IV federal financial aid programs.
SOURCE: National Association of State Scholarship and Grant Programs, Annual Survey Report, various years; and U.S. Department of Education,
National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System, various years.

## Bachelor's Degree Holders as Share of Workforce

Figure 8-20
Bachelor's degree holders as share of workforce: 2004


| 1st quartile (65.9\%-38.6\%) | 2nd quartile (37.4\%-34.9\%) | 3rd quartile (34.4\%-30.9\%) | 4th quartile (30.5\%-25.3\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| California | Arizona | Alabama | Arkansas |
| Colorado | Delaware | Alaska | Idaho |
| Connecticut | Florida | Kentucky | Indiana |
| District of Columbia | Georgia | Maisiana | Iowa |
| Maryland | Hawaii | Michigan | Mississippi |
| Massachusetts | Illinois | Montana | Nebraska |
| Minnesota | Kansas | Nevada | North Dakota |
| New Hampshire | Missouri | New Mexico | Oklahoma |
| New Jersey | Oregon | North Carolina | South Dakota |
| New York | Pennsylvania | Ohio | West Virginia |
| Vermont | Rhode Island | South Carolina | Wyoming |
| Virginia | Tennessee | Texas |  |
| Washington | Wtah |  |  |

SOURCES: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Education and Social Stratification Branch, Educational Attainment in the United States; and U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Local Area Unemployment Statistics. See table 8-20.

## Findings

- In 2004, 51.8 million individuals held bachelor's degrees in the United States, up from 36.5 million in 1994.
- Nationwide, the percentage of the workforce with at least a bachelor's degree rose from $29.5 \%$ in 1994 to $37.2 \%$ in 2004. The proportion of the workforce with a bachelor's degree increased considerably in many states. This may reflect a replacement of older cohorts of workers with younger, more-educated ones. It may also indicate the restructuring of state economies to emphasize work that requires more education or credentialism.
- The geographic distribution of bachelor's degree holders in the workforce bears little resemblance to any of the degreeproduction indicators, which attests to the considerable mobility of the collegeeducated population in the United States.

The proportion of a state's workers with bachelor's, graduate, and professional degrees is an indicator of the educational and skill levels of its workforce. These workers have a clear advantage over less-educated workers in terms of expected lifetime earnings. A high value for this indicator denotes that a state has a large percentage of workers who completed an undergraduate education.

Degree data, based on the U.S. Census Bureau's Current Population Survey (CPS), are limited to individuals who are age 25 years and older. Civilian workforce data are Bureau of Labor Statistics estimates based on CPS. Estimates for sparsely populated states and the District of Columbia may be imprecise because of their small representation in the survey samples.

Table 8-20
Bachelor's degree holders as share of workforce, by state: 1994, 1999, and 2004

| State | Bachelor's degree holders (thousands) |  |  | Employed workforce |  |  | Bachelor's degree holders in workforce (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1994 | 1999 | 2004 | 1994 | 1999 | 2004 | 1994 | 1999 | 2004 |
| United States. | 36,538 | 43,812 | 51,751 | 123,901,653 | 135,145,914 | 139,253,285 | 29.5 | 32.4 | 37.2 |
| Alabama. | 402 | 610 | 645 | 1,909,881 | 2,070,210 | 2,029,314 | 21.0 | 29.5 | 31.8 |
| Alaska | 86 | 95 | 99 | 278,198 | 297,019 | 307,704 | 30.9 | 32.0 | 32.2 |
| Arizona.... | 508 | 715 | 983 | 1,976,722 | 2,355,357 | 2,636,773 | 25.7 | 30.4 | 37.3 |
| Arkansas ....................... | 190 | 276 | 331 | 1,148,393 | 1,198,016 | 1,232,126 | 16.5 | 23.0 | 26.9 |
| California.. | 4,803 | 5,593 | 7,004 | 13,953,855 | 15,566,900 | 16,459,862 | 34.4 | 35.9 | 42.6 |
| Colorado .. | 657 | 1,008 | 1,014 | 1,953,111 | 2,269,668 | 2,382,873 | 33.6 | 44.4 | 42.6 |
| Connecticut ................... | 579 | 738 | 778 | 1,670,083 | 1,695,174 | 1,709,836 | 34.7 | 43.5 | 45.5 |
| Delaware. | 99 | 119 | 144 | 360,866 | 387,808 | 405,669 | 27.4 | 30.7 | 35.5 |
| District of Columbia ......... | 141 | 150 | 181 | 285,207 | 288,016 | 274,465 | 49.4 | 52.1 | 65.9 |
| Florida ........................... | 1,943 | 2,162 | 2,987 | 6,502,124 | 7,401,659 | 7,997,077 | 29.9 | 29.2 | 37.4 |
| Georgia ......................... | 1,085 | 1,048 | 1,525 | 3,412,606 | 3,951,684 | 4,188,271 | 31.8 | 26.5 | 36.4 |
| Hawaii.. | 188 | 199 | 219 | 555,749 | 576,314 | 595,772 | 33.8 | 34.5 | 36.8 |
| Idaho.. | 147 | 155 | 203 | 552,354 | 620,962 | 669,728 | 26.6 | 25.0 | 30.3 |
| Illinois. | 1,749 | 1,939 | 2,217 | 5,766,671 | 6,143,130 | 6,000,140 | 30.3 | 31.6 | 36.9 |
| Indiana .......................... | 526 | 691 | 846 | 2,911,781 | 3,046,922 | 3,005,247 | 18.1 | 22.7 | 28.2 |
| Iowa | 339 | 394 | 467 | 1,510,253 | 1,560,848 | 1,545,412 | 22.4 | 25.2 | 30.2 |
| Kansas. | 353 | 443 | 515 | 1,279,098 | 1,359,908 | 1,383,654 | 27.6 | 32.6 | 37.2 |
| Kentucky... | 400 | 501 | 578 | 1,729,483 | 1,854,270 | 1,870,249 | 23.1 | 27.0 | 30.9 |
| Louisiana... | 439 | 556 | 618 | 1,785,654 | 1,926,732 | 1,940,315 | 24.6 | 28.9 | 31.9 |
| Maine . | 166 | 199 | 213 | 589,073 | 641,351 | 667,223 | 28.2 | 31.0 | 31.9 |
| Maryland | 872 | 1,209 | 1,270 | 2,545,413 | 2,687,843 | 2,761,015 | 34.3 | 45.0 | 46.0 |
| Massachusetts | 1,205 | 1,253 | 1,594 | 2,989,123 | 3,245,761 | 3,219,487 | 40.3 | 38.6 | 49.5 |
| Michigan .. | 1,144 | 1,313 | 1,572 | 4,508,900 | 4,897,144 | 4,719,343 | 25.4 | 26.8 | 33.3 |
| Minnesota | 737 | 954 | 1,085 | 2,471,516 | 2,686,942 | 2,813,831 | 29.8 | 35.5 | 38.6 |
| Mississippi.. | 310 | 329 | 359 | 1,159,959 | 1,223,725 | 1,248,056 | 26.7 | 26.9 | 28.8 |
| M issouri ..... | 711 | 821 | 1,039 | 2,622,286 | 2,819,853 | 2,858,897 | 27.1 | 29.1 | 36.3 |
| Montana.... | 131 | 134 | 159 | 410,957 | 440,646 | 461,746 | 31.9 | 30.4 | 34.4 |
| Nebraska. | 209 | 214 | 274 | 862,659 | 916,270 | 947,882 | 24.2 | 23.4 | 28.9 |
| Nevada.... | 161 | 238 | 359 | 764,451 | 978,969 | 1,126,346 | 21.1 | 24.3 | 31.9 |
| New Hampshire .............. | 192 | 212 | 293 | 594,935 | 666,066 | 695,739 | 32.3 | 31.8 | 42.1 |
| New J ersey .................... | 1,472 | 1,604 | 1,957 | 3,789,960 | 4,092,714 | 4,176,230 | 38.8 | 39.2 | 46.9 |
| New Mexico.. | 242 | 268 | 296 | 725,387 | 793,052 | 859,962 | 33.4 | 33.8 | 34.4 |
| New York.. | 2,996 | 3,205 | 3,827 | 8,080,243 | 8,657,431 | 8,811,784 | 37.1 | 37.0 | 43.4 |
| North Carolina.. | 852 | 1,173 | 1,243 | 3,511,339 | 3,921,244 | 4,020,788 | 24.3 | 29.9 | 30.9 |
| North Dakota | 76 | 89 | 104 | 327,377 | 336,481 | 342,221 | 23.2 | 26.5 | 30.4 |
| Ohio | 1,396 | 1,850 | 1,811 | 5,254,199 | 5,534,376 | 5,523,037 | 26.6 | 33.4 | 32.8 |
| Oklahoma... | 415 | 514 | 496 | 1,469,487 | 1,590,838 | 1,627,828 | 28.2 | 32.3 | 30.5 |
| Oregon .......................... | 492 | 585 | 629 | 1,546,552 | 1,697,288 | 1,718,504 | 31.8 | 34.5 | 36.6 |
| Pennsylvania... | 1,545 | 1,887 | 2,093 | 5,529,551 | 5,809,824 | 5,926,978 | 27.9 | 32.5 | 35.3 |
| Rhode Island.................. | 156 | 176 | 193 | 480,669 | 518,848 | 533,313 | 32.5 | 33.9 | 36.2 |
| South Carolina ................ | 412 | 537 | 656 | 1,729,363 | 1,876,895 | 1,906,572 | 23.8 | 28.6 | 34.4 |
| South Dakota ................. | 75 | 111 | 117 | 364,452 | 394,898 | 413,121 | 20.6 | 28.1 | 28.3 |
| Tennessee...................... | 535 | 626 | 965 | 2,511,085 | 2,722,124 | 2,751,755 | 21.3 | 23.0 | 35.1 |
| Texas ............................ | 2,294 | 2,965 | 3,272 | 8,778,660 | 9,766,299 | 10,362,982 | 26.1 | 30.4 | 31.6 |
| Utah ............................. | 228 | 316 | 398 | 945,389 | 1,080,441 | 1,140,498 | 24.1 | 29.2 | 34.9 |
| Vermont........................ | 107 | 112 | 142 | 301,836 | 325,581 | 340,374 | 35.4 | 34.4 | 41.7 |
| Virginia .......................... | 1,074 | 1,383 | 1,610 | 3,265,139 | 3,441,589 | 3,674,434 | 32.9 | 40.2 | 43.8 |
| Washington .................... | 848 | 1,068 | 1,205 | 2,566,663 | 2,917,577 | 3,032,299 | 33.0 | 36.6 | 39.7 |
| West Virginia ... | 138 | 215 | 189 | 712,664 | 762,395 | 746,542 | 19.4 | 28.2 | 25.3 |
| Wisconsin ...................... | 665 | 791 | 906 | 2,713,392 | 2,879,024 | 2,919,201 | 24.5 | 27.5 | 31.0 |
| Wyoming....................... | 48 | 69 | 71 | 236,885 | 251,828 | 270,810 | 20.3 | 27.4 | 26.2 |
| Puerto Rico........................ | NA | NA | NA | 1,032,283 | 1,142,466 | 1,226,251 | NA | NA | NA |

NA = not available
NOTES: Bachelor's degree holders include those who have completed a bachelor's or higher degree. Workforce represents employed component of civilian labor force and is reported as annual data, not seasonally adjusted.
SOURCES: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Education and Social Stratification Branch, Educational Attainment in the United States, various years; and U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Local Area Unemployment Statistics.

## Individuals in S\&E Occupations as Share of Workforce

Figure 8-21
Individuals in S\&E occupations as share of workforce: 2003


| 1st quartile (19.84\%-3.92\%) | 2nd quartile (3.90\%-3.35\%) | 3rd quartile (3.28\%-2.53\%) | 4th quartile (2.49\%-1.77\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| California | Alaska | Alabama | Arkansas |
| Colorado | Arizona | Florida | Iowa |
| Connecticut | Georgia | Hawaii | Kentucky |
| Delaware | Idaho | Missouri | Louisiana |
| District of Columbia | Illinois | Montana | Maine |
| Maryland | Kansas | Nebraska | Mississippi |
| Massachusetts | Michigan | New York | Nevada |
| Minnesota | New Hampshire | Ohio | North Dakota |
| New Jersey | Oklahoma | South Dakota |  |
| New Mexico | Oregon | Pennsylvania | Tennessee |
| Utah | Rhode Island | South Carolina | West Virginia |
| Virginia | Wisconsin | Wyoming |  |

SOURCES: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Occupational Employment and Wage Estimates; and Local Area Unemployment Statistics. See table 8-21.

## Findings

- In 2003, 3.6\% of the U.S. workforce, or about 5 million people, worked in occupations classified as S\&E
- In individual states in 2003, the percentage of the workforce engaged in S\&E occupations ranged from $1.77 \%$ to $5.79 \%$.
- The District of Columbia was an outlier at $19.84 \%$, reflecting the many S\&E jobs it provides for individuals who work there but live in neighboring states.
- States located in the Northeast, Southwest, and West Coast tended to be in the top two quartiles on this indicator, signifying a high concentration of S\&E jobs.

This indicator shows the extent to which a state's workforce is college educated and employed in science and engineering occupations. A high value for this indicator shows that a state's economy has a high percentage of technical jobs relative to other states.

S\&E occupations are defined by 77 standard occupational codes that encompass mathematical, computer, life, physical, and social scientists; engineers; and postsecondary teachers in any of these S\&E fields. People with job titles such as manager are excluded.

The location of S\&E occupations primarily reflects where the individuals work and is based on estimates from the Occupational Employment Statistics survey, a cooperative program between the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) and state employment security agencies. Civilian workforce data are BLS estimates based on the Current Population Survey, which assigns workers to a location based on residence. Because of this difference and the sample-based nature of the data, estimates for sparsely populated states and the District of Columbia may be imprecise.

Table 8-21
Individuals in S\&E occupations as share of workforce, by state: 2003

| State | S\&E occupations | Employed workforce | Workforce in S\&E occupations (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States. | 4,961,550 | 137,406,413 | 3.61 |
| Alabama.......................... | 56,380 | 2,009,039 | 2.81 |
| Alaska ............................ | 10,600 | 305,063 | 3.47 |
| Arizona ........................... | 92,120 | 2,553,169 | 3.61 |
| Arkansas ......................... | 21,340 | 1,204,539 | 1.77 |
| California. | 676,180 | 16,223,451 | 4.17 |
| Colorado . | 124,140 | 2,325,210 | 5.34 |
| Connecticut | 81,380 | 1,706,170 | 4.77 |
| Delaware. | 17,370 | 403,759 | 4.30 |
| District of Columbia ........... | 54,890 | 276,595 | 19.84 |
| Florida ............................ | 221,070 | 7,763,860 | 2.85 |
| Georgia | 144,170 | 4,134,525 | 3.49 |
| Hawaii ............................ | 16,090 | 588,637 | 2.73 |
| Idaho .............................. | 22,150 | 654,222 | 3.39 |
| Illinois ............................. | 211,230 | 5,934,131 | 3.56 |
| Indiana ............................ | 78,410 | 3,000,784 | 2.61 |
| Iowa | 37,320 | 1,548,215 | 2.41 |
| Kansas | 51,970 | 1,366,061 | 3.80 |
| Kentucky.. | 45,230 | 1,856,204 | 2.44 |
| Louisiana......................... | 41,900 | 1,914,550 | 2.19 |
| Maine | 15,020 | 659,579 | 2.28 |
| Maryland . | 149,250 | 2,751,455 | 5.42 |
| M assachusetts................. | 184,690 | 3,215,624 | 5.74 |
| Michigan . | 182,940 | 4,695,148 | 3.90 |
| Minnesota | 117,120 | 2,786,091 | 4.20 |
| Mississippi. | 22,190 | 1,237,198 | 1.79 |
| Missouri ..... | 84,150 | 2,845,802 | 2.96 |
| Montana. | 11,450 | 452,493 | 2.53 |
| Nebraska. | 30,710 | 936,736 | 3.28 |
| Nevada........................... | 22,330 | 1,089,709 | 2.05 |
| New Hampshire ................ | 23,430 | 685,366 | 3.42 |
| New J ersey ...................... | 161,420 | 4,115,123 | 3.92 |
| New Mexico ..................... | 33,600 | 840,858 | 4.00 |
| New York.. | 272,440 | 8,705,319 | 3.13 |
| North Carolina. | 132,440 | 3,957,077 | 3.35 |
| North Dakota ................... | 8,430 | 338,809 | 2.49 |
| Ohio | 177,100 | 5,506,038 | 3.22 |
| Oklahoma.. | 44,360 | 1,614,418 | 2.75 |
| Oregon..... | 61,230 | 1,701,577 | 3.60 |
| Pennsylvania.................... | 185,560 | 5,835,076 | 3.18 |
| Rhode Island.................... | 18,740 | 537,873 | 3.48 |
| South Carolina .................. | 48,740 | 1,878,397 | 2.59 |
| South Dakota ..................... | 9,150 | 4,808,805 | 2.24 |
| Tennessee ....................... | 63,680 | 2,742,225 | 2.32 |
| Texas .............................. | 365,270 | 10,195,950 | 3.58 |
| Utah . | 45,570 | 1,121,088 | 4.06 |
| Vermont........................... | 11,420 | 335,823 | 3.40 |
| Virginia | 209,280 | 3,612,229 | 5.79 |
| Washington..................... | 150,230 | 2,926,836 | 5.13 |
| West Virginia .................... | 16,220 | 747,637 | 2.17 |
| Wisconsin ........................ | 93,320 | 2,896,670 | 3.22 |
| Wyoming........................ | 6,130 | 265,200 | 2.31 |
| Puerto Rico........................ | 19,940 | 1,200,322 | 1.66 |

NOTE: Workforce represents employed component of civilian labor force and is reported as annual data, not seasonally adjusted.

SOURCES: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Occupational Employment and Wage Estimates; and Local Area Unemployment Statistics.

## S\&E Doctorate Holders as Share of Workforce

Figure 8-22
S\&E doctorate holders as share of workforce: 2003


| 1st quartile (2.35\%-0.50\%) | 2nd quartile (0.49\% - 0.35\%) | 3rd quartile (0.34\%-0.28\%) | 4th quartile (0.27\%-0.17\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| California | Idaho | Alaska | Alabama |
| Colorado | Ilinois | Arizona | Arkansas |
| Connecticut | Michigan | Georgia | Florida |
| Delaware | Minnesota | Indiana | Kentucky |
| District of Columbia | Montana | Lowa | Louisiana |
| Hawaii | New Hampshire | Maine | Mississippi |
| Maryland | New York | Missouri | Nevada |
| Massachusetts | North Carolina | Nebraska | Oklahoma |
| New ersey | Ohio | North Dakota | South Carolina |
| New Mexico | Oregon | Tennessee | South Dakota |
| Rhode Island | Pennsylvania | Wisconsin | West Virginia |
| Virginia | Utah | Wyoming |  |
| Washington | Vermont |  |  |
| SOURCES: National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, Survey of Doctorate Recipients; and U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau |  |  |  |
| of Labor Statistics, Local Area Unemployment Statistics. See Table 8-22. |  |  |  |

## Findings

- The number of S\&E doctorate holders in the United States rose from 503,000 in 1997 to 568,000 in 2003 , an increase of nearly $13 \%$.
- For the United States, the value of this indicator climbed from $0.38 \%$ to $0.41 \%$ of the workforce because the number of $\mathrm{S} \& E$ doctorate holders increased more rapidly than the size of the workforce during this period.
- In 2003, the values for this indicator in individual states ranged from $0.17 \%$ to $0.98 \%$ of the state's workforce; the District of Columbia was an outlier at 2.35\%, reflecting a high concentration of $S \& E$ doctorate holders who work there but live in neighboring states.
- States in the top quartile tend to be home to major research laboratories, research universities, or research-intensive industries.

This indicator shows a state's tendency to attract and retain highly trained scientists and engineers. These individuals often conduct research and development, manage R\&D activities, or are otherwise engaged in knowledge-intensive activities. A high value for this indicator in a state suggests employment opportunities for individuals with highly advanced training in science and engineering.

S\&E fields include physical, life, earth, ocean, atmospheric, computer, and social sciences; mathematics;
engineering; and psychology. S\&E doctorate holders exclude those with doctorates from foreign institutions. The location of the doctorate holders primarily reflects the state in which the individuals work. Civilian workforce data are Bureau of Labor Statistics estimates from the Current Population Survey, which bases location on residence. Because of this difference and the sample-based nature of the data, estimates for sparsely populated states and the District of Columbia may be imprecise.

Table 8-22
S\&E doctorate holders as share of workforce, by state: 1997, 2001, and 2003

| State | S\&E doctorate holders |  |  | Employed workforce |  |  | S\&E doctorate holders in workforce (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1997 | 2001 | 2003 | 1997 | 2001 | 2003 | 1997 | 2001 | 2003 |
| United States. | 503,290 | 555,360 | 567,690 | 130,988,267 | 137,107,740 | 137,406,413 | 0.38 | 0.41 | 0.41 |
| Alabama. | 6,440 | 5,170 | 5,500 | 2,035,156 | 2,033,230 | 2,009,039 | 0.32 | 0.25 | 0.27 |
| Alaska | 1,110 | 1,160 | 1,050 | 289,963 | 300,917 | 305,063 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.34 |
| Arizona. | 6,130 | 6,800 | 7,110 | 2,196,901 | 2,453,066 | 2,553,169 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.28 |
| Arkansas | 2,250 | 2,460 | 2,670 | 1,177,143 | 1,193,249 | 1,204,539 | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.22 |
| California. | 68,390 | 78,020 | 83,150 | 14,780,791 | 16,217,495 | 16,223,451 | 0.46 | 0.48 | 0.51 |
| Colorado | 10,350 | 11,450 | 12,180 | 2,154,294 | 2,301,155 | 2,325,210 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.52 |
| Connecticut | 8,470 | 9,340 | 10,140 | 1,674,937 | 1,698,274 | 1,706,170 | 0.51 | 0.55 | 0.59 |
| Delaware. | 3,520 | 3,470 | 2,600 | 378,117 | 405,111 | 403,759 | 0.93 | 0.86 | 0.64 |
| District of Columbia ......... | 11,580 | 13,840 | 6,490 | 262,789 | 287,552 | 276,595 | 4.41 | 4.81 | 2.35 |
| Florida | 12,820 | 15,040 | 15,590 | 7,040,660 | 7,633,728 | 7,763,860 | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| Georgia | 9,640 | 11,710 | 12,060 | 3,751,699 | 4,107,109 | 4,134,525 | 0.26 | 0.29 | 0.29 |
| Hawaii. | 2,420 | 2,570 | 2,960 | 566,766 | 586,754 | 588,637 | 0.43 | 0.44 | 0.50 |
| Idaho. | 1,990 | 2,160 | 2,480 | 598,004 | 642,908 | 654,222 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.38 |
| Illinois. | 21,020 | 21,670 | 21,410 | 5,988,296 | 6,121,940 | 5,934,131 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.36 |
| Indiana | 7,460 | 9,490 | 8,980 | 3,014,499 | 3,020,287 | 3,000,784 | 0.25 | 0.31 | 0.30 |
| lowa | 4,030 | 4,280 | 4,450 | 1,555,837 | 1,569,541 | 1,548,215 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.29 |
| Kansas | 3,720 | 3,890 | 4,050 | 1,329,797 | 1,348,506 | 1,366,061 | 0.28 | 0.29 | 0.30 |
| Kentucky. | 3,980 | 4,380 | 4,740 | 1,809,785 | 1,854,296 | 1,856,204 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.26 |
| Louisiana. | 5,210 | 5,000 | 5,180 | 1,890,102 | 1,921,056 | 1,914,550 | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.27 |
| Maine | 2,140 | 1,940 | 2,000 | 624,410 | 649,955 | 659,579 | 0.34 | 0.30 | 0.30 |
| Maryland | 20,660 | 22,090 | 27,050 | 2,646,200 | 2,719,498 | 2,751,455 | 0.78 | 0.81 | 0.98 |
| Massachusetts | 22,960 | 28,390 | 28,950 | 3,158,851 | 3,274,561 | 3,215,624 | 0.73 | 0.87 | 0.90 |
| Michigan . | 14,750 | 16,940 | 16,280 | 4,748,691 | 4,864,600 | 4,695,148 | 0.31 | 0.35 | 0.35 |
| Minnesota | 9,660 | 11,070 | 10,770 | 2,605,673 | 2,764,353 | 2,786,091 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.39 |
| Mississippi. | 2,970 | 3,120 | 3,080 | 1,200,845 | 1,229,964 | 1,237,198 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| Missouri | 9,300 | 8,860 | 8,730 | 2,780,185 | 2,856,402 | 2,845,802 | 0.33 | 0.31 | 0.31 |
| Montana.. | 1,580 | 1,330 | 1,660 | 427,504 | 447,213 | 452,493 | 0.37 | 0.30 | 0.37 |
| Nebraska.. | 2,930 | 2,840 | 2,730 | 904,492 | 926,926 | 936,736 | 0.32 | 0.31 | 0.29 |
| Nevada.. | 1,620 | 2,010 | 1,820 | 895,258 | 1,043,911 | 1,089,709 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.17 |
| New Hampshire | 2,190 | 2,320 | 2,710 | 635,469 | 680,587 | 685,366 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.40 |
| New J ersey .................... | 19,970 | 22,130 | 21,900 | 4,031,022 | 4,111,546 | 4,115,123 | 0.50 | 0.54 | 0.53 |
| New Mexico.. | 7,120 | 7,370 | 7,640 | 768,596 | 819,413 | 840,858 | 0.93 | 0.90 | 0.91 |
| New York.. | 38,830 | 42,570 | 40,510 | 8,416,544 | 8,729,849 | 8,705,319 | 0.46 | 0.49 | 0.47 |
| North Carolina. | 13,470 | 16,250 | 17,130 | 3,809,601 | 3,948,692 | 3,957,077 | 0.35 | 0.41 | 0.43 |
| North Dakota . | 1,330 | 1,080 | 1,110 | 335,854 | 336,939 | 338,809 | 0.40 | 0.32 | 0.33 |
| Ohio ... | 18,200 | 19,270 | 20,130 | 5,448,161 | 5,570,389 | 5,506,038 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.37 |
| Oklahoma. | 4,430 | 4,110 | 4,160 | 1,543,105 | 1,615,033 | 1,614,418 | 0.29 | 0.25 | 0.26 |
| Oregon......................... | 5,980 | 6,900 | 7,280 | 1,652,997 | 1,708,957 | 1,701,577 | 0.36 | 0.40 | 0.43 |
| Pennsylvania .................. | 23,110 | 25,520 | 26,900 | 5,775,178 | 5,870,495 | 5,835,076 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.46 |
| Rhode Island. | 2,400 | 2,600 | 3,060 | 504,147 | 520,008 | 537,873 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.57 |
| South Carolina | 4,620 | 5,030 | 4,810 | 1,819,508 | 1,850,436 | 1,878,397 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.26 |
| South Dakota. | 1,000 | 970 | 940 | 383,216 | 400,574 | 408,805 | 0.26 | 0.24 | 0.23 |
| Tennessee...................... | 8,350 | 8,570 | 8,680 | 2,640,005 | 2,728,496 | 2,742,225 | 0.32 | 0.31 | 0.32 |
| Texas. | 27,990 | 31,710 | 32,430 | 9,395,279 | 10,003,723 | 10,195,950 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.32 |
| Utah | 4,670 | 4,720 | 4,160 | 1,034,429 | 1,103,028 | 1,121,088 | 0.45 | 0.43 | 0.37 |
| Vermont. | 1,750 | 1,630 | 1,660 | 315,806 | 329,460 | 335,823 | 0.55 | 0.49 | 0.49 |
| Virginia | 14,860 | 16,880 | 20,890 | 3,323,266 | 3,524,335 | 3,612,229 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.58 |
| Washington.. | 12,860 | 14,270 | 14,960 | 2,822,223 | 2,861,417 | 2,926,836 | 0.46 | 0.50 | 0.51 |
| West Virginia ................... | 1,930 | 1,840 | 2,040 | 746,442 | 762,107 | 747,637 | 0.26 | 0.24 | 0.27 |
| Wisconsin ...................... | 8,320 | 8,290 | 8,060 | 2,855,830 | 2,898,949 | 2,896,670 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.28 |
| Wyoming.......... | 810 | 840 | 670 | 243,944 | 259,750 | 265,200 | 0.33 | 0.32 | 0.25 |
| Puerto Rico........................ | 650 | 1,400 | 1,610 | 1,132,658 | 1,133,988 | 1,200,322 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.13 |

NOTES: Survey of Doctorate Recipients sample design does not include geography. Data on S\&E doctorate holders are classified by employment location, and workforce data are based on respondents' residence. Thus, the reliability of data for areas with smaller populations is lower than for more populous states. Workforce represents employed component of civilian labor force and is reported as annual data, not seasonally adjusted.
SOURCES: National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, Survey of Doctorate Recipients; and U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Local Area Unemployment Statistics.
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## Engineers as Share of Workforce

Figure 8-23
Engineers as share of workforce: 2003


SOURCES: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Occupational Employment and Wage Estimates; and Local Area Unemployment Statistics. See table 8-23.

## Findings

- In the United States, 1.4 million individuals, or $1.0 \%$ of the workforce, were employed in engineering occupations in 2003.
- The concentration of engineers in individual states ranged from $0.45 \%$ to $1.54 \%$ in 2003.
- The District of Columbia was an outlier at $3.09 \%$, reflecting the number of engineers who work there but live in neighboring states.
- States in the top quartile for this indicator tended to have a relatively high concentration of high-technology businesses.

This indicator shows the extent to which a state's workforce includes trained engineers. The indicator encompasses 20 standard occupational codes for engineering fields such as aerospace, agricultural, biomedical, chemical, civil, computer hardware, electrical and electronics, environmental, industrial, marine and naval architectural, materials, mechanical, mining and geological, nuclear, and petroleum. Engineers design and operate production processes and create new products and services.

The location of engineering occupations primarily reflects where the individuals work and is based on estimates from the Occupational Employment Statistics survey, a cooperative program between the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) and state employment security agencies. The size of a state's civilian workforce is estimated from the BLS Current Population Survey, which assigns workers to a location based on residence. Because of this difference and the sample-based nature of the data, estimates for sparsely populated states and the District of Columbia may be imprecise.

Table 8-23
Engineers as share of workforce, by state: 2003

| State | Engineers | Employed workforce | Engineers in workforce (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States.. | 1,359,120 | 137,406,413 | 0.99 |
| Alabama. | 20,950 | 2,009,039 | 1.04 |
| Alaska | 3,080 | 305,063 | 1.01 |
| Arizona......................... | 30,410 | 2,553,169 | 1.19 |
| Arkansas . | 5,380 | 1,204,539 | 0.45 |
| California. | 212,610 | 16,223,451 | 1.31 |
| Colorado ...................... | 34,020 | 2,325,210 | 1.46 |
| Connecticut | 24,770 | 1,706,170 | 1.45 |
| Delaware.. | 3,050 | 403,759 | 0.76 |
| District of Columbia ......... | 8,540 | 276,595 | 3.09 |
| Florida. | 58,270 | 7,763,860 | 0.75 |
| Georgia ......................... | 30,040 | 4,134,525 | 0.73 |
| Hawaii .......................... | 3,970 | 588,637 | 0.67 |
| Idaho ............................ | 3,680 | 654,222 | 0.56 |
| Illinois ............................ | 57,780 | 5,934,131 | 0.97 |
| Indiana | 29,650 | 3,000,784 | 0.99 |
| lowa | 9,520 | 1,548,215 | 0.61 |
| Kansas | 12,540 | 1,366,061 | 0.92 |
| Kentucky. | 11,940 | 1,856,204 | 0.64 |
| Louisiana... | 15,350 | 1,914,550 | 0.80 |
| Maine . | 4,160 | 659,579 | 0.63 |
| Maryland | 33,550 | 2,751,455 | 1.22 |
| Massachusetts............... | 49,440 | 3,215,624 | 1.54 |
| Michigan ...................... | 55,090 | 4,695,148 | 1.17 |
| Minnesota ..................... | 29,490 | 2,786,091 | 1.06 |
| Mississippi... | 6,410 | 1,237,198 | 0.52 |
| Missouri ........................ | 19,960 | 2,845,802 | 0.70 |
| Montana.. | 2,600 | 452,493 | 0.57 |
| Nebraska.... | 5,840 | 936,736 | 0.62 |
| Nevada.. | 6,070 | 1,089,709 | 0.56 |
| New Hampshire .............. | 7,430 | 685,366 | 1.08 |
| New J ersey .................... | 35,690 | 4,115,123 | 0.87 |
| New Mexico ................... | 11,030 | 840,858 | 1.31 |
| New York....................... | 62,720 | 8,705,319 | 0.72 |
| North Carolina................. | 28,880 | 3,957,077 | 0.73 |
| North Dakota ................. | 1,800 | 338,809 | 0.53 |
| Ohio ............................. | 60,890 | 5,506,038 | 1.11 |
| Oklahoma...................... | 12,810 | 1,614,418 | 0.79 |
| Oregon......................... | 14,550 | 1,701,577 | 0.86 |
| Pennsylvania.................. | 51,840 | 5,835,076 | 0.89 |
| Rhode Island.................. | 5,000 | 537,873 | 0.93 |
| South Carolina ................ | 19,880 | 1,878,397 | 1.06 |
| South Dakota ................. | 1,850 | 408,805 | 0.45 |
| Tennessee ...................... | 20,770 | 2,742,225 | 0.76 |
| Texas ............................ | 107,810 | 10,195,950 | 1.06 |
| Utah ............................. | 10,350 | 1,121,088 | 0.92 |
| Vermont......................... | 1,620 | 335,823 | 0.48 |
| Virginia .......................... | 46,100 | 3,612,229 | 1.28 |
| Washington.................... | 34,850 | 2,926,836 | 1.19 |
| West Virginia .................. | 4,610 | 747,637 | 0.62 |
| Wisconsin ...................... | 28,600 | 2,896,670 | 0.99 |
| Wyoming....................... | 1,880 | 265,200 | 0.71 |
| Puerto Rico........................ | 7,150 | 1,200,322 | 0.60 |

NOTE: Workforce represents employed component of civilian labor force and is reported as annual data, not seasonally adjusted.

SOURCES: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Occupational Employment and Wage Estimates; and Local Area Unemployment Statistics.

## Life and Physical Scientists as Share of Workforce

Figure 8-24
Life and physical scientists as share of workforce: 2003


| 1st quartile (1.88\%-0.43\%) | 2nd quartile (0.42\%-0.34\%) | 3rd quartile (0.33\%-0.26\%) | 4th quartile (0.25\%-0.14\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | California | Alabama | Arizona |
| Colorado | Minnesota | Connecticut | Arkansas |
| Delaware | Nebraska | Georgia | Florida |
| District of Columbia | New Mexico | Hawaii | Indiana |
| Idaho | New York | Illinois | lowa |
| Maryland | North Dakota | Kansas | Kentucky |
| Massachusetts | Oregon | Louisiana | Michigan |
| Montana | South Dakota | Maine | Nevada |
| New J ersey | Texas | Mississippi | New Hampshire |
| North Carolina | Virginia | Missouri | Oklahoma |
| Pennsylvania | West Virginia | Ohio | South Carolina |
| Utah | Wisconsin | Rhode Island | Vermont |
| Washington |  | Tennessee |  |
| Wyoming |  |  |  |

SOURCES: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Occupational Employment and Wage Estimates; and Local Area Unemployment Statistics. See table 8-24.

## Findings

- Nearly 500,000 individuals, or $0.36 \%$ of the workforce, were employed as life and physical scientists in the United States in 2003.
- In 2003, individual states had indicator values ranging from $0.14 \%$ to $0.92 \%$, which showed major differences in the concentration of jobs in the life and physical sciences.
- The District of Columbia was an outlier at $1.88 \%$, reflecting the number of individuals who work there but live in neighboring states.

This indicator shows a state's ability to attract and retain life and physical scientists. Life scientists are identified from nine standard occupational codes that include agricultural and food scientists, biological scientists, conservation scientists and foresters, and medical scientists. Physical scientists are identified from 16 standard occupational codes that include astronomers, physicists, atmospheric and space scientists, chemists, materials scientists, environmental scientists, geoscientists, and postsecondary teachers in these subject areas. A high share of life and physical scientists could indicate several scenarios ranging from a robust cluster of life science companies to a high percentage of acreage in forests or national parks. The latter requires foresters, wildlife
specialists, and conservationists to manage the natural assets in an area with low population density.

The location of life and physical scientists reflects where the individuals work and is based on estimates from the Occupational Employment Statistics survey, a cooperative program between the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) and state employment security agencies. The size of a state's civilian workforce is estimated from the BLS Current Population Survey, which assigns workers to a location based on residence. Because of this difference and the sample-based nature of the data, estimates for sparsely populated states and the District of Columbia may be imprecise.

Table 8-24
Life and physical scientists as share of workforce, by state: 2003

| State | Life and physical scientists | Employed workforce | Life and physical scientists in workforce (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States .................. | 490,850 | 137,406,413 | 0.36 |
| Alabama..................... | 5,170 | 2,009,039 | 0.26 |
| Alaska ........................ | 2,800 | 305,063 | 0.92 |
| Arizona. | 5,580 | 2,553,169 | 0.22 |
| Arkansas ..................... | 2,700 | 1,204,539 | 0.22 |
| C alifornia..................... | 64,390 | 16,223,451 | 0.40 |
| Colorado ..................... | 11,710 | 2,325,210 | 0.50 |
| Connecticut ................ | 5,670 | 1,706,170 | 0.33 |
| Delaware .................... | 2,020 | 403,759 | 0.50 |
| District of Columbia ...... | 5,210 | 276,595 | 1.88 |
| Florida. | 19,440 | 7,763,860 | 0.25 |
| Georgia ...................... | 11,410 | 4,134,525 | 0.28 |
| Hawaii ........................ | 1,790 | 588,637 | 0.30 |
| Idaho .......................... | 3,100 | 654,222 | 0.47 |
| Illinois ......................... | 18,300 | 5,934,131 | 0.31 |
| Indiana ....................... | 4,070 | 3,000,784 | 0.14 |
| Iowa | 3,130 | 1,548,215 | 0.20 |
| Kansas ....................... | 3,910 | 1,366,061 | 0.29 |
| Kentucky... | 2,660 | 1,856,204 | 0.14 |
| Louisiana.................... | 5,540 | 1,914,550 | 0.29 |
| Maine . | 1,830 | 659,579 | 0.28 |
| Maryland | 17,910 | 2,751,455 | 0.65 |
| Massachusetts............. | 20,380 | 3,215,624 | 0.63 |
| Michigan ..................... | 9,390 | 4,695,148 | 0.20 |
| Minnesota ................... | 11,200 | 2,786,091 | 0.40 |
| Mississippi .................. | 3,650 | 1,237,198 | 0.30 |
| Missouri ..................... | 9,240 | 2,845,802 | 0.32 |
| Montana..................... | 2,790 | 452,493 | 0.62 |
| Nebraska.................... | 3,920 | 936,736 | 0.42 |
| Nevada.. | 2,510 | 1,089,709 | 0.23 |
| New Hampshire ............ | 1,480 | 685,366 | 0.22 |
| New J ersey ................. | 17,530 | 4,115,123 | 0.43 |
| New Mexico ................ | 3,200 | 840,858 | 0.38 |
| New York.. | 30,330 | 8,705,319 | 0.35 |
| North Carolina.............. | 17,770 | 3,957,077 | 0.45 |
| North Dakota ............... | 1,420 | 338,809 | 0.42 |
| Ohio ........................... | 15,100 | 5,506,038 | 0.27 |
| Oklahoma.................... | 3,350 | 1,614,418 | 0.21 |
| Oregon.... | 5,870 | 1,701,577 | 0.34 |
| Pennsylvania................ | 25,080 | 5,835,076 | 0.43 |
| Rhode Island. | 1,580 | 537,873 | 0.29 |
| South Carolina ............. | 4,610 | 1,878,397 | 0.25 |
| South Dakota ............... | 1,420 | 408,805 | 0.35 |
| Tennessee ................... | 7,130 | 2,742,225 | 0.26 |
| Texas......................... | 42,440 | 10,195,950 | 0.42 |
| Utah ........................... | 5,060 | 1,121,088 | 0.45 |
| Vermont...................... | 850 | 335,823 | 0.25 |
| Virginia ....................... | 13,030 | 3,612,229 | 0.36 |
| Washington ................. | 16,940 | 2,926,836 | 0.58 |
| West Virginia ................ | 2,510 | 747,637 | 0.34 |
| Wisconsin ................... | 11,220 | 2,896,670 | 0.39 |
| Wyoming ..................... | 1,510 | 265,200 | 0.57 |
| Puerto Rico..................... | 4,440 | 1,200,322 | 0.37 |

NOTE: Workforce represents employed component of civilian labor force and is reported as annual data, not seasonally adjusted.
SOURCES: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Occupational Employment and Wage Estimates; and Local Area Unemployment Statistics.

Science and Engineering Indicators 2006

## Computer Specialists as Share of Workforce

Figure 8-25
Computer specialists as share of workforce: 2003


| 1st quartile (9.61\%-2.10\%) | 2nd quartile (2.04\%-1.67\%) | 3rd quartile (1.53\%-1.20\%) | 4th quartile (1.18\%-0.63\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| California | Arizona | Alabama | Alaska |
| Colorado | Florida | Hawaii | Arkansas |
| Connecticut | Illinois | Indiana | Idaho |
| Delaware | Missouri | Iowa | Louisiana |
| District of Columbia | Nebraska | Kansas | Maine |
| Georgia | Kew Hampshire | Michigan | Mississippi |
| Maryland | New York | New Mexico | Montana |
| Massachusetts | North Carolina | Oklahoma | Nevada |
| Minnesota | Ohio | South Dakota | North Dakota |
| New J ersey | Oregon | Tennessee | South Carolina |
| Utah | Pennsylvania | Wisconsin | West Virginia |
| Virginia | Rhode Island | Wexas |  |
| Washington |  |  | Wyoming |
| SOURCES: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Occupational |  |  |  |
| Statistics. See tableyment and Wage Estimates; and Local Area Unemployment |  |  |  |

## Findings

- In the United States, 2.7 million individuals, or $2.0 \%$ of the workforce, were employed as computer specialists in 2003.
- Individual states showed significant differences in the intensity of computerrelated operations in their economies, with $0.63 \%$ to $3.94 \%$ of their workforce employed in computer-related occupations in 2003.
- There was a significant concentration of computer-intensive occupations in the District of Columbia, where the indicator value of $9.61 \%$ was affected by the large number of individuals who specialize in computer work there but live in neighboring states.

This indicator shows the extent to which a state's workforce makes use of specialists with advanced computer training. Computer specialists are identified from 10 standard occupational codes that include computer and information scientists, programmers, software engineers, support specialists, systems analysts, database administrators, and network and computer system administrators. States with higher values may indicate a state workforce that is better able to thrive in an information economy or to embrace and utilize computer technology.

The location of computer specialists reflects where the individuals work and is based on estimates from the Occupational Employment Statistics survey, a cooperative program between the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) and state employment security agencies. The size of a state's civilian workforce is estimated from the BLS Current Population Survey, which assigns workers to a location based on residence. Because of this difference and the sample-based nature of the data, estimates for sparsely populated states and the District of Columbia may be imprecise.

Table 8-25
Computer specialists as share of workforce, by state: 2003

| State | Computer specialists | Employed workforce | Computer specialists in workforce (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States..................... | 2,688,080 | 137,406,413 | 1.96 |
| Alabama........................ | 28,010 | 2,009,039 | 1.39 |
| Alaska ........................... | 3,170 | 305,063 | 1.04 |
| Arizona......................... | 45,020 | 2,553,169 | 1.76 |
| Arkansas ....................... | 11,770 | 1,204,539 | 0.98 |
| California....................... | 361,640 | 16,223,451 | 2.23 |
| Colorado ....................... | 73,490 | 2,325,210 | 3.16 |
| Connecticut ................... | 42,600 | 1,706,170 | 2.50 |
| Delaware. | 8,930 | 403,759 | 2.21 |
| District of Columbia ......... | 26,590 | 276,595 | 9.61 |
| Florida .......................... | 132,520 | 7,763,860 | 1.71 |
| Georgia ......................... | 86,970 | 4,134,525 | 2.10 |
| Hawaii .......................... | 7,170 | 588,637 | 1.22 |
| Idaho ............................ | 7,720 | 654,222 | 1.18 |
| Illinois ........................... | 120,840 | 5,934,131 | 2.04 |
| Indiana .......................... | 36,440 | 3,000,784 | 1.21 |
| lowa ............................. | 20,640 | 1,548,215 | 1.33 |
| Kansas .......................... | 19,980 | 1,366,061 | 1.46 |
| Kentucky ....................... | 24,370 | 1,856,204 | 1.31 |
| Louisiana....................... | 18,190 | 1,914,550 | 0.95 |
| Maine ........................... | 6,730 | 659,579 | 1.02 |
| Maryland | 87,350 | 2,751,455 | 3.17 |
| Massachusetts............... | 102,180 | 3,215,624 | 3.18 |
| Michigan ..................... | 71,830 | 4,695,148 | 1.53 |
| Minnesota ..................... | 67,110 | 2,786,091 | 2.41 |
| Mississippi.................... | 8,200 | 1,237,198 | 0.66 |
| Missouri ........................ | 55,730 | 2,845,802 | 1.96 |
| Montana....................... | 4,790 | 452,493 | 1.06 |
| Nebraska.. | 15,960 | 936,736 | 1.70 |
| Nevada. | 10,490 | 1,089,709 | 0.96 |
| New Hampshire | 12,780 | 685,366 | 1.86 |
| New J ersey .................... | 109,960 | 4,115,123 | 2.67 |
| New Mexico................... | 11,380 | 840,858 | 1.35 |
| New York....................... | 167,790 | 8,705,319 | 1.93 |
| North Carolina................ | 68,320 | 3,957,077 | 1.73 |
| North Dakota .................. | 3,050 | 338,809 | 0.90 |
| Ohio ............................. | 92,040 | 5,506,038 | 1.67 |
| Oklahoma...................... | 21,600 | 1,614,418 | 1.34 |
| Oregon......................... | 31,430 | 1,701,577 | 1.85 |
| Pennsylvania.................. | 98,860 | 5,835,076 | 1.69 |
| Rhode Island.................. | 9,190 | 537,873 | 1.71 |
| South Carolina ................ | 19,560 | 1,878,397 | 1.04 |
| South Dakota ................. | 4,910 | 408,805 | 1.20 |
| Tennessee...................... | 35,700 | 2,742,225 | 1.30 |
| Texas ............................. | 197,310 | 10,195,950 | 1.94 |
| Utah .. | 25,930 | 1,121,088 | 2.31 |
| Vermont......................... | 5,080 | 335,823 | 1.51 |
| Virginia .......................... | 142,270 | 3,612,229 | 3.94 |
| Washington .................... | 79,320 | 2,926,836 | 2.71 |
| West Virginia .................. | 6,960 | 747,637 | 0.93 |
| Wisconsin ..................... | 36,530 | 2,896,670 | 1.26 |
| Wyoming....................... | 1,680 | 265,200 | 0.63 |
| Puerto Rico........................ | 7,070 | 1,200,322 | 0.59 |

NOTE: Workforce represents employed component of civilian labor force and is reported as annual data, not seasonally adjusted.
SOURCES: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Occupational Employment and Wage Estimates; and Local Area Unemployment Statistics.

## R\&D as Share of Gross State Product

Figure 8-26
R\&D as share of gross state product: 2002


| 1st quartile (8.76\%-2.80\%) | 2nd quartile (2.62\%-1.88\%) | 3rd quartile (1.85\%-1.09\%) | 4th quartile (1.06\%-0.39\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| California | Arizona | Alabama | Alaska |
| Connecticut | Colorado | Georgia | Arkansas |
| Delaware | Illinois | lowa | Florida |
| District of Columbia | Indiana | Maine | Hawaii |
| Idaho | Kansas | Missouri | Kentucky |
| Maryland | Minnesota | New York | Louisiana |
| Massachusetts | Ohio | North Carolina | Mississippi |
| Michigan | Oregon | North Dakota | Montana |
| New Hampshire | Pennsylvania | South Carolina | Nevada |
| New Jersey | Tennessee | Oklahoma |  |
| New Mexico | Texas | South Dakota |  |
| Rhode Island | Vermont | West Virginia | Wyoming |
| Washington | Virginia | Wisconsin |  |
| SOURCES:National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, National Patterns of R\&D Resources; and U.S. Department of |  |  |  |
| Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Gross State Product data. See table 8-26. |  |  |  |

## Findings

- The national value of this indicator has not changed significantly over the past decade, varying from $2.48 \%$ in 1993 to 2.46\% in 2002.
- In 2002, state values for this indicator ranged from $0.39 \%$ to $8.76 \%$, indicating large differences in the geographic concentration of R\&D.
- New Mexico is an outlier on this indicator because of the presence of large federal $R \& D$ activities and a relatively small GSP.
- States with high rankings on this indicator also tended to rank high on S\&E doctorate holders as a share of the workforce.

This indicator shows the extent to which research and development play a role in a state's economy. A high value indicates that the state has a high intensity of R\&D activity, which may support future growth in knowledge-based industries. Industries that have a high percentage of R\&D activity include pharmaceuticals, chemicals, computer equipment and services, electronic components, aerospace, and motor vehicles. R\&D refers to R\&D activities performed by federal agencies, industry, universities, and other nonprofit organizations. At the national level in

2002, industry performed roughly $71 \%$ of total R\&D, followed by colleges and universities at $14 \%$ and government facilities, including federally funded R\&D centers, at $13 \%$. Data for the value of gross state product (GSP) and for R\&D expenditures are shown in current dollars.

The methodology for assigning R\&D activity at the state level was modified in 2001, and data back to 1998 were recalculated using the new methodology. State-level R\&D data from years before 1998 are not comparable.

Table 8-26
R\&D as share of gross state product, by state: 1998, 2000, and 2002

|  | R\&D performed (\$ thousands) |  |  | GSP (\$ millions) |  |  | R\&D performed/GSP (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | 1998 | 2000 | 2002 | 1998 | 2000 | 2002 | 1998 | 2000 | 2002 |
| United States | 161,560,028 | 214,751,949 | 255,707,431 | 6,513,028 | 8,679,660 | 10,407,146 | 2.48 | 2.47 | 2.46 |
| Alabama | 1,967,533 | 1,926,127 | 2,323,165 | 84,497 | 107,825 | 125,567 | 2.33 | 1.79 | 1.85 |
| Alaska | 129,211 | NA | 307,812 | 23,014 | 22,942 | 29,708 | 0.56 | NA | 1.04 |
| Arizona | 1,607,378 | 2,317,552 | 4,096,021 | 85,483 | 137,457 | 171,781 | 1.88 | 1.69 | 2.38 |
| Arkansas | 301,143 | 283,161 | 427,127 | 47,188 | 61,759 | 71,929 | 0.64 | 0.46 | 0.59 |
| California | 33,721,294 | 43,919,295 | 51,388,310 | 847,879 | 1,090,979 | 1,367,785 | 3.98 | 4.03 | 3.76 |
| Colorado | 2,864,058 | 4,565,357 | 4,217,633 | 93,588 | 142,701 | 179,410 | 3.06 | 3.20 | 2.35 |
| Connecticut | 2,808,827 | 3,558,775 | 6,774,167 | 107,924 | 143,232 | 165,744 | 2.60 | 2.48 | 4.09 |
| Delaware | 1,248,672 | 2,555,543 | 1,318,622 | 23,827 | 36,993 | 47,150 | 5.24 | 6.91 | 2.80 |
| District of Colum | 2,543,172 | 2,606,128 | 2,705,839 | 46,596 | 51,364 | 66,440 | 5.46 | 5.07 | 4.07 |
| Florida | 3,525,284 | 4,773,060 | 5,497,618 | 305,036 | 416,598 | 520,500 | 1.16 | 1.15 | 1.06 |
| Georgia | 1,577,360 | 2,491,906 | 3,934,608 | 172,220 | 254,453 | 305,829 | 0.92 | 0.98 | 1.29 |
| Hawaii | 380,150 | 241,560 | 455,679 | 36,308 | 37,568 | 43,998 | 1.05 | 0.64 | 1.04 |
| Idaho | 477,563 | 1,126,774 | 1,370,496 | 22,758 | 29,895 | 38,558 | 2.10 | 3.77 | 3.55 |
| Illinois | 6,777,207 | 8,830,457 | 10,190,059 | 317,248 | 425,049 | 486,139 | 2.14 | 2.08 | 2.10 |
| Indiana | 2,560,252 | 3,088,634 | 4,326,337 | 131,485 | 179,458 | 204,946 | 1.95 | 1.72 | 2.11 |
| Iowa | 902,050 | 1,053,690 | 1,346,336 | 62,764 | 84,499 | 98,232 | 1.44 | 1.25 | 1.37 |
| Kansas | 463,570 | 1,518,063 | 1,865,261 | 58,380 | 76,220 | 89,508 | 0.79 | 1.99 | 2.08 |
| Kentucky. | 428,684 | 645,079 | 1,128,308 | 80,882 | 110,731 | 122,282 | 0.53 | 0.58 | 0.92 |
| Louisiana. | 469,705 | 542,408 | 857,637 | 95,587 | 116,412 | 131,584 | 0.49 | 0.47 | 0.65 |
| M aine | 113,937 | 159,268 | 428,771 | 25,358 | 31,722 | 39,039 | 0.45 | 0.50 | 1.10 |
| Maryland | 7,530,401 | 8,018,944 | 9,030,106 | 126,442 | 161,485 | 201,879 | 5.96 | 4.97 | 4.47 |
| Massachusetts | 9,497,975 | 13,382,495 | 14,316,139 | 175,729 | 236,347 | 288,088 | 5.40 | 5.66 | 4.97 |
| Michigan | 10,777,535 | 13,655,250 | 15,082,389 | 222,886 | 310,004 | 351,287 | 4.84 | 4.40 | 4.29 |
| Minnesota | 2,922,121 | 3,817,731 | 5,247,399 | 115,420 | 166,146 | 200,061 | 2.53 | 2.30 | 2.62 |
| Mississippi | 324,189 | 366,465 | 691,444 | 47,384 | 61,065 | 69,136 | 0.68 | 0.60 | 1.00 |
| M issouri | 1,788,896 | 1,867,905 | 2,478,355 | 119,680 | 162,666 | 187,543 | 1.49 | 1.15 | 1.32 |
| Montana | 90,438 | 190,675 | 236,144 | 16,151 | 20,004 | 23,773 | 0.56 | 0.95 | 0.99 |
| Nebraska | 294,531 | 314,645 | 663,135 | 38,665 | 52,152 | 60,962 | 0.76 | 0.60 | 1.09 |
| Nevada. | 218,503 | 570,509 | 524,417 | 39,929 | 63,826 | 81,182 | 0.55 | 0.89 | 0.65 |
| New Hampshire .......... | 438,620 | 1,339,951 | 1,435,074 | 27,507 | 38,818 | 46,448 | 1.59 | 3.45 | 3.09 |
| New J ersey | 9,180,997 | 11,368,389 | 13,020,435 | 246,727 | 314,604 | 380,169 | 3.72 | 3.61 | 3.42 |
| New Mexico | 2,751,608 | 3,031,678 | 4,689,090 | 37,110 | 45,972 | 53,515 | 7.41 | 6.59 | 8.76 |
| New York. | 10,973,876 | 13,730,588 | 13,354,226 | 551,161 | 679,189 | 792,058 | 1.99 | 2.02 | 1.69 |
| North Carolina | 2,745,087 | 4,559,996 | 5,135,001 | 168,830 | 241,095 | 300,216 | 1.63 | 1.89 | 1.71 |
| North Dakota | 91,534 | 119,450 | 294,630 | 12,855 | 17,268 | 19,780 | 0.71 | 0.69 | 1.49 |
| Ohio | 6,397,650 | 6,969,763 | 8,309,769 | 260,891 | 349,611 | 388,224 | 2.45 | 1.99 | 2.14 |
| Oklahoma | 533,398 | 512,899 | 793,412 | 65,035 | 80,141 | 95,126 | 0.82 | 0.64 | 0.83 |
| Oregon | 773,855 | 1,910,443 | 2,891,509 | 69,810 | 101,092 | 115,138 | 1.11 | 1.89 | 2.51 |
| Pennsylvania.............. | 8,277,907 | 8,761,617 | 9,763,237 | 288,154 | 365,343 | 428,950 | 2.87 | 2.40 | 2.28 |
| Rhode Island. | 484,236 | 1,677,063 | 1,638,666 | 23,627 | 29,620 | 36,988 | 2.05 | 5.66 | 4.43 |
| South Carolina | 713,450 | 989,452 | 1,668,245 | 75,955 | 103,422 | 122,354 | 0.94 | 0.96 | 1.36 |
| South Dakota | 58,634 | 59,766 | 110,632 | 16,261 | 20,721 | 25,003 | 0.36 | 0.29 | 0.44 |
| Tennessee. | 1,212,807 | 2,502,826 | 2,568,240 | 119,758 | 161,653 | 190,122 | 1.01 | 1.55 | 1.35 |
| Texas. | 6,965,939 | 10,774,067 | 14,222,536 | 452,649 | 628,415 | 773,455 | 1.54 | 1.71 | 1.84 |
| Utah | 751,165 | 1,494,808 | 1,571,691 | 38,395 | 59,996 | 72,974 | 1.96 | 2.49 | 2.15 |
| Vermont. | 342,809 | 175,486 | 398,291 | 13,154 | 16,014 | 19,604 | 2.61 | 1.10 | 2.03 |
| Virginia | 2,938,617 | 4,933,647 | 5,894,686 | 170,754 | 223,638 | 287,589 | 1.72 | 2.21 | 2.05 |
| Washington. | 5,421,959 | 8,465,553 | 10,511,415 | 138,225 | 194,566 | 232,940 | 3.92 | 4.35 | 4.51 |
| West Virginia .............. | 279,583 | 420,704 | 542,120 | 32,240 | 40,497 | 45,518 | 0.87 | 1.04 | 1.19 |
| Wisconsin ................. | 1,851,751 | 2,501,029 | 3,585,099 | 119,508 | 161,261 | 190,650 | 1.55 | 1.55 | 1.88 |
| Wyoming................... | 62,907 | 65,318 | 80,093 | 14,114 | 15,172 | 20,285 | 0.45 | 0.43 | 0.39 |
| Puerto Rico................... | NA | NA | NA | 36,923 | 54,086 | 71,306 | NA | NA | NA |

NA = not available
GSP = gross state product
NOTES: Total R\&D includes R\&D performed by federal agencies, industry, universities, and other nonprofit organizations. Total R\&D and GSP are reported in current dollars.
SOURCES: National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, National Patterns of R\&D Resources, various years; U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Gross State Product data; and Government of Puerto Rico, Office of the Governor.

## Federal R\&D Obligations per Civilian Worker

Figure 8-27
Federal R\&D obligations per civilian worker: 2002


| 1st quartile $\mathbf{( \$ 1 0 , 1 6 6 - \$ 6 9 4 )}$ | 2nd quartile $\mathbf{( \$ 6 4 2 - \$ 3 6 9 )}$ | 3rd quartile $\mathbf{( \$ 3 5 7 - \mathbf { \$ 2 5 2 } )}$ | 4th quartile (\$227-\$117) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alabama | Georgia | Florida | Arkansas |
| Alaska | Hawaii | Idaho | Delaware |
| Arizona | Maine | Ilinois | Indiana |
| Clifornia | Minnesota | Iowa | Kansas |
| Colorado | Mishigan | Kentucky |  |
| Connecticut | Mississippi | Montana | Louisiana |
| District of Columbia | New Hampshire | Nevada | Nebraska |
| Maryland | New Jersey | North Carolina | Oklahoma |
| Massachusetts | New York | Oregon | South Carolina |
| New Mexico | Ohio | Tennessee | South Dakota |
| Rhode Island | Pennsylvania | Texas | Wisconsin |
| Virginia | West Virginia | Wyoming |  |

SOURCES: National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, Federal Funds for Research and Development; and U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Local Area Unemployment Statistics. See table 8-27.

## Findings

- Federal R\&D obligations rose from $\$ 64$ billion in 1992 to $\$ 84$ billion in 2002, an increase of $31 \%$
- The increase in federal R\&D obligations (unadjusted for inflation) was greater than the increase in the civilian workforce, and the value of this indicator rose from \$536 per worker in 1992 to $\$ 612$ per worker in 2002.
- Federal R\&D obligations in 2002 varied greatly among the states, ranging from $\$ 117$ to \$3,318 per worker. Higher values were found in the states surrounding the District of Columbia and in sparsely populated states with national laboratories.
- The District of Columbia was an outlier with \$10,166 per worker, possibly because many federal employees work there but live in neighboring states.

This indicator shows how federal research and development funding is disbursed geographically relative to the size of states' civilian workforces. Because the Department of Defense is the primary source for federal R\&D obligations, much of this funding is used for development, but it also may provide direct and indirect benefits to a state's economy and may stimulate the conduct of basic research. A high value may indicate the existence of major federally funded R\&D facilities in the state.

Federal R\&D dollars are attributed to the states in which the recipients of federal obligations are located. The size of a state's civilian workforce is estimated based on the Bureau of Labor Statistics Current Population Survey, which assigns workers to a location based on residence. Because of these differences and the sample-based nature of the population data, estimates for sparsely populated states and the District of Columbia may be imprecise.

Table 8-27
Federal R\&D obligations per civilian worker, by state: 1992, 1997, and 2002

| State | Federal R\&D obligations (\$ millions) |  |  | Civilian workers |  |  | Federal R\&D obligations/ civilian worker (\$) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1992 | 1997 | 2002 | 1992 | 1997 | 2002 | 1992 | 1997 | 2002 |
| United States. | 63,818 | 68,363 | 83,629 | 118,984,370 | 130,988,267 | 136,716,756 | 536 | 522 | 612 |
| Alabama. | 2,152 | 2,214 | 2,705 | 1,809,337 | 2,035,156 | 1,996,920 | 1,189 | 1,088 | 1,354 |
| Alaska | 93 | 100 | 274 | 262,980 | 289,963 | 302,622 | 354 | 345 | 905 |
| Arizona. | 638 | 732 | 2,057 | 1,753,764 | 2,196,901 | 2,494,153 | 364 | 333 | 825 |
| Arkansas | 69 | 95 | 141 | 1,073,382 | 1,177,143 | 1,205,232 | 64 | 81 | 117 |
| California. | 15,999 | 13,731 | 15,686 | 13,874,246 | 14,780,791 | 16,165,052 | 1,153 | 929 | 970 |
| Colorado.. | 1,479 | 1,340 | 1,609 | 1,744,235 | 2,154,294 | 2,300,065 | 848 | 622 | 700 |
| Connecticut | 578 | 847 | 1,917 | 1,693,563 | 1,674,937 | 1,706,066 | 341 | 505 | 1,124 |
| Delaware. | 43 | 49 | 79 | 347,194 | 378,117 | 403,017 | 124 | 130 | 196 |
| District of Columbia ... | 2,185 | 2,232 | 2,850 | 290,103 | 262,789 | 280,302 | 7,532 | 8,495 | 10,166 |
| Florida.. | 2,832 | 3,326 | 2,301 | 6,133,417 | 7,040,660 | 7,615,730 | 462 | 472 | 302 |
| Georgia | 2,513 | 3,920 | 2,019 | 3,182,777 | 3,751,699 | 4,100,119 | 789 | 1,045 | 492 |
| Hawaii .......................... | 151 | 151 | 375 | 551,563 | 566,766 | 584,054 | 273 | 266 | 642 |
| Idaho. | 300 | 206 | 231 | 493,767 | 598,004 | 645,958 | 607 | 344 | 357 |
| Illinois. | 922 | 1,140 | 1,694 | 5,546,722 | 5,988,296 | 5,961,248 | 166 | 190 | 284 |
| Indiana | 367 | 410 | 526 | 2,703,403 | 3,014,499 | 2,989,544 | 136 | 136 | 176 |
| Iowa. | 195 | 228 | 405 | 1,441,414 | 1,555,837 | 1,573,701 | 135 | 147 | 257 |
| Kansas | 91 | 256 | 291 | 1,244,438 | 1,329,797 | 1,351,738 | 73 | 192 | 215 |
| Kentucky | 72 | 91 | 321 | 1,658,511 | 1,809,785 | 1,838,151 | 43 | 50 | 175 |
| Louisiana. | 170 | 211 | 432 | 1,787,541 | 1,890,102 | 1,902,957 | 95 | 112 | 227 |
| Maine . | 61 | 69 | 255 | 594,082 | 624,410 | 654,522 | 102 | 110 | 389 |
| Maryland | 5,780 | 7,329 | 7,192 | 2,484,910 | 2,646,200 | 2,735,130 | 2,326 | 2,770 | 2,630 |
| Massachusetts. | 3,228 | 3,438 | 4,659 | 2,899,718 | 3,158,851 | 3,247,094 | 1,113 | 1,088 | 1,435 |
| Michigan . | 876 | 735 | 1,244 | 4,234,783 | 4,748,691 | 4,724,036 | 207 | 155 | 263 |
| Minnesota | 456 | 609 | 1,151 | 2,341,011 | 2,605,673 | 2,767,058 | 195 | 234 | 416 |
| Mississippi. | 256 | 290 | 623 | 1,097,672 | 1,200,845 | 1,219,060 | 233 | 241 | 511 |
| Missouri .... | 734 | 1,130 | 1,203 | 2,502,779 | 2,780,185 | 2,837,544 | 293 | 406 | 424 |
| Montana. | 72 | 79 | 113 | 390,362 | 427,504 | 448,459 | 183 | 185 | 252 |
| Nebraska.. | 71 | 83 | 145 | 817,915 | 904,492 | 923,620 | 87 | 92 | 157 |
| Nevada. | 466 | 295 | 336 | 677,076 | 895,258 | 1,061,900 | 688 | 330 | 316 |
| New Hampshire | 156 | 279 | 297 | 568,909 | 635,469 | 681,509 | 274 | 439 | 435 |
| New J ersey .................... | 1,647 | 1,319 | 2,022 | 3,709,471 | 4,031,022 | 4,117,644 | 444 | 327 | 491 |
| New Mexico. | 2,211 | 1,933 | 2,746 | 680,463 | 768,596 | 827,533 | 3,250 | 2,515 | 3,318 |
| New York. | 3,059 | 2,471 | 3,747 | 7,979,726 | 8,416,544 | 8,732,103 | 383 | 294 | 429 |
| North Carolina. | 701 | 900 | 1,390 | 3,372,068 | 3,809,601 | 3,921,819 | 208 | 236 | 355 |
| North Dakota | 54 | 53 | 102 | 305,056 | 335,854 | 336,430 | 178 | 158 | 303 |
| Ohio | 1,863 | 1,880 | 2,103 | 5,072,649 | 5,448,161 | 5,500,016 | 367 | 345 | 382 |
| Oklahoma. | 126 | 160 | 272 | 1,432,081 | 1,543,105 | 1,612,228 | 88 | 104 | 168 |
| Oregon..... | 227 | 320 | 502 | 1,448,017 | 1,652,997 | 1,699,742 | 156 | 193 | 296 |
| Pennsylvania.................. | 1,794 | 1,894 | 3,162 | 5,455,450 | 5,775,178 | 5,897,438 | 329 | 328 | 536 |
| Rhode Island.................. | 386 | 404 | 501 | 483,329 | 504,147 | 527,991 | 799 | 801 | 949 |
| South Carolina ................ | 172 | 167 | 371 | 1,673,620 | 1,819,508 | 1,849,036 | 103 | 92 | 201 |
| South Dakota ................. | 24 | 42 | 59 | 345,996 | 383,216 | 404,090 | 69 | 110 | 145 |
| Tennessee ...................... | 666 | 566 | 961 | 2,316,661 | 2,640,005 | 2,733,702 | 287 | 214 | 352 |
| Texas... | 2,873 | 3,640 | 3,374 | 8,307,176 | 9,395,279 | 10,065,924 | 346 | 387 | 335 |
| Utah ... | 314 | 320 | 409 | 845,398 | 1,034,429 | 1,107,379 | 371 | 309 | 369 |
| Vermont. | 51 | 50 | 136 | 292,288 | 315,806 | 333,703 | 176 | 158 | 409 |
| Virginia .......................... | 3,231 | 4,850 | 5,756 | 3,146,997 | 3,323,266 | 3,560,462 | 1,027 | 1,459 | 1,617 |
| Washington ................... | 901 | 1,226 | 1,999 | 2,445,866 | 2,822,223 | 2,881,443 | 368 | 434 | 694 |
| West Virginia .. | 166 | 193 | 254 | 689,628 | 746,442 | 753,108 | 241 | 259 | 338 |
| Wisconsin ..................... | 308 | 332 | 595 | 2,556,294 | 2,855,830 | 2,877,047 | 120 | 116 | 207 |
| Wyoming ....................... | 41 | 28 | 40 | 224,562 | 243,944 | 261,357 | 184 | 116 | 152 |
| Puerto Rico....................... | NA | 59 | 135 | 991,960 | 1,132,658 | 1,169,760 | NA | 52 | 116 |

NA = not available
NOTES: Only the following 10 agencies were required to report federal R\&D obligations: Departments of Agriculture, Commerce, Defense, Energy, Health and Human Services, Interior, and Transportation; Environmental Protection Agency; National Aeronautics and Space Administration; and National Science Foundation. These obligations represent approximately $98 \%$ of total federal $\mathrm{R} \& \mathrm{D}$ obligations in FY 1992, 1997, and 2002. Civilian workers represent employed component of civilian labor force and are reported as annual data, not seasonally adjusted.

SOURCES: National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, Federal Funds for Research and Development, various years; and U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Local Area Unemployment Statistics.

## Federal R\&D Obligations per Individual in S\&E Occupation

Figure 8-28
Federal R\&D obligations per individual in S\&E occupation: 2002-03


| 1st quartile (\$81,729-\$22,333) | 2nd quartile (\$17,040-\$12,112) | 3rd quartile (\$11,944-\$8,019) | 4th quartile (\$7,612-\$4,537) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alabama | Colorado | Florida | Arkansas |
| Alaska | Georgia | Idaho | Delaware |
| Arizona | Illinois | Indiana |  |
| California | Maine | Lowa | Kansas |
| Connecticut | Missouri | Minnesona | Kentucky |
| District of Columbia | Nevada | Montana | Michigan |
| Hawaii | New Hampshire | North Carolina | Nebraska |
| Maryland | New J ersey | Ohio | Oklahoma |
| Massachusetts | New York | Oregon | South Carolina |
| Mississippi | North Dakota | Texas | South Dakota |
| New Mexico | Pennsylvania | Utah | Wisconsin |
| Rhode Island | Wermessee | Wyoming |  |

SOURCES: National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, Federal Funds for Research and Development; and U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Occupational Employment and Wage Estimates. See table 8-28.

## Findings

- The federal government obligated \$83.6 billion for R\&D in 2002, nearly $\$ 17,000$ for each person employed in an S\&E occupation.
- The state distribution of federal R\&D obligations per person employed in an S\&E occupation ranged from \$4,537 to \$81,729.
- The state distribution for this indicator was highly skewed, with only 14 states above the national average.
- High values occurred in the District of Columbia and adjoining states and in states where federal facilities or major defense contractors were located

This indicator demonstrates how federal research and development obligations are distributed geographically based on individuals with a bachelor's or higher degree who work in science and engineering occupations. These positions include mathematical, computer, life, physical, and social scientists; engineers; and postsecondary teachers in any of these fields. Positions such as managers and elementary and secondary schoolteachers are excluded. A high value may indicate the existence of major federally funded R\&D facilities or the presence of large defense or other federal contractors in the state.

Federal R\&D dollars are counted where they are obligated but may be expended in many locations. Data on people in S\&E occupations are sample based. For these reasons, estimates for sparsely populated states and the District of Columbia may be imprecise.

This indicator contains 2002 data in the numerator and 2003 data in the denominator, each representing the most recent data release. The 2003 numerator data are not scheduled for release before the time of printing, and the 2002 denominator data contain suppressed data.

Table 8-28
Federal R\&D obligations per individual in S\&E occupation, by state: 2002-03

|  | 2002 federal | 2003 individuals | 2002 federal $R \& D$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R\&D obligations | in S\&E | obligations/2003 individual |
| State | (\$ millions) | occupations | in $\& \& E$ occupation (\$) |


| United States | 83,629 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alabama. | 2,705 |
| Alaska ... | 274 |


| Arizona....................... | 2,057 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Arkansas ..................... | 141 |
| California... | 15,686 |
| Colorado | 1,609 |


| $4,961,550$ |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| 56,380 | 47,8 |
| 10,600 | 25,8 |
| 92,120 | 21,6 |

Industry-Performed R\&D as Share of Private-Industry Output
Figure 8-29
Industry-performed R\&D as share of private-industry output: 2003


## Findings

- The amount of R\&D performed by industry rose from $\$ 164$ billion in 1998 to $\$ 198$ billion in 2003, an increase of $21 \%$ (unadjusted for inflation).
- The value of this indicator for the United States has been variable over the past 5 years; starting at $2.14 \%$ in 1998, it rose to $2.23 \%$ in 2000 before declining to $2.06 \%$ in 2003.
- Industrial R\&D is concentrated in a few states-only 15 states had indicator values exceeding the national average in 2003.
- States with high values for this indicator were usually located on the West Coast or the northern half of the East Coast.

This indicator measures the emphasis that private industry places on research and development. Industrial R\&D focuses on projects that are expected to yield new or improved products, processes, or services and to bring direct benefits to the company. A high value for this indicator shows that the companies and industries within a state are making a significant investment in their R\&D activities.

Differences among states on this indicator should be interpreted with caution. Because industries differ in
their reliance on $R \& D$, the indicator reflects state differences in industrial structure as much as the behavior of individual companies. Furthermore, industrial R\&D data for states with small economies may be based on data imputed from previous years' survey results and imprecise estimates.

The methodology for making statelevel assignments of the industrial R\&D reported by companies with operations in multiple states changed in 1998. Industrial R\&D data from previous years are not comparable.

Table 8-29
Industry-performed R\&D as share of private-industry output, by state: 1998, 2000, and 2003

| State | Industry-performed R\&D (\$ millions) |  |  | Private-industry output (\$ millions) |  |  | Industry-performed R\&D/ private-industry output (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1998 | 2000 | 2003 | 1998 | 2000 | 2003 | 1998 | 2000 | 2003 |
| United Sates | 163,658 | 192,197 | 198,244 | 7,652,499 | 8,614,286 | 9,604,156 | 2.14 | 2.23 | 2.06 |
| Alabama. | 845 | 821 | 999 | 89,502 | 96,446 | 109,488 | 0.94 | 0.85 | 0.91 |
| Alaska | 37 | 48 | 36 | 18,237 | 22,381 | 25,436 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.14 |
| Arizona. | 1,801 | 2,182 | 2,605 | 120,035 | 138,624 | 160,429 | 1.50 | 1.57 | 1.62 |
| Arkansas | 213 | 400 | 270 | 53,761 | 57,763 | 64,871 | 0.40 | 0.69 | 0.42 |
| California. | 32,856 | 45,455 | 47,142 | 966,679 | 1,154,900 | 1,277,809 | 3.40 | 3.94 | 3.69 |
| Colorado. | 3,180 | 3,143 | 3,544 | 126,281 | 152,455 | 165,462 | 2.52 | 2.06 | 2.14 |
| Connecticut | 3,346 | 4,132 | 5,834 | 132,902 | 146,985 | 158,610 | 2.52 | 2.81 | 3.68 |
| Delaware | 1,356 | 1,468 | 1,298 | 33,754 | 38,804 | 46,257 | 4.02 | 3.78 | 2.81 |
| District of Columbia | 598 | 196 | 235 | 32,759 | 38,167 | 45,698 | 1.83 | 0.51 | 0.51 |
| Florida. | 3,265 | 3,773 | 3,181 | 364,872 | 412,849 | 487,364 | 0.89 | 0.91 | 0.65 |
| Georgia | 1,617 | 2,159 | 2,108 | 224,738 | 256,521 | 279,185 | 0.72 | 0.84 | 0.76 |
| Hawaii. . | 55 | 93 | 133 | 29,267 | 31,480 | 36,088 | 0.19 | 0.30 | 0.37 |
| Idaho... | 1,103 | 1,363 | 745 | 25,577 | 30,379 | 34,716 | 4.31 | 4.49 | 2.15 |
| Illinois. | 7,318 | 8,393 | 8,319 | 384,210 | 420,225 | 450,635 | 1.90 | 2.00 | 1.85 |
| Indiana | 2,922 | 2,888 | 3,658 | 161,609 | 175,724 | 192,583 | 1.81 | 1.64 | 1.90 |
| Iowa. | 750 | 762 | 833 | 74,011 | 80,129 | 90,438 | 1.01 | 0.95 | 0.92 |
| Kansas | 1,384 | 1,327 | 1,675 | 65,938 | 72,176 | 80,287 | 2.10 | 1.84 | 2.09 |
| Kentucky. | 606 | 762 | 601 | 95,206 | 97,146 | 109,376 | 0.64 | 0.78 | 0.55 |
| Louisiana. | 377 | 364 | 295 | 103,955 | 118,914 | 125,610 | 0.36 | 0.31 | 0.23 |
| Maine | 137 | 255 | 200 | 27,554 | 30,757 | 35,023 | 0.50 | 0.83 | 0.57 |
| Maryland | 1,905 | 2,213 | 3,998 | 133,268 | 148,859 | 176,766 | 1.43 | 1.49 | 2.26 |
| Massachusetts............... | 10,367 | 10,857 | 11,094 | 215,743 | 253,492 | 271,137 | 4.81 | 4.28 | 4.09 |
| Michigan | 12,554 | 17,489 | 15,241 | 278,288 | 303,519 | 322,098 | 4.51 | 5.76 | 4.73 |
| M innesota | 3,367 | 3,971 | 5,003 | 149,615 | 166,186 | 188,601 | 2.25 | 2.39 | 2.65 |
| Mississippi. | 183 | 242 | 1,021 | 50,730 | 53,308 | 59,392 | 0.36 | 0.45 | 1.72 |
| Missouri . | 1,505 | 1,978 | 1,742 | 145,297 | 156,173 | 171,295 | 1.04 | 1.27 | 1.02 |
| Montana. | 63 | 78 | 65 | 16,567 | 17,732 | 21,324 | 0.38 | 0.44 | 0.30 |
| Nebraska. | 195 | 335 | 363 | 44,564 | 47,831 | 55,868 | 0.44 | 0.70 | 0.65 |
| Nevada.. | 476 | 433 | 383 | 57,324 | 67,247 | 80,672 | 0.83 | 0.64 | 0.47 |
| New Hampshire .............. | 1,138 | 722 | 1,349 | 35,751 | 39,815 | 43,768 | 3.18 | 1.81 | 3.08 |
| New J ersey .................... | 11,107 | 10,580 | 11,401 | 282,444 | 310,296 | 354,537 | 3.93 | 3.41 | 3.22 |
| New Mexico. | 1,450 | 1,203 | 349 | 37,472 | 41,188 | 45,734 | 3.87 | 2.92 | 0.76 |
| New York.. | 10,283 | 11,622 | 8,556 | 613,413 | 690,213 | 750,468 | 1.68 | 1.68 | 1.14 |
| North Carolina. | 3,483 | 4,535 | 4,424 | 212,757 | 240,723 | 275,309 | 1.64 | 1.88 | 1.61 |
| North Dakota | 46 | 83 | 216 | 14,777 | 15,263 | 18,178 | 0.31 | 0.54 | 1.19 |
| Ohio | 5,742 | 6,245 | 6,260 | 312,482 | 331,986 | 354,891 | 1.84 | 1.88 | 1.76 |
| Oklahoma. | 369 | 463 | 577 | 66,514 | 74,965 | 83,942 | 0.55 | 0.62 | 0.69 |
| Oregon.......................... | 1,345 | 1,533 | 2,973 | 88,720 | 99,265 | 104,523 | 1.52 | 1.54 | 2.84 |
| Pennsylvania................... | 7,393 | 8,473 | 7,091 | 325,705 | 353,120 | 400,842 | 2.27 | 2.40 | 1.77 |
| Rhode Island................... | 1,332 | 1,167 | 1,203 | 25,933 | 29,695 | 34,648 | 5.14 | 3.93 | 3.47 |
| South C arolina ................. | 996 | 1,059 | 976 | 88,159 | 95,381 | 108,091 | 1.13 | 1.11 | 0.90 |
| South Dakota ................. | 40 | 89 | 75 | 17,968 | 20,103 | 23,857 | 0.22 | 0.44 | 0.31 |
| Tennessee...................... | 2,440 | 1,644 | 1,507 | 142,328 | 154,830 | 178,359 | 1.71 | 1.06 | 0.84 |
| Texas... | 8,984 | 10,048 | 11,057 | 557,215 | 642,236 | 725,112 | 1.61 | 1.56 | 1.52 |
| Utah | 1,119 | 1,063 | 996 | 51,737 | 58,280 | 65,577 | 2.16 | 1.82 | 1.52 |
| Vermont......................... | 114 | 389 | 360 | 13,912 | 15,426 | 17,838 | 0.82 | 2.52 | 2.02 |
| Virginia .......................... | 2,540 | 2,683 | 4,152 | 186,167 | 215,600 | 251,770 | 1.36 | 1.24 | 1.65 |
| Washington.................... | 7,072 | 8,235 | 9,222 | 167,584 | 192,049 | 209,977 | 4.22 | 4.29 | 4.39 |
| West Virginia .................. | 335 | 329 | 219 | 33,632 | 34,801 | 38,755 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.57 |
| Wisconsin ..................... | 1,929 | 2,415 | 2,623 | 142,961 | 157,044 | 176,351 | 1.35 | 1.54 | 1.49 |
| Wyoming.. | 20 | 37 | 37 | 12,625 | 14,835 | 19,111 | 0.16 | 0.25 | 0.19 |

NOTES: In 1998, more than $50 \%$ of industrial R\&D value imputed because of raking of state data for Alaska, Arkansas, Hawaii, Louisiana, Mississippi, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wyoming. In 1998, more than $50 \%$ of industrial R\&D value imputed for Delaware, District of Columbia, Idaho, Kansas, New Mexico, Rhode Island, and Washington. In 2000, more than $50 \%$ of industrial R\&D value imputed because of raking of state data for Alaska, District of Columbia, Hawaii, Louisiana, Mississippi, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wyoming. In 2000, more than 50\% of industrial R\&D value imputed for Alabama, Arizona, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Michigan, New Mexico, Rhode Island, and Washington. In 2003, more than $50 \%$ of industrial R\&D value imputed because of raking of state data for Alaska. In 2003, more than $50 \%$ of industrial R\&D value imputed for Kansas and Rhode Island. Private-industry output is reported in current dollars.
SOURCES: National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, Survey of Industrial Research and Development, various years; and U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Gross State Product data.

## Academic R\&D per \$1,000 of Gross State Product

Figure 8-30
Academic R\&D per \$1,000 of gross state product: 2003


SOURCES: National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, Academic Research and Development Expenditures; and U.S Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Gross State Product data. See Table 8-30.

## Findings

- Expenditures for research performed in academic institutions have doubled in a decade, rising from $\$ 19.4$ billion in 1993 to $\$ 39.4$ billion in 2003 (unadjusted for inflation).
- Academic research increased more rapidly than gross domestic product (GDP), causing the value of this indicator to increase from $\$ 3.01$ to $\$ 3.60$ per $\$ 1,000$ of GDP.
- Most states showed increases in the value of this indicator over the past decade, although declines were observed in seven states.
- States ranking high on the intensity of academic research usually did not rank high on the intensity of industrial research.

This indicator measures the extent of spending on academic research performed in a state relative to the size of the state's economy. Academic research and development is more basic and less product oriented than R\&D performed by industry. It can be a valuable basis for future economic development. High values for this indicator may reflect an academic R\&D system that can compete for funding from federal, state, and industrial sources.

In this indicator, Maryland data exclude expenditures by the Applied Physics Laboratory (APL) at Johns Hopkins University. APL employs more than 3,000 people and supports the Department of Defense, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, and other government agencies rather than focusing on academic research. Data for the value of gross state product and for $\mathrm{R} \& \mathrm{D}$ expenditures are shown in current dollars.

Table 8-30
Academic R\&D per \$1,000 of gross state product, by state: 1993, 1998, and 2003

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

GSP = gross state product
NOTES: In 1998 and 2003, academic R\&D was reported for all institutions. In 1993, it was reported for doctorate-granting institutions only. For Maryland, academic R\&D excludes R\&D performed by Applied Physics Laboratory at J ohns Hopkins University. GSP is reported in current dollars.
SOURCES: National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, Academic Research and Development Expenditures, various years; U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Gross State Product data; and Government of Puerto Rico, Office of the Governor.

## S\&E Doctorates Conferred per 1,000 S\&E Doctorate Holders

Figure 8-31
S\&E doctorates conferred per 1,000 S\&E doctorate holders: 2003


| 1st quartile (72.9-55.4) | 2nd quartile (52.6-45.3) | 3rd quartile (43.8-35.1) | 4th quartile (34.3-17.5) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alabama | District of Columbia | California | Alaska |
| Arizona | Florida | Colorado | Arkansas |
| Illinois | Georgia | Connecticut | Hawaii |
| Indiana | Massachusetts | Delaware | Idaho |
| Iowa | Mississippi | Kentucky | Maine |
| Kansas | Missouri | Minnesota | Maryland |
| Louisiana | New York | Nevada | Montana |
| Michigan | Ohio | New Hampshire | New Jersey |
| Nebraska | Oklahoma | North Carolina | New Mexico |
| North Dakota | Pennsylvania | Oregon | Vermont |
| Utah | Rhode Island | South Carolina | Virginia |
| Wisconsin | Texas | Tenth Dakota | Washington |

SOURCES: National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, Survey of Earned Doctorates; and Survey of Doctorate Recipients. See Table 8-31.

## Findings

- In 2003, 25,000 S\&E doctorates were awarded by U.S. academic institutions, essentially the same as in 2001 but lower than the nearly 27,000 S\&E doctorates awarded in 1997.
- The state average of this indicator decreased between 1997 and 2003, reflecting both a decline in the production of new S\&E doctorate holders and an increase in the stock of S\&E doctorate holders living in the United States.
- This indicator is volatile for many states and may reflect the migration patterns of existing S\&E doctorate holders.

This indicator provides a measure of the rate at which the states are training new science and engineering doctorate recipients for entry into the workforce. High values indicate relatively large production of new doctorate holders compared with the existing stock. Some states with relatively low values may need to attract S\&E doctorate holders from elsewhere to meet the needs of local employers.

This indicator does not account for the mobility of recent $S \& E$ doctorate recipients, which is very high. Foreignborn graduate students may decide to
return home after graduation to begin their careers. Most recent doctorate recipients are influenced by the location of employment opportunities.
U.S. S\&E doctorate holders include those in the physical, life, earth, ocean, atmospheric, computer, and social sciences; mathematics; engineering; and psychology. Medical doctorates are excluded. The population of doctorate holders for this indicator consisted of all individuals under age 76 years who received a research doctorate in science or engineering from a U.S. institution and were residing in the United States.

Table 8-31
S\&E doctorates conferred per 1,000 S\&E doctorate holders, by state: 1997, 2001, and 2003

| State | S\&E doctorates conferred |  |  | S\&E doctorate holders |  |  | S\&E doctorates/ <br> 1,000 doctorate holders |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1997 | 2001 | 2003 | 1997 | 2001 | 2003 | 1997 | 2001 | 2003 |
| United States..................... | 26,789 | 25,342 | 25,151 | 503,290 | 555,360 | 567,690 | 53.2 | 45.6 | 44.3 |
| Alabama........................ | 327 | 287 | 314 | 6,440 | 5,170 | 5,500 | 50.8 | 55.5 | 57.1 |
| Alaska | 20 | 26 | 36 | 1,110 | 1,160 | 1,050 | 18.0 | 22.4 | 34.3 |
| Arizona........................... | 486 | 403 | 451 | 6,130 | 6,800 | 7,110 | 79.3 | 59.3 | 63.4 |
| Arkansas ........................ | 68 | 62 | 82 | 2,250 | 2,460 | 2,670 | 30.2 | 25.2 | 30.7 |
| California........................ | 3,415 | 3,334 | 3,405 | 68,390 | 78,020 | 83,150 | 49.9 | 42.7 | 41.0 |
| Colorado ........................ | 566 | 485 | 533 | 10,350 | 11,450 | 12,180 | 54.7 | 42.4 | 43.8 |
| Connecticut ................... | 395 | 370 | 385 | 8,470 | 9,340 | 10,140 | 46.6 | 39.6 | 38.0 |
| Delaware... | 130 | 128 | 102 | 3,520 | 3,470 | 2,600 | 36.9 | 36.9 | 39.2 |
| District of Columbia ......... | 319 | 291 | 313 | 11,580 | 13,840 | 6,490 | 27.5 | 21.0 | 48.2 |
| Florida ............................ | 828 | 781 | 818 | 12,820 | 15,040 | 15,590 | 64.6 | 51.9 | 52.5 |
| Georgia .......................... | 543 | 608 | 620 | 9,640 | 11,710 | 12,060 | 56.3 | 51.9 | 51.4 |
| Hawaii ........................... | 130 | 107 | 92 | 2,420 | 2,570 | 2,960 | 53.7 | 41.6 | 31.1 |
| Idaho ............................. | 57 | 51 | 70 | 1,990 | 2,160 | 2,480 | 28.6 | 23.6 | 28.2 |
| Illinois | 1,347 | 1,323 | 1,262 | 21,020 | 21,670 | 21,410 | 64.1 | 61.1 | 58.9 |
| Indiana | 681 | 667 | 655 | 7,460 | 9,490 | 8,980 | 91.3 | 70.3 | 72.9 |
| Iowa | 401 | 376 | 299 | 4,030 | 4,280 | 4,450 | 99.5 | 87.9 | 67.2 |
| Kansas | 284 | 264 | 269 | 3,720 | 3,890 | 4,050 | 76.3 | 67.9 | 66.4 |
| Kentucky. | 214 | 172 | 185 | 3,980 | 4,380 | 4,740 | 53.8 | 39.3 | 39.0 |
| Louisiana. | 318 | 334 | 287 | 5,210 | 5,000 | 5,180 | 61.0 | 66.8 | 55.4 |
| Maine . | 41 | 30 | 37 | 2,140 | 1,940 | 2,000 | 19.2 | 15.5 | 18.5 |
| Maryland | 676 | 664 | 634 | 20,660 | 22,090 | 27,050 | 32.7 | 30.1 | 23.4 |
| Massachusetts. | 1,478 | 1,448 | 1,363 | 22,960 | 28,390 | 28,950 | 64.4 | 51.0 | 47.1 |
| Michigan | 970 | 906 | 954 | 14,750 | 16,940 | 16,280 | 65.8 | 53.5 | 58.6 |
| Minnesota | 471 | 455 | 425 | 9,660 | 11,070 | 10,770 | 48.8 | 41.1 | 39.5 |
| Mississippi. | 152 | 129 | 140 | 2,970 | 3,120 | 3,080 | 51.2 | 41.3 | 45.5 |
| M issouri ..... | 474 | 439 | 435 | 9,300 | 8,860 | 8,730 | 51.0 | 49.5 | 49.8 |
| Montana......................... | 59 | 42 | 51 | 1,580 | 1,330 | 1,660 | 37.3 | 31.6 | 30.7 |
| Nebraska........................ | 179 | 164 | 184 | 2,930 | 2,840 | 2,730 | 61.1 | 57.7 | 67.4 |
| Nevada.. | 24 | 52 | 77 | 1,620 | 2,010 | 1,820 | 14.8 | 25.9 | 42.3 |
| New Hampshire | 94 | 76 | 100 | 2,190 | 2,320 | 2,710 | 42.9 | 32.8 | 36.9 |
| New J ersey .................... | 619 | 621 | 584 | 19,970 | 22,130 | 21,900 | 31.0 | 28.1 | 26.7 |
| New Mexico ................... | 142 | 147 | 163 | 7,120 | 7,370 | 7,640 | 19.9 | 19.9 | 21.3 |
| New York.. | 2,302 | 2,128 | 2,131 | 38,830 | 42,570 | 40,510 | 59.3 | 50.0 | 52.6 |
| North Carolina................. | 726 | 726 | 723 | 13,470 | 16,250 | 17,130 | 53.9 | 44.7 | 42.2 |
| North Dakota .................. | 50 | 43 | 66 | 1,330 | 1,080 | 1,110 | 37.6 | 39.8 | 59.5 |
| Ohio | 1,210 | 1,061 | 989 | 18,200 | 19,270 | 20,130 | 66.5 | 55.1 | 49.1 |
| Oklahoma. | 237 | 238 | 190 | 4,430 | 4,110 | 4,160 | 53.5 | 57.9 | 45.7 |
| Oregon......... | 291 | 262 | 256 | 5,980 | 6,900 | 7,280 | 48.7 | 38.0 | 35.2 |
| Pennsylvania. | 1,376 | 1,247 | 1,219 | 23,110 | 25,520 | 26,900 | 59.5 | 48.9 | 45.3 |
| Rhode Island.. | 161 | 162 | 142 | 2,400 | 2,600 | 3,060 | 67.1 | 62.3 | 46.4 |
| South Carolina . | 222 | 216 | 181 | 4,620 | 5,030 | 4,810 | 48.1 | 42.9 | 37.6 |
| South Dakota .................. | 36 | 34 | 33 | 1,000 | 970 | 940 | 36.0 | 35.1 | 35.1 |
| Tennessee ...................... | 391 | 377 | 340 | 8,350 | 8,570 | 8,680 | 46.8 | 44.0 | 39.2 |
| Texas. | 1,633 | 1,598 | 1,548 | 27,990 | 31,710 | 32,430 | 58.3 | 50.4 | 47.7 |
| Utah ... | 196 | 236 | 239 | 4,670 | 4,720 | 4,160 | 42.0 | 50.0 | 57.5 |
| Vermont.......................... | 42 | 52 | 29 | 1,750 | 1,630 | 1,660 | 24.0 | 31.9 | 17.5 |
| Virginia ........................... | 702 | 628 | 620 | 14,860 | 16,880 | 20,890 | 47.2 | 37.2 | 29.7 |
| Washington .................... | 482 | 457 | 441 | 12,860 | 14,270 | 14,960 | 37.5 | 32.0 | 29.5 |
| West Virginia ................... | 78 | 67 | 101 | 1,930 | 1,840 | 2,040 | 40.4 | 36.4 | 49.5 |
| Wisconsin ...................... | 681 | 530 | 535 | 8,320 | 8,290 | 8,060 | 81.9 | 63.9 | 66.4 |
| Wyoming....................... | 65 | 38 | 43 | 810 | 840 | 670 | 80.2 | 45.2 | 64.2 |
| Puerto Rico....................... | 58 | 97 | 80 | 650 | 1,400 | 1,610 | 89.2 | 69.3 | 49.7 |

NOTES: Survey of Doctorate Recipients sample design does not include geography. Data on U.S. S\&E doctorate holders are classified by employment location. Thus, reliability of data for areas with smaller populations is lower than for more populous states. Reliability of estimates by state for S\&E doctorate holders may be poor for some states because of small sample size.
SOURCES: National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, Survey of Earned Doctorates; and Survey of Doctorate Recipients.

## Academic Article Output per 1,000 S\&E Doctorate Holders in Academia

Figure 8-32
Academic article output per 1,000 S\&E doctorate holders in academia: 2003


| 1st quartile (926-657) | 2nd quartile (639-571) | 3rd quartile (561-424) | 4th quartile (419-251) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Arizona | Alabama | Colorado | Alaska |
| California | Florida | Kentucky | Arkansas |
| Connecticut | Georgia | Minnesota | Hawaii |
| Delaware | Indiana | Nevada | Idaho |
| District of Columbia | Kansas | New Hampshire | Maine |
| Illinois | Louisiana | New J ersey | Mississippi |
| lowa | Missouri | North Dakota | Montana |
| Maryland | Nebraska | Ohio | New Mexico |
| Massachusetts | North Carolina | Oregon | Oklahoma |
| Michigan | Pennsylvania | Rhode Island | Tennessee |
| New York | South Carolina | Virginia | South Dakota |
| Texas | Uth | Wyoming | Vermont |
| Wisconsin | Washington |  |  |

SOURCES: Thomson ISI, Science Citation Index and Social Sciences Citation Index; ipIQ, Inc.; and National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, Survey of Doctorate Recipients. See Table 8-32.

## Findings

- Between 1997 and 2003, the number of scientific and technical articles increased by $8 \%$, and the number of S\&E doctorate holders increased by the same percentage, causing the value of this indicator to remain almost unchanged for the United States.
- The publication rate for academic S\&E doctorate holders in states in the top quartile of this indicator was approximately twice as high as for states in the bottom quartile.
- States with the greatest volatility on this indicator frequently had larger changes in academic employment than in number of publications.
- In 2003, the states with the highest values for this indicator were distributed across the nation.

The volume of peer-reviewed articles per 1,000 academic science and engineering doctorate holders is an approximate measure of their contribution to scientific knowledge. Publications are only one measure of academic productivity, which includes trained personnel, patents, and other outputs. A high value on this indicator shows that the S\&E faculty in a state's academic institutions are generating a high volume of publications relative to other states.

Publication counts are based on the number of articles appearing in a set of
journals listed in Thomson ISI's Science Citation Index and Social Sciences Citation Index. The number of journals in this set was 5,029 in 1997, 5,255 in 2001, and 5,315 in 2003. Articles with authors in different institutions were counted fractionally. For a publication with $N$ authors, each author's institution was credited with $1 / N$ articles.

S\&E doctorates include physical, life, earth, ocean, atmospheric, computer, and social sciences; mathematics; engineering; and psychology. Medical doctorates and S\&E doctorates from foreign institutions are excluded.

Table 8-32
Academic article output per 1,000 S\&E doctorate holders in academia, by state: 1997, 2001, and 2003

| State | Academic article output |  |  | S\&E doctorate holders in academia |  |  | Academic articles/ 1,000 academic doctorate holders |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1997 | 2001 | 2003 | 1997 | 2001 | 2003 | 1997 | 2001 | 2003 |
| United States. | 144,441 | 147,582 | 156,373 | 231,690 | 244,390 | 250,020 | 623 | 604 | 625 |
| Alabama......................... | 1,911 | 1,896 | 1,903 | 4,460 | 2,940 | 3,160 | 428 | 645 | 602 |
| Alaska ........................... | 163 | 186 | 196 | 450 | 530 | 600 | 362 | 351 | 327 |
| Arizona......................... | 2,256 | 2,199 | 2,251 | 2,850 | 3,100 | 2,910 | 792 | 709 | 774 |
| Arkansas | 603 | 608 | 704 | 1,450 | 1,570 | 1,740 | 416 | 387 | 405 |
| California....................... | 17,525 | 18,147 | 19,533 | 24,000 | 24,220 | 25,790 | 730 | 749 | 757 |
| Colorado ....................... | 2,524 | 2,630 | 2,736 | 4,250 | 4,780 | 5,030 | 594 | 550 | 544 |
| Connecticut ................... | 2,820 | 2,767 | 2,897 | 3,750 | 4,090 | 4,310 | 752 | 677 | 672 |
| Delaware ....................... | 499 | 560 | 611 | 690 | 760 | 660 | 723 | 737 | 926 |
| District of Columbia ......... | 1,224 | 1,213 | 1,225 | 1,830 | 2,440 | 1,380 | 669 | 497 | 888 |
| Florida ......................... | 4,186 | 4,256 | 4,831 | 6,440 | 7,510 | 7,560 | 650 | 567 | 639 |
| Georgia ......................... | 3,255 | 3,576 | 3,851 | 5,450 | 6,230 | 6,500 | 597 | 574 | 592 |
| Hawaii .......................... | 574 | 538 | 606 | 1,200 | 1,490 | 1,640 | 478 | 361 | 370 |
| Idaho ............................ | 295 | 309 | 320 | 780 | 910 | 1,170 | 378 | 340 | 274 |
| Illinois .. | 6,893 | 7,009 | 7,428 | 10,120 | 10,350 | 9,880 | 681 | 677 | 752 |
| Indiana | 3,103 | 3,095 | 3,243 | 4,500 | 5,570 | 5,560 | 690 | 556 | 583 |
| Iowa ............................. | 2,289 | 2,239 | 2,371 | 3,060 | 3,090 | 3,170 | 748 | 725 | 748 |
| Kansas . | 1,199 | 1,251 | 1,308 | 2,240 | 2,180 | 2,290 | 535 | 574 | 571 |
| Kentucky ....................... | 1,380 | 1,356 | 1,505 | 2,890 | 3,080 | 3,240 | 478 | 440 | 465 |
| Louisiana...................... | 1,895 | 1,828 | 1,845 | 3,390 | 3,220 | 3,180 | 559 | 568 | 580 |
| Maine ...... | 247 | 234 | 281 | 1,290 | 1,150 | 1,120 | 191 | 203 | 251 |
| M aryland ...................... | 4,389 | 4,935 | 5,099 | 5,840 | 5,660 | 6,650 | 752 | 872 | 767 |
| Massachusetts.............. | 9,235 | 9,676 | 9,974 | 11,190 | 12,630 | 13,700 | 825 | 766 | 728 |
| Michigan .. | 4,880 | 5,078 | 5,396 | 7,600 | 8,520 | 8,210 | 642 | 596 | 657 |
| Minnesota ..................... | 2,435 | 2,388 | 2,421 | 4,260 | 5,110 | 5,190 | 572 | 467 | 466 |
| M ississippi ..................... | 628 | 692 | 747 | 1,930 | 1,900 | 1,910 | 325 | 364 | 391 |
| Missouri ........................ | 3,159 | 3,230 | 3,251 | 5,600 | 5,430 | 5,340 | 564 | 595 | 609 |
| Montana........................ | 272 | 328 | 371 | 940 | 730 | 980 | 289 | 449 | 379 |
| Nebraska...................... | 1,030 | 1,011 | 1,040 | 2,250 | 1,910 | 1,790 | 458 | 529 | 581 |
| Nevada......................... | 370 | 447 | 513 | 970 | 1,260 | 1,060 | 381 | 355 | 484 |
| New Hampshire .............. | 607 | 615 | 653 | 1,090 | 1,160 | 1,190 | 557 | 530 | 549 |
| New J ersey ..................... | 3,102 | 3,055 | 3,300 | 4,750 | 5,210 | 6,290 | 653 | 586 | 525 |
| New Mexico ................... | 808 | 780 | 829 | 2,120 | 2,690 | 2,650 | 381 | 290 | 313 |
| New York....................... | 12,382 | 12,427 | 12,904 | 19,080 | 19,570 | 18,830 | 649 | 635 | 685 |
| North Carolina................ | 4,958 | 5,141 | 5,579 | 7,480 | 8,440 | 8,770 | 663 | 609 | 636 |
| North Dakota .................. | 269 | 271 | 322 | 880 | 660 | 760 | 306 | 411 | 424 |
| Ohio ............................. | 5,169 | 5,078 | 5,385 | 9,390 | 9,480 | 9,600 | 550 | 536 | 561 |
| Oklahoma...................... | 919 | 925 | 996 | 2,630 | 2,620 | 2,500 | 349 | 353 | 398 |
| Oregon .......................... | 1,614 | 1,540 | 1,713 | 2,570 | 3,070 | 3,140 | 628 | 502 | 546 |
| Pennsylvania.................. | 8,194 | 8,362 | 8,718 | 11,620 | 13,130 | 14,380 | 705 | 637 | 606 |
| Rhode Island.................. | 852 | 862 | 904 | 1,670 | 1,640 | 1,770 | 510 | 526 | 511 |
| South Carolina ................ | 1,202 | 1,343 | 1,478 | 3,040 | 2,920 | 2,540 | 395 | 460 | 582 |
| South Dakota ................. | 140 | 131 | 168 | 660 | 600 | 620 | 212 | 218 | 271 |
| Tennessee...................... | 2,254 | 2,284 | 2,463 | 4,530 | 4,560 | 4,820 | 498 | 501 | 511 |
| Texas.. | 8,756 | 9,039 | 9,777 | 13,180 | 13,310 | 13,680 | 664 | 679 | 715 |
| Utah ............................. | 1,570 | 1,570 | 1,631 | 2,940 | 3,000 | 2,760 | 534 | 523 | 591 |
| Vermont........................ | 380 | 412 | 398 | 1,080 | 960 | 950 | 352 | 429 | 419 |
| Virginia .......................... | 3,014 | 3,104 | 3,254 | 5,290 | 6,320 | 7,020 | 570 | 491 | 464 |
| Washington................... | 3,206 | 3,339 | 3,557 | 5,110 | 6,120 | 6,000 | 627 | 546 | 593 |
| West Virginia .................. | 417 | 388 | 385 | 1,120 | 1,080 | 1,160 | 372 | 359 | 332 |
| Wisconsin ..................... | 3,189 | 3,044 | 3,287 | 5,230 | 4,920 | 4,400 | 610 | 619 | 747 |
| Wyoming........................ | 200 | 190 | 215 | 560 | 570 | 470 | 357 | 333 | 457 |
| Puerto Rico....................... | 168 | 186 | 214 | 630 | 1,030 | 1,250 | 267 | 181 | 171 |

NOTES: Survey of Doctorate Recipients sample design does not include geography. Data on U.S. S\&E doctorate holders are classified by employment location. Thus, reliability of data for areas with smaller populations is lower than for more populous states. Reliability of estimates by state for S\&E doctorate holders may be poor for some states because of small sample size.
SOURCES: Thomson ISI, Science Citation Index and Social Sciences Citation Index; ipIQ, Inc.; and National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, Survey of Doctorate Recipients.

## Academic Article Output per $\$ 1$ Million of Academic R\&D

Figure 8-33
Academic article output per \$1 million of academic R\&D: 2003


| 1st quartile (5.84-4.23) | 2nd quartile (4.22-3.84) | 3rd quartile (3.74-3.38) | 4th quartile (3.36-1.39) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Connecticut | Arkansas | Alabama | Alaska |
| Delaware | Colorado | Arizona | Georgia |
| District of Columbia | Florida | California | Hawaii |
| Illinois | Kansas | Louisiana | Idaho |
| Indiana | Kentucky | Maine | Mississippi |
| lowa | Michigan | Maryland | Montana |
| Massachusetts | Missouri | Nebraska | Nevada |
| Minnesota | New York | Oklahoma | New Hampshire |
| New Jersey | North Carolina | South Carolina | New Mexico |
| Ohio | Oregon | Texas | North Dakota |
| Pennsylvania | Tennessee | Vismont | South Dakota |
| Rhode Island | Wasconsin | West Virginia |  |

SOURCES: Thomson ISI, Science Citation Index and Social Sciences Citation Index; ipIQ, Inc.; and National Science Foundation, Division of Science
Resources Statistics, Academic Research and Development Expenditures. See Table 8-33.

## Findings

- From 1993 to 2003, the number of academic publications rose from 142,000 to 156,000 , an increase of $10 \%$.
- In 2003, academic researchers produced an average of 4.0 publications per $\$ 1$ million of academic R\&D, compared with 7.3 in 1993. This partly reflects the effects of general price inflation ( $27 \%$ during this period) but may also indicate rising academic research costs.
- The value for this indicator decreased for all states between 1993 and 2003.

This indicator shows the relationship between the number of academic publications and the expenditure for academic research and development. A high value for this indicator means that a state's academic institutions have a high publication output relative to their R\&D spending. This indicator is not an efficiency measure; it is affected by the highly variable costs of R\&D and by publishing conventions in different fields and institutions. It may reflect variations in field emphasis among states and institutions.

Publication counts are based on the number of articles appearing in a set of journals listed in Thomson ISI's Science

Citation Index and Social Sciences Citation Index. The number of journals in this set was 4,601 in 1993, 5,084 in 1998 , and 5,315 in 2003. Articles with authors in different institutions were counted fractionally. For a publication with $N$ authors, each author's institution was credited with $1 / N$ articles. In this indicator, Maryland data exclude expenditures by the Applied Physics Laboratory (APL) at Johns Hopkins University. APL employs more than 3,000 workers and supports the Department of Defense, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, and other government agencies rather than focusing on academic research.

Table 8-33
Academic article output per \$1 million of academic R\&D, by state: 1993, 1998, and 2003

| State | Academic article output |  |  | Academic R\&D (\$ millions) |  |  | Academic articles/ \$1 million academic R\&D |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1993 | 1998 | 2003 | 1993 | 1998 | 2003 | 1993 | 1998 | 2003 |
| United States. | 142,134 | 144,980 | 156,373 | 19,438 | 25,317 | 39,369 | 7.31 | 5.73 | 3.97 |
| Alabama........................ | 1,787 | 1,882 | 1,903 | 288 | 442 | 558 | 6.21 | 4.26 | 3.41 |
| Alaska ........................... | 169 | 160 | 196 | 67 | 76 | 141 | 2.53 | 2.10 | 1.39 |
| Arizona.......................... | 2,249 | 2,069 | 2,251 | 311 | 406 | 618 | 7.24 | 5.10 | 3.64 |
| Arkansas ....................... | 562 | 597 | 704 | 79 | 117 | 183 | 7.09 | 5.11 | 3.84 |
| California....................... | 18,013 | 17,789 | 19,533 | 2,445 | 3,390 | 5,363 | 7.37 | 5.25 | 3.64 |
| Colorado ....................... | 2,355 | 2,563 | 2,736 | 335 | 489 | 695 | 7.02 | 5.24 | 3.94 |
| Connecticut ................... | 2,723 | 2,924 | 2,897 | 367 | 407 | 595 | 7.41 | 7.19 | 4.87 |
| Delaware ....................... | 530 | 519 | 611 | 54 | 73 | 105 | 9.84 | 7.13 | 5.84 |
| District of Columbia ......... | 1,187 | 1,260 | 1,225 | 152 | 233 | 263 | 7.82 | 5.41 | 4.65 |
| Florida .......................... | 4,146 | 4,299 | 4,831 | 492 | 713 | 1,205 | 8.42 | 6.03 | 4.01 |
| Georgia | 2,880 | 3,248 | 3,851 | 558 | 804 | 1,176 | 5.16 | 4.04 | 3.28 |
| Hawaii ......................... | 585 | 559 | 606 | 74 | 148 | 185 | 7.91 | 3.78 | 3.28 |
| Idaho.. | 297 | 283 | 320 | 50 | 72 | 105 | 5.89 | 3.91 | 3.05 |
| Illinois. | 7,103 | 6,863 | 7,428 | 776 | 1,031 | 1,614 | 9.15 | 6.66 | 4.60 |
| Indiana ........................... | 3,077 | 3,122 | 3,243 | 304 | 426 | 726 | 10.12 | 7.32 | 4.47 |
| lowa ............................. | 2,292 | 2,306 | 2,371 | 300 | 359 | 499 | 7.65 | 6.43 | 4.75 |
| Kansas | 1,244 | 1,169 | 1,308 | 155 | 213 | 310 | 8.04 | 5.49 | 4.22 |
| Kentucky.... | 1,310 | 1,311 | 1,505 | 128 | 242 | 378 | 10.26 | 5.43 | 3.99 |
| Louisiana....................... | 1,787 | 1,887 | 1,845 | 264 | 353 | 524 | 6.77 | 5.34 | 3.52 |
| Maine ... | 245 | 259 | 281 | 26 | 35 | 75 | 9.41 | 7.34 | 3.74 |
| Maryland | 4,303 | 4,549 | 5,099 | 702 | 887 | 1,423 | 6.13 | 5.13 | 3.58 |
| Massachusetts................ | 8,624 | 9,226 | 9,974 | 1,121 | 1,348 | 1,822 | 7.69 | 6.84 | 5.47 |
| Michigan ....................... | 4,892 | 4,865 | 5,396 | 705 | 882 | 1,388 | 6.93 | 5.51 | 3.89 |
| Minnesota | 2,491 | 2,405 | 2,421 | 337 | 368 | 517 | 7.39 | 6.54 | 4.68 |
| M ississippi ..................... | 507 | 642 | 747 | 111 | 153 | 324 | 4.57 | 4.20 | 2.30 |
| Missouri ........................ | 2,946 | 3,158 | 3,251 | 352 | 485 | 807 | 8.37 | 6.52 | 4.03 |
| Montana... | 265 | 313 | 371 | 50 | 77 | 141 | 5.26 | 4.08 | 2.63 |
| Nebraska....................... | 1,067 | 1,048 | 1,040 | 137 | 186 | 301 | 7.78 | 5.62 | 3.46 |
| Nevada......................... | 375 | 381 | 513 | 79 | 84 | 155 | 4.74 | 4.54 | 3.32 |
| New Hampshire .............. | 586 | 621 | 653 | 99 | 117 | 252 | 5.89 | 5.29 | 2.59 |
| New J ersey .................... | 2,898 | 2,952 | 3,300 | 375 | 485 | 747 | 7.74 | 6.09 | 4.41 |
| New Mexico ................... | 734 | 771 | 829 | 187 | 229 | 307 | 3.92 | 3.37 | 2.70 |
| New York.... | 12,779 | 12,581 | 12,904 | 1,597 | 1,925 | 3,090 | 8.00 | 6.53 | 4.18 |
| North Carolina................. | 4,676 | 5,006 | 5,579 | 634 | 902 | 1,397 | 7.38 | 5.55 | 3.99 |
| North Dakota .................. | 281 | 273 | 322 | 54 | 57 | 134 | 5.19 | 4.79 | 2.41 |
| Ohio ............................. | 5,216 | 5,139 | 5,385 | 597 | 810 | 1,269 | 8.73 | 6.34 | 4.24 |
| Oklahoma...................... | 892 | 919 | 996 | 175 | 209 | 295 | 5.10 | 4.40 | 3.38 |
| Oregon .......................... | 1,574 | 1,577 | 1,713 | 227 | 314 | 437 | 6.93 | 5.02 | 3.92 |
| Pennsylvania.................. | 7,784 | 8,203 | 8,718 | 1,029 | 1,348 | 2,013 | 7.56 | 6.08 | 4.33 |
| Rhode Island.................. | 872 | 839 | 904 | 103 | 112 | 187 | 8.45 | 7.49 | 4.83 |
| South Carolina ................ | 1,137 | 1,227 | 1,478 | 185 | 248 | 435 | 6.13 | 4.94 | 3.40 |
| South Dakota ................. | 140 | 141 | 168 | 23 | 25 | 50 | 6.20 | 5.54 | 3.36 |
| Tennessee...................... | 2,082 | 2,306 | 2,463 | 280 | 346 | 600 | 7.44 | 6.66 | 4.11 |
| Texas............................ | 8,670 | 8,717 | 9,777 | 1,422 | 1,697 | 2,766 | 6.10 | 5.14 | 3.54 |
| Utah .............................. | 1,508 | 1,590 | 1,631 | 195 | 249 | 385 | 7.75 | 6.38 | 4.23 |
| Vermont. | 393 | 370 | 398 | 51 | 59 | 107 | 7.76 | 6.32 | 3.73 |
| Virginia .......................... | 3,042 | 3,100 | 3,254 | 409 | 494 | 773 | 7.45 | 6.28 | 4.21 |
| Washington .................... | 2,988 | 3,184 | 3,557 | 435 | 542 | 870 | 6.87 | 5.87 | 4.09 |
| West Virginia ................... | 395 | 410 | 385 | 55 | 63 | 121 | 7.15 | 6.46 | 3.19 |
| Wisconsin ...................... | 3,258 | 3,201 | 3,287 | 453 | 536 | 881 | 7.19 | 5.98 | 3.73 |
| Wyoming ........................ | 218 | 197 | 215 | 33 | 49 | 60 | 6.70 | 4.06 | 3.58 |
| Puerto Rico........................ | 168 | 192 | 214 | 48 | 88 | 78 | 3.51 | 2.19 | 2.73 |

NOTES: In 1998 and 2003, academic R\&D was reported for all institutions. In 1993, academic R\&D was reported for doctorate-granting institutions only.
SOURCES: Thomson ISI, Science Citation Index and Social Sciences Citation Index; ipIQ, Inc.; and National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, Academic Research and Development Expenditures, various years.

## Academic Patents Awarded per 1,000 S\&E Doctorate Holders in Academia

Figure 8-34
Academic patents awarded per 1,000 S\&E doctorate holders in academia: 2003


| 1st quartile (27.3-12.6) | 2nd quartile (12.1-8.3) | 3rd quartile (8.2-5.7) | 4th quartile (5.4-0.0) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alabama | Connecticut | Arizona | Alaska |
| Arkansas | Delaware | Kansas | Colorado |
| California | District of Columbia | Mississippi | Hawaii |
| Florida | Illinois | Missouri | Idaho |
| Georgia | Kentucky | Nevada | Indiana |
| lowa | Louisiana | New Hampshire | Maine |
| Maryland | Nebraska | Ohio | Montana |
| Massachusetts | New Jersey | Oklahoma | New Mexico |
| Michigan | Pennsylvania | Rhode Island | North Dakota |
| Minnesota | South Carolina | Washington | Oregon |
| New York | Texas | Wyoming | South Dakota |
| North Carolina | Utah |  | Vermont |
| Wisconsin | Virginia |  |  |

SOURCES: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Technology Assessment and Forecast Branch, U.S. Colleges and Universities-Utility Patent Grants, Calendar Years 1969-2003; and National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, Survey of Doctorate Recipients. See Table 8-34.

## Findings

- Throughout the United States, the number of patents awarded to academic institutions increased from more than 2,400 in 1997 to nearly 3,300 in 2003, an increase of $33 \%$, while the number of academic S\&E doctorate holders rose by $8 \%$ over the same period.
- In 2003, 13 patents were produced nationally for each 1,000 S\&E doctorate holders employed in academia, which was significantly higher than the 10.5 patents produced in 1997.
- The rise in this indicator suggests that states and their universities are increasing their focus on academic patenting.
- In 2003, states varied widely on this indicator, with values ranging from 0 to 27.3 patents per 1,000 S\&E doctorate holders employed in academia, indicating a difference in patenting philosophy or mix of industries supported by the academic institutions.

Since the early 1980s, academic institutions have increasingly been viewed as engines of economic growth. Growing attention has been paid to the results of academic research and development in terms of their role in creating new products, processes, and services. One indicator of such $R \& D$ results is volume of academic patents. Academic patenting is highly concentrated and partly reflects the resources devoted to institutional patenting offices.

This indicator relates the volume of academic patents to the size of the doctoral science and engineering workforce in academia. It is an approximate measure of the degree to which results with perceived economic value are generated by the doctoral academic workforce.

S\&E doctorates include physical, life, earth, ocean, atmospheric, computer, and social sciences; mathematics; engineering; and psychology. Medical doctorates and S\&E doctorates from foreign institutions are excluded.

Table 8-34
Academic patents awarded per 1,000 S\&E doctorate holders in academia, by state: 1997, 2001, and 2003

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

NOTES: Survey of Doctorate Recipients sample design does not include geography. Data on U.S. S\&E doctorate holders are classified by employment location. Thus, reliability of data for areas with smaller populations is lower than for more populous states. Reliability of estimates by state for S\&E doctorate holders may be poor for some states because of small sample size.
SOURCES: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Technology Assessment and Forecast Branch, U.S. Colleges and Universities-Utility Patent Grants, Calendar Years 1969-2003; and National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics, Survey of Doctorate Recipients.

## Patents Awarded per 1,000 Individuals in S\&E Occupations

Figure 8-35
Patents awarded per 1,000 individuals in S\&E occupations: 2003


| 1st quartile (83.5-22.0) | 2nd quartile (21.4-15.9) | 3rd quartile (15.3-10.5) | 4th quartile (9.7-0.9) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| California | Arizona | Florida | Alabama |
| Connecticut | Colorado | Georgia | Alaska |
| Idaho | Delaware | Kentucky | Arkansas |
| Massachusetts | Illinois | Louisiana | District of Columbia |
| Michigan | Indiana | Maine | Hawaii |
| Minnesota | Iowa | Maryland | Kansas |
| New Hampshire | Nevada | Missouri | Mississippi |
| New Jersey | North Carolina | Montana | Nebraska |
| New York | Pennsylvania | New Mexico | North Dakota |
| Ohio | Rhode Island | Southoma | Sauth Dakota |
| Oregon | Texas | Tennessee | Virginia |
| Vermont | Wtah | Wyoming | West Virginia |

SOURCES: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Office of Electronic Information Products, Patent Counts by Country/State and Year, All Patents, All Types, J anuary 1, 1977-December 31, 2003; and U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Occupational Employment and Wage Estimates. See table 8-35.

## Findings

- Nearly 100,000 patents were awarded in the United States in 2003 with more than 22\% going to residents of California.
- In 2003, the national average for this indicator was 19.9 patents per 1,000 individuals in an S\&E occupation.
- The District of Columbia and Idaho were outliers, at 0.9 and 83.5 , respectively; the latter reflects the presence of a highpatenting Department of Energy National Laboratory in this sparsely populated state.
- Values for the remaining states varied widely, ranging from 4.1 to 40.7 patents per 1,000 individuals in S\&E occupations in 2003.

This indicator shows state patent activity normalized to the size of its science and engineering workforce, specifically employees in S\&E occupations. People in S\&E occupations include mathematical, computer, life, physical, and social scientists; engineers; and postsecondary teachers in any of these fields. Managers, elementary and secondary schoolteachers, and medical personnel are excluded.

The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office classifies patents based on the residence of the first-named inventor. Only U.S.-origin patents are included.

The location of S\&E occupations primarily reflects where the individuals work and is based on estimates from the Occupational Employment Statistics survey, a cooperative program between the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) and state employment security agencies. Because of the different methods of assigning geographic location, this indicator is of limited applicability for sparsely populated states or for locations where a large percentage of the population lives in one state or region and works in another.

Table 8-35
Patents awarded per 1,000 individuals in S\&E occupations, by state: 2003

| State | Patents awarded | Individuals in S\&E occupations | Patents/1,000 individuals in S\&E occupations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States..................... | 98,564 | 4,961,550 | 19.9 |
| Alabama........................ | 459 | 56,380 | 8.1 |
| Alaska ............................ | 43 | 10,600 | 4.1 |
| Arizona........................... | 1,714 | 92,120 | 18.6 |
| Arkansas | 176 | 21,340 | 8.2 |
| California........................ | 22,079 | 676,180 | 32.7 |
| Colorado | 2,304 | 124,140 | 18.6 |
| Connecticut | 1,844 | 81,380 | 22.7 |
| Delaware. | 372 | 17,370 | 21.4 |
| District of Columbia ......... | 50 | 54,890 | 0.9 |
| Florida. | 3,119 | 221,070 | 14.1 |
| Georgia .......................... | 1,537 | 144,170 | 10.7 |
| Hawaii ............................ | 96 | 16,090 | 6.0 |
| Idaho. | 1,850 | 22,150 | 83.5 |
| Illinois | 3,964 | 211,230 | 18.8 |
| Indiana | 1,679 | 78,410 | 21.4 |
| Iowa. | 711 | 37,320 | 19.1 |
| Kansas | 491 | 51,970 | 9.4 |
| Kentucky. | 495 | 45,230 | 10.9 |
| Louisiana. | 439 | 41,900 | 10.5 |
| M aine . | 165 | 15,020 | 11.0 |
| M aryland | 1,579 | 149,250 | 10.6 |
| M assachusetts. | 4,192 | 184,690 | 22.7 |
| Michigan | 4,220 | 182,940 | 23.1 |
| M innesota | 3,262 | 117,120 | 27.9 |
| M ississippi | 184 | 22,190 | 8.3 |
| M issouri | 946 | 84,150 | 11.2 |
| Montana. | 125 | 11,450 | 10.9 |
| Nebraska. | 240 | 30,710 | 7.8 |
| Nevada.. | 455 | 22,330 | 20.4 |
| New Hampshire ........... | 731 | 23,430 | 31.2 |
| New J ersey . | 3,923 | 161,420 | 24.3 |
| New Mexico | 405 | 33,600 | 12.1 |
| New York. | 6,921 | 272,440 | 25.4 |
| North Carolina. | 2,174 | 132,440 | 16.4 |
| North Dakota | 62 | 8,430 | 7.4 |
| Ohio | 3,894 | 177,100 | 22.0 |
| Oklahoma. | 563 | 44,360 | 12.7 |
| Oregon.......................... | 1,867 | 61,230 | 30.5 |
| Pennsylvania.................. | 3,555 | 185,560 | 19.2 |
| Rhode Island. | 325 | 18,740 | 17.3 |
| South Carolina | 650 | 48,740 | 13.3 |
| South Dakota .................. | 89 | 9,150 | 9.7 |
| Tennessee...................... | 975 | 63,680 | 15.3 |
| Texas. | 6,378 | 365,270 | 17.5 |
| Utah .............................. | 724 | 45,570 | 15.9 |
| Vermont......................... | 465 | 11,420 | 40.7 |
| Virginia .......................... | 1,250 | 209,280 | 6.0 |
| Washington.................... | 2,516 | 150,230 | 16.7 |
| West Virginia .................. | 141 | 16,220 | 8.7 |
| Wisconsin ...................... | 2,082 | 93,320 | 22.3 |
| Wyoming ....................... | 84 | 6,130 | 13.7 |
| Puerto Rico........................ | 29 | 19,940 | 1.5 |

NOTES: Patents issued include utility patents and other types of U.S. documents (i.e., design patents, plant patents, reissues, defensive publications, and statutory invention registrations). Origin of patent determined by residence of first-named inventor.

SOURCES: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Office of Electronic Information Products, Patent Counts by Country/State and Year, All Patents, All Types, J anuary 1, 1977-December 31, 2003; and U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Occupational Employment and Wage Estimates.

High-Technology Share of All Business Establishments
Figure 8-36
High-technology share of all business establishments: 2002


| 1st quartile (11.10\%-6.99\%) | 2nd quartile (6.90\% -5.60\%) | 3rd quartile (5.48\%-4.48\%) | 4th quartile (4.40\%-3.18\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Arizona | Connecticut | Hawaii | Alabama |
| California | Delaware | Idaho | Alaska |
| Colorado | Indiana | Arkansas |  |
| Distrida | Kansas | Iowa |  |
| Illinois | Louisiana | Kentucky |  |
| Maryland | Georgia | Maine | Mississippi |
| Massachusetts | Michigan | Missouri | Nebraska |
| Minnesota | New York | Montana | North Dakota |
| Nevada | North Carolina | New Mexico | South Dakota |
| New Hampshire | Ohio | Oklahoma | Tennessee |
| New Jersey | Oregon | South Carolina | West Virginia |
| Utah | Pennsylvania | Vermont | Wyoming |

SOURCES: U.S. Census Bureau, 1989-2002 Business Information Tracking Series, special tabulations; and County Business Patterns. See table 8-36.

## Findings

- The number of establishments in hightechnology industries rose from 402,000 in 1998 to 454,000 in 2002, an increase of about $13 \%$ within 4 years.
- The percentage of U.S. establishments in high-technology industries grew from 5.8\% to $6.3 \%$ of the total business establishments during the 1998-2002 period.
- Between 1998 and 2002, the largest growth in the number of establishments in hightechnology industries occurred in California and Florida, which added 9,400 and 5,200 establishments, respectively.
- The state distribution of this indicator is similar to that of three other indicators: bachelor's degree holders, science and engineering doctoral degree holders, and S\&E occupations, all expressed as a share of the workforce.

This indicator measures the portion of a state's business establishments that are classified as high-technology industries. High-technology industries are defined as those in which the proportion of employees both in research and development and in all technology occupations is at least twice the average proportion for all industries. State economies with a high percentage of
their business establishments in hightechnology industries are likely to be well positioned to take advantage of new technological developments.

The data pertaining to establishments for 1998 through 2002 were based on their classification according to the 1997 edition of the North American Industry Classification System.

Table 8-36
High-technology share of all business establishments, by state: 1998, 2000, and 2002

| State | High-technology establishments |  |  | All business establishments |  |  | High-technology/ all business establishments |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1998 | 2000 | 2002 | 1998 | 2000 | 2002 | 1998 | 2000 | 2002 |
| United States | 402,096 | 428,061 | 453,903 | 6,941,739 | 7,070,048 | 7,200,770 | 5.79 | 6.05 | 6.30 |
| Alabama. | 4,068 | 4,208 | 4,383 | 100,314 | 99,817 | 99,931 | 4.06 | 4.22 | 4.39 |
| Alaska | 730 | 783 | 823 | 18,212 | 18,501 | 18,856 | 4.01 | 4.23 | 4.36 |
| Arizona. | 6,877 | 7,493 | 8,368 | 110,245 | 114,804 | 119,740 | 6.24 | 6.53 | 6.99 |
| Arkansas | 2,003 | 2,170 | 2,329 | 62,348 | 63,185 | 63,869 | 3.21 | 3.43 | 3.65 |
| California........................ | 54,998 | 60,799 | 64,348 | 773,922 | 799,863 | 820,997 | 7.11 | 7.60 | 7.84 |
| Colorado | 10,472 | 11,361 | 12,400 | 130,351 | 137,528 | 142,247 | 8.03 | 8.26 | 8.72 |
| Connecticut .................... | 6,376 | 6,356 | 6,376 | 92,361 | 92,436 | 92,375 | 6.90 | 6.88 | 6.90 |
| Delaware | 1,327 | 1,426 | 1,537 | 22,871 | 23,771 | 24,377 | 5.80 | 6.00 | 6.31 |
| District of Columbia ......... | 1,906 | 2,069 | 2,212 | 19,571 | 19,655 | 19,930 | 9.74 | 10.53 | 11.10 |
| Florida | 23,982 | 25,873 | 29,149 | 420,637 | 428,438 | 450,188 | 5.70 | 6.04 | 6.47 |
| Georgia | 12,234 | 13,110 | 14,188 | 194,210 | 200,442 | 206,323 | 6.30 | 6.54 | 6.88 |
| Hawaii.. | 1,162 | 1,256 | 1,463 | 29,603 | 29,853 | 30,633 | 3.93 | 4.21 | 4.78 |
| Idaho | 1,435 | 1,632 | 1,889 | 35,961 | 37,429 | 38,842 | 3.99 | 4.36 | 4.86 |
| Illinois ............................ | 20,643 | 21,479 | 21,962 | 304,525 | 308,067 | 309,980 | 6.78 | 6.97 | 7.08 |
| Indiana ........................... | 6,790 | 7,049 | 7,345 | 146,195 | 146,321 | 147,304 | 4.64 | 4.82 | 4.99 |
| lowa | 2,604 | 2,677 | 2,904 | 80,838 | 80,890 | 81,042 | 3.22 | 3.31 | 3.58 |
| Kansas .......................... | 3,309 | 3,611 | 3,736 | 74,018 | 74,939 | 75,077 | 4.47 | 4.82 | 4.98 |
| Kentucky........................ | 3,381 | 3,491 | 3,698 | 89,591 | 89,921 | 90,493 | 3.77 | 3.88 | 4.09 |
| Louisiana........................ | 4,132 | 4,223 | 4,622 | 100,662 | 101,016 | 101,885 | 4.10 | 4.18 | 4.54 |
| Maine . | 1,585 | 1,708 | 1,838 | 38,334 | 39,466 | 40,292 | 4.13 | 4.33 | 4.56 |
| M aryland ........................ | 9,337 | 10,030 | 11,008 | 126,577 | 128,467 | 131,815 | 7.38 | 7.81 | 8.35 |
| Massachusetts................ | 13,949 | 14,598 | 14,669 | 167,925 | 176,222 | 175,991 | 8.31 | 8.28 | 8.34 |
| Michigan ........................ | 12,839 | 13,255 | 13,721 | 235,401 | 236,912 | 237,616 | 5.45 | 5.59 | 5.77 |
| M innesota ...................... | 9,384 | 10,014 | 10,232 | 134,980 | 139,080 | 143,953 | 6.95 | 7.20 | 7.11 |
| M ississippi ..................... | 1,832 | 1,866 | 1,925 | 59,771 | 59,788 | 59,902 | 3.07 | 3.12 | 3.21 |
| M issouri ......................... | 6,355 | 6,667 | 6,903 | 143,908 | 144,755 | 147,977 | 4.42 | 4.61 | 4.66 |
| Montana........................ | 1,206 | 1,321 | 1,545 | 30,955 | 31,849 | 32,972 | 3.90 | 4.15 | 4.69 |
| Nebraska........................ | 1,834 | 1,955 | 2,045 | 48,655 | 49,623 | 50,259 | 3.77 | 3.94 | 4.07 |
| Nevada.......................... | 2,814 | 3,233 | 3,741 | 44,613 | 48,178 | 51,383 | 6.31 | 6.71 | 7.28 |
| New Hampshire .............. | 2,840 | 2,874 | 2,932 | 36,842 | 37,414 | 37,928 | 7.71 | 7.68 | 7.73 |
| New J ersey .................... | 18,964 | 20,089 | 20,621 | 230,857 | 233,559 | 237,505 | 8.21 | 8.60 | 8.68 |
| New Mexico ................... | 2,143 | 2,227 | 2,368 | 42,607 | 42,782 | 43,213 | 5.03 | 5.21 | 5.48 |
| New York........................ | 25,289 | 27,507 | 28,552 | 481,956 | 492,073 | 498,921 | 5.25 | 5.59 | 5.72 |
| North Carolina................. | 10,078 | 10,887 | 11,633 | 198,689 | 203,903 | 207,562 | 5.07 | 5.34 | 5.60 |
| North Dakota | 570 | 606 | 671 | 20,288 | 20,139 | 20,422 | 2.81 | 3.01 | 3.29 |
| Ohio .............................. | 14,234 | 14,566 | 15,202 | 270,339 | 270,509 | 271,181 | 5.27 | 5.38 | 5.61 |
| Oklahoma....................... | 3,752 | 3,810 | 4,101 | 84,880 | 85,094 | 86,029 | 4.42 | 4.48 | 4.77 |
| Oregon.......................... | 5,468 | 5,693 | 6,009 | 99,183 | 100,645 | 101,933 | 5.51 | 5.66 | 5.90 |
| Pennsylvania.................. | 15,320 | 16,090 | 17,121 | 292,655 | 294,741 | 297,257 | 5.23 | 5.46 | 5.76 |
| Rhode Island................... | 1,444 | 1,516 | 1,628 | 28,244 | 28,534 | 28,860 | 5.11 | 5.31 | 5.64 |
| South Carolina ................ | 3,942 | 4,119 | 4,406 | 94,985 | 97,146 | 98,357 | 4.15 | 4.24 | 4.48 |
| South Dakota .................. | 684 | 723 | 779 | 23,521 | 23,783 | 24,439 | 2.91 | 3.04 | 3.19 |
| Tennessee. | 5,421 | 5,561 | 5,739 | 131,108 | 130,876 | 130,556 | 4.13 | 4.25 | 4.40 |
| Texas. | 27,094 | 28,410 | 30,421 | 462,866 | 471,509 | 482,169 | 5.85 | 6.03 | 6.31 |
| Utah . | 3,399 | 3,750 | 4,243 | 52,025 | 55,379 | 58,788 | 6.53 | 6.77 | 7.22 |
| Vermont......................... | 1,068 | 1,109 | 1,169 | 21,261 | 21,564 | 21,624 | 5.02 | 5.14 | 5.41 |
| Virginia ........................... | 12,767 | 14,015 | 15,122 | 172,182 | 175,582 | 180,501 | 7.41 | 7.98 | 8.38 |
| Washington .................... | 9,627 | 10,175 | 10,642 | 161,472 | 164,018 | 165,933 | 5.96 | 6.20 | 6.41 |
| West Virginia ................... | 1,208 | 1,224 | 1,288 | 41,703 | 41,047 | 40,488 | 2.90 | 2.98 | 3.18 |
| Wisconsin ...................... | 6,497 | 6,655 | 7,080 | 138,635 | 140,415 | 142,086 | 4.69 | 4.74 | 4.98 |
| Wyoming....................... | 723 | 742 | 817 | 17,887 | 18,120 | 18,769 | 4.04 | 4.09 | 4.35 |
| Puerto Rico....................... | NA | NA | NA | 42,577 | 44,015 | 45,642 | NA | NA | NA |

NA = not available
SOURCES: U.S. Census Bureau, 1989-2002 Business Information Tracking Series, special tabulations; and County Business Patterns, various years.

## Net High-Technology Business Formations as Share of All Business Establishments

Figure 8-37
Net high-technology business formations as share of all business establishments: 2002


SOURCES: U.S. Census Bureau, 1989-2002 Business Information Tracking Series, special tabulations; and County Business Patterns. See table 8-37.

## Findings

- In 2002, from a base of approximately 7 million total business establishments, 60,000 new business establishments were formed in high-technology industries and 61,000 ceased operation in those same industries, indicating a net loss of more than 1,000 businesses in high-technology industries in the United States.
- This represented a significant change from 2000, when nearly 10,000 net business formations in hightechnology industries occurred in the United States.
- The number of states that reported net losses of business establishments in high-technology industries rose from 3 in 2000 to 21 in 2002, indicating a more challenging business environment.
- Nevada, California, Virginia, and Utah showed unusually high rates of net high-technology business formations in 2000, but because of significant fluctuations in this indicator, only Utah continued to show a high value in 2002.

The business base of a state is constantly changing as new businesses form and others cease to function. The term "net business formations" refers to the difference between the number of businesses that are formed and the number that cease operations during any particular year. This difference can be small and can vary significantly from year to year.

The ratio of the number of net business formations that occur in high-technology industries to the number of business establishments in a state indicates the changing role of high-technology industries in a
state's economy. High positive values indicate an increasingly prominent role for these industries.

The data on business establishments in high-technology industries for 1998 through 2002 were based on their classification according to the 1997 edition of the North American Industry Classification System. Company births and deaths are determined from their Employer Identification Numbers in the U.S. Census Bureau records; thus, changes in company name, ownership, or address are not counted as business formations or business deaths.

Table 8-37
Net high-technology business formations as share of all business establishments, by state: 1999, 2000, and 2002

| State | Net high-technology business formations |  |  | All business establishments |  |  | High-technology formations/business establishments (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1999 | 2000 | 2002 | 1999 | 2000 | 2002 | 1999 | 2000 | 2002 |
| United States. | 13,208 | 9,741 | -1,166 | 7,008,444 | 7,070,048 | 7,200,770 | 0.19 | 0.14 | -0.02 |
| Alabama........................ | 81 | 92 | -5 | 100,507 | 99,817 | 99,931 | 0.08 | 0.09 | -0.01 |
| Alaska .......................... | 22 | -6 | -3 | 18,433 | 18,501 | 18,856 | 0.12 | -0.03 | -0.02 |
| Arizona... | 246 | 210 | 57 | 112,545 | 114,804 | 119,740 | 0.22 | 0.18 | 0.05 |
| Arkansas ........................ | 67 | 46 | 31 | 62,737 | 63,185 | 63,869 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.05 |
| California....................... | 1,947 | 2,452 | -508 | 784,935 | 799,863 | 820,997 | 0.25 | 0.31 | -0.06 |
| Colorado ....................... | 367 | 378 | 41 | 133,743 | 137,528 | 142,247 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.03 |
| Connecticut ................... | 66 | 6 | -170 | 92,454 | 92,436 | 92,375 | 0.07 | 0.01 | -0.18 |
| Delaware ....................... | 74 | 55 | 5 | 23,381 | 23,771 | 24,377 | 0.32 | 0.23 | 0.02 |
| District of Columbia ......... | 81 | 78 | 70 | 19,469 | 19,655 | 19,930 | 0.42 | 0.40 | 0.35 |
| Florida .......................... | 950 | 595 | 555 | 424,089 | 428,438 | 450,188 | 0.22 | 0.14 | 0.12 |
| Georgia ......................... | 524 | 246 | 15 | 197,759 | 200,442 | 206,323 | 0.26 | 0.12 | 0.01 |
| Hawaii. | 42 | 32 | 44 | 29,569 | 29,853 | 30,633 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.14 |
| Idaho. | 47 | 66 | 62 | 36,975 | 37,429 | 38,842 | 0.13 | 0.18 | 0.16 |
| Illinois ............................ | 830 | 248 | -626 | 306,899 | 308,067 | 309,980 | 0.27 | 0.08 | -0.20 |
| Indiana .......................... | 220 | 86 | 9 | 146,528 | 146,321 | 147,304 | 0.15 | 0.06 | 0.01 |
| Iowa ............................. | 55 | 35 | -2 | 81,213 | 80,890 | 81,042 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.00 |
| Kansas .......................... | 102 | 116 | -41 | 74,486 | 74,939 | 75,077 | 0.14 | 0.15 | -0.05 |
| Kentucky....................... | 128 | 28 | 56 | 89,946 | 89,921 | 90,493 | 0.14 | 0.03 | 0.06 |
| Louisiana....................... | -2 | 47 | 101 | 101,020 | 101,016 | 101,885 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.10 |
| Maine ........................... | 75 | 51 | 5 | 38,878 | 39,466 | 40,292 | 0.19 | 0.13 | 0.01 |
| Maryland ....................... | 414 | 270 | 140 | 127,431 | 128,467 | 131,815 | 0.32 | 0.21 | 0.11 |
| Massachusetts............... | 339 | 300 | -367 | 173,267 | 176,222 | 175,991 | 0.20 | 0.17 | -0.21 |
| Michigan ....................... | 148 | 196 | -147 | 236,456 | 236,912 | 237,616 | 0.06 | 0.08 | -0.06 |
| M innesota ..................... | 393 | 218 | -318 | 137,305 | 139,080 | 143,953 | 0.29 | 0.16 | -0.22 |
| M ississippi .................... | 0 | 56 | -5 | 59,834 | 59,788 | 59,902 | 0.00 | 0.09 | -0.01 |
| M issouri ........................ | 171 | 101 | -32 | 144,874 | 144,755 | 147,977 | 0.12 | 0.07 | -0.02 |
| M ontana........................ | 41 | 63 | 37 | 31,365 | 31,849 | 32,972 | 0.13 | 0.20 | 0.11 |
| Nebraska....................... | 43 | 34 | -17 | 48,968 | 49,623 | 50,259 | 0.09 | 0.07 | -0.03 |
| Nevada.......................... | 216 | 153 | 83 | 46,890 | 48,178 | 51,383 | 0.46 | 0.32 | 0.16 |
| New Hampshire .............. | 50 | 31 | -33 | 37,180 | 37,414 | 37,928 | 0.13 | 0.08 | -0.09 |
| New J ersey .................... | 856 | 290 | -661 | 231,823 | 233,559 | 237,505 | 0.37 | 0.12 | -0.28 |
| New Mexico .................... | 48 | 26 | 49 | 42,918 | 42,782 | 43,213 | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.11 |
| New York....................... | 913 | 841 | -413 | 485,954 | 492,073 | 498,921 | 0.19 | 0.17 | -0.08 |
| North Carolina................. | 453 | 238 | 6 | 201,706 | 203,903 | 207,562 | 0.22 | 0.12 | 0.00 |
| North Dakota .................. | 10 | 20 | 35 | 20,380 | 20,139 | 20,422 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.17 |
| Ohio ............................. | 402 | 129 | -42 | 270,766 | 270,509 | 271,181 | 0.15 | 0.05 | -0.02 |
| Oklahoma...................... | 50 | -25 | 34 | 84,854 | 85,094 | 86,029 | 0.06 | -0.03 | 0.04 |
| Oregon......................... | 100 | 102 | -12 | 99,945 | 100,645 | 101,933 | 0.10 | 0.10 | -0.01 |
| Pennsylvania.................. | 476 | 257 | 102 | 293,491 | 294,741 | 297,257 | 0.16 | 0.09 | 0.03 |
| Rhode Island.................. | 39 | 46 | 17 | 28,240 | 28,534 | 28,860 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 0.06 |
| South Carolina ................ | 151 | 70 | 29 | 96,440 | 97,146 | 98,357 | 0.16 | 0.07 | 0.03 |
| South Dakota .................. | 11 | 33 | 3 | 23,693 | 23,783 | 24,439 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.01 |
| Tennessee..................... | 31 | 69 | -3 | 131,116 | 130,876 | 130,556 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.00 |
| Texas ............................ | 765 | 306 | 202 | 467,087 | 471,509 | 482,169 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 0.04 |
| Utah ............................. | 132 | 167 | 139 | 53,809 | 55,379 | 58,788 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.24 |
| Vermont........................ | 35 | 22 | -6 | 21,598 | 21,564 | 21,624 | 0.16 | 0.10 | -0.03 |
| Virginia .......................... | 600 | 550 | 257 | 173,550 | 175,582 | 180,501 | 0.35 | 0.31 | 0.14 |
| Washington.................... | 203 | 253 | -66 | 162,932 | 164,018 | 165,933 | 0.12 | 0.15 | -0.04 |
| West Virginia .................. | 50 | -4 | 24 | 41,451 | 41,047 | 40,488 | 0.12 | -0.01 | 0.06 |
| Wisconsin ...................... | 144 | 54 | 68 | 139,646 | 140,415 | 142,086 | 0.10 | 0.04 | 0.05 |
| Wyoming........................ | 2 | 14 | 35 | 17,909 | 18,120 | 18,769 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.19 |
| Puerto Rico........................ | NA | NA | NA | 43,464 | 44,015 | 45,642 | NA | NA | NA |

NA = not available
SOURCES: U.S. Census Bureau, 1989-2002 Business Information Tracking Series, special tabulations; and County Business Patterns, various years

## Employment in High-Technology Establishments as Share of Total Employment

Figure 8-38
Employment in high-technology establishments as share of total employment: 2002


| 1st quartile (11.73\%-9.13\%) | 2nd quartile (8.90\%-7.55\%) | 3rd quartile (7.47\%-5.78\%) | 4th quartile (5.47\%-2.56\%) | No data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Colorado | Arizona | Alabama | Alaska | California |
| Connecticut | Delaware | Arkansas | Florida | Texas |
| District of Columbia | Illinois | Georgia | Hawaii |  |
| Idaho | lowa | Missouri | Louisiana |  |
| Indiana | Kentucky | Nebraska | Maine |  |
| Kansas | Minnesota | New Mexico | Mississippi |  |
| Maryland | New J ersey | New York | Montana |  |
| Massachusetts | Ohio | North Carolina | Nevada |  |
| Michigan | Oregon | North Dakota | South Dakota |  |
| New Hampshire | South Carolina | Oklahoma | West Virginia |  |
| Vermont | Tennessee | Pennsylvania | Wyoming |  |
| Virginia | Utah | Rhode Island |  |  |
| Washington | Wisconsin |  |  |  |

SOURCES: U.S. Census Bureau, 1989-2002 Business Information Tracking Series, special tabulations; and County Business Patterns. See table 8-38.

## Findings

- Employment in high-technology establishments grew from 9.6 to 10.1 million workers between 1998 and 2000 but declined to 9.3 million workers by 2002.
- Nearly $7 \%$ of the jobs in high-technology industries in the United States disappeared between 2000 and 2002.
- On the high-technology employment indicator, states varied greatly in 2002, ranging from $2.6 \%$ to $11.7 \%$ of their workforce.
- Not surprisingly, states were distributed similarly on the high-technology employment and high-technology establishment indicators.

This indicator measures the extent to which the workforce in a state is employed in high-technology industries. High-technology industries are defined as those in which the proportion of employees both in research and development and in all technology occupations is at least twice the average proportion for all industries. State economies with a high value are probably well positioned to take advantage
of new technological developments because they have a relatively larger pool of experienced high-technology workers.

The data pertaining to establishments for the years 1998 through 2002 were based on their classification according to the 1997 edition of the North American Industry Classification System.

Table 8-38
Employment in high-technology establishments as share of total employment, by state: 1998, 2000, and 2002

| State | Employment in high-technology establishments |  |  | All employment |  |  | High-technology/ all employment (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1998 | 2000 | 2002 | 1998 | 2000 | 2002 | 1998 | 2000 | 2002 |
| United States | , | 10,086,689 | 9,381,708 | 108,116,064 | 114,064,976 | 112,400,654 | 8.93 | 8.84 | 8.35 |
| Alabama. | 113,340 | 119,207 | 114,035 | 1,604,084 | 1,653,074 | 1,581,117 | 7.07 | 7.21 | 7.21 |
| Alaska | 6,518 | 7,772 | 9,987 | 196,135 | 204,887 | 213,600 | 3.32 | 3.79 | 4.68 |
| Arizona. | 157,010 | 166,678 | 154,931 | 1,763,508 | 1,919,353 | 1,945,472 | 8.90 | 8.68 | 7.96 |
| Arkansas | 62,620 | 64,564 | 61,486 | 944,906 | 990,830 | 974,969 | 6.63 | 6.52 | 6.31 |
| California. | 1,312,754 | 1,397,776 | NA | 12,026,963 | 12,884,692 | 12,856,426 | 10.92 | 10.85 | NA |
| Colorado | 166,494 | 190,282 | 179,894 | 1,757,604 | 1,913,302 | 1,912,152 | 9.47 | 9.95 | 9.41 |
| Connecticut | 160,575 | 166,788 | 158,919 | 1,493,929 | 1,546,250 | 1,555,595 | 10.75 | 10.79 | 10.22 |
| Delaware. | 29,932 | 29,208 | 29,374 | 354,643 | 377,277 | 389,304 | 8.44 | 7.74 | 7.55 |
| District of Columbia .. | 32,038 | 36,111 | 38,375 | 402,070 | 414,983 | 418,755 | 7.97 | 8.70 | 9.16 |
| Florida | 316,257 | 339,093 | 348,552 | 5,756,348 | 6,217,386 | 6,366,964 | 5.49 | 5.45 | 5.47 |
| Georgia | 228,511 | 256,208 | 239,611 | 3,198,912 | 3,483,500 | 3,381,244 | 7.14 | 7.35 | 7.09 |
| Hawaii | 8,258 | 10,292 | 11,267 | 416,571 | 432,092 | 439,934 | 1.98 | 2.38 | 2.56 |
| Idaho | 41,044 | 43,356 | 41,418 | 423,615 | 450,788 | 453,552 | 9.69 | 9.62 | 9.13 |
| Illinois. | 476,305 | 491,433 | 430,581 | 5,221,571 | 5,501,036 | 5,224,293 | 9.12 | 8.93 | 8.24 |
| Indiana | 291,151 | 302,599 | 258,783 | 2,540,730 | 2,650,774 | 2,517,180 | 11.46 | 11.42 | 10.28 |
| lowa | 100,990 | 101,015 | 94,006 | 1,213,285 | 1,265,064 | 1,229,609 | 8.32 | 7.98 | 7.65 |
| Kansas | 117,366 | 116,476 | 108,809 | 1,081,925 | 1,128,732 | 1,098,894 | 10.85 | 10.32 | 9.90 |
| Kentucky. | 116,730 | 126,237 | 115,466 | 1,442,873 | 1,513,722 | 1,462,517 | 8.09 | 8.34 | 7.90 |
| Louisiana. | 94,915 | 89,305 | 84,639 | 1,577,069 | 1,592,357 | 1,583,308 | 6.02 | 5.61 | 5.35 |
| Maine . | 22,534 | 26,310 | 25,145 | 456,715 | 491,780 | 486,766 | 4.93 | 5.35 | 5.17 |
| Maryland | 192,782 | 203,618 | 204,505 | 1,938,727 | 2,058,304 | 2,062,515 | 9.94 | 9.89 | 9.92 |
| Massachusetts | 357,070 | 388,928 | 349,205 | 2,924,872 | 3,087,044 | 3,023,126 | 12.21 | 12.60 | 11.55 |
| Michigan . | 507,762 | 514,017 | 452,606 | 3,919,556 | 4,072,786 | 3,889,825 | 12.95 | 12.62 | 11.64 |
| Minnesota | 201,359 | 210,453 | 192,165 | 2,271,668 | 2,395,361 | 2,359,593 | 8.86 | 8.79 | 8.14 |
| Mississippi | 60,182 | 56,283 | 46,135 | 937,023 | 956,781 | 904,252 | 6.42 | 5.88 | 5.10 |
| Missouri | 201,038 | 178,522 | 175,851 | 2,310,043 | 2,398,979 | 2,354,230 | 8.70 | 7.44 | 7.47 |
| Montana | 10,312 | 12,256 | 13,395 | 277,144 | 296,220 | 300,636 | 3.72 | 4.14 | 4.46 |
| Nebraska. | 57,718 | 59,228 | 53,739 | 720,252 | 751,076 | 749,098 | 8.01 | 7.89 | 7.17 |
| Nevada.. | 26,300 | 31,814 | 33,411 | 800,861 | 902,775 | 936,225 | 3.28 | 3.52 | 3.57 |
| New Hampshire | 58,282 | 53,475 | 58,635 | 518,526 | 546,400 | 550,725 | 11.24 | 9.79 | 10.65 |
| New J ersey ... | 299,146 | 322,935 | 304,723 | 3,368,359 | 3,548,429 | 3,596,919 | 8.88 | 9.10 | 8.47 |
| New Mexico | 43,681 | 43,137 | 34,228 | 540,182 | 549,352 | 554,156 | 8.09 | 7.85 | 6.18 |
| New York.. | 486,679 | 513,472 | 491,094 | 6,993,790 | 7,353,209 | 7,234,915 | 6.96 | 6.98 | 6.79 |
| North Carolina. | 260,203 | 268,284 | 246,059 | 3,223,167 | 3,385,492 | 3,322,004 | 8.07 | 7.92 | 7.41 |
| North Dakota | 15,542 | 15,916 | 14,678 | 249,476 | 255,178 | 253,980 | 6.23 | 6.24 | 5.78 |
| Ohio | 479,462 | 484,110 | 406,756 | 4,806,025 | 5,001,980 | 4,743,151 | 9.98 | 9.68 | 8.58 |
| Oklahoma. | 86,402 | 85,533 | 82,096 | 1,167,707 | 1,201,606 | 1,200,477 | 7.40 | 7.12 | 6.84 |
| Oregon. | 108,322 | 108,254 | 103,806 | 1,310,750 | 1,355,442 | 1,329,235 | 8.26 | 7.99 | 7.81 |
| Pennsylvania. | 375,364 | 394,786 | 353,631 | 4,906,117 | 5,087,237 | 5,046,442 | 7.65 | 7.76 | 7.01 |
| Rhode Island.. | 23,134 | 24,809 | 24,125 | 402,476 | 415,168 | 415,970 | 5.75 | 5.98 | 5.80 |
| South Carolina | 140,065 | 137,014 | 127,447 | 1,526,106 | 1,601,532 | 1,538,750 | 9.18 | 8.56 | 8.28 |
| South Dakota. | 24,438 | 23,346 | 16,308 | 289,422 | 306,704 | 303,646 | 8.44 | 7.61 | 5.37 |
| Tennessee. | 189,396 | 195,796 | 180,788 | 2,299,343 | 2,390,322 | 2,291,504 | 8.24 | 8.19 | 7.89 |
| Texas | 685,349 | 703,206 | NA | 7,570,292 | 8,026,438 | 7,993,559 | 9.05 | 8.76 | NA |
| Utah | 84,581 | 89,486 | 80,153 | 866,146 | 917,089 | 900,428 | 9.77 | 9.76 | 8.90 |
| Vermont. | 20,766 | 22,761 | 25,317 | 239,034 | 253,541 | 258,058 | 8.69 | 8.98 | 9.81 |
| Virginia | 308,922 | 348,426 | 341,935 | 2,700,589 | 2,903,548 | 2,914,804 | 11.44 | 12.00 | 11.73 |
| Washington. | 241,200 | 258,234 | 242,943 | 2,134,597 | 2,267,485 | 2,185,658 | 11.30 | 11.39 | 11.12 |
| West Virginia | 31,065 | 30,903 | 30,351 | 547,234 | 558,171 | 561,478 | 5.68 | 5.54 | 5.41 |
| Wisconsin. | 211,695 | 220,093 | 188,024 | 2,319,343 | 2,414,834 | 2,355,816 | 9.13 | 9.11 | 7.98 |
| Wyoming....................... | 6,379 | 6,884 | 8,082 | 163,781 | 174,614 | 177,828 | 3.89 | 3.94 | 4.54 |
| Puerto Rico........................ | NA | NA | NA | 687,707 | 727,449 | 691,110 | NA | NA | NA |

NA = not available
NOTE: U.S. total represents the reported value because 2002 data for California and Texas were suppressed.
SOURCES: U.S. Census Bureau, 1989-2002 Business Information Tracking Series, special tabulations; and County Business Patterns, various years.
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## Average SBIR Program Award Dollars per \$1 Million of Gross State Product

Figure 8-39
Average SBIR program award dollars per \$1 million of gross state product: 2001-03


| 1st quartile (\$721-\$161) | 2nd quartile (\$158-\$84) | 3rd quartile (\$83-\$47) | 4th quartile (\$46-\$21) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | Arizona | District of Columbia | Alaska |
| California | Connecticut | Florida | Arkansas |
| Colorado | Delaware | Hawaii | Georgia |
| Maryland | Maine | Idaho | Illinois |
| Massachusetts | Michigan | Kansas | Indiana |
| Montana | Minnesota | Nevada | Iowa |
| New Hampshire | New J ersey | New York | Kentucky |
| New Mexico | Oregon | North Carolina | Louisiana |
| Ohio | Pennsylvania | North Dakota | Mississippi |
| Utah | Rhode Island | South Carolina | Missouri |
| Vermont | West Virginia | South Dakota | Nebraska |
| Virginia | Wisconsin | Tennessee | Oklahoma |
| Washington | Wyoming | Texas |  |

## Findings

- Significant growth has occurred in the SBIR program in recent years as total awards have increased from $\$ 590$ million in 199294 to $\$ 1.5$ billion in 2001-03. The value of SBIR awards is not evenly distributed but is concentrated in relatively few states; the total of annual state awards may range from under $\$ 1$ million to more than $\$ 300$ million.
- Many of the states with the highest rankings on this indicator are locations of federal laboratories or well-recognized academic research institutions from which innovative small businesses have emerged.
- States with a high ranking on this indicator also tend to rank high on the hightechnology and venture capital indicators.

Funds awarded through the federal Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) program support technological innovation in small companies (i.e., companies with 500 or fewer employees). Awards are made to evaluate the feasibility and scientific merit of new technology (up to $\$ 100,000$ ) and to develop the technology to a point where it can be commercialized (up to $\$ 750,000)$.

Because of year-to-year fluctuations, this indicator is calculated using 3 -year averages. The average annual SBIR award dollars won by the small businesses in a state are divided by the average annual gross state product. A high value indicates that companies in a state are doing cutting-edge development work that attracts federal support.

Table 8-39
Average SBIR program award dollars per \$1 million of gross state product, by state: 1992-94, 1997-99, and 2001-03

| State | Average SBIR awards (\$ thousands) |  |  | Average GSP (\$ millions) |  |  | SBIR awards/\$1 million GSP |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1992-94 | 1997-99 | 2001-03 | 1992-94 | 1997-99 | 2001-03 | 1992-94 | 1997-99 | 2001-03 |
| United States. | 589,878 | 1,070,869 | 1,472,509 | 6,497,777 | 8,706,261 | 10,464,751 | 91 | 123 | 141 |
| Alabama..................... | 9,461 | 20,269 | 25,734 | 84,161 | 106,791 | 124,273 | 112 | 190 | 207 |
| Alaska ....................... | 164 | 159 | 715 | 22,889 | 24,292 | 29,601 | 7 | 7 | 24 |
| Arizona...................... | 7,963 | 20,063 | 26,840 | 86,715 | 137,458 | 173,529 | 92 | 146 | 155 |
| Arkansas. | 522 | 808 | 1,881 | 47,030 | 61,906 | 71,445 | 11 | 13 | 26 |
| California................... | 135,384 | 227,108 | 314,505 | 838,509 | 1,096,445 | 1,369,864 | 161 | 207 | 230 |
| Colorado | 23,019 | 52,442 | 70,313 | 92,695 | 144,304 | 182,390 | 248 | 363 | 386 |
| Connecticut ................ | 20,966 | 25,019 | 23,399 | 107,220 | 144,576 | 168,918 | 196 | 173 | 139 |
| Delaware.................... | 1,843 | 3,206 | 4,184 | 23,916 | 37,391 | 47,509 | 77 | 86 | 88 |
| District of Columbia ..... | 1,400 | 3,907 | 5,456 | 45,437 | 52,747 | 67,022 | 31 | 74 | 81 |
| Florida.. | 11,887 | 22,221 | 31,500 | 302,645 | 416,717 | 524,303 | 39 | 53 | 60 |
| Georgia | 3,769 | 12,022 | 14,228 | 170,493 | 256,758 | 309,383 | 22 | 47 | 46 |
| Hawaii.. | 2,464 | 2,811 | 3,678 | 35,796 | 37,954 | 44,066 | 69 | 74 | 83 |
| Idaho ......................... | 377 | 872 | 3,074 | 22,615 | 30,408 | 38,402 | 17 | 29 | 80 |
| Illinois ......................... | 8,664 | 14,069 | 20,882 | 321,523 | 423,807 | 487,588 | 27 | 33 | 43 |
| Indiana ...................... | 1,961 | 5,843 | 8,218 | 131,763 | 177,586 | 204,136 | 15 | 33 | 40 |
| Iowa ......................... | 544 | 1,307 | 4,235 | 64,391 | 84,074 | 97,700 | 8 | 16 | 43 |
| Kansas .. | 1,008 | 3,223 | 4,242 | 58,607 | 75,825 | 90,115 | 17 | 43 | 47 |
| Kentucky.................... | 740 | 2,847 | 2,806 | 81,072 | 110,029 | 122,164 | 9 | 26 | 23 |
| Louisiana.................... | 1,251 | 1,165 | 2,861 | 94,699 | 119,123 | 138,749 | 13 | 10 | 21 |
| Maine ........................ | 1,822 | 1,627 | 3,453 | 25,152 | 32,105 | 38,983 | 72 | 51 | 89 |
| Maryland | 29,383 | 51,092 | 74,933 | 125,417 | 162,308 | 202,779 | 234 | 315 | 370 |
| Massachusetts. | 97,176 | 162,934 | 208,446 | 175,051 | 237,599 | 289,242 | 555 | 686 | 721 |
| Michigan . | 10,671 | 23,952 | 29,292 | 224,901 | 311,523 | 347,416 | 47 | 77 | 84 |
| Minnesota .................. | 7,068 | 14,162 | 23,017 | 117,199 | 165,231 | 200,007 | 60 | 86 | 115 |
| Mississippi ................. | 394 | 701 | 2,072 | 47,012 | 60,412 | 68,716 | 8 | 12 | 30 |
| M issouri ..................... | 1,817 | 4,693 | 4,725 | 120,668 | 163,437 | 187,655 | 15 | 29 | 25 |
| Montana..................... | 1,153 | 2,241 | 7,073 | 16,039 | 19,802 | 24,044 | 72 | 113 | 294 |
| Nebraska... | 1,140 | 1,177 | 1,831 | 39,962 | 52,103 | 61,247 | 29 | 23 | 30 |
| Nevada...................... | 1,430 | 2,167 | 5,822 | 40,465 | 64,450 | 83,397 | 35 | 34 | 70 |
| New Hampshire ........... | 7,612 | 13,209 | 17,764 | 27,874 | 38,613 | 46,234 | 273 | 342 | 384 |
| New J ersey ................. | 19,682 | 31,599 | 39,682 | 243,698 | 313,545 | 378,067 | 81 | 101 | 105 |
| New Mexico ............... | 12,884 | 19,682 | 20,599 | 36,756 | 47,545 | 53,800 | 351 | 414 | 383 |
| New York................... | 29,135 | 42,363 | 59,884 | 550,414 | 688,790 | 812,682 | 53 | 62 | 74 |
| North Carolina............. | 6,769 | 14,195 | 19,679 | 168,673 | 243,113 | 301,330 | 40 | 58 | 65 |
| North Dakota .............. | 349 | 505 | 1,595 | 13,244 | 16,973 | 20,135 | 26 | 30 | 79 |
| Ohio .......................... | , 538 | 41,007 | 62,315 | 262,320 | 346,929 | 386,449 | 63 | 118 | 161 |
| Oklahoma................... | 1,185 | 3,053 | 4,257 | 64,697 | 80,591 | 96,373 | 18 | 38 | 44 |
| Oregon...................... | 8,035 | 15,433 | 16,608 | 69,102 | 100,783 | 115,479 | 116 | 153 | 144 |
| Pennsylvania............... | 18,355 | 40,177 | 56,851 | 285,616 | 361,014 | 425,470 | 64 | 111 | 134 |
| Rhode Island............... | 1,893 | 2,112 | 5,897 | 23,526 | 29,701 | 37,297 | 80 | 71 | 158 |
| South Carolina ............. | 78 | 1,418 | 6,670 | 76,048 | 103,321 | 122,672 | 1 | 14 | 54 |
| South Dakota .............. | 33 | 1,089 | 1,661 | 15,976 | 20,764 | 25,756 | 2 | 52 | 64 |
| Tennessee .................. | 6,248 | 8,071 | 9,793 | 119,705 | 161,180 | 191,566 | 52 | 50 | 51 |
| Texas......................... | 18,242 | 38,567 | 54,863 | 449,808 | 631,798 | 782,936 | 41 | 61 | 70 |
| Utah .......................... | 8,344 | 9,628 | 14,192 | 38,762 | 60,342 | 73,603 | 215 | 160 | 193 |
| Vermont..................... | 1,155 | 2,764 | 3,940 | 13,126 | 15,921 | 19,540 | 88 | 174 | 202 |
| Virginia ...................... | 30,506 | 64,357 | 85,948 | 168,708 | 226,707 | 290,057 | 181 | 284 | 296 |
| Washington ................ | 13,118 | 26,209 | 37,722 | 138,903 | 195,837 | 234,923 | 94 | 134 | 161 |
| West Virginia ............... | 17 | 1,153 | 4,292 | 32,745 | 39,931 | 45,166 | 1 | 29 | 95 |
| Wisconsin .................. | 4,251 | 8,951 | 16,544 | 120,260 | 160,387 | 189,992 | 35 | 56 | 87 |
| Wyoming................... | 11 | 1,220 | 2,339 | 13,774 | 15,315 | 20,581 | 1 | 80 | 114 |
| Puerto Rico.................... | 0 | 73 | 82 | 37,081 | 53,372 | 71,626 | 0 | 1 | 1 |

GSP = gross state product; SBIR = Small Business Innovation Research
NOTE: GSP is reported in current dollars.
SOURCES: U.S. Small Business Administration, Office of Technology, SBIR program statistics, various years; U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Gross State Product data; and Government of Puerto Rico, Office of the Governor.

## Venture Capital Disbursed per $\$ 1,000$ of Gross State Product

Figure 8-40
Venture capital disbursed per \$1,000 of gross state product: 2003


| 1st quartile (\$8.70-\$1.25) | 2nd quartile (\$1.24-\$0.42) | 3rd quartile (\$0.38-\$0.11) | 4th quartile (\$0.06-\$0.00) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California | District of Columbia | Alabama | Alaska |
| Colorado | Florida | Arizona | Arkansas |
| Connecticut | Georgia | Hawaii | Delaware |
| Idaho | Illinois | Indiana | Iowa |
| Maryland | Minnesota | Michigan | Kansas |
| Massachusetts | M issouri | New Mexico | Kentucky |
| New Hampshire | Nevada | Ohio | Louisiana |
| New J ersey | New York | Oklahoma | Maine |
| Pennsylvania | North Carolina | South Carolina | Mississippi |
| Rhode Island | North Dakota | South Dakota | Montana |
| Texas | Oregon | Tennessee | Nebraska |
| Utah | Virginia | Vermont | Wyoming |
| Washington | West Virginia | Wisconsin |  |

SOURCES: PricewaterhouseCoopers, Venture Economics, and National Venture Capital Association, MoneyTree Survey ${ }^{\top M}$ special tabulations; and U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Gross State Product data. See table 8-40.

## Findings

- The amount of venture capital invested in the United States increased more than 10 -fold, from nearly $\$ 8$ billion in 1995 to a record $\$ 106$ billion in 2000, before falling to $\$ 19$ billion in 2003 (in current dollars).
- In 2003, the state average for venture capital disbursed per $\$ 1,000$ GSP was $\$ 1.73$, which was larger than the $\$ 1.13$ invested in 1995 but only about one-sixth the fraction of GSP invested in 2000.
- Companies in California received $43 \%$ of the total venture capital disbursed in the United States in 2003, followed by companies in Massachusetts with $14 \%$.
- The state distribution of venture capital was similar to that for the high-technology indicators.

Venture capital represents an important source of funding for start-up companies. This indicator shows the relative magnitude of venture capital investments in a state after adjusting for the size of the state's economy. The indicator is expressed as dollars of venture capital disbursed per \$1,000 of gross state product (GSP).

Venture capital investments represent a method of funding the growth and expansion of companies early in their development before establishing a predictable sales history that would qualify them for other types of financing. Access to this type of financing varies greatly in different states.

Table 8-40
Venture capital disbursed per \$1,000 of gross state product, by state: 1995, 2000, and 2003

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

GSP = gross state product
NOTE: GSP is reported in current dollars.
SOURCES: PricewaterhouseCoopers, Venture Economics, and National Venture Capital Association, MoneyTree Survey ${ }^{\top M}$, special tabulations; U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Gross State Product data; and Government of Puerto Rico, Office of the Governor.

## Venture Capital Deals as Share of High-Technology Business Establishments

Figure 8-41
Venture capital deals as share of high-technology business establishments: 2002


| 1st quartile (2.43\%-0.57\%) | 2nd quartile (0.55\%-0.30\%) | 3rd quartile (0.27\%-0.14\%) | 4th quartile (0.13\%-0.00\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California | Arizona | Alabama | Alaska |
| Colorado | Illinois | Arkansas | Hawaii |
| Connecticut | Minnesota | Delaware | Idaho |
| Georgia | Missouri | District of Columbia | lowa |
| Maryland | New J ersey | Florida | Kansas |
| Massachusetts | New Mexico | Indiana | Kentucky |
| New Hampshire | New York | Louisiana | Montana |
| North Carolina | Ohio | Maine | Nevada |
| Rhode Island | Oregon | Michigan | North Dakota |
| Utah | Pennsylvania | M ississippi | Oklahoma |
| Virginia | South Dakota | Nebraska | South Carolina |
| Washington | Tennessee | Wisconsin | Wyoming |
| West Virginia | Texas Vermont |  |  |

SOURCES: PricewaterhouseCoopers, Venture Economics, and National Venture Capital Association, MoneyTree Survey ${ }^{T M}$, special tabulations; and U.S. Census Bureau, 1989-2002 Business Information Tracking Series, special tabulations. See table 8-41.

## Findings

- The number of venture capital deals that involved U.S. companies fell from 8,000 to 3,000 between 2000 and 2002, a decline of more than $50 \%$.
- In 2002, the distribution of venture capital among high-technology companies was uneven. Companies in only 10 states exceeded the national average of $0.67 \%$.
- The high-technology companies located in Massachusetts were the most successful in accessing venture capital investments in 2002 with a $2.4 \%$ success rate. This was less than half the rate of Massachusetts companies that received such funding in 2000.
- In 2002, no venture capital deals were reported in four states.

This indicator provides a measure of the extent to which high-technology companies in a state receive venture capital investments. The value of the indicator is calculated by dividing the number of venture capital deals by the number of companies operating in high-technology industries in that state. In most cases, a company will not receive more than one infusion of venture capital in a given year.

Venture capital investment can bring needed capital and management expertise that can help to grow a hightechnology company. High values indicate that high-technology companies in a state are frequently using venture capital to facilitate their growth and development.

Table 8-41
Venture capital deals as share of high-technology business establishments, by state: 1998, 2000, and 2002

| State | Venture capital deals |  |  | High-technology establishments |  |  | Venture capital deals/ high-technology establishments (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1998 | 2000 | 2002 | 1998 | 2000 | 2002 | 1998 | 2000 | 2002 |
| United States.. | 3,676 | 8,044 | 3,049 | 402,096 | 428,061 | 453,903 | 0.91 | 1.88 | 0.67 |
| Alabama. | 16 | 27 | 10 | 4,068 | 4,208 | 4,383 | 0.39 | 0.64 | 0.23 |
| Alaska ............................. | 0 | 1 | 0 | 730 | 783 | 823 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.00 |
| Arizona .... | 37 | 73 | 25 | 6,877 | 7,493 | 8,368 | 0.54 | 0.97 | 0.30 |
| Arkansas .......................... | 3 | 4 | 5 | 2,003 | 2,170 | 2,329 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.21 |
| California.......................... | 1,419 | 2,996 | 1,056 | 54,998 | 60,799 | 64,348 | 2.58 | 4.93 | 1.64 |
| Colorado .......................... | 128 | 238 | 90 | 10,472 | 11,361 | 12,400 | 1.22 | 2.09 | 0.73 |
| Connecticut ...................... | 75 | 126 | 46 | 6,376 | 6,356 | 6,376 | 1.18 | 1.98 | 0.72 |
| Delaware ........................ | 0 | 4 | 3 | 1,327 | 1,426 | 1,537 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.20 |
| District of Columbia ............ | 6 | 42 | 6 | 1,906 | 2,069 | 2,212 | 0.31 | 2.03 | 0.27 |
| Florida ............................. | 65 | 176 | 55 | 23,982 | 25,873 | 29,149 | 0.27 | 0.68 | 0.19 |
| Georgia | 93 | 229 | 81 | 12,234 | 13,110 | 14,188 | 0.76 | 1.75 | 0.57 |
| Hawaii. | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1,162 | 1,256 | 1,463 | 0.26 | 0.16 | 0.07 |
| Idaho... | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1,435 | 1,632 | 1,889 | 0.21 | 0.25 | 0.11 |
| Illinois .. | 68 | 202 | 72 | 20,643 | 21,479 | 21,962 | 0.33 | 0.94 | 0.33 |
| Indiana | 7 | 25 | 10 | 6,790 | 7,049 | 7,345 | 0.10 | 0.35 | 0.14 |
| lowa .. | 7 | 3 | 1 | 2,604 | 2,677 | 2,904 | 0.27 | 0.11 | 0.03 |
| Kansas ............................ | 4 | 20 | 5 | 3,309 | 3,611 | 3,736 | 0.12 | 0.55 | 0.13 |
| Kentucky.. | 16 | 12 | 4 | 3,381 | 3,491 | 3,698 | 0.47 | 0.34 | 0.11 |
| Louisiana... | 12 | 14 | 8 | 4,132 | 4,223 | 4,622 | 0.29 | 0.33 | 0.17 |
| Maine .............................. | 12 | 15 | 5 | 1,585 | 1,708 | 1,838 | 0.76 | 0.88 | 0.27 |
| Maryland . | 58 | 175 | 91 | 9,337 | 10,030 | 11,008 | 0.62 | 1.74 | 0.83 |
| Massachusetts.................. | 396 | 783 | 357 | 13,949 | 14,598 | 14,669 | 2.84 | 5.36 | 2.43 |
| Michigan .......................... | 30 | 57 | 29 | 12,839 | 13,255 | 13,721 | 0.23 | 0.43 | 0.21 |
| Minnesota .. | 80 | 111 | 56 | 9,384 | 10,014 | 10,232 | 0.85 | 1.11 | 0.55 |
| M ississippi.... | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1,832 | 1,866 | 1,925 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.16 |
| M issouri .......................... | 18 | 53 | 32 | 6,355 | 6,667 | 6,903 | 0.28 | 0.79 | 0.46 |
| Montana... | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1,206 | 1,321 | 1,545 | 0.08 | 0.23 | 0.00 |
| Nebraska.. | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1,834 | 1,955 | 2,045 | 0.22 | 0.15 | 0.15 |
| Nevada...... | 12 | 8 | 5 | 2,814 | 3,233 | 3,741 | 0.43 | 0.25 | 0.13 |
| New Hampshire | 25 | 56 | 35 | 2,840 | 2,874 | 2,932 | 0.88 | 1.95 | 1.19 |
| New J ersey ...................... | 80 | 188 | 86 | 18,964 | 20,089 | 20,621 | 0.42 | 0.94 | 0.42 |
| New Mexico ...................... | 4 | 8 | 7 | 2,143 | 2,227 | 2,368 | 0.19 | 0.36 | 0.30 |
| New York.......................... | 193 | 638 | 152 | 25,289 | 27,507 | 28,552 | 0.76 | 2.32 | 0.53 |
| North Carolina.. | 88 | 162 | 90 | 10,078 | 10,887 | 11,633 | 0.87 | 1.49 | 0.77 |
| North Dakota .................... | 1 | 1 | 0 | 570 | 606 | 671 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.00 |
| Ohio ................................. | 58 | 71 | 46 | 14,234 | 14,566 | 15,202 | 0.41 | 0.49 | 0.30 |
| Oklahoma... | 11 | 10 | 4 | 3,752 | 3,810 | 4,101 | 0.29 | 0.26 | 0.10 |
| Oregon............................ | 19 | 69 | 26 | 5,468 | 5,693 | 6,009 | 0.35 | 1.21 | 0.43 |
| Pennsylvania .................... | 140 | 255 | 88 | 15,320 | 16,090 | 17,121 | 0.91 | 1.58 | 0.51 |
| Rhode Island..................... | 3 | 12 | 13 | 1,444 | 1,516 | 1,628 | 0.21 | 0.79 | 0.80 |
| South Carolina .................. | 16 | 11 | 5 | 3,942 | 4,119 | 4,406 | 0.41 | 0.27 | 0.11 |
| South Dakota ..................... | 0 | 1 | 3 | 684 | 723 | 779 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.39 |
| Tennessee........................ | 26 | 44 | 21 | 5,421 | 5,561 | 5,739 | 0.48 | 0.79 | 0.37 |
| Texas. | 177 | 477 | 165 | 27,094 | 28,410 | 30,421 | 0.65 | 1.68 | 0.54 |
| Utah ................................ | 35 | 61 | 25 | 3,399 | 3,750 | 4,243 | 1.03 | 1.63 | 0.59 |
| Vermont........................... | 2 | 4 | 6 | 1,068 | 1,109 | 1,169 | 0.19 | 0.36 | 0.51 |
| Virginia ............................ | 99 | 281 | 88 | 12,767 | 14,015 | 15,122 | 0.78 | 2.00 | 0.58 |
| Washington ...................... | 111 | 260 | 108 | 9,627 | 10,175 | 10,642 | 1.15 | 2.56 | 1.01 |
| West Virginia ..................... | 0 | 3 | 9 | 1,208 | 1,224 | 1,288 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.70 |
| Wisconsin ........................ | 13 | 23 | 11 | 6,497 | 6,655 | 7,080 | 0.20 | 0.35 | 0.16 |
| Wyoming.......................... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 723 | 742 | 817 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Puerto Rico.......................... | 2 | 10 | 1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

NA = not available
SOURCES: PricewaterhouseCoopers, Venture Economics, and National Venture Capital Association, MoneyTree Survey ${ }^{\top \mathrm{TM}}$, special tabulations; and U.S. Census Bureau, 1989-2002 Business Information Tracking Series, special tabulations.

## Venture Capital Disbursed per Venture Capital Deal

Figure 8-42
Venture capital disbursed per venture capital deal: 2004



## Findings

- The size of the average venture capital investment in the United States rose over the past decade to slightly more than $\$ 7$ million per deal in 2004. This represents an increase in investment size from $\$ 4$ million per deal in 1995 and $\$ 5$ million per deal in 1998 but a decline from $\$ 13$ million per deal in 2000.
- The total number of venture capital deals has stabilized during the past few years at 3,049 in 2002 and 2,872 in 2004.
- The state distribution on this indicator was skewed in 2004; only 12 states and the District of Columbia were above the national average, and 2 states reported no venture capital investments.
- Several states with high values in 2004 did not show consistent values in earlier years; their 2004 performance resulted from a small number of later-stage investments.

This indicator provides a measure of the average size of the venture capital investments being made in a state. The indicator is expressed as the total dollars of venture capital invested in millions divided by the number of companies receiving venture capital. The availability of venture capital may vary widely based on local business climate and entrepreneurial activity. The amount also will vary by stage of investment.

This indicator provides some measure of the magnitude of investment that developing companies in a specific state have attracted from venture capital sources. High values indicate a large average deal size.

Some states have relatively few venture capital deals taking place in a given year; thus, the value of this indicator may show large fluctuations on a year-to-year basis. This variation is further compounded by the large change in total venture capital investments that has occurred since 2000, making the use of a 3-year average of state investments misleading. Twentyfour states and the District of Columbia reported fewer than 10 venture capital deals in 2004. In such states, a single large or small venture capital investment can significantly affect the value of this indicator.

Table 8-42
Venture capital disbursed per venture capital deal, by state: 1995, 2000, and 2004

| State | Venture capital disbursed (\$ thousands) |  |  | Venture capital deals |  |  | Venture capital/ deal (\$ millions) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1995 | 2000 | 2004 | 1995 | 2000 | 2004 | 1995 | 2000 | 2004 |
| United States. | 8,147,907 | 105,689,617 | 20,937,629 | 1,866 | 8,044 | 2,872 | 4.37 | 13.14 | 7.29 |
| Alabama.. | 36,622 | 279,600 | 37,975 | 11 | 27 | 4 | 3.33 | 10.36 | 9.49 |
| Alaska .. | 0 | 3,500 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.00 | 3.50 | 0.00 |
| Arizona ......................... | 96,016 | 678,972 | 103,491 | 28 | 73 | 14 | 3.43 | 9.30 | 7.39 |
| Arkansas | 5,012 | 10,300 | 3,700 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 2.51 | 2.58 | 3.70 |
| California. | 3,255,681 | 43,527,816 | 9,345,925 | 694 | 2,996 | 1,117 | 4.69 | 14.53 | 8.37 |
| Colorado . | 314,397 | 4,333,008 | 443,599 | 57 | 238 | 70 | 5.52 | 18.21 | 6.34 |
| Connecticut .................. | 129,202 | 1,461,764 | 274,789 | 44 | 126 | 35 | 2.94 | 11.60 | 7.85 |
| Delaware. | 4,432 | 134,650 | 2,383 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 1.11 | 33.66 | 1.19 |
| District of Columbia ........ | 50 | 444,003 | 73,000 | 1 | 42 | 6 | 0.05 | 10.57 | 12.17 |
| Florida ......................... | 234,919 | 2,592,944 | 263,574 | 49 | 176 | 56 | 4.79 | 14.73 | 4.71 |
| Georgia | 161,494 | 2,138,960 | 584,832 | 48 | 229 | 81 | 3.36 | 9.34 | 7.22 |
| Hawaii. | 0 | 196,000 | 25,555 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0.00 | 98.00 | 4.26 |
| Idaho... | 15,200 | 19,485 | 2,500 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 15.20 | 4.87 | 1.25 |
| Illinois .. | 197,790 | 2,406,127 | 271,522 | 41 | 202 | 45 | 4.82 | 11.91 | 6.03 |
| Indiana .. | 9,103 | 253,975 | 65,750 | 7 | 25 | 7 | 1.30 | 10.16 | 9.39 |
| lowa. | 14,188 | 20,751 | 10,300 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 1.42 | 6.92 | 3.43 |
| Kansas | 6,600 | 262,671 | 37,670 | 3 | 20 | 8 | 2.20 | 13.13 | 4.71 |
| Kentucky... | 16,979 | 198,483 | 54,410 | 9 | 12 | 7 | 1.89 | 16.54 | 7.77 |
| Louisiana.. | 30,450 | 87,883 | 3,190 | 8 | 14 | 3 | 3.81 | 6.28 | 1.06 |
| Maine .. | 1,500 | 140,200 | 26,000 | 2 | 15 | 4 | 0.75 | 9.35 | 6.50 |
| Maryland | 118,439 | 1,886,185 | 512,349 | 29 | 175 | 87 | 4.08 | 10.78 | 5.89 |
| Massachusetts.............. | 691,829 | 10,393,199 | 2,774,904 | 201 | 783 | 337 | 3.44 | 13.27 | 8.23 |
| Michigan . | 70,697 | 331,959 | 148,065 | 13 | 57 | 22 | 5.44 | 5.82 | 6.73 |
| Minnesota | 161,730 | 1,079,037 | 351,243 | 50 | 111 | 46 | 3.23 | 9.72 | 7.64 |
| Mississippi ................... | 2,749 | 19,500 | 2,622 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2.75 | 6.50 | 0.87 |
| M issouri ....................... | 83,202 | 656,693 | 62,469 | 14 | 53 | 13 | 5.94 | 12.39 | 4.81 |
| M ontana....................... | 0 | 16,680 | 400 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0.00 | 5.56 | 0.40 |
| Nebraska...................... | 16,102 | 17,500 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 8.05 | 5.83 | 0.00 |
| Nevada........................ | 575 | 27,371 | 9,500 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 0.58 | 3.42 | 4.75 |
| New Hampshire ............. | 30,510 | 724,986 | 145,993 | 10 | 56 | 23 | 3.05 | 12.95 | 6.35 |
| New J ersey ................... | 257,346 | 3,225,923 | 720,399 | 56 | 188 | 77 | 4.60 | 17.16 | 9.36 |
| New Mexico .................. | 3,550 | 21,108 | 28,148 | 2 | 8 | 9 | 1.78 | 2.64 | 3.13 |
| New York.. | 276,813 | 7,256,427 | 721,130 | 66 | 638 | 142 | 4.19 | 11.37 | 5.08 |
| North Carolina................ | 300,994 | 1,887,982 | 335,312 | 38 | 162 | 56 | 7.92 | 11.65 | 5.99 |
| North Dakota ................. | 9,835 | 6,054 | 2,000 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4.92 | 6.05 | 2.00 |
| Ohio | 68,670 | 961,401 | 70,719 | 36 | 71 | 26 | 1.91 | 13.54 | 2.72 |
| Oklahoma...................... | 6,100 | 52,529 | 63,901 | 2 | 10 | 11 | 3.05 | 5.25 | 5.81 |
| Oregon......................... | 40,211 | 814,607 | 155,658 | 19 | 69 | 31 | 2.12 | 11.81 | 5.02 |
| Pennsylvania.. | 142,698 | 3,089,954 | 526,066 | 66 | 255 | 91 | 2.16 | 12.12 | 5.78 |
| Rhode Island.................. | 6,020 | 91,042 | 80,400 | 4 | 12 | 8 | 1.51 | 7.59 | 10.05 |
| South C arolina ............... | 53,385 | 415,211 | 16,052 | 6 | 11 | 5 | 8.90 | 37.75 | 3.21 |
| South Dakota ................. | 0 | 300 | 1,900 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.63 |
| Tennessee..................... | 175,176 | 387,451 | 81,025 | 20 | 44 | 23 | 8.76 | 8.81 | 3.52 |
| Texas........................... | 459,604 | 6,207,846 | 1,096,485 | 92 | 477 | 157 | 5.00 | 13.01 | 6.98 |
| Utah ... | 11,200 | 659,601 | 188,641 | 6 | 61 | 25 | 1.87 | 10.81 | 7.55 |
| Vermont........................ | 12,008 | 46,394 | 4,500 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3.00 | 11.60 | 1.50 |
| Virginia ......................... | 280,430 | 3,290,193 | 272,132 | 40 | 281 | 67 | 7.01 | 11.71 | 4.06 |
| Washington ................... | 329,507 | 2,727,478 | 868,280 | 60 | 260 | 117 | 5.49 | 10.49 | 7.42 |
| West Virginia .................. | 0 | 5,000 | 8,600 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0.00 | 1.67 | 2.15 |
| Wisconsin ..................... | 8,891 | 198,916 | 57,068 | 7 | 23 | 10 | 1.27 | 8.65 | 5.71 |
| Wyoming...................... | 0 | 0 | 1,500 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.50 |
| Puerto Rico...................... | 7,760 | 31,115 | 1,450 | 4 | 10 | 1 | 1.94 | 3.11 | 1.45 |

SOURCE: PricewaterhouseCoopers, Venture Economics, and National Venture Capital Association, MoneyTree Survey ${ }^{\top \mathrm{M}}$, special tabulations.

## Technical Note: Defining High-Technology Industries

The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) developed a list of high-technology industries based on Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) codes in 1999 (Heckler 1999). The list was based on measures of industry employment in both R\&D and technology-oriented occupations, using Occupational Employment Statistics surveys from 1993 to 1995 in which employers were asked to explicitly report the number of workers engaged in R\&D activity. The researchers identified 31 three-digit SIC R\&D-intensive industries in which the number of $R \& D$ workers and technology-oriented occupations accounted for a proportion of employment that was at least twice the average for all industries surveyed. These industries had at least 6 R\&D and 76 technology-oriented workers per 1,000 workers. The BLS list included 27 manufacturing and 4 service industries.

The Office of Technology Policy, with assistance from the Census Bureau, converted the BLS list of SIC codes to
the 1997 edition of the North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes using the concordance between the two classification systems. The process necessitated both splitting and combining codes. The resulting list of high-technology NAICS codes includes 39 categories that range from four- to six-digit detail. Twenty-nine categories identify manufacturing industries, and 10 identify service industries. The industry categories included in the high-technology segment are shown in table 8-43.

All high-technology data in this chapter were collected based on the 1997 NAICS codes. The NAICS codes were updated in 2002, and this revised coding system was used beginning with 2003 data.
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Table 8-43
1997 NAICS codes that constitute high-technology industries

| NAICS code | Industry |
| :---: | :---: |
| 32411. | Petroleum refineries |
| 3251. | Basic chemical manufacturing |
| 3252. | Resin, synthetic rubber, and artificial and synthetic fibers and filaments manufacturing |
| 3253. | Pesticide, fertilizer, and other agricultural chemical manufacturing |
| 3254. | Pharmaceutical and medicine manufacturing |
| 3255. | Paint, coating, and adhesive manufacturing |
| 3256. | Soap, cleaning compound, and toilet preparation manufacturing |
| 3259. | Other chemical product and preparation manufacturing |
| 332992 | Ordnance \& accessories manufacturing-small arms ammunition manufacturing |
| 332993. | Ordnance \& accessories manufacturing-ammunition (except small arms) manufacturing |
| 332994. | Ordnance \& accessories manufacturing-small arms manufacturing |
| 332995. | Ordnance \& accessories manufacturing-other ordnance and accessories manufacturing |
| 3331. | Agriculture, construction, and mining machinery manufacturing |
| 3332. | Industrial machinery manufacturing |
| 3333. | Commercial and service industry machinery manufacturing |
| 3336. | Engine, turbine, and power transmission equipment manufacturing |
| 3339. | Other general purpose machinery manufacturing |
| 3341. | Computer and peripheral equipment manufacturing |
| 3342. | Communications equipment manufacturing |
| 3343. | Audio and video equipment manufacturing |
| 3344. | Semiconductor and other electronic component manufacturing |
| 3345. | Navigational, measuring, electromedical, and control instruments manufacturing |
| 3346. | Manufacturing and reproducing magnetic and optical media |
| 3353. | Electrical equipment manufacturing |
| 33599. | All other electrical equipment and component manufacturing |
| 3361. | Motor vehicle manufacturing |
| 3362. | Motor vehicle body and trailer manufacturing |
| 3363. | Motor vehicle parts manufacturing |
| 3364. | Aerospace product and parts manufacturing |
| 3391. | Medical equipment and supplies manufacturing |
| 5112 | Software publishers |
| 514191. | On-line information services |
| 5142 | Data processing services |
| 5413. | Architectural, engineering, and related services |
| 5415. | Computer systems design and related services |
| 5416. | Management, scientific, and technical consulting services |
| 5417. | Scientific research and development services |
| 6117. | Educational support services |
| 811212. | Computer and office machine repair and maintenance |


[^0]:    NOTE: National average is reported value in Advanced Placement Report to the Nation: 2005.

    SOURCE: College Board, Advanced Placement Report to the Nation: 2005.

[^1]:    NOTE: National average is reported value in Advanced Placement Report to the Nation: 2005.
    SOURCE: College Board, Advanced Placement Report to the Nation: 2005.

